Note:

In the original presentation, I had an error in the Consensus (CCEFN) calculations. That error has been fixed in this version of the presentation. Hydrologic Methods for Environmental Flows

- with emphasis on HEFR -

Dan Opdyke, TPWD

Presentation at HEFR workshop June 10, 2010







Utility

Hydrologic Methods can be useful

- If data and information are limited
- As part of a larger effort incorporating other scientific disciplines

Hydrologic Methods used in Texas

7Q2

- lowest average stream flow for seven consecutive days with a recurrence interval of two years
- A water quality metric generally not recommended for environmental flows

Lyons

- 40% of monthly median Oct-Feb
- 60% of monthly median Mar-Sep
- Has been considered the "default" TCEQ approach

Hydrologic Methods used in Texas - Continued

Consensus (CCEFN)

- Direct Diversion:
 - 50th %ile, 25th %ile, 7Q2, all based on naturalized flows
- Often used in regional water planning

HEFR

- Used by previous BBESTs
- A precursor of HEFR contributed to the BRA System Operations draft permit

How Do These Compare

- 7Q2, Lyons, and CCEFN calculations are prescriptive, i.e., no flexibility
- HEFR has multiple input parameters at the disposal of the analyst
- All are based on historical (or naturalized) flows

The following slides have a visual comparison of these hydrologic calculations

- Trinity River at Oakwood
- Full period of record (1924-2009, 86 years) July flows only



Note: Linear x axis, Log y axis

Approximately 16M ac-ft total volume over 86 years of Julys



Note: 7Q2 from TCEQ website (1979-1996 only)



Note: Same plot as previous slide, except linear y axis. Blue area can be visually compared to white area in this plot



Note: Same plot as previous two slides, except back to log y axis and showing percentages of total water instead of volumes.



Note: Lyons flow conditions with percentages of total volume. Lyons calculation using entire gaged period of record (1924-2009)



Note: Consensus Criteria for Environmental Flow Needs (CCEFN).
Assuming a direct diversion project, 1940-1996 naturalized flows.
Median and 25th %ile based on daily July flows, 7Q2 based on all daily flows.
TCEQ may use published 7Q2 (716.7cfs) as floor. I did not in this chart.



Note: Trinity-San Jacinto BBEST Conditional Phased Group. Flow recommendations based on 1924-1964 gaged flows.



Note: Trinity-San Jacinto BBEST Flow Regime Group without High Flow Pulses. Flow recommendations based on 1924-1964 gaged flows.



Note: Flow Regime Group with seasonal High Flow Pulses. Flow recommendations based on 1924-1964 gaged flows.



Note: Trinity-San Jacinto BBASC Kramer et al proposal.



Note: Lyons % numerically \approx Reg but note that Reg allows diversions at lower flows.



7Q2 is not seasonal, therefore the flow conditions are high relative to July flows Much of "blue hatched" water is either already appropriated or may not be permittable (e.g., too unreliable) Different periods of record among calculations are important Different months will have different results



So how did HEFR help generate some of these numbers?

HEFR Basics

Uses hydrologic data Computations are rapid Populates a flow regime matrix

> A hydrological tool for an ecological purpose



Produced for the Senate Bill 3 Environmental Flows Allocation Process



(1) Select Flow Gage

Many to choose from

SAC has "Geographic Scope" guidance

USGS TX "Core" Network documented here: http://pubs.usgs.gov/wri/wri014155/



http://waterdata.usgs.gov/tx/nwis/rt

(2) Select Period of Record



May vary by gage

Some discussion in Section 4 of Hydrologic Methods document, LSWP docs, etc.

Many debates among older BBESTs

(3) Separate (parse) Hydrograph

Two Options

1. Indicators of Hydrologic Alteration (IHA)

Environmental Flow Components function

2. Modified Base Flow Index with Threshold (MBFIT)

Bureau of Reclamation method with modifications for SB 3

Both split the hydrograph into IFCs



(3) Separate (parse) Hydrograph

Traditional Base Flow Separation

- Each day has both a base flow and runoff component
- Emphasis is on dominant source of water
 - Rate of change perhaps more important than flow magnitude

Hydrographic Separation for Env Flows

- Each day has only one component
- Emphasis is on dominant ecologic function
 - Instream habitat, spawning cues, sediment transport, etc.
 - Flow magnitude perhaps more important than rate of change

(4) Generate Statistical Summaries in Excel

HEFR uses Excel to generate summary statistics of each IFC

- Subsistence
- Base Flow
- High Flow Pulses
- Overbank Events

Outputs may include: flow, volume, duration, frequency

FR Inputs
Subsistence Flows Subsistence Flows Subsistence Flows Subsistence Flows User Quality Protection Flow (cfs) High Flow Pulses Multipeaks_Multiplier Overbank Events Multipeaks_Multiplier Estimate Of Bankfull (cfs) How 0.25 Medium 0.5 High 0.75 Flow Recommendation Levels Low 0.25 Medium 0.5 High 0.75 Check Inputs Run HEFR Ext HEFR Hegh Inputs

HEFR Input Window

Subsistence Flows

Ecological Roles

 Protect water quality and critical habitat during very dry times



HEFR can calculate a statistic based on historically observed very low flows

~or~

User can input a recommendation based on other information

 For example, flow expected to protect water quality or maintain necessary habitat

Base Flows

Ecological Roles

- Provide suitable habitat
- Maintain diversity
- Maintain water table for riparian vegetation
- Provide connectivity along channel



Wet High

HEFR calculates statistics seasonally and monthly, at three user-specified percentiles:

DryLow AverageMedium

High Flow Pulses

Ecological Roles

- Provide spawning cues
- Prevent riparian vegetation from encroaching into channel
- Restore water quality following drought

HEFR has two options

- "Percentile Approach"
 - More prescriptive, seasonal basis only
- "Frequency Approach"
 - More flexible, can handle multi-year intervals



Overbank Flows

Ecological Roles

- Shape physical habitats
- S Il habitats
- Provide migration and spawning cues
- Facilitate exchange of nutrients, sediments, woody debris

HEFR has two options

- "Percentile Approach"
 - Median of historical overbank flows
- "Frequency Approach"
 - More flexible



Decision Points

Key decision points that will be expanded upon in subsequent presentations

View Decision Tree



Location

Data availability

Period of Record

- More years = better
- Consider hydrologic alterations

Hydrographic Separation

- Separate hydrograph into flow components
- One (and only one) assignment for each day





Seasons

- Highly flexible
- Consider flow patterns, spawning, temperature

Non-Zero flows only

- Use to limit flow computations to days with measured flows
- Zero flow frequencies and durations handled separately



Subsistence

- User-specified percentile of subsistence flow days
- Q95
- Water Quality Protection Flow

Base Flows

- Up to three levels: low, medium, high
- Percentiles assigned by analyst

High Flow Pulses and Overbank Events

- Multipeaks_Multiplier
 - IHA will not identify discrete pulses or events unless separated by a base flow day
 - Using M_M, HEFR will identify discrete pulses and events
- Methodology
 - Percentile Approach
 - Frequency Approach



Frequency Approach

- Tiers
 - For example, "one per two years" event
- Regression forms
 - For volume and duration characteristics
 - Log/Log or quadratic
 - Confidence level



Illustrative HEFR Output

Flow Components	Ov	verbank Flows	Qp: 17,800 cfs with Average Frequency 1 per 5 years Regressed Volume is 75,327 to 104,925 (90,126) Regressed Duration is 10 to 54 (23)							
		High Flow Pulses	Qp: 6,180 cfs with Average Frequency 1 per 2 years Regressed Volume is 18,502 to 47,434 (32,968) Regressed Duration is 6 to 33 (14)							
	Hie		<pre>Qp: 1,340 cfs with Average Frequency 1 per year Regressed Volume is #N/A to 21,899 (7,442) Regressed Duration is 3 to 16 (7)</pre>							
	F				Qp: 74 cfs with A	verage	Qp: 205 cfs with Avera	ge Qp: 1,130 cfs with	Average	
			Flow in cfs		Regressed Volume is #N/A to 1,576 (580) Regressed Duration is 1 to		Regressed Volume is #N/A Regressed Volume is # to 12,325 (1,282) to 33,331 (8,856) Regressed Duration is 1 to Regressed Duration is		seasons s #N/A 56) is 2 to	
					Qp: 37 cfs with Average Frequency 1 per season Regressed Volume is #N/A			Historical		
			¥		to 1,498 (50 Regressed Duration	1) is 1 to		Frequency		
	Bas	Base Flows (cfs)	23(30.9%)		20(33.0%)		23(35.4%)	23(38.0%)		
			12 (58.5%) 6.8 (75.4%)		16(54.5%) 9.6(76.7%)		14 (56.8%) 8.3 (77.4%)	12 (61.1%)		
	Sub Flo	bsistence 0.7(99.0%) ows (cfs)			0.3(99.8%)		0.6(99.3%) 0.7(98.2%)			
			Dec Jan Winter	Feb	Mar Apr Spring	May	Jun Jul Au Summer 🔫	g Sep Oct Fall	Nov	
			Flow Levels	High (75th %ile) Medium (50th %ile) Low (25th %ile) Subsistence			Months and Seasons			

Conclusions

- Flexibility = Decisions Required
- Need to keep ecological goals front and center
- HEFR can efficiently populate a flow matrix to generate a first cut
- Other disciplines are necessary before reaching a final instream flow recommendation
 - Biology SAC guidance released September 1, 2009
 - Geomorphology SAC guidance released May 29, 2009
 - Water Quality SAC guidance released November 3, 2009
- These disciplines can be used to guide HEFR parameter selection or as direct replacement of HEFR-generated flow recommendations.