

Texas Commission on Environmental Quality

Interoffice Memorandum

To: Commissioners **Date:** October 4, 2013

Thru: Bridget C. Bohac, Chief Clerk
Zak Covar, Executive Director

From: Steve Hagle, P.E., Deputy Director
Office of Air

Docket No.: 2013-1342-RUL

Subject: Commission Approval for Proposed Rulemaking
Chapter 39, Public Notice
Chapter 55, Requests for Reconsideration and Contested Case Hearings;
Public Comment
Chapter 101, General Air Quality Rules
Chapter 106, Permits by Rule
Chapter 116, Control of Air Pollution by Permits for New Construction or
Modification
Chapter 122, Federal Operating Permits Program

House Bill 788 – Greenhouse Gas Permitting
Rule Project No. 2013-040-116-AI

Background and reason(s) for the rulemaking:

In recent years, regulations promulgated by the United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) have subjected major sources of greenhouse gases (GHGs) to permitting requirements under the Prevention of Significant Deterioration (PSD) program and the Federal Operating Permits ("Title V") Program. In 2010, EPA imposed a Federal Implementation Plan (FIP) on the state of Texas in order to issue PSD permits to major sources of GHGs. As a result, major sources of GHGs in Texas must currently file an application with EPA to obtain PSD authorization to construct or modify a major source of GHGs. If the source is also major for emissions of non-GHGs, an application must also be filed with TCEQ for a PSD permit authorizing the emissions of non-GHGs. Industry sources have indicated this dual permitting authority has resulted in significant costs and long wait times for issuance of PSD permits for GHGs. In addition, major sources of GHGs must apply for Title V permits; however, current TCEQ rules do not include GHGs as an "air pollutant" under the Title V program.

In order to resolve the issues with PSD GHG permit processing time and Title V applicability, House Bill (HB) 788, 83rd Legislature, 2013, found that the TCEQ is the preferred permitting authority for emissions of GHGs, and made corresponding changes to the Texas Clean Air Act (Texas Health and Safety Code (THSC), Chapter 382) to provide TCEQ with the express authority to permit GHGs to the extent required under federal law. New THSC §382.05102 requires that TCEQ adopt rules to allow for the authorization of emissions of GHGs, and defines the six compounds or classes of compounds which are considered GHGs. THSC §382.05102 also directs the commission to submit the newly

Docket No. 2013-1342-RUL

adopted rules to EPA for approval into the Texas state implementation plan (SIP), including procedures for transitioning any PSD GHG applications pending at EPA to the TCEQ. The GHGs permits authorized by this new section are not subject to contested case hearing requirements.

This rulemaking also addresses a petition from 3M Company filed on April 1, 2013, Docket No. 2013-0700-RUL, requesting that a particular fire protection fluid be provided a reportable quantity (RQ) of 5,000 pounds instead of the default RQ of 100 pounds. The petition was approved at the May 22, 2013, commission agenda.

Scope of the rulemaking:

As HB 788 has broad ramifications, changes are necessary to Chapters 39, 55, 101, 106, 116, and 122 in order to address requirements for permitting, contested case hearings, and public notice associated with the authorization of GHGs. The most significant rule changes are described further.

A.) Summary of what the rulemaking will do:

Chapter 116

- Changes to relevant definitions in §116.12 establish that GHGs are a "federally regulated new source review pollutant," and define how "carbon dioxide equivalent (CO₂e) emissions" are determined.
- New §116.164 establishes the GHGs major source and major modification threshold levels at which a source would require a PSD permit.
- New §116.169 establishes procedures for the transition of GHG PSD permit applications from EPA to TCEQ.
- Changes to §116.610 to establish that a standard permit may be used in conjunction with a PSD GHG permit as long as the non-GHGs pollutants meet the conditions of the standard permit.

Chapter 122

- Changes to the §122.10 definition of "air pollutant" and "major source" would make sites with emissions of GHGs exceeding federal threshold levels subject to the requirement to obtain a Title V Federal Operating Permit.
- Changes to §122.122 provide a method for sources to certify enforceable emission limits for GHGs. Since the pollutant GHGs is being added to the Title V (and PSD) permitting program, existing sources may have the potential to emit over the major source thresholds, but actual emissions may be below the thresholds. These sources will have 90 days after EPA's final action approving amendments to §122.122 to

Docket No. 2013-1342-RUL

certify emissions of GHGs in order to avoid applicability of Title V permitting. New sources of GHGs would be required to certify emissions no later than the date of operation.

Chapter 106

- Changes to §§106.2 and 106.4 specify that Chapter 106, Permits by Rule, does not authorize or limit emissions of GHGs.
- Changes to §106.4 to establish that a PBR may be used in conjunction with a PSD GHG permit as long as the non-GHG pollutants meet the conditions of the PBR.

Chapter 101

- A definition of "greenhouse gases" has been added under §101.1.
- The §101.1 definition of "reportable quantity" has been amended to specify that there is no RQ for any individual GHG or the aggregate six GHGs except for specific individual air contaminant compounds previously included in the definition of RQ. Emissions of GHGs will not be reported under Subchapter F: Emissions Events and Schedule Maintenance, Startup, and Shutdown Activities.
- Changes to §101.10 to exempt emissions of GHGs from counting towards the emissions inventory (EI) applicability requirement for sources that emit or have the potential to emit 100 tons per year or more of any contaminant, in order to be consistent with the federally tailored thresholds for GHGs; and to exempt GHGs from being required to be reported on EI.
- Changes to §101.27 to exempt the pollutant GHGs from the term "regulated pollutant" to establish that there would be no fees on emissions of GHGs.

Chapter 55

- Changes to §55.201 ensure that applications for a PSD GHG permit are not subject to contested case hearing requirements. A notice and comment hearing process will apply.

Chapter 39

- Changes to §39.411 ensure that the public notice text for PSD GHG permits correctly indicates that a person may request a notice and comment hearing for PSD GHG permits (as opposed to a contested case hearing).
- New §39.412 establishes public notice procedures for certain PSD GHG permit applications previously submitted to EPA.

Docket No. 2013-1342-RUL

B.) Scope required by federal regulations or state statutes:

40 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) §51.166 and §52.21, are the rules governing the preconstruction authorization PSD (Federal Clean Air Act (FCAA) Title I, Part C) program, applicable to major sources and major modifications of regulated new source review (NSR) pollutants. The federal Tailoring Rule amended §51.166 and §52.21 to establish specific applicability thresholds for emissions of GHGs.

40 CFR Part 70 contains the rules for operating permit programs that are implemented by the states, as required by Title V of the FCAA. State operating permit programs are approved by the EPA. The Tailoring Rule amended Part 70 to require major sources of GHGs (as determined under the tailored thresholds) to obtain, revise, or renew their operating permit to include GHGs-specific applicable requirements.

THSC, §382.051, establishes the permitting authority of the commission. The commission may issue permits to construct a new facility or modify an existing facility that may emit air contaminants, and to operate a federal source. This section also gives the commission authority to adopt rules as necessary to comply with changes in federal law or regulations applicable to permits issued under Chapter 382.

THSC, §382.05102, establishes the commission's authority to adopt rules to issue permits for GHG emissions, to the extent these emissions require authorization under federal law. These permit processes are not subject to contested case hearing under Chapter 382, THSC, Chapter 5, Texas Water Code or Texas Government Code, Chapter 2001. The section also directs the commission to submit the adopted rules to EPA for approval into the Texas SIP, and to develop rules specifying the procedures for transitioning applications pending at EPA to the TCEQ for review and issuance. The section also specifies that the commission will repeal the GHGs permitting rules if emissions of GHGs are no longer required to be authorized under federal law.

C.) Additional staff recommendations that are not required by federal rule or state statute:

- In response to a petition for rulemaking, a proposed change to the §101.1 definition of "reportable quantity" would assign an RQ value of 5,000 pounds to a firefighting compound (C6 Fluoroketone), instead of the default value of 100 pounds.

Statutory authority:

State Authority: THSC Chapter 382 (Texas Clean Air Act), §382.011, General Powers and Duties; THSC, §382.012; State Air Control Plan; THSC, §382.017, Rules; THSC, §382.051, Permitting Authority of Commission; Rules; THSC, §382.05102, Permitting Authority of Commission; Greenhouse Gas Emissions; THSC, §382.054, Federal Operating Permit; THSC, §382.056, Notice of Intent to Obtain Permit or Permit Review; Hearing; THSC, §382.0518, Preconstruction Permit; THSC, §382.0621 Operating Permit Fee; TWC §5.102, General Powers, and TWC, §5.103 Rules.

Docket No. 2013-1342-RUL

FCAA §110(a)(2)(C); §165(a); §§501 - 505.

Effect on the:

A.) Regulated community:

Approval by EPA of these rules will end the FIP; major sources of GHGs in Texas will submit PSD and Title V applications to TCEQ only. There will be a fiscal impact due to additional collection of PSD permit fees, Title V emissions fees, and the cost for affected sources to prepare emissions inventories.

B.) Public:

Because federal PSD permits issued by EPA under the FIP do not provide an opportunity for a contested case hearing, excluding PSD GHG applications from contested case hearings under state rules would not affect the public in a manner different than under the FIP. The public will have an opportunity to submit comments on the PSD and TV applications that are processed by the commission when the rules are approved and the FIP is lifted.

C.) Agency programs:

TCEQ programs will be affected due to an increased number of PSD and Title V permit applications. The commission will monitor the cost of implementing these rules, and if necessary, may increase air emission fees to fund the additional cost for permitting GHGs.

Stakeholder meetings:

In order to expedite the rulemaking and EPA's approval, no stakeholder meetings are planned. A public hearing will be held, as well as a public comment period of at least 30 days if the commission approves the proposed rule project for publication.

Potential controversial concerns and legislative interest:

HB 788 made the finding that TCEQ is the preferred GHG permitting authority in Texas. The bill passed with two thirds vote in both Houses, taking immediate effect upon the Governor's signature. There is legislative interest that the commission expeditiously adopt rules, so that EPA may approve rules into the SIP and concurrently lift the FIP so that Texas industry may obtain PSD and Title V permits from TCEQ rather than EPA.

Will this rulemaking affect any current policies or require development of new policies? This rulemaking does not specifically affect any current policies, however, because the rules are implementing a new and unique federal permitting scheme, changes to policies may be identified during the implementation phase.

What are the consequences if this rulemaking does not go forward? Are there alternatives to rulemaking?

Failure to adopt rules and gain EPA approval will mean the FIP will remain in place and the authority to issue GHG permits will remain with EPA. In addition, HB 788 specifically directs TCEQ to adopt rules, so failure to do so would represent a failure to implement

Commissioners
Page 6
October 4, 2013

Docket No. 2013-1342-RUL

legislative directive. There are no alternatives to rulemaking that will satisfy HB 788 and provide the opportunity for EPA's rescission of the FIP.

Key points in the proposal rulemaking schedule:

Anticipated proposal date: October 23, 2013

Anticipated *Texas Register* publication date: November 8, 2013

Anticipated public hearing date: December 5, 2013

Anticipated public comment period: November 8, 2013 - December 9, 2013

Anticipated adoption date: March 26, 2014

Agency contacts:

Tasha Burns, Rule Project Manager, 239-5868, Air Permits Division

John M. Minter, Staff Attorney, 239-0663

Charlotte Horn, Texas Register Coordinator, 239-0779

Attachments

House Bill (HB) 788 (83rd Legislature)

cc: Chief Clerk, 2 copies
Executive Director's Office
Anne Idsal
Tucker Royall
Office of General Counsel
Tasha Burns
Charlotte Horn