

TASK 1: PROJECT ADMINISTRATION

Contents

Introduction	1-3
Contract Initiation and Development	1-3
Work Plan Development	1-3
Supporting Documentation	1-3
Budget Preparation	1-4
Time Frame for Submission of Work Plan & Supporting	1-5
Documentation	
Deliverables and Due Dates	
Review of Deliverables by TCEQ	1-5
Requesting Extension of a Due Date	1-6
Progress Reports	1-6
Format and Contents	1-7
Conference Calls	1-7
Training Events and Conferences	1-7
Reimbursement Procedures	1-8
Due Dates	1-8
Quarterly Reimbursement	1-8
Final Payment	1-8
Reimbursement Request and Review	1-8
Documentation Required for Allowable Cost Categories	1-9
Allowable Costs/ Unallowable Costs	1-9
Reimbursable Costs	1-10
Questioned or Disallowed Costs	1-10
Contract Management	1-11
Contract Changes	1-11
Budget Revisions	1-12
Procurement of Sub-Recipients and Vendors	1-12
Procurement Procedures	1-12
Procurement Deliverable to the TCEQ	1-13
Procurement Methods	1-13



	Evaluation Criteria	1-13	
	Subcontract Provisions	1-13	
	Payment Type	1-14	
	Oversight of Sub-Recipients and Vendors	1-14	
	Subcontractor Evaluations	1-14	
	Historically Underutilized Business	1-14	
Contract Mo	onitoring	1-15	
Perfo	rmance Self Evaluation	1-15	
Risk A	Assessments	1-15	
Desk	Reviews	1-15	
Site V	'isits	1-15	
Site Visits TCEQ Compliance Audits			
	Annual Independent Audit	1-16	
Exhibits			
Exhibit 1A	FY 2018-2019 Budget Allocations	1-17	
Exhibit 1B	Budget Categories and Documentation Required Process Reimbursement Requests	1-19	
Exhibit 1C	Excerpts from State of Texas Contract Management Guide	1-23	
Exhibit 1D	Progress Report Format	1-27	
Exhibit 1E	Budget Revision Form	1-31	
Exhibit 1F	Contractor Evaluation Report	1-33	
Exhibit 1G	Personnel Eligibility List & Fringe Benefits Methodology	1-36	
Exhibit 1H	Equipment Inventory Format	1-39	
Exhibit 11	Procurement System Certification	1-41	
Exhibit 1J	Work Plan Shell	1-43	



TASK 1: PROJECT ADMINISTRATION

Introduction

The administrative responsibilities and activities described in this task reflect the contract management and program development functions that are integral to the Texas Clean Rivers Program (CRP). The Texas Commission on Environmental Quality (TCEQ) encourages each Planning Agency to hold administrative costs to no more than 10 percent of the total contract budget. This request is made in support of the legislative intent of HB 1190 and its companion, SB 597, amending Section 26.0135(h) filed in February 1997. Administrative costs are expenditures charged under Task 1 as described in the contract work plan.

Contract Initiation and Development

Work Plan Development

The CRP work plan follows the format provided in Exhibit 1J "Work Plan Shell." There are seven tasks outlining the work that will be conducted under the contract. Each task in the work plan contains the following sections: objectives, description of work that will be done under the task, and deliverables and their due dates.

Objectives - Define the purpose and intent of each task. Objectives are provided in the work plan shell. The Planning Agency should add other objectives in order to address basin priorities.

Task Description - Provide a detailed description of the work that will be done to accomplish the task objective(s) and complete the task's deliverables. Describe the level of effort needed to meet task objectives and basin priorities.

Deliverables and Due Dates - List the deliverables for each task in ascending order of due date. A list of the basic deliverables is provided in the work plan shell. The Planning Agency may add other deliverables as needed in order to accomplish the task and address basin priorities. The due dates included in the shell are set at times that ensure deliverables are received when they are needed to accomplish key objectives; however, certain due dates are negotiable during the work plan development process. Wherever possible, all deliverables need to have specific due dates to help track status.

Supporting Documentation

The following items are supporting documentation that will be used to evaluate the work plan and prepare the contract. All but the last of these items are required to be submitted with the draft work plan. If necessary, this documentation may need to be revised and re-submitted with the final work plan. A spreadsheet containing all the pertinent Supporting Documentation will be provided to the CRP Planning Agencies for use in developing budgets.



Budget by	Use the budget categories shown in the table below to create the contract
Category	budget (see Exhibit 1A for each Planning Agency's CRP allocation for the
	contract period)
Budget by Task	For each task, list the estimated amount that will be expended for each
budget by Task	fiscal year.
	List all employees whose salaries will be wholly or partially funded by the
Personnel	CRP (see Exhibit 1G). Add any special notes to ensure PEL information can be
Eligibility List (PEL)	reconciled against payroll and personnel records (e.g. salaries estimated
	based on X, or salaries include projected pay increases).
Evines Data	List all the types of expenses that will be included to calculate the rate and
Fringe Rate	show how those expenses are calculated (see Exhibit 1G). Provide date(s)
Methodology	when the fringe rate will be adjusted each year, if applicable.
Allocated Costs	For those Planning Agencies that have developed rates for the use of
Documentation	equipment, supplies, office space, telephone, printing or computer services,
	etc., explain the method by which these expenses will be charged.
Faulamont	List all the equipment that you plan to purchase within the biennium, as well
Equipment	as previously purchased equipment. Any additional purchases must have
Inventory	prior written approval from the TCEQ CRP Project Manager.
Cub recipients/	List all the tasks and/or sub-tasks (deliverables and lab support) that may be
Sub-recipients/ Vendors	completed by a sub-recipient or a vendor and the approximate cost for
vendors	each.
Indirect Cost Rate	Describe the method for calculating reimbursement for indirect costs
Proposal	accompanied by required documentation (see Exhibit 1B for options).
Certification of	A written certification that the Planning Agency's procurement procedures
Procurement	conform to and reflect applicable state and local laws. Use the form
Process	provided in Exhibit 1I.
	List any non-CRP training events or conferences that are planned or
Training and	expected in support of each task. Any conferences or training events not
Conferences	provided on this list must be approved by the TCEQ CRP Project Manager
	prior to incurring costs for such events.
	Provide a list of deliverables for the biennium in due date order and provide
List of	in electronic format (preferably spreadsheet). This list should only be
Deliverables	provided after the Work Plan is finalized to reduce confusion due to
	changes between versions of the Work Plan.
	changes between versions of the Work Plan.

Budget Preparation

The cost reimbursement budget is the financial expression of the project as approved during the award process. This budget is included in the contract and will be subject to fiscal monitoring. It is the Planning Agency's best estimate of the costs to successfully complete the contract deliverables at the time the contract is executed. It is necessary to submit budget and expense information for planning purposes, as shown in the example below.



Budget Category	Total Allocation
Personnel/Salaries	106,800
Fringe Benefits (25%)	26,700
Travel	9,000
Supplies	6,000
Equipment	21,000
Contractual	57,000
Other	<u>64,000</u>
Total Direct Costs	\$290,500
Indirect Costs (0%)	0
TOTAL COSTS	\$290,500

The proposed budget, and supporting documentation justifying each line item cost, is reviewed, revised, and agreed to by both parties as part of the contract negotiations. For example, if the \$21,000 equipment cost is to purchase two pieces of equipment, a description of the equipment and estimated cost, identified by task, must be specified. When developing the proposed budget, the Planning Agency must follow the latest Uniform Grant Management Standards (UGMS) (https://www.comptroller.texas.gov/purchasing/docs/ugms.pdf) issued by the Governor's Office of Budget and Planning to determine allowable costs.

Items included in the "Equipment" category are typically \$5000 or greater. Items of lesser cost, such as a computer should be included in the "Supplies" category. As stated in Exhibit 1B, certain items that are less than \$5000 are considered "controlled assets" and will need to be tracked on the Equipment Inventory.

Time Frame for Submission of Work Plan and Supporting Documentation

To ensure contracts are implemented at the beginning of the State Fiscal Year, a time frame for submission, review, and approval is outlined below for the second fiscal year of the biennium.

January 1	Work plan and supporting documentation due to TCEQ CRP Project Manager
January 15	Review by TCEQ CRP Project Manager
Innuani 1F	TCFO CDD Draiget Manager's comments cont to Diaming Agency

January 15 TCEQ CRP Project Manager's comments sent to Planning Agency

January 15- Feb. 15 Planning Agency addresses comments

February 15 Planning Agency submits final work plan, list of deliverables, and revised

supporting documentation (if necessary)

Deliverables and Due Dates

Review of Deliverables by TCEQ

The TCEQ CRP Project Manager requires 30 days to review deliverables received from a Planning Agency and either approve them as is or request revisions. If a deliverable is submitted late and the TCEQ CRP Project Manager does not have 30 days to review it before the associated reimbursement



request is received, then the reimbursement request may be rejected and reconsidered 30 days after the deliverable is received. If the deliverable is incomplete or requires revision, then the reimbursement request may be rejected until the deliverable is approved. Few deliverables are exceptions to this process.

If a revised due date for a deliverable has been approved by the TCEQ CRP Project Manager in advance of the due date, then the deliverable is not considered late on the original due date, and the processing of reimbursements will not be delayed.

All deliverables must follow CRP guidelines and format, as stated in this guidance, and must be of acceptable quality before the deliverable is considered complete and reimbursement requests will be processed. The Planning Agency must address all comments provided by the TCEQ staff before submitting the revised deliverable to the TCEQ CRP Project Manager.

Requesting Extension of a Due Date

When a deliverable cannot be completed and submitted to the TCEQ on or before the due date, it is the Planning Agency's responsibility to inform the TCEQ CRP Project Manager as soon as possible. At least one week before a deliverable is due, the Planning Agency must submit a letter or e-mail stating: the reason for delaying a deliverable, the anticipated completion date, justification for extending the due date, and any other pertinent information. The TCEQ CRP Project Manager will approve or disapprove this request. The deliverable will be considered late if the request is not approved by the TCEQ CRP Project Manager. If the TCEQ CRP Project Manager approves the extension, an email stating approval and referencing the change will be transmitted to the Planning Agency to confirm the approval. The TCEQ CRP Project Manager will include the documentation in the contract file. The progress report for the period must summarize the status of the delayed deliverable(s), indicate the date TCEQ provided approval of the extension, and specify the anticipated/actual submittal date.

Progress Reports

Progress reports (see example in Exhibit 1D) serve as an important form of communication for documentation of task activities and as a deliverable-tracking system. The progress report summarizes the Planning Agency's activities for each task, tracks monitoring activities, problems, delays, and outlines the status of each task's deliverables. The progress report is due on or before the 15th day of the month following the end of each quarter. The last progress report for the biennium is due on August 31st because the contract cannot include deliverables outside the contract period. The progress report and attached documentation of activities conducted during the quarter are considered deliverables for the quarter they represent, and activities related to each expense should be represented on the progress report.



Format and Contents

The progress report contains three sections: Deliverable Status, Description of Activities, and Monitoring Activities.

The *Deliverable Status* form lists all deliverables (in order by date due) and provides specific information on submittal dates and circumstances regarding the status of each deliverable. If a due date is revised, the Planning Agency must keep the original due date in the Date Due column and indicate the new due date in the Comments column, along with the date the TCEQ CRP Project Manager approved the revision.

The *Description of Activities* form enables the Planning Agency to provide a detailed narrative of activities conducted under each task for each quarter. This information is important to provide a description of the types of activities and level of effort conducted under each task for a specific quarter. Even if there is no deliverable directly associated with an activity, the work or activity needs to be described in the most appropriate Task so that expenses related to those activities can be approved.

The *Monitoring Activities* form details the number of sites visited and types of samples taken during the quarter. If a sampling event did not take place as scheduled, the reason why and the anticipated make-up date (if applicable) should be provided. Descriptions of these occurrences and a discussion of any special studies (or other monitoring activities) can be provided on the *Description of Activities* form.

Conference Calls

Conference calls are an important method of communication for the CRP. It is important for each Planning Agency to have a representative present for each call. If a Planning Agency is unable to participate in a conference call, they should notify the TCEQ CRP Project Manager that they will not attend. The Planning Agency will then be responsible for contacting the TCEQ CRP Project Manager for the missed information.

Training Events and Conferences

The TCEQ may offer training courses throughout the contract period. Planning Agencies are encouraged to take advantage of these courses. It is advisable to consider at least two training events per year with the TCEQ when developing budgets and work plans.

To a limited extent, in-kind program participants may participate in TCEQ training events, however, pre-approval must be obtained from the TCEQ CRP Project Manager beforehand. Because of factors such as limited space, cost, etc., pre-approval is necessary to ensure the training is efficient and effective. When factors are not limiting, in-kind participants are encouraged to participate in TCEQ sponsored training, although their expenses (e.g., travel, time, meals) cannot be reimbursed using CRP funds.

Charges associated with training and/or conferences other than those hosted by the CRP are allowable if the event provides a benefit to the program. Such training events and/or conferences must be requested with the Work Plan, or otherwise be pre-approved by the TCEQ CRP Project Manager. If a training event is not pre-approved prior to incurring costs associated with the event, costs may not be reimbursed.



Reimbursement Procedures

The TCEQ reimbursement schedule is based on the state fiscal year (September 1- August 31). Reimbursement of expenses will be made on a quarterly basis. It is the responsibility of the CRP Planning Agency to ensure the TCEQ representatives named in your contract and wcpplnv@tceq.texas.gov receives accurately completed reimbursement requests and required supporting documentation. When forms or documentation are incomplete, incorrect, illegible, or if deliverables have not been received by the due date, reimbursement request processing may be suspended.

The set of tables in Exhibit 1B describes each budget category and the documentation required for processing a reimbursement request. These tables are a *very useful resource* when preparing to submit a reimbursement request to the TCEQ.

Due Dates

Quarterly Reimbursement

The Planning Agency's reimbursement request is due to the TCEQ within 30 days after the close of each quarter. The timely receipt of accurate reimbursement requests is a performance measure used in the annual performance evaluation.

Final Payment

The final reimbursement request is due at the TCEQ no later than 45 days following the termination date of the contract. The TCEQ has the authority to refuse payment for any costs incurred within the term of the contract which are not submitted within 45 days after the termination date of the contract.

As a condition for final payment, the Planning Agency shall execute and deliver to the TCEQ a written release of all claims against the TCEQ arising under this contract.

Reimbursement Request and Review

The TCEQ authorized representatives check the expenses on each reimbursement request against the Progress Report to determine the applicability and allowability of costs. It is recommended that the CRP Planning Agency establish an internal system to review its reimbursement request prior to submission. If all required forms and documentation are received on time and are correct, the TCEQ authorized representatives will process the reimbursement request using the guidelines of the Prompt Payment Act. However, because payment guidelines in the Prompt Payment Act do not apply to outgoing grants, the timelines for finalizing review and payment of reimbursement requests may not exactly follow the Prompt Payment Act guidelines.

The following items are required before a reimbursement request can be processed:

- all required financial reimbursement forms are submitted, and the forms are legible, signed and dated, and completed correctly
- expenses for activities correlate with the reported activities in the Progress Report
- all expenses are described and allowable, with supporting documentation (Exhibit 1B)
- there is no deficit balance for any cost category that shows zero budgeted funds



- all water quality monitoring activities, including special studies, are conducted under an approved Quality Assurance Project Plan (QAPP)
- all deliverables are submitted to TCEQ that were due within, and up to, this expense reimbursement period and have been approved by the TCEQ CRP Project Manager
- documentation indicating approval by TCEQ CRP Project Manager to delay a deliverable due date (e.g., copy of email, fax, or letter responding to Planning Agency's request)
- final reimbursement request is accompanied by a release of claims letter to the TCEQ

Documentation Required for Allowable Cost Categories

The Planning Agency will maintain documentation to show the work performed is a justifiable CRP activity, and that the expense was paid by the Planning Agency (see Exhibit 1B). The Planning Agency shall make available for review, during fiscal compliance monitoring and upon request by the TCEQ staff, the financial information and data used by the Planning Agency or its designee (including independent financial auditors) in the preparation or support of any cost submission or cost (direct and indirect) including, but not limited to receipts and invoices.

Allowable/Unallowable Costs

The TCEQ uses the UGMS to review the proposed budget and reimbursement requests to ensure only allowable costs are charged to the CRP. UGMS "Attachment A - The General Principles for Determining Allowable Costs" states that,

these principles are ... based on the fundamental premises that: (1) Governmental units are responsible for the efficient and effective administration of federal and state awards through the application of sound management practices (pg. 9).

In order to be allowable under federal or state awards, costs must,

be necessary and reasonable for proper and efficient performance and administration of federal or state award (pg. 13).

A reasonable cost is further defined as a cost that,

does not exceed that which would be incurred by a prudent person under the circumstances prevailing at the time the decision was made to incur the cost (pg. 13).

It is the responsibility of the Planning Agency to be familiar and in compliance with the UGMS. The Planning Agency should contact its TCEQ CRP Project Manager regarding questions of allowability and/or allocability. A more complete description of allowable and unallowable costs is contained in the UGMS on the Web at https://www.comptroller.texas.gov/purchasing/docs/ugms.pdf.

Direct costs are all costs which can be directly related to performance of the contract activities.

Indirect costs are those that have been incurred for common or joint purposes which cannot be readily identified to a particular contract without a disproportionate effort. See Exhibit 1B, Indirect Costs, for further description of requirements for applying indirect costs to the budget.

A related, but separate concept is the *allocation of shared costs*. These costs are typically assigned to the use of equipment or space and is charged based on the amount of use (e.g., number of sampling trips, number of copies, number of square feet of office space). These costs are typically listed in the "Other" category on the Financial Status Report.



The TCEQ will only reimburse the Planning Agency for allowable costs considered reasonable and necessary to carry out the grant contract activities. Water quality monitoring activity costs are allowable only if they are conducted under a CRP approved QAPP. An allowable cost may not be a reimbursable cost if there is no line item cost approved in the budget or described in the contract.

Unallowable and Non-reimbursable Costs:

If an unallowable cost is submitted, that cost is deducted from the requested reimbursement amount before the request is processed. The following is a list of some unallowable and non-reimbursable costs which will not be reimbursed by the TCEQ. This is **NOT** an all-inclusive list:

- Contingencies (contribution to reserve) excludes contributions to self-insurance fund, pension plan reserves, post-retirement health and benefit
- Contributions/Donations includes cash, property, and services, by governmental units to others, regardless of the recipient
- Defense/prosecution of civil or criminal proceedings (exceptions)
- Insurance deductibles, including those for motor vehicles
- Entertainment
- Food that is not reimbursed as a part of travel expenses
- Volunteer lodging or transportation expenses
- Fines, Penalties, or Interest
- Fund raising and investment management (exceptions)
- Indirect or direct costs unsupported by required documentation
- Lobbying expenses
- Gratuities/Tips
- Travel costs that exceed the State of Texas reimbursement rates and guidelines

Reimbursable Costs

All allowable, approved line item costs incurred during the time period indicated on the Financial Status Report (FSR) (TCEQ Form 20248) are costs that the Planning Agency either:

paid prior to claiming reimbursement from the TCEQ—"CASH METHOD"

<u>Examples</u>: supplies purchased at an office supply store with a check or monthly salaries paid on the last day of the time period

<u>or</u>

incurred by the last day of the time period and <u>paid no later than 45 days after the end of the time period</u>—"ACCRUAL METHOD"

<u>Examples</u>: supplies purchased and charged to the Planning Agency credit card on the last day of the time period (Planning Agency pays credit card bill in full on the 25th of each month). Or monthly salaries paid on the first day of the month after the end of the period.

Questioned or Disallowed Costs

Any reported cost may be questioned by the TCEQ CRP Project Manager in order to determine whether it is allowable. A questioned cost will be referred back to the Planning Agency's CRP Project Manager for clarification and may be disallowed if sufficient justification is not provided. A disallowed cost is one that is not allowable according to UGMS, not specified in an executed contract, and/or a



cost for which there is no approved budget line item. A quarterly reimbursement request, desk review, or an on-site fiscal monitoring visit may result in either questioned or disallowed costs.

The Planning Agency may accept or dispute any questioned or disallowed cost. If the Planning Agency accepts the disallowance, they must inform the TCEQ CRP Project Manager of this acceptance. Non-response within 30 days of notification by the TCEQ will indicate acceptance of the disallowance (including questioned cost). If the Planning Agency elects to dispute the disallowance, the Planning Agency must submit additional written justification/documentation to the TCEQ CRP Project Manager within 30 days of notification. If upon further review, the TCEQ allows the cost, the TCEQ CRP Project Manager informs the Planning Agency of the procedure to obtain reimbursement.

If the disallowed and/or questioned cost is disallowed after the additional submitted documentation is reviewed, the TCEQ CRP Project Manager informs the Planning Agency in writing of the disallowance. The Planning Agency may submit a written request to the TCEQ CRP Section Manager to review the decision. The Section Manager will review the documentation within 30 days and either allow or disallow the disputed cost. The Planning Agency may request a review of the Section Manager's decision by the TCEQ CRP Division Director. If the Division Director concurs with the disallowance, the Planning Agency may appeal the decision to the TCEQ Deputy Director. If the Deputy Director concurs, the Planning Agency may request a review by the TCEQ Executive Director, who is the final arbiter.

Contract Management

Contract Changes

The Planning Agency may request a modification to the existing contract by submitting a written request to the TCEQ CRP Project Manager. The request must include the proposed modification and its purpose in the appropriate format, and if affected by the modification, a revised budget and/or a scope of work for the affected task(s).

Generally, a *major change* will require an amendment to the contract and may include one or more of the following:

- an increase or decrease in the TCEQ obligation amount
- an extension or shortening of the term of the contract
- a significant change in the scope of work

An amendment will include a description of the proposed modification(s) and must be approved by the TCEQ CRP Project Manager, the Program Manager, and TCEQ contract staff, as well as the Planning Agency's authorized representative.

After receiving the written amendment request, the TCEQ CRP Project Manager will draft an amendment describing all changes. After review and approval by the appropriate TCEQ staff, a copy of the amendment documentation will be sent to the Planning Agency for review and approval. After the Planning Agency has signed the amendment, it should be mailed back to the TCEQ CRP Project Manager for TCEQ signature. A final signed copy will be returned to the Planning Agency for their records.



A *minor change* will require the written agreement of the both the TCEQ's and the Planning Agency's authorized representatives. A copy of the agreement approving the minor change will be sent to the Planning Agency for its records. An extension of a deliverable due date, if approved by the TCEQ CRP Project Manager, is an example of a minor change.

Budget Revisions

It is the Planning Agency's responsibility to regularly compare actual costs to budgeted costs and inform the TCEQ CRP Project Manager of potential budget revisions. Budget line item revisions often occur as a project progresses due to changing conditions, more accurate estimates of work, additional supplies required, and so on. Moving funds between budget categories will require sign-off from the TCEQ and the Planning Agency's authorized representative. A Budget Revision Request Form (Exhibit 1E) must be completed and submitted to the TCEQ CRP Project Manager. No budget change is effective until it is signed by the TCEQ's designated representatives. Budget revisions should be submitted as needed, but not more frequently than quarterly. Depending on the amount of the budget revision being requested, a contract amendment may be required.

Procurement of Sub-recipients or Vendors

The use of sources other than the CRP Planning Agency to complete portions, or all, of the CRP contract involves a number of steps to define how procurement, subcontract provisions, payment and oversight will be handled. These steps are complex and there are many instances where errors can be introduced into the process. Proper planning will reduce or eliminate the risk of error. Effective contract management is dependent on the interaction of the following elements:

Plan – Identify contracting objectives and contracting strategy.

Procurement – Fairly and objectively select the most qualified contractors.

<u>Rate/Price Establishment</u> – Establish processes that are cost-effective and aligned with the cost of providing the goods and services.

<u>Contract Formation</u> – Ensure the contract contains provisions that hold the contractor accountable for producing desired results.

<u>Contract Oversight</u> – Monitor and enforce the terms of the contract.

The State of Texas Contract Management Guide generally describes the steps and elements and provides practical suggestions and best practices for contracting. Some of its text was borrowed for this portion of the CRP guidance and excerpts are included for quick reference in Exhibit 1C.

Procurement Procedures

There is no single "right" way to contract. Various types of purchases and contracts may require different practices, processes and strategies for successful implementation. The planning agency should use its own procurement procedures which reflect applicable state and local laws and regulations. The procurement procedures must be available for review during on-site visits, and may be audited or requested at any time by the TCEQ.



Procurement Deliverable to the TCEQ

The planning agency's procurement procedures and solicitation documents for subcontracts are not deliverables to the TCEQ, however, the TCEQ CRP Project Manager needs some subcontract information to adequately administer a contract and review invoices. The TCEQ CRP Project Manager may request a planning agency to submit technical specifications on proposed procurements, so it is important to communicate with them in advance about any subcontract plans. In addition, the following information will be sent to the TCEQ CRP Project Manager upon execution of subcontracts.

- Procurement Method Used (e.g. IFB, RFP with or without prices, RFQ)
- List of tasks to be performed by sub-recipient

The TCEQ may request additional procurement or subcontract documentation to be submitted during a desk review (see Exhibit 1C for subcontract administration file guidelines).

Procurement Methods

The procurement method chosen will be a major factor affecting time and steps in the planning process for developing subcontracts. The table in Exhibit 1C is an excerpt from Chapter 3 of the State of Texas Contract Management Guide and is provided to assist in selection of the appropriate procurement type. After the procurement method is determined, the solicitation document is prepared. Chapter 3 of the State of Texas Contract Management Guide provides information about preparing solicitations https://www.comptroller.texas.gov/purchasing/docs/contract-management-guide.pdf.

Evaluation Criteria

The solicitation document (e.g. IFB, RFP, RFQ) must advise the respondents how subcontract proposals will be evaluated. The evaluation criteria must reflect the essential qualities or performance requirements necessary to achieve the objectives of the subcontract. The criteria should allow the proposals to be fairly evaluated. The State of Texas Contract Management Guide provides a sample evaluation criterion in Chapter 5. The Planning Agency must maintain documentation for each procurement showing the best subcontractors were fairly and objectively selected.

Subcontract Provisions

There are a number of provisions that are necessary for any subcontract. Certain considerations should be addressed before proceeding with a contract or agreement. For instance, when acquiring data management, website development, or mapping services, clauses regarding intellectual property, data, and publicity are absolutely necessary. The subcontract should also include payment and oversight provisions. A list of suggested provisions is provided below. These provisions must be evaluated individually for any subcontract to determine their applicability. The State of Texas Contract Management Guide Chapter 6 explains general rules regarding contract formation and essential clauses, and Appendix 9 provides sample contract terms, but these resources do not replace the advice of an attorney nor do they replace any statutory requirements of the CRP Partner agency. ALWAYS CONSULT AN ATTORNEY FOR LEGAL ADVICE CONCERNING CONTRACTS.



Agreement Term
Laws and Rules
Quality Assurance and Project Planning
Intellectual Property
Data and Publicity
Lobbying Activities
Performance Evaluation

Total Obligation Amount
Costs and Payments
Safety and Protection
Title to and Management of Equipment
Records Access and Audit
Insurance, Liability and Indemnification
Procurement, Subcontracts and Subgrants

Payment Type

The payment type must be sufficient to ensure the Planning Agency pays fair and reasonable prices for services. Payments should be structured to fairly compensate the contractor and encourage timely and complete performance of work. Payments should be approximately equal to the value of the completed work and should be in accordance with the contract rate schedule. A description of the different payment types is provided in Exhibit 1C.

The circumstances of the procurement may impact the payment type that may be used. Specifically, a cost analysis must be performed for professional, consulting, and architectural or engineering services contracts, sole source procurements, and when adequate price competition is lacking, such as intergovernmental contracts with universities or local governments. Invoices for these subcontracts must show actual costs similar to those as listed on the TCEQ Financial Status Report, including profit for private entities. Profit, if applicable, must be listed as a fixed amount at the beginning of the contract and not a multiplier based on another cost.

Oversight of Sub-Recipients and Vendors

The Planning Agency is responsible for assuring the subcontractor's compliance with performance and fiscal requirements outlined in applicable laws, regulations, and the subcontract itself. The oversight of subcontractors must be sufficient to ensure public funds are spent effectively and efficiently for quality services. Chapter 7 of the State of Texas Contract Management Guide covers four general administration and oversight processes, including: planning, monitoring performance, payment approval and change management. See Exhibit 1C for guidelines to establish a master contract administration file to document these processes.

Subcontractor Evaluations

Planning Agencies will be required to submit to the TCEQ performance evaluations of subcontractors performing work under CRP. The Contractor Evaluation form (Exhibit 1F) will be completed and submitted with each contract year's 4th quarter progress reports in order to document performance of subcontractors.

Historically Underutilized Business

The TCEQ encourages the use of historically underutilized business (HUB) participation in procurement and contracting processes and recommends the Planning Agency make a *good faith effort* (GFE) to assist HUBs in receiving contract awards issued by the State. A good faith effort demonstrates that equal opportunity is provided to underutilized minority and women-owned business enterprises in procurement and vendor purchases. Although it is recommended that Planning Agencies make an effort to acquire services, supplies, and equipment through a certified



HUB vendor, there are no reporting or tracking requirements for state-funded outgoing grant contracts.

Contract Monitoring

Fiscal monitoring includes a review of financial information to determine fiscal integrity and compliance with fiscal, state, and contractual requirements. It ensures state funds are spent properly and accurately accounted for, deliverables are received on schedule, contractor performance is evaluated, deficiencies are corrected, and invoices are adequately supported by reasonable assurance that the Agency got what it expected and paid appropriately. A risk-based approach dictates that not every transaction requires 100 percent scrutiny. By performing desk reviews and onsite fiscal monitoring visits, the TCEQ can allow contractors to provide limited back-up documentation with its reimbursement requests and maintain the documentation for periodic review during desk reviews and/or site visits.

Performance Self Evaluation

As recommended by the State Auditor's Office, Planning Agencies will be evaluated by their TCEQ CRP Project Managers annually, using the Contractor Evaluation form (Exhibit 1F). This form will be utilized by TCEQ staff in establishing a risk-based methodology for prioritizing and tailoring desk and on-site fiscal reviews. The Planning Agency will use the form to do a self-evaluation, and send a copy to its TCEQ CRP Project Manager. The TCEQ CRP Project Manager will then do his or her evaluation. A copy of the completed evaluation will be sent to the Planning Agency, which may send its written comments on the evaluation to its TCEQ CRP Project Manager. The Planning Agency may request a meeting with the TCEQ CRP Project Manager to discuss the evaluation.

Risk Assessments

The TCEQ performs a risk assessment of contractors to establish monitoring work schedule priorities for each fiscal year. The CRP Planning Agencies may be monitored annually by either a desk review or a site visit, based upon the risk assessment.

Desk Reviews

Annual submission documentation is reviewed in-house by a TCEQ fiscal monitor. Planning Agencies will be notified 30 days before the invoice due date when they must provide the additional supporting documentation listed in the tables in Exhibit 1B. The TCEQ notice of the desk review will specify the documentation each Planning Agency must provide. It is important to read the notice as some desk reviews may not require all of the information listed in Exhibit 1B. Planning Agencies scheduled for a site visit will not be required to have a desk review that year.

Site Visits

Based upon the risk assessment, some Planning Agencies will be scheduled for a contract fiscal monitoring site visit. An on-site visit involves a thorough review of records for one or more quarters to verify accuracy of reporting and adequacy of fiscal management processes.

TCEQ Compliance Audits

The TCEQ internal audit group may elect to conduct an audit of financial and program records of individual Planning Agencies throughout the contract period.



Annual Independent Audit

All Planning Agencies that receive a combined total greater than \$500,000 from the federal government and Texas state government agencies are required to have an annual independent audit conducted pursuant to applicable state law, regulations, and policies (UGMS, State of Texas Single Audit Circular). The Texas Water Code (Section 49.195) requires River Authorities and water districts to submit an annual independent financial audit to the TCEQ's Water Utilities Division for review.



EXHIBIT 1A FY 2018-2019 BUDGET ALLOCATIONS



EXHIBIT 1A

FY 2018 - 2019 Budget Allocations

Planning Agency	FY 2018	FY 2019	Total Allocation
BRA (12)	398,1590	398,159	796,318
GBRA (17 & 18)	135,3781	135,378	270,756
HGAC (9, 10, 11, 13)	965,615	965,615	1,931,230
IBWC (23)	267,252	267,252	534,504
LNRA (16)	99,298	99,298	198,596
LCRA (14 & 15)	381,797	381,797	763,594
ANRA & LNVA (6 & 7)	329,766	329,766	659,532
NETMWD (4)	99,298	99,298	198,596
NRA (20, 21, & 22)	258,906	258,906	517,812
RRA (1 & 2)	311,118	311,118	622,236
SARA (19)	197,770	197,770	395,540
SRA (5)	313,074	313,074	626,148
SRBA (3)	99,297	99,297	198,594
TRA (8)	393,272	393,272	786,544
TOTALS	\$4,250,000	\$4,250,000	\$8,500,000



EXHIBIT 1B BUDGET CATEGORIES AND DOCUMENTATION REQUIRED TO PROCESS REIMBURSEMENT REQUESTS



EXHIBIT 1B

Budget Categories and Documentation Required

to Process Reimbursement Requests

PERSONNEL/SALARY: Compensation of employees for the time devoted to the performance of CRP tasks.	REFERENCES UGMS Part II, Att B, (11)(h)
CRP salary for the period; and	SITE VISIT or DESK REVIEW Time Sheets must: • reflect an after-the-fact distribution of actual activity; • account for total activity for which the employee is compensated; • be prepared at least monthly and must coincide with one or more pay periods; • be signed by the employee and supervisory official having firsthand knowledge of the worked performed; • Reports from electronic timekeeping systems must meet the above standards. • Charges for salaries should match payroll documentation. • Personnel files and policies must be available for on-site or desk reviews.
FRINGE BENEFITS: Costs of leave, insurance, social security and Medicare contributions, pensions, unemployment benefit plans, etc.	REFERENCES UGMS Part II, Att B, (11)(d) and Att C, E(3)(d), and Att E, F(1) CRP Contract Authorized Expense Budget
QUARTERLY Show <u>calculation</u> on Personnel/Salary Section of Supplemental form using the <u>most recent approved rate</u> , or specify if benefits are adjusted to actual. No additional documentation is required with the reimbursement request.	SITE VISIT or DESK REVIEW Itemize employee benefits with percentages and/or cost for each employee listed on the PEL. Benefits must be granted according to established written policies which should available for onsite or desk reviews.
TRAVEL: Costs (within the rates allowed by the State) of transportation, lodging, meals, and related expenses for employees (on the PEL) traveling on CRP business.	REFERENCES UGMS Part II, Att B, (43) State of Texas Travel Allowance Guide http://www.gsa.gov/portal/content/104877
QUARTERLY Travel Supplemental form listing: Name of Traveler Date(s) of travel	SITE VISIT or DESK REVIEW Copies of receipts for hotel accommodations, public transportation, airfare, rental cars, and meals (when

- Date(s) of travel
- · Destination and purpose of travel, including titles of workshops, training, or meetings
- Mode of transportation (personal car, agency vehicle, rental car, airplane, etc.)
- Costs for meals, lodging, and transportation (including mileage)
 - Receipts are not required unless requested
 - Travel expenses for volunteers will not be reimbursed
- Task(s) to which each activity applies

available), etc. A signed and approved travel reimbursement invoice may be provided if all of the required information is recorded.

Mileage logs for agency vehicles used for CRP travel should be available for on-site or desk reviews.

<u>Travel policies</u> should be available for on-site or desk reviews.



Exhibit 1B - Budget Categories and Documentation Required to Process Reimbursement Requests

Supplies: Costs for materials necessary to carry out the program. This includes equipment with a purchase price (including freight) of less than \$5,000.

Examples: Chemical/Gases, Fuels /Lubricants, Computer Software/Supplies, Office Supplies, Medical Supplies, Cameras, Computers, Furniture.

The CRP has designated certain supplies purchases to be controlled assets which need to be added to the Planning Agency's Equipment Inventory, such as:

- Computers
- Digital Projectors/Cameras
- GPS Receivers
- Laboratory Equipment
- Monitoring equipment (Multiprobes, flow meters, etc)

QUARTERLY Supplies Supplemental form listing materials and supplies purchased for the program.

- Provide sufficient description of the item(s) to enable TCEQ to determine the applicability of the cost.
- No receipts are required to be submitted unless the TCEQ requests records to verify allowability.
- Update Equipment Inventory when item has a serial number and an effective life of more than a year.
- Food and drinks are not considered supplies and must be submitted as "Travel" expenditures.

<u>Other:</u> Direct cost items not identified and explained in the above categories.

Examples: rent, laboratory expenses, utilities, telephone, data processing services, printing and reproduction, postage and shipping, contract clerical or other personnel, contract CPA or bookkeeping services, janitorial services, exterminating services, security services, insurance and bonds, equipment repairs or services, books, periodicals, memberships.

QUARTERLY Other Supplemental form listing:

- Description of item
- Itemization should be sufficient to allow TCEQ to determine the allowability of costs (e.g., 3 months rent @ \$/mo.; or cost per # of bacteria analyses.)
- No receipts are required to be submitted unless the TCEQ requests records to verify allowability.

REFERENCES

UGMS Part II, Att B, (30) and
Part III, Subpart C, (33) and (36)(d)

State of Texas Purchase Policies & Procedures Guide

SITE VISIT or DESK REVIEW

- Copies of <u>purchase orders</u> or vendor-submitted <u>invoices</u> marked received, approved for payment, with date received, category and funding source charged to, date of payment, or <u>copy of check</u>.
- Written justification and <u>calculation worksheets</u> must be available for costs <u>allocated</u> between two or more funding sources.
- <u>Procurement records and procedures</u> must be available for on-site and desk reviews.

REFERENCES

UGMS Part II, Att B and Att C

State of Texas Purchase Policies & Procedures Guide

SITE VISIT or DESK REVIEW

Copies of <u>purchase orders</u> or vendor-submitted <u>invoices</u> marked received, approved for payment, with date received, category and funding source charged to, date of payment, or <u>copy of check</u>.

Written justification and $\underline{\text{calculation worksheets}}$ must be available for costs $\underline{\text{allocated}}$ between two or more funding sources.

<u>Procurement records and procedures</u> must be available for on-site and desk reviews.



Exhibit 1B - Budget Categories and Documentation Required to Process Reimbursement Requests

to Frocess Keillibt	irsement Requests
Equipment: Tangible, nonexpendable property having a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of \$5,000 or more.	REFERENCES UGMS <u>Part II</u> , Att B, (16), (20) & <u>Part III</u> , Subpart C, (3), (32) and(36)
	State of TX Purchase Policies & Procedures Guide
 QUARTERLY Equipment Supplemental form listing: Description of equipment Serial # Unit cost / Total cost Receipts are required for costs itemized in this category. In lieu of a receipt, a purchase order, an invoice (marked paid), a canceled check, or other evidence of payment must be submitted. Submit an updated Equipment Inventory for all additions/changes. NOTE: TCEQ may disallow the cost of equipment purchased without prior approval. Contact the TCEQ for disposition instructions when equipment needs to be replaced or is no longer needed for the program. 	SITE VISIT or DESK REVIEW Copies of purchase orders or vendor-submitted invoices marked received, approved for payment, with date received, category and funding source charged to, date of payment, or copy of check. Written justification and calculation worksheets must be available for costs allocated between two or more funding sources. Procurement records and procedures must be available for on-site and desk reviews. All CRP purchased equipment will be available for inspection during compliance monitoring.
<u>Contractual:</u> Costs include those services or consulting that are provided by a firm or individual, not employed by the Planning Agency, and are not covered under the "Other" category.	REFERENCES UGMS Part II, Att A, Section B (22) State of Texas Contract Management Guide
QUARTERLY Contractual Supplemental forms listing all subcontractor costs either incurred or paid during the period, including: contractor name; description of activities performed; date(s) performed; cost; and related tasks. If costs are documented by more than one invoice for a contractor (e.g. monthly), list them separately. The TCEQ CRP project manager may request additional supporting documentation, including documentation of procurement, to verify allowability of costs.	SITE VISIT or DESK REVIEW Subcontracts or purchase orders, and vendor-submitted invoices marked received, approved for payment, with date received, category and funding source charged to, date of payment, or copy of check. Procurement records, including solicitations and selection documentation, and procurement procedures must be available for on-site and desk reviews. The Planning Agency is responsible for ensuring its subcontractors maintain and submit all reimbursement documentation required for financial monitoring and/or audit. Subcontractors' documentation must be available for on-site and desk reviews, and upon request by the TCEQ staff. The Planning Agency must monitor its subcontractors to ensure they meet the requirements of the contract.
Indirect Costs: Costs incurred for a common or joint purpose benefitting more than one cost objective and not readily assignable to specific cost objectives without disproportionate effort.	REFERENCES UGMS Part II, Att A, Sections F, G, H and Att E Contract Budget Sections 7.2 and 21.2
QUARTERLY Financial Status Report (TCEQ-20248) specifying the authorized rate and including a total cost not exceeding the rate authorized in the contract.	SITE VISIT or DESK REVIEW Option 1: Approved rate agreement – a rate that has been determined by a state coordinating agency or may be negotiated and agreed on by the Parties. Option 2: 10% of direct salaries (excluding fringe). No supporting documentation is required. Option 3: No indirect costs, direct bill all costs

EXHIBIT 1C EXCERPTS FROM STATE OF TEXAS CONTRACT MANAGEMENT GUIDE

EXHIBIT 1C Excerpts from State of Texas Contract Management Guide

Procurement Method	Use When	Advantages	Disadvantages
Competitive Bids Lots of competition exists. The product or service is available from more than one source.		Award process is simpler. Award is made to the lowest responsive, responsible bidder providing the best value to the State.	Defined specifications may be difficult to develop. Does not encourage innovative solutions.
Competitive Proposals (Request for Proposals, Request for Offer)	When factors other than price are evaluated. When negotiations are desired. Vendor is expected to provide innovative ideas.	Allows factors other than price to be considered. Allows for customized proposals suggesting different approaches to the same business need. Allows for negations in order to obtain the best value for the state.	Lead times for procurement are much greater. Evaluations are more complex and subjective.
Request for Information	There is insufficient information to write specifications for any procurement method.	Provides information to prepare a complete bid or proposal document. Allows the business community to have input into the agency's solicitation document based on current industry practices and market factors. Informs agency of any potential problems early in the procurement.	Lengthens the procurement process.
Request for Qualifications [This method is usually required by statute (e.g. Professional Services)]	Selection is made solely on the skills and qualifications of the contractor. Price is not a factor until after a vendor is selected.	Emphasizes the competency of the proposed contractors.	Contractor is selected before price is negotiated.

Exhibit 1C contd.

		Exhibit 1C conta	
Payment Type	Commonly used for:	Payment based on:	
Cost Reimbursement	Client services contracts, usually associated with state and federal grants. Example : Contracts for services in remote areas.	Reimbursement of allowable costs. See the Uniform Grants Management Standards published by the Governor's Office. (https://www.comptroller.texas.gov/purc hasing/docs/ugms.pdf).	
Cost Plus Incentives	Materials contracts wherein the materials are unknown at the time of contract award. Example: Construction contracts	Contractor's cost plus a percentage of cost or cost plus a fixed fee. This type of payment is usually discouraged as there is no incentive for the contractor to minimize the cost to the State.	
Fee For Service	Contracts wherein a fee can be established for a unit of service. Example: Providing flu shots to patients. Unit of service is one flu shot.	A specific fee for a unit of service. Payments are made for each unit of service completed.	
Firm Fixed Price	Contracts wherein a firm fixed price can be established for work to be performed. Requires that the statement of work provide clear and accurate specifications. Example: Common goods and services such as office supplies, furniture, etc.	A firm fixed price at the time the contract is awarded. Contractor carries all risk as the payment does not change, regardless of how much it costs the contractor to provide the goods or services.	
Firm Fixed Price with Escalator	Same as above and for longer term contracts and or contracts where the costs of material and labor are subject to market fluctuations. Because the contract allows for market adjustments, contractors are less likely to inflate prices to protect themselves against possible increases in operating costs. Example: Lumber, steel, paper	Same as above except includes a provision for price escalation. Escalators are typically based on the Consumer Price Index.	
Progress	Construction contracts or contracts that are completed in phases or stages. Example: Building Construction, Consulting Services	Payment is based on pre-established deliverables. Deliverables must be measurable. See note below.	
Time and Material	Labor contracts wherein the amount of labor or material required for the work cannot be forecast. Recommend other payment types if possible. For example – instead of paying the contractor \$25 per hour for labor plus the cost of the materials, establish pricing for common units of work such as "labor and material to install a 120 power outlet". Example: Electrician, plumber, carpenter, etc.	Payment is based on the number of hours worked for a specific scope of work, i.e. install a 120V power outlet.	

The Contract Administration File

Keeping one complete master contract administration file is critical. The file will provide a basis for settling claims and disputes should they arise in administrative or court actions. The TCEQ may request review of these items during contract fiscal monitoring desk reviews or site visits. Throughout the life of the contract, the contract administration file should contain such things as:

- A copy of the current contract and all modifications;
- A copy of all specifications, drawings or manuals incorporated into the contract by reference;
- A reference list or a list of prior contracts with this specific vendor (if they offer valuable historical data);
- The solicitation document, the contractor's response, evaluation determination, and the notice of award document;
- A list of contractor submittal requirements;
- A list of government furnished property or services;
- A list of all information furnished to the contractor;
- A copy of the pre-award conference summary, if conducted;
- A schedule of compliance review, internal correspondence, if applicable;
- A copy of all general correspondence related to the contract;
- The originals of all contractor data or report submittals;
- A copy of all routine reports required by the contract such as sales reports, pricing schedules, approval requests, and inspection reports;
- A copy of all notices to proceed, to stop work, to correct deficiencies, or change orders;
- A copy of all letters of approval pertaining to such matters as materials, the contractor's quality control program, prospective employees, and work schedules;
- The records/minutes of all meetings, both internal and external. Include sign-in sheets and/or agendas;
- A copy of all contractor invoices, information relative to discount provisions for prompt payment, letters
 pertaining to contract deductions or fee adjustments;
- A copy of all backup documentation for contractor payment or progress payment; and copies of any audits.

EXHIBIT 1D PROGRESS REPORT FORMAT

EXHIBIT 1D - Progress Report

DELIVERABLE STATUS*

FY 12 Quarter 1, September 1, 2011 through November 30, 2011

List deliverables in order of due date and include information in the comments about status of the deliverable and whether/when extensions were requested.

Task	Task Description	Date Due	Date Submitted	Comments
2A	Basin-wide QAPP Receipt and Commitment Letters	10/15/11	10/15/11	Three of three QAPP participants signed letters and these were faxed to TCEQ.
2B	Specified sections of the Basin-wide QAPP posted to the Web	10/31/11	10/31/11	Copy of updated webpages sent via email to TCEQ.
5A	Preparation Meeting for Basin Summary Report	11/01/11	10/20/11	Meeting held at TCEQ offices in Austin.
	Questionnaire for Steering Committee Mtg.	11/15/11	11/15/11	Copy of questionnaire was submitted to the TCEQ via email for review and comment prior to sending to steering committee members.
	Contact Steering Committee members to promote/confirm participation and review response against Membership Guidelines	11/30/11	11/15/11	Will follow-up on those that do not respond within 30 days.
6B	Develop Web site as outlined in the Web Site Requirements	11/30/11	11/30/11	Provided copies of web pages to TCEQ for review and comment.
1A	Progress Report	12/15/11	12/15/11	Submitted in spreadsheet by email attachment. Hard copy sent via mail.
ЗА	Monitoring Status Report	12/15/11	12/15/11	See the Progress Report.
6C	Maintain web site and provide summary of updates and/or copies of revised pages	with PRpt	12/15/11	Summary of updates provided to TCEQ, attached to Progress Report.
61	Public Participation & Outreach Activities	with PRpt	12/15/11	No activity this quarter.
6J	Volunteer Monitoring Activities	with PRpt	12/15/11	Supported volunteer group.

^{*} An electronic spreadsheet including all sections of the progress report will be provided as a tool for reporting project activities on a quarterly basis.

Exhibit 1D - Progress Report Description of Activities

Describe CRP activities conducted for each Task in the *current* quarter. This information will be used to explain and justify expenses incurred each quarter.

TASK	DESCRIPTION OF ACTIVITIES				
1.0 Administration	Quarterly progress report submitted to TCEQ on 12/15/09.				
	Letter agreement for Texas Stream Team training and coordination executed on 10/8/09. A copy is attached to this progress report.				
2.0 Quality	FY 2010-2011 basin-wide QAPP and work plan has been posted on the website.				
Assurance and Project Planning	NELAC Assistance: Contacts with Dr. Shepherd have been executed for both labs. Work for 1st lab this quarter includes document reviews, audit planning, and on-site visits. Work for 2nd lab this quarter includes internal audit follow-up and LOD/LOQ questions. Copies of the contracts are attached.				
3.0 Water Quality	All planned monitoring was completed for the quarter. See Monitoring Report for details of monitoring activities for the quarter.				
Monitoring	Jane and John conducted river surveyor flow and morphology sampling from Sylvan Avenue to South Loop 12 in Dallas on 9/1/09 and in Irving on 9/2/09.				
	Bill and Ted conducted flow and morphology sampling at Beltline in Grand Prairie on 9/9/09.				
	Jane and John attended the TCEQ Data Management Training via video conference at the Fort Worth offices on 9/15-16/09.				
	Jane and John attended the annual SWQM conference at Canyon of the Eagles on 10/26-29/09.				
4.0 Data Management	Aug. 09 data sent on 09/20/09. Sep. 09 data sent 10/21/09. Oct. 09 data sent 11/20/09.				
5.0 Data Analysis	Jane and John attended the Basin Summary Report preparation meeting on 10/26/09 in Austin.				
and Reporting	Data and trend analysis for this report has begun. At this time there are no plans to utilize outside services to complete the report.				
6.0 Public Outreach and Participation	Website Updates: - A review of the website was conducted using the website requirements outlined in the CRP Guidance. All requirements are met Basin-wide QAPP posted to website on 10/31/09 FY2010-2011 work plan posed to website on 12/15/09 No other changes to website conducted this quarter. RA is in the process of upgrading the website software. More substantial changes may be made to the CRP website upon completion of the upgrade. RA has also begun to explore social media/networking sites. CRP staff has acquired sites and created pages for review by management. The pages are not viewable to the public at this time as they are pending approval by upper management Link to CRP CMS and TCEQ water quality data will be created on website after upgrades.				
	Outreach Activities: A new letter agreement with Texas Stream Team has been executed to continue his services in FY 2010-2011. A copy of the letter is attached.				
	Jane presented at Gator Fest in Anahuac on 9/11/09 and 9/12/09. Educational materials provided at the event by CRP are attached.				
	Ellen conducted a K-5 teacher orientation to Major Rivers curriculum on 10/21/2009 for three schools in Kyle. Table of Contents are attached.				
7.0 Special Studies	Wasteload Allocation: There is no CRP funding associated with this project in the FY 2010-2011 contract. However, work with the compact members and engineers is ongoing. Status updates and reports will be included with the quarterly progress report as they become available.				
	EnviroData and RA CRP Data Management and Integration System: Work on this project is ongoing. Currently, work is being done to format the output from the LIMS system into a format that is compatible with EnviroData. EnviroData is mapping the fields to a compatible import format.				

Exhibit 1D - Progress Report MONITORING ACTIVITIES

Provide a list of sampling activities planned and completed for each quarter with explanations for when sampling could not be completed.

			ation id		δ. / /				/*				/*			///	
	grent Jord Description CEDAR CREEK AT FM 2497			/%			/	Matic H	dixo/				Mater Ba	00/			
	Smert Long Description	/	ation Id	ing	Re Contreents			/.c ^x	nthics	/_	/	/.&	dic	/;0	404	///	
	ante no scrit		tion anit	' /	DE TRIPLE	_/,	N. P.	Jail!	din'	WOU W	atals or	2011/	C40.	clerio	X\/	1st qtr.	
/50	³ / ³ ³	/ 🕉	Mo	/~	8 [*] / 6 ⁰	/ v	1/ 6	\ <u>\\\</u> \\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	1/4	1/4/	<u> </u>	<u>"/ "</u>	∕ 🔗	10	<u>/ </u>	1st qtr.	2nd qtr.
0604		10478	AN/AN	RT								4	4	4	4	11/5/2008	2/19/2009
	JACK CREEK AT FM 2497	10492	AN/AN	RT								4	4	4	4	11/5/2008	2/19/2009
0604	JACK CREEK AT FM 2497	10492	WC/AN	RT						1					1	11/20/2008	N/A
	NECHES RIVER AT HWY 69			RT								4	4	4	4	10/1/2008	1/21/2009
	CEDAR CREEK AT FM 1336		AN/AN	RT								4	4	4	4	11/5/2008	2/19/2009
0604	HURRICANE CREEK AT SH 324	13529	AN/AN	RT							Ш	4	4	4	4	11/5/2008	2/19/2009
	PINEY CREEK AT FM 358			RT								4	4	4	4	10/1/2008	1/21/2009
	PINEY CREEK AT FM 1987	16081	WC/AN	RT						1					1	11/19/2008	N/A
0604	PINEY CREEK AT FM 1987	16081	AN/AN	BS		2	2	2	2					2		N/A	N/A
0004	DII 074 0DEE14 AT EM 4040	40007														40/4/0000	4/04/0000
	BILOXI CREEK AT FM 1818		AN/AN	RT							\sqcup	4	4	4	4	10/1/2008	1/21/2009
0604	BILOXI CREEK AT FM 1818	16097	WC/AN	RT						1					1	N/A	12/8/2008
0004	DUOK OPEEK AT EM 4040	40000		БТ												40/4/0000	4/04/0000
	BUCK CREEK AT FM 1818		AN/AN	RT						4		4	4	4	4	10/1/2008	1/21/2009
0604	BUCK CREEK AT FM 1818	16098	WC/AN	RT		_				1	\vdash	-			1	N/A	12/8/2008 12/11/09
0604	BILOVI CDEEK AT CD 246	10400	Δ N I / Δ N I	RT									6	6		10/9/2008	2/10/09
	BILOXI CREEK AT CR 216 BILOXI CREEK AT CR 216		AN/AN AN/AN	BS		2					\vdash	-	6	2		N/A	N/A
	PRAIRIE CREEK AT CR 210	18301	AN/TY	RT							\vdash	1	1	4	1	11/17/2008	2/24/2009
	BLACK FORK CREEK	10522		RT		_					\vdash	4	4	4	4	11/17/2008	
	AYISH BAYOU AT SH 103	15361	AN/AN	RT							\vdash	4	4	4	4	10/29/2008	
0010	LAKE SAM RAYBURN NEAR	15501	/AIN//AIN	IXI		-					\vdash	4	4	4	4	10/23/2000	12/11/2009
0610	MARION FERRY	10615	AN/AN	RT								4	4		1	10/20/2009	12/17/2009
0010	LAKE SAM RAYBURN NEAR	10013	/AIN//AIN	IXI							$\vdash\vdash\vdash$	-+	-4		4	10/23/2000	12/11/2009
0610	SHIRLEY CREEK	15524	AN/AN	RT								4	4		4	10/29/2008	12/17/2009
0010	LAKE SAM RAYBURN AT FM 83	10024	7 (1 N/7 (1 N	1 1	Unable to sample in 3rd						\vdash	-	-		- 4	13/23/2300	12/11/2009
0610	BRIDGE	14907	AN/AN	BS	quarter due to flooding.	2								2		N/A	N/A
	MUD CREEK AT US 84		AN/AN	RT	quarter and to noouning.					-	$\vdash \vdash$	4	4	4	4	9/24/2008	2/25/2009
0011	MOD CITELITY OU OF	10002	7 31 417 31 V	13.1	l .	<u> </u>						-7	-7	-7	_	0/Z-1/Z000	2,20,2000

EXHIBIT 1E BUDGET REVISION FORM

EXHIBIT 1E Budget Revision Request Form

BUDGET REVISION REQUEST FORM No. $\,$

		of budget category change prior to incurring specific cost. we project deliverables are met and fiscal accountability.	. TCEQ will review and may					
<u>Instructions:</u> Complete 1 8. The spreadsher	et will total the am	ounts in rows i. and k. of column 7 and all of column 9						
1. Recipient Organization (Name & Comple	ete Address Includi	ing Zip Code):						
2. Grant/ Contract Title:		3. Payee Identification No.:						
4. TCEQ Contract No.:		5. Total Project/ Grant Period:						
-	7. Approved Budget		9. New or Revised Budget					
6. Budget Categories: a. Personnel/Salaries	Duuget	8. Change Requested (+ or -)	\$0.00					
b. Fringe Benefits			\$0.00					
c. Travel			\$0.00					
d. Supplies			\$0.00					
e. Equipment			\$0.00					
f. Contractual			\$0.00					
g. Construction			\$0.00					
h. Other			\$0.00					
i. Total Direct Costs (sum a - h)	\$0.00		\$0.00					
j. Indirect Costs (% x \$ Salary)								
k.Total (sum i & j)	\$0.00		\$0.00					
Justification (Attach additional sheets, if n	ecessary):							
Budget Kevis	sion Request must of	contain all three signatures to be valid.						
Type or Printed Name and Title		Signature of Authorized Representative	Date					
Type or Printed Name and Title		Signature of TCEQ Project Manager	Date					
Type or Printed Name and Title		Signature of TCEQ Contract Manager	Date					

EXHIBIT 1F CONTRACTOR EVALUATION REPORT

EXHIBIT 1F

Contractor's Performance Evaluation Report

Today's Date:	Evaluation	Period: From	n to	Report No of
Contractor:				Contract No./Purchase Order No.:
Project Name (if applicable):				Contract No./Purchase Order No.: Phase (if applicable): Date of Program's Last Site Visit: Comments e description for ratings of one or below
Date of Last Report:				Date of Program's Last Site Visit:
Brief Description of Work:				
i	Performance Category	Score		Comments
			Please provide a narrativ (attachments are accepta	re description for ratings of one or below able).
	Quality & Accuracy of the Work			
	Timeliness of the Work			
	Financial & Progress Reports			
	HUB			
	Communication			
	Cost Control			
	Technology			
	Other (describe)*			
Evaluator's Name:			Signa	ture:
Division:			Sortio	

*Requires an attachment describing category and rating description which corresponds.

Note: Please see specific definitions for each performance category and an explanation for each score on following page.

Contractor's Performance Evaluation Report - Category Descriptions

Exhibit 1F contd.

PERFORMANCE CATEGORY (Score = 3) (Score = 2) Work product always, with rare exceptions, of excellent quality. Revisions rarely or never EXCEEDS EXPECTATIONS (Score = 3) SATISFACTORY PERFORMANCE (Score = 2) Work product of satisfactory quality with only typical errors and omissions, which were corrected upon request. Work product is acceptable, although many errors and/or omissions had to which were corrected upon request. Work product is acceptable, although many errors and/or omissions had to be corrected prior to product being and omissions noted. Not a	Υ
(Score = 2) (Score = 1) (Score = 0) 1. Quality and Accuracy Quality, sufficiency, and accuracy of contract-required work, including work or tasks Output Description (Score = 2) (Score = 1) (Score = 0) Work product always, with rare exceptions, of excellent quality. Work product of satisfactory quality with only typical errors and omissions, which were corrected upon request. Work product is acceptable, although many errors and/or omissions had to which were corrected upon request. Work product is acceptable, although many errors and/or omissions had to be corrected prior to product being and omissions noted. Not a	
1. Quality and Accuracy Quality, sufficiency, and accuracy of contract-required work, including work or tasks Work product always, with rare exceptions, of excellent quality. Work product of satisfactory quality with only typical errors and omissions, which were corrected upon request. Work product is acceptable, although wary or omissions had to which were corrected upon request. Work product is acceptable, although wary or omissions had to which were corrected upon request.	•
sufficiency, and accuracy of contract-required work, including work or tasks exceptions, of excellent quality. With only typical errors and omissions, many errors and/or omissions had to which were corrected upon request. be corrected prior to product being and omissions noted. Not a	
required work, including work or tasks Revisions rarely or never which were corrected upon request. be corrected prior to product being and omissions noted. Not a	
	ll errors
performed by subcontractors required. acceptable. and omissions corrected.	
2. <u>Timeliness</u> Timeliness with respect to All tasks & contract deliverables Some task delays, not expected to Some major work performance delays Required work product not	
completing contract-required work and/or on time or ahead of schedule. cause major deadlines to be missed caused (or expected to cause) completed on time, due to f	
work-related tasks, including work	er
performed by subcontractors. a result of the time line. approval granted for any other delays. contractor's control.	
3. Reports Accuracy, adequacy, and All reports accurate and Reports satisfactory with respect to Numerous errors and/or omissions Reports consistently of poo	
timeliness of contract-required complete, as well as on time. both quality and timeliness. corrected prior to reports being and/or late. Contents inade	•
activity/progress reports, notifications, No rewrites or additional Contractor responded quickly and acceptable (or reminders of reports permit interpretation or ana	
financial reports, invoices, pay requests information required. appropriately to questions or due were required to be sent). Reports more than 5 working	ng days
and other required documents, excluding comments raised. Reports not later than 5 working days. late.	
HUB reports.	
FOR HUB PROGRAM USE ONLY: Contractor consistently Contractor satisfactorily meets the Contractor marginally meets the HSP Contractor did not adequate	elv meet
4. HUB and/or DBE/MBE/WBE* meets or exceeds the HSP HSP and/or GFE requirements. and/or GFE requirements. the HSP and/or GFE requirements.	
Contractor's achievement of (or continued and/or GFE requirements. Reports satisfactory with respect to Numerous errors and/or omissions Reports consistently of poo	
responsiveness toward) contract-	
contained HUB Subcontracting Plan (HSP) complete, as well as on time. Contractor responded quickly and acceptable (or reminders of reports permit interpretation or ana	
and/or No rewrites or additional appropriately to questions or due were required to be sent). Reports habitually late.	,
Good Faith Effort (GFE) requirements, information required. comments raised. Reports frequently late.	
including timely and accurate submittal of	
contract-required HUB related reports.	
*When the term HUB is used, include	
evaluation of Contractor's performance of	
DBE/MBE/WBE requirements.	
5. <u>Communication</u> Contractor's Contractor consistently Contractor is usually flexible and Contractor is only intermittently Not flexible to changes in s	cope or
accessibility, responsiveness, and maintains excellent standing responsive to changes in responsive to changes in other circumstances. Not	•
cooperativeness with respect to any with subcontractors, including circumstances or scope of work. scope or other circumstances. cooperative or accessible. I	ailed to
contract-related concerns communicated timely payments. Works as a Generally maintains good standing Marginal team player. Failed to make maintain good standing with	n subs
by the Contract Manager; plus contractor's team member and is flexible with subs, and ensures that they are timely payments to subs on one or and failed to make paymen	ts on
demonstrated relationship with and responsive to changes. paid promptly. two occasions. more than two occasions.	
subcontractors	
subcontractors 6. Cost Control* Contractor's ability to Contractor took strong initiative Contractor observed current cost Contractor sometimes failed to Contractor failed to observe	current
subcontractors 6. Cost Control* Contractor sability to observe current cost levels; compare them observe current cost levels; compare the c	with
subcontractors 6. Cost Control* Contractor sability to observe current cost levels; compare them with Contract or Work Order budget, as Contractor observed current cost levels; compared them with Contract or Work Order budget, as applicable; of Work Order budget, as a	with dget, as
subcontractors 6. Cost Control* Contractor sability to observe current cost levels; compare them with Contract or Work Order budget, as applicable; and institute corrective action Contractor observed current cost levels compared them with Contract or Work Order budget, as applicable; and institute corrective action to Contractor observed current cost levels; compared them with Contract or Work Order budget, as applicable; and institute corrective action to Contractor observed current cost levels; compared them with Contract or Work Order budget, as applicable; and institute corrective action to	with dget, as rective
subcontractors 6. Cost Control* Contractor's ability to observe current cost levels; compare them with Contract or Work Order budget, as applicable; and institute corrective action to keep cost within budget. *Do not include* Contractor observed current cost levels compared them with Contract or Work Order budget, as applicable; and institute corrective action to keep cost within budget. Contractor observed current cost levels; compared them with Contract or Work Order budget, as applicable; and institute corrective action to keep cost within budget. Contractor sometimes failed to observe current cost levels; compare them with Contract or Work Order budget, as applicable; and institute corrective action to keep cost within budget.	with dget, as rective
6. Cost Control* Contractor's ability to observe current cost levels; compare them with Contract or Work Order budget, as applicable; and institute corrective action to keep cost within budget. *Do not include consideration of Contract or Work Order Contractor observed current cost levels; compared them with Contract or Work Order budget, as applicable; and institute corrective action to keep cost within budget. *Contractor observed current cost levels; compared them with Contract or Work Order budget, as applicable; and institute corrective action to keep cost within budget. *Contractor observed current cost levels; compared them with Contract or Work Order budget, as applicable; and institute corrective action to keep cost within budget.	with dget, as rective
Subcontractors 6. Cost Control* Contractor's ability to observe current cost levels; compare them with Contract or Work Order budget, as applicable; and institute corrective action to keep cost within budget. *Do not include consideration of Contract or Work Order budget. Subcontractors Contractor observed current cost levels; compared them with Contract or Work Order budget, as applicable; and institute corrective action to keep cost within budget. Contractor observed current cost levels; compared them with Contract or Work Order budget, as applicable; and institute corrective action to keep cost within budget. Contractor observed current cost levels; compared them with Contract or Work Order budget, as applicable; and institute corrective action to keep cost within budget. Contractor sometimes failed to observe current cost levels; compare them with Contract or Work Order budget, as applicable; and institute corrective action to keep cost within budget. Contractor observe current cost levels; compare them with Contract or Work Order budget, as applicable; and institute corrective action to keep cost within budget.	with dget, as rective
6. Cost Control* Contractor's ability to observe current cost levels; compare them with Contract or Work Order budget, as applicable; and institute corrective action to keep cost within budget. *Do not include consideration of Contract or Work Order budget amount changes requested or caused by TCEQ. Contractor observed current cost levels; compared them with Contract or bevolved current cost levels; compared them with Contract or Work Order budget, as applicable; and institute corrective action to keep cost within budget. Contractor observed current cost levels; compare them with Contract or Work Order budget, as applicable; and institute corrective action to keep cost within budget. Contractor observed current cost levels; compare them with Contract or Work Order budget, as applicable; and institute corrective action to keep cost within budget. Contractor observed current cost levels; compare them with Contract or Work Order budget, as applicable; and institute corrective action to keep cost within budget. Contractor observed current cost levels; compare them with Contract or Work Order budget, as applicable; and institute corrective action to keep cost within budget. Contractor observed current cost levels; compare them with Contract or Work Order budget, as applicable; and institute corrective action to keep cost within budget.	with dget, as rective udget.
Subcontractors 6. Cost Control* Contractor's ability to observe current cost levels; compare them with Contract or Work Order budget, as applicable; and institute corrective action to keep cost within budget. *Do not include consideration of Contract or Work Order budget amount changes requested or caused by TCEQ. Contractor observed current cost levels; compared them with Contract or Work Order budget, as applicable; and institute corrective action to keep cost within budget. Contractor observed current cost levels; compare them with Contract or Work Order budget, as applicable; and institute corrective action to keep cost within budget. Contractor observed current cost levels; compare them with Contract or Work Order budget, as applicable; and institute corrective action to keep cost within budget. Contractor observed current cost levels; compare them with Contract or Work Order budget, as applicable; and institute corrective action to keep cost within budget. Contractor observed current cost levels; compare them with Contract or Work Order budget, as applicable; and institute corrective action to keep cost within budget. Contractor is capable of applying Contractor sometimes failed to observe current cost levels; compare them with Contract or Work Order budget, as applicable; and institute corrective action to keep cost within budget. Contractor sometimes failed to observe current cost levels; compare them with Contract or Work Order budget, as applicable; and institute corrective action to keep cost within budget. Contractor sometimes failed to observe current cost levels; compare them with Contract or Work Order budget, as applicable; and institute corrective action to keep cost within budget. Contractor sometimes failed to observe current cost levels; compare them with Contract or Work Order budget, as applicable; and institute corrective action to keep cost within budget. Contractor sometimes failed to observe current cost levels; compared them with Contract or Work Order budget, as applicabl	with dget, as rective udget.
subcontractors 6. Cost Control* Contractor's ability to observe current cost levels; compare them with Contract or Work Order budget, as applicable; and institute corrective action to keep cost within budget. *Do not include consideration of Contract or Work Order budget amount changes requested or caused by TCEQ. 7. Technology Contractor's demonstrated technical competence and/or expertise Contractor observed current cost levels; compare them with Contract or Work Order budget, as applicable; and institute corrective action to keep cost within budget. Contractor observed current cost levels; compare them with Contract or Work Order budget, as applicable; and institute corrective action to keep cost within budget. Contractor observed current cost levels; compare them with Contract or Work Order budget, as applicable; and institute corrective action to keep cost within budget. Contractor observed current cost levels; compare them with Contract or Work Order budget, as applicable; and institute corrective action to keep cost within budget. Contractor is capable of applying current proven technology. Is aware technology to solve contract	with dget, as rective udget.
Subcontractors 6. Cost Control* Contractor's ability to observe current cost levels; compare them with Contract or Work Order budget, as applicable; and institute corrective action to keep cost within budget. *Do not include consideration of Contract or Work Order budget amount changes requested or caused by TCEQ. 7. Technology Contractor took strong initiative to observe current cost levels; compared them with Contract or Work Order budget, as applicable; and institute corrective action to keep cost within budget. Contractor observed current cost levels; compare them with Contract or Work Order budget, as applicable; and institute corrective action to keep cost within budget. Contractor observed current cost levels; compare them with Contract or Work Order budget, as applicable; and institute corrective action to keep cost within budget. Contractor observed current cost levels; compare them with Contract or Work Order budget, as applicable; and institute corrective action to keep cost within budget. Contractor is capable of applying current proven technology. Is aware of, but not experienced in the use of problems. Is aware of, but has little or direction concerning appropriate consideration of Contractor sometimes failed to observe current cost levels; compare them with Contract or Work Order budget, as applicable; and institute corrective action to keep cost within budget. Contractor sometimes failed to observe current cost levels; compare them with Contract or Work Order budget, as applicable; and institute corrective action to keep cost within budget. Contractor usually uses more basic technology to solve contract proven technology. Is aware of, but not experienced in the use of problems. Is aware of, but has little or direction concerning appropriate current cost levels; compared them with Contract or Work Order budget, as applicable; and institute corrective action to keep cost within budget. Contractor is capable of applying current proven technology. Is aware of, but not experienced in the us	with dget, as rective udget.
Subcontractors 6. Cost Control* Contractor's ability to observe current cost levels; compare them with Contract or Work Order budget, as applicable; and institute corrective action to keep cost within budget. *Do not include consideration of Contract or Work Order budget amount changes requested or caused by TCEQ. 7. Technology Contractor's demonstrated (including competence and expertise of subcontractors); plus contractor's Contractor took strong initiative to observed current cost levels; compared them with Contract or Work Order budget, as applicable; and institute corrective action to keep cost within budget. Contractor observed current cost levels; compare them with Contract or Work Order budget, as applicable; and institute corrective action to keep cost within budget. Contractor observed current cost levels; compared them with Contract or Work Order budget, as applicable; and institute corrective action to keep cost within budget. Contractor is compare them with Contract or Work Order budget, as applicable; and institute corrective action to keep cost within budget. Contractor sometimes failed to observe current cost levels; compare them with Contract or Work Order budget, as applicable; and institute corrective action to keep cost within budget. Contractor sometimes failed to observe current cost levels; compare them with Contract or Work Order budget, as applicable; and institute corrective action to keep cost within budget. Contractor usually uses more basic technology to solve contract problems. Is aware of, but has little or no experience in the use of more experience in the use of more technology and solutions.	with dget, as rective udget.
Subcontractors 6. Cost Control* Contractor's ability to observe current cost levels; compare them with Contract or Work Order budget, as applicable; and institute corrective action to keep cost within budget. *Do not include consideration of Contract or Work Order budget amount changes requested or caused by TCEQ. 7. Technology Contractor's demonstrated technical competence and/or expertise (including competence and expertise of subcontractors); plus contractor's ability to observe current cost levels; compared them with Contract or Work Order budget, as applicable; and institute corrective action to keep cost within budget. Contractor observed current cost levels; compare them with Contract or Work Order budget, as applicable; and instituted corrective action to keep cost within budget. Contractor observed current cost levels; compare them with Contract or Work Order budget, as applicable; and institute corrective action to keep cost within budget. Contractor is compared them with Contract or Work Order budget, as applicable; and institute corrective action to keep cost within budget. Contractor observed current cost levels; compare them with Contract or Work Order budget, as applicable; and institute corrective action to keep cost within budget. Contractor observed current cost levels; compare them with Contract or Work Order budget, as applicable; and institute corrective action to keep cost within budget. Contractor usually uses more basic technology to solve contract or problems. Is aware of, but has little or no experience in the use of more current proven technology. But is familiar with, and willing to use, latest techniques and solutions.	with dget, as rective udget.
Subcontractors 6. Cost Control* Contractor's ability to observe current cost levels; compare them with Contract or Work Order budget, as applicable; and institute corrective action to keep cost within budget. *Do not include consideration of Contract or Work Order budget, and institute corrective action to keep cost within budget amount changes requested or caused by TCEQ. 7. Technology Contractor's demonstrated technical competence and/or expertise (including competence and expertise of subcontractors); plus contractor's emonstrated and willingness to apply, within the limitations of the contract, new Contractor took strong initiative to observe current cost levels; compare them with Contract or Work Order budget, as applicable; and institute corrective action to keep cost within budget. Contractor observed current cost levels; compare them with Contract or Work Order budget, as applicable; and institute corrective action to keep cost within budget. Contractor sometimes failed to observe current cost levels; compare them with Contract or Work Order budget, as applicable; and institute corrective action to keep cost within budget. Contractor sometimes failed to observe current cost levels; compare them with Contract or Work Order budget, as applicable; and institute corrective action to keep cost within budget. Contractor sometimes failed to observe current cost levels; compare them with Contract or Work Order budget, as applicable; and institute corrective action to keep cost within budget. Contractor usually uses more basic technology to solve contract or observe current cost levels; compare them with Contract or Work Order budget, as applicable; and institute corrective action to keep cost within budget. Contractor usually uses more basic technology to solve contract or budget, as applicable; and institute corrective action to keep cost within budget. Contractor usually uses more basic technology to solve contract or observed current cost levels; compare them with Contract or Work Order budget, as a	with dget, as rective udget.
Subcontractors 6. Cost Control* Contractor's ability to observe current cost levels; compare them with Contract or Work Order budget, as applicable; and institute corrective action to keep cost within budget. *Do not include consideration of Contract or Work Order budget amount changes requested or caused by TCEQ. 7. Technology Contractor's demonstrated technical competence and/or expertise (including competence and expertise of subcontractors); plus contractor's ability to observe current cost levels; compared them with Contract or Work Order budget, as applicable; and institute corrective action to keep cost within budget. Contractor observed current cost levels; compare them with Contract or Work Order budget, as applicable; and instituted corrective action to keep cost within budget. Contractor observed current cost levels; compare them with Contract or Work Order budget, as applicable; and institute corrective action to keep cost within budget. Contractor is compared them with Contract or Work Order budget, as applicable; and institute corrective action to keep cost within budget. Contractor observed current cost levels; compare them with Contract or Work Order budget, as applicable; and institute corrective action to keep cost within budget. Contractor observed current cost levels; compare them with Contract or Work Order budget, as applicable; and institute corrective action to keep cost within budget. Contractor usually uses more basic technology to solve contract or problems. Is aware of, but has little or no experience in the use of more current proven technology. But is familiar with, and willing to use, latest techniques and solutions.	with dget, as rective udget.

November 30, 2016 Page 1-35

EXHIBIT 1G PERSONNEL ELIGIBILITY LIST &FRINGE BENEFITS METHODOLOGY

November 30, 2016 Page 1-36

EXHIBIT 1G

Personnel Eligibility List

The Planning Agency will provide a list of personnel who will be designated to work on, and are eligible to charge to, the contract. This form can be recreated using your own software, but these fields are required at a minimum. Please add any special notes to ensure Personnel Eligibility List (PEL) information can be reconciled against payroll and personnel records (e.g. salaries estimated based on X, or salaries include projected pay increases). Cost estimates for fringe benefits may be added to this report in separate columns, if desired, and the benefits need to be identified.

The PEL is a contract management tool which should be updated and submitted annually with the 1st quarter invoice. It is not necessary to resubmit the PEL for each salary change. ALL employment changes require a new PEL to be submitted with the applicable quarter's invoice.

Date:

CRP Planning Agency:

0 0 , =					
		Date Added	Date	% Time to	Total
Staff Name or	Position or Title	to PEL	Removed	Contract	CRP
"Vacant"			from PEL		Salary
	1				
Total Annual Cost (Personnel - Salaries)					

EXHIBIT 1G

Fringe Benefits Methodology

This form provides a format that can be modified to show how fringe benefits are budgeted and invoiced. You may provide rate computations in your own format or by adding columns to the PEL, in lieu of this form.

Fringe Benefits (Based on Salaries Paid)	Cost Per Month	Annual Cost (A)	% Time to Contract (B)	Contract Annual Cost (A x B)	
Fringe benefits are invoiced (check one):		specifically identified to each employee using established rates updated annually in (specify month)			
Contractor:			Date:		
may provide rate computations in your own format or by adding columns to the PEL, in fied of this form.					

Fringe Benefits (Based on Salaries Paid)	Cost Per Month	Annual Cost (A)	% Time to Contract (B)	Contract Annual Cost (A x B)
Retirement Matching (Specify)				
FICA Matching				
Social Security %				
Medicare %				
Insurance				
Medical				
Dental				
Vision				
Life				
Workers Compensation				
Unemployment Benefits				
FUTA				
SUTA				
Other Benefits (Specify)				
		I Cost (Fringe		
	\$			

EXHIBIT 1H EQUIPMENT INVENTORY FORMAT

EXHIBIT 1H

Equipment Inventory Format

Contract No.	Item Description	Serial No.	Item Amount	Date Purchased	Location	Working Condition	Comments
582-0- 8141_	600 MHz Computer w/ CR-RW, 30 Gig HD, 19" Monitor	3493322	\$1450	05/01/00	Main Office Rm 201	Good	Use as CRP GIS computer and as River Authority's data storage unit
582-2- 8141_	Hydrolab	853-4762	\$8000	07/02/00	Upper Basin Field Office	Needs new membrane	For CRP use in lake monitoring
582-4- 8141_	Automatic Sampler	001399	\$2500	08/21/00	Main Office Storage Room	Missing messenger	For CRP use
582-6- 8141_	Flow Meter	388-SJ99	\$6500	11/14/00	Main Office Field Equipment Room	Good	For CRP use
582-6- 4442_	GPS Unit	G7754-01	\$5000	10/15/01	Main Office Storage Room	Good	For CRP use and River Authority's use

EXHIBIT 1I PROCUREMENT SYSTEM CERTIFICATION

EXHIBIT 11

Procurement System Certification

APPLICANT'S NAME (Organization)						
APPLICANT'S ADDRESS						
		SECTION I – INSTRUCTIONS				
The applicant must submit this certification prior to the procurement of sub-recipients or vendors. This document is a certification that the applicant will use procurement procedures which reflect applicable state and local laws and regulations as outlined in the Uniform Grant Management Standards (UGMS).						
SECTION	II - CERTIFICATIONS					
its procur regulation	A. I affirm that the applicant has within the past 2 years certified to TCEQ that its procurement system complies with applicable state and local laws and regulations as outlined in the Uniform Grant Management Standards (UGMS). The date of the last Procurement System Certification was:					
	B. I, as an authorized representative of the applicant, CERTIFY that the applicant's Procurement System meets all applicable state and local laws and regulations as outlined in the Uniform Grant Management Standards (UGMS).					
List the title and date of all applicable procurement system policy and/or procedure documents. These documents must be made available to the TCEQ upon request.						
PRINTE	O NAME & TITLE:	SIGNATURE:	DATE:			

SECTION I - INSTRUCTIONS

The applicant must complete and submit a copy of this form prior to the procurement of subcontracts or vendors. If the applicant has certified its procurement process to TCEQ within the past 2 years and the system has not been substantially revised, complete Part A in Section II, then sign and date the form. If the system has not been certified within the past 2 years, complete Part B, then sign and date the form and send to the appropriate TCEQ CRP Project Manager at: TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY, CLEAN RIVERS PROGRAM, MC 234, P.O. BOX 13087, AUSTIN, TX 78711-3087.

EXHIBIT 1J WORKPLAN SHELL

TASK 1: PROJECT ADMINISTRATION

Objective: To manage all administrative functions required to support the Clean Rivers Program (CRP) contract, including:

- informative and timely Progress Reports;
- participation in conference calls;
- participation at CRP meetings;
- timely and accurate reimbursement forms with adequate documentation;
- efficient cost control to ensure expenses are allowable and applicable;
- responsibility for procurement and oversight of subcontractors;
- participation in fiscal monitoring reviews;
- timely and accurate deliverables that meet the intent of the FY2018-2019 CRP Guidance;
- adherence to TCEQ contract provisions;
- detailed and reasonable Work Plan development;
- · financial reporting and budget monitoring; and
- training to ensure personnel are properly prepared to conduct work.

Task Description: Provide a narrative description of the work that will be performed to accomplish this task. Where applicable, describe how individual deliverables will be completed and the level of effort needed to meet task objectives. Following is a suggested task description that can be modified to include the specific activities of the Performing Party for inclusion in the Work Plan.

The Planning Agency will complete the following subtasks described below:

Progress Reports - Progress Reports will contain a level of detail sufficient to document the activities which occurred during the appropriate quarter, and provide detailed supporting documentation and justification for reimbursement requests. Progress Reports will contain a general description of activities, a detailed tracking of deliverables, and the amount of water quality monitoring which occurred during the quarter. The Progress Report will be in the format provided in Exhibit 1D of the FY2018-2019 CRP Guidance.

Reimbursement Requests - A Financial Status Report, Supplemental Forms, and a current Personnel Eligibility List (PEL) will be submitted along with appropriate additional documentation on a quarterly basis. An updated Equipment Inventory List will be submitted when changes occur during the quarter. Budget Revision Requests will be made in advance of making changes to the budget.

Contractor and Subcontractor Evaluations - An annual self-evaluation and evaluations of subcontractors will be submitted at the end of each fiscal year.

Procurement Procedures Documentation - Documentation of the procurement process used to solicit, evaluate, pay, and oversee subcontractors, as specified in the FY2018-2019 CRP Guidance, will be developed. This documentation will be maintained in-house and will be made available for review by TCEQ staff upon request. For each subcontract made in association with this Contract, the Performing Party will provide a memo describing the procurement method used and a summary of the work to be performed to the CRP Project Manager. In addition, a Procurement System Certification will be submitted with the supporting documentation required with the FY 2020-2021 Work Plan.

Conference Calls - Performing Party will participate in all scheduled conference calls unless other arrangements are made with the TCEQ Project Manager.

Conferences and Training Events - Performing Party will participate in meetings and training events as scheduled by the CRP. All non-CRP conferences and training events need to be pre-approved by the TCEQ prior to incurring costs associated with such events. *List all/any non-CRP training events or conferences that are planned.*

Documentation for Desk Review or On-Site Visit - Detailed supporting documentation, in addition to the quarterly documentation, will be made available upon request. The additional supporting documentation will include those items outlined in Exhibit 1B of the FY2018-2019 CRP Guidance. In the case of an on-site visit, the appropriate Performing Party personnel will be available during the visit.

FY 2020 - 2021 Work Plan and Supporting Documentation - The Work Plan and supporting documentation will be prepared and submitted as specified in the FY2020-2021 CRP Guidance. Supporting documentation will include (as applicable): budget by category, budget by task, PEL, fringe rate methodology, indirect rate methodology, allocated costs documentation, equipment purchase request list, equipment inventory, list of tasks that will be sub-contracted, a list of known training events/conferences, and a signed Procurement System Certification. The Work Plan will include the tasks and deliverables outlined in the FY 2020-2021 Guidance and will be negotiated with the CRP Project Manager. A list of all deliverables in due date order will be submitted with the <u>final</u> Work Plan.

Deliverables and Due Dates:

September 1, 2017 through August 31, 2018

- A. Progress Reports December 15, 2017; March 15 and June 15, 2018
- B. Annual Self-Evaluation and, if applicable, Subcontract Evaluations August 31, 2018
- C. Procurement Procedures Documentation Memo- within 30 days after the subcontract is executed
- D. Additional Submission Documentation for Desk Review or Site Visit upon request

September 1, 2018 through August 31, 2019

- A. Progress Reports September 15 and December 15, 2018; March 15, June 15, and August 31, 2019
- B. Proposed FY 2020 2021 Work Plan and Supporting Documentation January 1, 2019
- C. Final FY 2020 2021 Work Plan and Supporting Documentation February 15, 2019
- D. Annual Self Evaluation and, if applicable, Subcontract Evaluations August 31, 2019
- E. Procurement Procedures Documentation Memo within 30 days after the subcontract is executed
- F. Additional Submission Documentation for Desk Review or Site Visit upon request

TASK 2: QUALITY ASSURANCE

Objective: To conduct data collection activities in accordance with an integrated system of quality management activities involving planning, assessment, implementation, training, and quality improvement. This task addresses objectives and processes for:

- Quality Assurance Project Plan (QAPP) development and implementation;
- laboratory quality assurance;
- data review, verification, and validation;
- oversight of project(s); and
- special studies project planning.

Task Description: Provide a narrative description of the work that will be performed to accomplish this task. Where applicable, describe how individual deliverables will be completed and the level of effort needed to meet task objectives. Following is a suggested task description that can be modified to include the specific activities of the Performing Party.

All work funded by this Agreement that involves the acquisition of environmental data generated from direct measurement activities, collected from other sources, or compiled from computerized databases and information systems shall be planned in consultation with the TCEQ and be documented in a fully approved TCEQ QAPP before data collection can be implemented.

The Planning Agency will complete the following subtasks described below:

NELAP Accreditation - Costs associated with the National Environmental Laboratory Accreditation Program (NELAP) as they relate to the CRP (e.g., Proficiency Test samples, NELAP consultants, accreditation) may be charged directly or indirectly to the program, but must be fully explained and justified in this Work Plan and include deliverables. Laboratory data will be produced by laboratories (and subcontract laboratories) whose quality assurance program is consistent with the NELAC Institute (TNI) standards.

Basin-wide QAPP - The Basin-wide QAPP will be submitted to the TCEQ in the TCEQ-approved shell format. Only those sites covered by the QAPP and parameters meeting the requirements of Texas Water Code Chapter 5, Subchapter R (TWC §5.801 et seq) and Title 30 TAC Chapter 25, Subchapters A and B will be included in the document. The Performing Party will address all TCEQ comments and submit the revised QAPP to the TCEQ within 30 days after receiving comments from the TCEQ.

The Performing Party will secure written documentation (signature in the QAPP or Commitment Letter) from participants under the QAPP stating their awareness of and commitment to requirements contained in the QAPP and any appendices and amendments. This documentation will be maintained as part of the Performing Party's quality assurance records. Copies of all Commitment Letters must be forwarded to the TCEQ no later than 45 days of TCEQ approval of the QAPP, but prior to the monitoring event. (Note: Commitment Letters are not required for entities who sign the QAPP). The Performing Party will distribute the QAPP to all participants (including the laboratory). Documentation of distribution will be maintained by the Performing Party and be available for review during a TCEQ monitoring systems audit.

Sections of the Basin-wide QAPP will be posted to the Performing Party's CRP Web page. These sections include the monitoring program or project objectives, measurement performance specifications (i.e., Table A7 of the QAPP), appendices, and the monitoring schedule and maps of sampling sites. In lieu of the monitoring schedule and maps, a link to the coordinated monitoring schedule (CMS) website may be provided, with a disclaimer that states the CMS includes stations monitored by other entities.

QAPP Amendment to Appendix B - The monitoring schedule in Appendix B of the Basin-wide QAPP will be updated for the second year of the contract biennium after the annual coordinated monitoring meeting. This special type of QAPP amendment will be submitted using the TCEQ-approved shell format. Only the sites covered by the Performing Party's QAPP will be included in Appendix B of the QAPP.

Planning for Special Studies or Permit Support Monitoring - Special studies and monitoring projects to support permits will be systematically planned in consultation with TCEQ staff around the elements of the QAPP. The Performing Party Project Manager will coordinate with the TCEQ to establish the planning team, schedule the meeting (90 days prior to the planned sampling date), distribute meeting materials in advance of the meeting, facilitate the meeting, and prepare meeting minutes. The Performing Party will submit planning materials for the meeting to all participants one week prior to the meeting. Meeting materials will include, as appropriate, a problem definition, as currently understood, a description of the budget, personnel and schedule issues, maps, information on past or on-going studies, historical water quality data, Integrated Report findings, wastewater discharge information, known or expected sources of contamination, existing monitoring sites, land use information, etc. Planning meeting summary notes will be provided to participants within two weeks of the meeting. The information developed during the planning meeting will be incorporated into a QAPP appendix. The QAPP appendix will be submitted to the TCEQ (within 30 days after the planning meeting) using the TCEQ-approved shell format.

QAPP Appendices - Special studies and permit support monitoring projects that have different objectives than those described in the Basin-wide QAPP will be incorporated into the QAPP as appendices after they are thoroughly planned in consultation with the TCEQ. The QAPP appendices will be written in the TCEQ prescribed format and reference sections of the Basin-wide QAPP as appropriate, and otherwise address information that is unique to the project. Unique aspects of special projects will include the problem definition, the task description, measurement performance specifications, sample design rationale, sampling methods requirements, etc. QAPP appendices will be sent to the TCEQ through the CRP Project Manager. TCEQ comments will be addressed and the document will be modified and resubmitted within 30 days of receipt.

QAPP Amendments and Revisions to Appendices - Changes in parameters, sampling or analytical procedures, project organization, and other items of an existing project necessitates an amendment to the QAPP and/or Revisions to Appendices. Amendments and revisions to Appendices will be submitted electronically to the CRP Project Manager on an "as needed" basis in the TCEQ shell format for agency review. QAPP Amendments and Revisions to Appendices will be distributed, upon approval, to all personnel on the distribution list maintained by the Performing Party.

Project Oversight - The Performing Party will participate in monitoring systems audits and laboratory inspections by the TCEQ.

The Performing Party will conduct oversight of sub-participants (including contractors and in-kind participants) who conduct field monitoring under their basin QAPP. The assessment will be performed once during the contract cycle in the case of on-going projects, or once during a project's lifetime in the case of short-lived special studies. (Two basic types of on-site assessment are acceptable: readiness reviews and monitoring systems audits. The Performing Party should elaborate as to the type of assessment that will be performed on every program or project in the QAPP. Note: The on-site assessment requirement does not apply if all work is performed by the Performing Party.)

Following the on-site assessment, the Performing Party will provide the organization audited with an audit report within 30 days. If no findings are identified, then the report will state as such. If findings are identified during the audit, they will be reported in the audit report. Audit reports will contain references to written specifications, as defined in the QAPP or in a standard operating procedure (SOP). The audited organization will be asked to respond in writing to the report within 30 days. A copy of the audit report and the response will be submitted as a deliverable to the CRP Project Manager with the Progress Report no later than the quarter following the one in which the audit was conducted.

Corrective Action Reports - Issues that may affect data quality and availability will be tracked, addressed, and reported to the TCEQ using the definitions and corrective action strategy laid out in the CRP Guidance. The Performing Party must address deviations associated with sampling activities, chain-of-custody, analytical method requirements, quality control, and data management.

Deliverables and Due Dates:

September 1, 2017 through August 31, 2018

- A. Basin-wide QAPP Receipt Acknowledgement and Adherence Letters (if applicable) October 15, 2017
- B. Specified sections of the Basin-wide QAPP posted to the Web page October 31, 2017
- C. Draft QAPP Appendix B Amendment for FY 2019 monitoring June 15, 2018
- D. Final QAPP Appendix B Amendment for FY 2019 monitoring August 15, 2018
- E. Planning meetings for Special Studies or Permit Support Monitoring (if applicable) 90 days prior to the planned sampling date
- F. Planning meeting summary notes for Special Studies or Permit Support Monitoring (if applicable) within two weeks of the planning meeting
- G. QAPP Appendices for Special Studies or Permit Support Monitoring (if applicable) within 30 days after the planning meeting
- H. QAPP Amendments and revisions to Appendices (if applicable) as needed
- QAPP Appendix and Amendment Receipt Acknowledgement and Adherence Letters (if applicable) - no later than 45 days after TCEQ approval of the QAPP, but prior to the monitoring event
- J. Participate in TCEQ monitoring systems audit and response to comments (if applicable) date planned in consultation with TCEQ

- K. Conduct on-site oversight assessment of sub-participants, once during each project or once during contract cycle (if applicable) to be scheduled
- L. On-site project oversight report and response (if applicable) with the Progress Report no later than the quarter following the one in which the audit was conducted
- M. Corrective action status report (if applicable) with Progress Report

September 1, 2018 through August 31, 2019

- A. Draft FY 2020-2021 Basin-wide QAPP June 15, 2019
- B. Final FY 2020-2021 QAPP August 15, 2019
- C. Planning meetings for Special Studies or Permit Support Monitoring (if applicable) 90 days prior to the planned sampling date
- D. Planning meeting summary notes for Special Studies or Permit Support Monitoring (if applicable) within two weeks of the planning meeting
- E. QAPP Appendices for Special Studies or Permit Support Monitoring (if applicable) within 30 days after the planning meeting
- F. QAPP Amendments and revisions to Appendices (if applicable) as needed
- G. QAPP Appendix and Amendment Receipt Acknowledgement and Adherence Letters (if applicable) no later than 45 days after TCEQ approval of the QAPP, but prior to the monitoring event
- H. Participate in TCEQ monitoring systems audit and response to comments (if applicable) date planned in consultation with TCEQ
- I. Conduct on-site oversight assessment of sub-participants, once during each project or once during contract cycle (if applicable) August 1, 2019
- J. On-site project oversight report and response (if applicable) August 31, 2019
- K. Corrective action status report (if applicable) with Progress Report

TASK 3: WATER QUALITY MONITORING

Objectives: Water quality monitoring will focus on collecting information to characterize water quality in a variety of locations and conditions. These efforts will include a combination of:

- planning and coordinating basin-wide monitoring;
- routine, regularly-scheduled monitoring to collect long-term information and support statewide assessment of water quality; and
- systematic, regularly-scheduled short-term monitoring to screen water bodies for issues.

Task Description: Provide a narrative description of the work that will be performed to accomplish this task. Where applicable, describe how individual deliverables will be completed and the level of effort needed to meet task objectives. Following is a suggested task description that can be modified to include the specific activities of the Performing Party.

The Planning Agency will complete the following subtasks described below:

Monitoring Description - List the minimum number of sites that will be sampled each year. Indicate the sampling frequency and the types of parameter groups that will be collected (e.g., For FY18: 45 sites monthly for field, flow, conventional parameters, and bacteria; 12 sites annually for metals; 6 sites for biological/habitat twice per year during the index period of March 15 and October 15, with one event falling between July 1 and September 30).

All monitoring procedures and methods will follow the guidelines prescribed in the Performing Party QAPP, the TCEQ Surface Water Quality Monitoring Procedures, Volume 1: Physical and Chemical Monitoring Methods (RG-415) and the TCEQ Surface Water Quality Monitoring Procedures, Volume 2: Methods for Collecting and Analyzing Biological Assemblage and Habitat Data (RG-416).

Coordinated Monitoring Meeting - The Performing Party will hold an annual coordinated monitoring meeting as described in the CRP Guidance. Qualified monitoring organizations will be invited to attend the working meeting in which monitoring needs and purposes will be discussed segment by segment and station by station. Information from participants and stakeholders will be used to select stations and parameters that will enhance overall water quality monitoring coverage, eliminate duplication of effort, and address basin priorities. A summary of the changes to the monitoring schedule will be provided to the participants within two weeks of the meeting. The changes to the monitoring schedule will be entered into the statewide database on the Internet (http://cms.lcra.org) and communicated to meeting attendees. Changes to monitoring schedules that occur during the course of the year will be entered into the statewide database on the Internet and communicated to meeting attendees.

Progress Report - Each Progress Report will include all types of monitoring and indicate the number of sampling events and the types of monitoring conducted in the quarter.

Deliverables and Dues Dates:

September 1, 2017 through August 31, 2018

- A. Conduct water quality monitoring, summarize activities, and submit with Progress Report December 15, 2017; March 15 and June 15, 2018
- B. Coordinated Monitoring Meeting between March 15 and April 30, 2018
- C. Coordinated Monitoring Meeting Summary of Changes within 2 weeks of the meeting
- D. Email notification that Coordinated Monitoring Schedule updates are complete May 31, 2018

September 1, 2018 through August 31, 2019

- A. Conduct water quality monitoring, summarize activities, and submit with Progress Report September 15 and December 15, 2018; March 15 and June 15 and August 31, 2019
- B. Coordinated Monitoring Meeting between March 15 and April 30, 2019
- C. Coordinated Monitoring Meeting Summary of Changes within 2 weeks of the meeting
- D. Email notification that Coordinated Monitoring Schedule updates are complete May 31, 2019

TASK 4: DATA MANAGEMENT

Objectives: To manage a quality-assured water quality monitoring database and transfer data to the TCEQ Surface Water Quality Monitoring Information System (SWQMIS) database in the required format.

Task Description: Surface water quality monitoring data files, including biological, special studies, and targeted monitoring data, as applicable, will be transferred to the TCEQ in the correct format using the TCEQ file structure. Binary Large Object (BLOB) files will be provided with biological data as outlined in the FY 2018-19 CRP Guidance.

The Planning Agency will complete the following subtasks described below:

The Performing Party will review each data set using the Data Review Checklist and the SWQMIS Data Loader. A Data Summary (including information on data completeness) and the SWQMIS Validator Report will be submitted with each data set. The Data Summary will contain basic identifying information about the data set, information regarding inconsistencies and errors identified during data verification and validation steps, and/or problems with data collection efforts.

Data correction requests and station location requests will be submitted via SWQMIS, as needed.

Water quality data approved by the TCEQ will be posted on the Performing Party's Web page at least two times per year, or a link may be provided to the TCEQ Surface Water Quality Web Reporting Tool at http://www80.tceq.texas.gov/SwqmisPublic/public/default.htm.

Deliverables and Due Dates:

September 1, 2017 through August 31, 2018

- A. Surface water quality monitoring data files, SWQMIS Validator Report, and Data Summary December 1, 2017; March 1 and August 1, 2018
- B. Surface water quality monitoring data updates to Web page or link to TCEQ's water quality data February 1 and August 1, 2018
- C. Biological Binary Large Object (BLOB) files coordinate due date(s) with TCEQ Project Manager

September 1, 2018 through August 31, 2019

- A. Surface water quality monitoring data files SWQMIS Validator Report, and Data Summary December 1, 2018; March 1 and August 1, 2019
- B. Surface water quality monitoring data updates posted to Web page, or link to TCEQ's water quality data February 1 and August 1, 2019
- C. Biological Binary Large Object (BLOB) files coordinate due date(s) with TCEQ Project Manager

TASK 5: DATA ANALYSIS AND REPORTING

Objectives: Conduct data analysis and develop reports that provide information to describe water quality and identify priority water quality issues for further investigation or action. This work will:

- correlate watershed characteristics with water quality conditions;
- highlight areas where water quality appears to be improving or declining;
- support and/or validate the findings of the Texas Integrated Report of Surface Water Quality;
- support planning of monitoring efforts;
- identify areas where nonpoint source management efforts may be applied; and
- provide information for stakeholders to discuss at Steering Committee meetings.

Task Description: Provide a narrative description of the work that will be performed to accomplish this task. Where applicable, describe how individual deliverables will be completed and the level of effort needed to meet task objectives. Following is a suggested task description that can be modified to include the specific activities of the Performing Party for inclusion in the Work Plan.

The Planning Agency will complete the following subtasks described below:

Basin Highlights Report - The Performing Party may vary the content of the Basin Highlights Report in an effort to reduce repetition of information that does not change on an annual basis. The Basin Highlights Report will follow one of the versions described below as detailed in the FY 2018-19 CRP Guidance.

The Standard Report

- an overview of basin water quality monitoring describing each organization's participation
- the top water quality issues in the basin for stakeholder prioritization and monitoring decisions
- a description of water quality conditions for each segment/water body
- a summary of findings from special studies
- maps showing the location of sampling sites and water quality issues
- summary of Steering Committee and other public outreach activities
- instructions on how to get involved in Steering Committee meetings, volunteer monitoring, and other opportunities for participation
- information on the CRP content featured on the Performing Party's Web page

Watershed Characterization

- descriptions of segments with the areas of impairment or interest described
- stream / reservoir hydrology
- impairment/area of interest description
- land use and natural characteristics
- potential causes of each impairment
- potential stakeholders
- recommendations for improving water quality
- maps including water bodies and relevant spatial conditions
- ongoing projects
- images of water bodies and watershed areas being characterized
- major watershed events (present and future)

Program Update

- an update on major basin activities, changes and events
- an update of basin water quality monitoring activities
- an update on the top water quality concerns and issues in the basin
- a summary of findings from special studies

- maps showing the location of sampling sites and major water quality issues
- an update on public outreach and educational activities
- links to additional resources

Electronic copies of the draft report and five copies of the final report will be provided to the TCEQ. The TCEQ will provide comments on the draft report and final approval will rest with the TCEQ. The reports will be made available to basin stakeholders, and on the Performing Party's Web page.

Basin Summary Report - The Basin Summary Report will follow the outline described in the FY 2018-19 CRP Guidance. The report will contain a comprehensive review of water quality for the entire basin, including:

- a description of the water quality conditions and issues;
- trend analysis of water quality by station and parameter;
- maps showing watershed characteristics, sampling stations, and water quality issues;
- a discussion of the watershed characteristics and their potential influence on water quality; and
- recommendations for water quality management strategies to correct identified water quality problems and pollution sources.

A preparation meeting between the Performing Party and the TCEQ CRP staff will be arranged prior to substantial work on the report. The Performing Party will be prepared to discuss site selection for trend analysis, report content and layout, methodology for data review, and address other questions or suggestions for the report.

A pre-draft or watershed summary will be provided to the TCEQ CRP Project Manager and must be approved prior to completing the first draft. The Basin Summary Report will be presented to the Steering Committee for review and comment, and coordinated with the public and the TCEQ. The TCEQ will provide comments on the draft report and final approval will rest with the TCEQ. Five copies of the final report will be provided to the TCEQ. The reports will be made available to Steering Committee members and all basin stakeholders and will be posted on the Performing Party's Web page. The final Basin Summary Report will be provided to the Texas Parks and Wildlife Department, Texas State Soil and Water Conservation Board, the governor, the lieutenant governor, and the speaker of the House of Representatives not later than the 90th day after the date the report is submitted to the TCEQ.

Deliverables and Due Dates:

September 1, 2017 through August 31, 2018

- A. Preparation meeting for Basin Summary Report (IBWC, GBRA, NRA, SARA) by October 31, 2017
- B. Pre-Draft Watershed Summary December 15, 2017
- C. Draft Basin Highlights Report February 15, 2018
- D. Draft Basin Summary Report March 15, 2018
- E. Final Basin Highlights Report May 15, 2018
- F. Final Basin Summary Report May 31 2018
- G. Post Report to Web page June 30, 2018

September 1, 2018 through August 31, 2019

- A. Preparation meeting for Basin Summary Report (RRA, NETMWD, SRBA, SRA) by October 31, 2018
- B. Pre-Draft Watershed Summary December 15, 2018
- C. Draft Basin Highlights Report February 15, 2019
- D. Draft Basin Summary Report March 15, 2019
- E. Final Basin Highlights Report May 15, 2019
- F. Final Basin Summary Report May 31, 2019
- G. Post Report to Web page June 30, 2019

TASK 6: STAKEHOLDER PARTICIPATION AND PUBLIC OUTREACH

Objectives: Enhance and support participation of stakeholders in the development of water quality objectives and priorities for the basin, and CRP as a whole. Engage in education and outreach activities to enhance stakeholder knowledge and involvement.

The Steering Committee serves as the focus of public input and assists with:

- creation of specific, achievable water quality objectives and basin priorities;
- review and development of work plans and allocation of resources;
- review, development and approval of major reports;
- establishment of monitoring priorities and development of monitoring plans; and
- identification of priority problem areas and possible actions to address these problems and pollutant sources.

Stakeholders should be engaged through outreach and education activities that support the CRP goals. This can be accomplished by:

- providing several forums for citizens to contribute their ideas and concerns;
- participating in outreach and education activities to increase public awareness about water quality issues in the basin;
- communicating information on water quality issues so that priorities may be set considering local, regional, state, and federal needs; and
- providing opportunities for volunteer citizen monitoring of basin water bodies.

Task Description: Provide a narrative description of the work that will be performed to accomplish this task. Where applicable, describe how individual deliverables will be completed and the level of effort needed to meet task objectives. Following is a suggested task description that can be modified to include the specific activities of the Performing Party for inclusion in the Work Plan.

The Planning Agency will complete the following subtasks described below:

Steering Committee and Meetings - In order to sufficiently address the different interests, concerns and priorities of each watershed, the Performing Party will work to ensure that its Steering Committee includes stakeholder volunteers from across the basin that represent the groups identified in the FY 2018-19 CRP Guidance. If specified groups are not represented, efforts will be made to recruit representatives before the next scheduled meeting. To engage new members and increase participation, the Performing Party will take every opportunity to promote the CRP and involvement in the Steering Committee.

To meet the goals and coordination requirements of the CRP Guidance, the Performing Party will conduct (number) Steering Committee meetings during the month(s) of (months). Additional subcommittees or other public meetings may also be held to help complete the requirements.

The Performing Party will contact stakeholders at least 45 days in advance of meeting date(s) to encourage participation. A questionnaire, which will be sent to all interested stakeholders, will provide a list of proposed agenda topics, request confirmation of continued interest/participation in the Steering Committee, and request input on additional topics and potential stakeholders. Along

with the priority agenda topics identified in the CRP Guidance, Steering Committee meetings will also include additional topics that have been identified to be of significant interest to stakeholders. A final meeting announcement and agenda will be made available at least 15 days prior to the meeting. After each Steering Committee meeting, the Performing Party will ensure all stakeholder input, comments, decisions, and any other meeting accomplishments reached are addressed, where applicable. For all Steering Committee meetings, copies of meeting materials will be provided with the next Progress Report, and include: a copy of the meeting agenda, presentations, meeting minutes, and a list of attendees.

Education and Outreach - For any public participation, outreach, or volunteer monitoring activities, a copy of the activities summary, materials produced or distributed by the Performing Party, and a list of participants will be included in the subsequent Progress Report.

The Performing Party will develop, maintain, update, and report on their Web page as specified in the FY 2018-19 CRP Guidance. The Web page will be reviewed on a quarterly basis to ensure that information and announcements remain current and relevant. The Performing Party will also include summaries of revisions to the Web page in/with the corresponding quarterly Progress Report.

Deliverables and Due Dates:

September 1, 2017 through August 31, 2018

- A. Document that Web page meets outlined requirements December 15, 2017
- B. Summary of Web page updates December 15, 2017; March 15 and June 15, 2018
- C. Contact Steering Committee members with questionnaire, draft agenda topics and to confirm participation a minimum of 45 days prior to Steering Committee meeting date
- D. Final announcements and agenda for Steering Committee meetings a minimum of 15 days in advance of meeting
- E. Steering Committee meeting number and dates as negotiated with TCEQ Project Manager
- F. Steering Committee meeting materials with Progress Report following meeting
- G. Steering Committee meeting minutes posted to the Web page *indicate in Progress Report following meeting*
- H. Materials from education and outreach activities December 15, 2017; March 15 and June 15, 2018

September 1, 2018 through August 31, 2019

- A. Summary of Web page updates September 15 and December 15, 2018; March 15, June 15, and August 31, 2019
- B. Contact Steering Committee members with questionnaire, draft agenda topics and to confirm participation a minimum of 45 days prior to Steering Committee meeting date
- C. Final announcements and agenda for Steering Committee meetings a minimum of 15 days in advance of meeting
- D. Steering Committee meeting number and dates as negotiated with CRP Project Manager
- E. Steering Committee meeting materials with Progress Report following meeting
- F. Steering Committee meeting minutes posted to the Web page *indicate in Progress Report* following meeting
- G. Materials from education and outreach activities September 15 and December 15, 2018; March 15, June 15, and August 31, 2019

TASK 7: SPECIAL PROJECTS

Objectives: Special projects may be developed to address watershed-specific concerns that may influence water quality as identified by the Performing Party and Steering Committee as priority issues for the basin. If more than one project is planned, each one should be defined as a sub-task (Task 7.1, Task 7.2, etc.) with separate plans, deliverables, and budgets. The Performing Party should work closely with their CRP Project Manager since most of these projects will require meetings to discuss and scope out project plans. Objectives for this task will depend on the project to be addressed. Any projects with water quality monitoring activities defined in a QAPP will be addressed as a Special Project in Task 3.

Task Description: Provide a narrative description of the work that will be performed to accomplish this task. Where applicable, describe how individual deliverables will be completed and the level of effort needed to meet task objectives.

The Planning Agency will complete the following subtasks described below:

Deliverables and Due Dates:

September 1, 2017 through August 31, 2018

Add Project-specific deliverables

September 1, 2018 through August 31, 2019

Add Project-specific deliverables