### VEHICLE EMISSION INSPECTION PROGRAM TEST FEE ANALYSIS FOR AIRCHECKTEXAS PROGRAM

TCEQ Contract No. 582-7-84008 Work Order No. 582-7-84008-FY09-01 Tracking No. 2009-62 Pechan Report No. 09.07.001/9465.101

July 31, 2009

#### **Prepared for:**

Mr. Edgar Gilmore Air Quality Division, Bldg. E, Room 351 Texas Commission on Environmental Quality Austin, TX 78711

#### **Prepared by:**

Andrew Bollman, Viola Glenn, and Jim Wilson E.H. Pechan & Associates, Inc. 5528-B Hempstead Way Springfield, VA 22151

## CONTENTS

#### Page

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	ix
A. BACKGROUND	ix
B. SURVEY ADMINISTRATION	ix
C. FINDINGS	xi
CHAPTER I. INTRODUCTION	1
A. BACKGROUND	1
B. REPORT ORGANIZATION	2
CHAPTER II. ANALYSIS METHODS SUMMARY	3
CHAPTER III. AUSTIN SURVEY RESULTS	5
CHAPTER IV. EL PASO SURVEY RESULTS	17
CHAPTER V. HGB/DFW SURVEY RESULTS	29
CHAPTER VI. COST MODEL ANALYSES	49
CHAPTER VII. COMMENTS FROM I/M TEST FEE SURVEY RECIPIENTS	59
CHAPTER VIII. CONCLUSIONS/FINDINGS	61
CHAPTER IX. REFERENCES	65
APPENDIX A. SURVEY INSTRUMENTS	A-1
AUSTIN TEST-ONLY STATION SURVEY	A-3
AUSTIN TEST-AND-REPAIR STATION SURVEY	A-6
EL PASO TEST-ONLY STATION SURVEY	A-11
EL PASO TEST-AND-REPAIR STATION SURVEY	A-14
DALLAS-FT. WORTH/HOUSTON-GALVESTON-BRAZORIA TEST-ONLY	
STATION SURVEY	A-19
DALLAS-FT. WORTH/HOUSTON-GALVESTON-BRAZORIA TEST-AND-	
REPAIR STATION SURVEY	A-22

## TABLES

#### Page

Table ES-1	Safety and Emissions Testing Fees	. ix
Table ES-2	Number of I/M Test Fee Survey Responses by Area/Test Type	X
Table ES-3	Number of Texas I/M Test Fee Stations by Area/Test Type (March 2009)	. xi

Table ES-4	Survey Response Rate by Area/Test Type	xi
Table ES-5	Percentage of Respondents Claiming Test Fees Cover Their Costs	xi
Table ES-6	Historical Number of Inspection Stations in HGB-DFW Region	. xii
Table ES-7	Historical Number of Inspection Stations in Austin and El Paso Program	
	Areas	. xii
Table I-1	Safety and Emissions Testing Fees	1
Table II-1	Number of I/M Test Fee Survey Responses by Area/Test Type	3
Table II-2	Number of Texas I/M Test Fee Stations by Area/Test Type (March 2009)	4
Table II-3	Survey Response Rate by Area/Test Type	4
Table III-1	Items Added or Acquired When Emissions Testing Was Offered - Austin	5
Table III-2	Additional Costs for Added or Acquired Items – Austin	6
Table III-3	Additional Staff When Station Began Offering Emissions Testing - Austin	6
Table III-4a	Number of Staff Hired When the Facility Began Offering Emissions Testing -	
	Austin	7
Table III-4b	Number of Staff Hired When the Facility Began Offering Emissions Testing -	
	Austin	7
Table III-5a	Current Wage Paid (\$/hr) – Austin	8
Table III-5b	Current Wage Paid (\$/hr) – Austin	8
Table III-6	Number of Emissions Inspectors Currently Working at the Station - Austin	8
Table III-7	Number of Full-time Emissions Inspectors – Austin	9
Table III-8	Number of Part-time Emissions Inspectors – Austin	9
Table III-9	Of Inspectors That Work Full-Time, How Many Spend? - Austin	.10
Table III-10	Of Inspectors That Work Part-Time, How Many Spend? - Austin	.11
Table III-11	Percent of Workspace Used Only for Emissions Testing – Austin	.11
Table III-12	Proportion of Repair Revenues Results from Failed Emission Inspections –	
	Austin	.11
Table III-13	Typical Number of Repair Jobs per Month Resulting from Failed Emissions	
	Tests – Austin	.11
Table III-14	Typical Repair Cost for an Emissions Test Failure – Austin	12
Table III-15	Financing Mechanisms for Purchasing Emissions Testing Equipment - Austin .	12
Table III-16	Lease-To-Purchase or Bank Loan Term (Years) – Austin	12
Table III-17	Interest Rate for Lease-To-Purchase or Bank Loan – Austin	13
Table III-18	Annual Maintenance Package Costs – Austin	13
Table III-19	Extra Maintenance Cost in 2007 – Austin	13
Table III-20	Free Emissions Tests – Austin	13
Table III-21	Fee Less Than \$16.00 – Austin	14
Table III-22	Typical Fee Charged Less Than \$16.00 – Austin	14
Table III-23	Failed Vehicles Not Returning For Retest within Last Two Months - Austin	14
Table III-24	Number of Failed Vehicles Not Returning For Retest within Last Two Months	
	– Austin	14
Table III-25	Does Fee Cover Emissions Testing Costs? – Austin	15
Table IV-1	Items Added or Acquired When Emissions Testing Was Offered - El Paso	.17
Table IV-2	Additional Costs for Added or Acquired Items - El Paso	19
Table IV-3	Additional Staff When Station Began Offering Emissions Testing - El Paso	19
Table IV-4a	Number of Staff Hired When the Facility Began Offering Emissions Testing –	
	El Paso	20

Table IV-4b	Number of Staff Hired When the Facility Began Offering Emissions Testing –	
	El Paso	20
Table IV-5a	Current Wage Paid (\$/hr) – El Paso	21
Table IV-5b	Current Wage Paid (\$/hr) – El Paso	21
Table IV-6	Number of Emissions Inspectors Currently Working at the Station – El Paso	21
Table IV-7	Number of Full-time Emissions Inspectors – El Paso	22
Table IV-8	Number of Part-time Emissions Inspectors – El Paso	22
Table IV-9	Of Inspectors That Work Full-Time, How Many Spend? – El Paso	23
Table IV-10	Of Inspectors That Work Part-Time, How Many Spend? - El Paso	24
Table IV-11	Percent of Workspace Used Only for Emissions Testing - El Paso	24
Table IV-12	Proportion of Repair Revenues Results from Failed Emission Inspections – El Paso	24
Table IV-13	Typical Number of Repair Jobs per Month Resulting from Failed Emissions Tests – El Paso	25
Table IV-14	Typical Repair Cost for an Emissions Test Failure – El Paso	25
Table IV-15	Financing Mechanisms for Purchasing Emissions Testing Equipment – El	
1401011110	Paso	25
Table IV-16	Lease-To-Purchase or Bank Loan Term (Years) – El Paso	25
Table IV-17	Interest Rate for Lease-To-Purchase or Bank Loan – Fl Paso	25
Table IV-18	Annual Maintenance Package Costs – El Paso	20
Table IV-10	Extra Maintenance Cost in 2007 – El Paso	20
Table $IV_20$	Extra Mantehance Cost in 2007 – Er raso	20
Table IV 21	Fas Lass Than $1/100$ El Paso	20
Table IV 22	Failed Vehicles Not Returning For Retest within Last Two Months El Paso	27
Table IV-22 Table IV-23	Number of Failed Vehicles Not Returning For Retest within Last Two Months	27
T 11 TV 24	- El Paso	
Table IV-24 $T_{11}$ V 1	Does Fee Cover Emissions Testing Costs? – El Paso	
Table V-I	Items Added or Acquired When Emissions Testing Was Offered – HGB/DFW	30
Table V-2	Additional Costs for Added or Acquired Items – HGB/DFW	
Table V-3	Additional Staff When Station Began Offering Emissions Testing – HGB/DFW	33
Table V-4	Number of Staff Hired When the Facility Began Offering Emissions Testing -	
	HGB/DFW	34
Table V-5	Current Wage Paid (\$/hr) – HGB/DFW	36
Table V-6	Number of Emissions Inspectors Currently Working at the Station – HGB/DFW	37
Table V-7	Number of Full-time Emissions Inspectors – HGB/DFW	38
Table V-8	Number of Part-time Emissions Inspectors – HGB/DFW	39
Table V-9	Percent of Workspace Used Only for Emissions Testing – HGB/DFW	41
Table V-10	Proportion of Renair Revenues Results From Failed Emission Inspections –	7 1
	HGB/DFW	41
Table V-11	Typical Number of Repair Jobs per Month Resulting from Failed Emissions	
	Tests – HGB/DFW	41
Table V-12	Typical Repair Cost for an Emissions Test Failure – HGB/DFW	42
Table V-13	Financing Mechanisms for Purchasing Emissions Testing Equipment – HGB/DFW	42

Table V-14	Lease-To-Purchase or Bank Loan Term (Years) – HGB/DFW	43
Table V-15	Interest Rate for Lease-To-Purchase or Bank Loan – HGB/DFW	43
Table V-16	Annual Maintenance Package Costs – HGB/DFW	43
Table V-17	Extra Maintenance Cost in 2007 – HGB/DFW	43
Table V-18	Free Emissions Tests – HGB/DFW	44
Table V-19	Fee Less Than \$27.00 – HGB/DFW	44
Table V-20	Fee Charged When Less Than \$27.00 – HGB/DFW	45
Table V-21	Failed Vehicles Not Returning for Retest Within Last Two Months – HGB/DFW	46
Table V-22	Number of Failed Vehicles Not Returning for Retest within Last Two Months – HGB/DFW	46
Table V-23	Does Fee Cover Emissions Testing Costs? – HGB/DFW	47
Table VI-1	Cost Incidence by Geographic Area/Test Type	50
Table VI-2	Information Sources Used in Cost Model Analyses	51
Table VI-3	Net Revenue From Emissions Inspection	52
Table VI-4	Austin Area Monthly Fixed Cost Estimates	53
Table VI-5	Austin Area Variable (Per Inspection) Cost Estimates	53
Table VI-6	Austin Area Break-Even Analysis	54
Table VI-7	El Paso Area Monthly Fixed Cost Estimates	54
Table VI-8	El Paso Area Variable (Per Inspection) Cost Estimates	55
Table VI-9	El Paso Area Break-Even Analysis	55
Table VI-10	HGB/DFW Area Monthly Fixed Cost Estimates – OBD Only	55
Table VI-11	HGB/DFW Area Variable (Per Inspection) Cost Estimates –	56
Table VI-12	HGB/DFW Area Break-Even Analysis – OBD Only	56
Table VI-13	HGB/DFW Area Monthly Fixed Cost Estimates – OBD/ASM	57
Table VI-14	HGB/DFW Area Variable (Per Inspection) Cost Estimates –	57
Table VI-15	HGB/DFW Area Break-Even Analysis – OBD/ASM	57
Table VIII-1	Percentage of Respondents Claiming Test Fees Cover Their Costs	61
Table VIII-2	Historical Number of Inspection Stations in Austin and El Paso Program	
	Areas	62

### **FIGURES**

#### Page 1

Figure III-1	Items Added or Acquired When Emissions Testing Was Offered, Percentage	
	of Total – Austin	5
Figure III-2	Work Hours of Part-time Emissions Inspectors – Austin	10
Figure IV-1	Items Added or Acquired When Emissions Testing Was Offered, Percentage	
	of Total – El Paso	18
Figure IV-2	Work Hours of Part-time Emissions Inspectors – El Paso	23
Figure V-1	Items Added or Acquired When Emissions Testing Was Offered, Percentage	
-	of Total – HGB/DFW-OBD Only	31
Figure V-2	Items Added or Acquired When Emissions Testing Was Offered, Percentage	
-	of Total – HGB/DFW-OBD/ASM	31

Figure V-3	Work Hours of Part-time Emissions Inspectors at OBD-only Stations –	
	HGB/DFW	40
Figure V-4	Work Hours of Part-time Emissions Inspectors at ASM/OBD Stations -	
	HGB/DFW	40
Figure VI-1	Stations At/Above Break-Even Number of Inspections	50
Figure VIII-1	HGB-DFW Station Counts from TCEQ Vehicle Identification Database	62

[This page intentionally left blank.]

### **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

### A. BACKGROUND

The purpose of this project was to evaluate the adequacy of the AirCheckTexas motor vehicle emissions inspection fee in the Houston-Galveston-Brazoria (HGB), Dallas-Fort Worth (DFW), El Paso, and Austin inspection and maintenance (I/M) program areas. Currently, the emissions inspection fee is capped at \$27 per inspection in the HGB and DFW program areas, \$16 in the Austin program area, and \$14 in El Paso County. This report provides a comprehensive analysis of the cost structure and revenue streams confronting the range of emissions inspection station business models in the four program areas. Analysis steps were as follows:

- surveying emissions inspection stations;
- gathering cost and revenue data from inspection stations and other sources;
- developing appropriate analytical models of the inspection industry; and
- evaluating the inspection fee adequacy based on the model results.

This study is performed every two years by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality (TCEQ). The 77<sup>th</sup> Texas Legislature required the TCEQ to "no less frequently than biennially, review the fee established..." for the motor vehicle emissions inspection program (Health and Safety Code 382.202(1)(f)). The study previous to this one was performed by Eastern Research Group, Inc. under contract to the TCEQ (ERG, 2007). For consistency, the surveys sent to the emissions inspection stations used many of the same questions in the 2007 survey and the basic business models were similar to those previously used as well.

The Texas I/M Program is administered by two Agencies – the Texas Department of Public Safety (DPS) and the TCEQ. The I/M program relies on a system of independently owned and operated inspection stations that must offer both safety and emissions testing in order to participate. This study focuses on four regions: Austin, El Paso, HGB, and DFW. Stations are permitted to charge \$12.75 for the safety inspection and up to a set fee for the emissions testing. Table ES-1 lists the safety and emissions testing fees for each region.

Region	Safety Inspection Test Fee	Emissions Inspection Test Fee (Maximum)	Total Inspection Fee (Maximum)
Austin	\$12.75	\$16.00	\$28.75
El Paso	\$12.75	\$14.00	\$26.75
HGB	\$12.75	\$27.00	\$39.75
DFW	\$12.75	\$27.00	\$39.75

Table ES-1. Safety and Emissions Testing Fees

### **B.** SURVEY ADMINISTRATION

In the spring of 2009, survey questionnaires were sent to all active vehicle emissions inspection stations in the four Texas program areas. In the previous I/M test fee study

performed for the TCEQ, ERG developed two survey instruments that were based on whether the facility is either a test-only facility or a test-and-repair (T&R) facility. ERG also developed three regional variations to correspond to the test fee of \$14.00 (El Paso), \$16.00 (Austin), and \$27.00 (DFW and HGB) and the equipment used (onboard diagnostics [OBD], acceleration simulation mode [ASM], 2-speed idle test [TSI], or a combination thereof). To ensure year-to-year comparability, E.H. Pechan & Associates, Inc. (Pechan) used the same survey questions that were included in the 2007 (and 2005) studies.

The survey was conducted by mail. Active vehicle emission inspection stations and their physical addresses were identified in a database provided by the TCEQ on March 19, 2009. Each mailed survey packet included a personalized letter, a 3-page survey with a unique identifier, and a business reply envelope. All of the surveys were mailed in the period between March 23 and March 27, 2009.

Overall, the survey questionnaire was mailed to 3,656 I/M test stations and 828 survey responses were received from the four program areas. The analysis in this report is based on 814 survey responses because 14 surveys that had the unique identifier blacked out were not included in the analysis, resulting in a 22 percent response rate. Table ES-2 summarizes the number of survey responses by area and test type.

Table ES-2.	Number of I/M	Test Fee Survey	Responses by	Area/Test	Гуре

Program Area	Test Only	Test and Repair	Total
Austin	9	76	85
El Paso	13	23	36
DFW/HGB	201	492	693
Total	223	591	814

There were 54 mailed surveys that were returned to Pechan for incorrect address information. Survey responses were accepted until May 4, 2009 giving the stations at least 30 days to submit their responses. After the deadline for survey responses had passed, 20 surveys were received by Pechan. Three of these surveys were returned for address issues. The remaining 17 surveys were not included in the analysis.

Pechan offered both e-mail and telephone support to survey respondents during the survey period. Questions could be submitted to either a Pechan e-mail address or by calling a dedicated phone extension in Pechan's Durham, North Carolina office. Any questions that could not be responded to directly by Pechan were referred to the TCEQ staff.

The TCEQ used the periodic bulletins that it issues to the inspection stations to alert them in advance that the survey was being mailed to them, as well as to provide reminders during the survey period that they needed to submit their completed surveys to Pechan in a timely fashion.

Table ES-3 summarizes the number of I/M stations in the four program areas by test type at the time of the 2009 survey. The station counts in this table can be compared with Table ES-

2 to see how the response rate varied by program area and test type. These response rates are summarized in Table ES-4.

## Table ES-3. Number of Texas I/M Test Fee Stations by Area/Test Type (March2009)

Program Area	Test Only	Test and Repair	Total
Austin	51	273	324
El Paso	59	134	193
DFW/HGB	1,063	2,076	3,139
Total	1,173	2,483	3,656

#### Table ES-4. Survey Response Rate by Area/Test Type

Program Area	Test Only	Test and Repair	Total
Austin	18%	28%	26%
El Paso	22%	17%	19%
DFW/HGB	19%	24%	22%
Total	19%	24%	22%

### C. FINDINGS

Table ES-5 summarizes the percentage of I/M stations by program area and test type that indicated in their survey responses that the emissions inspection fee covered the costs of emissions testing. In Austin, 22 percent of the test-only stations and 20 percent of the T&R stations said that the test fee covered their costs of performing this test. The El Paso station responses to this question showed a much greater disparity in how test-only and T&R stations responded. Only 8 percent of test-only stations in El Paso reported that fees cover costs, while 43 percent of T&R stations do. The HGB and DFW areas have the highest percentages of positive responses to the test fee adequacy question (and also the highest test fees). Almost 70 percent of test-only stations with only OBD tests performed indicated that the test fee to be adequate. Positive responses to the test fee adequacy question in HGB-DFW indicated the fee to be adequate. Positive responses to the test fee adequacy questions in the HGB-DFW area.

Program Area	Test Type	Fee Covers Costs
Austin		
Test-Only	All	22%
Test and Repair	All	20%
El Paso		
Test-Only	All	8%
Test and Repair	All	43%
HGB and DFW		
Test-Only	OBD-only	69%
	ASM/OBD	27%
Test and Repair	OBD-only	44%
	ASM/OBD	28%

One of the indicators of the economics of operating a vehicle emissions inspection program in Texas is the number of stations joining or leaving the program in each calendar year. A declining number of stations offering service indicates the likelihood that stations are finding that fees are not sufficient to cover their variable costs, while an increasing number of stations would suggest that fees are able to cover both fixed and variable costs (or else stations are making decisions to offer service based on poor estimates of their costs for doing so or find that there are other benefits to offering service that make it worthwhile to their bottom line). While the TCEQ does not keep historical statistics on the number of inspection stations, the information from prior year analyses (ERG, 2007) and the counts made in March 2009 for this study were used to develop the following comparisons. Counts for the HGB-DFW region from the TCEQ Vehicle Identification Database are shown in Table ES-6.

Date	Number of Stations
April 29, 2003	2,246
April 30, 2004	2,692
April 30, 2005	2,849
May 1, 2007	2,969
March 19, 2009	3,139

Table ES-6.	Historical Number	of Insp	pection a	Stations	in l	HGB-DFW	Region
-------------	-------------------	---------	-----------	----------	------	---------	--------

The growth in the number of stations offering emissions inspections in the HGB-DFW area suggests that the fee is adequate in that program area.

Table ES-7 compares the number of emission inspection stations in the Austin and El Paso program areas for 2007 and 2009. This table shows that the number of test and repair stations has remained relatively constant during the past two years, but that there has been growth in the number of test-only stations in both areas. In both the Austin and El Paso areas, the number of inspection stations has increased by 6.5 percent since 2007. This is evidence that the fees are adequate in these two areas as well.

Table ES-7.	Historical Number of Inspection Stations in Austin and El Paso
	Program Areas

Test Type	Austin 2007	Austin 2009	El Paso 2007	El Paso 2009
Test Only	33	51	47	59
Test and Repair	271	273	134	134
Total	304	324	181	193

The business model/cost model results presented in Chapter VI show that the most important variables affecting the economics of the current inspection fees in each program area are the hourly wage rate for inspectors and the elapsed time to perform each inspection. The analyses presented in Chapter VI are based on a 20-minute inspection. This time estimate seems reasonable and perhaps overestimates the time needed to perform an OBD test. Pechan's cost analysis shows that in Austin, the break-even number of inspections is 91 per month and that 70 percent of Austin area stations meet or exceed this level. The El Paso area analysis found the break-even number of inspections to be 83 per month with 77 percent of

existing stations exceeding this threshold. For the HGB-DFW areas, separate analyses were performed for OBD-only and OBD/ASM stations. The OBD-only stations in these areas break-even at 25 inspections per month or above and 81 percent meet or exceed this amount. Stations in these areas equipped with both OBD and ASM equipment have to perform 54 or more inspections per month in order to break even and 92 percent of existing stations meet or exceed this threshold.

The conclusion of this study reveals that the current set of vehicle emission inspection fees in Texas are adequate and do not need to be increased or decreased (note that Pechan's analysis excluded repair revenues). If that revenue was included in the analysis, more stations would have exceeded the break-even point than are reflected in this analysis. There are also qualitative benefits to the automotive service industry of offering emissions inspections like customer convenience and goodwill that are not considered in this cost modeling analysis.

[This page intentionally left blank.]

## **CHAPTER I. INTRODUCTION**

### A. BACKGROUND

The purpose of this project was to evaluate the adequacy of the AirCheckTexas motor vehicle emissions inspection fee in the Houston-Galveston-Brazoria (HGB), Dallas-Fort Worth (DFW), El Paso, and Austin inspection and maintenance (I/M) program areas. Currently, the emissions inspection fee is capped at \$27 per inspection in the HGB and DFW program areas, \$16 in the Austin program area, and \$14 in El Paso County. This report provides a comprehensive analysis of the cost structure and revenue streams confronting the range of emissions inspection station business models in the four program areas. Analysis steps were as follows: surveying emissions inspection stations, gathering cost and revenue data from inspection stations and other sources, developing appropriate analytical models of the inspection industry, and using the model results to evaluate the inspection fee adequacy.

This study is performed every two years by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality (TCEQ). The 77<sup>th</sup> Texas Legislature required the TCEQ to "no less frequently than biennially, review the fee established..." for the motor vehicle emissions inspection program (Health and Safety Code 382.202(1)(f)). The study previous to this one was performed by Eastern Research Group, Inc. under contract to the TCEQ (ERG, 2007). For consistency, the surveys sent to the emissions inspection stations used many of the same questions as the 2007 survey, and the basic business models used in the analysis were also similar to those used previously.

The Texas I/M Program is administered by two Agencies – the Texas Department of Public Safety (DPS) and the TCEQ. The I/M program relies on a system of independently owned and operated inspection stations. A station must offer safety testing in addition to an emissions inspection to participate. This study focuses on four regions: Austin, El Paso, HGB, and DFW. Stations are permitted to charge for the safety inspection and up to a set fee for the emissions testing. Table I-1 lists the safety and emissions testing fees for each region.

Region	Safety Inspection Test Fee	Emissions Inspection Test Fee (Maximum)	Total Inspection Fee (Maximum)
Austin	\$12.75	\$16.00	\$28.75
El Paso	\$12.75	\$14.00	\$26.75
HGB	\$12.75	\$27.00	\$39.75
DFW	\$12.75	\$27.00	\$39.75

 Table I-1. Safety and Emissions Testing Fees

The Texas Legislature charged the TCEQ with the need to balance the two major competing interests in the inspection industry – inspection stations and motorists. Inspection stations have an interest in generating a reasonable rate of return on their investments in the program, while motorists have an interest in obtaining required emissions inspections at the lowest necessary cost and inconvenience.

### **B. REPORT ORGANIZATION**

Section II of this report provides a summary of the analysis methods used in this project. This section introduces the business models used to evaluate the revenue and cost streams for stations that are I/M program participants. It also explains the sample survey design and implementation.

Survey findings are presented in separate sections by region. These sections are organized as follows:

Section IIIAustinSection IVEl PasoSection VHGB and DFW

The analyses presented in Sections III, IV, and V of this report use some statistical terms, namely median, mean, and mode. For the convenience of the reader, these terms are defined below. A median is the number separating the higher half of a sample from the lower half. The median of a list of numbers can be found by arranging all the observations from the lowest to the highest value and picking the middle one. The median can be used when a distribution is skewed, when end values are not known, or when less importance is placed on outliers. The mean is the sum of the observations divided by the number of observations. The mode is the value that occurs most frequently in a data set.

Section VI presents the cost analyses performed using the business models and the survey results for each program area. Overall study conclusions and findings are summarized in Section VII.

The survey instruments are provided in Appendix A.

### **CHAPTER II. ANALYSIS METHODS SUMMARY**

In the spring of 2009, survey questionnaires were sent to all active vehicle emissions inspection stations in the four Texas program areas. In the previous I/M test fee study performed for the TCEQ, ERG developed two survey instruments, each with three regional variations. The two survey instruments were based on whether the facility is either a test-only facility, or a test-and-repair (T&R) facility. The regional variations correspond to the test fee of \$14.00 (El Paso), \$16.00 (Austin), and \$27.00 (DFW and HGB) and the equipment used (onboard diagnostics [OBD], acceleration simulation mode [ASM], 2-speed idle test [TSI], or a combination thereof). To ensure year-to-year comparability, E.H. Pechan & Associates, Inc. (Pechan) used the same survey questions that were included in the 2007 (and 2005) (ERG, 2005) studies.

Pechan reviewed the 2007 survey instruments, sent proposed changes to the TCEQ for review, and made some slight revisions to the questions. One revision was to remove a previous question that asked about the type of air emissions testing offered at the station. This question was removed because this information is already known by the TCEQ. Then, the following question was revised to ask: In what year did this station offer OBD and TSI emission testing? The other survey change was to provide more space at the end of the survey for respondents to provide the reasons why they did not believe that the current test fee covers their costs of offering emissions testing.

The survey was conducted by mail. Existing vehicle emission inspection stations and their physical addresses were identified in a database provided by the TCEQ on March 19, 2009. Each mailed survey packet included a personalized letter, a 3-page survey with a unique identifier, and a business reply envelope. All of the surveys were mailed in the period between March 23 and March 27, 2009.

Overall, the survey questionnaire was mailed to 3,656 I/M test stations in the four program areas. Survey responses were received from 828 stations. The 14 surveys that were received with the unique identifier blacked out were not entered in the survey database, so the analysis in this report is based on 814 survey responses. This is a 22 percent response rate. Table II-1 summarizes the number of survey responses by area and test type.

Program Area	Test Only	Test and Repair	Total
Austin	9	76	85
El Paso	13	23	36
DFW/HGB	201	492	693
Total	223	591	814

Table II-1	. Number of I/	M Test Fee S	Survey Responses	by Area/Test Type
------------	----------------	--------------	------------------	-------------------

There were 54 mailed surveys that were returned to Pechan for incorrect address information.

Survey responses were accepted until May 4, 2009. This gave the stations at least 30 days to submit their responses.

After the deadline for survey responses had passed, 20 surveys were received by Pechan. Three of these surveys were returned for address issues. The remaining 17 were completed surveys that were not entered in the database.

Pechan offered both email and telephone support to survey respondents during the survey period. Questions could be submitted to either a Pechan email address, or by calling a dedicated phone extension in Pechan's Durham, North Carolina office. Any questions that could not be answered by Pechan were referred to the TCEQ staff.

The TCEQ used the periodic bulletins that it issues to the inspection stations to alert them in advance that the survey was being mailed to them, as well as to provide reminders during the survey period that they needed to submit their completed surveys to Pechan in a timely fashion.

Table II-2 summarizes the number of I/M stations in the four program areas by test type at the time of the 2009 survey. The station counts in this table can be compared with Table II-1 to see how the response rate varied by program area and test type. These response rates are summarized in Table II-3.

Table II-2. Number of Texas I/M Test Fee Stations by Area/Test Type (March 2009)

Program Area	Test Only	Test and Repair	Total
Austin	51	273	324
El Paso	59	134	193
DFW/HGB	1,063	2,076	3,139
Total	1,173	2,483	3,656

Program Area	Test Only	Test and Repair	Total
Austin	18%	28%	26%
El Paso	22%	17%	19%
DFW/HGB	19%	24%	22%
Total	19%	24%	22%

#### Table II-3. Survey Response Rate by Area/Test Type

### **CHAPTER III. AUSTIN SURVEY RESULTS**

This section of the report describes the survey responses received from the Austin area I/M stations. All of the tables in this section of the report provide information from the stations that responded to the 2009 survey. No attempt was made to factor in the survey response rate or any stratification factors in these results.

Survey question 4 asks station owners about the costs of emissions testing equipment, building space and land costs. Table III-1 and Figure III-1 summarize the responses to Austin survey question 4. There are many more T&R respondents in Austin than there are test-only respondents. All of the test-only stations reported equipment costs when they began emissions testing, while 93 percent of the T&R sites reported equipment costs. In this survey, the fraction of stations reporting building space and land costs was low - 11 and zero percent, respectively, for test-only, and 14 and 7 percent, respectively, for T&R.

# Table III-1. Items Added or Acquired When Emissions Testing Was Offered – Austin

	Number of Respondent	
	Yes	No
TEST-ONLY		
Emissions Testing Equipment	9	0
Tools and Other Equipment	8	1
Building Space	1	8
Land	0	9
TEST-AND-REPAIR		
Emissions Testing Equipment	71	5
Tools and Other Equipment	37	39
Building Space	11	65
Land	5	71

#### Figure III-1. Items Added or Acquired When Emissions Testing Was Offered, Percentage of Total – Austin



Table III-2 summarizes the Austin survey responses on emissions-related costs. This table shows that the median costs for emissions testing equipment (including tools and other equipment) are very similar for test-only and T&R stations, both being in the range of \$18,000 to \$18,700. Because there was only one test-only respondent in Austin about building space costs, and that cost estimate was \$300, building space costs do not appear to be important for Austin test-only sites. (This conclusion is based on a small sample size.) Building space and land costs are more significant for T&R stations in this area, though, with a wide range of reported values. Perhaps some respondents provided these building space and land costs as monthly or annual payments.

	Average	Median	Mode	Minimum	Maximum
TEST-ONLY					
Emissions Testing Equipment	\$18,000	\$17,750	\$20,000	\$16,000	\$20,000
Tools and Other Equipment	\$1,314	\$1,000	\$1,000	\$200	\$5,000
Building Space	\$300	\$300	*	\$300	\$300
Land	*	*	*	*	*
TEST-AND-REPAIR					
Emissions Testing Equipment	\$18,185	\$17,000	\$17,000	\$1,700	\$40,000
Tools and Other Equipment	\$3,067	\$1,000	\$1,000	\$100	\$24,000
Building Space	\$31,975	\$11,000	\$50,000	\$250	\$106,000
Land	\$68,875	\$62,500	*	\$500	\$150,000

Table III-2. Additional Costs for Added or Acquired Items – Au
--

\*Population estimates cannot be calculated due to small sample size.

Tables III-3, III-4a, and III-4b summarize the respondents' answers about the need to add staff when they started participating in the emissions inspection program. Test-only sites were more likely to add staff than T&R stations. This makes sense because test-only stations probably had limited existing staff with any previous inspection experience. Only slightly more than half of T&R facilities added inspectors when they started offering emission testing. This must mean that existing service facilities just converted an existing bay to emissions/safety inspections.

## Table III-3. Additional Staff When Station Began Offering Emissions Testing – Austin

	Number of Respondents		Percent		t	
	Yes	No	Missing	Yes	No	Missing
TEST-ONLY						
Inspectors	8	1	0	89%	11%	0%
Other Mechanics	2	7	0	22%	78%	0%
Supervisors	1	8	0	11%	89%	0%
Others	0	9	0	0%	100%	0%
TEST-AND-REPAIR						
Inspectors	44	32	0	58%	42%	0%
Other Mechanics	9	67	0	12%	88%	0%
Supervisors	1	75	0	1%	99%	0%
Others	2	74	0	3%	97%	0%

	Numbor	Number of Pesnendents	Porcont
Employee Type	number	Number of Respondents	reident
TEST-ONLY			
Inspectors	1	4	44%
	2	2	22%
	3	1	11%
	Missing	2	22%
	Total	9	100%
Other Mechanics	1	1	11%
	2	1	11%
	Missing	7	78%
	Total	9	100%
Supervisors	1	1	11%
	Missing	8	89%
	Total	9	100%
Others		*	

## Table III-4a. Number of Staff Hired When the Facility Began Offering Emissions Testing – Austin

\*Population estimates cannot be calculated due to small sample size.

## Table III-4b. Number of Staff Hired When the Facility Began OfferingEmissions Testing - Austin

Employee Type	Number	Number of Respondents	Percent
TEST-AND-REPAIR			
Inspectors	1	21	28%
	2	19	25%
	3	2	3%
	6	1	1%
	Missing	33	43%
	Total	76	100%
Other Mechanics	1	5	7%
	2	3	4%
	3	1	1%
	Missing	67	88%
	Total	76	100%
Supervisors	1	1	1%
	Missing	75	99%
	Total	76	100%
Others		*	

\*Population estimates cannot be calculated due to small sample size.

Question 6 in the Austin survey asks stations to provide current average wages paid to four different possible job descriptions at their facilities. Responses are reported in Tables III-5a and III-5b. For the purposes of the analyses presented later in this report, the inspector salaries are most important, and in Austin test-only stations, the median wage rate is \$12 per hour. Inspector's wage rates are very similar at T&R stations. The median wage rate is \$11 per hour, with this median being lower than test-only stations because some T&R stations only pay their inspectors about \$7 per hour.

Employee Type	Average	Median	Mode	Minimum	Maximum
TEST-ONLY					
Inspectors	\$13.00	\$12.00	\$10.00	\$9.00	\$20.00
Other Mechanics	\$30.38	\$19.50	*	\$12.50	\$70.00
Supervisors	\$20.00	\$20.00	*	\$20.00	\$20.00
Others	\$10.00	\$10.00	*	\$10.00	\$10.00

	Table III-5a.	Current	Wage	Paid	(\$/hr)	– Austin
--	---------------	---------	------	------	---------	----------

\*Population estimates cannot be calculated due to small sample size.

Table III-5b.	Current Wage	Paid (\$/hr	) – Austin
---------------	--------------	-------------	------------

Employee Type	Average	Median	Mode	Minimum	Maximum
TEST-AND-REPAIR					
Inspectors	\$12.94	\$11.00	\$10.00	\$7.05	\$35.00
Other Mechanics	\$23.14	\$22.75	\$20.00	\$7.05	\$35.00
Supervisors	\$19.51	\$17.50	\$12.00	\$7.05	\$39.90
Others	\$10.64	\$10.00	\$10.00	\$6.50	\$15.00

The average test-only site has two working inspectors, while 50 percent of T&R facilities have either 1 or 2 inspectors, and 18 percent have 3 inspectors - as shown in Table III-6. Comparing the responses listed in Tables III-6, III-7, and III-8 shows that most emissions inspectors are full-time staff. Tables III-7 and III-8 report the responses to question 8. The Austin test-only sites have only 1 or 2 full-time inspectors. Of the T&R sites, 60 percent have 1 or 2 full-time inspectors. The remaining 40 percent of the T&R stations report anywhere from 3 to 9 full-time inspectors.

Table III-6.	Number of Emissions Inspectors Currently Working at the Station -
	Austin

Number	Number of Respondents	Percent
TEST-ONLY		
1	2	22%
2	5	56%
3	1	11%
4	1	11%
Missing	0	0%
Total	9	100%
TEST-AND-REPAIR		
1	19	25%
2	20	26%
3	14	18%
4	8	11%
5	4	5%
6	4	5%
7	3	4%
8	2	3%
9	2	3%
Missing	0	0%
Total	76	100%

Number	Number of Respondents	Percent
TEST-ONLY		
1	3	33%
2	6	67%
Missing	0	0%
Total	9	100%
TEST-AND REPAIR		
1	23	30%
2	23	30%
3	8	11%
4	8	11%
5	5	7%
6	3	4%
7	3	4%
8	1	1%
9	2	3%
Missing	0	0%
Total	76	100%

$1 a \mu \in \Pi^{-1}$ . Number of Functime Linessions inspectors – Austin	Table III-7.	Number of	<b>Full-time</b>	Emissions	Inspectors –	Austin
--	--------------	-----------	------------------	-----------	--------------	--------

Table III-8 confirms that while some test-only and T&R stations report having part-time inspectors, about 80 percent of the Austin stations do not report any part-time staff in response to question 8. Responses to the hours per week for part-time staff indicate no particular patterns in the number of hours worked.

Number	Number of Respondents	Percent
TEST-ONLY		
1	1	11%
3	1	11%
Missing	7	78%
Total	9	100%
TEST-AND REPAIR		
1	9	12%
2	4	5%
Missing	63	83%
Total	76	100%

Table III-8. Number of Part-time Emissions Inspectors – Austin

Question 8 in the Austin survey also asks how many hours per week that part-time staff work. The responses are summarized in Figure III-2. This figure shows that T&R stations are more likely to hire part-time staff with more than 20 hours per week. It also shows that part-time staff are equally likely to be working <10, 10 to 19, 20 to 29, or 30 plus hours per week at T&R stations.



Figure III-2. Work Hours of Part-time Emissions Inspectors – Austin

Austin survey questions 9 through 14 refer only to T&R stations. These questions are concerned with the relationship between station inspections and other products and services offered on site. Questions 9 and 10 of the T&R survey ask stations to identify the proportion of time each full-time and part-time inspector dedicates to performing inspections as opposed to repair work or other tasks. Due to limited responses, the results are relatively uniform, but indicate that the typical inspector spends less time on inspections than other work. Table III-9 shows a median of 4 full-time inspectors spending about 5 percent of their time on inspections compared to 1 inspector for each of the higher categories. Table III-10 summarizes the same information for part-time inspectors, but the low response number restricts any similar conclusions.

Table III-9. Of Ins	pectors That Work	Full-Time, How	Many S	pend? – A	ustin
---------------------	-------------------	----------------	--------	-----------	-------

Percent of Time				
Performing Inspections	Median	Mode	Minimum	Maximum
50% or more	1	1	1	7
About 25%	1	1	1	4
About 15%	1	1	1	2
About 10%	1	1	1	7
About 5%	4	1	1	9

Percent of Time				
Performing Inspections	Median	Mode	Minimum	Maximum
50% or more	1	1	1	2
About 25%	1	1	1	2
About 15%	*	*	*	*
About 10%	1	*	1	1
About 5%	*	*	*	*

#### Table III-10. Of Inspectors That Work Part-Time, How Many Spend...? – Austin

\*Population estimates cannot be calculated due to small sample size.

Question 11 in the Austin survey asks about the percentage of the total workspace at the facility that is used only for emissions testing at T&R stations. These results are provided in Table III-11. The average fraction of the reported workspace used was 19 percent. The median-reported value was 15 percent.

#### Table III-11. Percent of Workspace Used Only for Emissions Testing – Austin

Mean	Median	Mode	Minimum	Maximum
19%	15%	10%	-%	100%

Austin survey question 12 asks about the percentage of repair revenues from failed emission inspections. Table III-12 summarizes these results, which show that the vast majority (79 percent) of stations earn less than 10 percent of their repair revenues from failed emission tests. Table III-13 shows that Austin T&R stations are typically performing emissions-related repairs on about 5 to 10 vehicles per month. A typical repair cost for these emission test failures is about \$250, as indicated in Table III-14.

## Table III-12. Proportion of Repair Revenues Results from Failed Emission Inspections – Austin

Properties of Papair Poyonus	Number of	Porcont
Proportion of Repair Revenue	Respondents	Feiceill
0% perform inspections only	9	12%
Less than 10%	60	79%
About 25%	3	4%
About 50%	0	0%
About 75%	1	1%
Between 75% and 95%	0	0%
More than 95%	0	0%
Missing	3	4%

# Table III-13. Typical Number of Repair Jobs per Month Resulting from FailedEmissions Tests – Austin

Mean	Median	Mode	Minimum	Maximum
8	5	10	-	100

Table III-14.	Typical F	Repair Co	st for ar	n Emissior	ns Test Fa	ilure – Austin
	Maan	Madian	Mada	N4:	M	

Mean	Median	Mode	Minimum	Maximum
\$235	\$250	\$300	\$-	\$600

The next set of questions asks about how I/M test stations (both test-only and T&R) paid for or financed their emissions testing equipment and any additional building or land expenses. Questions 15 (T&R stations) and 9 (test-only stations) ask owners about financing test equipment purchases. More than half of the test-only stations paid cash (56 percent). A lease-to-purchase agreement with the vendor was the second most prevalent option (33 percent), followed by a bank loan (11 percent). The financing options were pursued in the same order by T&R stations, but with paying cash and the lease-to-purchase agreement having nearly the same frequency at these sites, as shown in Table III-15. Table III-16 shows that lease-to-purchase and loan payback periods were typically 3 to 5 years.

# Table III-15. Financing Mechanisms for Purchasing Emissions Testing Equipment – Austin

Finance Type	Number of Stations	Percent
TEST-ONLY		
Paid Cash	5	56%
Lease-to-Purchase Agreement Arranged with Vendor	3	33%
Loan from Bank	1	11%
TEST-AND-REPAIR		
Paid Cash	32	42%
Lease-to-Purchase Agreement Arranged with Vendor	31	41%
Loan from Bank	9	12%
Missing	4	5%

Table III-16. Lease-To-Purchase or Bank Loan Term (Years) – Austin

<b>Business Model</b>	Average	Median	Mode	Minimum	Maximum
TEST-ONLY	4	3	3	3	5
<b>TEST-AND REPAIR</b>	4	5	5	2	5

Table III-17 reports the interest rates that were charged to test stations when equipment was financed. The test-only average interest rate was 7 percent while the median rate charged was 5 percent. Slightly higher interest rates were charged to T&R stations. The T&R station average rate was 9 percent and the median rate was 8 percent. Reasons why the test-only rates were lower than those charged to T&R stations may include the lower overall purchase price for equipment at test-only sites, and that test-only costs may have been incurred during a period when interest rates were lower, in general.

Business Model	Average	Median	Mode	Minimum	Maximum
TEST-ONLY	7%	5%	*	1%	15%
TEST-AND REPAIR	9%	8%	8%	1%	18%

\*Population estimates cannot be calculated due to small sample size.

Questions 18 and 12 in the Austin survey ask about the maintenance package costs for the emissions testing equipment. Table III-18 shows that this annual median cost was \$1,648 for test-only stations and \$2,260 for T&R stations. The average reported annual maintenance cost was \$1,542 for test-only stations and \$3,030 for T&R sites. The large difference between the average and median cost for T&R sites is influenced by the \$20,000 value reported on one survey. A \$20,000 annual maintenance contract cost is well above the norm for this industry. Austin survey questions 19 and 13 ask about costs paid during the past year for maintaining their emissions testing equipment beyond those included in the service contract. These costs were reported to be slightly less than \$1,000 on average for test-only stations and just less than \$1,400 for T&R sites, as shown in Table III-19.

#### Table III-18. Annual Maintenance Package Costs – Austin

Business Model	Average	Median	Mode	Minimum	Maximum
TEST-ONLY	\$1,542	\$1,648	*	\$500	\$3,000
TEST-AND REPAIR	\$3,030	\$2,260	\$1,800	\$1,000	\$20,000

\*Population estimates cannot be calculated due to small sample size.

#### Table III-19. Extra Maintenance Cost in 2007 – Austin

Business Model	Average	Median	Mode	Minimum	Maximum
TEST-ONLY	\$963	\$688	\$500	\$500	\$2,000
TEST-AND REPAIR	\$1,387	\$1,000	\$1,000	\$50	\$7,000

Table III-20 summarizes the responses to questions 20 and 14 of the Austin surveys, which ask about the prevalence of free retests. Almost 90 percent of the test-only sites and 74 percent of the T&R sites report that they do not perform free retests. Only a small fraction of Austin stations ever offer emission inspections at fees less than the \$16 per inspection rate, as shown in Table III-21. When a lower fee is charged, it is typically around \$12 - per Table III-22.

Table III-20. Free Emissions Tests -	- Austin
--------------------------------------	----------

Business Model	Test Given	Number of Respondents	Percent
TEST-ONLY	Yes	1	11%
	No	8	89%
TEST-AND REPAIR	Yes	20	26%
	No	56	74%

Business Model	Charged Less Than \$16?	Number of Respondents	Percent
TEST-ONLY	Yes	0	0%
	No	9	100%
TEST-AND REPAIR	Yes	6	8%
	No	70	92%

#### Table III-21. Fee Less Than \$16.00 – Austin

#### Table III-22. Typical Fee Charged Less Than \$16.00 – Austin

Mean	Median	Mode	Minimum	Maximum
\$12.50	\$12.00	*	\$11.00	\$14.50

\*Population estimates cannot be calculated due to small sample size.

Questions 22 and 16 in the Austin surveys ask whether any vehicles failing an inspection in the past two months have not returned for a retest. Table III-23 shows that the percentages reported by the test-only and the T&R stations were the same - 56 percent reported a vehicle not returning for a retest within the past two months. The median number of vehicles not returning for a retest after a failure was 4, as noted in Table III-24. The average number of such vehicles reported by the T&R stations appears to be skewed by the 200 vehicle estimate provided by one T&R survey respondent.

## Table III-23. Failed Vehicles Not Returning For Retest within Last Two Months – Austin

Business Model	Not Return?	Number of Respondents	Percent
TEST-ONLY	Yes	5	56%
	No	4	44%
TEST-AND REPAIR	Yes	42	56%
	No	33	44%

## Table III-24. Number of Failed Vehicles Not Returning For Retest within Last Two Months – Austin

Business Model	Average	Median	Mode	Minimum	Maximum
TEST-ONLY	5	4	*	1	12
<b>TEST-AND REPAIR</b>	12	4	3	1	200

\*Population estimates cannot be calculated due to small sample size.

The last survey question asks the Austin stations whether they believe that the inspection fee covers their costs of performing the emission tests. Table III-25 shows that 78 percent of the test-only stations and 80 percent of the T&R stations believe that the fee does not cover their costs.

<b>Business Model</b>	Fee Covers Costs?	Number of Respondents	Percent
TEST-ONLY	Yes	2	22%
	No	7	78%
TEST-AND REPAIR	Yes	15	20%
	No	61	80%

### Table III-25. Does Fee Cover Emissions Testing Costs? – Austin

[This page intentionally left blank.]

### CHAPTER IV. EL PASO SURVEY RESULTS

This section of the report describes the survey responses received from the El Paso area I/M stations. All of the tables in this section of the report provide information from the stations that responded to the 2009 survey. No attempt was made to factor in the survey response rate or any stratification factors in these results.

Survey question 4 asks station owners about the costs of emissions testing equipment, building space and land costs. Table IV-1 and Figure IV-1 summarize the responses to El Paso survey question 4. All of the El Paso area stations reported having emissions testing equipment costs when they began emissions testing. This was true of both test-only and T&R facilities. This is to be expected as El Paso County changed to OBD testing for 1996 and newer vehicles on January 1, 2007. The percentage of El Paso test-only and T&R stations reporting additional costs for tools and equipment was consistent - both with about 80 percent reporting such costs. In addition, the fraction of stations reporting building space costs when they began to offer emissions testing is also fairly consistent for test-only and T&R stations in El Paso, with 31 percent of test-only and 26 percent of T&R stations indicating that they had incurred such costs. No El Paso test-only stations reported land costs, while 17 percent of the T&R stations reported having additional land costs at emissions testing initiation.

	Number of Respondents	
	Yes	No
TEST-ONLY		
Emissions Testing Equipment	13	0
Tools and Other Equipment	10	3
Building Space	4	9
Land	0	13
TEST-AND-REPAIR		
Emissions Testing Equipment	23	0
Tools and Other Equipment	19	4
Building Space	6	17
Land	4	19

# Table IV-1. Items Added or Acquired When Emissions Testing Was Offered – El Paso



Figure IV-1. Items Added or Acquired When Emissions Testing Was Offered, Percentage of Total – El Paso

Table IV-2 summarizes the El Paso survey responses about their emissions-related costs. This table shows that the median costs for emissions testing equipment (including tools and other equipment) are similar for test-only and T&R stations, both being in the range of \$17,200 to \$17,800. The responses diverge significantly for building space costs, however. The median building space cost for test-only stations was \$10,200, while it was \$40,000 for T&R stations. Note that there were only 4 test-only respondents who reported building space costs and 6 T&R station respondents, and there were a wide range of reported values, so it is difficult to draw conclusions from the reported data. Test-only site responses to this question varied from \$750 to \$50,000. The reported building space costs for T&R stations ranged from \$2,500 to \$100,000. Perhaps some respondents provided these building space costs as monthly or annual payments. Only 4 T&R facilities reported having incurred land costs. Median and average reported land costs are \$15,000 and \$18,667, respectively. However, these statistics may not be good indicators of actual land costs because reported values ranged from \$1,000 to \$40,000, and the \$1,000 estimate does not seem realistic.

To elaborate on the issue of the variability in the building space cost estimates provided above, Pechan cautions the TCEQ about drawing many conclusions from the limited data submitted. For example, the 4 test-only stations provided building space cost estimates of \$750, \$5,400, \$15,000 and \$50,000. The 5 reported cost estimates for T&R stations were \$2,500, \$10,000, \$40,000, \$80,000 and \$100,000. Pechan recommends that if this survey question is asked again in the next survey, the question be revised to clarify that the value being requested is the *initial purchase cost*. This should ensure that responses are provided in consistent terms.

	Average	Median	Mode	Mi	nimum	Μ	aximum
TEST-ONLY							
Emissions Testing Equipment	\$ 14,838	\$ 15,995	\$ 17,000	\$	5,000	\$	20,400
Tools and Other Equipment	\$ 4,175	\$ 1,200	\$ 1,200	\$	100	\$	20,000
Building Space	\$ 17,788	\$ 10,200	*	\$	750	\$	50,000
Land	*	*	*		*		*
TEST-AND-REPAIR							
Emissions Testing Equipment	\$ 19,439	\$ 16,000	\$ 15,000	\$	8,000	\$	46,000
Tools and Other Equipment	\$ 5,100	\$ 1,750	\$ 500	\$	300	\$	30,000
Building Space	\$ 46,500	\$ 40,000	*	\$	2,500	\$	100,000
Land	\$ 18,667	\$ 15,000	*	\$	1,000	\$	40,000

\*Population estimates cannot be calculated due to small sample size.

Tables IV-3, IV-4a and IV-4b summarize the responses to questions about their need to add staff when they started participating in the emissions inspection program. The El Paso results show that test-only and T&R stations were equally likely to add inspectors when they began emissions testing. Of the respondents, about 70 percent of both station types added *inspectors* at program initiation. At the test-only sites, 38 percent of the stations also added *other mechanics* when they began emissions testing, but very few test-only sites added *supervisors* or other staff. The T&R stations were less likely than test-only stations to add *other mechanics* (26 percent), but more likely to add *supervisors* (17 percent).

# Table IV-3. Additional Staff When Station Began Offering Emissions Testing – El Paso

	Numbe	Number of Respondents			Percent		
	Yes	No	Missing	Yes	No	Missing	
TEST-ONLY							
Inspectors	9	4	0	69%	31%	0%	
Other Mechanics	5	8	0	38%	62%	0%	
Supervisors	1	12	0	8%	92%	0%	
Others	1	12	0	8%	92%	0%	
TEST-AND-REPAIR							
Inspectors	16	7	0	70%	30%	0%	
Other Mechanics	6	17	0	26%	74%	0%	
Supervisors	4	19	0	17%	83%	0%	
Others	1	22	0	4%	96%	0%	

# Table IV-4a. Number of Staff Hired When the Facility Began OfferingEmissions Testing – El Paso

Employee Type	Number	Number of Respondents	Percent
TEST-ONLY			
Inspectors	1	7	54%
	3	2	15%
	Missing	4	31%
	Total	13	100%
Other Mechanics	1	3	23%
	2	2	15%
	Missing	8	62%
	Total	13	100%
Supervisors	1	1	8%
	Missing	12	92%
	Total	13	100%
Others	1	1	8%
	Missing	12	92%
	Total	13	100%

# Table IV-4b. Number of Staff Hired When the Facility Began OfferingEmissions Testing – El Paso

Employee Type	Number	Number of Respondents	Percent
TEST-AND-REPAIR			
Inspectors	1	6	26%
	2	4	17%
	3	4	17%
	4	1	4%
	6	1	4%
	Missing	7	30%
	Total	23	100%
Other Mechanics	1	2	9%
	2	1	4%
	4	3	13%
	Missing	17	74%
	Total	23	100%
Supervisors	1	4	17%
	Missing	19	83%
	Total	23	100%
Others	1	1	4%
	Missing	22	96%
	Total	23	100%

Question 6 in the El Paso survey asks stations to provide current average wages paid to four different possible job descriptions at their facilities. Responses are reported in Tables IV-5a and IV-5b. For the purposes of the analyses presented later in this report, the inspector salaries are most important, and in El Paso test-only and T&R stations, the median wage rate is \$10.00 per hour. The T&R facilities in El Paso that pay an inspector wage rate of \$20 per hour make the

T&R data slightly different from the test-only facilities. Minimum inspector rates are consistent at \$7.50 per hour. Wage rates for supervisors are higher than for the other categories (inspectors, other mechanics, and others).

Employee Type	A۱	/erage	Μ	edian	N	lode	Miı	nimum	Ma	ximum
TEST-ONLY										
Inspectors	\$	9.77	\$	10.00	\$	7.50	\$	7.50	\$	13.00
Other Mechanics	\$	13.66	\$	12.50	\$	12.50	\$	8.75	\$	16.00
Supervisors	\$	17.50	\$	17.50		*	\$	10.00	\$	25.00
Others	\$	11.38	\$	11.38		*	\$	8.75	\$	14.00

Table IV-5a. Current Wage Paid (\$/hr) – El Paso

\*Population estimates cannot be calculated due to small sample size.

Table IV-5b. Current Wage Paid (\$/hr) – El Paso

Employee Type	A١	verage	Μ	edian	Ν	/lode	Mir	nimum	Ма	ximum
TEST-AND-REPAIR										
Inspectors	\$	11.87	\$	10.00	\$	9.00	\$	7.50	\$	20.00
Other Mechanics	\$	12.24	\$	10.00	\$	10.00	\$	8.08	\$	22.00
Supervisors	\$	16.08	\$	15.00	\$	15.00	\$	11.25	\$	28.85
Others	\$	11.67	\$	11.67	\$	10.00	\$	8.33	\$	15.00

The survey responses to question 7 indicate that the average T&R station typically employs more inspectors than the average test-only station in El Paso. The survey responses are summarized in Table IV-6. Comparing the responses listed in Tables IV-7 and IV-8 shows that most of the inspectors at El Paso I/M stations are full-time staff.

Table IV-6.	Number of Emissions Inspectors Currently Working at the Station -
	El Paso

Number	Number of Respondents	Percent
TEST-ONLY	-	
1	5	38%
2	6	46%
3	2	15%
Missing	0	0%
Total	13	100%
<b>TEST-AND REPAIR</b>		
1	5	22%
2	8	35%
3	6	26%
4	2	9%
6	1	4%
18	1	4%
Missing	0	0%
Total	23	100%

Number	Number of Respondents	Percent
TEST-ONLY		
1	6	46%
2	6	46%
3	1	8%
Missing	0	0%
Total	13	100%
<b>TEST-AND REPAIR</b>		
1	5	22%
2	11	48%
3	4	17%
4	1	4%
5	1	4%
18	1	4%
Missing	0	0%
Total	23	100%

Table IV-7. Number of Full-time Emissions Inspectors – El Paso

Table IV-8 shows that while some test-only and T&R stations report having part-time inspectors, more than 80 percent of the El Paso stations do not report any part-time staff in response to question 8. Responses to the hours per week for part-time staff indicate no particular patterns in the number of hours worked.

Number	Number of Respondents	Percent
TEST-ONLY		
1	2	15%
3	0	0%
Missing	11	85%
Total	13	100%
TEST-AND REPAIR		
1	3	13%
2	1	4%
Missing	19	83%
Total	23	100%

Table IV-8. Number of Part-time Emissions Inspectors – El Paso

Question 8 in the El Paso survey also asks how many hours per week that part-time staff work. The responses are summarized in Figure IV-2. This figure shows that there were a limited number of responses to this question (6 in total), and no particular pattern in the number of hours worked by part-time staff.


Figure IV-2. Work Hours of Part-time Emissions Inspectors – El Paso

Questions 9 through 14 below refer only to T&R stations. These questions are concerned with the relationship between station inspections and other products and services offered on site. Questions 9 and 10 of the T&R survey ask stations to identify the proportion of time each full-time and part-time inspector dedicates to performing inspections as opposed to repair work or other tasks. Due to limited responses, the results are relatively uniform, but indicate that the typical inspector spends 15 percent or more of their time on inspections. Table IV-9 shows a median of 2 full-time inspectors for each category above "About 10 percent" compared to 1 inspector for each of the lower categories. Table IV-10 summarizes the same information for part-time inspectors. In this case most inspectors spend upwards of 25 percent of their time performing inspections. No stations reported part-time workers in the lower categories.

Table IV-9.	Of Inspectors	That Work Full-Time,	How Many S	pend? – El Paso
-------------	---------------	----------------------	------------	-----------------

Percent of Time Performing Inspections	Median	Mode	Minimum	Maximum
50% or more	2	1	1	3
About 25%	2	2	1	4
About 15%	2	1	1	18
About 10%	1	1	1	3
About 5%	1	*	1	1

\* Population estimates cannot be calculated due to small sample size.

Percent of Time Performing Inspections	Median	Mode	Minimum	Maximum
50% or more	1	1	1	2
About 25%	2	*	1	2
About 15%	*	*	*	*
About 10%	*	*	*	*
About 5%	*	*	*	*

### Table IV-10. Of Inspectors That Work Part-Time, How Many Spend...? – El Paso

\*Population estimates cannot be calculated due to small sample size.

Question 11 in the El Paso T&R survey asks about the percentage of the total workspace at the facility that is used only for emissions testing. These results are provided in Table IV-11. The average fraction of the reported workspace used was 33 percent. The median reported value was 25 percent. These results indicate that one bay of a 3 or 4 bay facility is typically dedicated to emissions (and possibly also safety) inspections.

#### Table IV-11. Percent of Workspace Used Only for Emissions Testing – El Paso

Mean	Median	Mode	Minimum	Maximum
33%	25%	25%	4%	100%

El Paso T&R survey question 12 asks about the percentage of repair revenues from failed emission inspections. Table IV-12 summarizes these results, which show that a majority of the stations earn less than 10 percent of their repair revenues from failed emission inspections. Table IV-13 shows that a typical El Paso station is performing emissions-related repairs on about 6 to 11 vehicles per month. A typical repair cost for these emission test failures is \$100 to \$200, as indicated in Table IV-14. Note that the average reported repair cost in El Paso (\$168) is considerably lower than reported for the Austin area (\$235). These two areas have similar emission testing programs with similar start dates and inspection fees. The difference in the average repair cost is certainly larger than the difference in the two area's emission inspection fees (\$14 in El Paso and \$16 in Austin).

# Table IV-12. Proportion of Repair Revenues Results from Failed Emission Inspections – El Paso

Proportion of Repair Revenue	Number of Respondents	Percent
0% perform inspections only	1	4%
Less than 10%	14	61%
About 25%	5	22%
About 50%	1	4%
About 75%	1	4%
Between 75% and 95%	0	0%
More than 95%	0	0%
Missing	1	4%

# Table IV-13. Typical Number of Repair Jobs per Month Resulting from FailedEmissions Tests – El Paso

Mean	Median	Mode	Minimum	Maximum
11	6	10	-	40

### Table IV-14. Typical Repair Cost for an Emissions Test Failure – El Paso

Μ	ean	Ме	edian	Μ	ode	Mini	mum	Max	kimum
\$	168	\$	104	\$	100	\$	20	\$	750

The next set of questions asks about how I/M test stations (both test-only and T&R) paid for or financed their emissions testing equipment and any additional building or land expenses. Questions 15 (T&R stations) and 9 (test-only stations) ask owners about financing test equipment purchases. Table IV-15 indicates that for test-only stations, 76 percent had some type of financing - either via a lease-to-purchase agreement or a bank loan. Cash payments were made 23 percent of the time. T&R emission testing equipment purchases were financed by 74 percent of the stations. Another 17 percent reported paying cash for their equipment. For some reason, there was 9 percent non-response to this question by the T&R stations. Table IV-16 shows that lease-to-purchase and loan payback periods were typically about 5 years.

# Table IV-15. Financing Mechanisms for Purchasing Emissions Testing Equipment – El Paso

Finance Type	Number of Stations	Percent
TEST-ONLY		
Paid Cash	3	23%
Lease-to-Purchase Agreement Arranged with Vendor	5	38%
Loan from Bank	5	38%
Missing	0	0%
TEST-AND-REPAIR		
Paid Cash	4	17%
Lease-to-Purchase Agreement Arranged with Vendor	9	39%
Loan from Bank	8	35%
Missing	2	9%

#### Table IV-16. Lease-To-Purchase or Bank Loan Term (Years) – El Paso

Business Model	Average	Median	Mode	Minimum	Maximum
TEST-ONLY	4	5	5	3	5
TEST-AND REPAIR	5	5	5	3	18

Table IV-17 reports the interest rates that were charged to test stations when equipment was financed. The test-only average interest rate was 11 percent while the median rate charged was 9 percent. Similar but slightly lower interest rates were charged to T&R stations. (Some of the minimum and maximum interest rates reported do not make sense - namely, 1 percent, 17 percent, and 24 percent.)

Table IV-17.	Interest Rate	for Lease-1	Fo-Purchase of	or Bank Loan –	- El Paso
--------------	---------------	-------------	----------------	----------------	-----------

Business Model	Average	Median	Mode	Minimum	Maximum
TEST-ONLY	11%	9%	9%	7%	17%
TEST-AND REPAIR	11%	8%	7%	1%	24%

Questions 18 and 12 of the El Paso surveys ask about the maintenance package costs for the emissions testing equipment. Table IV-18 shows that this annual median cost is \$2,100 for test-only stations and \$2,200 for T&R stations. The average reported annual maintenance cost was \$2,231 for test-only stations and \$2,518 for T&R stations. Minimum and maximum annual maintenance package costs were as low as \$600 and as high as \$6,000. El Paso survey questions 19 and 13 ask about costs paid during the past year for maintaining their emissions testing equipment beyond those included in the service contract. These costs were reported to be slightly less than \$1,000 on average, with some T&R facilities reporting these annual expenses to be as high as \$3,000, as seen in Table IV-19.

#### Table IV-18. Annual Maintenance Package Costs – El Paso

Business Model	Average	Median	Mode	Minimum	Maximum
TEST-ONLY	\$ 2,231	\$ 2,100	\$ 2,100	\$ 600	\$ 4,536
<b>TEST-AND REPAIR</b>	\$ 2,518	\$ 2,200	\$ 2,500	\$ 1,300	\$ 6,000

Table IV-19.	Extra	Maintenance	Cost in	2007 –	El Paso
--------------	-------	-------------	---------	--------	---------

Business Model	Average		Median Mode		Minimum		Maximum		
TEST-ONLY	\$	952	\$	900	\$ 1,000	\$	150	\$	2,200
TEST-AND REPAIR	\$	787	\$	600	\$ 400	\$	50	\$	3,000

Table IV-20 summarizes the responses to questions 20 and 14 of the El Paso surveys, which ask about the prevalence of free retests. Nearly 40 percent of the test-only stations and one quarter of the T&R stations reported offering free retests. No stations in the El Paso program area ever offer emission inspections at fees less than the \$14 per inspection rate. Table IV-21 summarizes these responses.

Table IV-20. Free En	nissions Test	s – El Paso
----------------------	---------------	-------------

Business Model	Test Given	Number of Respondents	Percent
TEST-ONLY	Yes	5	38%
	No	7	54%
	Missing	1	8%
TEST-AND-REPAIR	Yes	6	26%
	No	16	70%
	Missing	1	4%

Business Model	Charged Less Than \$14?	Number of Respondents	Percent
TEST-ONLY	Yes	0	0%
	No	13	100%
TEST-AND REPAIR	Yes	0	0%
	No	23	100%

Table IV-21. Fee Less Than \$14.00 -	El Pas	0
--------------------------------------	--------	---

Questions 22 and 16 in the El Paso surveys ask whether any vehicles failing an inspection in the past two months have not returned for a retest. The percentages reported by the test-only and the T&R stations, as summarized in Table IV-22, were nearly the same - 70 percent reported a vehicle not returning for a retest within the past two months. The median number of vehicles not returning for a retest after a failure was 2 to 3, as shown in Table IV-23. The average for the T&R stations (of 7) is skewed by one station reporting 45 non-returning vehicles.

# Table IV-22. Failed Vehicles Not Returning For Retest within Last Two Months –El Paso

Business Model	Not Return?	Number of Respondents	Percent
TEST-ONLY	Yes	9	69%
	No	4	31%
TEST-AND REPAIR	Yes	16	70%
	No	7	30%

# Table IV-23. Number of Failed Vehicles Not Returning For Retest withinLast Two Months – El Paso

Business Model	Average	Median	Mode	Minimum	Maximum
TEST-ONLY	3	2	2	2	6
<b>TEST-AND REPAIR</b>	7	3	2	1	45

The last survey question asks the El Paso stations whether they believe that the inspection fee covers their costs of performing the emission tests. Table IV-24 shows that 92 percent of test-only and 57 percent of T&R facilities believe that the fee does not cover their costs.

Business Model	Fee Cover Costs?	Number of Respondents	Percent
TEST-ONLY	Yes	1	8%
	No	12	92%
TEST-AND REPAIR	Yes	10	43%
	No	13	57%

[This page intentionally left blank.]

## **CHAPTER V. HGB/DFW SURVEY RESULTS**

This section of the report describes the survey responses received from the Houston-Galveston-Brazoria (HGB) and Dallas-Fort Worth (DFW) area I/M stations. All of the tables in this section of the report provide information from the stations that responded to the 2009 survey. No attempt was made to factor in the survey response rate or any stratification factors in these results.

Survey question 5 asks station owners about the costs of emissions testing equipment, building space and land costs. Table V-1 and Figures V-1 and V-2 summarize the responses to HGB/DFW survey question 5. These and the succeeding tables in this section breakout survey results by test-only versus T&R, as did the Austin and El Paso chapters. However, because the HGB and DFW areas also have I/M stations that either have only OBD equipment, or both OBD and ASM equipment, these tables/figures report the survey results by each of these four possible combinations. As observed in the other I/M areas, about 90 percent of the HGB and DFW I/M stations purchased I/M testing equipment when they first offered I/M testing. The percentage of stations that purchased emissions testing equipment does not differ much by test type. About 50 percent of the I/M stations in these two areas reported having expenses for tools and other equipment, as shown in Figures V-1 and V-2. Building space costs were incurred by 14 to 26 percent of I/M stations and these percentages vary by test type. Test-only stations that offer both OBD and ASM tests are most likely to have incurred building space costs.

None of the test-only OBD-only stations reported having land costs associated with offering emission inspections. Other test types incurred land costs, with the percentages ranging from 4 to 12 percent.

Table V-2 summarizes the HGB/DFW responses on their emissions-related costs. This table shows that the median costs for emissions testing equipment (including tools and other equipment) vary primarily based on whether the station offers ASM testing or not. OBD-only stations have median emissions testing equipment costs in the range of \$8,000 to \$10,000. The average costs are somewhat higher than the medians, but appear to be affected by the maximum costs reported in their test type category. One T&R OBD-only station reported \$85,010 in equipment costs. This seems unlikely unless they have 2 dynamometers.

Table V-2 shows that the emissions testing equipment costs for the stations that offer both ASM and OBD tests are \$42,000 to \$43,000 (median values). Maximum emission testing equipment reported costs for OBD and ASM stations were \$1 million, which at market rates would mean that the station had 20 dynamometers.

There is such a wide range of reported building space and land costs in Table V-2 that it calls into question whether the responses to those questions are of value in performing the analysis reported on in Chapter VI.

			Number of R	esponses
Test Type	Population	Item Purchased	Yes	No
TEST-ONLY				
OBD-only	42	Emissions Testing Equipment	39	3
		Tools and Other Equipment	21	21
		Building Space	6	36
		Land	0	42
ASM/OBD	158	Emissions Testing Equipment	136	22
		Tools and Other Equipment	84	74
		Building Space	41	117
		Land	19	139
TEST-AND-REPAIR				
OBD-only	140	Emissions Testing Equipment	123	17
		Tools and Other Equipment	66	74
		Building Space	19	121
		Land	6	134
ASM/OBD	347	Emissions Testing Equipment	313	34
		Tools and Other Equipment	190	157
		Building Space	75	272
		Land	27	320

## Table V-1. Items Added or Acquired When Emissions Testing Was Offered – HGB/DFW



Figure V-1. Items Added or Acquired When Emissions Testing Was Offered, Percentage of Total – HGB/DFW-OBD Only

### Figure V-2. Items Added or Acquired When Emissions Testing Was Offered, Percentage of Total – HGB/DFW-OBD/ASM



Test Type	Item Purchased	Average	Median	Mode	Mi	nimum	Maximum
TEST-ONLY							
OBD-only	Emissions Testing Equipment	\$ 9,432	\$ 8,000	\$ 8,000	\$	1,800	\$ 30,000
	Tools and Other Equipment	\$ 3,055	\$ 1,000	\$ 2,000	\$	200	\$ 20,000
	Building Space	\$ 57,233	\$ 3,000		\$	500	\$ 330,000
	Land	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$	-	\$ -
ASM/OBD	Emissions Testing Equipment	\$ 42,282	\$ 42,000	\$ 40,000	\$	1,500	\$ 112,000
	Tools and Other Equipment	\$ 6,059	\$ 3,000	\$ 1,000	\$	100	\$ 80,000
	Building Space	\$ 96,764	\$ 11,000	\$ 500	\$	300	\$ 1,250,000
	Land	\$ 95,471	\$ 50,000	\$ 30,000	\$	1,100	\$ 500,000
TEST-AND-R	EPAIR						
OBD-only	Emissions Testing Equipment	\$ 13,468	\$ 9,800	\$ 10,000	\$	1,000	\$ 85,010
	Tools and Other Equipment	\$ 5,457	\$ 1,000	\$ 1,000	\$	13	\$ 65,000
	Building Space	\$ 34,572	\$ 3,000	\$ 3,000	\$	350	\$ 300,000
	Land	\$ 889,017	\$ 235,350		\$	2,400	\$ 4,000,000
ASM/OBD	Emissions Testing Equipment	\$ 48,334	\$ 43,000	\$ 40,000	\$	2,000	\$ 1,000,000
	Tools and Other Equipment	\$ 10,014	\$ 4,100	\$ 5,000	\$	200	\$ 400,000
	Building Space	\$ 88,518	\$ 10,000	\$ 2,000	\$	200	\$ 2,500,000
	Land	\$ 218,964	\$ 50,000	\$ 50,000	\$	200	\$ 2,500,000

Table V-2.	Additional	Costs for	Added or	Acquired	ltems –	HGB/DFW
	/ wantional	00010101		7.0quii 0u		

Tables V-3 and V-4 summarize the responses to questions about their need to add staff when they started participating in the emissions inspection program. Stations that offer both OBD and ASM tests were more likely to add staff than OBD-only stations. Nearly 80 percent of the test-only OBD plus ASM stations added inspectors when they began offering emissions testing in HGB or DFW, while 2/3 of the T&R OBD and ASM stations hired new inspectors. The percentages for OBD-only stations were somewhat lower - with 57 percent of test only and 44 percent of T&R stations adding inspectors.

Stations in these two areas offering both OBD and ASM tests reported a higher percentage of other mechanics being hired at program initiation (22 to 23 percent) than stations just offering OBD tests (14 percent). About 7 to 12 percent of stations in HGB and DFW hired supervisors when they began emissions testing.

			Number of Responses		Percent	
Test Type	Population	Staff Hired	Yes	No	Yes	No
TEST-ONLY						
OBD-only	42	Inspectors	24	18	57%	43%
		Other Mechanics	6	36	14%	86%
		Supervisors	3	39	7%	93%
		Others	2	40	5%	95%
ASM/OBD	158	Inspectors	124	34	78%	22%
		Other Mechanics	34	124	22%	78%
		Supervisors	12	146	8%	92%
		Others	9	149	6%	94%
TEST-AND-REPAIR						
OBD-only	140	Inspectors	61	79	44%	56%
		Other Mechanics	19	121	14%	86%
		Supervisors	11	129	8%	92%
		Others	7	133	5%	95%
ASM/OBD	347	Inspectors	229	118	66%	34%
		Other Mechanics	79	268	23%	77%
		Supervisors	41	306	12%	88%
		Others	18	329	5%	95%

# Table V-3. Additional Staff When Station Began Offering Emissions Testing – HGB/DFW

Test Type	Employee Type	Number	Number of Responses	Percent
TEST-ONLY				
OBD-only	Inspectors	Missing	18	43%
		1	16	38%
		2	7	17%
		4	1	2%
		Total	42	100%
	Other Mechanics	Missing	36	86%
		1	4	10%
		2	1	2%
		3	1	2%
		Total	42	100%
	Supervisors	Missing	39	93%
		1	3	7%
		Total	42	100%
	Others	Missing	40	95%
		1	1	2%
		2	1	2%
		Total	42	100%
ASM/OBD	Inspectors	Missing	37	23%
		1	57	36%
		2	49	31%
		3	12	8%
		4	1	1%
		5	1	1%
		6	1	1%
		Total	158	100%
	Other Mechanics	Missing	124	78%
		1	27	17%
		2	5	3%
		3	1	1%
		4	1	1%
		Total	158	100%
	Supervisors	Missing	146	92%
		1	11	7%
		2	1	1%
		Total	158	100%
	Others	Missing	149	94%
		1	4	10%
		2	4	10%
		3	1	1%
		Total	158	114%

# Table V-4. Number of Staff Hired When the Facility Began OfferingEmissions Testing – HGB/DFW

Test Type	Employee Type	Number	Number of Responses	Percent
TEST-AND-REPAIR				
OBD-only	Inspectors	Missing	80	57%
-	-	1	36	26%
		2	15	11%
		3	4	3%
		4	2	1%
		6	2	1%
		8	1	1%
		Total	140	100%
	Other Mechanics	Missing	122	87%
		1	8	6%
		2	6	4%
		3	1	1%
		4	1	1%
		5	1	1%
		6	1	1%
		Total	140	100%
	Supervisors	Missing	130	93%
		1	7	5%
		2	3	2%
		Total	140	100%
	Others	Missing	134	96%
		1	6	4%
		Total	140	100%
ASM/OBD	Inspectors	Missing	123	35%
		1	102	29%
		2	81	23%
		3	26	7%
		4	9	3%
		5	4	1%
		6	1	100%
		15	1	0%
		Total	347	200%
	Other Mechanics	Missing	270	78%
		1	54	16%
		2	18	5%
		3	3	1%
		4	1	0%
		6	1	0%
		Total	347	100%
	Supervisors	Missing	307	88%
		1	37	11%
		2	3	1%
		Total	347	100%
	Others	Missing	331	95%
		1	14	4%
		_ 2	2	1%
		Total	347	100%

Question 7 in the HGB/DFW survey asks stations to provide current average wages paid to four different possible job descriptions at their facilities. Responses are reported in Table V-5. For the purposes of the analyses presented later in this report, the inspector salaries are most important, and in HGB and DFW test-only stations, the median wage rate is \$10.00 to \$11.39 per hour for this position. Inspector wage rates at T&R stations are somewhat higher, with median values of \$11.25 to \$13.46 reported. Mechanics appear to have higher wage rates than supervisors at test-only stations, while the reverse is true at T&R stations. Some of the maximum wage rates reported by I/M stations appear to be unrealistic, such as the \$80.77 hourly wage rate for inspectors at test only ASM/OBD stations.

Test Type	Employee Type	A	verage	N	ledian	I	Mode	Mir	nimum	Ма	ximum
TEST-ONLY											
OBD-only	Inspectors	\$	12.38	\$	11.39	\$	12.50	\$	8.00	\$	25.00
	Other Mechanics	\$	20.85	\$	18.00	\$	15.00	\$	8.00	\$	62.50
	Supervisors	\$	16.76	\$	14.50	\$	9.00	\$	9.00	\$	28.85
	Others	\$	11.15	\$	9.00	\$	8.00	\$	7.00	\$	25.00
ASM/OBD	Inspectors	\$	11.27	\$	10.00	\$	10.00	\$	6.00	\$	80.77
	Other Mechanics	\$	16.26	\$	15.00	\$	15.00	\$	8.50	\$	50.00
	Supervisors	\$	16.04	\$	15.00	\$	15.00	\$	2.88	\$	30.00
	Others	\$	11.77	\$	10.00	\$	10.00	\$	1.73	\$	30.00
TEST-AND-REPAIR											
OBD-only	Inspectors	\$	15.17	\$	13.46	\$	10.00	\$	7.50	\$	40.17
	Other Mechanics	\$	22.71	\$	20.00	\$	25.00	\$	6.92	\$	65.00
	Supervisors	\$	23.97	\$	24.04	\$	25.00	\$	8.65	\$	55.00
	Others	\$	11.27	\$	11.00	\$	10.00	\$	8.00	\$	19.23
ASM/OBD	Inspectors	\$	12.31	\$	11.25	\$	10.00	\$	5.00	\$	39.75
	Other Mechanics	\$	19.90	\$	18.75	\$	25.00	\$	7.50	\$	45.00
	Supervisors	\$	20.89	\$	18.27	\$	15.00	\$	3.65	\$	75.00
	Others	\$	14.57	\$	12.50	\$	12.50	\$	6.25	\$	45.67

Table V-5. Current Wage Paid (\$/hr) – HGB/DFW

Question 8 in the HGB/DFW area survey asks how many emissions inspectors currently work at each emissions testing station. These results are summarized in Table V-6. Most emission inspection stations have either 1, 2, or 3 inspectors, with the distribution among these numbers dependent on the test type. The mode for each of the four test types is 1 inspector at test-only and T&R OBD-only, and 2 inspectors at test-only and T&R OBD and ASM-equipped stations. However, many stations report having more than 1 or 2 inspectors. Table V-6 shows these distributions.

Question 9 in the HGB/DFW survey asks about the number of full and part-time inspectors. Tables V-7 and V-8 report the responses to this survey question. Comparing the responses listed in these two tables shows that most emissions inspectors are full-time staff. Full service stations (OBD plus ASM equipped) appear to be more likely to employ part-time emission inspectors than OBD-only stations.

Test Type	Number	Number of Responses	Percent
TEST-ONLY			
OBD-only	Missing	0	0%
	1	19	45%
	2	11	26%
	3	5	12%
	4	4	10%
	5	2	5%
	7	1	2%
	Total	42	100%
ASM/OBD	Missina	3	2%
	1	37	23%
	2	56	35%
	3	35	22%
	4	12	8%
	5	9	6%
	6	4	3%
	7	1	1%
	a a	1	1%
	Total	158	100%
		150	100 /0
OBD-only	Missina	2	1%
	11/1/33/119	52	37%
	1	30	200/
	2	23	160/
	3	23	10/0 60/
	4	0	070
	5	0	0%
	0	4	3%
	8	3	۷%
	33 Tatal	1	1%
	Iotal	140	100%
ASM/OBD	wissing	0	0%
	1	54	16%
	2	118	34%
	3	78	22%
	4	46	13%
	5	20	6%
	6	13	4%
	7	3	1%
	8	2	1%
	9	3	1%
	10	3	1%
	12	2	1%
	14	1	0%
	15	1	0%
	16	1	0%
	18	1	0%
	45	1	0%
	Total	347	100%

# Table V-6. Number of Emissions Inspectors Currently Working at the Station –HGB/DFW

Test Type	Number	Number of Responses	Percent
TEST-ONLY			
OBD-only	Missina	0	0%
<b>,</b>	1	20	48%
	2	11	26%
	3	4	10%
	4	4	10%
	5	2	5%
	7	- 1	2%
	Total	42	100%
ASM/OBD	Missing	4	3%
	0	1	1%
	1	65	41%
	2	46	29%
	3	21	13%
	4	9	6%
	5	8	5%
	6	3	2%
	7	1	1%
	Total	158	100%
TEST-AND-REPAIR			
OBD-only	Missina	2	1%
<b>J</b>	1	59	42%
	2	35	25%
	3	21	15%
	4	7	5%
	5	7	5%
	6	4	3%
	7	1	1%
	8	3	2%
	33	1	1%
	Total	140	100%
ASM/OBD	Missina	4	1%
	0	1	0%
	1	96	28%
	2	106	31%
	3	65	19%
	4	35	10%
	5	16	5%
	6	7	2%
	7	2	1%
	8	-2	1%
	9	- 3	1%
	10	3	1%
	12	2	1%
	14	- 1	0%
	15	1	0% 0%
	16	1	070 0%
	18	1	0% 0%
	45	1	0%
	Total	347	100%

## Table V-7. Number of Full-time Emissions Inspectors – HGB/DFW

Test Type	Number	Number of Responses	Percent
TEST-ONLY			
OBD-only	Missing	37	88%
	0	2	5%
	1	2	5%
	12	1	2%
	Total	42	100%
ASM/OBD	Missing	113	72%
	0	2	1%
	1	28	18%
	2	13	8%
	3	1	1%
	9	1	1%
	Total	158	100%
TEST-AND-REPAIR			
OBD-only	Missing	116	83%
	0	14	10%
	1	6	4%
	2	2	1%
	4	1	1%
	20	1	1%
	Total	140	100%
ASM/OBD	Missing	219	63%
	0	21	6%
	1	87	25%
	2	17	5%
	3	3	1%
	Total	347	100%

Table V-8.	Number	of Part-time	Emissions	Inspectors -	HGB/DFW

Question 9 in the HGB/DFW survey also asks how many hours per week that part-time staff work. The responses are summarized in Figures V-3 and V-4. Figure V-3 addresses OBD-only stations. This figure shows that there were a limited number of responses to this question (7 in total) and no particular pattern in the number of hours worked when summarized in 10-hour intervals. Figure V-4 addresses OBD and ASM-equipped stations. The most prevalent situation at those stations is for part-timers to work 20-29 hours per week.

# Figure V-3. Work Hours of Part-time Emissions Inspectors at OBD-only Stations – HGB/DFW



Figure V-4. Work Hours of Part-time Emissions Inspectors at ASM/OBD Stations – HGB/DFW



Questions 12 through 15 below refer only to T&R stations. These questions are concerned with the relationship between station inspections and other products and services offered on site. Question 12 in the HGB/DFW T&R survey asks about the percentage of the total workspace at the facility that is used for emissions testing. These results are provided in Table V-9. The average fraction of the reported workspace used for emissions testing was 16 percent for OBD-only and 28 percent for full service stations. The median reported values were 12 and 22 percent, respectively.

Test Type	Average	Median	Mode	Minimum	Maximum
TEST-AND-REPAIR					
OBD-only	16%	12%	10%	-%	100%
ASM/OBD	28%	22%	25%	-%	100%

Table V-9.	Percent of	Workspace	Used Only	for Emissions	Testing – HGB/DFW
------------	------------	-----------	-----------	---------------	-------------------

HGB/DFW T&R survey question 13 asks about the percentage of repair revenues from failed emission inspections. Table V-10 summarizes these results, which show that 90 percent of the OBD-only and 83 percent of the OBD plus ASM stations derive less than 10 percent of their repair revenues from failed emission tests. Table V-11 shows that HGB and DFW stations are typically performing emissions-related repairs on about 5 to 10 vehicles per month. A typical repair cost for these vehicles is about \$200 to \$275, as indicated in Table V-12.

# Table V-10. Proportion of Repair Revenues Results From Failed Emission Inspections – HGB/DFW

Test Type	Proportion of Repair Revenue	Number of Responses	Percent
OBD-only	0%	8	6%
-	Less than 10%	117	84%
	About 25%	13	9%
	About 50%	0	0%
	About 75%	0	0%
	Between 75% and 95%	1	1%
	Missing	1	1%
ASM/OBD	0%	61	18%
	Less than 10%	226	65%
	About 25%	51	15%
	About 50%	2	1%
	About 75%	0	0%
	Between 75% and 95%	0	0%
	More than 95%	0	0%
	Missing	7	2%

# Table V-11. Typical Number of Repair Jobs per Month Resulting from Failed Emissions Tests – HGB/DFW

Test Type	Average	Median	Mode	Minimum	Maximum
OBD-only	6	4	10	0	50
ASM/OBD	8	5	10	0	300

Table V-12.	Typical Repair	Cost for an	Emissions	Test Failure -	HGB/DFW
-------------	----------------	-------------	-----------	----------------	---------

Test Type	Average	Median	Mode	Minimum	Maximum
OBD-only	\$275	\$200	\$200	\$-	\$1,500
ASM/OBD	\$272	\$210	\$200	\$-	\$4,000

The next set of questions asks about how I/M test stations (both T&R and test-only) paid for or financed their emissions testing equipment and any additional building or land expenses. Table V-13 summarizes the financing options selected by stations. For full service stations that purchased both OBD and ASM equipment, the lease-to-purchase and bank loan options are the dominant financing options. OBD-only equipped stations were more likely to have paid cash for their equipment. For example, 48 percent of the test-only OBD-only stations paid cash, while 43 percent of the T&R OBD-only stations paid cash. Lease-to-purchase arrangements were more prevalent than bank loans for all OBD-only stations. These two financing options were about equally selected by the ASM and OBD purchasers. The loan period for these financing options is the same for all groups, 5 years, except for OBD-only T&R stations where the median period is 3 years, as shown in Table V-14. Table V-15 shows that the interest rates were typically in the 8 to 9 percent range for lease-to-purchase arrangements and bank loans. (Some of the maximum and minimum interest rates reported do not make sense.)

		Number of	
Test Type	Finance Type	Stations	Percent
TEST-ONLY			
OBD-only	Paid Cash	20	48%
	Lease-to-Purchase Agreement Arranged with	14	33%
	Vendor		
	Loan from Bank	8	19%
ASM/OBD	Paid Cash	33	21%
	Lease-to-Purchase Agreement Arranged with	60	38%
	Vendor		
	Loan from Bank	59	37%
	No Response Given	6	4%
TEST-AND-REPAIR			
OBD-only	Paid Cash	60	43%
	Lease-to-Purchase Agreement Arranged with	47	34%
	Vendor		
	Loan from Bank	28	20%
	Other	1	1%
	No Response Given	4	3%
ASM/OBD	Paid Cash	99	29%
	Lease-to-Purchase Agreement Arranged with	111	32%
	Vendor		
	Loan from Bank	127	37%
	Paid cash, Loan from bank	1	0%
	No Response Given	9	3%

# Table V-13. Financing Mechanisms for Purchasing Emissions Testing Equipment – HGB/DFW

Test Type	Average	Median	Mode	Minimum	Maximum
TEST-ONLY					
OBD-only	4	5	5	2	5
ASM/OBD	5	5	5	1	25
TEST-AND-REPAIR					
OBD-only	3	3	5	1	6
ASM/OBD	5	5	5	1	19

 Table V-14.
 Lease-To-Purchase or Bank Loan Term (Years) – HGB/DFW

Test Type	Average	Median	Mode	Minimum	Maximum
TEST-ONLY					
OBD-only	8%	9%	1%	1%	15%
ASM/OBD	10%	8%	8%	1%	85%
TEST-AND-REPAIR					
OBD-only	9%	8%	8%	1%	18%
ASM/OBD	9%	8%	8%	1%	19%

Questions 19 (for T&R stations) and 13 (for test-only stations) in the HGB/DFW surveys ask about the maintenance package costs for the emissions testing equipment. Table V-16 shows that this median annual cost was about \$1,000 for OBD-only stations and \$4,000 for ASM plus OBD sites.

Table V-16. Annual Maintenance Package Costs – HGB/DFW

Test Type	A١	/erage	Μ	edian	Mode	Mi	nimum	Ма	aximum
TEST-ONLY									
OBD-only	\$	1,069	\$	1,000	\$ 1,200	\$	750	\$	1,800
ASM/OBD	\$	4,066	\$	4,000	\$ 4,000	\$	1,000	\$	15,200
TEST-AND-REPAIR									
OBD-only	\$	1,208	\$	900	\$ 1,200	\$	100	\$	5,000
ASM/OBD	\$	4,218	\$	4,000	\$ 4,000	\$	300	\$	42,000

HGB/DFW survey questions 20 and 14 ask about costs paid during the past year for maintaining their emissions testing equipment beyond those included in the service contract. These costs are reported in Table V-17 and have averaged about \$800 per year for OBD-only stations and just above \$2,000 per year for ASM and OBD equipped sites.

 Table V-17. Extra Maintenance Cost in 2007 – HGB/DFW

Test Type	A١	verage	Μ	edian	Mode	Mini	mum	Ма	aximum
TEST-ONLY									
OBD-only	\$	898	\$	363	\$ -	\$	-	\$	8,000
ASM/OBD	\$	2,266	\$	1,500	\$ 2,000	\$	-	\$	15,000
TEST-AND-REPAIR									
OBD-only	\$	804	\$	400	\$ -	\$	-	\$	6,700
ASM/OBD	\$	2,017	\$	1,500	\$ 2,000	\$	-	\$	8,000

Table V-18 summarizes the responses to questions 21 and 15 in the HGB/DFW surveys which ask about the prevalence of free retests. About 74 percent of the OBD-only stations report that they did not perform free retests. The free retest percentage for ASM plus OBD equipped stations ranged from 56 percent for test only stations to 67 percent for test and repair stations. A small fraction of the emission inspection stations in these two areas reported having provided emission inspections for a fee less than \$27. Respondent's responses in numbers and percentages are provided in Table V-19, and the amounts of the lower fees charged are shown in Table V-20.

Test Type	Test Given	Number of Responses	Percent
TEST-ONLY			
OBD-only	No	31	74%
	Yes	11	26%
ASM/OBD	No Response	2	1%
	No	88	56%
	Yes	67	43%
TEST-AND-REPAIR			
OBD-only	No Response	2	1%
-	No	103	74%
	Yes	35	25%
ASM/OBD	No Response	6	2%
	No	234	67%
	Yes	107	31%

Table V-18.	Free Emissions	Tests – HGB/DFW
-------------	----------------	-----------------

Test Type	Charged Less Than \$27?	Number of Responses	Percent
TEST-ONLY			
OBD-only	No	39	93%
	Yes	3	7%
ASM/OBD	No	135	85%
	Yes	23	15%
TEST-AND-REPAIR			
OBD-only	No Response	2	1%
-	No	130	93%
	Yes	8	6%
ASM/OBD	No Response	3	1%
	No	326	94%
	Yes	18	5%

Table V-19.	Fee Less	Than \$27.	.00 – HGB/DFW
-------------	----------	------------	---------------

Test Type	Fee	Number of Responses	Percent
TEST-ONLY			
OBD-only	<i>Missing</i> <sup>1</sup>	39	93%
-	\$0.00	1	2%
	\$22.00	1	2%
	\$25.00	1	2%
	Total	42	100%
ASM/OBD	Missing <sup>1</sup>	39	60%
	\$0.00	1	2%
	\$14.50	1	2%
	\$14.75	1	2%
	\$15.00	1	2%
	\$17.00	1	2%
	\$20.00	1	2%
	\$22.00	4	6%
	\$23.00	1	2%
	\$24.00	1	2%
	\$25.00	11	17%
	\$27.00	1	2%
	\$39.75	2	3%
	Total	65	100%
TEST-AND-REPAIR			
OBD-only	<i>Missing</i> <sup>1</sup>	132	94%
-	\$0.00	1	1%
	\$14.50	1	1%
	\$22.00	3	2%
	\$22.50	1	1%
	\$25.00	2	1%
	Total	140	100%
ASM/OBD	Missing <sup>1</sup>	328	95%
	\$0.00	3	1%
	\$8.00	1	0%
	\$12.00	1	0%
	\$14.25	1	0%
	\$17.49	1	0%
	\$19.99	1	0%
	\$20.00	4	1%
	\$22.00	1	0%
	\$23.00	1	0%
	\$24.00	2	1%
	\$25.00	1	0%
	\$27.25	1	0%
	\$30.00	1	0%
	Total	347	<b>10</b> 0%

### Table V-20. Fee Charged When Less Than \$27.00 – HGB/DFW

<sup>1</sup> Includes respondents that said they never charge less than test fee

Questions 23 and 17 in the HGB/DFW surveys ask whether any vehicles failing an inspection in the past two months have not returned for a retest. The responses are provided in Table V-21. The test-only OBD-only stations reported the highest rate of non-returnees - 67 percent. Other test types reported failed vehicles not returning for a retest in the range of 48 to 67 percent of the time. The median number of vehicles not returning for a retest was in the range of 2 to 4, depending on test type, as shown in Table V-22.

Table V-21.	Failed Vehicles Not Returning for Retest Within Last Two Months -
	HGB/DFW

Test Type	Non-Returning Vehicles	Number of Responses	Percent
TEST-ONLY			
OBD-only	No	14	33%
-	Yes	28	67%
ASM/OBD	No Response	3	2%
	No	53	34%
	Yes	102	65%
TEST-AND-REPAIR			
OBD-only	No Response	1	1%
-	No	72	51%
	Yes	67	48%
ASM/OBD	No Response	8	2%
	No	125	36%
	Yes	214	62%

# Table V-22. Number of Failed Vehicles Not Returning for Retest within Last Two Months – HGB/DFW

Test Type	Average	Median	Mode	Minimum	Maximum
TEST-ONLY					
OBD-only	2	2	1	1	5
ASM/OBD	6	4	3	1	50
TEST-AND-REPAIR					
OBD-only	2	2	2	1	15
ASM/OBD	5	3	2	1	25

The last survey question asks the HGB/DFW stations whether they believe that the inspection fee covers their costs of performing the emission tests. Table V-23 shows that between 29 and 68 percent of the stations believe that the fee does not cover their costs, varying widely by station type. Test-only OBD-only stations are the only test type where more than half of the respondents felt that the fee covered their costs.

Test Type	Fee Cover Costs?	Number of Responses	Percent
TEST-ONLY			
OBD-only	No Response	1	2%
-	No	12	29%
	Yes	29	69%
ASM/OBD	No Response	8	5%
	No	108	68%
	Yes	42	27%
<b>TEST-AND-REPAIR</b>			
OBD-only	No Response	6	4%
	No	73	52%
	Yes	61	44%
ASM/OBD	No Response	13	4%
	No	236	68%
	Yes	98	28%

# Table V-23. Does Fee Cover Emissions Testing Costs? – HGB/DFW

[This page intentionally left blank.]

# CHAPTER VI. COST MODEL ANALYSES

This section of the report presents the results of cost analyses performed for each of the following geographic areas/test types:

- Austin OBD and TSI;
- El Paso OBD and TSI;
- DFW/HGB OBD-only; and
- DFW/HGB OBD and ASM.<sup>1</sup>

There are two cost scenarios that are analyzed for each of the above. The first includes only the costs of inspection equipment, tools, and other equipment. The second includes these equipment costs, plus the costs of constructing building space for vehicle inspections. Figure VI-1 summarizes the percentage of stations for which inspection fee revenues cover or exceed station inspection costs by business model. In each case, 70+ percent of stations report enough inspection revenue to cover total inspection costs. Table VI-1 below displays the percentage of survey respondents that said that they had ever incurred equipment costs and had ever incurred both equipment and building costs. It is expected that some portion of these stations are no longer paying off their equipment, but the survey did not request information to determine whether payments were ongoing. In fact, a misinterpretation of the question asking if they had ever incurred costs to inquire whether they are currently paying costs may be part of the explanation for the less than 100 percent ves response for inspection equipment costs. As indicated by Table VI-1, a fairly small proportion of stations indicate that they have ever incurred both equipment and building costs. Therefore, the equipment costs scenario is considered the most representative case.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> All business models reflect median cost values computed from the survey responses of both test and test-and-repair stations. A review of DFW/HGB station responses indicates that median costs do not differ significantly between the two types of stations. The business models that are incorporated into the break-even analyses in this chapter only account for inspection fee revenue. To the extent that test-and-repair stations generate additional revenues from emissions inspection-generated repair activities, a higher proportion of stations would be able to cover inspection costs than are indicated by the break-even analyses reported in this chapter.



Figure VI-1. Stations At/Above Break-Even Number of Inspections

#### Table VI-1. Cost Incidence by Geographic Area/Test Type

Percentage Having Ever Incurred	Austin	El Paso	DFW HGB OBD Only	DFW HGB OBD/ASM
Equipment Costs	94%	100%	89%	89%
Equipment and Building Costs	12%	28%	14%	22%

Table VI-2 presents a summary of the information sources from which inspection cost and revenue data were obtained for performing the cost model analyses. Most cost variables are derived from the median of the values reported by survey respondents for the given geographic area/test type. This information was supplemented with information from government sources, the previous AirCheck fee analysis study and the TCEQ (See Chapter IX. References). Table VI-3 provides the inspection fees charged for testing in each area, and the net revenue that accrues to stations from these fees.

Table VI-2	Information	Sources	Used in	Cost	Model	Analyses
------------	-------------	---------	---------	------	-------	----------

Item	Variable	Resolution	Source	Comment
		Fixed Costs		
Testing Equipment	Purchase Price	Test area; OBD vs. OBD/ASM	Survey median	
Tools and Other Equipment	Purchase Price	Test area; OBD vs. OBD/ASM	Survey median	
All Equipment	Loan Period	Test area; OBD vs. OBD/ASM	Survey median	
	Loan Interest Rate	Test area; OBD vs. OBD/ASM	Survey median	
	Useful Life		BEA, 2003	Value of 11 years from BEA service life estimate for "Service industry machinery, other than wholesale and retail trade"
Building Space	Purchase Price	Test area; OBD vs. OBD/ASM	Survey median	
	Loan Period	Test area; OBD vs. OBD/ASM	Survey median	Used value for Equipment (no separate building space question)
	Loan Interest Rate	Test area; OBD vs. OBD/ASM	Survey median	Used value for Equipment (no separate building space question)
	Useful Life		BEA, 2003	Value of 34 years from BEA estimate of service life for "Other commercial buildings"
Maintenance Agreement	Monthly Cost (\$)	Test area; OBD vs. OBD/ASM	Survey median	
Additional Maintenance Cost	Monthly Cost (\$)	Test area; OBD vs. OBD/ASM	Survey median	
Dedicated Telephone Line	Monthly Cost (\$)		ERG, 2007	Value of \$50 used (the TCEQ re- confirmed value in May 2009)
Electricity	Monthly Cost (\$)		ERG, 2007	Value of \$40 used (the TCEQ re- confirmed value in May 2009)

Item	Variable	Resolution	Source	Comment
		Variable Costs		
Communication with VID	Number of Transactions per Inspection		Hoffman, 2009	Value of 2 used
	Cost/call (\$)		Hoffman, 2009	Value of \$0.21/call used
Labor	Number of Minutes per Inspection		ERG, 2007	Value of 20 minutes used
	Wage Rate (\$/hr)	Test area; OBD vs. OBD/ASM	Survey median	Austin: \$11/hr; El Paso: \$10/hr; DFW/HGB-OBD only: \$12.50/hr; DFW/HGB-OBD/ASM: \$11/hr
Fringe Benefits	% of Total Compensation		BLS, 2009	Total benefits make up 30.2% of total compensation
Computer Ink and Paper	Cost/inspection (\$)		ERG, 2007	\$0.05/inspection (the TCEQ re- confirmed value in May 2009)
		Revenue		
Net Revenue	Net Revenue/ inspection (\$)	Test area; OBD vs. OBD/ASM	Maximum fee allowed less administrative and LIRAP fees (see details below in Table VI-3)	Austin: \$11.50; El Paso: \$11.50; DFW/HGB-OBD: \$18.50; DFW/HGB- ASM: \$24.50

### Table VI-3. Net Revenue From Emissions Inspection

	Austin	El Paso	DFW/HGB- OBD	DFW/HGB- ASM
Fee to Customer	\$16.00	\$14.00	\$27.00	\$27.00
TCEQ/DPS I/M Administrative Fee	\$2.50	\$2.50	\$2.50	\$2.50
LIRAP Fee	\$2.00		\$6.00	
Net Revenue	\$11.50	\$11.50	\$18.50	\$24.50

Tables VI-4 and VI-5 present Austin area monthly fixed and variable (per inspection) costs. Table VI-6 displays the break-even analysis computed for Austin area stations. The break-even analyses indicate that 71 percent of stations had a sufficient number of inspections to cover typical area equipment costs. A slightly lower proportion of stations (70 percent) had sufficient inspection volume to cover area equipment and building costs. As noted earlier, only 12 percent of Austin area stations indicated that they had ever incurred both equipment and building space costs.

	No. of	Equipment	Equipment and
Cost Item	Respondents	Cost Only	Building Space Cost
Equipment			
Testing Equipment, Purchase Price	18	\$17,621	\$17,621
Tools and Other Equipment, Purchase Price	9	\$1,037	\$1,037
Loan Period, Years	39	5	5
Loan Interest Rate	29	8%	8%
Interest Paid		\$4,041	\$4,041
Total Monthly Equipment Cost		\$172	\$172
Building Space			
Building Space, Purchase Price	11	-	\$9,957
Loan Period, Years	39	-	5
Loan Interest Rate	29	-	8%
Interest Paid		-	\$2,157
Total Monthly Building Space Cost		-	\$30
• Other			
Maintenance Agreement, Monthly	59	\$167	\$167
Additional Maintenance, Monthly	71	\$67	\$67
Dedicated Telephone Line, Monthly		\$50	\$50
Electricity, Monthly		\$40	\$40
Total Other		\$324	\$324
Total Fixed Cost, Monthly		\$495	\$525

### Table VI-4. Austin Area Monthly Fixed Cost Estimates

#### Table VI-5. Austin Area Variable (Per Inspection) Cost Estimates

Cost Item	Number of Respondents	Cost Per Inspection
Communication with VID (\$0.21/transaction, 2 per inspection)		\$0.42
Labor (20 minutes per inspection)	72	\$3.67
Fringe Benefits (30.2% of total compensation)		\$1.59
Computer Ink and Paper (\$0.05 per inspection)		\$0.05
Total Variable Cost, Per Inspection		\$5.72

Item	Equipment Only	Equipment and Building Cost
Fixed Cost per Month	\$495	\$525
Variable Cost per Inspection	\$5.72	\$5.72
Net Revenue per Inspection	\$11.50	\$11.50
Break-Even Number of Inspections	86	91
Stations at/above Break-Even Number of Inspections	71%	70%

### Table VI-6. Austin Area Break-Even Analysis

El Paso area monthly fixed and variable cost estimates are displayed in Tables VI-7 and VI-8. Table VI-9 reports the break-even analysis calculated for El Paso inspection stations. For El Paso stations, 79 percent had inspection volumes indicative of break-even status or better given typical area equipment costs, while 76 percent of area stations had inspection volumes that would cover both equipment and building space costs.

Cost Item	No. of Respondents	Equipment Cost Only	Equipment and Building Space Cost
• Equipment	•		
Testing Equipment, Purchase Price	12	\$17,259	\$17,259
Tools and Other Equipment, Purchase Price	10	\$1,294	\$1,294
Loan Period, Years	24	5	5
Loan Interest Rate	20	9%	9%
Interest Paid		\$4,286	\$4,286
Total Monthly Equipment Cost		\$173	\$173
Building Space			
Building Space, Purchase Price	9	-	\$15,033
Loan Period, Years	24	-	5
Loan Interest Rate	20	-	9%
Interest Paid		-	\$3,473
Total Monthly Building Space Cost		-	\$45
• Other			
Maintenance Agreement, Monthly	29	\$175	\$175
Additional Maintenance, Monthly	28	\$50	\$50
Dedicated Telephone Line, Monthly		\$50	\$50
Electricity, Monthly		\$40	\$40
Total Other		\$315	\$315
Total Fixed Cost, Monthly		\$488	\$533

### Table VI-7. El Paso Area Monthly Fixed Cost Estimates

Cost Item	Number of Respondents	Cost Per Inspection
Communication with VID (\$0.21/transaction, 2 per inspection)		\$0.42
Labor (20 minutes per inspection)	29	\$3.33
Fringe Benefits (30.2% of total compensation)		\$1.44
Computer Ink and Paper (\$0.05 per inspection)		\$0.05
Total Variable Cost, Per Inspection		\$5.25

### Table VI-8. El Paso Area Variable (Per Inspection) Cost Estimates

#### Table VI-9. El Paso Area Break-Even Analysis

Item	Equipment Only	Equipment and Building Cost
Fixed Cost per Month	\$488	\$533
Variable Cost per Inspection	\$5.25	\$5.25
Net Revenue per Inspection	\$11.50	\$11.50
Break-Even Number of Inspections	78	85
Stations at/above Break-Even Number of Inspections	79%	76%

Tables VI-10 and VI-11 present DFW/HGB area monthly fixed and per inspection costs for OBD-only inspection stations. Table VI-12 displays the break-even analysis for these stations. The break-even analyses indicate that 85 percent of stations had a sufficient number of inspections to cover typical area equipment costs, while 83 percent of such stations had inspection volumes sufficient to cover equipment/building space costs.

### Table VI-10. HGB/DFW Area Monthly Fixed Cost Estimates – OBD Only

Cost Item	No. of Respondents	Equipment Cost Only	Equipment and Building Space Cost
Equipment			
Testing Equipment, Purchase Price	17	\$9,489	\$9,489
Tools and Other Equipment, Purchase Price	13	\$1,054	\$1,054
Loan Period, Years	87	3	3
Loan Interest Rate	67	8%	8%
Interest Paid		\$1,351	\$1,351
Total Monthly Equipment Cost		\$90	\$90
Building Space			
Building Space, Purchase Price	22	-	\$3,149
Loan Period, Years	87	-	3
Loan Interest Rate	67	-	8%
Interest Paid		-	\$403

Cost Item	No. of Respondents	Equipment Cost Only	Equipment and Building Space Cost
Total Monthly Building Space Cost		-	\$9
• Other			
Maintenance Agreement, Monthly	92	\$80	\$80
Additional Maintenance, Monthly	117	\$33	\$33
Dedicated Telephone Line, Monthly		\$50	\$50
Electricity, Monthly		\$40	\$40
Total Other		\$203	\$203
Total Fixed Cost, Monthly		\$293	\$302

# Table VI-11. HGB/DFW Area Variable (Per Inspection) Cost Estimates – OBD Only

Cost Item	Number of Respondents	Cost Per Inspection
Communication with VID (\$0.21/transaction, 2 per inspection)		\$0.42
Labor (20 minutes per inspection)	135	\$4.17
Fringe Benefits (30.2% of total compensation)		\$1.80
Computer Ink and Paper (\$0.05 per inspection)		\$0.05
Total Variable Cost, Per Inspection		\$6.44

### Table VI-12. HGB/DFW Area Break-Even Analysis – OBD Only

Item	Equipment Only	Equipment and Building Cost
Fixed Cost per Month	\$293	\$302
Variable Cost per Inspection	\$6.44	\$6.44
Net Revenue per Inspection	\$18.50	\$18.50
Break-Even Number of Inspections	24	25
Stations at/above Break-Even Number of Inspections	85%	83%

For DFW/HGB area stations performing both OBD and ASM inspections, an even higher percentage (88 percent and 89 percent) had sufficient inspection volumes to cover typical area equipment and equipment/building space costs. The net revenue per inspection for these stations (\$19.53) was calculated as the weighted average of the net revenue for OBD inspections and ASM inspections based on the percentage of total HGB/DFW inspections in each category (83 percent of inspections were ASM and 17 percent OBD: 0.83 \* \$18.50 + 0.17 \* \$24.50 = \$19.53). The costs and break-even analyses for these stations are presented in Tables VI-13 through VI-15.

On all literat	No. of	Equipment	Equipment and
Cost Item	Respondents	Cost Only	Building Space Cost
Equipment			
Testing Equipment, Purchase Price	33	\$45,007	\$45,007
Tools and Other Equipment, Purchase Price	24	\$3,744	\$3,744
Loan Period, Years	292	5	5
Loan Interest Rate	264	8%	8%
Interest Paid		\$10,559	\$10,559
Total Monthly Equipment Cost		\$449	\$449
Building Space			
Building Space, Purchase Price	111	-	\$10,715
Loan Period, Years	292	-	5
Loan Interest Rate	264	-	8%
Interest Paid		-	\$2,321
Total Monthly Building Space Cost		-	\$32
Other			
Maintenance Agreement, Monthly	363	\$333	\$333
Additional Maintenance, Monthly	445	125	125
Dedicated Telephone Line, Monthly		\$50	\$50
Electricity, Monthly		\$40	\$40
Total Other		\$548	\$548
Total Fixed Cost, Monthly		998	\$1,030

### Table VI-13. HGB/DFW Area Monthly Fixed Cost Estimates – OBD/ASM

# Table VI-14. HGB/DFW Area Variable (Per Inspection) Cost Estimates – OBD/ASM

Cost Item	Number of Respondents	Cost Per Inspection
Communication with VID (\$0.21/transaction, 2 per inspection)		\$0.42
Labor (20 minutes per inspection)	373	\$3.67
Fringe Benefits (30.2% of total compensation)		\$1.59
Computer Ink and Paper (\$0.05 per inspection)		\$0.05
Total Variable Cost, Per Inspection		\$5.72

### Table VI-15. HGB/DFW Area Break-Even Analysis – OBD/ASM

Item	Equipment Only	Equipment and Building Cost
Fixed Cost per Month	\$998	\$1,030
Variable Cost per Inspection	\$5.72	\$5.72
Net Revenue per Inspection	\$19.53	\$19.53
Break-Even Number of Inspections	72	75
Stations at/above Break-Even Number of Inspections	88%	88%

[This page intentionally left blank.]
## CHAPTER VII. COMMENTS FROM I/M TEST FEE SURVEY RECIPIENTS

This chapter summarizes some of the key comments provided by the surveyed I/M test stations in response to the final survey question.

- 1. There were many comments about the need for more than one service provider for equipment, which because they are a monopoly provider, results in high service contract costs, slow response to equipment outages, and high replacement costs for equipment parts.
- 2. More than one station implied that the state staff promised them a system that would allow a profit margin of 10 percent or so, so it is not clear to them whether the expectation is that I/M testing is a break-even business or one where the fees would be set at a level that will allow them to make a profit.
- 3. It was suggested by some that inspection fees be set in a way that they increase in proportion to operating and maintenance cost increases.
- 4. In the DFW and HGB areas, a number of OBD-only stations suggested that the logic of restricting the number of inspections that they can perform per month be reviewed.
- 5. It was suggested that the state offer a standard I/M technician training class and certification.
- 6. An important factor affecting the economics of I/M testing in the DFW and HGB areas is the decreasing number of vehicles in the model years subject to ASM tests, which makes it more difficult with time to recoup the costs of the ASM equipment purchase and maintenance.
- 7. There were a number of comments about the frequency of floppy disk change-outs and the associated high cost of doing so.
- 8. Some stations suggested that a limit be placed on the number of stations allowed to perform emission inspections in an area, and that there might also be distance restrictions so that they would have a limited number of competitors within 1 or 2 miles of their station.
- 9. Stations recommended that the state reduce the cost of OBD II stickers to the same as ASM, which provides stations with an immediate revenue increase without increasing motorist costs.

[This page intentionally left blank.]

## CHAPTER VIII. CONCLUSIONS/FINDINGS

Table VIII-1 summarizes the percentage of I/M stations by program area and test type that indicated in their survey responses that the emissions inspection fee covered the costs of emissions testing. In Austin, 22 percent of the test-only stations and 20 percent of the T&R stations said that the test fee covered their costs of performing this test. The El Paso station responses to this question showed a much greater disparity in how test-only and T&R stations responded. Only 8 percent of test-only stations in El Paso believe that fees cover costs, while 43 percent of T&R stations do. The HGB and DFW areas have the highest percentages of positive responses to the test fee adequacy question (and also the highest test fees). Almost 70 percent of test-only stations with only OBD tests performed indicated that the test fee was adequate, while 44 percent of OBD-only T&R stations in HGB-DFW indicated the fee to be adequate. Positive responses to the test fee adequacy question were received from 27 and 28 percent of test-only and T&R full service stations in the HGB-DFW area.

Program Area	Test Type	Fee Covers Costs
Austin		
Test-Only	All	22%
Test and Repair	All	20%
El Paso		
Test-Only	All	8%
Test and Repair	All	43%
HGB and DFW		
Test-Only	OBD-only	69%
	ASM/OBD	27%
Test and Repair	OBD-only	44%
	ASM/OBD	28%

Table VIII-1. Percentage of Respondents Claiming Test Fees Cover Their Costs

One of the indicators of the economics of operating a motor vehicle emissions inspection program in Texas is the number of stations joining or leaving the program in each calendar year. A declining number of stations offering service indicates the likelihood that stations are finding that fees are not sufficient to cover their variable costs, while an increasing number of stations would suggest that fees are able to cover both fixed and variable costs (or else stations are making decisions to offer service based on poor estimates of their costs for doing so, or find that there are other benefits to offering service that make it worthwhile to their bottom line). While the TCEQ does not keep historical statistics on the number of inspection stations, the information from prior year analyses (ERG, 2007) and the counts made in March 2009 for this study were used to develop the following comparisons.

Figure VIII-1 summarizes the counts for the HGB-DFW region from the TCEQ Vehicle Identification Database since 2003. Vehicle counts were not available for 2006 and 2008.



# Figure VIII-1. HGB-DFW Station Counts from the TCEQ Vehicle Identification Database

The growth in the number of stations offering emissions inspections in the HGB-DFW area suggests that the fee is adequate in that program area.

Table VIII-2 compares the number of emission inspection stations in the Austin and El Paso program areas for 2007 and 2009. This table shows that the number of test and repair stations has remained relatively constant during the past two years, but that there has been growth in the number of test-only stations in both areas. In both the Austin and El Paso areas, the number of inspection stations has increased by 6.5 percent since 2007. This is evidence that the fees are adequate in these two areas as well.

Table VIII-2.	Historical Number of Inspection Stations in Austin and El Paso
	Program Areas

Test Type	Austin 2007	Austin 2009	El Paso 2007	El Paso 2009
Test Only	33	51	47	59
Test and Repair	271	273	134	134
Total	304	324	181	193

As noted in the comments from survey recipients in the previous chapter, an important factor affecting the economics of I/M testing in the DFW and HGB areas is the decreasing number of vehicles in the model years subject to ASM tests, which makes it difficult with time to recoup the costs of the ASM equipment purchase and maintenance. A related comment is that in the DFW and HGB areas, the logic of restricting the number of inspections at OBD-only stations be reviewed. By the spring of 2009, the fraction of the light-duty vehicle fleet that is still subject to

the ASM test in the HGB and DFW area is about 20 percent. Therefore, the OBD test is the dominant test being given at inspection stations. In addition, the investment in ASM equipment at program initiation has been paid off in most cases. As a result, having a restriction on the number of emission inspections at OBD-only sites seems unnecessary at this juncture.

One possible reaction to removing the limit of the number of emission inspections at OBD-only stations in HGB-DFW is that stations currently offering ASM may decide to drop that service. This might occur at stations that believe their ASM equipment maintenance costs are too high. However, removing inspection limits would be expected to improve motorist convenience by eliminating situations where motorists were turned away by an OBD-only station that had reached their inspection limit.

The business model/cost model results presented in Chapter VI show that the most important variables affecting the economics of the current inspection fees in each program area are the hourly wage rate for inspectors and the elapsed time to perform each inspection. The analyses presented in Chapter VI are based on 20 minutes per inspection. This time estimate seems reasonable and perhaps overestimates the time needed to perform an OBD test. Pechan's cost analysis shows that in Austin, the break-even number of inspections is 91 per month and that 70 percent of Austin area stations meet or exceed this level. The El Paso area analysis found the break-even number of inspections to be 83 per month with 77 percent of existing stations exceeding this threshold. For the HGB-DFW areas, separate analyses were performed for OBD-only and OBD/ASM stations. The OBD-only stations in these areas break-even at 25 inspections per month or above, and 81 percent meet or exceed this amount. Stations in these areas equipped with both OBD and ASM equipment have to perform 54 or more inspections per month in order to break even, and 92 percent of existing stations meet or exceed this threshold.

Note that Pechan's analysis excluded repair revenues. If that revenue was included in the analysis, even more stations would exceed the break-even point than are reflected in this analysis.

There are also unquantified benefits to the automotive service industry of offering emissions inspections like customer convenience and goodwill that are not considered in this cost modeling analysis. The study conclusion is that the current set of vehicle emissions inspection fees are adequate, and do not need to be increased or decreased.

[This page intentionally left blank.]

### **CHAPTER IX. REFERENCES**

- BEA, 2003: Bureau of Economic Analysis, U.S. Department of Commerce, "Fixed Assets and Consumer Durable Goods in the United States, 1925-97," Washington, DC: U.S. Government Printing Office, September, 2003: M-29 – M-33, 2003.
- BLS, 2009: Bureau of Labor Statistics, U.S. Department of Commerce, "Employer Costs for Employee Compensation-March 2009, News Release," accessed from <u>http://www.bls.gov/news.release/archives/ecec\_06102009.htm</u>, June 10, 2009.
- ERG, 2005: Eastern Research Group, Inc., "Fee Analysis for AirCheckTexas Vehicle Emissions Inspection Program: Houston-Galveston and Dallas/Fort Worth Nonattainment Areas," prepared for the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality, August 31, 2005.
- ERG, 2007: Eastern Research Group, Inc., "Fee Analysis for AirCheckTexas Vehicle Emissions Inspection Program: Austin, El Paso, Houston-Galveston-Brazoria, and Dallas/Fort Worth Nonattainment Areas," prepared for the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality, August 31, 2007.
- Hoffman, 2009: Hoffman, Guy, Texas Commission on Environmental Quality, personal communication with Andy Bollman, E.H. Pechan & Associates, Inc., July 16, 2009.

[This page intentionally left blank.]

## **APPENDIX A. SURVEY INSTRUMENTS**

## AUSTIN

### **TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY**

Fee Analysis for AirCheck Vehicle Emission Program Survey

# If you own or operate more than one station that offers motor vehicle emissions inspections, answer the questions below *only* for the station to which the survey was sent.

- 1. Does this station offer motor vehicle emissions inspections?
  - ☐ Yes: Go to Question 2.
  - □ No: You have completed the survey. Please mail the questionnaire to us in the enclosed pre-paid envelope. Thank you.
- 2. In addition to emissions and safety testing, check the box that *best* describes other services offered at your station.
  - No other services
  - □ Non-repair operations
  - Repair operations only
  - Repair operations and non-repair operations
- 3. In what year did this station first offer OBD and TSI emissions testing? \_\_\_\_\_
- 4. Did you have to add or acquire any of these items when you began to offer emissions testing at this station? If yes, enter your best estimate for the additional costs.

a.	Emissions testing equipment (Including installation costs)	<ul> <li>Yes How much? \$,</li> <li>No</li> </ul>
b.	Tools and other equipment	☐ Yes How much? \$,
		🗌 No
C.	Building space	☐ Yes How much? \$,,
		□ No
d.	Land	☐ Yes How much? \$,,
		□ No

#### 5. Did you add any additional staff when you began to offer emissions testing?

a.	Inspectors	□ Yes How many?
		□ No
b.	Other mechanics	Yes How many?
		□ No
c.	Supervisors	☐ Yes How many?
		□ No
d.	Others	☐ Yes How many?
		□ No

#### 6. What is the current average wage paid at this station for (Circle one.):

a.	Inspectors	\$,,,,/hr/week/month/year
b.	Other mechanics	\$,,,, /hr/week/month/year
C.	Supervisors	\$,,,, /hr/week/month/year
d.	Other	\$,, /hr/week/month/year

#### 7. How many emissions inspectors currently work at this station?

\_\_\_\_\_ inspectors

8. Of the emissions inspectors identified in Question 7, how many are full-time and how many are part-time employees?

\_\_\_\_\_ full-time

\_\_\_\_\_ part-time (about \_\_\_\_ hours/week)

We want to understand your costs for providing emissions testing. Please remember that all responses are confidential and will not be identified individually.

- 9. Identify the option that best describes how you financed the purchase of emissions testing equipment.
  - Paid cash
  - Lease-to-purchase agreement arranged with vendor
  - Loan from bank
- 10. What is the lease-to-purchase or loan term? If you paid cash, enter "0."

\_\_\_\_\_ years

11. What is the interest rate for the lease-to-purchase agreement or loan? If you paid cash, enter "0."

\_\_\_\_percent

12. What is the maintenance package cost for the emissions testing equipment? (Circle one)

\$.	per month/guarter/y	ear
+ <u></u> , <u></u>		

13. During the last year, what costs did you incur for normal maintenance of the emissions testing equipment that were not covered by the service contract or maintenance package?

\$\_\_\_\_, \_\_\_\_ \_\_\_\_

- 14. Besides retesting a vehicle within 15 days of failing an emission test, do you ever give free emission tests, that is, charge no fee?
  - Yes, please describe \_\_\_\_\_

No

#### 15. Do you ever charge less than \$16.00 for an emission test?

- □ Yes. What is the lowest fee that you charge? \$\_\_\_\_.
- No
- 16. In the past two months, have you had a vehicle fail an emission test but not come back to be retested?
  - Yes. About how many vehicles? \_\_\_\_\_\_
  - No
- 17. In your opinion, does the \$16.00 fee cover your costs of offering emissions testing at this station?
  - Yes
  - □ No If not, please tell us some of the reasons in the space below. If you require additional space for your comments, please include them on a separate piece of paper.

You have completed the survey. Please mail the questionnaire to us in the enclosed pre-paid envelope. Thank you.

### **TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY**

Fee Analysis for AirCheck Vehicle Emission Program Survey

# If you own or operate more than one station that offers motor vehicle emissions inspections, answer the questions below *only* for the station to which the survey was sent.

- 1. Does this station offer motor vehicle emissions inspections?
  - ☐ Yes: Go to Question 2.
  - □ No: You have completed the survey. Please mail the questionnaire to us in the enclosed pre-paid envelope. Thank you.
- 2. In addition to emissions and safety testing, check the box that *best* describes other services offered at your station.
  - No other services
  - □ Non-repair operations
  - Repair operations only
  - Repair operations and non-repair operations
- 3. In what year did this station first offer OBD and TSI emissions testing? \_\_\_\_\_
- 4. Did you have to add or acquire any of these items when you began to offer emissions testing at this station? If yes, enter your best estimate for the additional costs.

b.	Emissions testing equipment (Including installation costs)	Yes How much? \$, No
b.	Tools and other equipment	☐ Yes How much? \$,
		□ No
C.	Building space	☐ Yes How much? \$,
		□ No
d.	Land	☐ Yes How much? \$,,
		□ No

#### 5. Did you add any additional staff when you began to offer emissions testing?

a.	Inspectors	□ Yes How many?
		□ No
b.	Other mechanics	Yes How many?
		□ No
c.	Supervisors	☐ Yes How many?
		□ No
d.	Others	☐ Yes How many?
		□ No

10.

#### 6. What is the current average wage paid at this station for (Circle one.):

a.	Inspectors	\$,,,,/hr/week/month/year
b.	Other mechanics	\$,,,,/hr/week/month/year
c.	Supervisors	\$,,/hr/week/month/year
d.	Other	\$,,/hr/week/month/year

#### 7. How many emissions inspectors currently work at this station?

\_\_\_\_\_ inspectors

8. Of the emissions inspectors identified in Question 7, how many are full-time and how many are part-time employees?

\_\_\_\_\_ full-time

\_\_\_\_\_ part-time (about \_\_\_\_ hours/week)

#### 9. Of the number of inspectors that work *full time*, how many spend...?

50% or more of their time performing emissions inspections:	_ inspectors
about 25% of their time performing emissions inspections:	_ inspectors
about 15% of their time performing emissions inspections:	_ inspectors
about 10% of their time performing emissions inspections:	_ inspectors
about 5% or less of their time performing emissions inspections:.	_ inspectors
Of the number of inspectors that work <i>part time</i> , how many spend?	
50% or more of their time performing emissions inspections:	_ inspectors
about 25% of their time performing emissions inspections:	_ inspectors
about 15% of their time performing emissions inspections:	_ inspectors
about 10% of their time performing emissions inspections:	_ inspectors
about 5% or less of their time performing emissions inspections:	_ inspectors

### 11. What percent of total workspace is used only for emissions testing?

Enter "0" if you do not have any workspace dedicated solely to emissions testing.

\_\_\_\_\_ percent

## 12. What proportion of the repair revenues for this station result directly from failed emission inspections? (Check one)

- 0%, perform inspections only
- less than 10%
- about 25%
- about 50%
- about 75%
- between 75% and 95%
- more than 95%

13. In any given month, what is the typical number of repair jobs from failed emissions tests?

\_\_\_\_\_ repair jobs

14. What is a typical repair cost for an emission test failure?

\$\_\_\_\_, \_\_\_\_ per repair for a failed emission test

- 15. Identify the option that best describes how you financed the purchase of emissions testing equipment.
  - Paid cash
  - Lease-to-purchase agreement arranged with vendor
  - Loan from bank
- 16. What is the lease-to-purchase or loan term? If you paid cash, enter "0."

\_\_\_\_\_ years

17. What is the interest rate for the lease-to-purchase agreement or loan? If you paid cash, enter "0."

\_\_\_\_percent

18. What is the maintenance package cost for the emissions testing equipment? (Circle one)

\$\_\_\_\_, \_\_\_ per month/quarter/year

19. During the last year, what costs did you incur for normal maintenance of the emissions testing equipment that were not covered by the service contract or maintenance package?

\$\_\_\_\_, \_\_\_\_ \_\_\_

- 20. Besides retesting a vehicle within 15 days of failing an emission test, do you ever give free emission tests, that is, charge no fee?
  - Yes, please describe \_\_\_\_\_\_

No

- 21. Besides retesting a vehicle within 15 days of failing an emission test, do you ever charge less than \$16.00 for an emission test?
  - Yes. What is the lowest fee that you charge? \$\_\_\_\_.
  - No
- 22. In the past two months, have you had a vehicle fail an emission test but not come back to be retested?
  - Yes. About how many vehicles?
  - No
- 23. In your opinion, does the \$16.00 fee cover your costs of offering emissions testing at this station?
  - Yes
  - □ No If not, please tell us some of the reasons in the space below. If you require additional space for your comments, please include them on a separate piece of paper.

You have completed the survey. Please mail the questionnaire to us in the enclosed pre-paid envelope. Thank you.

## **EL PASO**

### TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

Fee Analysis for AirCheck Vehicle Emission Program Survey

# If you own or operate more than one station that offers motor vehicle emissions inspections, answer the questions below *only* for the station to which the survey was sent.

- 1. Does this station offer motor vehicle emissions inspections?
  - ☐ Yes: Go to Question 2.
  - □ No: You have completed the survey. Please mail the questionnaire to us in the enclosed pre-paid envelope. Thank you.
- 2. In addition to emissions and safety testing, check the box that *best* describes other services offered at your station.
  - No other services
  - □ Non-repair operations
  - Repair operations only
  - Repair operations and non-repair operations
- 3. In what year did this station first offer OBD and TSI emissions testing? \_\_\_\_\_
- 4. Did you have to add or acquire any of these items when you began to offer emissions testing at this station? If yes, enter your best estimate for the additional costs.

C.	Emissions testing equipment (Including installation costs)	<ul> <li>Yes How much? \$,</li> <li>No</li> </ul>
b.	Tools and other equipment	☐ Yes How much? \$,,
		□ No
C.	Building space	☐ Yes How much? \$,,
		□ No
d.	Land	☐ Yes How much? \$,,
		□ No

#### 5. Did you add any additional staff when you began to offer emissions testing?

a.	Inspectors	□ Yes How many?
		□ No
b.	Other mechanics	Yes How many?
		□ No
c.	Supervisors	☐ Yes How many?
		□ No
d.	Others	☐ Yes How many?
		□ No

#### 6. What is the current average wage paid at this station for (Circle one.):

a.	Inspectors	\$,,,,/hr/week/month/year
b.	Other mechanics	\$,,,, /hr/week/month/year
C.	Supervisors	\$,,,, /hr/week/month/year
d.	Other	\$,, /hr/week/month/year

#### 7. How many emissions inspectors currently work at this station?

\_\_\_\_\_ inspectors

8. Of the emissions inspectors identified in Question 7, how many are full-time and how many are part-time employees?

\_\_\_\_\_ full-time

\_\_\_\_\_ part-time (about \_\_\_\_ hours/week)

We want to understand your costs for providing emissions testing. Please remember that all responses are confidential and will not be identified individually.

- 9. Identify the option that best describes how you financed the purchase of emissions testing equipment.
  - Paid cash
  - Lease-to-purchase agreement arranged with vendor
  - Loan from bank
- 10. What is the lease-to-purchase or loan term? If you paid cash, enter "0."

\_\_\_\_\_ years

11. What is the interest rate for the lease-to-purchase agreement or loan? If you paid cash, enter "0."

\_\_\_\_percent

12. What is the maintenance package cost for the emissions testing equipment? (Circle one)

\$.	per month/guarter/y	ear
+ <u></u> , <u></u>		

13. During the last year, what costs did you incur for normal maintenance of the emissions testing equipment that were not covered by the service contract or maintenance package?

\$\_\_\_\_, \_\_\_\_ \_\_\_\_

- 14. Besides retesting a vehicle within 15 days of failing an emission test, do you ever give free emission tests, that is, charge no fee?
  - Yes, please describe \_\_\_\_\_

No

#### 15. Do you ever charge less than \$14.00 for an emission test?

- Yes. What is the lowest fee that you charge? \$\_\_\_\_.
- No
- 16. In the past two months, have you had a vehicle fail an emission test but not come back to be retested?
  - Yes. About how many vehicles? \_\_\_\_\_\_
  - No
- 17. In your opinion, does the \$14.00 fee cover your costs of offering emissions testing at this station?
  - Yes
  - □ No If not, please tell us some of the reasons in the space below. If you require additional space for your comments, please include them on a separate piece of paper.

You have completed the survey. Please mail the questionnaire to us in the enclosed pre-paid envelope. Thank you.

### **TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY**

Fee Analysis for AirCheck Vehicle Emission Program Survey

# If you own or operate more than one station that offers motor vehicle emissions inspections, answer the questions below *only* for the station to which the survey was sent.

- 1. Does this station offer motor vehicle emissions inspections?
  - ☐ Yes: Go to Question 2.
  - □ No: You have completed the survey. Please mail the questionnaire to us in the enclosed pre-paid envelope. Thank you.
- 2. In addition to emissions and safety testing, check the box that *best* describes other services offered at your station.
  - No other services
  - □ Non-repair operations
  - Repair operations only
  - Repair operations and non-repair operations
- 3. In what year did this station first offer OBD and TSI emissions testing? \_\_\_\_\_
- 4. Did you have to add or acquire any of these items when you began to offer emissions testing at this station? If yes, enter your best estimate for the additional costs.

d.	Emissions testing equipment (Including installation costs)	<ul> <li>Yes How much? \$,</li> <li>No</li> </ul>
b.	Tools and other equipment	☐ Yes How much? \$,,
		🗌 No
c.	Building space	☐ Yes How much? \$,
		□ No
d.	Land	☐ Yes How much? \$,,
		□ No

#### 5. Did you add any additional staff when you began to offer emissions testing?

a.	Inspectors	□ Yes How many?
		□ No
b.	Other mechanics	☐ Yes How many?
		□ No
c.	Supervisors	☐ Yes How many?
		□ No
d.	Others	☐ Yes How many?
		□ No

10.

#### 6. What is the current average wage paid at this station for (Circle one.):

a.	Inspectors	\$,,/hr/week/month/year
b.	Other mechanics	\$,,,, /hr/week/month/year
c.	Supervisors	\$,,,, /hr/week/month/year
d.	Other	\$,,/hr/week/month/year

#### 7. How many emissions inspectors currently work at this station?

\_\_\_\_\_ inspectors

8. Of the emissions inspectors identified in Question 7, how many are full-time and how many are part-time employees?

\_\_\_\_\_ full-time

\_\_\_\_\_ part-time (about \_\_\_\_ hours/week)

#### 9. Of the number of inspectors that work *full time*, how many spend...?

50% or more of their time performing emissions inspections:	inspectors	
about 25% of their time performing emissions inspections:	inspectors	
about 15% of their time performing emissions inspections:	inspectors	
about 10% of their time performing emissions inspections:	inspectors	
about 5% or less of their time performing emissions inspections:.	inspectors	
Of the number of inspectors that work part time, how many spend?		
50% or more of their time performing emissions inspections:	inspectors	
about 25% of their time performing emissions inspections:	inspectors	
about 15% of their time performing emissions inspections:	inspectors	
about 10% of their time performing emissions inspections:	inspectors	
about 5% or less of their time performing emissions inspections:.	inspectors	

### 11. What percent of total workspace is used only for emissions testing?

Enter "0" if you do not have any workspace dedicated solely to emissions testing.

\_\_\_\_\_ percent

## 12. What proportion of the repair revenues for this station result directly from failed emission inspections? (Check one)

- 0%, perform inspections only
- less than 10%
- about 25%
- about 50%
- about 75%
- between 75% and 95%
- more than 95%

13. In any given month, what is the typical number of repair jobs from failed emissions tests?

\_\_\_\_\_ repair jobs

14. What is a typical repair cost for an emission test failure?

\$\_\_\_\_, \_\_\_\_ per repair for a failed emission test

- 15. Identify the option that best describes how you financed the purchase of emissions testing equipment.
  - Paid cash
  - Lease-to-purchase agreement arranged with vendor
  - Loan from bank
- 16. What is the lease-to-purchase or loan term? If you paid cash, enter "0."

\_\_\_\_\_ years

17. What is the interest rate for the lease-to-purchase agreement or loan? If you paid cash, enter "0."

\_\_\_\_percent

18. What is the maintenance package cost for the emissions testing equipment? (Circle one)

\$\_\_\_\_, \_\_\_ per month/quarter/year

19. During the last year, what costs did you incur for normal maintenance of the emissions testing equipment that were not covered by the service contract or maintenance package?

\$\_\_\_\_, \_\_\_\_ \_\_\_

- 20. Besides retesting a vehicle within 15 days of failing an emission test, do you ever give free emission tests, that is, charge no fee?
  - Yes, please describe \_\_\_\_\_\_

No

- 21. Besides retesting a vehicle within 15 days of failing an emission test, do you ever charge less than \$14.00 for an emission test?
  - Yes. What is the lowest fee that you charge? \$\_\_\_\_.
  - No
- 22. In the past two months, have you had a vehicle fail an emission test but not come back to be retested?
  - Yes. About how many vehicles? \_\_\_\_\_\_
  - No
- 23. In your opinion, does the \$14.00 fee cover your costs of offering emissions testing at this station?
  - Yes
  - □ No If not, please tell us some of the reasons in the space below. If you require additional space for your comments, please include them on a separate piece of paper.

You have completed the survey. Please mail the questionnaire to us in the enclosed pre-paid envelope. Thank you.

## DALLAS-FORT WORTH/ HOUSTON-GALVESTON-BRAZORIA

### **TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY**

Fee Analysis for AirCheck Vehicle Emission Program Survey

# If you own or operate more than one station that offers motor vehicle emissions inspections, answer the questions below *only* for the station to which the survey was sent.

- 1. Does this station offer motor vehicle emissions inspections?
  - ☐ Yes: Go to Question 2.
  - □ No: You have completed the survey. Please mail the questionnaire to us in the enclosed pre-paid envelope. Thank you.
- 2. In addition to emissions and safety testing, check the box that *best* describes other services offered at your station.
  - No other services
  - □ Non-repair operations
  - Repair operations only
  - Repair operations and non-repair operations
- 3. Identify the type of air emissions testing offered at your station.
  - □ Full service ASM (Acceleration Simulation Mode) and OBD (On-Board Diagnostics)
  - OBD only
- 4. In what year did this station first offer OBD only, or ASM and OBD testing?\_\_\_\_\_\_
- 5. Did you have to add or acquire any of these items when you began to offer emissions testing at this station? If yes, enter your best estimate for the additional costs.

e.	Emissions testing equipment (Including installation costs)	<ul> <li>Yes How much? \$,</li> <li>No</li> </ul>
b.	Tools and other equipment	□ Yes How much? \$,
		□ No
C.	Building space	☐ Yes How much? \$,
		🗌 No
d.	Land	☐ Yes How much? \$,
		□ No

6. Did you add any additional staff when you began to offer emissions testing?

a.	Inspectors	☐ Yes How many?
		□ No
b.	Other mechanics	☐ Yes How many?
		□ No
C.	Supervisors	☐ Yes How many?
		□ No
d.	Others	☐ Yes How many?
		□ No

#### 7. What is the current average wage paid at this station for (Circle one.):

a.	Inspectors	\$,,,,/hr/week/month/year
b.	Other mechanics	\$,,,,/hr/week/month/year
C.	Supervisors	\$,,,, /hr/week/month/year
d.	Other	\$,, /hr/week/month/year

#### 8. How many emissions inspectors currently work at this station?

\_\_\_\_\_ inspectors

9. Of the emissions inspectors identified in Question 8, how many are full-time and how many are part-time employees?

\_\_\_\_\_ full-time

\_\_\_\_\_ part-time (about \_\_\_\_ hours/week)

We want to understand your costs for providing emissions testing. Please remember that all responses are confidential and will not be identified individually.

- 10. Identify the option that best describes how you financed the purchase of emissions testing equipment.
  - Paid cash
  - Lease-to-purchase agreement arranged with vendor
  - Loan from bank
- 11. What is the lease-to-purchase or loan term? If you paid cash, enter "0."

\_\_\_\_ years

12. What is the interest rate for the lease-to-purchase agreement or loan? If you paid cash, enter "0."

\_\_\_\_percent

13. What is the maintenance package cost for the emissions testing equipment? (Circle one)

\$\_\_\_\_, \_\_\_\_ per month/quarter/year

14. During the last year, what costs did you incur for normal maintenance of the emissions testing equipment that were not covered by the service contract or maintenance package?

\$\_\_\_\_, \_\_\_\_ \_\_\_\_

- 15. Besides retesting a vehicle within 15 days of failing an emission test, do you ever give free emission tests, that is, charge no fee?
  - Yes, please describe \_\_\_\_\_
  - 🗌 No

- 16. Besides retesting a vehicle within 15 days of failing an emission test, do you ever charge less than \$27.00 for an emission test?
  - Yes. What is the lowest fee that you charge? \$\_\_\_\_.
  - No
- 17. In the past two months, have you had a vehicle fail an emission test but not come back to be retested?
  - Yes. About how many vehicles? \_\_\_\_\_\_
  - 🗌 No
- 18. In your opinion, does the \$27.00 fee cover your costs of offering emissions testing at this station?
  - Yes
  - □ No If not, please tell us some of the reasons in the space below. If you require additional space for your comments, please include them on a separate piece of paper.

You have completed the survey. Please mail the questionnaire to us in the enclosed pre-paid envelope. Thank you.

### **TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY**

Fee Analysis for AirCheck Vehicle Emission Program Survey

# If you own or operate more than one station that offers motor vehicle emissions inspections, answer the questions below *only* for the station to which the survey was sent.

- 1. Does this station offer motor vehicle emissions inspections?
  - ☐ Yes: Go to Question 2.
  - □ No: You have completed the survey. Please mail the questionnaire to us in the enclosed pre-paid envelope. Thank you.
- 2. In addition to emissions and safety testing, check the box that *best* describes other services offered at your station.
  - No other services
  - □ Non-repair operations
  - Repair operations only
  - Repair operations and non-repair operations
- 3. Identify the type of air emissions testing offered at your station.
  - □ Full service ASM (Acceleration Simulation Mode) and OBD (On-Board Diagnostics)
  - OBD only
- 4. In what year did this station first offer OBD only, or ASM and OBD testing?\_\_\_\_\_\_
- 5. Did you have to add or acquire any of these items when you began to offer emissions testing at this station? If yes, enter your best estimate for the additional costs.

f.	Emissions testing equipment (Including installation costs)	<ul> <li>Yes How much? \$,</li> <li>No</li> </ul>
b.	Tools and other equipment	☐ Yes How much? \$,
		□ No
C.	Building space	☐ Yes How much? \$,
		□ No
d.	Land	☐ Yes How much? \$,
		□ No

6. Did you add any additional staff when you began to offer emissions testing?

a.	Inspectors	☐ Yes How many?
		□ No
b.	Other mechanics	☐ Yes How many?
		□ No
C.	Supervisors	☐ Yes How many?
		□ No
d.	Others	☐ Yes How many?
		□ No

#### 7. What is the current average wage paid at this station for (Circle one.):

a.	Inspectors	\$,,,,/hr/week/month/year
b.	Other mechanics	\$,,,,/hr/week/month/year
C.	Supervisors	\$,,,, /hr/week/month/year
d.	Other	\$,,/hr/week/month/year

#### 8. How many emissions inspectors currently work at this station?

\_\_\_\_\_ inspectors

9. Of the emissions inspectors identified in Question 8, how many are full-time and how many are part-time employees?

\_\_\_\_\_ full-time

\_\_\_\_\_ part-time (about \_\_\_\_ hours/week)

#### 10. Of the number of inspectors that work *full time*, how many spend...?

	50% or more of their time performing emissions inspections:		
	about 25% of their time performing emissions inspections:	inspectors	
	about 15% of their time performing emissions inspections:		
	about 5% or less of their time performing emissions inspections:	inspectors	
11.	Of the number of inspectors that work <i>part time</i> , how many spend?		
	50% or more of their time performing emissions inspections:	_ inspectors	
	about 25% of their time performing emissions inspections:	inspectors	
	about 15% of their time performing emissions inspections:	inspectors	
	about 10% of their time performing emissions inspections:	inspectors	
	about 5% or less of their time performing emissions inspections:.	inspectors	

### 12. What percent of total workspace is used only for emissions testing?

Enter "0" if you do not have any workspace dedicated solely to emissions testing.

\_\_\_\_\_ percent

## 13. What proportion of the repair revenues for this station result directly from failed emission inspections? (Check one)

- 0%, perform inspections only
- less than 10%
- about 25%
- about 50%
- about 75%
- between 75% and 95%
- more than 95%

14. In any given month, what is the typical number of repair jobs from failed emissions tests?

\_\_\_\_\_ repair jobs

15. What is a typical repair cost for an emission test failure?

\$\_\_\_\_, \_\_\_\_ per repair for a failed emission test

- 16. Identify the option that best describes how you financed the purchase of emissions testing equipment.
  - Paid cash
  - Lease-to-purchase agreement arranged with vendor
  - Loan from bank
- 17. What is the lease-to-purchase or loan term? If you paid cash, enter "0."

\_\_\_\_\_ years

18. What is the interest rate for the lease-to-purchase agreement or loan? If you paid cash, enter "0."

\_\_\_\_percent

19. What is the maintenance package cost for the emissions testing equipment? (Circle one)

\$\_\_\_\_, \_\_\_ per month/quarter/year

20. During the last year, what costs did you incur for normal maintenance of the emissions testing equipment that were not covered by the service contract or maintenance package?

\$\_\_\_\_, \_\_\_\_ \_\_\_

- 21. Besides retesting a vehicle within 15 days of failing an emission test, do you ever give free emission tests, that is, charge no fee?
  - Yes, please describe \_\_\_\_\_\_

No

- 22. Besides retesting a vehicle within 15 days of failing an emission test, do you ever charge less than \$27.00 for an emission test?
  - Yes. What is the lowest fee that you charge? \$\_\_\_\_.
  - No
- 23. In the past two months, have you had a vehicle fail an emission test but not come back to be retested?
  - Yes. About how many vehicles? \_\_\_\_\_\_
  - No
- 24. In your opinion, does the \$27.00 fee cover your costs of offering emissions testing at this station?
  - Yes
  - □ No If not, please tell us some of the reasons in the space below. If you require additional space for your comments, please include them on a separate piece of paper.

You have completed the survey. Please mail the questionnaire to us in the enclosed pre-paid envelope. Thank you.

[This page intentionally left blank.]