

1 Sabine and Neches Rivers and Sabine Lake Bay
2 Basin and Bay Area Stakeholder Committee

3 **Draft Work Plan**

4 *Submission to the Environmental Flows Advisory*
5 *Group and the Texas Commission on Environmental*
6 *Quality*

7 December 2010

8 FOR SABINE-NECHES BBASC REVIEW
9 NOVEMBER 19, 2010
10 (File Name: Sabine-Neches-Work-Plan-Draft-20101119.doc)

11

12 **Work Plan**

13 *Sabine-Neches Stakeholder Committee submission to the Environmental*
14 *Flows Advisory Group and the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality*

Sabine-Neches Stakeholder Committee

December 2010

Jerry L. Clark, Chair
Robert Stroder, P.E., Vice Chair

The Honorable Troy Fraser,
The Honorable Allan Ritter,
Co-presiding Officers, Environmental Flows
Advisory Group

Members

David Alford
Joe W. Arnold
Christopher L. Bean
Keith Bonds
W. Greg Carter, P.E.
Katherine Davis
Kenneth D. Dickson
Bruce Drury
Walter Glenn
Kelley Holcomb
Kathleen Thea Jackson, P.E.
Will Kirkpatrick
Rodney Newman
Jerry D. Nichols
Fr. Sinclair Oubre, J.C.L.
Jo M. (Jody) Puckett, P.E.
David Roemer
Monty D. Shank
C.R. "Dick" Sherron, M.D.
Robert Neal "Bob" Staton, Jr.
Jeanie Turk

Mark R. Vickery, P.G.,
Executive Director,
Texas Commission on Environmental Quality

Gentlemen,

The Sabine and Neches Rivers and Sabine
Lake Bay Basin and Bay Area Stakeholder
Committee, with assistance from the Sabine-
Neches Expert Science team, has prepared
this draft Work Plan pursuant to its charge
under Senate Bill 3 (80th R, 2007).

Sabine-Neches Expert Science Team

Jack W. Tatum, Chairman
Scott Hall, P.E., Vice-Chairman

Members

Gary Graham, P.E.
Richard Harrel, Ph.D.
Rex H. Hunt, P.E.
J. Roger Kelley, P.E.
Matthew McBroom, Ph.D.
Jack D. McCullough, Ph.D.
David L. Parkhill, P.E.
Samuel Kent Vaughn, P.E.
Kirk Winemiller, Ph.D.

15 **Table of Contents**

16 **1 Prologue..... 1**

17 **2 Overview of Sabine-Neches BBEST/ BBASC Recommendations**

18 **Reports 4**

19 2.1 Sabine-Neches BBEST Environmental Flows Recommendations Report ...

20 4

21 2.2 Sabine-Neches BBASC Recommendations Report 5

22 **3 Work Plan Charge..... 7**

23 **4 Periodic Review 10**

24 **5 Monitoring, Studies, and Activities 11**

25 5.1 Major Habitat Categories and Data Collection Disciplines 11

26 5.1.1 Riverine..... 11

27 5.1.2 Estuarine 11

28 5.2 Activities Related to Data Collection and Monitoring 12

29 5.2.1 Establishment of Central Geo-referenced Database 12

30 5.2.2 Identification of Data Gaps 13

31 5.2.3 Currently Ongoing Data Collection Programs..... 13

32 5.3 Priority Monitoring, Studies and Activities 16

33 5.3.1 Sabine-Neches BBEST Recommendations for Future Research Activities .

34 17

35 5.3.2 Data Collection Disciplines 18

36 5.3.3 Data Collection Protocol and Quality Assurance..... 24

37 **6 Schedules for Continuing Validation and Refinement 26**

38

39

40

41 **1 Prologue**

42 Senate Bill 3 (SB 3) was intended to create a basin-by-basin process for
43 developing “environmental flow standards” to provide the appropriate
44 amount of instream flows and freshwater inflows by balancing the
45 environmental need with the need for water for humans and other
46 purposes. In recognition of the importance of adaptive management in this
47 process, after making its recommendations each basin and bay area
48 stakeholder committee, with the assistance of its expert science team, must
49 prepare and submit a Work Plan. The Work Plan thus is the vehicle for
50 facilitating the adaptive management of the SB 3 environmental flow
51 analyses, environmental flow recommendations, and environmental flow
52 standards and strategies to achieve the standards. The Science Advisory
53 Committee (SAC) Work Plan Guidance¹ describes adaptive management in
54 its critical role in the SB 3 process as follows:

55 *Adaptive management is interpreted to encompass the entire process*
56 *that is envisioned in SB3 for the work plan. Adaptive management*
57 *needs three main components to be effective. It must first have a*
58 *procedure for identifying what further study or clarification is required.*
59 *Secondly, it must have funding and resources to address those issues.*
60 *Finally, it must have a mechanism to support some level of change in*
61 *the standards and/or implementation strategies. What is clear is that*
62 *adaptive management will play an important role in the process and*
63 *that the work plan is the appropriate instrument for outlining the*
64 *framework for adaptive management in each respective basin.*
65

66 The Sabine and Neches Rivers and Sabine Lake Bay Basin and Bay Sabine
67 Expert Science Team (Sabine-Neches BBEST) submitted its Environmental
68 Flows Recommendations Report that developed environmental flow analyses
69 to determine the flow needed to support a “sound ecological environment”
70 without regard to the need for water for other uses, in November 2009.
71 The Sabine-Neches Rivers and Sabine Lake Basin and Bay Area Stakeholder
72 Committee (Sabine-Neches BBASC) submitted its Recommendations Report
73 in May 2010.

¹ Considerations in the Development of an SB 3 Work Plan for Adaptive Management, Report # SAC-2010-02, August 20, 2010 (accessed 11/9/2010)

74 The Texas Commission on Environmental Quality (TCEQ) released pending
75 proposed rules for HB 3/SB 3 Environmental Flows on October 14, 2010.
76 On November 3, 2010, the pending proposed rules were adopted by the
77 commissioners to be published in the Texas Register for public comment on
78 November 19, 2010, and are currently *proposals*.² The Executive Summary
79 of the pending proposed rules TCEQ states that, “a potential controversial
80 concern in the proposed rulemaking related to the Sabine and Neches
81 Rivers and Sabine Lake lies in the fact that the BBASC’s adopted
82 recommendation did not include environmental flow standards or
83 environmental flow set asides. The basin’s BBASC recommended that more
84 time be taken to gather more information to determine the amount of water
85 needed to support a sound ecological environment.” The TCEQ states in
86 the pending proposed rules,³ “In the absence of a recommendation from
87 the stakeholders, which would have balanced science with other public
88 interests, the commission proposes standards based on available
89 information and recommendations from the science team.” Subsequently,
90 at its October 27, 2010, Sabine-Neches BBASC/ BBEST meeting, the BBASC
91 directed the BBEST to assist them with the specific work task to determine
92 the impacts upon water supply strategies of a proposed BBASC flow regime
93 for TCEQ standards that balances the Sabine-Neches BBEST HEFR⁴-based
94 environmental flow recommendations that are in the current pending
95 proposed standards with the need for other uses, including the needs of
96 man. A Sabine-Neches BBASC Flow Regime Review Subcommittee of the
97 BBEST was formed to accomplish this specific assigned task. **For the**
98 **remainder of this Work Plan, “environmental flow**
99 **recommendation” refers to this proposed balanced BBASC flow**
100 **regime for TCEQ standards.**

101

² Proposals, TCEQ, <http://www.tceq.state.tx.us/rules/prop.html>
(accessed 11/8/2010)

³ Chapter 298 – Environmental Flow Standards for Surface Water,
Subchapter C. Sabine, Neches Rivers, and Sabine Lake Bay.

⁴ Hydrology-Based Environmental Flow Regime

102 In addition, a Sabine-Neches BBEST Work Plan Monitoring, Studies, and
103 Activities Subcommittee was formed to provide assistance to the Sabine-
104 Neches BBASC for this draft Work Plan related to specific monitoring,
105 studies and activities needed to perform analyses to determine flows which
106 are needed to support a sound ecological environment as defined by the
107 Sabine-Neches BBASC.

108 To assist in the SB 3 rulemaking process, the Sabine-Neches BBASC is
109 pleased to provide this draft Work Plan to the Environmental Flows Advisory
110 Group (EFAG) and the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality (TCEQ).

111 **2 Overview of Sabine-Neches BBEST/ BBASC**
112 **Recommendations Reports**

113 The Sabine and Neches Rivers and Sabine Lake Bay Basin and Bay Expert
114 Science Team (Sabine-Neches BBEST) submitted its Environmental Flows
115 Recommendations Report in November, 2009,⁵ and the Sabine and Neches
116 Rivers and Sabine Lake Bay Basin and Bay Area Stakeholder Committee
117 submitted its Recommendations Report in May, 2010.⁶

118 **2.1 Sabine-Neches BBEST Environmental Flows Recommendations**
119 **Report**

120 Under its SB 3 Charge, the Sabine-Neches BBEST used the “best science
121 available” to develop environmental flow analyses and recommend flow
122 regimes for the Sabine and Neches Basins and the Sabine-Neches Estuary,
123 without regard to the need for water for other uses. These
124 recommendations were provided to the Sabine-Neches BBASC, the Texas
125 Environmental Flows Advisory Group (EFAG), and the Texas Commission on
126 Environmental Quality (TCEQ) in November, 2009. The primary findings of
127 the BBEST are as follows:

- 128 • the current conditions of the Sabine and Neches Rivers and Sabine
129 Lake Estuary are sound;
- 130 • flows in the Sabine and Neches Rivers and inflow to the Sabine Lake
131 Estuary will change over time; and
- 132 • future study, data gathering and adaptive management are
133 necessary to determine whether these changes in environmental
134 flow will maintain a sound ecological environment.

135 The Sabine-Neches BBEST made their recommendations and recognitions
136 where recommendations were not made, with qualifying language and in
137 some cases identified unresolved issues that will need future study and
138 adaptive management as described in this Work Plan.

⁵ <http://www.sratx.org/BBEST/RecommendationsReport/> (accessed 10/11/2010)

⁶ <http://www.sratx.org/BBASC/RecommendationsReport/> (accessed 10/11/2010)

139 **2.2 Sabine-Neches BBASC Recommendations Report**

140 In keeping with its Charge, the Sabine-Neches BBASC reviewed and made
141 the following comments on the Sabine-Neches BBEST Recommendations
142 Report:

- 143 • The Sabine-Neches BBEST’s definition of a sound ecological system
144 does not focus on the current makeup of important species and does
145 not adequately cover all of the important habitat types in the study
146 area;
- 147 • The flow regime produced by the Sabine-Neches BBEST is more
148 reflective of the existing flows than environmental need for flows;
149 and
- 150 • Estuary soundness can best be addressed through physical changes
151 (marsh restoration) to reduce the frequency and distance of
152 saltwater intrusion into the surrounding tidal wetlands rather than
153 imposing the HEFR-created flow regimes from the most downstream
154 gages.

155 The Sabine-Neches BBASC also recommended the following definition of a
156 “sound ecological environment” for balancing the needs of Texas citizens
157 with a sound ecological environment for the Sabine and Neches River
158 Basins and Sabine Lake Estuary.

159 A sound ecological environment is one that:

- 160 • supports a healthy diversity of fish and other aquatic life;
- 161 • sustains a full complement of important species;
- 162 • provides for all major aquatic habitat types including rivers and
163 streams, reservoirs, and estuaries;
- 164 • sustains key ecosystem processes; and
- 165 • maintains water quality adequate for aquatic life.

166 The Science Advisory Committee (SAC) Work Plan Guidance cautions that
167 designing a monitoring plan to evaluate whether a system is maintaining a

168 sound ecological environment can be accomplished assuming that the work
169 plan has a specific definition for what constitutes a “sound ecological
170 environment.” For the purposes of this Work Plan, the Sabine-Neches
171 BBASC adopts the above definition of a “sound ecological environment” that
172 it recommended in its Recommendations Report.⁷

⁷ TCEQ adopted the BBASC’s definition of a “sound ecological environment” in its pending proposed rules.

173 **3 Work Plan Charge**

174 SB 3 offers the following language for the development of a Work Plan.

175 Section 11.02362 (p) In recognition of the importance of adaptive
176 management, after submitting its recommendations regarding
177 environmental flow standards and strategies to meet the environmental
178 flow standards to the commission, each basin and bay area stakeholders
179 committee, with the assistance of the pertinent basin and bay expert
180 science team, shall prepare and submit for approval by the advisory group a
181 work plan. The work plan must:

182 (1) establish a periodic review of the basin and bay environmental flow
183 analyses and environmental flow regime recommendations,
184 environmental flow standards, and strategies, to occur at least once
185 every 10 years;

186 (2) prescribe specific monitoring, studies, and activities; and

187 (3) establish a schedule for continuing the validation or refinement of
188 the basin and bay environmental flow analyses and environmental
189 flow regime recommendations, the environmental flow standards
190 adopted by the commission, and the strategies to achieve those
191 standards.

192 Section 11.1471 (f) An environmental flow standard or environmental flow
193 set-aside adopted under Subsection (a) may be altered by the commission
194 in a rulemaking process undertaken in accordance with a schedule
195 established by the commission. In establishing a schedule, the commission
196 shall consider the applicable work plan approved by the advisory group
197 under Section 11.02362(p).

198 The SAC has provided Work Plan Guidance for interpretation of the Work
199 Plan charge and identification of topics to consider in the development of a
200 Work Plan.

201

202 The SAC identified the following three questions that must be resolved to
203 develop a Work Plan to meet its charge:

204 1. what monitoring, special studies, or other information is required to
205 fill data gaps and validate and refine the environmental flow
206 analyses and environmental flow regime, as well as the
207 environmental flow standards and achievement strategies;

208 2. what will be the appropriate schedule for studies and review of
209 standards; and

210 3. how will the work be paid for?

211 The remainder of this Work Plan provides answers to these critical
212 questions within the specific and unique context of the Sabine-Neches River
213 Basins.

214 The SAC Work Plan Guidance states that throughout the initial BBEST and
215 BBASC process, a recurring theme echoed from both basins engaged in SB
216 3 to date has been that there is limited information to describe or define
217 the ecological role that flow plays in supporting aquatic and/or riparian
218 communities. Consequently, the SAC Work Plan Guidance suggests that
219 **“the principal immediate technical goal of a work plan for these**
220 **two basins is to fill in data gaps and assist in establishing a cause-**
221 **and-effect relationship of some measure(s) of ecosystem health to**
222 **the magnitude, timing, and duration of environmental flows.”⁸** Thus
223 the research studies, surveys, and monitoring programs (“Work Plan
224 Approach”) within this Work Plan should keep this goal at the forefront as
225 they are refined from the general guidance given here into specific study
226 design documents.

227 Per the SAC Work Plan Guidance, **short-term research and focused**
228 **surveys** (short- or long-term) should address data gaps and address
229 shortcomings in BBEST and/ or BBASC recommendations with the goal to
230 establish linkages between flow and ecology to better document what flows

⁸ Emphasis added.

231 are necessary and/ or better define the role of the prescribed flow
232 components (subsistence flows, base flows, and high flow pulses, for the
233 Sabine-Neches Basins). **Long-term monitoring** should focus on whether
234 the recommendations, standards, and implementation strategies are
235 meeting the goals and objectives set forth in SB 3, which as stated above
236 are foremost to provide the appropriate amount of instream flows by
237 balancing the environmental need with the need for water for humans and
238 other purposes.

239

240 **4 Periodic Review**

241 TCEQ should establish a five-year cycle of review of the Sabine-Neches
242 Basin environmental flow analyses and environmental flow
243 recommendations, environmental flow standards adopted by the
244 Commission, and the strategies to achieve those standards integrated with
245 the SB 1 Regional Planning five-year cycle.⁹ The SAC Work Plan Guidance
246 acknowledges the linkage between the SB 3 environmental flows process
247 and the SB 1 regional planning process suggested by both the Sabine-
248 Neches BBASC and the Trinity-San Jacinto BBASC. The SAC notes that the
249 results of the SB 3 work should provide for improving the level of technical
250 knowledge and regulatory certainty to inform future deliberation by the
251 Regional Water Planning Groups (RWPGs). And reciprocally, the detailed
252 water needs analysis provided by the RWPGs should inform the work of the
253 BBASCs.

254 In advance of the preparation of the next State Water Plan (2012), the
255 RWPGs recently submitted their Initially Prepared Plans (IPPs, to be
256 adopted in 2011) to the Texas Water Development Board (TWDB). The
257 SB 3 periodic review schedule should be aligned such that the review is
258 available for the RWPGs to consider in each round of regional planning (the
259 next being in preparation for the 2017 State Water Plan). For the SB 3
260 process in the Sabine and Neches River Basins, the RWPGs in Regions I, D,
261 C, and H must be involved in the planning in order to balance the needs of
262 man with the environment.

263

⁹ Water Resources Planning & Information, TWDB,
<http://www.twdb.state.tx.us/wrpi/index.htm> (accessed 11/8/2010)

264 **5 Monitoring, Studies, and Activities**

265 **5.1 Major Habitat Categories and Data Collection Disciplines**

266 The following river basin habitat categories and disciplines within each
267 category require additional data. A better understanding of these systems
268 will guide adaptation of recommended flow regimes toward more accurate
269 and defensible solutions as needed. River basins have a long history of
270 anthropogenic influences that must also be considered with all future
271 studies. Each component should be considered as an integral part of an
272 interrelated system with a recent and long-term history of dynamic
273 equilibrium. Flow, habitat, biota, water chemistry, and physical processes
274 are interrelated and monitoring studies will include concurrent
275 measurements within each discipline. It should be noted that climate
276 change issues throw too many unknown variables into an already variable-
277 laden process; for now, climate change issues need not be considered in
278 development of SB 3 studies.

279 5.1.1 Riverine

280 Hydrology (flow components: subsistence, base, and high flow
281 pulses)

282 Water Quality (temperature, dissolved oxygen, pH, conductivity,
283 nutrients, and others as appropriate)

284 Biology (riverine focal species and habitat)

285 Geomorphology (physical processes)

286 5.1.2 Estuarine

287 Hydrology (freshwater inflows; rainfall; channelization; depths;
288 salinity; wind; tides) in open water and wetlands/ marsh habitat

289 Water Quality (temperature, dissolved oxygen, pH, conductivity,
290 nutrients, and others as appropriate)

291 Biology (estuarine focal species and habitat)

292 Geomorphology (physical processes)

293

294 **5.2 Activities Related to Data Collection and Monitoring**

295 The Sabine-Neches BBEST Work Plan Monitoring, Studies and Activities
296 Subcommittee has provided assistance to the Sabine-Neches BBASC for this
297 draft Work Plan with the qualification that the descriptions regarding
298 needed research and monitoring to provide better understanding of the
299 relationships of biology, water quality and geomorphology to environmental
300 flows are dependent on funding through existing agency programs or new
301 proposals. Dr. Winemiller has suggested that the way to proceed with such
302 data collection and research in the Sabine and Neches River Basins and
303 Sabine Lake Estuary System would be through a request for proposals
304 (RFP) or request for qualifications (RFQ) process when such funds become
305 available. It is suggested that the BBEST or other independent advisory
306 group could evaluate the proposals and make recommendations for
307 selection of contractors for each study.

308 Due to the continuing time constraints in the SB3 process, this draft Work
309 Plan provides only general guidance for such studies. It is anticipated that
310 proposers for funded studies such as Universities and consulting firms
311 would respond with the needed detail for their proposals. It is important,
312 as Dr. Winemiller has pointed out, to recognize that funding for such work
313 may be limited, and this approach would result in the greatest efficiency,
314 highest quality studies and the most objective way to move forward to fill
315 the recognized data gaps.

316 **5.2.1 Establishment of Central Geo-referenced Database**

317 Existing and future data (hydrology, biology, water quality, and
318 geomorphology) should be gathered to a central geo-referenced database,
319 placing a priority on user-friendly retrieval and end-use-friendly formatting
320 of the data. For example, the TWDB contracted with Stephen F. Austin
321 State University (Sabine-Neches BBEST member Dr. Matthew McBroom) to
322 provide a literature database for the Neches River Basin which may be used
323 as a template for additional data. The geo-location database is currently
324 being expanded and integrated to include other parts of the Sabine-Neches
325 basin, which should be an ongoing effort as new studies are completed.
326 The geo-location data base can also be used to identify gaps in knowledge
327 within the basin, and short-comings in the database should be identified.

328 5.2.2 Identification of Data Gaps
329 The purpose of data collection is to fill gaps and provide additional insight
330 into flow-based parameters that will assist validation and/ or refinement of
331 flow regime recommendations. The Sabine-Neches BBEST identified
332 specific areas of study that would have assisted in their recommendations
333 and recognized that data were not readily available or did not exist at
334 sufficient resolution to make flow regime recommendations which could be
335 defended as a minimum recommendation. A centralized database will assist
336 in assembly and review of existing information and additional data needs
337 will be addressed through current monitoring, new studies, or modifications
338 to existing programs.

339 5.2.3 Currently Ongoing Data Collection Programs
340 The following programs, and possibly others, may provide resources for
341 refinement of environmental flow regimes. Cooperation and communication
342 will reduce costs and increase applicability of data from all programs to the
343 environmental flows process. SB 3 did not address funding for monitoring
344 studies and activities associated with validation and refinement of flow
345 regimes. If funding isn't appropriated, additional data needs may depend
346 primarily upon modifications to existing federal, state, and local monitoring
347 programs. Without specific funding, new information may be less specific
348 to Texas Instream Flow Program (TIFP¹⁰) needs and may also take longer to
349 acquire.

350 **5.2.3.1 Existing Federal Programs and Potential Resources**
351 **USGS National Water Information System (NWIS)** The USGS NWIS
352 provides stream flow, reservoir level, and water quality data¹¹ through
353 cooperative funding at priority locations in the Sabine and Neches Basins.
354 Local cooperators (river authorities) originally picked up about 50% of the
355 cost of the gaging program. The federal budget has remained fixed over
356 time, but the cost to maintain the program has increased. Local
357 cooperators are now picking up more than 70% of the operating costs and
358 full cost for any gages outside the scope of the federal mission. If other

¹⁰ Texas Instream Flow Program, TWDB,
<http://www.twdb.state.tx.us/instreamflows/index.html> (accessed
11/9/2010)

¹¹ <http://waterdata.usgs.gov/nwis> (accessed 10/13/2010)

359 data are needed, modifications to locations and/or parameters can be made
360 to further assist SB 3 studies, but these modifications are currently not
361 funded. There is a continuing effort to seek additional funding that would
362 return the USGS cooperative water resources investigations program to its
363 historical 50/50 cost-sharing.

364 **Toledo Bend Project Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC)**
365 **Relicensing** The Toledo Bend Project, which was originally licensed by FERC's
366 predecessor agency, the Federal Power Commission, in 1963, was licensed and
367 developed as a water supply reservoir, with secondary uses including hydroelectric
368 power generation and recreation. The Toledo Bend Project's existing FERC license
369 expires in September 2013. Studies and data collected during the relicensing
370 process will increase knowledge of reservoir, instream and riparian processes and
371 will also be used to fill SB 3 data gaps.

372 **U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) Sabine-Neches Waterway Channel**
373 **Improvement Project** The Sabine-Neches BBEST recognized the ongoing efforts
374 by the USACE in modeling salinity in the estuary as a part of its ongoing
375 considerations for further deepening the Sabine-Neches Ship Channel, a project that
376 proposes to deepen the channel from 40-ft to 48-ft (with selective widening) from
377 the Gulf of Mexico through Sabine Lake and upstream to the Port of Beaumont.¹²
378 The USACE report included a sophisticated hydrodynamic salinity model of Sabine
379 Lake and the tidal waters in Texas and Louisiana associated with Sabine Lake. In
380 addition to the approximately 50,000 surface acres of open water in Sabine Lake,
381 this report studied some 110,000 acres of associated wetlands in Texas and some
382 200,000 acres of associated wetlands in Louisiana. The USACE study included
383 existing and 2060 water usage from the 2007 Texas Water Plan. Specific
384 recommendations are made in this report for mitigation and restoration of wetlands
385 habitat in Texas and Louisiana to offset the incremental changes resulting from
386 deepening the ship channel.

387 **National Park Service (NPS)** Big Thicket National Preserve Study, NPS and
388 Texas A&M University (TAMU). On April 15, 2009, The Conservation Fund donated

¹² Sabine-Neches Waterway Channel Improvement Project, USACE, <http://www.swg.usace.army.mil/pe-p/SNWW/SNWW.asp> (accessed 11/9/2010)

389 nearly 6,600 acres of cypress-tupelo swamp, bottomland hardwood forest, and
390 freshwater marsh to the Big Thicket National Preserve. Most of the donated lands
391 are in a 6,000-acre wetlands complex called the Lower Cypress tract. The Big
392 Thicket National Preserve has proposed to work cooperatively with researchers at
393 TAMU to: 1) identify focal species, 2) recommend an environmental flow regime to
394 maintain the ecological integrity and persistence of biological communities of the
395 Lower Cypress tract wetlands, and 3) recommend monitoring strategies to measure
396 key ecological responses to freshwater flows. The deliveries of freshwater to the
397 Lower Cypress tract offsets saltwater intrusion and delivers sediment, both being
398 essential for the maintenance of wetland productivity and mitigation against relative
399 sea-level rise and increased salinity. The funding for this project is currently
400 pending in the Federal Budget.

401 **5.2.3.2 Existing State Agency Programs and Potential Resources**
402 **Texas Clean Rivers Program** The Texas Clean Rivers Program (CRP¹³) is
403 a state fee-funded program for water quality monitoring, assessment, and
404 public outreach. The CRP is a collaboration of 15 partner agencies and the
405 TCEQ. It provides a framework and forum for managing water quality issues
406 within a river basin, both locally and regionally, by coordinating the efforts
407 of diverse organizations.¹⁴ The Sabine River Authority of Texas (SRA-TX),
408 Lower Neches Valley Authority (LNVA), and Angelina & Neches River
409 Authority (ANRA) participate in the CRP monitoring program and possible
410 modifications to the programs may assist in filling data gaps.

411 **Senate Bill 2 Texas Instream Flow Program** The purpose of the Texas
412 Instream Flow Program (TIFP) is to perform scientific studies to determine flow
413 conditions necessary to support a sound ecological environment in rivers and
414 streams of Texas. The lower Sabine River is the only river segment in the Sabine
415 and Neches River Basins selected for the first tier SB 2 studies. The Lower Sabine

¹³ Texas Clean Rivers Program, TCEQ,
<http://www.tceq.state.tx.us/nav/eq/texcleanriver.html> (accessed
11/9/2010)

¹⁴ <http://www.tceq.state.tx.us/compliance/monitoring/crp/> (accessed
10/13/2010)

416 Study Design Workgroup¹⁵ adopted the following Goal for the study that is intended
417 to be consistent with a “sound ecological environment”

418 *Our goal is a healthy, functioning Lower Sabine River Basin that has:*

- 419 • *high quality water*
- 420 • *sufficient flow*
- 421 • *a sustainable ecosystem*

422 *to assure a dynamic balance between human needs and the environment.*

423 This program is currently preparing a draft Study Design.

424 **Texas State Soil and Water Conservation Board (TSSWCB)** The
425 TSSWCB is the lead agency for planning, implementing, and managing
426 programs and practices for preventing and abating agricultural and
427 silvicultural nonpoint sources of water pollution. These activities are
428 provided through a Clean Water Act 319(h) Nonpoint Source grant program
429 through the TSSWCB and the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).
430 Watershed Protection Plans are developed for addressing water pollution
431 issues and implementing voluntary best management practices that assure
432 the long-term health of a watershed.

433 **Additional State Agency Programs and Potential Resources**

434 The SAC Work Plan Guidance includes a survey regarding statewide
435 monitoring activities and studies conducted by the agencies that may
436 provide resources for refinement of environmental flow regimes.¹⁶

437 **5.3 Priority Monitoring, Studies and Activities**

438 Monitoring and data collection activities should prioritize verification of
439 assumptions and fill data gaps identified by the BBEST and BBASC, assist in
440 flow regime effectiveness monitoring, and provide data necessary to adjust
441 flow regimes through adaptive management.

¹⁵ Lower Sabine River Basin, TWDB,
<http://www.twdb.state.tx.us/instreamflows/sabineriverbasin.html>
(accessed 11/9/2010)

¹⁶ SAC Work Plan Guidance, Appendix B – Responses to SAC Workplan
Questionnaire

442 5.3.1 Sabine-Neches BBEST Recommendations for Future Research
443 Activities
444 The Sabine-Neches BBEST Biological Overlay Document¹⁷ recommended the
445 following to assist in refining environmental flow recommendations for
446 future research activities:

- 447 1. More data and improved knowledge of the ecological conditions and
448 responses to flow variation are needed for the zone between the
449 subsistence flow and dry base flow thresholds for each season. Field
450 studies are needed in multiple stream and river segments of the
451 basins to reveal relationships between key environmental
452 parameters and biotic components during periods of low flow.
- 453 2. Additionally, more thought and deliberation are needed regarding
454 alternative implementation guidelines (policies) for water diversions
455 as flows change within the zone lying between the thresholds for
456 subsistence and dry base flows. The concern here is that diversions
457 under dry-year base flow conditions could drive flows to the
458 subsistence flow threshold for long periods of time. The subsistence
459 flow defines a very rare occurrence, on the order of the lowest 1-2
460 percentile of all recorded flows.
- 461 3. More research is needed to establish, with greater precision and
462 accuracy, the relationships between discharge and inundation of
463 riparian bottomland hardwood and wetland zones of the floodplain.
464 We were only able to obtain data for a limited number of our stream
465 and river segments, but more aerial images may be available for
466 analysis, and additional high quality images should be obtained in
467 the future.
- 468 4. Research is needed to quantify relationships between flow pulses
469 (timing, duration, frequency) and reproduction and recruitment of
470 important fish populations, within mainstem and tributary segments

¹⁷ Sabine/Neches BBEST Biological Overlay Approach, November 30, 2009,
<http://www.sratx.org/BBEST/RecommendationsReport/LinkedDocuments/SN-BBEST-BiologyOverlay-Appendix-XIII.pdf> (accessed 11/9/2010)

471 of the basins. Research is needed for species that complete their life
472 cycle within the main channel as well as those that use both
473 channels and backwaters (aquatic floodplain habitats).

474 5. More research is needed to establish relationships between the
475 freshwater inflows established under the fluvial environmental flow
476 recommendations and biological components of Sabine Lake. Given
477 the heterogeneity and diversity of the estuarine ecosystem, focal
478 species should receive greatest attention.

479 6. Relationships between freshwater inflows and salinity in fringing
480 marshes, especially in the northern regions of Sabine Lake are
481 needed. The influence of wind, tides, and depth of human-
482 constructed channels on salinity dynamics in these regions should be
483 examined.

484 7. Further evaluation of inputs and outputs for desk-top and other
485 methodologies for hydrologic analysis are needed to evaluate
486 implications of various flow partitions on environmental flow
487 assessments. In other words, what limitations are imposed on the
488 ecological assessments when larger or smaller percentages of the
489 flows during a time interval are placed into the base-flow category
490 or the various categories of high flow pulses?¹⁸

491 5.3.2 Data Collection Disciplines

492 Areas of study which presently appear to be needed are included below.

493 These data needs are based on data gaps noted in the Sabine-Neches
494 BBEST Biological Overlay Appendix and more generally in the Sabine-
495 Neches BBASC recommendations. Depending on goals for data collection,
496 studies may be short-term research studies, short or long-term focused
497 surveys, or long-term monitoring programs. For each study it is important
498 to collect sufficient data to isolate the effects of flow from other ecological
499 response mechanisms. As the SAC Work Plan guidance states, there are
500 many factors in addition to flow that can lead to a change in organism

¹⁸ Number 7 was added subsequent to the Sabine-Neches BBEST Biological Overlay.

501 response and any study, survey, or monitoring activity must be designed to
502 tease out this information to obtain a defensible answer.

503 **5.3.2.1 Riverine**

504 **Hydrology** Collection of data for further evaluation of inputs and outputs
505 for desk-top and other methodologies for hydrologic analysis are needed to
506 evaluate implications of various flow partitions on environmental flow
507 assessments. Field studies are needed for the 11 measurement points
508 (USGS gages) to reveal relationships between hydrology and focal species
509 during periods of subsistence, base, and pulse flows. Connectivity
510 (longitudinal, lateral, vertical, and temporal) as it relates to different flows
511 and the timing of flows as well as movement and exchange of water,
512 nutrients, sediments, organic matter, and organisms from the watershed to
513 the river proper are integral to focal species studies.

514 **Water Quality** The Sabine-Neches BBEST recognized the need to target
515 additional water quality data collection at very low flows. These conditions
516 occur infrequently and are not adequately reflected in routine ambient
517 water quality monitoring. Water quality monitoring should also be
518 integrated with other discipline studies (integration). Typical water quality
519 study parameters should include temperature, dissolved oxygen,
520 chlorophyll-*a*, pH, conductivity, turbidity, total dissolved solids and others
521 such as nutrients, metals, etc., which may be appropriate and related to
522 site-specific studies.

523 **Biology**

524 *Physical Habitat* The Sabine-Neches BBEST instream flow recommendations
525 relied upon statistical flow evaluations and general knowledge about focal
526 species lifecycle needs such as reproductive behavior and temperature
527 preference ranges. Data is needed to establish site-specific information for
528 habitats required by important species within mainstem and tributary
529 segments of the basins. Longitudinal connectivity and wetted habitat
530 measures should be assessed using biological habitat cross-section
531 measurements described in the TCEQ SWQM Surface Water Quality

532 Monitoring Procedures, Volume 2.¹⁹ Some modifications may be required
533 for non-wadeable streams.

534 *Pitfalls of Flow Regime "Requirements"* Little data is available to establish
535 required flow regimes for fish populations or other functional groups in the
536 Sabine and Neches River Basins. Establishing a "required" flow regime
537 necessitates an understanding of life cycle/ flow dynamics relationships for
538 local species that is not currently available. Attempting to establish
539 relationships using inadequate data for biological systems is very error-
540 prone. Ecological relationships include complexities of food supply,
541 predator/ prey relationships, spawning habitat availability, disease factors,
542 reproductive strategy modifications and other counterintuitive factors which
543 allow species to succeed in habitats which are naturally variable between
544 seasons and from wet to dry multi-year periods. These types of
545 complexities have produced surprising responses to well-intended flow
546 regime changes in other systems.²⁰ "Habitat suitability estimates for
547 various fish species are an important component of any instream flow
548 determination and generally include factors such as depth, velocity,
549 substrate, and cover types. Detailed quantitative measures of habitat
550 associations are lacking for many of the approximately 180 native
551 freshwater fishes found in Texas."^{21,22} Data for specific habitats, such as
552 the predominantly sandy bottom habitat found in the Sabine and Neches
553 basins, is even scarcer. Many studies in Texas have focused on streams
554 and rivers with higher elevation gradients (stream slope) and different
555 substrates (such as rock and cobble) from those typical in the Sabine and
556 Neches Basins (primarily sand, clay, and large woody debris). Academic

19

http://www.tceq.state.tx.us/compliance/monitoring/water/quality/data/wqm/mtr/swqm_procedures.html (accessed 11/8/2010)

²⁰ Geoffrey E. Petts, Instream Flow Science for Sustainable River Management, FLOW 2008: State of the Art – Science, <http://rushingrivers.org/webtestimony/exhibit5.pdf> (accessed 11/8/2010)

²¹ Texas Fish Habitat Survey, <http://rsi-db.its.txstate.edu/fishhabitatsurvey/> (accessed 11/8/2010)

²² http://www1.wrd.state.or.us/pdfs/04_14_10_Presentation_Materials.pdf (accessed 11/16/2010)

557 research is needed to answer critical questions about habitat requirements
558 of local flow-sensitive species. For example: What local species do not
559 readily adapt to flow modifications, what habitats are most critical in their
560 life cycle, and what flow needs do they have?

561 *Surveys of Potentially Limiting Habitat* Available habitat types associated
562 with flow standards measurement points should be surveyed. Identify
563 priority substrate and habitat types (based on habitat needs for focal
564 species), measure availability of these habitats and hydrologically relevant
565 parameters (stream width, bank slope, bank height, bed slope) using geo-
566 referenced transects.²³

567 *Mussel Surveys* Mussel data is lacking and may not be available for each
568 monitoring point. Existing information on mussel populations is based on
569 sparse data with limited water quality and/or flow data associated with the
570 collection event. Habitat and distribution data is limited and host fish
571 species for mussels whose glochidia (young) disperse by attachment to fish
572 gills are not documented for most species.²⁴ Ongoing FERC studies indicate
573 predominantly sandy substrates place a natural limitation on mussel
574 colonization in the lower Sabine River. Tributary studies are currently being
575 designed to further evaluate mussel populations. Results from these
576 studies and possibly others will be needed to evaluate current habitats and
577 mussel populations. Future collections should include the full range of
578 parameters including water quality, flow, and habitat measures. Historic
579 habitat conditions should also be considered in data interpretation.

580

²³ TCEQ SWQM Surface Water Quality Monitoring Procedures, Volume 2

²⁴ Howells, R. G., Neck, R. W., Murray, H. D., & Texas. (1996).
Freshwater mussels of Texas. Learn about Texas. Austin, Tex: Texas
Parks and Wildlife Dept., Inland Fisheries Division.

581 **Geomorphology** As part of the SB 2 process, Dr. Johnathan Phillips has
582 published several papers^{25,26,27,28} with measurements and observations from
583 the Sabine and Neches rivers at limited locations, primarily focused on
584 lower reaches of each river. Very little geomorphic characterization on a
585 broad-scale or detailed-scaled basis exists on other areas of the Sabine and
586 Neches River Basins. Additional data is needed to understand natural
587 processes and how changes in flows may affect them. Physical sediment
588 characterization studies will include sediment coring, grain size
589 measurements, and sediment transport measures.

590 **5.3.2.2 Estuarine**

591 The Sabine-Neches Estuary includes many natural and man-made
592 characteristics which have co-existed since around 1876 (over a 130 year
593 time period) when the “Bonanza” timber industry began in East Texas and
594 western Louisiana. During the early part of this time period, the first
595 navigation canals were dredged to deepen the shallow connection that
596 existed between Sabine Lake (primarily a freshwater lake at the time) and
597 the Gulf of Mexico. Since that time, a number of changes have been made
598 directly to the Sabine Lake Estuary and indirectly through its watershed,
599 each with an incremental effect on its ecology. Some direct changes
600 include digging the Ship Canal in several stages to its current depth of 40ft,
601 the Intracoastal Waterway, and oil and gas well access canals into the
602 marshes, land subsidence from mineral extraction, relative sea level rise,
603 timber harvest which resulted in “drag scars” in surrounding swamps,
604 dredging and navigation access maintenance activities, and restorative
605 activities which include zig-zag terracing and replanting open areas in the

²⁵ Phillips, J. D. (2009), Avulsion regimes in southeast Texas rivers. *Earth Surface Processes and Landforms*, 34: 75–87. doi: 10.1002/esp.1692

²⁶ Phillips, J. D. (2003), Toledo Bend reservoir and geomorphic response in the lower Sabine River. *River Research and Applications*, 19: 137–159. doi: 10.1002/rra.702

²⁷ Phillips, Jonathan D., 2008. Geomorphic units of the Lower Sabine River, Tobacco Road Research Team, Department of Geography, University of Kentucky

²⁸ Jonathan D. Phillips, Lisa Park, Forest blowdown impacts of Hurricane Rita on fluvial systems, *Earth Surface Processes and Landforms*, 2009, 34, 8

606 marshes, rock-facing erodible banks of the Intracoastal Waterway and the
607 Sabine Lake shoreline, and beneficial use of dredge spoils to fill in eroded
608 areas. Tidewater control structures have been built in some of the major
609 canals into the marshes to retard saltwater intrusion into the marshes and
610 slow freshwater movement from the marshes back into Sabine Lake.
611 Indirect changes to the Sabine Lake watershed include construction of
612 reservoirs in the Sabine and Neches river watersheds and construction of
613 recreation (fishing and duck hunting) reservoirs in the marshes to the east
614 and west of Sabine Lake, and a host of other watershed land-use changes,
615 both potentially harmful and potentially restorative. Without construction
616 of ship locks within the Ship Canal connecting Sabine Lake and the Gulf of
617 Mexico, Sabine Lake will never return to a freshwater lake, but physical
618 restoration measures will protect marsh habitat from changes which have
619 occurred in and around the Sabine Lake estuary during the past 130 years.
620 Monitoring is needed before, during, and after restorative measures in
621 order to evaluate incremental changes of future modifications to the
622 estuary as well as evaluate the effectiveness of restorative measures.

623 **5.3.2.3 Reservoirs**

624 Although reservoirs in the Sabine and Neches basins are man-made, they
625 are an integral part of today's riverine ecosystems. In addition to providing
626 a more reliable supply of fresh water supply for man, reservoirs are a
627 significant and valuable habitat for reservoir and riverine fish species,
628 aquatic and semi-aquatic plants, and waterfowl. Some fish species use
629 both reservoir and riverine habitats during their life cycle. Paddlefish and
630 white bass are examples. "Under the Statewide Freshwater Fisheries
631 Monitoring and Management Program, Texas Parks and Wildlife biologists
632 conduct periodic surveys of freshwater fisheries and provide detailed
633 reports on their findings. The program is supported by the Federal Aid in
634 Sport Fish Restoration Act."²⁹ Large public reservoirs are surveyed every
635 four years and selected smaller reservoirs are also surveyed. The TPWD
636 produces a "Performance Report" for these surveys which includes
637 measures of health for species significant to the recreational fishery. Some

²⁹ http://www.tpwd.state.tx.us/publications/pwdpubs/lake_survey/
(accessed 11/15/2010)

638 baitfish such as threadfin shad are also included in these surveys, but little
639 or no data is collected or reported for other ecologically beneficial fish
640 species. This type of data is needed for reservoir habitats. Littoral
641 (shallow) habitat is a very productive habitat in reservoirs and should be
642 included in the focus of reservoir studies for basin-wide ecological health
643 assessment. Productivity of reservoirs may be evaluated in terms of areas
644 of shoreline length (riparian zone) as an index of habitat quality.³⁰ In
645 addition to the reservoir fishery and upstream fisheries, reservoirs typically
646 make voluntary or required freshwater releases to protect downstream
647 habitat or meet other downstream water needs. Minimum flow releases
648 preserve instream flows and fish habitat even during extreme droughts.
649 Reservoirs and downstream tailrace fisheries have ecologically and
650 economically beneficial value which should be recognized along with values
651 of riverine and estuarine habitats.

652 5.3.3 Data Collection Protocol and Quality Assurance

653 Data quality objectives should be established early in the study design
654 process. All data should be collected and verified using accepted methods
655 and protocols³¹ and stored in digital, geo-referenced format. Data
656 collection goals will be re-evaluated and prioritized periodically. Prior to
657 the collection of data for the purposes of any of the disciplines included in
658 this work plan, the data quality objectives must be clearly defined to ensure
659 that the data are scientifically credible by documenting that the collection
660 procedures adhere to proven scientific practices utilizing established quality
661 assurance/quality control measures.

662 Data acquired from other sources or historical data collected prior to this
663 work plan must be reviewed and qualified to assure the data was collected
664 with defined quality objectives and those objectives were satisfied. Data
665 not meeting those requirements should not be included with any analyses
666 or used to determine flow requirements. However, this historical data may

³⁰ Arscott et al., 2002 and Stanford, 2002, (a reference within: Riparia: ecology, conservation, and management of streamside communities (Robert J. Naiman, Henri Décamps, Michael E. McClain))

³¹

http://www.tceq.state.tx.us/compliance/monitoring/water/quality/data/wqm/mtr/swqm_procedures.html (accessed 10/13/2010)

667 have overall value in defining data gaps and needs for further study.
668 Finally, validated and approved data should be stored in digital, geo-
669 referenced format (see also Section 5.2.1, page 12).

670 **6 Schedules for Continuing Validation and Refinement**

671 SB3 requires a schedule for “continuing the validation or refinement of the
672 basin and bay environmental flow analyses and environmental flow regime
673 recommendations, the environmental flow standards adopted by the
674 commission, and the strategies to achieve those standards.” In its Work
675 Plan Guidance, the SAC suggested this process would “confirm or refute
676 that the recommended standards are protective of a sound ecological
677 environment.” By extension of the BBASC’s responsibility to consider man’s
678 needs, validation and refinement should also consider if data is sufficient to
679 defend the flow regime defined by standards as being required for a sound
680 ecological environment. It is anticipated that new information may be
681 evaluated for adaptive management as it becomes available. As stated in
682 the SAC Work Plan Guidance, an evaluation of the standards and
683 implementation strategies is anticipated to be a continuing process.

684 Like the Periodic Review (Section 4, page 10), the schedule for continuing
685 validation and refinement should be aligned with the SB 1 five-year regional
686 planning process.