Executive Summary – Enforcement Matter – Case No. 60349 Hi-Pro Feeds LLC RN102387453 Docket No. 2021-0204-AIR-E

Order Type: Findings Agreed Order **Findings Order Justification:** Three or more enforcement actions (NOVs, orders, etc.) over the prior five year period for the same violation(s). Media: AIR **Small Business:** No Location(s) Where Violation(s) Occurred: Hi-Pro Feeds Comanche Mill, 1300 East Mill Avenue, Comanche, Comanche County **Type of Operation:** Feed mill **Other Significant Matters:** Additional Pending Enforcement Actions: No Past-Due Penalties: No Other: N/A Interested Third-Parties: The complainant has expressed an interest in this matter but does not wish to speak at Agenda. Texas Register Publication Date: October 1, 2021 Comments Received: No

Penalty Information

Total Penalty Assessed: \$3,750 Total Paid to General Revenue: \$3,750 Total Due to General Revenue: \$0 Payment Plan: N/A Compliance History Classifications: Person/CN - Satisfactory Site/RN - Satisfactory Major Source: No Statutory Limit Adjustment: N/A Applicable Penalty Policy: April 2014

Investigation Information

Complaint Date(s): November 12, 2020 and December 7, 2020 Complaint Information: Alleged concerns about the nuisance dust conditions at the Respondent's facility. Date(s) of Investigation: November 23, 2020 Date(s) of NOE(s): January 8, 2021

Executive Summary – Enforcement Matter – Case No. 60349 Hi-Pro Feeds LLC RN102387453 Docket No. 2021-0204-AIR-E

Violation Information

Failed to prevent nuisance conditions [30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE §§ 101.4 and 116.115(b)(2)(H)(i), New Source Review Permit No. 1913, General Conditions No. 10, and TEX. HEALTH & SAFETY CODE § 382.085(a) and (b)].

Corrective Actions/Technical Requirements

Corrective Action(s) Completed:

N/A

Technical Requirements:

The Order will require the Respondent to:

a. Within 30 days, implement measures and/or procedures designed to minimize dust emissions from causing nuisance dust conditions that impact off-site receptors; and

b. Within 45 days, submit written certification to demonstrate compliance with a.

Contact Information

TCEQ Attorney: N/A **TCEQ Enforcement Coordinator:** Toni Red, Enforcement Division, Enforcement Team 4, MC 219, (512) 239-1704; Michael Parrish, Enforcement Division, MC 219, (512) 239-2548 **Respondent:** John Ingram, Director of Operations, Hi-Pro Feeds LLC, P.O. Box 519, Friona, Texas 79305-0519 **Respondent's Attorney:** N/A

AIR CP_102387453_CP_20201123_INVESTIGATION_1692168_ Texas Commission on Environmental Quality Investigation Report

The TCEQ is committed to accessibility. If you need assistance in accessing this document, please contact oce@tceq.texas.gov

Customer: Hi-Pro Feeds LLC Customer Number: CN604079798

Regulated Entity Name: HI-PRO FEEDS COMANCHE MILL Regulated Entity Number: RN102387453

Investigation # 1692168

Investigator: MATTHEW ROSTA

Conducted: 11/23/2020 -- 11/23/2020

Program(s): AIR NEW SOURCE PERMITS

Investigation Type: Compliance Investigation

Additional ID(s): 1913 CT0011J 160159

Address: 1300 E MILL AVE, COMANCHE, TX , 76442 Incident Numbers 346152 347181 Site Classification PERMIT BY RULE CASE-BY-CASE

NAIC Code: 311119 SIC Code: 2048

Location: 1300 E Mill Ave, Comanche

Local Unit: REGION 03 - ABILENE Activity Type(s): AIRCOMPL - AIR CMPL - AIR COMPLAINT INV

Principal(s):

Role RESPONDENT

Name HI-PRO FEEDS LLC

Contact(s):

Role REGULATED ENTITY MAIL CONTACT	Title DIRECTOR OF OPERATIONS	Name MR JOHN INGRAM	Phone Phone	(806) 250-6801
REGULATED ENTITY CONTACT	DIRECTOR OF OPERATIONS	MR JOHN INGRAM	Phone	(806) 250-6801
PARTICIPATED IN	PLANT MANAGER	MR LONNIE HOLLAND	Phone	(325) 356-7202
REGULATED ENTITY CONTACT	PLANT MANAGER	MR LONNIE HOLLAND	Phone	(325) 356-7202

Other Staff Member(s):RoleNameQA ReviewerLANCESupervisorMICHA

LANCE DUNLOP MICHAEL TAYLOR

11/23/2020 Inv. # - 1692168

Page 2 of 6

Associated Check List

<u>Checklist Name</u> AIR COMPLAINT INVESTIGATION <u>Unit Name</u> Hi-Pro Feeds

Investigation Comments:

INVESTIGATION

This air complaint investigation was conducted in response to a citizen's complaint, Incident No. 346152, received by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality (TCEQ) Abilene Region Office, via phone on November 12, 2020. The complainant alleged that a local business, Hi-Pro Feeds, LLC, Hi-Pro Feeds Comanche Mill (Hi-Pro Feeds), was generating excessive dust emissions, which were impacting their property. Hi-Pro Feeds is located at 1300 East Mill Road, Comanche, Comanche County, Texas. The facility operates under the provisions of Air Permit No. 1913, last amended on February 6, 2019.

Daily Narrative

On November 12, 2020, Mr. Matthew Rosta, Environmental Investigator, Abilene Region Office called the complainant to discuss their concerns and to schedule an investigation. It was agreed that an onsite investigation would be conducted on November 23, 2020.

On November 23, 2020 at 11:00 a.m., Mr. Rosta conducted an onsite complaint investigation at Hi-Pro Feeds. An area survey was conducted upon arrival near the complainant's property. The weather was as follows: the temperature was 50°F, winds were out of the southeast at approximately 3-5 mph and the sky was clear.

At 11:15 am, Mr. Rosta met with the complainant, who escorted Mr. Rosta and on a walk-through of the property. Mr. Rosta observed what appeared to be particles of grain mixed with dust on several items at the complainant's property. The particles of grain covered vehicles, collected at the bottom of door openings, and in the grass. There was one vehicle observed, which was covered with particles of grain. The complainant stated that the vehicle had been cleaned and detailed a few days prior. (See Attachment 1: Site Photos).

The complainant showed Mr. Rosta a video of dust coming out of one of the grain elevator distribution legs (elevator leg) at Hi-Pro Feeds. The complainant stated that they took the video the day before the complaint; November 11, 2020. Mr. Rosta informed the complainant that there was sufficient evidence observed of grain and dust from Hi-Pro Feeds impacting the complainant's property to substantiate the alleged nuisance conditions. Therefore, the complainant chose not to submit the video as Citizen Collected Evidence but would retain the video in case it was later deemed necessary.

At 11:30 a.m., Mr. Rosta drove to an area north of Hi-Pro Feeds to observe the operations, which were producing minimal dust emissions. A water truck was observed driving through the facility and watering the in-plant roads.

At 11:35 a.m., Mr. Rosta entered Hi-Pro Feeds and met with Mr. Lonnie Holland, Plant Manager. Mr. Rosta explained that a complaint had been received in the Abilene Region Office alleging that emissions from the facility were impacting an off-property receptor. Mr. Rosta informed Mr. Holland that prior to entering Hi-Pro Feeds, accumulations of what appeared to be grain particles were observed on off-site property. Based upon observations from previous investigations, the grain particles appeared to be a corn feed product processed at Hi-Pro Feeds. Mr. Holland stated that he was aware of an incident that occurred recently when a cap on one of the elevator legs was inadvertently left open. Once facility personnel observed the plume of dust, the elevator cap was closed.

Mr. Rosta recommended that Mr. Holland have each elevator leg inspected for openings or defects, since dust or grain particles emitted from the elevated elevator legs would likely travel off-site. Mr. Rosta suggested that perhaps there were openings or defects in the elevator legs that had not been identified. Since the elevator legs were the tallest structures at the facility, with the correct wind speed and direction, these may be the emission points allowing grain and dust to migrate off-site. Mr. Holland agreed to have maintenance personnel inspect the elevator legs and repair any defects.

Mr. Holland was informed that based upon observations of the complainant's property, failure to control dust

11/23/2020 Inv. # - 1692168

Page 3 of 6

emissions from Hi-Pro Feeds was confirmed. The alleged violation was a repeat of a Category B violation identified during a July 26, 2019 onsite complaint investigation (See Investigation No. 1582954). Therefore, in accordance with the Enforcement Initiation Criteria (EIC) Revision No. 17 effective August 25, 2020, formal enforcement was warranted.

Exit Interview

An exit interview was conducted on November 23, 2020, at the facility with Mr. Holland, who was informed that current emissions from the facility appeared to be controlled, however, based upon the accumulation of grain particles observed on the complainant's property, the complaint of nuisance dust conditions was confirmed. Since this was a repeat nuisance violation, a Notice of Enforcement (NOE) would be issued to Hi-Pro Feeds for the alleged violation. Mr. Holland stated that he would communicate the information to the Corporate Office. A written Exit Interview Form was sent to Mr. Holland on December 8, 2020.

Second Complaint

A second air complaint regarding nuisance dust from Hi-Pro Feeds, Incident No. 347181, was received by the Abilene Region Office, via phone on December 7, 2020. The complainant alleged that on December 3 and 4, 2020, Hi-Pro Feeds was generating excessive dust emissions, which obscured Highway 36 and "left dust on everything in its path."

On December 7, 2020, Mr. Rosta called the complainant to discuss their concerns. The complainant reiterated that dust from operations at Hi-Pro Feeds obscured the road and they had seen no actions taken to reduce the dust emissions. There are residential properties near the Hi-Pro Feeds facility, and the complainant was concerned that the inattention to dust control could impact the health of those residences and create conditions for an explosion. The complainant stated that the dust was not impacting them or their property.

Mr. Rosta informed the complainant that a dust complaint investigation of Hi-Pro Feeds was currently in progress. If necessary, another investigation would be scheduled; however, there was sufficient information gathered during the November 23, 2020 investigation to confirm a nuisance violation. Mr. Rosta informed the complainant that their observations would be included in the final investigation report and a copy of the report would be provided to them.

GENERAL FACILITY AND PROCESS INFORMATION

Process Description

Hi-Pro Feeds is located at 1300 East Mill Ave., in Comanche, Comanche County, Texas. The facility receives grain and commodities into one of six receiving areas. Material is transported via truck and rail cars. The material is stored, processed, and incorporated into a feed product. (See Process Description located in the Region Permit File). The facility operates under the provisions of Air Permit No. 1913, which was last renewed on February 17, 2015.

BACKGROUND

Compliance History

As of the date of this investigation the compliance history rating and performance classification were listed as follows: Customer: 0.57, Satisfactory; Regulated Entity: 0.57, Satisfactory.

Agreed Orders, Court Orders, and Other Compliance Agreements

In the past five years, there have been no Agreed Orders, Court Orders, or other Compliance Agreements for the facility.

Prior Enforcement Issues

On September 12, 2019, a Notice of Violation (NOV) was issued to Hi-Pro Feeds as a result of a July 26, 2019, complaint investigation for failure to prevent nuisance conditions and failure to maintain an emission control

11/23/2020 Inv. # - 1692168

Page 4 of 6

device. (See Investigation No. 1582954.) The NOV was resolved on October 23, 2019. (See Investigation No. 1603571.)

On October 1, 2018, an NOV was issued to Hi-Pro Feeds as a result of an August 9, 2018, complaint investigation for failure to prevent nuisance conditions. (See Investigation No. 1507525.) The NOV was resolved on November 26, 2018. (See Investigation No. 1526916.)

On April 23, 2018, an NOV was issued to Hi-Pro Feeds as a result of a March 9, 2018 complaint investigation, for failure to comply with the maximum annual throughput limits for the Total Receiving Operations (TRO) and for the Mineral Mixer Tank (MMT), as authorized by Air Permit No. 1913. (See Investigation No. 1478469.) The NOV was resolved on June 27, 2018. (See Investigation No. 1486534.)

On December 29, 2016, an NOV was issued to Hi-Pro Feeds as a result of an October 31, 2016 complaint investigation, for failure to prevent nuisance conditions. (See Investigation No. 1370588.) The NOV was resolved on March 27, 2017. (See Investigation No. 1394357.)

Complaints

A complaint received on November 12, 2020, alleged that Hi-Pro Feeds was causing nuisance dust conditions. An investigation conducted at Hi-Pro Feeds on November 23, 2020, confirmed nuisance conditions. (Refer to Incident No. 346152.) A second compliant received on December 7, 2020, alleged that on December 3 and 4, 2020, excessive dust emissions from Hi-Pro Feeds were obscuring Highway 36 and being deposited off-site. (Refer to Incident No. 347181). The current investigation is in response to both complaints.

A complaint received on July 24, 2019, alleged that Hi-Pro Feeds was causing nuisance dust conditions. Analysis of bulk samples collected at the complainant's property and at Hi-Pro Feeds confirmed nuisance conditions. It was also determined that Hi-Pro Feeds failed to maintain an emission control device in good working order, as required by Air Permit No. 1913. An NOV was issued to Hi-Pro Feeds on September 12, 2019. (Refer to Incident No. 317282.)

A complaint received on January 28, 2019, alleged that Hi-Pro Feeds was causing nuisance dust conditions. An investigation conducted on February 21, 2019, did not confirm nuisance dust conditions.

A complaint received on July 17, 2018, alleged that Hi-Pro Feeds was causing nuisance dust conditions. Analysis of bulk samples collected at the complainant's property and at Hi-Pro Feeds confirmed nuisance conditions. An NOV was issued to Hi-Pro Feeds on October 1, 2018. (See Investigation No. 1507525.)

A compliant received on February 14, 2018, alleged that Hi-Pro Feeds was causing nuisance dust conditions. An investigation of the facility and the complainant's property on March 9, 2018, could not confirm nuisance dust conditions; however, it was determined that Hi-Pro Feeds failed to comply with the maximum annual throughput limits for the TRO and for the MMT, as authorized by Air Permit No. 1913. An NOV was issued to Hi-Pro Feeds on April 23, 2018. (See Investigation No. 1478469.)

A compliant received on September 8, 2017, alleged that Hi-Pro Feeds was causing nuisance dust conditions. An investigation of the facility and complainant's property on October 4, 2017, did not confirm nuisance dust conditions. (See Investigation No. 1443364.)

A complaint received on October 31, 2016, alleged that Hi-Pro Feeds was causing nuisance dust conditions. Analysis of tape lift samples collected at the complainant's property and at Hi-Pro Feeds confirmed nuisance conditions. An NOV was issued to Hi-Pro Feeds on December 29, 2016. (See Investigation No. 1370588.)

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

Conclusions, Recommendations, and Current Enforcement Issues

As a result of the November 23, 2020, investigation, nuisance conditions were confirmed. Since an NOV was issued to Hi-Pro Feeds on September 12, 2019, for the same alleged violation, in accordance with the TCEQ EIC, this is considered a repeat Category B violation and formal enforcement has been initiated. A NOE will be issued to Hi-Pro Feeds for the alleged violation. A copy of the investigation report will be sent to the complainants.

11/23/2020 Inv. # - 1692168

Page 5 of 6

Additional Issues

None

NOE Date: 1/8/2021

OUTSTANDING ALLEGED VIOLATION(S) ASSOCIATED TO A NOTICE OF ENFORCEMENT

Track Number: 766030

Compliance Due Date: To Be Determined

Violation Start Date: Unknown

30 TAC Chapter 101.4 30 TAC Chapter 116.115(b)(2)(H) 5C THSC Chapter 382.085(b)

PERMIT 1913, General Condition 10

Comply with commission rules.

Alleged Violation:

Investigation: 1692168

Comment Date: 01/07/2021

Hi-Pro Feeds, LLC, failed to prevent nuisance conditions by allowing grain particles to leave the facility and impact an off-property receptor.

During the November 23, 2020 investigation, observations confirmed that an off-property receptor had been negatively impacted by grain particles from operations at the Hi-Pro Feeds, LLC, Hi-Pro Feeds Comanche Mill. The grain particles observed at the off-property receptor were consistent in appearance with particles of corn feed from the Hi-Pro Feeds Comanche Mill, found at off-property receptors during previous complaint investigations conducted in 2019, 2018 and 2016.

Recommended Corrective Action: Demonstrate that measures have been implemented, which ensure that emissions from the facility are controlled so that off-property receptors are not impacted.

Signed

Date

Environmental Investigator

Signed Supervisor

Date

11/23/2020 Inv. # - 1692168

Page 6 of 6

Attachments: (in order of final report submittal)

____Enforcement Action Request (EAR)

Letter to Facility (specify type) : NOE

Investigation Report

- ____Sample Analysis Results
- ____Manifests
- ____Notice of Registration

____Maps, Plans, Sketches

<u>Photographs</u>

____Correspondence from the facility

2_Other (specify) :

XITINTERVIEV

States and a	Policy F	Pe Revision 4 (April 2014	nalty Calc	ulatio	ר Worksh	ieet (PC	•	Revision March	26, 2014
DATES	Assigned						1		
	PCW	18-Jun-2021	Screening 1-F	eb-2021	EPA Due				
RESPO	NDENT/FACILI	TY INFORMATI	ON						
		Hi-Pro Feeds LLC	<u>,</u>						
	g. Ent. Ref. No. ty/Site Region				Maior/M	inor Source	Minor		
Tacin	ty/ Site Region	3-Ablielle			Major / M		WIITIOI		
	NFORMATION						Г		
En	f./Case ID No.	60349 2021-0204-AIR-	C		No. o	f Violations Order Type			
Med	lia Program(s)		L		Government		U		
	Multi-Media						Richard Garza	1	
						EC's Team	Enforcement T	eam 4	
Adr	nin. Penalty \$ I	_imit Minimum	\$0 Max	imum	\$25,000				
			Penalty (`alcula	tion Section	n			
ΤΟΤΑΙ		TV (Sum of	violation bas			///	Subtatal 1		\$3,750
IUTA			VIOIATION DAS	e penan	ies)		Subtotal 1		\$3,750
ADJU		/-) TO SUBTO							
	Subtotals 2-7 are of Compliance Hi	5 15 6	the Total Base Penalt	y (Subtotal 1) 0.0%	by the indicated pe Adjustment		tals 2, 3, & 7		\$0
	compliance m	story		0.0%	Aujustment	30010			
	Notes		No adjustment for	or compliar	ice history.				
							ļ		
	Culpability	No		0.0%	Enhancement		Subtotal 4		\$0
	Notes	The Re	spondent does no	t meet the	culpability crite	ria			
	Notos								
	Cood Faith Fff	ant to Community T		-			Subtatal F		¢0
	GOOD Faith Ell	ort to comply i	otal Adjustment	5			Subtotal 5		\$0
	Economic Ben	efit Total EB Amounts	\$634		Enhancement* I at the Total EB \$ A	mount	Subtotal 6		\$0
	Estimated	Cost of Compliance	\$10,000						
SLIM (1017				E	inal Subtotal		\$3,750
30101 0	JI JUBIOIA	L3 1-7				F	inal Sublotai		\$5,750
OTHE	R FACTORS A	AS JUSTICE N	AY REQUIRE	Ī	0.0%		Adjustment		\$0
Reduces of	or enhances the Final	Subtotal by the indic	cated percentage.	-	<u>_</u>		1		
	Notes								
	Notes								
						Final Per	halty Amount		\$3,750
STATU	JTORY LIMI	r adjustmen	IT			Final Asse	ssed Penalty		\$3,750
DEFE	RAI				0.0%	Reduction	Adjustment		\$0
		enalty by the indicated	d percentage.		0.075		. lagastinont		
		- /							
	Notes	No c	deferral is recomm	ended for	Indings Orders				
							1		
PAYA	BLE PENALT	Y							\$3,750

	NOVs	Written notices of violation ("NOVs") with same or similar violations as those in the current enforcement action (<i>number of NOVs meeting criteria</i>)	0	0%
		Other written NOVs	0	0%
		Any agreed final enforcement orders containing a denial of liability (number of orders meeting criteria)	0	0%
	Orders	Any adjudicated final enforcement orders, agreed final enforcement orders without a denial of liability, or default orders of this state or the federal government, or any final prohibitory emergency orders issued by the commission	0	0%
	Judgments and Consent	Any non-adjudicated final court judgments or consent decrees containing a denial of liability of this state or the federal government (<i>number of judgments or consent decrees meeting criteria</i>)	0	0%
	Decrees	Any adjudicated final court judgments and default judgments, or non-adjudicated final court judgments or consent decrees without a denial of liability, of this state or the federal government	0	0%
	Convictions	Any criminal convictions of this state or the federal government (<i>number of counts</i>)	0	0%
	Emissions	Chronic excessive emissions events (number of events)	0	0%
	Audits	Letters notifying the executive director of an intended audit conducted under the Texas Environmental, Health, and Safety Audit Privilege Act, 74th Legislature, 1995 (number of audits for which notices were submitted)	0	0%
	Disclosures of violations under the Texas Environmental, Health, and Safety Aud Privilege Act, 74th Legislature, 1995 (number of audits for which violations wer disclosed)		0	0%
		Environmental management systems in place for one year or more	No	0%
	Other	Voluntary on-site compliance assessments conducted by the executive director under a special assistance program	No	0%
		Participation in a voluntary pollution reduction program	No	0%
		Early compliance with, or offer of a product that meets future state or federal government environmental requirements	No	0%
		Adjustment Per	rcentage (Sub	total 2)
Re	peat Violator	(Subtotal 3)		
	No	Adjustment Per	centage (Sub	total 3)
Co	mpliance Hist	ory Person Classification (Subtotal 7)		
	Satisfactory Performer Adjustment Per			total 7)
Co	mpliance Hist	ory Summary		
	Compliance History No adjustment for compliance history.			
	Notes]
		Total Compliance History Adjustment Percentage (Subtotals 2,	3, & 7)
Fina	al Compliance	History Adjustment Final Adjustment Percent		

Case ID No. 60349 Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN102387453 Media Air

Respondent Hi-Pro Feeds LLC

Component Number of...

>>

Screening Date 1-Feb-2021

Compliance History Worksheet Compliance History Site Enhancement (Subtotal 2)

Written notices of violation ("NOVs") with same or similar violations as those in

Enf. Coordinator Richard Garza

Docket No. 2021-0204-AIR-E

Policy Revision 4 (April 2014) PCW Revision March 26, 2014

Adjust.

Number



		ening Date		Doc	ket No. 2021-0204-AIR-E		PCW
		lespondent Case ID No.	Hi-Pro Feeds LLC 60349				Revision 4 (April 2014) Revision March 26, 2014
Reg.		erence No.	RN102387453				
	Enf. C	Media oordinator	Air Richard Garza				
		ation Number					
		Rule Cite(s)			5.115(b)(2)(H)(i), New Source F 5. 10, and Tex. Health & Safety 1) and (b)		
	Violatio	n Description	staff observed grain parti the bottom of doorways	cles mixed with s, and accumula	pecifically, on November 23, 202 dust that covered vehicles, coll ated in the grass at off-site rece of nuisance dust conditions.	lected at	
					Base	e Penalty	\$25,000
>> Env	vironme	ntal, Propei	rty and Human Healtl Harm	h Matrix			
		Release	Major Moderate	Minor			
OR		Actual Potential			Percent 15.0%		
D							
>>Pro	gramma	tic Matrix Falsification	Major Moderate	Minor			
					Percent 0.0%		
	Matrix Notes				significant amounts of pollutants r environmental receptors as a		
					Adjustment	\$21,250	
						I	\$3,750
Violati	on Even	ts					
		Number of V	Violation Events 1		1 Number of violation (days	
			dailyweeklymonthlyquarterlysemiannualannualsingle eventx		Violation Base	e Penalty	\$3,750
		One single		the nuisance di vember 23, 202	ust conditions that were confirm 20.	ned on	
Good F	aith Effo	orts to Com				Reduction	\$0
			Before NOE/NO	V NOE/NOV to EE	OPRP/Settlement Offer		
			Ordinary				
			N/A <u>x</u> Notes The Respon		neet the good faith criteria for /iolation.		
					Violation	Subtotal	\$3,750
Econor	nic Bene	efit (EB) for	this violation		Statutory Limit	Test	
			ed EB Amount	\$634	Violation Final Pena		\$3,750
						-	\$3,750
				nation Final A	ssessed Penalty (adjusted for	or minus)	\$3,75U

	E	conomic	Benefit	Wo	rksheet		
Respondent	Hi-Pro Feeds L	LC					
Case ID No.							
Reg. Ent. Reference No.		1					
Media							Years of
Violation No.						Percent Interest	Depreciation
violation No.	1						
						5.0	1!
	Item Cost	Date Required	Final Date	Yrs	Interest Saved	Costs Saved	EB Amount
I tem Description							
Delayed Costs							
Equipment				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Buildings				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Engineering/Construction				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Land				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Record Keeping System				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Training/Sampling				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Remediation/Disposal				0.00	\$0	n/o	¢0
						n/a	\$0
Permit Costs				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
	\$10,000 Estimated	23-Nov-2020 cost to implement	1-Mar-2022 t measures and	0.00 1.27	\$0 \$634		\$0 \$634
Permit Costs Other (as needed) Notes for DELAYED costs	Estimated causing nui	cost to implemen sance dust condit compliance	t measures and ions that impac and the Final D	0.00 1.27 /or prod t off-site pate is t	\$0 \$634 cedures designed t e receptors. The D he estimated date	n/a n/a o minimize dust em Date Required is the of compliance.	\$0 <u>\$634</u> issions from date of non-
Permit Costs Other (as needed)	Estimated causing nui	cost to implemen sance dust condit compliance	t measures and ions that impac and the Final D	0.00 1.27 /or proc t off-site pate is t	\$0 \$634 cedures designed t e receptors. The E he estimated date item (except for	n/a n/a o minimize dust em oate Required is the of compliance. one-time avoide	\$0 <u>\$634</u> issions from date of non- d costs)
Permit Costs Other (as needed) Notes for DELAYED costs Avoided Costs Disposal	Estimated causing nui	cost to implemen sance dust condit compliance	t measures and ions that impac and the Final D	0.00 1.27 /or proo t off-site pate is t ntering 0.00	\$0 \$634 cedures designed t e receptors. The D he estimated date item (except for \$0	n/a n/a o minimize dust em Date Required is the of compliance. r one-time avoide \$0	\$0 <u>\$634</u> issions from date of non- d costs) \$0
Permit Costs Other (as needed) Notes for DELAYED costs Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel	Estimated causing nui	cost to implemen sance dust condit compliance	t measures and ions that impac and the Final D	0.00 1.27 /or proc t off-site pate is the tering 0.00 0.00	\$0 \$634 redures designed t receptors. The D he estimated date item (except for \$0 \$0	n/a n/a o minimize dust em Date Required is the of compliance.	\$0 \$634 issions from date of non- d costs) \$0 \$0
Permit Costs Other (as needed) Notes for DELAYED costs Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel nspection/Reporting/Sampling	Estimated causing nui	cost to implemen sance dust condit compliance	t measures and ions that impac and the Final D	0.00 1.27 /or proc t off-site pate is the tering 0.00 0.00 0.00	\$0 \$634 redures designed t receptors. The E he estimated date item (except for \$0 \$0 \$0	n/a n/a o minimize dust em Date Required is the of compliance. r one-time avoide \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$634 issions from date of non- d costs) \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Permit Costs Other (as needed) Notes for DELAYED costs Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel nspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/Equipment	Estimated causing nui	cost to implemen sance dust condit compliance	t measures and ions that impac and the Final D	0.00 1.27 /or prod t off-site pate is the otering 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	\$0 \$634 receptors. The E he estimated date item (except for \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	n/a n/a o minimize dust em Date Required is the of compliance. • one-time avoide \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$634 issions from date of non- d costs) \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Permit Costs Other (as needed) Notes for DELAYED costs Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel nspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/Equipment Financial Assurance	Estimated causing nui	cost to implemen sance dust condit compliance	t measures and ions that impac and the Final D	0.00 1.27 /or proc t off-site pate is the tering 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	\$0 \$634 receptors. The E he estimated date item (except for \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	n/a n/a o minimize dust em)ate Required is the of compliance. • one-time avoide \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$634 issions from date of non- d costs) \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Permit Costs Other (as needed) Notes for DELAYED costs Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel nspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/Equipment Financial Assurance ONE-TIME avoided costs	Estimated causing nui	cost to implemen sance dust condit compliance	t measures and ions that impac and the Final D	0.00 1.27 /or proc t off-site pate is the tering 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	\$0 \$634 cedures designed t e receptors. The D he estimated date item (except for \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	n/a n/a o minimize dust em Date Required is the of compliance. one-time avoide \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$634 issions from date of non- date of non- \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Permit Costs Other (as needed) Notes for DELAYED costs Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel nspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/Equipment Financial Assurance	Estimated causing nui	cost to implemen sance dust condit compliance	t measures and ions that impac and the Final D	0.00 1.27 /or proc t off-site pate is the tering 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	\$0 \$634 receptors. The E he estimated date item (except for \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	n/a n/a o minimize dust em)ate Required is the of compliance. • one-time avoide \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$634 issions from date of non- d costs) \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Permit Costs Other (as needed) Notes for DELAYED costs Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel nspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/Equipment Financial Assurance ONE-T1ME avoided costs Other (as needed)	Estimated causing nui	cost to implemen sance dust condit compliance	t measures and ions that impac and the Final D	0.00 1.27 /or proc t off-site pate is the tering 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	\$0 \$634 cedures designed t e receptors. The D he estimated date item (except for \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	n/a n/a o minimize dust em Date Required is the of compliance. one-time avoide \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$634 issions from date of non- d costs) \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Permit Costs Other (as needed) Notes for DELAYED costs Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel nspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/Equipment Financial Assurance ONE-TIME avoided costs	Estimated causing nui	cost to implemen sance dust condit compliance	t measures and ions that impac and the Final D	0.00 1.27 /or proc t off-site pate is the tering 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	\$0 \$634 cedures designed t e receptors. The D he estimated date item (except for \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	n/a n/a o minimize dust em Date Required is the of compliance. one-time avoide \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$634 issions from date of non- d costs) \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0



Compliance History Report

Compliance History Report for CN604079798, RN102387453, Rating Year 2020 which includes Compliance History (CH) components from September 1, 2015, through August 31, 2020.

	stomer, Respondent, or ner/Operator:	r CN604079798, Hi-Pro Feeds LLC	Classification: S	ATISFACTORY	Rating:	1.00
Reg	gulated Entity:	RN102387453, HI-PRO FEEDS COMANCHE MILL	Classification: S	ATISFACTORY	Rating:	1.00
Cor	nplexity Points:	7	Repeat Violator:	NO		
СН	Group:	14 - Other				
Loc	ation:	1300 E MILL AVE, COMANCHE, COMANCHE C	OUNTY, TEXAS 76442	-2824		
тсе	EQ Region:	REGION 03 - ABILENE				
AIF	Number(s): R NEW SOURCE PERMITS F R NEW SOURCE PERMITS F		EW SOURCE PERMIT MWATER PERMIT TXRC		R CT0011J	
Cor	mpliance History Period	d: September 01, 2015 to August 31, 2020	Rating Year:	2020 Ratir	ng Date:	09/01/2020
Dat	te Compliance History I	Report Prepared: June 18, 2021				
Age	ency Decision Requiring	g Compliance History: Enforcemen	t			
Cor	mponent Period Selecte	ed: June 18, 2016 to June 18, 2021				
TCE	EQ Staff Member to Cor	ntact for Additional Information Reg	arding This Compli	ance History.		
	Name: Richard Garza		Phone: (5	512) 239-2697		
<u>Sit</u>	e and Owner/Operat	tor History:				
1) H	las the site been in existence	e and/or operation for the full five year compli-	ance period?	YES		
2) H	las there been a (known) cha	ange in ownership/operator of the site during	the compliance period?	NO		
_						
<u>Co</u>	<u>mponents (Multimed</u>	<u>lia) for the Site Are Listed in Sec</u>	<u>tions A - J</u>			
Α.	Final Orders, court jud N/A	dgments, and consent decrees:				
В.	Criminal convictions: N/A					
C.	Chronic excessive emi N/A	issions events:				
D.	The approval dates of N/A	investigations (CCEDS Inv. Track. N	o.):			
E.	A notice of violation represe	lations (NOV) (CCEDS Inv. Track. No ents a written allegation of a violation of a spe n is not a final enforcement action, nor proof t	cific regulatory requirer		iission to a re	gulated
	N/A					
F.	Environmental audits: N/A					
G.	Type of environmenta N/A	l management systems (EMSs):				
н.	Voluntary on-site com	pliance assessment dates:				

N/A

I. Participation in a voluntary pollution reduction program: \$N/A\$

J. Early compliance: N/A

Sites Outside of Texas:

N/A

Component Appendices

Appendix A All NOVs Issued During Component Period 6/18/2016 and 6/18/2021

1	Date: 12/29/2016 (1370588) Self Report? NO For Informational PClassification: On Moderate Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 101, SubChapter A 101.4 30 TAC Chapter 116, SubChapter B 116.115(b)(2)(H) Sc THSC Chapter 382 382.085(b) General Condition 10 PERMIT Description: Hi-Pro Feeds, Inc. failed to prevent nuisance conditions by allowing corn feed particles (starch grains and grain chaff) to leave property boundaries and impact an off-property receptor.
2	Date: 04/23/2018 (1478469) Self Report? NO For Informational Classification: Moderate Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 116, SubChapter B 116.115(c) Sc THSC Chapter 382 382.085(b) Special Condition 7 PERMIT Description: Hi-Pro Feeds, Inc., failed to comply with the maximum annual throughput limits for Total Receiving Operations and for the Mineral Mixer Tank, as authorized by Air Permit No. 1913.
3	Date: 10/01/2018 (1507525) Self Report? NO For Informational Classification: Moderate Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 101, SubChapter A 101.4 30 TAC Chapter 116, SubChapter B 116.115(b)(2)(H) Sc THSC Chapter 382 382.085(b) General Condition 10 PERMIT General Condition 10 PERMIT Hi-Pro Feeds, LLC failed to prevent nuisance conditions by allowing grain chaff to leave property boundaries and impact an off-property receptor.
4*	Date:09/12/2019(1582954)Self Report?NOFor Information Classification:ModerateCitation:30 TAC Chapter 116, SubChapter B 116.115(c)SC THSC Chapter 382 382.085(b)Special Condition 12 PERMITDescription:Failure to properly install and maintain in good working order, the drop sock at the ground corn loadout area.Self Report?NOCitation:30 TAC Chapter 101, SubChapter A 101.4 30 TAC Chapter 116, SubChapter B 116.115(b)(2)(H) SC THSC Chapter 382 382.085(b) General Condition 10 PERMITDescription:Failure to prevent nuisance conditions by allowing starch grains and grain chaff to leave property boundaries, which impacted an off-property receptor.

* NOVs applicable for the Compliance History rating period 9/1/2015 to 8/31/2020

Appendix B

All Investigations Conducted During Component Period June 18, 2016 and June 18, 2021

Item 1	March 27, 2017**	For ⁽¹³⁹⁴³⁵⁷⁾ mational Purposes Only
Item 2	June 27, 2018**	For ⁽¹⁴⁸⁶⁵³⁴⁾ mational Purposes Only
Item 3	November 26, 2018**	For ⁽¹⁵²⁶⁹⁾⁶⁾ mational Purposes Only
Item 4	October 23, 2019**	For ⁽¹⁶⁰³⁵⁷¹⁾ mational Purposes Only

* No violations documented during this investigation

**Investigation applicable for the Compliance History Rating period between 09/01/2015 and 08/31/2020.

TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY



§

§ §

§

§

IN THE MATTER OF AN ENFORCEMENT ACTION CONCERNING HI-PRO FEEDS LLC RN102387453 BEFORE THE TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

AGREED ORDER DOCKET NO. 2021-0204-AIR-E

On ______, the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality ("the Commission" or "TCEQ") considered this agreement of the parties, resolving an enforcement action regarding Hi-Pro Feeds LLC (the "Respondent") under the authority of TEX. HEALTH & SAFETY CODE ch. 382 and TEX. WATER CODE ch. 7. The Executive Director of the TCEQ, through the Enforcement Division, and the Respondent presented this Order to the Commission.

The Respondent understands that it has certain procedural rights at certain points in the enforcement process, including the right to formal notice of violations, notice of an evidentiary hearing, the right to an evidentiary hearing, and a right to appeal. By entering into this Order, the Respondent agrees to waive all notice and procedural rights.

It is further understood and agreed that this Order represents the complete and fully-integrated agreement of the parties. The provisions of this Order are deemed severable and, if a court of competent jurisdiction or other appropriate authority deems any provision of this Order unenforceable, the remaining provisions shall be valid and enforceable. The duties and responsibilities imposed by this Order are binding upon the Respondent.

The Commission makes the following Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law:

I. FINDINGS OF FACT

- 1. The Respondent owns and operates a feed mill located at 1300 East Mill Avenue in Comanche, Comanche County, Texas (the "Site"). The Site consists or consisted of one or more sources as defined in TEX. HEALTH & SAFETY CODE § 382.003(12).
- 2. During an investigation conducted on November 23, 2020, TCEQ staff observed grain particles mixed with dust that covered vehicles, collected at the bottom of doorways, and accumulated in the grass at off-site receptors, resulting in the confirmation of nuisance dust conditions.

II. CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

- 1. As evidenced by Finding of Fact No. 1, the Respondent is subject to the jurisdiction of the TCEQ pursuant to TEX. HEALTH & SAFETY CODE ch. 382 and the rules of the TCEQ.
- 2. As evidenced by Finding of Fact No. 2, the Respondent failed to prevent nuisance conditions, in violation of 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE §§ 101.4 and 116.115(b)(2)(H)(i), New Source Review Permit No. 1913, General Conditions No. 10, and TEX. HEALTH & SAFETY CODE § 382.085(a) and (b).
- 3. Pursuant to TEX. WATER CODE § 7.051, the TCEQ has the authority to assess an administrative penalty against the Respondent for violations of state statutes within the TCEQ's jurisdiction, for violations of rules adopted under such statutes, or for violations of orders or permits issued under such statutes.
- 4. An administrative penalty in the amount of \$3,750 is justified by the facts recited in this Order, and considered in light of the factors set forth in TEX. WATER CODE § 7.053. The Respondent paid the \$3,750 penalty.

III. ORDERING PROVISIONS

NOW, THEREFORE, THE TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY ORDERS that:

1. The Respondent is assessed a penalty as set forth in Conclusion of Law No. 4 for violations of state statutes and rules of the TCEQ. The payment of this penalty and the Respondent's compliance with all the requirements set forth in this Order resolve only the matters set forth by this Order in this action. The Commission shall not be constrained in any manner from requiring corrective actions or penalties for violations that are not raised here. Penalty payments shall be made payable to "TCEQ" and shall be sent with the notation "Re: Hi-Pro Feeds LLC, Docket No. 2021-0204-AIR-E" to:

Financial Administration Division, Revenue Operations Section Attention: Cashier's Office, MC 214 Texas Commission on Environmental Quality P.O. Box 13088 Austin, Texas 78711-3088

- 2. The Respondent shall undertake the following technical requirements:
 - a. Within 30 days after the effective date of this Order, implement measures and/or procedures designed to minimize dust emissions from causing nuisance dust conditions that impact off-site receptors.
 - b. Within 45 days after the effective date of this Order, submit written certification, and include detailed supporting documentation including photographs, receipts, and/or other records to demonstrate compliance with Ordering Provision No.

2.a. The certification shall be signed by the Respondent and shall include the following certification language:

"I certify under penalty of law that I have personally examined and am familiar with the information submitted and all attached documents, and that based on my inquiry of those individuals immediately responsible for obtaining the information, I believe that the submitted information is true, accurate and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fines and imprisonment for knowing violations."

The certification shall be submitted to:

Order Compliance Team Enforcement Division, MC 149A Texas Commission on Environmental Quality P.O. Box 13087 Austin, Texas 78711-3087

with a copy to:

Air Section Manager Abilene Regional Office Texas Commission on Environmental Quality 1977 Industrial Boulevard Abilene, Texas 79602-7833

- 3. All relief not expressly granted in this Order is denied.
- 4. The duties and provisions imposed by this Order shall apply to and be binding upon the Respondent. The Respondent is ordered to give notice of this Order to personnel who maintain day-to-day control over the Site operations referenced in this Order.
- 5. If the Respondent fails to comply with any of the Ordering Provisions in this Order within the prescribed schedules, and that failure is caused solely by an act of God, war, strike, riot, or other catastrophe, the Respondent's failure to comply is not a violation of this Order. The Respondent shall have the burden of establishing to the Executive Director's satisfaction that such an event has occurred. The Respondent shall notify the Executive Director within seven days after the Respondent becomes aware of a delaying event and shall take all reasonable measures to mitigate and minimize any delay.
- 6. The Executive Director may grant an extension of any deadline in this Order or in any plan, report, or other document submitted pursuant to this Order, upon a written and substantiated showing of good cause. All requests for extensions by the Respondent shall be made in writing to the Executive Director. Extensions are not effective until the Respondent receives written approval from the Executive Director. The determination

of what constitutes good cause rests solely with the Executive Director. Extension requests shall be sent to the Order Compliance Team at the address listed above.

- 7. The Executive Director may, without further notice or hearing, refer this matter to the Office of the Attorney General of the State of Texas ("OAG") for further enforcement proceedings if the Executive Director determines that the Respondent has not complied with one or more of the terms in this Order.
- 8. This Order shall terminate five years from its effective date or upon compliance with all the terms and conditions set forth in this Order, whichever is later.
- 9. This Order, issued by the Commission, shall not be admissible against the Respondent in a civil proceeding, unless the proceeding is brought by the OAG to: (1) enforce the terms of this Order; or (2) pursue violations of a statute within the Commission's jurisdiction, or of a rule adopted or an order or permit issued by the Commission under such a statute.
- This Order may be executed in separate and multiple counterparts, which together shall 10. constitute a single instrument. Any page of this Order may be copied, scanned, digitized, converted to electronic portable document format ("pdf"), or otherwise reproduced and may be transmitted by digital or electronic transmission, including but not limited to facsimile transmission and electronic mail. Any signature affixed to this Order shall constitute an original signature for all purposes and may be used, filed, substituted, or issued for any purpose for which an original signature could be used. The term "signature" shall include manual signatures and true and accurate reproductions of manual signatures created, executed, endorsed, adopted, or authorized by the person or persons to whom the signatures are attributable. Signatures may be copied or reproduced digitally, electronically, by photocopying, engraving, imprinting, lithographing, electronic mail, facsimile transmission, stamping, or any other means or process which the Executive Director deems acceptable. In this paragraph exclusively, the terms: electronic transmission, owner, person, writing, and written, shall have the meanings assigned to them under TEX. BUS. ORG. CODE § 1.002.
- 11. The effective date of this Order is the date it is signed by the Commission. A copy of this fully executed Order shall be provided to each of the parties.

SIGNATURE PAGE

TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

For the Commission

Date

Date

3/16/2022

For the Executive Director

I, the undersigned, have read and understand the attached Order. I am authorized to agree to the attached Order, and I do agree to the terms and conditions specified therein. I further acknowledge that the TCEQ, in accepting payment for the penalty amount, is materially relying on such representation.

I also understand that failure to comply with the Ordering Provisions, if any, in this Order and/or failure to timely pay the penalty amount, may result in:

- A negative impact on compliance history;
- Greater scrutiny of any permit applications submitted;
- Referral of this case to the Attorney General's Office for contempt, injunctive relief, additional penalties, and/or attorney fees, or to a collection agency;
- Increased penalties in any future enforcement actions;
- Automatic referral to the Attorney General's Office of any future enforcement actions; and
- TCEQ seeking other relief as authorized by law.

In addition, any falsification of any compliance documents may result in criminal prosecution.

gnature

Name (Printed or typed) Authorized Representative of Hi-Pro Feeds LLC

8/25/2021 Date Director of Hi Pro Feeds operations

 \Box If mailing address has changed, please check this box and provide the new address below: