

The Texas Commission on Environmental Quality (TCEQ or commission) proposes new §116.128.

If adopted, the new section will be submitted to the United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) as a revision to the State Implementation plan (SIP).

### **Background and Summary of the Factual Basis for the Proposed Rule**

House Bill (HB) 2694, 82nd Legislature, 2011, created new Texas Health and Safety Code (THSC), §382.059, Hearing and Decision on Permit Amendment Application of Certain Electric Generating Facilities, which establishes public notice and contested case hearing (CCH) requirements specifically for permit amendment applications necessary to comply with a maximum achievable control technology (MACT) standard promulgated under Federal Clean Air Act (FCAA), §112. This new rule would establish public notice, comment, and CCH deadlines and procedures for permit amendment applications for electric generating facilities (EGFs) to comply with a MACT standard under FCAA, §112. This rule action will implement HB 2694, §4.27 and §4.30. It provides an option for permit amendment application processing with statutorily established deadlines for preparation of a draft permit and a decision on the application by the commission, which are not features of the commission's existing public participation process established by HB 801, 77th Legislature, 2001. THSC, §382.059 provides specific time periods for TCEQ to draft a permit amendment, for persons to

request a CCH on the drafted amendment, and for the commission to act on the permit application. The scope of any hearing granted under THSC, §382.059 is limited to whether the control technology in the executive director's draft permit is the equivalent to MACT to meet a standard promulgated under FCAA, §112.

On May 3, 2011, the EPA proposed, in 85 *Federal Register* 24976, a new MACT standard that applies to petroleum coke, fuel oil, and coal fired electric generating units (the EPA Utility MACT). In Texas, the proposed EPA Utility MACT is expected to affect a very small group of existing EGFs within the power generation sector, since there currently are a maximum of 20 permitted and operating petroleum coke, fuel oil, and coal fired electric generating sites, with approximately 42 combustion units, statewide that could potentially be affected by proposed new §116.128. Natural gas-fired EGFs are not affected by either EPA's proposed Utility MACT standard or this proposed rule. However, until EPA adopts this MACT standard, the scope of applicability of proposed new §116.128 cannot be finally determined. In addition, EPA could adopt other MACT standards under FCAA, §112 that could require permit amendment applications that are subject to this new section.

Proposed §116.128 applies only to permit amendment applications submitted solely to allow an EGF to reduce emissions and comply with a requirement imposed by FCAA, §112 (42 United States Code (USC), §7412) to use applicable MACT. The applications

shall be limited to changes in method of control for an existing electric utility steam generating unit, as defined in 40 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) §63.42, for the purpose of achieving a MACT standard promulgated by EPA under FCAA, §112. The applications may request authorization for collateral increases in emissions that result from installation of this control technology. Amendment applications are subject to the requirements of Chapter 116, Subchapter B, Divisions 1, 4, 5, and 6, Permit Application, Permit Fees, Nonattainment Review Permits, and Prevention of Significant Deterioration Review, respectively, except that the public notice, public participation, and CCH requirements of this new rule will apply rather than the requirements in 30 TAC Chapters 39, 50, and 55, Public Notice, Action on Applications and Other Authorizations, and Requests for Reconsideration and Contested Case Hearings; Public Comment, respectively, except as otherwise specified. Although the proposed rule contains text that is similar to the commission's rules for public participation in those chapters, this rule is designed to include all required notice and CCH requirements to comply with the new statute.

Before certain changes are made to the EGF, including changes in control technology, a permit amendment is required, and the application is subject to review for best available control technology, and protection of the public's health and physical property (See THSC, §382.0518(a) and (b), and 30 TAC §116.116(b)). The requirement to obtain a permit amendment is included in the approved Texas SIP.

Any other changes in the method of control of emissions, the character of the emissions, or an increase in the emission rate of any air contaminant, including any concurrent projects undertaken by the owner or operator of EGFs not related to reducing emissions to comply with the requirements of MACT, must be submitted in a separate amendment application and that application will not be processed under §116.128.

The new statute provides that the commission is required to provide an opportunity for a public hearing and the submission of public comment on the application in the manner provided by THSC, §382.0561. This section of the Texas Clean Air Act specifies the public notice and participation requirements for federal operating (Title V) permits by cross-references to the public notice section for new source review permits found in THSC, §382.056. Therefore, applications filed under this new section are subject to specific requirements regarding newspaper publication, sign posting, and alternate language notice. This is implemented in proposed subsection (c)(1). These requirements are consistent with the notice requirements in Chapter 39 that the commission has adopted as a proposed revision to the SIP for other amendment applications. The new statute also provides that the commission send notice of a decision on an application for a permit amendment under this section in the manner provided by THSC, §382.0562, which is the section in the Texas Clean Air Act regarding notice of decisions on federal operating permits. This statutory requirement is

implemented in proposed subsection (f).

A request for a CCH must be submitted within 30 days after the issuance of the draft permit, which is triggered by the publication of the notice of the draft permit. The commission must then evaluate any hearing requests, potentially hold a CCH, and ultimately issue a final order on the issuance of the permit no later than 120 days after the draft permit is issued. The deadline in this statute for issuance of the order is the only one for any air quality permit applications that are subject to CCH. The 120-day limit is shorter than the typical length of CCHs, including post-hearing procedures, under the current rules for all other applications subject to CCH. Therefore, this rulemaking requires modification of some agency procedures to implement these statutory requirements.

The proposed rule provides that the commission may hold a hearing or refer the matter to the State Office of Administrative Hearings (SOAH). The sole issue for any hearing is whether the choice of technology approved in the draft permit is the MACT required under FCAA, §112 (42 USC, §7412).

The proposed rule would be submitted to the EPA as a revision to the Texas SIP, which already includes certain requirements for amendment applications. The amendment application that is subject to this rule is one that could result in changes to a minor or a

major new source review permit. Therefore, the commission proposes the rule as a revision to the SIP to ensure that any permit changes would meet the requirements of the current SIP as well as the public notice and participation rules that the commission adopted and submitted to EPA as part of the SIP in 2010. While the amendment application is specific in scope and the public participation portions of the rule implement the strict deadlines in the statute, the commission's position is that this rule does not allow for any backsliding from the approved Texas SIP and is therefore compliant with FCAA, §110(l).

### **Section Discussion**

Proposed subsection (a) would establish the applicability of the new section to permit amendment applications to allow existing EGFs to reduce emissions and comply with a requirement imposed by FCAA, §112. The applications shall be limited to changes in method of control for an existing electric utility steam generating unit, as defined in 40 CFR §63.42, for the purpose of achieving a MACT standard promulgated by EPA under FCAA, §112. The applications may include a request for authorization for collateral increases in emissions that result from installation of this control technology.

Amendment applications are subject to the requirements of Chapter 116, Subchapter B, Divisions 1, 4, 5, and 6. Applications that are subject to the requirements of Divisions 5 or 6 are subject to additional public notice requirements, as discussed later as part of the explanation of proposed subsection (c)(1)(F).

Applications for permit amendments will be submitted under §116.111, General Application. If the collateral increases, calculated in accordance with existing commission rules, exceed federal major source thresholds, the application may be subject to the requirements of Chapter 116, Subchapter B, Divisions 5 or 6.

Proposed subsection (b) would place a requirement on the executive director to produce a draft permit no later than 45 days after the receipt of an application for an amendment under subsection (a). This 45-day period will begin once a permit application has been determined to be administratively and technically complete. As provided in proposed subsection (d), the publication of a notice of the issuance of a draft permit for public review and comment will begin a 30-day period for parties to request a CCH. The issuance and publication of the draft permit also begins a 120-day period for the commission to issue a final order issuing or denying the permit. This gives the commission a total of 165 days from receipt of a complete application to a decision on permit issuance following any CCH.

As a matter of practical implementation and to allow as much time as possible for contested case procedures, including procedures required by SOAH, the commission has determined that the time for issuance of a draft must be less than 45 days. The commission will retain the 45-day requirement in the proposed rule to be consistent

with the statute but will implement practices for permit review that require that a draft permit be issued within a shorter than typical time period.

To meet this schedule, permit applications should be administratively and technically complete when submitted to the commission. Applicants should be prepared to submit public notification to the appropriate publications and to comply with other notification requirements when they submit their amendment application. The commission encourages applicants to contact the executive director prior to submitting an application to ensure that they are prepared to move promptly to public notification.

Proposed subsection (c) would establish public participation requirements and specifies that the requirements of Chapters 39 and 55 will not apply to applications processed under this section, except as specifically provided in this subsection.

Paragraph (1) specifies requirements for applicants. They will be required to publish notice of a draft permit and preliminary decision in a newspaper of general circulation in the municipality in which the existing EGF is located.

The notice text requirements are listed in proposed subsection (c)(1)(A)(i)(I) - (XIII) and will include: the permit application number; the applicant's name, address, and telephone number and a description of the manner in which a person may contact the

applicant or permit holder for further information; a description of the location or the proposed location of the EGF; and a description of the choice of technology in the draft permit. The notice will also include the location and availability of the complete permit application, the draft permit, and all other relevant supporting materials in the public files of the agency.

The notice must further describe the public comment procedures, including the duration of the public notice comment period, procedures to request a CCH, and a statement that a person who may be affected by the emission of air pollutants from the EGF is entitled to request a CCH printed in a font style or size that clearly provides emphasis and distinguishes it from the remainder of the notice. The notice will include the time and location of any scheduled public meeting and the time and location of any scheduled CCH that will be held if any requests for a CCH are received.

The notice must include a statement that a person who may be affected by emissions from the EGF associated with changes in control technology that is the subject of an application under this section is entitled to request a CCH. The notice must also include a description of the procedure by which a person may be placed on a mailing list in order to receive additional information about the application or draft permit, and the name, address, and phone number of the commission office to be contacted for further information. In addition to these notice requirements, proposed subsection (c)(1)(A)(ii)

would require applicants to publish additional notice that meets the requirements of §39.603(c)(2), Newspaper Notice, commonly referred to as a "display notice." For both of these publications and sign posting, proposed subsection (c)(1)(B) would require applicants to comply with the requirements of §39.405(h)(1) - (6) and (8) - (11), General Notice Provisions, regarding alternative language newspaper notice.

Proposed subsection (c)(1)(C) and (D) also include specific requirements for filing copies of the published notice with the commission and sign posting requirements at the location of the EGF. In addition, proposed subsection (c)(1)(E) would require applicants to provide a copy of the application available for review and copying at a public place in the county in which the facility is located beginning on the first day of newspaper publication of the notice. If a CCH is requested, the application and a copy of the draft permit must remain available until the commission has taken action on the application or the commission refers issues to SOAH. Applicants will furnish copies of notices as required by §39.605(1), Notice to Affected Agencies, and will comply with the sign posting requirements of §39.604, Sign-Posting.

Proposed subsection (c)(1)(F) would establish additional public notice requirements if collateral emission increases are subject to Chapter 116, Subchapter B, Divisions 5 or 6. The newspaper notice shall contain the following additional information: the degree of increment consumption expected from the source or modification; a statement that the

state's air quality analysis is available for comment; the deadline to request a public meeting and that the executive director will hold a meeting at the request of any interested person; a statement that the draft permit and preliminary decision, preliminary determination summary, and air quality analysis are available electronically; locations where the permit can be accessed; a statement that the executive director will respond to all comments; and a brief description of how the public can participate in the final permit decision. The additional requirements for the applicant also include providing notifications to certain persons, and placement of the application in a location with internet access. Additional requirements for the executive director include holding a meeting if requested by an interested person and placing certain documents on the commission's Web page.

Proposed subsection (c)(2) would require the executive director to make available a copy of the complete permit application and draft permit at the commission's central office and at the commission's regional office for the region in which the EGF is located.

Proposed subsection (c)(3) would require that, for applications subject to Prevention of Significant Deterioration (PSD) or nonattainment permitting requirements, the executive director must file certain documents with the chief clerk.

Proposed subsection (c)(4) would establish the public comment procedures. Subsection

(c)(4)(A) sets out the applicable requirements for public meetings, including specific requirements in clause (iii) for any applications that trigger PSD or nonattainment permitting requirements. Subsection (c)(4)(B) establishes a public comment period of 30 days following the last newspaper publication of the notice. Any objections to a condition of the draft permit must raise all arguments during this period. The executive director will respond to comments as required by §55.156(b), Public Comment Processing.

Proposed subsection (d) would establish procedures for CCHs under this proposed section. The requirements of Chapters 50 and 55 will not apply except as specifically required by this section. Consistent with the requirements of the THSC, §382.059, proposed paragraph (1) would limit the subject of any CCH-only legitimate issues of material fact regarding whether the choice of technology approved in the draft permit is MACT, and would limit the period for requesting a CCH to 30 days from the issuance of a draft permit, which is calculated from the date of first publication of the notice. This 30-day period differs from the general comment period found in subsection (c)(4). Accordingly, the period for raising legitimate issues of material fact and requesting a CCH may end before the comment period ends.

Proposed paragraph (2) would allow the applicant or the executive director to request that the application be sent directly to SOAH.

Proposed paragraph (3) would establish specific procedures for processing hearing requests. Because of the accelerated schedule of CCH requests and final decision on a draft permit, it will be necessary for TCEQ Office of the Chief Clerk (OCC) to coordinate with SOAH to obtain a date and location for a CCH. The commission proposes rule language allowing TCEQ to retain jurisdiction over a draft permit following coordination between OCC and SOAH to set a preliminary CCH date. If any hearing requests are received, the chief clerk of the commission shall schedule the hearing request for a commission meeting and notify the applicant, all timely commenters and requestors, the executive director, and the commission's public interest counsel. The proposed paragraph would authorize the Office of General Counsel to establish a briefing schedule and requires that briefs and replies be filed with the chief clerk and served to the executive director, the public interest counsel, the Office of Public Assistance, the applicant, and any commenters or hearing requestors.

Proposed paragraph (4)(A) states that commission consideration of public comment, the executive director's response, or a request for a CCH does not constitute a CCH.

Proposed paragraph (4)(B) and (C) would provide that after evaluation of a request for a CCH, the commission may determine that the request does not meet the requirements of this section and act on the application. If the request meets the requirements of this

section the commission may hold a hearing or refer the matter to SOAH on the issue of whether the choice of technology approved in the draft permit is the MACT required under FCAA, §112. The commission may also refer one or more hearing requests to SOAH for a determination of whether the requestor is an affected person entitled to a CCH. If the request raises only disputed issues of law or policy, the commission may make a decision on the issues and act on the application.

Proposed paragraph (4)(D) would require the commission or Administrative Law Judge to consider the factors in §55.203 and §55.205, Determination of Affected Person, and Request by Group or Association, respectively.

Proposed paragraph (4)(E) states that requests for a CCH will be granted if the request is made by the executive director, the applicant, or an affected person if the request raises legitimate issues of material fact as stated in the rule, is timely filed with the chief clerk, and is pursuant to a right to hearing authorized by law, and complies with §55.201(d), Requests for Reconsideration and Contested Case Hearing.

Proposed paragraph (4)(F) states that a decision on a request for a CCH is not binding on the issue of designation of parties. Paragraph (4)(F) allows a person whose request for a CCH is denied to seek to be admitted as a party should a CCH be granted based on other requests.

Proposed paragraph (4)(G) would allow the filing of motions for rehearing if all requests for a CCH are denied. Paragraph (4)(G) also states that the commission's decision to deny is final and appealable.

Proposed paragraph (4)(H) would provide that if all parties requesting decision on an issue withdraw their request for a CCH in writing, the scope of the hearing no longer includes the issue except as authorized under THSC, §382.059.

Proposed paragraph (5) would authorize the Administrative Law Judge to establish a procedural schedule for discovery, hearing date, and pre- and post-hearing briefings. In addition, subparagraph (B) would require the Administrative Law Judge to issue a proposal for decision within 80 days after the executive director issues the draft permit, or as specified by the commission, to meet the requirements of THSC, §382.059 and this new rule.

Proposed subsection (e) states that the pleading requirements of §80.257, Pleadings Following Proposal for Decision, will not apply for applications under this section. The proposed section establishes expedited deadlines for filing exceptions and replies in order to meet the timelines prescribed in THSC, §382.059. This subsection allows the general counsel to change filing deadlines for pleadings on his own motion or at the

request of a party.

Proposed subsection (f) would require the commission to send notice of a decision on the amendment application no later than 120 days after the issuance of a draft permit. The notice shall go to the applicant and all persons who commented during the comment period and will include responses to comments received during the comment period.

Proposed subsection (g) would allow a person affected by a decision of the commission to issue or deny a permit amendment to file a motion for rehearing under 30 TAC §80.272, Motion for Rehearing. The subsection also states that the commission's decision to deny is final and appealable.

Proposed subsection (h) states that this section will expire on the sixth anniversary of the date that the EPA adopts the standard for EGFs pursuant to FCAA, §112, unless a stay of the rule is granted.

**Fiscal Note: Costs to State and Local Government**

Nina Chamness, Analyst, Strategic Planning and Assessment, has determined that, for the first five-year period the proposed rule is in effect, no significant fiscal implications are anticipated for the agency or other units of state or local government as a result of

administration or enforcement of the proposed rule. The agency will implement the proposed rule using currently available resources.

The proposed rule would add a new section to Chapter 116 to implement HB 2694, §4.27 and §4.30. These sections of HB 2694 require the agency to expedite the issuance of an authorization to meet MACT standards for certain EGFs by shortening the time frames associated with the agency's issuance of this type of permit to 120 days after the issuance of a draft permit. Under current rules, these processes (notice, public comment, draft permit, and response to comment) could take six to seven months, with an additional 12 to 14 months to hold a CCH.

The proposed rule applies only to permit amendment applications submitted by owners or operators of petroleum coke, fuel oil, and coal fired EGFs who submit applications for the sole purpose of complying with the FCAA requirement to use MACT to reduce emissions. Not all of these EGFs will submit permit amendment applications to change their method of control to comply with the federally adopted MACT standards. Some owners or operators of these EGFs may elect to shutdown the facilities and some may seek authorization via a permit by rule or a pollution control standard permit to authorize changes in the method of control necessary to comply with EPA's new MACT standards. However, for those that choose to submit a permit amendment application, the proposed rule would implement the required shortened time frame. Natural gas-

fired EGFs are not affected by either EPA's proposed Utility MACT standard or this proposed rule. However, until EPA adopts this MACT standard, the scope of applicability of proposed new §116.128 cannot be finally determined. In addition, EPA could adopt other MACT standards under FCAA, §112 that could require permit amendment applications that are subject to this new section.

SOAH is not expected to see an increase in contested cases as a result of the rule, but SOAH may be required to conduct CCHs on a more rapid time schedule. The agency estimates that a maximum of six CCHs may require SOAH to comply with a shortened timeline, but it is expected that SOAH will use existing resources to conduct these CCHs in the required time frame. Further, no increases in costs are expected for the agency if the commission holds the hearings instead of referring them to SOAH.

The proposed rule is not expected to have a significant fiscal impact on the two known local governments that own or operate EGFs. The cost of application and public notice requirements for MACT are not expected to be significantly different under the proposed rule. Costs for legal representation and technical consultants for a CCH can range from \$350,000 to \$1,000,000, with an average of \$500,000, under the current rules. However, under the proposed rule, costs for a CCH can increase given the shorter time frame for marshalling resources and the number and complexity of issues underlying the applicant's choice of technology to meet or comply with a MACT. A

request for a direct referral of a CCH by the applicant is optional, and owners or operators of EGFs are expected to choose the venue for a CCH that will be of greatest economic benefit.

### **Public Benefits and Costs**

Nina Chamness also determined that for each year of the first five years the proposed new rule is in effect, the public benefit anticipated from the changes seen in the proposed rule will be compliance with state law, an expedited issuance of an authorization to meet the MACT standard, and more rapid compliance with MACT, which is intended to be protective of human health and the environment.

The proposed rule may benefit individuals because an expedited process to authorize a choice of technology to meet a MACT is expected to reduce current emissions and result in more rapid protection of human health and the environment. The proposed rule is not expected to have a fiscal impact on individuals since notice and CCH costs are not expected to change under the proposed rule and are normally incurred by the EGF applicants requesting permit amendments.

The proposed rule is not expected to have a direct fiscal impact on the 42 petroleum coke, fuel oil, and coal fired EGFs in the state whose 20 owner or operators could apply for a permit to authorize MACT under the proposed rule. Natural gas-fired EGFs are

not affected by either EPA's proposed Utility MACT standard or this proposed rule.

However, until EPA adopts this MACT standard, the scope of applicability of proposed new §116.128 cannot be finally determined. In addition, EPA could adopt other MACT standards under FCAA, §112 that could require permit amendment applications that are subject to this new section.

The proposed rule will implement a shorter time frame for issuing a permit authorization for MACT. Under the current rules, costs for legal representation and technical consultants for a CCH can range from \$350,000 to \$1,000,000, with an average of \$500,000. As a result of the proposed expedited time frame, costs for legal representation and technical consultants for a CCH are expected to increase. The amount of increased costs will depend on the number and complexity of issues underlying the applicant's choice of technology. The exact number of owners or operators of EGFs that might submit a permit amendment to authorize MACT is unknown since some facilities may request permit changes under other available permitting procedures.

### **Small Business and Micro-Business Assessment**

No adverse fiscal implications are anticipated for small or micro-businesses since the proposed rule affects EGFs which are not typically owned or operated by a small business. The proposed rule will implement a shorter time frame for issuing a permit

authorization for MACT. If a small business owns or operates an EGF that chooses to request a MACT authorization, it could experience a similar increase in expenses as those experienced by a large business.

### **Small Business Regulatory Flexibility Analysis**

The commission has reviewed this proposed rulemaking and determined that a small business regulatory flexibility analysis is not required because the proposed rule is required to comply with state law and does not adversely affect a small or micro-business in a material way for the first five years that the proposed rule is in effect.

### **Local Employment Impact Statement**

The commission has reviewed this proposed rulemaking and determined that a local employment impact statement is not required because the proposed rule does not adversely affect a local economy in a material way for the first five years that the proposed rule is in effect.

### **Draft Regulatory Impact Analysis Determination**

The commission reviewed the rulemaking in light of the regulatory impact analysis requirements of Texas Government Code, §2001.0225, and determined that the rulemaking does not meet the definition of a major environmental rule as defined in that statute, and in addition, if it did meet the definition, would not be subject to the

requirement to prepare a regulatory impact analysis.

A major environmental rule means a rule, the specific intent of which is to protect the environment or reduce risks to human health from environmental exposure, and that may adversely affect in a material way the economy, a sector of the economy, productivity, competition, jobs, the environment, or the public health and safety of the state or a sector of the state. The specific intent of proposed rule is to implement HB 2694, §4.27 and §4.30 which adds new THSC, §382.059. This rule would apply only to permit amendment applications submitted solely to allow an EGF to comply with a requirement imposed by FCAA, §112 (42 USC, §7412) to use applicable MACT. The applications shall be limited to changes in method of control for an existing EGF but may request authorization for collateral increases in emissions that result from installation of this control technology. The rule would compress the amount of time for affected parties to request a CCH on the permit amendment to no later than 30 days after the executive director issues a draft permit. The period in which the commission must issue or deny the permit amendment would be no later than 120 days from the issuance of a draft permit.

As discussed in the FISCAL NOTE portion of this preamble, the proposed rule is not anticipated to add any significant additional costs to affected individuals or businesses beyond what is expected to be required for compliance with the Utility MACT on the

economy, a sector of the economy, productivity, competition, jobs, the environment, or the public health and safety of the state or a sector of the state. The rule implements the statutorily prescribed schedule for requesting a CCH and for a decision to be rendered on information presented at any CCH relating to issuance of an amended permit. The rule does not add an opportunity for a CCH that did not already exist under THSC, §382.056.

Additionally, the rulemaking does not meet any of the four applicability criteria for requiring a regulatory impact analysis for a major environmental rule, which are listed in Texas Government Code, §2001.0225(a). Texas Government Code, §2001.0225, applies only to a major environmental rule, the result of which is to: 1) exceed a standard set by federal law, unless the rule is specifically required by state law; 2) exceed an express requirement of state law, unless the rule is specifically required by federal law; 3) exceed a requirement of a delegation agreement or contract between the state and an agency or representative of the federal government to implement a state and federal program; or 4) adopt a rule solely under the general powers of the agency instead of under a specific state law. The proposed rule implements requirements of HB 2694, 82nd Legislature, 2011.

The proposed rule was not developed solely under the general powers of the agency, but is authorized by specific sections of THSC, Chapter 382 (also known as the Texas Clean

Air Act), and the Texas Water Code, which are cited in the STATUTORY AUTHORITY section of this preamble.

Therefore, this proposed rulemaking action is not subject to the regulatory analysis provisions of Texas Government Code, §2001.0225(b). Comments on this draft determination may be submitted to the contact person at the address listed under the SUBMITTAL OF COMMENTS portion of this preamble.

### **Takings Impact Assessment**

Under Texas Government Code, §2007.002(5), taking means a governmental action that affects private real property, in whole or in part or temporarily or permanently, in a manner that requires the governmental entity to compensate the private real property owner as provided by the Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution or §17 or §19, Article I, Texas Constitution; or a governmental action that affects an owner's private real property that is the subject of the governmental action, in whole or in part or temporarily or permanently, in a manner that restricts or limits the owner's right to the property that would otherwise exist in the absence of the governmental action; and is the producing cause of a reduction of at least 25% in the market value of the affected private real property, determined by comparing the market value of the property as if the governmental action is not in effect and the market value of the property determined as if the governmental action is in effect.

The commission completed a takings impact analysis for the proposed rulemaking action under the Texas Government Code, §2007.043. The primary purpose of this proposed rulemaking action is to implement HB 2694, §4.27 and §4.30. This rule applies only to applications for a permit amendment allowing existing EGFs to reduce emissions and comply with a requirement under FCAA, §112. The proposed rule will not create any additional burden on private real property. The proposed rule will not affect private real property in a manner that would require compensation to private real property owners under the United States Constitution or the Texas Constitution. The proposal also will not affect private real property in a manner that restricts or limits an owner's right to the property that would otherwise exist in the absence of the governmental action. Therefore, the proposed rulemaking will not cause a taking under Texas Government Code, Chapter 2007.

### **Consistency with the Coastal Management Program**

The commission determined that this rulemaking action relates to an action or actions subject to the Texas Coastal Management Program (CMP) in accordance with the Coastal Coordination Act of 1991, as amended (Texas Natural Resources Code, §§33.201 *et seq.*), and commission rules in 30 TAC Chapter 281, Subchapter B, Consistency with the Texas Coastal Management Program. As required by §281.45(a)(3), Actions Subject to Consistency with the Goals and Policies of the Texas Coastal Management Program

(CMP), and 31 TAC §505.11(b)(2), Actions and Rules Subject to the Coastal Management Program, commission rules governing air pollutant emissions must be consistent with the applicable goals and policies of the CMP. The commission reviewed this action for consistency with the CMP goals and policies in accordance with the rules of the Coastal Coordination Council and determined that the action is consistent with the applicable CMP goals and policies.

The CMP goal applicable to this proposed rulemaking action is the goal to protect, preserve, and enhance the diversity, quality, quantity, functions, and values of coastal natural resource areas (31 TAC §501.12(l), Goals). This rule will implement legislation related to emission reductions at EGFs. The CMP policy applicable to this rulemaking action is the policy that commission rules comply with federal regulations in 40 CFR, to protect and enhance air quality in the coastal areas (31 TAC §501.32, Policies for Emission of Air Pollutants). Therefore, in accordance with 31 TAC §505.22(e), Consistency Required for New Rules and Rule Amendments Subject to the Coastal Management Program, the commission affirms that this rulemaking action is consistent with CMP goals and policies.

Written comments on the consistency of this rulemaking may be submitted to the contact person at the address listed under the SUBMITTAL OF COMMENTS section of this preamble.

### **Effect on Sites Subject to the Federal Operating Permits Program**

Chapter 116 is an applicable requirement under 30 TAC Chapter 122, Federal Operating Permits Program. If the proposed rule is adopted, owners or operators subject to the federal operating permit program must, consistent with the revision process in Chapter 122, include any changes made using the amended Chapter 116 requirements into their operating permit.

### **Announcement of Hearing**

The commission will hold a public hearing on this proposal in Austin on November 17, 2011 at 10:00 a.m. in Building E, Room 201S, at the commission's central office located at 12100 Park 35 Circle. The hearing is structured for the receipt of oral or written comments by interested persons. Individuals may present oral statements when called upon in order of registration. Open discussion will not be permitted during the hearing; however, commission staff members will be available to discuss the proposal 30 minutes prior to the hearing.

Persons who have special communication or other accommodation needs who are planning to attend the hearing should contact Sandy Wong, Office of Legal Services at (512) 239-1802. Requests should be made as far in advance as possible.

### **Submittal of Comments**

Written comments may be submitted to Michael Parrish, MC 205, Office of Legal Services, Texas Commission on Environmental Quality, P.O. Box 13087, Austin, Texas 78711-3087, or faxed to (512) 239-4808. Electronic comments may be submitted at: <http://www5.tceq.texas.gov/rules/ecomments/>. File size restrictions may apply to comments being submitted via the eComments system. All comments should reference Rule Project Number 2011-029-116-AI. The comment period closes November 21, 2011. Copies of the proposed rulemaking can be obtained from the commission's Web site at [http://www.tceq.texas.gov/nav/rules/propose\\_adopt.html](http://www.tceq.texas.gov/nav/rules/propose_adopt.html). For further information, please contact Beecher Cameron, Air Permits Division, (512) 239-1495 or at [beecher.cameron@tceq.texas.gov](mailto:beecher.cameron@tceq.texas.gov).

## **SUBCHAPTER B: NEW SOURCE REVIEW PERMITS**

### **DIVISION 1: PERMIT APPLICATION**

#### **§116.128**

#### **Statutory Authority**

The rule is proposed under Texas Water Code, §5.102, concerning General Powers, that provides the commission with the general powers to carry out its duties under the Texas Water Code; §5.103, concerning Rules, and §5.105, concerning General Policy, which authorize the commission to adopt rules necessary to carry out its powers and duties under the Texas Water Code; and under Texas Health and Safety Code (THSC), §382.017, concerning Rules, which authorizes the commission to adopt rules consistent with the policy and purposes of the Texas Clean Air Act. The rule is also proposed under THSC, §382.002, concerning Policy and Purpose, which establishes the commission purpose to safeguard the state's air resources, consistent with the protection of public health, general welfare, and physical property; §382.003, concerning Definitions; §382.011, concerning General Powers and Duties, which authorizes the commission to control the quality of the state's air; §382.012, concerning State Air Control Plan, which authorizes the commission to prepare and develop a general, comprehensive plan for the control of the state's air; §382.016, concerning Monitoring Requirements; Examination of Records, which authorizes the commission to prescribe requirements for measuring and monitoring the emission of air contaminants and for maintaining records;

§382.029, concerning Hearing Powers, which authorizes the commission to call and hold hearings; §382.0291, concerning Public Hearing Procedures, which prescribes procedures for the commission's hearings; §382.030, concerning Delegation of Hearing Powers, which authorizes the commission to delegate the authority to hold hearings; §382.031, concerning Notice of Hearings, which prescribes the requirements for notice of commission hearings; §382.032, concerning Appeal of Commission Action, which authorizes affected persons to appeal a ruling, order or decision of the commission; §382.040, concerning Document; Public Property, which provides that information, documents, and data collected by the commission are state property; §382.041, concerning Confidential Information, which provides procedures for information submitted as confidential; §382.0512, concerning Modification of Existing Facility, which prescribes the commission's consideration of whether a proposed change at a facility is a modification; §382.051, concerning Permitting Authority of Commission; Rules, which authorizes the commission to issue a permit by rule for types of facilities that will not significantly contribute air contaminants to the atmosphere; §382.0513, concerning Permit Conditions, which authorizes the commission to establish and enforce permit conditions; and §382.0514, concerning Sampling, Monitoring, and Certification. The rule is also proposed under THSC, §382.0515, concerning Application for Permit, which prescribes requirements for permit applications; §382.0518, concerning Preconstruction Permit, which requires a permit from the commission prior to construction or modification of a facility; §382.056, concerning Notice of Intent to

Obtain Permit or Permit Review: Hearing, which requires applicants for a permit or modification to publish public notice; §382.0561, concerning Federal Operating Permit; Hearing, which establishes public hearing procedures on federal operating permits; §382.0562, concerning Notice of Decision, which requires that the commission send notice send notice of final action on a federal operating permit to an applicant and commenters; §382.061, concerning delegation of Powers and Duties, which allows the commission to delegate powers and duties to the executive director concerning permits except for the adoption of rule; §382.062, concerning Application, Permit, and Inspection Fees, which authorizes the commission to collect fees for permit applications; and §382.059, concerning Hearing and Decision on Permit Amendment Application of Certain Electric Generating Facilities, which regulates the request for contested case hearings on permit amendments for electric generating facilities under Federal Clean Air Act, §112.

The rule is also proposed under Texas Water Code, §5.013, concerning Commission and Staff Responsibility Policy, which requires the commission to separate the responsibilities of the commission and its staff; §5.115, concerning Persons Affected in Commission Hearings; Notice of Application, which defines an affected person for purposes of administrative hearings; §5.116, concerning Hearings; Recess, which authorizes the commission to recess any hearing from time to time and place to place; §5.118, concerning Power to Administer Oaths, which authorizes the commission, chief

clerk, or hearing examiner to administer oaths; §5.122, concerning delegation of Uncontested matters to Executive Director, which authorizes the commission to delegate authority to act on permits to the executive director; §5.1733, concerning Electronic Posting of Information, which requires the commission to post public information on its website; §5.311, concerning Delegation of Responsibility, which authorizes the commission to delegate the responsibility to conduct hearings to the State Office of Administrative Hearings (SOAH); and §5.557, concerning Direct Referral to Contested case Hearing, which allows the commission to refer contested case hearing directly to SOAH. In addition, the rule is proposed under 42 United States Code, §7401, *et seq.*

The proposed rule implements all of these statutes except Texas Water Code, §§5.102, 5.103, and 5.105, and THSC, §382.017. The proposed rule also implements House Bill 2694, §4.27 and §4.30, 82nd Legislature, 2011.

**§116.128. Amendment Application, Public Notice and Contested Case Hearing Procedures for Certain Electric Generating Facilities.**

(a) Applicability. This section applies to permit amendment applications submitted solely to allow an owner or operator of an electric generating facility (EGF) to reduce emissions and comply with a requirement imposed by the Federal Clean Air Act,

§112 (42 United States Code (USC), §7412) to use applicable maximum achievable control technology (MACT). The applications shall be limited to changes in method of control for an existing electric utility steam generating unit, as defined in 40 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) §63.42, for the purpose of achieving a MACT standard promulgated by the United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) under Federal Clean Air Act, §112. The application may request authorization for collateral increases in emissions that result from installation of this control technology. Amendment applications submitted under this section are subject to the requirements of Subchapter B, Divisions 1, 4, 5, and 6 of this chapter (relating to Permit Application, Permit Fees, Nonattainment Review Permits, and Prevention of Significant Deterioration Review, respectively).

(b) Issuance of Draft Permit. Not later than the 45th day after the date the application is received, the executive director shall issue a draft permit.

(c) Notice and Public Participation. The public participation requirements of Chapters 39 and 55 of this title (relating to Public Notice, and Requests for Reconsideration and Contested Case Hearings; Public Comment, respectively) shall not apply, except as specifically required by this section.

(1) The applicant shall comply with the following notice requirements:

(A) The applicant shall publish notice as follows:

(i) The executive director shall direct the applicant to publish a notice of draft permit and preliminary decision, at the applicant's expense, in the public notice section of one issue of a newspaper of general circulation in the municipality in which the EGF is located, or in the municipality nearest to the location of the EGF. Applicants shall use notice text provided and approved by the agency. The executive director may approve changes to notice text prior to notice being given. The notice shall contain the following information:

(I) the permit application number;

(II) the applicant's name, address, and telephone number and a description of the manner in which a person may contact the applicant or permit holder for further information;

(III) a brief description of the location or the proposed location of the EGF and the nature of the proposed activity;

(IV) a description of the choice of technology in the draft permit;

(V) the location, at a public place in the county in which the EGF is located, at which the following are available for review and copying:

(-a-) the complete permit application;

(-b-) the draft permit; and

(-c-) all other relevant supporting materials in the public files of the agency;

(VI) a description of the comment procedures, including the duration of the public notice comment period and procedures to request a contested case hearing printed in a font style or size that clearly provides emphasis and distinguishes it from the remainder of the notice;

(VII) a statement that a person who may be affected by the emission of air pollutants from the EGF associated with the changes in control technology that are the subject to the permit application or a member of the legislature in the general area is entitled to request a contested case hearing printed in a font style

or size that clearly provides emphasis and distinguishes it from the remainder of the notice:

(VIII) a description of the procedure by which a person may be placed on a mailing list in order to receive additional information about the application or draft permit;

(IX) the date, time, and location of any scheduled public meeting, and a brief description of the nature and purpose of the meeting;

(X) if applicable, a statement that any contested case hearing will be based on legitimate issues of material fact regarding whether the choice of control technology in the draft permit is the MACT required under the Federal Clean Air Act, §112 (42 USC, §7412);

(XI) the date, time, and location of any scheduled contested case hearing that will be held if any requests for contested case hearing are received;

(XII) the name, address, and phone number of the commission office to be contacted for further information; and

(XIII) any additional information required by the executive director or needed to satisfy federal public notice requirements.

(ii) Another notice that meets the requirements of §39.603(c)(2) of this title (relating to Newspaper Notice).

(B) The applicant is required to comply with the requirements of §39.405(h)(1) - (6) and (8) - (11) of this title (relating to General Notice Provisions) regarding alternative language newspaper notice.

(C) The applicant must file a copy of each published notice and a publisher's affidavit with the chief clerk certifying facts that constitute compliance with the requirement. The deadline to file a copy of each published notice which shows the date of publication and the name of the newspaper is ten business days after the last date of publication. The deadline to file the affidavit is ten calendar days after the last date of publication for each notice. Filing an affidavit certifying facts that constitute compliance with notice requirements creates a rebuttable presumption of compliance with the requirement to publish notice. The applicant shall furnish a copy of the notices and affidavits required by this section in the same manner as §39.605(1) of this title (relating to Notice to Affected Agencies).

(D) At the applicant's expense, the applicant shall comply with the sign posting requirements of §39.604 of this title (relating to Sign-Posting). The applicant shall furnish a copy of sign posting verification, within ten business days after the end of the comment period to the chief clerk and the executive director.

(E) The applicant shall make a copy of the technically complete application and the executive director's draft permit available for review and copying at a public place in the county in which the EGF is located beginning on the first day of newspaper publication of the notice required to be published by subparagraphs (A) and (B) of this paragraph and remain available for the comment period. If the application is submitted with confidential information marked as confidential by the applicant, the applicant shall indicate in the public file that there is additional information in a confidential file. If a contested case hearing is requested, the application shall remain available until the commission has taken action on the application or the commission refers issues to the State Office of Administrative Hearings (SOAH).

(F) If the collateral increases in emissions are also subject to the requirements of Subchapter B, Divisions 5 or 6 of this chapter, the applicant shall comply with the additional public notice requirements:

(i) the notice required by subparagraph (A)(i) of this paragraph shall include the following text:

(I) as applicable, the degree of increment consumption that is expected from the source or modification;

(II) a statement that the state's air quality analysis is available for comment;

(III) the deadline to request a public meeting;

(IV) a statement that the executive director will hold a public meeting at the request of any interested person;

(V) a statement that the executive director's draft permit and preliminary decision, preliminary determination summary, and air quality analysis are available electronically on the commission's Web site at the time of publication of the notice of draft permit;

(VI) a summary of the executive director's preliminary decision and whether the executive director has prepared a draft permit;

(VII) the location, at a public place in the county with internet access in which the EGF facility is located, at which a copy of the complete application and the executive director's draft permit and preliminary decision are available for review and copying;

(VIII) a statement that the executive director will respond to all comments regarding applications that are subject to the requirements of Subchapter B, Divisions 5 or 6 of this chapter; and

(IX) a brief description of procedures by which the public may participate in the final permit decision and, if applicable, how to request a public meeting or a contested case hearing, printed in a font style or size that clearly provides emphasis and distinguishes it from the remainder of the notice. Where applicable, the notice should include a statement that a public meeting will be held by the executive director if requested by a member of the legislature who represents the general area where the facility is to be located or if there is substantial public interest in the proposed activity when requested by any interested person;

(ii) a copy of the notices and affidavit shall be furnished to the chief executives of the city and county where the EGF is located, and any State or

Federal Land Manager, or Indian Governing Body whose lands may be affected by emissions from the source or modification; and

(iii) a copy of the complete application and the executive director's draft permit and preliminary decision shall be available for review and copying at a public place in the county with internet access in which the EGF is located.

(2) The executive director shall make available for public inspection the draft permit and the complete application throughout the comment period during business hours at the commission's central office and at the commission's regional office for the region in which the EGF is located.

(3) After technical review is complete for applications subject to the requirements of Subchapter B, Divisions 5 or 6 of this chapter, the executive director shall file the executive director's draft permit and preliminary decision, the preliminary determination summary and air quality analysis, with the chief clerk. The chief clerk shall make available by electronic means on the commission's Web site the executive director's draft permit and preliminary decision, the executive director's response to public comments, and as applicable, preliminary determination summary and air quality analysis.

(4) The public comment procedures are as follows.

(A) Public Meetings. The following shall apply to any public meeting held regarding the applications subject to the requirements of this section:

(i) A public meeting is intended for the taking of public comment and is not a contested case under the Texas Administrative Procedure Act.

(ii) At any time, the executive director or Office of Public Assistance may hold public meetings. The executive director or Office of Public Assistance shall hold a public meeting if a member of the legislature who represents the general area in which the facility is located or proposed to be located requests that a public meeting be held.

(iii) For applications subject to the requirements of Prevention of Significant Deterioration or Nonattainment Permits subject to Subchapter B of this chapter (relating to New Source Review Permits), if an interested person requests a public meeting regarding the executive director's draft permit or air quality analysis, a public meeting in response to a request under this paragraph will be held after notice of application and the executive director's preliminary decision is published.

The commission may hold a public meeting and accept oral or written public comment concerning the application.

(iv) The applicant shall attend any public meeting held by the executive director or Office of Public Assistance.

(v) A tape recording or written transcript of the public meeting shall be made available to the public.

(B) Public Comment. The public comment submittal and processing procedures are as follows:

(i) Comments regarding the application must be filed with the chief clerk within the time period specified in the notice. The public comment period will be for 30 days following the last newspaper publication of notice of draft permit and extended to the close of any public meeting.

(ii) The executive director will respond to comments as required by §55.156(b) of this title (relating to Public Comment Processing).

(iii) After the executive director files the response to comments, the chief clerk shall mail (or otherwise transmit) the executive director's response to public comments to the applicant, any person who submitted comments during the public comment period, any person who requested to be on the mailing list for the permit action, any person who timely filed a request for a contested case hearing, the Office of Public Interest Counsel, and the Office of Public Assistance.

(iv) Any person, including the applicant, who believes that any condition of the draft permit is inappropriate or that the preliminary decision of the executive director to issue or deny a permit is inappropriate must raise all reasonably ascertainable arguments supporting that position by the end of the public comment period.

(v) The commission shall consider all comments received during the public comment period and at the public meeting in determining whether to issue the permit and what conditions should be included if a permit is issued.

(d) Hearing on Control Technology. The requirements of Chapters 50 and 55 of this title shall not apply, except as specifically required by this section.

(1) Not later than the 30th day after the first publication of notice of issuance of the draft permit under subsection (b) of this section, persons may submit to the commission any legitimate issues of material fact regarding whether the choice of technology approved in the draft permit is the MACT required under Federal Clean Air Act, §112 (42 USC, §7412) and may request a contested case hearing before the commission. A request for a contested case hearing by an affected person must be in writing and must be filed with the chief clerk. The hearing request must comply with the requirements of §55.201(d)(1), (2), (3), and (5) of this title (relating to Requests for Reconsideration or Contested Case Hearing).

(2) After the executive director issues the draft permit, the applicant or the executive director may file a request with the chief clerk that the application be sent directly to SOAH for a hearing on the application. The chief clerk shall refer the application directly to SOAH for a contested case hearing that is limited to the issue of whether the choice of technology approved in the draft permit is the MACT required under Federal Clean Air Act, §112. Notwithstanding the provisions of §80.126 of this title (relating to Public Comment in Direct Referrals) regarding responses to and presenting evidence on each issue raised in public comment, the scope of any hearing held under this rule shall be limited to the choice of technology approved in the draft permit and shall not include any other issues that were raised in public comment.

(3) Hearing request processing:

(A) If a hearing request is received, the chief clerk shall promptly coordinate with SOAH to establish a contested case hearing date and location in preparation for applications that may be referred to SOAH. Notwithstanding any other section of this title, the commission shall retain jurisdiction over the application until referral to SOAH pursuant to Chapter 55 of this title or Chapter 80 of this title (relating Contested Case Hearings).

(B) If one or more hearing requests are received, the chief clerk shall schedule the hearing request for a commission meeting consistent with the requirements of this section after the final deadline to submit requests for contested case hearing expires.

(C) Immediately after scheduling the hearing request for a commission meeting, the chief clerk shall mail notice to the applicant, executive director, the Office of Public Interest Counsel, and all timely commenters and requestors. The notice shall explain how to participate in the commission decision, describe alternative dispute resolution under commission rules, and explain the relevant requirements of this section.

(D) The Office of General Counsel may establish a briefing schedule for the issues raised in a hearing request. Any briefs and replies shall be filed with the chief clerk, and served on the same day to the executive director, the Office of Public Interest Counsel, the director of the Office of Public Assistance, the applicant, and any requestors.

(E) Responses to hearing requests must specifically address:

(i) whether the requestor is an affected person;

(ii) whether the disputed issues involve questions of fact or of law;

(iii) whether the issues were raised during the appropriate time period; and

(iv) whether the issues are legitimate issues of material fact regarding whether the choice of technology approved in the draft permit is the MACT required under Federal Clean Air Act, §112.

(4) Commission consideration of hearing requests is as follows:

(A) Commission consideration of the following items is not itself a contested case subject to the Texas Administrative Procedure Act:

(i) public comment;

(ii) executive director's response to comment; or

(iii) request for contested case hearing.

(B) The commission will evaluate requests for contested case hearing and may:

(i) determine that a hearing request does not meet the requirements of this section, and act on the application; or

(ii) determine that a hearing request meets the requirements of this section and:

(I) hold a hearing on the issue of whether the choice of technology approved in the draft permit is the MACT required under Federal Clean Air Act, §112;

(II) direct the chief clerk to refer application to the SOAH for a hearing on the issue of whether the choice of technology approved in the draft permit is the MACT required under Federal Clean Air Act, §112; or

(III) if the request raises only disputed issues of law or policy, make a decision on the issues and act on the application; or

(iii) refer one or more hearing requests to SOAH for a determination of whether the requestor is an affected person entitled to a contested case hearing.

(C) If the commission refers the hearing request to SOAH it shall be processed as a contested case under the Texas Administrative Procedure Act. If the commission or SOAH determines that a requestor is an affected person, SOAH may proceed with a contested case hearing on the issue of whether the choice of technology approved in the draft permit is the MACT required under Federal Clean Air Act, §112.

(D) In determining whether a person is an affected person, the commission or Administrative Law Judge shall consider the factors in §55.203 and §55.205 of this title (relating to Determination of Affected Person, and Request by Group or Association, respectively).

(E) A request for a contested case hearing shall be granted if the request is:

(i) made by the applicant or the executive director; or

(ii) made by an affected person if the request:

(I) identifies any legitimate issues of material fact regarding whether the choice of technology approved in the draft permit is the MACT required under Federal Clean Air Act, §112;

(II) is timely filed with the chief clerk;

(III) is pursuant to a right to hearing authorized by law; and

(IV) complies with the requirements of §55.201(d) of this title.

(F) If a request for a contested case hearing is granted, a decision on a contested case hearing is an interlocutory decision on the validity of the request or issue and is not binding on the issue of designation of parties under §80.109 of this title (relating to Designation of Parties) or the issues referred to SOAH under this section. A person whose request for contested case hearing is denied may still seek to be admitted as a party under §80.109 of this title if any hearing request is granted on an application. Failure to seek party status shall be deemed a withdrawal of a person's request for contested case hearing.

(G) If all requests for contested case hearing are denied, §80.272 of this title (relating to Motion for Rehearing) applies. A motion for rehearing in such a case must be filed no more than 20 days after the date the person or attorney of record is notified of the commission's final decision or order. A person is presumed to have been notified on the third day after the date that the decision or order is mailed by first class mail. If the motion is denied under §80.272 and §80.273 of this title (relating to Motion for Rehearing, and Decision Final and Appealable, respectively) the commission's decision is final and appealable under Texas Health and Safety Code, §382.032, or under the Texas Administrative Procedure Act.

(H) If all hearing requestors whose requests for a contested case hearing were granted with regard to an issue, withdraw in writing their hearing requests with regard to the issue before issuance of the notice of the contested case hearing, the scope of the hearing no longer includes that issue except as authorized under Texas Health and Safety Code, §382.059.

(5) Procedural schedules:

(A) Upon convening a hearing pursuant to the procedural rules in Chapter 80 of this title and of SOAH, 1 TAC Chapter 155 (relating to Rules of Procedure), the Administrative Law Judge shall establish a procedural schedule, which shall provide for, as appropriate, discovery, hearing date, and pre- and post-hearing briefings, to comply with the provisions of Texas Health and Safety Code, §382.059 and this section.

(B) The Administrative Law Judge shall issue a proposal for decision within 80 days after the executive director issues the draft permit, or as specified by the commission, to meet the requirements of Texas Health and Safety Code, §382.059 and this section.

(e) Pleadings Following Proposal for Decision. The pleading requirements of §80.257 of this title (relating to Pleadings Following Proposal for Decision) shall not apply to applications filed under this section.

(1) Pleading schedule. Unless right of review has been waived, any party may file exceptions within five business days after the date of issuance of the proposal for decision. Any replies to exceptions shall be filed within eight business days after the date of issuance of the proposal for decision.

(2) Change of filing deadlines. On his own motion or at the request of a party, the general counsel may change the deadlines to file pleadings following the proposal for decision. A party requesting a change must file a written request with the chief clerk, and must serve a copy on the general counsel, the judge, and the other parties. The request must explain that the party requesting the change has contacted the other parties, and whether the request is opposed by any party. The request must include proposed dates and must indicate whether the judge and the parties agree on the proposed dates.

(f) Notice of Decision. No later than 120 days from the date of issuance of a draft permit the commission shall make a final decision on a permit amendment application under this section. The commission shall send notice of a decision on an application for

a permit amendment by first-class mail to the applicant and all persons who commented during the public comment period or at the public meeting. The notice shall include a response to any comment submitted during the public comment period and shall identify any change in the conditions of the draft permit and the reasons for the change. The notice shall include the following text:

(1) state that any person affected by the decision of the commission may appeal the decision;

(2) state the date by which the appeal must be filed; and

(3) explain the appeal process.

(g) A person affected by a decision of the commission to issue or deny a permit amendment may file a motion for rehearing under §80.272 of this title. If the motion is denied under §80.272 and §80.273 of this title, the commission's decision is final and appealable under Texas Health and Safety Code, §382.032, or under the Texas Administrative Procedure Act.

(h) Expiration. This section expires on the sixth anniversary of the date the EPA administrator adopts standards for existing EGFs under the Federal Clean Air Act, §112,

unless a stay of the rule is granted.