EXECUTIVE SUMMARY – ENFORCEMENT MATTER – CASE NO. 58383 BAGRAM INC dba BAGRAM FOOD MART RN101442754 Docket No. 2020-0181-PST-E

Order Type: Agreed Order

Media:

PST

Small Business:

Yes

Location(s) Where Violation(s) Occurred:

9115 Timber Path, San Antonio, Bexar County

Type of Operation:

an underground storage tank system and conveinence store with retail sales of gasoline

Other Significant Matters:

Additional Pending Enforcement Actions: None Past-Due Penalties: None Past-Due Fees: None Other: None Interested Third Parties: None

Texas Register Publication Date: November 19, 2021

Comments Received: None

Penalty Information

Total Penalty Assessed: \$11,542.00

Total Paid to General Revenue: \$342.00

Total Due to General Revenue: \$11,200.00

Payment Plan: 35 payments of \$320.00 each

Compliance History Classifications:

Person/CN - High Site/RN - High

Major Source: No
Statutory Limit Adjustment: None
Applicable Penalty Policy: April 2014

Investigation Information

Complaint Date(s): N/A

Date(s) of Investigation: June 18, 2019 - June 25, 2019

Date(s) of NOV(s): N/A

Date(s) of NOE(s): August 30, 2019

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY – ENFORCEMENT MATTER – CASE NO. 58383 BAGRAM INC dba BAGRAM FOOD MART RN101442754 Docket No. 2020-0181-PST-E

Violation Information

- 1. Failed to monitor the UST system for releases at a frequency of at least once every 30 days [Tex. Water Code § 26.3475(c)(1) and 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 334.50(b)(1)(A)].
- 2. Failed to provide corrosion protection for the UST system [Tex. Water Code § 26.3475(d) and 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 334.49(a)(1)].
- 3. Failed to assure all UST recordkeeping requirements are met [30 Tex. Admin. Code § 334.10(b)(2)(C)].

Corrective Actions/Technical Requirements

Corrective Action(s) Completed:

Respondent ceased operating the Facility as of August 1, 2019.

Technical Requirements:

None

Litigation Information

Date Petition(s) Filed:September 23, 2021Date Green Card(s) Signed:September 25, 2021Settlement Date:October 8, 2021

Contact Information

TCEQ Attorneys: Megan Grace, Litigation, (512) 239-3400

Garrett Arthur, Public Interest Counsel, (512) 239-6363

TCEQ Litigation Agenda Coordinator: Katherine McKenzie, Litigation, (512) 239-2575

TCEQ Enforcement Coordinator: Carlos Molina, Enforcement, (512) 239-2557

TCEQ Regional Contact: Cameron Lopez, San Antonio Regional Office, (210) 403-4044

Respondent Contact: Naeem Khan Ameeri, President, BAGRAM INC, 11123 Hill Top Bend Helotes,

Texas 78023

Respondent's Attorney: N/A

Penalty Calculation Worksheet (PCW)

Policy Revision 4 (April 2014)

PCW Revision March 26, 2014

ICEQ		0.0					
DATES	Assigned					1	
	PCW	12-Jul-2021	Screening 24-Sep-2019	EPA Due			
DECDO	NEENT /EACTLE	TV THEODIA TT	<u> </u>				
RESPO	NDENT/FACILI						
			a BAGRAM FOOD MART				
	g. Ent. Ref. No.					In a:	
Facili	ty/Site Region	13-San Antonio		Major/M	inor Source	Minor	
CACET	NEODMATION						
	NFORMATION	E0202			c > 4: 1 .:		
En	f./Case ID No.		_	No. c	of Violations		
		2020-0181-PST-		6	Order Type		
мес	lia Program(s)	Petroleum Stora	ge rank	Government			
	Multi-Media			Ent.		Carlos Molina	2
Adı	min. Penalty \$ I	imit Minimum	\$0 Maximum	\$25,000	EC S Team	Enforcement 1	eam 2
			Popalty Calcula	tion Soction	<u> </u>		
ΤΟΤΔ	I RASE DENA	I TV (Sum of	Penalty Calcula violation base penalt		ווע	Subtotal 1	\$7,750
IOIA	L DASE I LIVA	Lii (Saiii Gi	violation base penale	103)		Subtotal 1	Ψ1/150
ADJU	STMENTS (+	/-) TO SUBT	OTAL 1				
			OTAL 1 g the Total Base Penalty (Subtotal 1) by the indicated p	ercentage.		
	Compliance Hi	story	-10.0%	Adjustment	Subto	tals 2, 3, & 7	-\$775
	Notes	F	Reduction for High Performer	classification.			
	Culpability	No	0.0%	Enhancement		Subtotal 4	\$0
	Culpability	140	0.0%	Elliancement]	40
	Notes	The Re	espondent does not meet the	culpability crite	ria.		
	Notes	1110 110	spondent does not meet the	carpability crite			
	·					4	
	Good Faith Eff	ort to Comply T	otal Adjustments			Subtotal 5	\$0
							·
	Economic Bend			Enhancement*		Subtotal 6	\$0
	Estimated	Total EB Amounts Cost of Compliance	+ ./	d at the Total EB \$ /	Amount		
	Estimated	Cost of Compliance	\$4,545				
CIIM (OF SUBTOTA	C 1 7			-	inal Cubbatal	\$6,975
SUM (JF SUBIUIA	LS 1-/			F	inal Subtotal	\$0,975
OTLIE	D FACTORS	C ILICATION I	AN DECLIEDE				+ 4 = 4=
	or enhances the Final		1AY REQUIRE	65.5%		Adjustment	\$4,567
Reduces	or ennances the Fina	Subtotal by the indi	cated percentage.			1	
	Notes	Recommended	enhancement to capture the	avoided costs o	f compliance		
	Notes	i	associated with Violation Nos	. 1, 2, and 3.			
					Fire I Dec		444.540
					Finai Per	alty Amount	\$11,542
CTATI	ITODY TMT	. A D ILLOTME					*** = **
SIAI	UTORY LIMIT	ADJUSTME	N I		Final Asse	ssed Penalty	\$11,542
DEFEI				0.0%	Reduction	Adjustment	\$0
Reduces t	the Final Assessed Pe	nalty by the indicate	d percentage.			1	
	Notes	Defe	rral not offered for non-expe	dited settlemen	t.		
	<u> </u>					-	
DAVA	RIE DENALT	7					¢11 542

Docket No. 2020-0181-PST-E

Policy Revision 4 (April 2014) PCW Revision March 26, 2014

Respondent BAGRAM INC dba BAGRAM FOOD MART

Case ID No. 58383

Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN101442754

Media [Statute] Petroleum Storage Tank

Enf. Coordinator Carlos Molina

	Compliance History Worksheet		
	ory <i>Site</i> Enhancement (Subtotal 2) Number of	Number	Adjust.
NOVs	Written notices of violation ("NOVs") with same or similar violations as those the current enforcement action (number of NOVs meeting criteria)	n 0	0%
	Other written NOVs	0	0%
	Any agreed final enforcement orders containing a denial of liability (number of orders meeting criteria)	0	0%
Orders	Any adjudicated final enforcement orders, agreed final enforcement order without a denial of liability, or default orders of this state or the feder government, or any final prohibitory emergency orders issued by the commission	al 0	0%
Judgments	Any non-adjudicated final court judgments or consent decrees containing a deni of liability of this state or the federal government (number of judgments consent decrees meeting criteria)		0%
and Consent Decrees	Any adjudicated final court judgments and default judgments, or non-adjudicate final court judgments or consent decrees without a denial of liability, of this state or the federal government		0%
Convictions	Any criminal convictions of this state or the federal government (number counts)	0	0%
Emissions	Chronic excessive emissions events (number of events)	0	0%
Audits	Letters notifying the executive director of an intended audit conducted under the Texas Environmental, Health, and Safety Audit Privilege Act, 74th Legislature 1995 (number of audits for which notices were submitted)		0%
Addits	Disclosures of violations under the Texas Environmental, Health, and Safet Audit Privilege Act, 74th Legislature, 1995 (number of audits for which violation were disclosed)		0%
	Environmental management systems in place for one year or more	No	0%
Other	Voluntary on-site compliance assessments conducted by the executive direct under a special assistance program	No No	0%
Other	Participation in a voluntary pollution reduction program	No	0%
	Early compliance with, or offer of a product that meets future state or feder government environmental requirements	No No	0%
	Adjustment Po	ercentage (Sub	ototal 2)
epeat Violator	(Subtotal 3)		
No	Adjustment Po	ercentage (Sub	ototal 3)
ompliance Hist	ory Person Classification (Subtotal 7)		
High Perf	ormer Adjustment Po	ercentage (Sub	ototal 7)
ompliance Hist	ory Summary		
Compliance History Notes	Reduction for High Performer classification.		
	Total Compliance History Adjustment Percentage	(Subtotals 2,	3, & 7)
ial Compliance	History Adjustment Final Adjustment Percer	stage *canned	at 100%
	i mai Aujustinent reiter	tage capped	at 100 70

	Screening Date	4-Sep-2019 Docket No. 2020-018	B1-PST-E PCW
	-	AGRAM INC dba BAGRAM FOOD MART	Policy Revision 4 (April 2014)
_	Case ID No.		PCW Revision March 26, 2014
Reg.	Ent. Reference No.		
		etroleum Storage Tank	
	Enf. Coordinator		
	Violation Number	1	
	Rule Cite(s)	30 Tex. Admin. Code § 334.50(b)(1)(A) and Tex. Water Cod	de § 26.3475(c)(1)
	Violation Description	Failed to monitor the underground storage tank ("UST") syst frequency of at least once every 30 days.	
			Base Penalty \$25,000
>> Env	vironmental, Proper	y and Human Health Matrix	
	The second second	Harm	
	Release	Major Moderate Minor	
OR	Actual		
	Potential	x Percent	15.0%
>>Pro	grammatic Matrix Falsification	Major Moderate Minor	
	Faisification	Major Moderate Minor Percent	0.006
		reitent	0.0%
		h or the environment will or could be exposed to pollutants t are protective of human health or environmental receptors a violation.	
		Adjustment	\$21,250
			\$3,750
			\$3,730
Violati	on Events		
Violati	on Events		
	Number of V	olation Events 1 37 Number o	of violation days
		daily weekly monthly	
		quarterly x Violate semiannual annual single event	ation Base Penalty \$3,750
	One quarterly	vent is recommended from the June 25, 2019 investigation of 2019 date of conveyance.	late to the August 1,
Good F	aith Efforts to Com	ly 0.0%	Reduction \$0
		Before NOE/NOV NOE/NOV to EDPRP/Settlement Offer	
		Extraordinary	
		Ordinary	
		N/A x	
		Notes The Respondent does not meet the good faith for this violation.	n criteria
			Violation Subtotal \$3,750
Econor	nic Benefit (EB) for	his violation Statuto	ory Limit Test
	Fstimate	EB Amount \$1,507 Violation	Final Penalty Total \$5,585
	25		
		This violation Final Assessed Penalty (a	djusted for limits) \$5,585

Economic Benefit Worksheet							
Respondent	t BAGRAM INC dba BAGRAM FOOD MART						
Case ID No.	58383						
Reg. Ent. Reference No.	RN101442754						
Media	Petroleum Sto	rage Tank				Dawasak Tukawask	Years of
Violation No.	1	_				Percent Interest	Depreciation
						5.0	15
	Item Cost	Date Required	Final Date	Vrc	Interest Saved	Costs Saved	EB Amount
Item Description	rtem cost	Date Required	i mai bate	5	Interest suveu	COSIS Savea	LD Amount
Item Description							
Dolayed Costs							
Delayed Costs Equipment		1		0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Buildings				0.00	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0
Other (as needed)				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Engineering/Construction				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Land				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Record Keeping System				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Training/Sampling				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Remediation/Disposal				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Permit Costs				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Notes for DELAYED costs							
Avoided Costs	ANNUA	ALIZE avoided co	osts before en			r one-time avoide	
Disposal				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Personnel				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Inspection/Reporting/Sampling				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Supplies/Equipment				0.00	\$0	\$0 #0	\$0
Financial Assurance ONE-TIME avoided costs				0.00	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$ 0
Other (as needed)	\$1,500	25-Jun-2019	1-Aug-2019	0.10	\$0 \$7	\$1,500	\$1,507
Other (as needed)	\$1,500	25-Juli-2019	1-Aug-2019	0.10	1 4/	\$1,500	\$1,507
	Estimated av	roided cost to impl	lomont a mothe	d of ro	lassa dataction for	all USTs at the Fac	ility. The date
Notes for AVOIDED costs		•				date of conveyance	•
		required is the inv	vestigation date	and ti	ie iiiai date is tile	date of conveyance	•
Ц							
		+4 500			===::		+4 50=1
Approx. Cost of Compliance		\$1,500			TOTAL		\$1,507

	Scre	ening Date	24-Sep-2019		Docket No.	2020-0181-PST-E		PCW
			BAGRAM INC d	ba BAGRAM F	OOD MART		Policy	Revision 4 (April 2014)
	C	ase ID No.	58383				PCW Re	evision March 26, 2014
Reg.	Ent. Ref	erence No.	RN101442754					
_			Petroleum Stor	age Tank				
		oordinator		_				
	Viola	tion Number	2					
		Rule Cite(s)	20 Tay /	Idmin Cada (5 224 40(a)(1) and Tay 1	Water Code S 26 2475(d)		
			30 Tex. F	tarriiri. Code g	3 334.49(a)(1) and rex.	Water Code § 26.3475(d)		
	Violation	n Description	Fa	ailed to provid	de corrosion protection fo	r the UST system.		
				da eo prome	20 0011 001011 p. 010011011 10			
						Base Pe	nalty	\$25,000
						base re	liaity _	\$25,000
>> Env	rironmer	ntal, Proper	ty and Hum	an Health	Matrix			
			,	Harm				
		Release	Major	Moderate	Minor			
OR		Actual						
		Potential	X			Percent 15.0%		
>>Prog	gramma	tic Matrix						
	ļ ,	Falsification	Major	Moderate	Minor	_		
						Percent 0.0%		
	l r							
	Matrix	Human healtl	or the environ	ment will or o	could be exposed to pollu	tants that would exceed l	evels	
	Notes					as a result of the violatio		
		· ·			<u>'</u>			
					Ad	justment \$2	1,250	
							Г	\$3,750
								ψ3,730
Violatio	on Event	S						
			_		_	_		
		Number of \	iolation Events/	1	37	Number of violation days	5	
						-		
			daily					
			weekly					
			monthly				_	
			quarterly	Х		Violation Base Pe	nalty	\$3,750
			semiannual					
			annual					
			single event		<u>]</u>			
	[
		One quarterly	y event is recom	mended from	n the June 25, 2019 inves	stigation date to the Augu	ıst 1,	
				2019 (date of conveyance.			
Good F	aith Fffo	rts to Com	ply	0.0%		Radi	uction	\$0
200a 1		. 10 10 00111		Before NOE/NOV				40
			Extraordinary	,	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	1		
			Ordinary					
			N/A	×		4		
			, , ,		JI			
			Notes	The Respond	dent does not meet the g	ood faith criteria for		
			Notes		this violation.			
			l					
						Violation Sub	ototal	\$3,750
Econon	nic Bene	fit (EB) for	this violation	on		Statutory Limit Te	st	
		F_11	-d ED A		#2.01E	Violetien Firel Brost	Tata 1	#E 505
		Estimate	ed EB Amount		\$3,015	Violation Final Penalty	ıotal	\$5,585
				This vic	olation Final Assessed	Penalty (adjusted for li	mits)	\$5,585
						, (,	,_	70,000

	Economic Benefit Worksheet						
	BAGRAM INC dba BAGRAM FOOD MART						
Case ID No.	58383						
Reg. Ent. Reference No.	RN101442754						
Media	Petroleum Sto	rage Tank				Dawasak Tukawask	Years of
Violation No.		-				Percent Interest	Depreciation
						5.0	15
	Item Cost	Date Required	Final Date	Yrs	Interest Saved	Costs Saved	EB Amount
Item Description							
Delayed Costs							
Equipment				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Buildings				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Engineering/Construction				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Land				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Record Keeping System				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Training/Sampling				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Remediation/Disposal				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Permit Costs				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Notes for DELAYED costs	ED costs						
Avoided Costs	ANNU	ALIZE avoided co	osts before en			one-time avoide	
Disposal				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Personnel				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Inspection/Reporting/Sampling				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Supplies/Equipment				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Financial Assurance				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
ONE-TIME avoided costs	\$3,000	25-Jun-2019	1-Aug-2019	0.10	\$15	\$3,000	\$3,015
Other (as needed)				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Notes for AVOIDED costs	Estimated avo	•			n protection for the	e UST system. The of conveyance.	date required is
_							
Approx. Cost of Compliance		\$3,000			TOTAL		\$3,015

	Screening Date	24-Sep-2019 Docket No. 2020-0181-PST-E	PCW
	Respondent	BAGRAM INC dba BAGRAM FOOD MART	cy Revision 4 (April 2014)
	Case ID No.	58383 PCW	Revision March 26, 2014
Reg.	Ent. Reference No.	RN101442754	
	Media [Statute]	Petroleum Storage Tank	
	Enf. Coordinator	Carlos Molina	
	Violation Number	3	_
	Rule Cite(s)	30 Tex. Admin. Code § 334.10(b)(2)(C)	
		30 Text. Adminit. Code § 334.10(b)(2)(c)	
		5 11 14 HART III 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	
	Violation Description	Failed to assure all UST recordkeeping requirements are met. Specifically, the Facility did not maintain financial assurance records on site.	
		racility did not maintain imancial assurance records on site.	
			_
		Base Penalty	\$25,000
			,
>> En	vironmental, Prope	rty and Human Health Matrix	
		Harm	
0 D	Release		
OR	Actual		
	Potential	Percent 0.0%	
>>Dro	grammatic Matrix		
//PI 0	Falsification	Major Moderate Minor	
	Taisincacion	x Percent 1.0%	
		X Percent 1.070	
			1
	Matrix	Less than 30% of the rule requirement was not met.	
	Notes	Less than 30 % of the rule requirement was not mee.	
			_
		Adjustment \$24,75	סו
		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
			\$250
	_		
Violati	on Events		
	Number of N	/iolation Events 1 37 Number of violation days	
	Number of	violation Events 1 37 Number of violation days	
		daily	
		weekly	
		monthly	
		quarterly Violation Base Penalty	\$250
		semiannual	
		annual	
		single event x	
			_
		One single event is recommended.	
			+0
Good H	aith Efforts to Com		\$0
		Before NOE/NOV NOE/NOV to EDPRP/Settlement Offer	
		Extraordinary	
		Ordinary	
		N/A x	
		The Respondent does not meet the good faith criteria for	
		Notes this violation.	
		Violation Subtota	\$250
		Violation Subtota	\$250
Econo	mic Benefit (EB) for	this violation Statutory Limit Test	
		·	
	Estimat	ed EB Amount \$45 Violation Final Penalty Tota	\$372
		This violation Final Assessed Bandley (address of Co. P. etc.	. #272
		This violation Final Assessed Penalty (adjusted for limits	\$372

Economic Benefit Worksheet							
Respondent	BAGRAM INC dba BAGRAM FOOD MART						
Case ID No.	58383						
Reg. Ent. Reference No.	RN101442754	•					
	Petroleum Sto						Years of
Violation No.		. 3 .				Percent Interest	Depreciation
7.0.00.01.110.	_					5.0	15
	Item Cost	Date Required	Final Date	Yrs	Interest Saved	Costs Saved	EB Amount
Item Description							
Item Description							
Delayed Costs							
Equipment				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Buildings				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Engineering/Construction				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Land				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Record Keeping System				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Training/Sampling				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Remediation/Disposal				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Permit Costs				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Notes for DELAYED costs	Notes for DELAYED costs						
Avoided Costs	ANNU	ALIZE avoided co	osts before en			r one-time avoide	
Disposal				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Personnel				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Inspection/Reporting/Sampling				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Supplies/Equipment				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Financial Assurance				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
ONE-TIME avoided costs				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)	\$45	25-Jun-2019	1-Aug-2019	0.10	\$0	\$45	\$45
Notes for AVOIDED costs	Estimated avoided cost to maintain financial assurance records at the Facility. The date required is the investigation date and the final date is the date of conveyance.						
						·	
Approx. Cost of Compliance		\$45			TOTAL		\$45

The TCEQ is committed to accessibility.

To request a more accessible version of this report, please contact the TCEO Help Desk at (512) 239-4357.



Compliance History Report

Compliance History Report for CN605569540, RN101442754, Rating Year 2019 which includes Compliance History (CH) components from September 1, 2014, through August 31, 2019.

Customer, Respondent, CN605569540, BAGRAM INC Classification: HIGH Rating: 0.00

or Owner/Operator:

Regulated Entity: RN101442754, BAGRAM FOOD MART Classification: HIGH Rating: 0.00

Complexity Points: 6 Repeat Violator: NO

CH Group: 14 - Other

Location: 9115 TIMBER PATH IN SAN ANTONIO, BEXAR COUNTY, TEXAS

TCEQ Region: REGION 13 - SAN ANTONIO

ID Number(s):

PETROLEUM STORAGE TANK REGISTRATION

REGISTRATION 7092

Compliance History Period: September 01, 2014 to August 31, 2019 Rating Year: 2019 Rating Date: 09/01/2019

Date Compliance History Report Prepared: February 07, 2020

Agency Decision Requiring Compliance History: Enforcement

Component Period Selected: February 07, 2015 to February 07, 2020

TCEQ Staff Member to Contact for Additional Information Regarding This Compliance History.

Name: Carlos Molina Phone: (512) 239-2557

Site and Owner/Operator History:

1) Has the site been in existence and/or operation for the full five year compliance period? YES

YES YES

2) Has there been a (known) change in ownership/operator of the site during the compliance period?

3) Who is the current owner/operator? BAGRAM INC OWNER OPERATOR since 8/18/2018

4) Who was/were the prior owner(s)/operator(s)? ROISH INC, OWNER OPERATOR, 8/1/2015 to 8/17/2018

L S FOOD MART INC, OWNER OPERATOR, 12/11/2009 to 7/31/2015

Components (Multimedia) for the Site Are Listed in Sections A - J

A. Final Orders, court judgments, and consent decrees:

N/A

B. Criminal convictions:

N/A

C. Chronic excessive emissions events:

N/A

D. The approval dates of investigations (CCEDS Inv. Track. No.):

N/A

E. Written notices of violations (NOV) (CCEDS Inv. Track. No.):

A notice of violation represents a written allegation of a violation of a specific regulatory requirement from the commission to a regulated entity. A notice of violation is not a final enforcement action, nor proof that a violation has actually occurred.

N/A

F. Environmental audits:

N/A

G. Type of environmental management systems (EMSs):

H. Voluntary on-site compliance assessment dates:

N/A

I. Participation in a voluntary pollution reduction program:

N/A

J. Early compliance:

N/A

Sites Outside of Texas:

N/A

Component Appendices

Appendix A

All NOVs Issued During Component Period 2/7/2015 and 2/7/2020

N/A
Appendix B

For Informational Purposes Only

All Investigations Conducted During Component Period February 07, 2015 and February 07, 2020

(1576350)

Item 1 August 30, 2019** For Informational Purposes Only

^{*} No violations documented during this investigation

^{**}Investigation applicable for the Compliance History Rating period between 09/01/2014 and 08/31/2019.

TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY



IN THE MATTER OF AN § BEFORE THE
ENFORCEMENT ACTION §
CONCERNING § TEXAS COMMISSION ON
BAGRAM INC DBA BAGRAM FOOD MART;
RN101442754 § ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

AGREED ORDER DOCKET NO. 2020-0181-PST-E I. JURISDICTION AND STIPULATIONS

On	, the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality
("Commission" or "TC	EQ") considered this agreement of the parties, resolving an enforcement
action regarding BAGI	RAM INC dba BAGRAM FOOD MART ("Respondent") under the authority of
TEX. WATER CODE chs. 7	and 26. The Executive Director of the TCEQ, represented by the Litigation
Division, and Respond	lent together stipulate that:

- 1. Respondent operated, as defined in 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 334.2(75), an underground storage tank ("UST") system and a convenience store with retail sales of gasoline located at 9115 Timber Path in San Antonio, Bexar County, Texas (Facility ID No. 7092) ("Facility"). The USTs at the Facility are not exempt or excluded from regulation under the Texas Water Code or the rules of the Commission, and contain or contained a regulated petroleum substance as defined in the rules of the TCEO.
- 2. The Executive Director and Respondent agree that TCEQ has jurisdiction to enter this Order pursuant to Tex. Water Code §§ 7.002, 7.051, and 7.073, and that Respondent is subject to TCEQ's jurisdiction. The TCEQ has jurisdiction in this matter pursuant to Tex. Water Code § 5.013 because it alleges violations of Tex. Water Code ch. 26 and the rules of the TCEQ.
- 3. The occurrence of any violation is in dispute and the entry of this Order shall not constitute an admission by Respondent of any violation alleged in Section II ("Allegations"), nor of any statute or rule.
- 4. An administrative penalty in the amount of eleven thousand five hundred forty-two dollars (\$11,542.00) is assessed by the Commission in settlement of the violations alleged in Section II. Respondent paid three hundred forty-two dollars (\$342.00) of the penalty. The remaining amount of eleven thousand two hundred (\$11,200.00) shall be paid in thirty-five (35) monthly payments of three hundred twenty dollars (\$320.00) each. The first monthly payment shall be paid within 30 days after the effective date of this Order. The subsequent payments shall each be paid not later than 30 days following the due date of the previous payment until the penalty is paid in full. If Respondent fails to timely and satisfactorily comply with the payment requirements of this Order, including the payment schedule, the Executive Director may, at his option, accelerate the maturity of the remaining installments, in which event the unpaid balance shall become immediately due and payable without demand or notice. In addition, Respondent's failure to meet the payment schedule of this Order and/or the acceleration of any remaining balance constitutes the failure by Respondent to timely and satisfactorily comply with all the terms of this Order.
- 5. The Executive Director and Respondent agree on a settlement of the matters addressed in this Order, subject to final approval in accordance with 30 Tex. ADMIN. CODE § 70.10(a).

- Any notice and procedures which might otherwise be authorized or required in this action are waived in the interest of a more timely resolution of the matter.
- 6. The Executive Director may, without further notice or hearing, refer this matter to the Office of the Attorney General of the State of Texas ("OAG") for further enforcement proceedings if the Executive Director determines that Respondent has not complied with one or more of the terms or conditions contained in this Order.
- 7. This Order represents the complete and fully-integrated agreement of the parties. The provisions of this Order are deemed severable, and, if a court of competent jurisdiction or other appropriate authority deems any provision of this Order unenforceable, the remaining provisions shall be valid and enforceable.
- 8. This Order shall terminate five years from its effective date or upon full compliance with all the terms and conditions set forth in this Order, whichever is later.
- 9. The Executive Director recognizes that Respondent ceased operating the Facility as of August 1, 2019.

II. ALLEGATIONS

- 1. During an investigation conducted from June 18, 2019 to June 25, 2019, an investigator documented that Respondent:
 - a. Failed to monitor the UST system for releases at a frequency of at least once every 30 days, in violation of Tex. Water Code § 26.3475(c)(1) and 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 334.50(b)(1)(A);
 - b. Failed to provide corrosion protection for the UST system, in violation of Tex. Water Code § 26.3475(d) and 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 334.49(a)(1); and
 - c. Failed to assure all UST recordkeeping requirements are met, in violation of 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 334.10(b)(2)(C). Specifically, the Facility did not maintain financial assurance records on site.

III. DENIALS

Respondent generally denies each Allegation in Section II.

IV. ORDERING PROVISIONS

NOW, THEREFORE, THE TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY ORDERS that:

1. Respondent is assessed an administrative penalty as set forth in Section I, Paragraph 4. The payment of this penalty and Respondent's compliance with all of the requirements set forth in this Order resolve only the Allegations in Section II. The Commission shall not be constrained in any manner from requiring corrective actions or penalties for violations which are not raised here. Penalty payments shall be made payable to TCEQ and shall be sent with the notation "Re: BAGRAM INC dba BAGRAM FOOD MART, Docket No. 2020-0181-PST-E" to:

Financial Administration Division Revenue Operations Section Texas Commission on Environmental Quality Attention: Cashier's Office, MC 214 P.O. Box 13088 Austin, Texas 78711-3088

- 2. All relief not expressly granted in this Order is denied.
- 3. The duties and provisions imposed by this Order shall apply to and be binding upon Respondent.

BAGRAM INC dba BAGRAM FOOD MART Docket No. 2020-0181-PST-E Page 3

- 4. The Executive Director may grant an extension of any deadline in this Order or in any plan, report, or other document submitted pursuant to this Order, upon a written and substantiated showing of good cause. All requests for extensions by Respondent shall be made in writing to the Executive Director. Extensions are not effective until Respondent receives written approval from the Executive Director. The determination of what constitutes good cause rests solely with the Executive Director.
- 5. If Respondent fails to comply with any of the Ordering Provisions in this Order within the prescribed schedules, and that failure is caused solely by an act of God, war, strike, riot, or other catastrophe, Respondent's failure to comply is not a violation of this Order. Respondent shall have the burden of establishing to the Executive Director's satisfaction that such an event has occurred. Respondent shall notify the Executive Director within seven days after Respondent becomes aware of a delaying event and shall take all reasonable measures to mitigate and minimize any delay.
- 6. This Order, issued by the Commission, shall not be admissible against Respondent in a civil proceeding, unless the proceeding is brought by the OAG to: (1) enforce the terms of this Order, or (2) pursue violations of a statute within TCEQ's jurisdiction or of a rule adopted or an order or permit issued by the TCEQ under such a statute.
- 7. This Order may be executed in separate and multiple counterparts, which together shall constitute a single instrument. Any page of this Order may be copied, scanned, digitized, converted to electronic portable document format ("pdf"), or otherwise reproduced and may be transmitted by digital or electronic transmission, including but not limited to facsimile transmission and electronic mail. Any signature affixed to this Order shall constitute an original signature for all purposes and may be used, filed, substituted, or issued for any purpose for which an original signature could be used. The term "signature" shall include manual signatures and true and accurate reproductions of manual signatures created, executed, endorsed, adopted, or authorized by the person or persons to whom the signatures are attributable. Signatures may be copied or reproduced digitally, electronically, by photocopying, engraving, imprinting, lithographing, electronic mail, facsimile transmission, stamping, or any other means or process which the Executive Director deems acceptable. In this paragraph exclusively, the terms: electronic transmission, owner, person, writing, and written, shall have the meanings assigned to them under Tex. Bus. Org. Code § 1.002.
- 8. The effective date of this Order is the date it is signed by the Commission. A copy of this fully executed Order shall be provided to each of the parties.

SIGNATURE PAGE

TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

For the Commission	Date
Frint Chanallox	1/12/22
For the Executive Director	Date
attached Order, and I do agree to t	understand the attached Order. I am authorized to agree to the he terms and conditions specified therein. I further epting payment for the penalty amount, is materially relying on
I also understand that failure to co to timely pay the penalty amount i	omply with the Ordering Provisions in this Order and/or failure may result in:
• A negative impact on complian	ce history;
• Greater scrutiny of any permit	applications;
 Referral of this case to the Attended penalties, and/or attorney fees 	orney General's office for contempt, injunctive relief, additional, or to a collection agency;
 Increased penalties in any futu 	re enforcement actions;
• Automatic referral to the Attor	ney General's office of any future enforcement actions; and
• TCEQ seeking other relief as au	nthorized by law.
In addition, I understand that any criminal prosecution.	falsification of any compliance documents may result in
neeum	10-08-2021 Date
Signature - Naeem Khan Ameeri, P BAGRAM INC 11123 Hill Top Bend Helotes, Texas 78023	resident Date
☐ If mailing address has changed	l, please check this box and provide the new address below: