From:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC

Sent:

Tuesday, March 30, 2021 9:13 AM

To:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC2; PUBCOMMENT-OPIC; PUBCOMMENT-ELD; PUBCOMMENT-

WWW-WRAS

Subject:

FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

PM

From: CHIEFCLK <chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov> Sent: Monday, March 29, 2021 4:12 PM

To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC < PUBCOMMENT-OCC@tceq.texas.gov>

Subject: FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

From: Marissa Acuna < Marissa. Acuna. 434434053@p2a.co>

Sent: Monday, March 29, 2021 3:38 PM
To: CHIEFCLK < chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov>
Subject: I oppose WRPERM 13630

Dear Chief Clerk,

I strongly oppose WRPERM 13630 and the Port of Corpus Christi's placing an intake pipe for a desalination plant in La Quinta Channel. According to the permit, the Port of Corpus Christi would be allowed to suck 62,890 gallons of water from La Quinta Channel every minute. Sucking in that amount of water that fast will require an enormous amount of suction power and I am concerned about aquatic life being trapped or killed in the process.

I also request a two-week extension of the deadline for comments and a public meeting.

Regards,
Marissa Acuna
1705 Triple Crown Dr
Corpus Christi, TX 78417

From:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC

Sent:

Friday, March 26, 2021 2:42 PM

To:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC2; PUBCOMMENT-OPIC; PUBCOMMENT-ELD; PUBCOMMENT-

WWW-WRAS

Subject:

FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

PM

From: CHIEFCLK <chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov>
Sent: Friday, March 26, 2021 9:56 AM

To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC < PUBCOMMENT-OCC@tceq.texas.gov>

Subject: FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

From: Fran Adams < Fran.Adams.178593863@p2a.co >

Sent: Thursday, March 25, 2021 1:24 PM
To: CHIEFCLK < chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov >
Subject: I oppose WRPERM 13630

Dear Chief Clerk,

I strongly oppose WRPERM 13630 and the Port of Corpus Christi's placing an intake pipe for a desalination plant in La Quinta Channel. According to the permit, the Port of Corpus Christi would be allowed to suck 62,890 gallons of water from La Quinta Channel every minute. Sucking in that amount of water that fast will require an enormous amount of suction power and I am concerned about aquatic life being trapped or killed in the process.

I also request a two-week extension of the deadline for comments and a public meeting.

Regards, Fran Adams 69 Portia Ave Rockport, TX 78382

From:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC

Sent:

Friday, March 26, 2021 2:34 PM

To:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC2; PUBCOMMENT-OPIC; PUBCOMMENT-ELD; PUBCOMMENT-

WWW-WRAS

Subject:

FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

PM

From: CHIEFCLK <chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov>
Sent: Friday, March 26, 2021 9:42 AM

To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC < PUBCOMMENT-OCC@tceq.texas.gov>

Subject: FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

From: Angela Albanese < Angela. Albanese. 290184989@p2a.co>

Sent: Thursday, March 25, 2021 5:42 PM
To: CHIEFCLK < chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov >
Subject: I oppose WRPERM 13630

Dear Chief Clerk,

I ask that you deny WRPERM 13630, and I oppose the Port of Corpus Christi's placing an intake pipe for a desalination plant in La Quinta Channel. This water will largely be for industrial use, not for me, my family, or my neighbors. I don't think bringing polluting corporations to our community is worth the cost these desalination plants will have on our Bay, on our wildlife, and on our local fishing and tourism economies.

I request a two-week extension of the deadline for comments on account of the recent freeze and loss of electricity in Texas. I also request that a public meeting be held for the community to express its concerns.

Regards, Angela Albanese 180 W Gray St Houston, TX 77019

From:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC

Sent:

Tuesday, March 23, 2021 3:31 PM

To:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC2; PUBCOMMENT-OPIC; PUBCOMMENT-ELD; PUBCOMMENT-

WWW-WRAS

Subject:

FW: Public comment on Permit Number WRPERM 13630

From: amsdentx@yahoo.com <amsdentx@yahoo.com>

Sent: Monday, March 22, 2021 5:49 PM

To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC <PUBCOMMENT-OCC@tceq.texas.gov> **Subject:** Public comment on Permit Number WRPERM 13630

REGULATED ENTY NAME WRPERM 13630

RN NUMBER: RN110844933

PERMIT NUMBER: WRPERM 13630

DOCKET NUMBER:

COUNTY: SAN PATRICIO

PRINCIPAL NAME: PORT OF CORPUS CHRISTI AUTHORITY OF NUECES COUNTY

CN NUMBER: CN600885248

FROM

NAME: Carl Daniel Amsden

E-MAIL: amsdentx@yahoo.com

COMPANY:

ADDRESS: 161 SUNSET INGLESIDE TX 78362-4735

PHONE: 9034213564

FAX:

COMMENTS: My wife and I are retirees living in IOB. The reason we are here is the proximity to great salt water inshore recreational opportunities. The La Quinta channel has more than enough major industrial development. To locate a desal plant here for primarily industrial use could have disastrous results if the intake and discharge are inshore. The volume of water involved is massive and should absolutely not be sourced from the bay or the channel. You don't have

to look very far to see what happens when government fails to listen to scienissue and source the water off shore and release the brine off shore also,	entists. Please listen to them now on this

From:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC

Sent:

Friday, March 26, 2021 2:30 PM

To:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC2; PUBCOMMENT-OPIC; PUBCOMMENT-ELD; PUBCOMMENT-

WWW-WRAS

Subject:

FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

PM

From: CHIEFCLK <chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov> Sent: Friday, March 26, 2021 9:38 AM

To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC < PUBCOMMENT-OCC@tceq.texas.gov>

Subject: FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

From: Sherry Andresen < Sherry.Andresen.28094296@p2a.co>

Sent: Thursday, March 25, 2021 9:17 PM
To: CHIEFCLK < chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov >
Subject: I oppose WRPERM 13630

Dear Chief Clerk,

I ask that you deny WRPERM 13630, and I oppose the Port of Corpus Christi's placing an intake pipe for a desalination plant in La Quinta Channel. This water will largely be for industrial use, not for me, my family, or my neighbors. I don't think bringing polluting corporations to our community is worth the cost these desalination plants will have on our Bay, on our wildlife, and on our local fishing and tourism economies.

I request a two-week extension of the deadline for comments on account of the recent freeze and loss of electricity in Texas. I also request that a public meeting be held for the community to express its concerns.

Regards, Sherry Andresen 1022 Flagmore Dr Katy, TX 77450

From:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC

Sent:

Wednesday, March 31, 2021 9:20 AM

To:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC2; PUBCOMMENT-OPIC; PUBCOMMENT-ELD; PUBCOMMENT-

WWW-WRAS

Subject:

FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

PM

From: CHIEFCLK <chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov> Sent: Tuesday, March 30, 2021 8:33 AM

To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC < PUBCOMMENT-OCC@tceq.texas.gov>

Subject: FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

From: Joanne Arnold < Joanne. Arnold. 434776441@p2a.co >

Sent: Tuesday, March 30, 2021 8:25 AM
To: CHIEFCLK < chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov >
Subject: I oppose WRPERM 13630

Dear Chief Clerk,

I strongly oppose WRPERM 13630 and the Port of Corpus Christi's placing an intake pipe for a desalination plant in La Quinta Channel. According to the permit, the Port of Corpus Christi would be allowed to suck 62,890 gallons of water from La Quinta Channel every minute. Sucking in that amount of water that fast will require an enormous amount of suction power and I am concerned about aquatic life being trapped or killed in the process.

I also request a two-week extension of the deadline for comments and a public meeting.

Regards, Joanne Arnold 5809 Commonwealth Dr Corpus Christi, TX 78414

From:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC

Sent:

Friday, March 26, 2021 2:35 PM

To:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC2; PUBCOMMENT-OPIC; PUBCOMMENT-ELD; PUBCOMMENT-

WWW-WRAS

Subject:

FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

PM

From: CHIEFCLK <chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov>
Sent: Friday, March 26, 2021 9:43 AM

To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC < PUBCOMMENT-OCC@tceq.texas.gov>

Subject: FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

From: Karin Ascot < Karin. Ascot. 42735261@p2a.co >

Sent: Thursday, March 25, 2021 4:02 PM
To: CHIEFCLK < chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov >
Subject: I oppose WRPERM 13630

Dear Chief Clerk,

NO NEW DESALINATION PLANTS! PROTECT WILDLIFE AND TOURISM.

I ask that you deny WRPERM 13630, and I oppose the Port of Corpus Christi's placing an intake pipe for a desalination plant in La Quinta Channel. This water will largely be for industrial use, not for me, my family, or my neighbors. I don't think bringing polluting corporations to our community is worth the cost these desalination plants will have on our Bay, on our wildlife, and on our local fishing and tourism economies.

I request a two-week extension of the deadline for comments on account of the recent freeze and loss of electricity in Texas. I also request that a public meeting be held for the community to express its concerns.

Regards, Karin Ascot 405 Academy Dr Austin, TX 78704

From:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC

Sent:

Tuesday, March 30, 2021 8:43 AM

To:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC2; PUBCOMMENT-OPIC; PUBCOMMENT-ELD; PUBCOMMENT-

WWW-WRAS

Subject:

FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

PM

From: CHIEFCLK <chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov> Sent: Monday, March 29, 2021 10:49 AM

To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC < PUBCOMMENT-OCC@tceq.texas.gov>

Subject: FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

From: William Asmussen < William. Asmussen. 416371828@p2a.co >

Sent: Monday, March 29, 2021 10:34 AM
To: CHIEFCLK < chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov
Subject: I oppose WRPERM 13630

Dear Chief Clerk,

I oppose WRPERM 13630. Many of us suffered through the historic winter storm in February 2021 and were without power for several days in freezing temperatures due to the amount of demand placed on the electrical grid in Texas. The operating pumps required to suck 62,890 gallons of water per minute will take an enormous amount of power, placing even more strain on the grid. I am opposed to issuing a permit which would demand excessive amounts of energy to supply water only for industrial use.

I also ask for a two-week extension for comments, as well as a public meeting.

Regards,
William Asmussen
6202 Coppedge Dr
Corpus Christi, TX 78414

From:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC

Sent:

Friday, March 26, 2021 2:34 PM

To:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC2; PUBCOMMENT-OPIC; PUBCOMMENT-ELD; PUBCOMMENT-

WWW-WRAS

Subject:

FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

PM

From: CHIEFCLK <chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov>
Sent: Friday, March 26, 2021 9:42 AM

To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC < PUBCOMMENT-OCC@tceq.texas.gov>

Subject: FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

From: Stephen Ball < Stephen.Ball.154476797@p2a.co >

Sent: Thursday, March 25, 2021 7:36 PM
To: CHIEFCLK < chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov >
Subject: I oppose WRPERM 13630

Dear Chief Clerk,

I strongly oppose WRPERM 13630 and the Port of Corpus Christi's placing an intake pipe for a desalination plant in La Quinta Channel. According to the permit, the Port of Corpus Christi would be allowed to suck 62,890 gallons of water from La Quinta Channel every minute. Sucking in that amount of water that fast will require an enormous amount of suction power and I am concerned about aquatic life being trapped or killed in the process.

I also request a two-week extension of the deadline for comments and a public meeting.

Regards, Stephen Ball 3609 Misty Ln Pearland, TX 77581

From:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC

Sent:

Tuesday, March 30, 2021 9:14 AM

To:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC2; PUBCOMMENT-OPIC; PUBCOMMENT-ELD; PUBCOMMENT-

WWW-WRAS

Subject:

FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

PM

From: CHIEFCLK <chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov> Sent: Monday, March 29, 2021 4:13 PM

To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC < PUBCOMMENT-OCC@tceq.texas.gov>

Subject: FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

From: Stacey Bartlett < Stacey.Bartlett.337899939@p2a.co >

Sent: Monday, March 29, 2021 3:04 PM
To: CHIEFCLK < chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov
Subject: I oppose WRPERM 13630

Dear Chief Clerk,

I strongly oppose WRPERM 13630 and the Port of Corpus Christi's placing an intake pipe for a desalination plant in La Quinta Channel. According to the permit, the Port of Corpus Christi would be allowed to suck 62,890 gallons of water from La Quinta Channel every minute. Sucking in that amount of water that fast will require an enormous amount of suction power and I am concerned about aquatic life being trapped or killed in the process.

I also request a two-week extension of the deadline for comments and a public meeting.

Regards, Stacey Bartlett 541 Channel View Dr Port Aransas, TX 78373

From:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC

Sent:

Thursday, March 25, 2021 6:05 PM

To:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC2; PUBCOMMENT-OPIC; PUBCOMMENT-ELD; PUBCOMMENT-

WWW-WRAS

Subject:

FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

PM

From: CHIEFCLK <chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov> Sent: Thursday, March 25, 2021 3:55 PM

To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC < PUBCOMMENT-OCC@tceq.texas.gov>

Subject: FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

From: Doug Beatty < Doug.Beatty.120792568@p2a.co>

Sent: Thursday, March 25, 2021 12:09 PM
To: CHIEFCLK < chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov>
Subject: I oppose WRPERM 13630

Dear Chief Clerk,

I ask that you deny WRPERM 13630, and I oppose the Port of Corpus Christi's placing an intake pipe for a desalination plant in La Quinta Channel. This water will largely be for industrial use, not for me, my family, or my neighbors. I don't think bringing polluting corporations to our community is worth the cost these desalination plants will have on our Bay, on our wildlife, and on our local fishing and tourism economies.

I request a two-week extension of the deadline for comments on account of the recent freeze and loss of electricity in Texas. I also request that a public meeting be held for the community to express its concerns.

Regards,
Doug Beatty
5220 Braeburn Dr
Bellaire, TX 77401

From: PUBCOMMENT-OCC

Sent: Friday, March 26, 2021 2:22 PM

To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC2; PUBCOMMENT-OPIC; PUBCOMMENT-ELD; PUBCOMMENT-

WWW-WRAS

Subject: FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

PM

----Original Message-----

From: CHIEFCLK <chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov> Sent: Friday, March 26, 2021 9:33 AM

To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC < PUBCOMMENT-OCC@tceq.texas.gov>

Subject: FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

----Original Message-----

From: Doug Beatty < Doug.Beatty.120792568@p2a.co>

Sent: Thursday, March 25, 2021 12:09 PM To: CHIEFCLK <chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov> Subject: I oppose WRPERM 13630

Dear Chief Clerk,

I ask that you deny WRPERM 13630, and I oppose the Port of Corpus Christi's placing an intake pipe for a desalination plant in La Quinta Channel. This water will largely be for industrial use, not for me, my family, or my neighbors. I don't think bringing polluting corporations to our community is worth the cost these desalination plants will have on our Bay, on our wildlife, and on our local fishing and tourism economies.

I request a two-week extension of the deadline for comments on account of the recent freeze and loss of electricity in Texas. I also request that a public meeting be held for the community to express its concerns.

Regards, Doug Beatty 5220 Braeburn Dr

Bellaire, TX 77401 http://admin.phone2action.com/email/open/leg/583850/108529429

From:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC

Sent:

Tuesday, March 30, 2021 8:44 AM

To:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC2; PUBCOMMENT-OPIC; PUBCOMMENT-ELD; PUBCOMMENT-

WWW-WRAS

Subject:

FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

PM

From: CHIEFCLK <chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov>
Sent: Monday, March 29, 2021 10:49 AM

To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC < PUBCOMMENT-OCC@tceq.texas.gov>

Subject: FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

From: CAROL BEELER < CAROL.BEELER.421745359@p2a.co>

Sent: Monday, March 29, 2021 10:29 AM
To: CHIEFCLK < chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov >
Subject: I oppose WRPERM 13630

Dear Chief Clerk,

I ask that you deny WRPERM 13630, and I oppose the Port of Corpus Christi's placing an intake pipe for a desalination plant in La Quinta Channel. This water will largely be for industrial use, not for me, my family, or my neighbors. I don't think bringing polluting corporations to our community is worth the cost these desalination plants will have on our Bay, on our wildlife, and on our local fishing and tourism economies.

I request a two-week extension of the deadline for comments on account of the recent freeze and loss of electricity in Texas. I also request that a public meeting be held for the community to express its concerns.

Regards,
CAROL BEELER
4721 Schwerin Lake Dr
Corpus Christi, TX 78413.

From:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC

Sent:

Friday, March 26, 2021 2:27 PM

To:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC2; PUBCOMMENT-OPIC; PUBCOMMENT-ELD; PUBCOMMENT-

WWW-WRAS

Subject:

FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

PM

From: CHIEFCLK <chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov> Sent: Friday, March 26, 2021 9:36 AM

To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC < PUBCOMMENT-OCC@tceq.texas.gov>

Subject: FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

From: t bell < t.bell.231971430@p2a.co > Sent: Friday, March 26, 2021 5:41 AM

To: CHIEFCLK < chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov > Subject: I oppose WRPERM 13630

Dear Chief Clerk,

I urge you to deny WRPERM 13630. I am opposed to any intake pipeline for a desalination plant in La Quinta Channel. So many members of our community love to fish, boat, and swim along the Portland Shoreline where the intake pipe would be located and in Ingleside Cove where the discharge would flow to. I am concerned that given the number of small larvae which will be sucked up, turned to sludge, and deposited into landfills, fishing will be badly impaired in the region.

I am also asking for a two week extension for comments due to the recent loss of electricity in Texas and that you hold a public meeting for the community to share their concerns.

Regards, t bell 5223 Mt Bonnell Rd Austin, TX 78731

From:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC

Sent:

Tuesday, March 30, 2021 8:38 AM

To:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC2; PUBCOMMENT-OPIC; PUBCOMMENT-ELD; PUBCOMMENT-

WWW-WRAS

Subject:

FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

PM

From: CHIEFCLK <chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov> Sent: Monday, March 29, 2021 9:13 AM

To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC < PUBCOMMENT-OCC@tceq.texas.gov>

Subject: FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

From: Steven Bernstein < Steven.Bernstein.231871017@p2a.co >

Sent: Friday, March 26, 2021 3:34 PM
To: CHIEFCLK < chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov >
Subject: I oppose WRPERM 13630

Dear Chief Clerk,

I oppose WRPERM 13630. Many of us suffered through the historic winter storm in February 2021 and were without power for several days in freezing temperatures due to the amount of demand placed on the electrical grid in Texas. The operating pumps required to suck 62,890 gallons of water per minute will take an enormous amount of power, placing even more strain on the grid. I am opposed to issuing a permit which would demand excessive amounts of energy to supply water only for industrial use.

I also ask for a two-week extension for comments, as well as a public meeting.

Regards, Steven Bernstein 3904 Blue Monster Cove Round Rock, TX 78664

From:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC

Sent:

Monday, March 15, 2021 3:37 PM

To:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC2; PUBCOMMENT-OPIC; PUBCOMMENT-ELD; PUBCOMMENT-

WWW-WRAS

Subject:

FW: Public comment on Permit Number WRPERM 13630

From: BISSELLS@swbell.net <BISSELLS@swbell.net>

Sent: Monday, March 15, 2021 2:47 PM

To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC <PUBCOMMENT-OCC@tceq.texas.gov> Subject: Public comment on Permit Number WRPERM 13630

REGULATED ENTY NAME WRPERM 13630

RN NUMBER: RN110844933

PERMIT NUMBER: WRPERM 13630

DOCKET NUMBER:

COUNTY: SAN PATRICIO

PRINCIPAL NAME: PORT OF CORPUS CHRISTI AUTHORITY OF NUECES COUNTY

CN NUMBER: CN600885248

FROM

NAME: DAWN BISSELL

E-MAIL: BISSELLS@SWBELL.NET

COMPANY:

ADDRESS: 253 CIRCLE DR

CORPUS CHRISTI TX 78411-1257

PHONE: 3619602151

FAX:

COMMENTS: I oppose the desal plant on La Quinta Channel, as there is not enough water circulation to properly dispense the brine discharge that will occur. This will result in an even more hypersaline bay, negatively affecting plant and sea life. I support desalination for Corpus Christi's water needs, but it MUST be placed in the right location.

From:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC

Sent:

Friday, March 26, 2021 2:50 PM

To:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC2; PUBCOMMENT-OPIC; PUBCOMMENT-ELD; PUBCOMMENT-

WWW-WRAS

Subject:

FW: Public comment on Permit Number WRPERM 13630

From: davo2971@yahoo.com <davo2971@yahoo.com>

Sent: Friday, March 26, 2021 12:30 PM

To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC <PUBCOMMENT-OCC@tceq.texas.gov> **Subject:** Public comment on Permit Number WRPERM 13630

REGULATED ENTY NAME WRPERM 13630

RN NUMBER: RN110844933

PERMIT NUMBER: WRPERM 13630

DOCKET NUMBER:

COUNTY: SAN PATRICIO

PRINCIPAL NAME: PORT OF CORPUS CHRISTI AUTHORITY OF NUECES COUNTY

CN NUMBER: CN600885248

FROM

NAME: David Bjork

E-MAIL: davo2971@yahoo.com

COMPANY:

ADDRESS: 102 MARIE PL PORTLAND TX 78374-1416

PHONE: 3618776649

FAX:

COMMENTS: I grew up on this shoreline between Portland and ingleside. It is always been such a pristine and beautiful place to go and fish and enjoy nature. with the port putting everything that it has already put in, and ruining much of the fishing areas and shorelines for progress, I really am very much against putting a desalinization plant there as well. Is this worth it? Is it worth ruining our coastal fishing areas the wildlife, just in the name of progress, most of all money in the

pockets? This will have dire consequences for the entire area for the fish and habitat....ALL FOR MONEY!! This was once a beautiful, pristine area of the coastal bend, now it has bowed down to progress and development. Do we have to ruin the entire area along with the habitat and fisheries? Us citizens will be fighting this to the very end you can count on it.

From:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC

Sent:

Thursday, March 25, 2021 6:21 PM

To:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC2; PUBCOMMENT-OPIC; PUBCOMMENT-ELD; PUBCOMMENT-

WWW-WRAS

Subject:

FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

PM

From: CHIEFCLK <chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov> Sent: Thursday, March 25, 2021 4:02 PM

To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC < PUBCOMMENT-OCC@tceq.texas.gov>

Subject: FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

From: Frank Blake < Frank.Blake.35984506@p2a.co >

Sent: Thursday, March 25, 2021 10:55 AM
To: CHIEFCLK < chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov>
Subject: I oppose WRPERM 13630

Dear Chief Clerk,

I urge you to deny WRPERM 13630. I am opposed to any intake pipeline for a desalination plant in La Quinta Channel. So many members of our community love to fish, boat, and swim along the Portland Shoreline where the intake pipe would be located and in Ingleside Cove where the discharge would flow to. I am concerned that given the number of small larvae which will be sucked up, turned to sludge, and deposited into landfills, fishing will be badly impaired in the region.

I am also asking for a two week extension for comments due to the recent loss of electricity in Texas and that you hold a public meeting for the community to share their concerns.

Regards, Frank Blake 1010 Peden St Houston, TX 77006

From:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC

Sent:

Tuesday, March 30, 2021 8:53 AM

To:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC2; PUBCOMMENT-OPIC; PUBCOMMENT-ELD; PUBCOMMENT-

WWW-WRAS

Subject:

FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

PM

From: CHIEFCLK <chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov> Sent: Monday, March 29, 2021 1:36 PM

To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC < PUBCOMMENT-OCC@tceq.texas.gov>

Subject: FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

From: Ruby Blanchette < Ruby.Blanchette.434411256@p2a.co>

Sent: Monday, March 29, 2021 1:32 PM
To: CHIEFCLK < chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov >
Subject: I oppose WRPERM 13630

Dear Chief Clerk,

I strongly oppose WRPERM 13630 and the Port of Corpus Christi's placing an intake pipe for a desalination plant in La Quinta Channel. According to the permit, the Port of Corpus Christi would be allowed to suck 62,890 gallons of water from La Quinta Channel every minute. Sucking in that amount of water that fast will require an enormous amount of suction power and I am concerned about aquatic life being trapped or killed in the process.

I also request a two-week extension of the deadline for comments and a public meeting.

Regards, Ruby Blanchette 1637 Palisades Dr Port Aransas, TX 78373

From: PUBCOMMENT-OCC

Sent: Thursday, April 1, 2021 9:09 AM

To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC2; PUBCOMMENT-OPIC; PUBCOMMENT-ELD; PUBCOMMENT-

WWW-WRAS

Subject: FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

PM

From: CHIEFCLK <chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov> Sent: Wednesday, March 31, 2021 7:07 PM

To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC < PUBCOMMENT-OCC@tceq.texas.gov>

Subject: FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

From: Patricia Bocanegra < Patricia. Bocanegra. 16200425@p2a.co >

Sent: Wednesday, March 31, 2021 2:48 PM To: CHIEFCLK < chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov > Subject: I oppose WRPERM 13630

Dear Chief Clerk,

I urge you to deny WRPERM 13630. I am opposed to any intake pipeline for a desalination plant in La Quinta Channel. So many members of our community love to fish, boat, and swim along the Portland Shoreline where the intake pipe would be located and in Ingleside Cove where the discharge would flow to. I am concerned that given the number of small larvae which will be sucked up, turned to sludge, and deposited into landfills, fishing will be badly impaired in the region.

I am also asking for a two week extension for comments due to the recent loss of electricity in Texas and that you hold a public meeting for the community to share their concerns.

Regards, Patricia Bocanegra 216 Co Rd 6814 Natalia, TX 78059 •

From:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC

Sent:

Thursday, March 25, 2021 6:08 PM

To:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC2; PUBCOMMENT-OPIC; PUBCOMMENT-ELD; PUBCOMMENT-

WWW-WRAS

Subject:

FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630.

PM

From: CHIEFCLK <chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov> Sent: Thursday, March 25, 2021 3:57 PM

To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC < PUBCOMMENT-OCC@tceq.texas.gov>

Subject: FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630.

From: Lakshmi Bollini < Lakshmi.Bollini.433448608@p2a.co >

Sent: Thursday, March 25, 2021 11:54 AM To: CHIEFCLK < chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov> Subject: I oppose WRPERM 13630.

Dear Chief Clerk,

I urge you to deny WRPERM 13630. I am opposed to any intake pipeline for a desalination plant in La Quinta Channel. The desalination plant is a terrible short-term solution that mainly benefits a small number of industrial interests.

So many members of our community love to fish, boat, and swim along the Portland Shoreline, where the intake pipe would be located, and in Ingleside Cove, where the discharge would flow. The number of small larvae that will be sucked up, turned to sludge, and deposited into landfills will have an adverse impact on fishing and biodiversity in the region.

I am also asking for a two-week extension for comments due to the recent loss of electricity in Texas and that you hold a public meeting for the community to share their concerns.

Regards, Lakshmi Bollini 8513 Putnam Dr Austin, TX 78757 ...

Melissa Schmidt

From:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC

Sent:

Wednesday, July 14, 2021 8:39 AM

To:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC2; PUBCOMMENT-OPIC; PUBCOMMENT-ELD; PUBCOMMENT-

WWW-WRAS

Subject:

FW: Public comment on Permit Number WRPERM 13630

From: tce.nicholas@gmail.com <tce.nicholas@gmail.com>

Sent: Tuesday, July 13, 2021 8:45 PM

To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC <PUBCOMMENT-OCC@tceq.texas.gov> **Subject:** Public comment on Permit Number WRPERM 13630

REGULATED ENTY NAME WRPERM 13630

RN NUMBER: RN110844933

PERMIT NUMBER: WRPERM 13630

DOCKET NUMBER: 2021-0421-WR

COUNTY: SAN PATRICIO

PRINCIPAL NAME: PORT OF CORPUS CHRISTI AUTHORITY OF NUECES COUNTY

CN NUMBER: CN600885248

FROM

NAME: MR Nicholas James Borjas

E-MAIL: tce.nicholas@gmail.com

COMPANY:

ADDRESS: 8102 HOOD CIR Unit A

AUSTIN TX 78745-6913

PHONE: 5126216154

FAX:

COMMENTS: I, Nicholas J. Borjas, am completely opposed to WRPERM 13630. This is a boondoggle of a project and is only to be used by the needs of industry to make profits by externalizing the cost onto the local ecosystem. This project will exploit the region of its natural resources and create an unlivable environment for marine life. This application is not intended to help the populations need for water, rather a cloak for industrial needs that do not take into account the

cumulative impacts desalination would have on the communities that depend on a healthy bay. If you destroy the bay, you destroy the way of life for a whole region. You must reject this permit to keep the health and future of so many people above the profiteering motives of the industries that are thinking about their short terms gains. Thank you and please represent and protect the environment, thats your job!

From:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC

Sent:

Friday, March 26, 2021 2:35 PM

To:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC2; PUBCOMMENT-OPIC; PUBCOMMENT-ELD; PUBCOMMENT-

WWW-WRAS

Subject:

FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

PM

From: CHIEFCLK <chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov> Sent: Friday, March 26, 2021 9:43 AM

To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC < PUBCOMMENT-OCC@tceq.texas.gov>

Subject: FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

From: Gayle Borst < Gayle.Borst.90045660@p2a.co>

Sent: Thursday, March 25, 2021 4:30 PM To: CHIEFCLK < chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov> Subject: I oppose WRPERM 13630

Dear Chief Clerk,

I strongly oppose WRPERM 13630 and the Port of Corpus Christi's placing an intake pipe for a desalination plant in La Quinta Channel. According to the permit, the Port of Corpus Christi would be allowed to suck 62,890 gallons of water from La Quinta Channel every minute. Sucking in that amount of water that fast will require an enormous amount of suction power and I am concerned about aquatic life being trapped or killed in the process.

I also request a two-week extension of the deadline for comments and a public meeting.

Regards, **Gayle Borst** 1604 Deloney St Austin, TX 78721 ...

Melissa Schmidt

From:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC

Sent:

Wednesday, July 14, 2021 8:55 AM

To:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC2; PUBCOMMENT-OPIC; PUBCOMMENT-ELD; PUBCOMMENT-

WWW-WRAS

Subject:

FW: Public comment on Permit Number WRPERM 13630

From: david.bradsby@tpwd.texas.gov <david.bradsby@tpwd.texas.gov>

Sent: Tuesday, July 13, 2021 7:16 PM

To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC <PUBCOMMENT-OCC@tceq.texas.gov> **Subject:** Public comment on Permit Number WRPERM 13630

REGULATED ENTY NAME WRPERM 13630

RN NUMBER: RN110844933

PERMIT NUMBER: WRPERM 13630

DOCKET NUMBER: 2021-0421-WR

COUNTY: SAN PATRICIO

PRINCIPAL NAME: PORT OF CORPUS CHRISTI AUTHORITY OF NUECES COUNTY

CN NUMBER: CN600885248

FROM

NAME: David Bradsby

E-MAIL: david.bradsby@tpwd.texas.gov

COMPANY: Texas Parks and Wildlife Department

ADDRESS: 4200 SMITH SCHOOL RD

AUSTIN TX 78744-3218

PHONE: 5123898048

FAX:

COMMENTS: Dear Sir or Madam: The Texas Parks and Wildlife Department (TPWD) appreciates the opportunity to provide comment on water use permit application No. 13630 submitted by the Port of Corpus Christi Authority (POCC) of Nueces County. TPWD is the agency with primary responsibility for protecting the state's fish and wildlife resources (Texas Parks and Wildlife Code §12.0011(a)) in addition to encouraging outdoor recreation on Texas water resources.

With respect to this role, TPWD is charged with providing information on fish and wildlife resources to any local, state, and federal agencies or private organizations that make decisions affecting those resources (Texas Parks and Wildlife Code §12.0011(b)(3)). Please be aware that a written response to a TPWD recommendation for informational comment received by a state government agency may be required by state law. For further guidance, please see Texas Parks & Wildlife Code Section 12.0011. Regarding water use permit application No. 13630, at present, one of the primary concerns is the lack of information or guidance on the diversion structure and, more specifically, efforts to minimize impingement and entrainment. A related matter is the high diversion rate for the project (140.12 cfs) and the potential resulting flow-through velocity for the diversion structure. The TPWD and Texas General Land Office coordinated on a report (https://tpwd.texas.gov/publications/pwdpubs/media/hb2031dz.pdf) as a result of HB 2031 of the 84th Texas Legislature that directed the agencies to identify zones in the Gulf of Mexico that are appropriate for the diversion of marine seawater, and for the discharge of marine seawater desalination brine concentrate, while taking into account the need to protect marine organisms. While the scope of the report is not entirely applicable to the POCC's desalination project, the recommendations for limiting impingement and entrainment apply. For diversions the recommendations include: • diversions of marine seawater should not exceed flow-through velocities of 0.5 feet per second (fps), nor be co-located such that combined impacts in the surrounding approach area exceeds 0.5 fps; • intake structure design should adjust or adaptively manage with varying flows and water quality that may occur at the intake site; • intake structures should be designed to reduce the flow velocity so that marine organisms may escape being drawn into the intake; • screens or booms, or both, should be used to exclude organisms from the intake; and • a site-specific study of conditions at proposed intake locations be conducted to identify marine organisms at risk from intake operations and to inform the design planning process. In addition, when feasible, directional drilling to install piping below the seabed and drawing water down through a sandy bottom will prevent impingement of marine organisms on intake screens exposed to open water and prevent entrainment of other organisms carried with the feedwater through the intake screen. TPWD staff are available to discuss the recommendation and to coordinate with the applicant and TCEQ on efforts to reduce impingement and entrainment associated with the proposed project. For questions, please contact David Bradsby at david.bradsby@tpwd.texas .gov. Sincerely, David Bradsby, Leader Water Quantity Program Texas Parks and Wildlife Department 512.389.8048

From:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC

Sent:

Tuesday, March 30, 2021 8:55 AM

To:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC2; PUBCOMMENT-OPIC; PUBCOMMENT-ELD; PUBCOMMENT-

WWW-WRAS

Subject:

FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

PM

From: CHIEFCLK <chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov> Sent: Monday, March 29, 2021 1:37 PM

To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC < PUBCOMMENT-OCC@tceq.texas.gov>

Subject: FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

From: Jill Brodnax < Jill.Brodnax.337792397@p2a.co >

Sent: Monday, March 29, 2021 11:42 AM
To: CHIEFCLK < chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov >
Subject: I oppose WRPERM 13630

Dear Chief Clerk,

I strongly oppose WRPERM 13630 and the Port of Corpus Christi's placing an intake pipe for a desalination plant in La Quinta Channel. According to the permit, the Port of Corpus Christi would be allowed to suck 62,890 gallons of water from La Quinta Channel every minute. Sucking in that amount of water that fast will require an enormous amount of suction power and I am concerned about aquatic life being trapped or killed in the process.

I also request a two-week extension of the deadline for comments and a public meeting.

Regards,
Jill Brodnax
417 E Oaks Ave
Port Aransas, TX 78373 a

From:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC

Sent:

Thursday, March 25, 2021 6:22 PM

To:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC2; PUBCOMMENT-OPIC; PUBCOMMENT-ELD; PUBCOMMENT-

WWW-WRAS

Subject:

FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

PM

From: CHIEFCLK <chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov>
Sent: Thursday, March 25, 2021 4:02 PM

To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC < PUBCOMMENT-OCC@tceq.texas.gov>

Subject: FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

From: Bari Brookman < Bari.Brookman.44087728@p2a.co >

Sent: Thursday, March 25, 2021 10:57 AM
To: CHIEFCLK < chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov>
Subject: I oppose WRPERM 13630

Dear Chief Clerk,

I strongly oppose WRPERM 13630 and the Port of Corpus Christi's placing an intake pipe for a desalination plant in La Quinta Channel. According to the permit, the Port of Corpus Christi would be allowed to suck 62,890 gallons of water from La Quinta Channel every minute. Sucking in that amount of water that fast will require an enormous amount of suction power and I am concerned about aquatic life being trapped or killed in the process.

I also request a two-week extension of the deadline for comments and a public meeting.

Regards,
Bari Brookman
6391 Hilldale Ct
Fort Worth, TX 76116

Melissa Schmidt

From:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC

Sent:

Wednesday, July 14, 2021 8:51 AM

To:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC2; PUBCOMMENT-OPIC; PUBCOMMENT-ELD; PUBCOMMENT-

WWW-WRAS

Subject:

FW: Public comment on Permit Number WRPERM 13630

From: debcdp@yahoo.com <debcdp@yahoo.com>

Sent: Tuesday, July 13, 2021 8:10 PM

To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC < PUBCOMMENT-OCC@tceq.texas.gov > Subject: Public comment on Permit Number WRPERM 13630

REGULATED ENTY NAME WRPERM 13630

RN NUMBER: RN110844933

PERMIT NUMBER: WRPERM 13630

DOCKET NUMBER: 2021-0421-WR

COUNTY: SAN PATRICIO

PRINCIPAL NAME: PORT OF CORPUS CHRISTI AUTHORITY OF NUECES COUNTY

CN NUMBER: CN600885248

FROM

NAME: Deborah Brown

E-MAIL: debcdp@yahoo.com

COMPANY:

ADDRESS: 1823 LATEXO DR HOUSTON TX 77018-1743

PHONE: 7133204176

FAX:

COMMENTS: The Exxon/SABIC plastics plant needs 20 million gallons of freshwater per day! Where does the destruction end? One of the many risks cited in studies of the desal project has to do with the excess salinity in the bay and how it will put stress on seagrasses which are the core of the food chain. All life in the bay and all of the seafood we eat, depend on these seagrasses. It is a delicate balance and without them, there is no life. It will cause a domino effect, with

the loss of one species causing the demise of other species. Extinction breeds extinction and that is irreversible. Quoting Henry Paulson, our former Treasury Secretary... Biodiversity loss poses a fundamental risk to human well-being. It affects our food supply, our economic and physical health, and the beauty of the natural world. It's far cheaper to prevent environmental damage than to clean it up afterward.

From:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC

Sent:

Thursday, March 25, 2021 6:10 PM

To:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC2; PUBCOMMENT-OPIC; PUBCOMMENT-ELD; PUBCOMMENT-

WWW-WRAS

Subject:

FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

PM

From: CHIEFCLK <chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov> Sent: Thursday, March 25, 2021 3:59 PM

To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC < PUBCOMMENT-OCC@tceq.texas.gov>

Subject: FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

From: Melissa Brown < Melissa. Brown. 59489339@p2a.co >

Sent: Thursday, March 25, 2021 11:40 AM
To: CHIEFCLK < chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov>
Subject: I oppose WRPERM 13630

Dear Chief Clerk,

I urge you to deny WRPERM 13630. I am opposed to any intake pipeline for a desalination plant in La Quinta Channel. So many members of our community love to fish, boat, and swim along the Portland Shoreline where the intake pipe would be located and in Ingleside Cove where the discharge would flow to. I am concerned that given the number of small larvae which will be sucked up, turned to sludge, and deposited into landfills, fishing will be badly impaired in the region.

I am also asking for a two week extension for comments due to the recent loss of electricity in Texas and that you hold a public meeting for the community to share their concerns.

Regards,
Melissa Brown
537 Cambridge Dr
Desoto, TX 75115

From:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC

Sent:

Wednesday, March 31, 2021 9:18 AM

To:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC2; PUBCOMMENT-OPIC; PUBCOMMENT-ELD; PUBCOMMENT-

WWW-WRAS

Subject:

FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

PM

From: CHIEFCLK <chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov> Sent: Tuesday, March 30, 2021 8:17 AM

To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC < PUBCOMMENT-OCC@tceq.texas.gov>

Subject: FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

From: Patricia Brown < Patricia. Brown. 413164065@p2a.co >

Sent: Monday, March 29, 2021 7:13 PM
To: CHIEFCLK < chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov >
Subject: I oppose WRPERM 13630

Dear Chief Clerk,

I strongly oppose WRPERM 13630 and the Port of Corpus Christi's placing an intake pipe for a desalination plant in La Quinta Channel. According to the permit, the Port of Corpus Christi would be allowed to suck 62,890 gallons of water from La Quinta Channel every minute. Sucking in that amount of water that fast will require an enormous amount of suction power and I am concerned about aquatic life being trapped or killed in the process.

I also request a two-week extension of the deadline for comments and a public meeting.

Regards,
Patricia Brown
3025 Jamaica Dr
Corpus Christi, TX 78418

From:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC

Sent:

Friday, March 26, 2021 2:41 PM

To:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC2; PUBCOMMENT-OPIC; PUBCOMMENT-ELD; PUBCOMMENT-

WWW-WRAS

Subject:

FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

PM

From: CHIEFCLK <chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov> Sent: Friday, March 26, 2021 9:53 AM

To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC < PUBCOMMENT-OCC@tceq.texas.gov>

Subject: FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

From: Karen Cagle < Karen. Cagle. 334546140@p2a.co >

Sent: Thursday, March 25, 2021 2:01 PM
To: CHIEFCLK < chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov >
Subject: I oppose WRPERM 13630

Dear Chief Clerk,

I strongly oppose WRPERM 13630 and the Port of Corpus Christi's placing an intake pipe for a desalination plant in La Quinta Channel. According to the permit, the Port of Corpus Christi would be allowed to suck 62,890 gallons of water from La Quinta Channel every minute. Sucking in that amount of water that fast will require an enormous amount of suction power and I am concerned about aquatic life being trapped or killed in the process.

I also request a two-week extension of the deadline for comments and a public meeting.

Regards, Karen Cagle 6322 Grandvilliers Dr Corpus Christi, TX 78414

Melissa Schmidt

From:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC

Sent:

Wednesday, July 14, 2021 8:47 AM

To:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC2; PUBCOMMENT-OPIC; PUBCOMMENT-ELD; PUBCOMMENT-

WWW-WRAS

Subject:

FW: Public comment on Permit Number WRPERM 13630

From: campossylvia87@gmail.com <campossylvia87@gmail.com>

Sent: Tuesday, July 13, 2021 8:18 PM

To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC < PUBCOMMENT-OCC@tceq.texas.gov> Subject: Public comment on Permit Number WRPERM 13630

REGULATED ENTY NAME WRPERM 13630

RN NUMBER: RN110844933

PERMIT NUMBER: WRPERM 13630

DOCKET NUMBER: 2021-0421-WR

COUNTY: SAN PATRICIO

PRINCIPAL NAME: PORT OF CORPUS CHRISTI AUTHORITY OF NUECES COUNTY

CN NUMBER: CN600885248

FROM

NAME: MS Sylvia Campos

E-MAIL: campossylvia87@gmail.com

COMPANY:

ADDRESS: 4410 FIR ST

CORPUS CHRISTI TX 78411-3635

PHONE: 3616877259

FAX:

COMMENTS: My name is Sylvia Campos, I have lived in Corpus Christi all my and I must admit I don't get to many opportunities to get on a boat. A few weeks ago, I had an opportunity to take a boat ride and see for myself the increased industries that have already moved into our bay. The bay is already congested and exploiting our resources. I don't have a degree and I have not worked in this type of business, but any fool can see additional industrial expansion

will destroy this delicate balance of our fish, air and vegetation. The Bay cannot be replaced, what we do here will affect other generations. This should be common sense but it's not because it involves money. These companies have already destroyed other areas around Texas, they have nowhere else to go but here. You can stop them now. I am asking that you not grant this permit. The people of the Coastal Bend deserve clean air, clean water and good air to breathe.

From:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC

Sent:

Monday, March 29, 2021 1:21 PM

To:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC2; PUBCOMMENT-OPIC; PUBCOMMENT-ELD; PUBCOMMENT-

WWW-WRAS

Subject:

FW: Public comment on Permit Number WRPERM 13630

PM

From: sandpiperx2003@yahoo.com <sandpiperx2003@yahoo.com>

Sent: Saturday, March 27, 2021 10:59 AM

To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC <PUBCOMMENT-OCC@tceq.texas.gov>
Subject: Public comment on Permit Number WRPERM 13630

REGULATED ENTY NAME WRPERM 13630

RN NUMBER: RN110844933

PERMIT NUMBER: WRPERM 13630

DOCKET NUMBER:

COUNTY: SAN PATRICIO

PRINCIPAL NAME: PORT OF CORPUS CHRISTI AUTHORITY OF NUECES COUNTY

CN NUMBER: CN600885248

FROM

NAME: Chris Carleton

E-MAIL: sandpiperx2003@yahoo.com

COMPANY: iobcwa

ADDRESS: 1100 N SANDPIPER DR

INGLESIDE TX 78362

PHONE: 3612908457

FAX:

COMMENTS: I am an IOBCWA member and live within 2 miles of the proposed POCCA Desal Intake. I request a public meeting to be held for the community to express its concerns. I request a two-week extension of the comment period because of the recent freeze and loss of electricity in Texas. I oppose the desal intake and outflow because of the following adverse impacts: 1 - heavy water intake of millions of gallons threatens marine and aquatic life 2 - outflow in a

shallow bay system is a death knell for fish, seagrass and other aquatic life. 3 - salty brine discharge will adversely affect fishing, both commercial and recreation 4 - possible health effects of chemicals used in the desal process 5 - economic impact of reduced fishing and recreation due to adverse impacts on aquatic life 6 - water produced by desal plant will be for industrial use and will not be potable. The La Quinta Channel of Corpus Christi Bay is a mostly closed bay system and cannot accept, distribute and regenerate from such hyper-salinity concentration of its bay water. This project, both intake and outflow, needs to go off-shore regardless of costs.

From:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC

Sent:

Friday, March 26, 2021 2:39 PM

To:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC2; PUBCOMMENT-OPIC; PUBCOMMENT-ELD; PUBCOMMENT-

WWW-WRAS

Subject:

FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

PM

From: CHIEFCLK <chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov> Sent: Friday, March 26, 2021 9:47 AM

To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC < PUBCOMMENT-OCC@tceg.texas.gov>

Subject: FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

From: Cheryl Carney < Cheryl.Carney.15887966@p2a.co>

Sent: Thursday, March 25, 2021 3:41 PM
To: CHIEFCLK < chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov >
Subject: I oppose WRPERM 13630

Dear Chief Clerk,

I urge you to deny WRPERM 13630. I am opposed to any intake pipeline for a desalination plant in La Quinta Channel. So many members of our community love to fish, boat, and swim along the Portland Shoreline where the intake pipe would be located and in Ingleside Cove where the discharge would flow to. I am concerned that given the number of small larvae which will be sucked up, turned to sludge, and deposited into landfills, fishing will be badly impaired in the region.

I am also asking for a two week extension for comments due to the recent loss of electricity in Texas and that you hold a public meeting for the community to share their concerns.

Regards, Cheryl Carney 1124 W Gramercy Pl San Antonio, TX 78201

Melissa Schmidt

From:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC

Sent:

Monday, July 12, 2021 11:25 AM

To:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC2; PUBCOMMENT-OPIC; PUBCOMMENT-ELD; PUBCOMMENT-

WWW-WRAS

Subject:

FW: Public comment on Permit Number WRPERM 13630

From: elida.i.castillo@gmail.com <elida.i.castillo@gmail.com>

Sent: Friday, July 9, 2021 5:42 PM

To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC <PUBCOMMENT-OCC@tceq.texas.gov> **Subject:** Public comment on Permit Number WRPERM 13630

REGULATED ENTY NAME WRPERM 13630

RN NUMBER: RN110844933

PERMIT NUMBER: WRPERM 13630

DOCKET NUMBER: 2021-0421-WR

COUNTY: SAN PATRICIO

PRINCIPAL NAME: PORT OF CORPUS CHRISTI AUTHORITY OF NUECES COUNTY

CN NUMBER: CN600885248

FROM

NAME: Elida Castillo

E-MAIL: elida.i.castillo@gmail.com

COMPANY:

ADDRESS: PO BOX 643 TAFT TX 78390-0643

PHONE: 2108578925

FAX:

COMMENTS: Hello. My name is Elida Castillo and I'm a resident of Taft, TX in San Patricio County. I am strongly opposed to the water rights permit for the Port of Corpus Christi's proposed La Quinta Channel desalination plant - WRPerm13630. Why? You may be asking yourselves. This will bring much-needed jobs to the area, is what we're told. But what the Port and its supporters aren't saying is how much this will take from us, and the jobs numbers are grossly

exaggerated. This plant isn't for residential use, but we'll be paying for it. We'll be paying through our taxes and more than likely through an increase in our utility bills. We'll be paying for it through the loss of our lands. We'll be paying for it through the devaluation of our properties, because who wants to live near an industrial plant? We'll be paying for it through our health, because building this will attract more polluting industries to our area. But most unfortunate, is the damage this will cause our families and future generations. Gone will be the opportunities to create lifetime memories with our friends and loved ones at the beach. Or those teachable moments to remind my nephew about patience because he hasn't caught a fish and it's already been 10 minutes. Of course, how can I expect a nine year old to remember the catastrophic loss of fish and wildlife caused by Winter Storm Uri, when so many adults have already forgotten? Not to mention, how about that power grid? Side note: Doesn't desalination require massive amounts of power? Yeah, it does. If approved, we can say goodbye to all the mom & pop shops, the people, and what we love most about living here - the bay - if this water rights permit goes through. I have 33,000,000,000 billion reasons why this is a bad idea. That's what 90.5 million gallons of saltwater a day amounts to in a year. Once again, water that is slated for industrial use. This is worrisome, especially at the rate industry is using our potable water. What's going to be left when that devastating time comes where we've poisoned our lands and drinking water? Because at the rate we're going, it's inevitable. This is why I am asking you all to please consider everything that I and others have shared with you. As the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality, you have the duty to regulate our environment, and ensure our way of life, our bays, our lives, and our health are protected. Please, deny this permit and live up to that mission. Thank you.

Melissa Schmidt

From: PUBCOMMENT-OCC

Sent: Wednesday, July 14, 2021 8:46 AM

To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC2; PUBCOMMENT-OPIC; PUBCOMMENT-ELD; PUBCOMMENT-

WWW-WRAS

Subject: FW: Public comment on Permit Number WRPERM 13630

From: dragonflytx2001@gmail.com <dragonflytx2001@gmail.com>

Sent: Tuesday, July 13, 2021 8:20 PM

To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC <PUBCOMMENT-OCC@tceq.texas.gov> **Subject:** Public comment on Permit Number WRPERM 13630

REGULATED ENTY NAME WRPERM 13630

RN NUMBER: RN110844933

PERMIT NUMBER: WRPERM 13630

DOCKET NUMBER: 2021-0421-WR

COUNTY: SAN PATRICIO

PRINCIPAL NAME: PORT OF CORPUS CHRISTI AUTHORITY OF NUECES COUNTY

CN NUMBER: CN600885248

FROM

NAME: Elisa Castillo

E-MAIL: dragonflytx2001@gmail.com

COMPANY:

ADDRESS: PO BOX 643 TAFT TX 78390-0643

PHONE: 2104786932

FAX:

COMMENTS: I am strongly opposed to the water intake permit.

Melissa Schmidt

From:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC

Sent:

Wednesday, July 14, 2021 8:39 AM

To:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC2; PUBCOMMENT-OPIC; PUBCOMMENT-ELD; PUBCOMMENT-

WWW-WRAS

Subject:

FW: Public comment on Permit Number WRPERM 13630

From: e.castillo13@yahoo.com <e.castillo13@yahoo.com>

Sent: Tuesday, July 13, 2021 8:40 PM

To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC < PUBCOMMENT-OCC@tceq.texas.gov> Subject: Public comment on Permit Number WRPERM 13630

REGULATED ENTY NAME WRPERM 13630

RN NUMBER: RN110844933

PERMIT NUMBER: WRPERM 13630

DOCKET NUMBER: 2021-0421-WR

COUNTY: SAN PATRICIO

PRINCIPAL NAME: PORT OF CORPUS CHRISTI AUTHORITY OF NUECES COUNTY

CN NUMBER: CN600885248

FROM

NAME: MS Elsa Castillo

E-MAIL: e.castillo13@yahoo.com

COMPANY:

ADDRESS: PO BOX 643 TAFT TX 78390-0643

PHONE: 2104163767

FAX:

COMMENTS: I am a long term resident of Taft. I have young children and take my children fishing. I strongly oppose the intake permit. I am concerned on the impact of our ecosystem and on how the plant will affect our waters in the long run; as we are already seeing more and more low water levels.

From:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC

Sent:

Friday, March 26, 2021 2:28 PM

To:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC2; PUBCOMMENT-OPIC; PUBCOMMENT-ELD; PUBCOMMENT-

WWW-WRAS

Subject:

FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

PM

From: CHIEFCLK <chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov> Sent: Friday, March 26, 2021 9:37 AM

To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC < PUBCOMMENT-OCC@tceq.texas.gov>

Subject: FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

From: Mary Cato < Mary.Cato.18422346@p2a.co >

Sent: Thursday, March 25, 2021 11:24 PM
To: CHIEFCLK < chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov >
Subject: I oppose WRPERM 13630

Dear Chief Clerk,

I oppose WRPERM 13630. Many of us suffered through the historic winter storm in February 2021 and were without power for several days in freezing temperatures due to the amount of demand placed on the electrical grid in Texas. The operating pumps required to suck 62,890 gallons of water per minute will take an enormous amount of power, placing even more strain on the grid. I am opposed to issuing a permit which would demand excessive amounts of energy to supply water only for industrial use.

I also ask for a two-week extension for comments, as well as a public meeting.

Regards, Mary Cato 1807 Pecan Park Dr Arlington, TX 76012

From: PUBCOMMENT-OCC

Sent: Friday, March 26, 2021 2:20 PM

To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC2; PUBCOMMENT-OPIC; PUBCOMMENT-ELD; PUBCOMMENT-

WWW-WRAS

Subject: FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

PM

----Original Message----

From: CHIEFCLK <chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov> Sent: Friday, March 26, 2021 9:33 AM

To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC < PUBCOMMENT-OCC@tceq.texas.gov>

Subject: FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

----Original Message----

From: Cecelia Cialdella < Cecelia. Cialdella . 125732109@p2a.co>

Sent: Thursday, March 25, 2021 2:51 PM To: CHIEFCLK <chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov> Subject: I oppose WRPERM 13630

Dear Chief Clerk,

I urge you to deny WRPERM 13630. I am opposed to any intake pipeline for a desalination plant in La Quinta Channel. So many members of our community love to fish, boat, and swim along the Portland Shoreline where the intake pipe would be located and in Ingleside Cove where the discharge would flow to. I am concerned that given the number of small larvae which will be sucked up, turned to sludge, and deposited into landfills, fishing will be badly impaired in the region.

I am also asking for a two week extension for comments due to the recent loss of electricity in Texas and that you hold a public meeting for the community to share their concerns.

Regards, Cecelia Cialdella 7912 Aria Loop Bldg 2

Austin, TX 78736 http://admin.phone2action.com/email/open/leg/583850/108549962

From:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC

Sent:

Thursday, March 25, 2021 6:05 PM

To:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC2; PUBCOMMENT-OPIC; PUBCOMMENT-ELD; PUBCOMMENT-

WWW-WRAS

Subject:

FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

PM

From: CHIEFCLK <chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov> Sent: Thursday, March 25, 2021 3:54 PM

To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC < PUBCOMMENT-OCC@tceq.texas.gov>

Subject: FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

From: Nicole Cloutier < Nicole.Cloutier.61846177@p2a.co >

Sent: Thursday, March 25, 2021 12:35 PM
To: CHIEFCLK < chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov
Subject: I oppose WRPERM 13630

Dear Chief Clerk,

I strongly oppose WRPERM 13630 and the Port of Corpus Christi's placing an intake pipe for a desalination plant in La Quinta Channel. According to the permit, the Port of Corpus Christi would be allowed to suck 62,890 gallons of water from La Quinta Channel every minute. Sucking in that amount of water that fast will require an enormous amount of suction power and I am concerned about aquatic life being trapped or killed in the process.

I also request a two-week extension of the deadline for comments and a public meeting.

Regards, Nicole Cloutier 4331 Staghorn Ln Friendswood, TX 77546

Melissa Schmidt

From:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC

Sent:

Wednesday, July 14, 2021 8:38 AM

To:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC2; PUBCOMMENT-OPIC; PUBCOMMENT-ELD; PUBCOMMENT-

WWW-WRAS

Subject:

FW: Public comment on Permit Number WRPERM 13630

From: pattcoeck@aol.com <pattcoeck@aol.com>

Sent: Tuesday, July 13, 2021 8:53 PM

To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC <PUBCOMMENT-OCC@tceq.texas.gov> **Subject:** Public comment on Permit Number WRPERM 13630

REGULATED ENTY NAME WRPERM 13630

RN NUMBER: RN110844933

PERMIT NUMBER: WRPERM 13630

DOCKET NUMBER: 2021-0421-WR

COUNTY: SAN PATRICIO

PRINCIPAL NAME: PORT OF CORPUS CHRISTI AUTHORITY OF NUECES COUNTY

CN NUMBER: CN600885248

FROM

NAME: Patt Coeckelenbergh

E-MAIL: pattcoeck@aol.com

COMPANY:

ADDRESS: 410 MERCER ST PORT ARANSAS TX 78373-5160

PHONE: 3612443866

FAX:

COMMENTS: My name is Patt Coeckelenbergh and I am speaking for myself and my family. We strongly oppose the Ports application for the Water Rights Permit to draw water from the La Quinta Channel for its desalination facility, WR 13630. We believe all permits presented should be looked at as a whole not in parts. To draw 90.5 million gals of water per day at the rate of 62,890 gals per min. 90 million gals to provide 30 million gals fresh water for industry, not

residential use. in addition, the amount of electrical power to operate this facility will put enormous pressure on a already broken grid system. It has been said that this water is for industry that is not yet located in the Coastal Bend.tonight it was mentioned that the Port will be a wholesale water provider. is that a job description of a navigation agency? the risk of the intake to aquatic life,(in spite of repeated comments on safety of the 1/4" mess), shore birds and recreators like myself and family who enjoy swimming, fishing and boating in our bay. In the time of climate disaster we need to look to solutions not to continue to promote dirty industry for the Coastal Bend. We urge you reject this permit Thank you.

From:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC

Sent:

Tuesday, March 30, 2021 8:38 AM

To:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC2; PUBCOMMENT-OPIC; PUBCOMMENT-ELD; PUBCOMMENT-

WWW-WRAS

Subject:

FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

PM

From: CHIEFCLK <chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov> Sent: Monday, March 29, 2021 9:13 AM

To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC < PUBCOMMENT-OCC@tceq.texas.gov>

Subject: FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

From: Wayne Coltrane < Wayne.Coltrane.180335064@p2a.co >

Sent: Friday, March 26, 2021 8:54 PM
To: CHIEFCLK < chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov >
Subject: I oppose WRPERM 13630

Dear Chief Clerk,

I oppose WRPERM 13630. Many of us suffered through the historic winter storm in February 2021 and were without power for several days in freezing temperatures due to the amount of demand placed on the electrical grid in Texas. The operating pumps required to suck 62,890 gallons of water per minute will take an enormous amount of power, placing even more strain on the grid. I am opposed to issuing a permit which would demand excessive amounts of energy to supply water only for industrial use.

I also ask for a two-week extension for comments, as well as a public meeting.

Regards, Wayne Coltrane 6904 Wesson Dr Plano, TX 75023 **a**

From:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC

Sent:

Thursday, March 25, 2021 6:23 PM

To:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC2; PUBCOMMENT-OPIC; PUBCOMMENT-ELD; PUBCOMMENT-

WWW-WRAS

Subject:

FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

PM

From: CHIEFCLK <chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov> Sent: Thursday, March 25, 2021 4:03 PM

To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC < PUBCOMMENT-OCC@tceq.texas.gov>

Subject: FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

From: Donald Cook < Donald.Cook.180700662@p2a.co >

Sent: Thursday, March 25, 2021 10:55 AM
To: CHIEFCLK < chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov>
Subject: I oppose WRPERM 13630

Dear Chief Clerk,

I oppose WRPERM 13630. Many of us suffered through the historic winter storm in February 2021 and were without power for several days in freezing temperatures due to the amount of demand placed on the electrical grid in Texas. The operating pumps required to suck 62,890 gallons of water per minute will take an enormous amount of power, placing even more strain on the grid. I am opposed to issuing a permit which would demand excessive amounts of energy to supply water only for industrial use.

I also ask for a two-week extension for comments, as well as a public meeting.

Regards, Donald Cook 7954 Glenheath St Houston, TX 77061.

From:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC

Sent:

Thursday, April 1, 2021 9:09 AM

To:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC2; PUBCOMMENT-OPIC; PUBCOMMENT-ELD; PUBCOMMENT-

WWW-WRAS

Subject:

FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

PM

From: CHIEFCLK <chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov> Sent: Wednesday, March 31, 2021 7:08 PM

To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC < PUBCOMMENT-OCC@tceq.texas.gov>

Subject: FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

From: Andrea Cornett < Andrea. Cornett. 337264799@p2a.co >

Sent: Wednesday, March 31, 2021 11:18 AM
To: CHIEFCLK < chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov
Subject: I oppose WRPERM 13630

Dear Chief Clerk,

I oppose WRPERM 13630. Many of us suffered through the historic winter storm in February 2021 and were without power for several days in freezing temperatures due to the amount of demand placed on the electrical grid in Texas. The operating pumps required to suck 62,890 gallons of water per minute will take an enormous amount of power, placing even more strain on the grid. I am opposed to issuing a permit which would demand excessive amounts of energy to supply water only for industrial use.

I also ask for a two-week extension for comments, as well as a public meeting.

Regards, Andrea Cornett 1825 Airline Rd Corpus Christi, TX 78412

From:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC

Sent:

Thursday, March 25, 2021 6:07 PM

To:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC2; PUBCOMMENT-OPIC; PUBCOMMENT-ELD; PUBCOMMENT-

WWW-WRAS

Subject:

FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

PM

From: CHIEFCLK <chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov> Sent: Thursday, March 25, 2021 3:56 PM

To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC < PUBCOMMENT-OCC@tceq.texas.gov>

Subject: FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

From: KIMBERLY CORNETT < KIMBERLY.CORNETT.337328257@p2a.co>

Sent: Thursday, March 25, 2021 12:02 PM
To: CHIEFCLK < chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov>
Subject: I oppose WRPERM 13630

Dear Chief Clerk,

I strongly oppose WRPERM 13630 and the Port of Corpus Christi's placing an intake pipe for a desalination plant in La Quinta Channel. According to the permit, the Port of Corpus Christi would be allowed to suck 62,890 gallons of water from La Quinta Channel every minute. Sucking in that amount of water that fast will require an enormous amount of suction power and I am concerned about aquatic life being trapped or killed in the process.

I also request a two-week extension of the deadline for comments and a public meeting.

Regards, KIMBERLY CORNETT 1825 Airline Rd Corpus Christi, TX 78412

From:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC

Sent:

Friday, March 26, 2021 2:50 PM

To:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC2; PUBCOMMENT-OPIC; PUBCOMMENT-ELD; PUBCOMMENT-

WWW-WRAS

Subject:

FW: Public comment on Permit Number WRPERM 13630

From: jardel.cancado@gmail.com < jardel.cancado@gmail.com >

Sent: Friday, March 26, 2021 12:40 PM

To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC <PUBCOMMENT-OCC@tceq.texas.gov> **Subject:** Public comment on Permit Number WRPERM 13630

REGULATED ENTY NAME WRPERM 13630

RN NUMBER: RN110844933

PERMIT NUMBER: WRPERM 13630

DOCKET NUMBER:

COUNTY: SAN PATRICIO

PRINCIPAL NAME: PORT OF CORPUS CHRISTI AUTHORITY OF NUECES COUNTY

CN NUMBER: CN600885248

FROM

NAME: Jardel Costa

E-MAIL: jardel.cancado@gmail.com

COMPANY:

ADDRESS: 123 S FIGUEROA ST LOS ANGELES CA 90012-2469

PHONE: 2312312123

FAX:

COMMENTS: Hi this is way cool

From:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC

Sent:

Friday, March 26, 2021 2:48 PM

To:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC2; PUBCOMMENT-OPIC; PUBCOMMENT-ELD; PUBCOMMENT-

WWW-WRAS

Subject:

FW: Public comment on Permit Number WRPERM 13630

From: jardel.cancado@gmail.com < jardel.cancado@gmail.com >

Sent: Friday, March 26, 2021 12:15 PM

To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC <PUBCOMMENT-OCC@tceq.texas.gov>
Subject: Public comment on Permit Number WRPERM 13630

REGULATED ENTY NAME WRPERM 13630

RN NUMBER: RN110844933

PERMIT NUMBER: WRPERM 13630

DOCKET NUMBER:

COUNTY: SAN PATRICIO

PRINCIPAL NAME: PORT OF CORPUS CHRISTI AUTHORITY OF NUECES COUNTY

CN NUMBER: CN600885248

FROM

NAME: Jardel Costa

E-MAIL: jardel.cancado@gmail.com

COMPANY:

ADDRESS: 123 S FIGUEROA ST LOS ANGELES CA 90012-2469

PHONE: 2312312123

FAX:

COMMENTS: Hi this is way cool

From:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC

Sent:

Friday, March 26, 2021 2:42 PM

To:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC2; PUBCOMMENT-OPIC; PUBCOMMENT-ELD; PUBCOMMENT-

WWW-WRAS

Subject:

FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

PM

From: CHIEFCLK <chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov> Sent: Friday, March 26, 2021 9:56 AM

To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC < PUBCOMMENT-OCC@tceq.texas.gov>

Subject: FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

From: Hannah Cowart < Hannah.Cowart.130052577@p2a.co >

Sent: Thursday, March 25, 2021 1:25 PM
To: CHIEFCLK < chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov >
Subject: I oppose WRPERM 13630

Dear Chief Clerk,

I strongly oppose WRPERM 13630 and the Port of Corpus Christi's placing an intake pipe for a desalination plant in La Quinta Channel. According to the permit, the Port of Corpus Christi would be allowed to suck 62,890 gallons of water from La Quinta Channel every minute. Sucking in that amount of water that fast will require an enormous amount of suction power and I am concerned about aquatic life being trapped or killed in the process.

I also request a two-week extension of the deadline for comments and a public meeting.

Regards, Hannah Cowart 715 W Slaughter Ln Austin, TX 78748

From:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC

Sent:

Thursday, March 25, 2021 6:15 PM

To:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC2; PUBCOMMENT-OPIC; PUBCOMMENT-ELD; PUBCOMMENT-

WWW-WRAS

Subject:

FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

PM

From: CHIEFCLK <chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov> Sent: Thursday, March 25, 2021 4:00 PM

To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC < PUBCOMMENT-OCC@tceq.texas.gov>

Subject: FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

From: Peter Craig < Peter.Craig.47099687@p2a.co>

Sent: Thursday, March 25, 2021 11:16 AM
To: CHIEFCLK < chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov
Subject: I oppose WRPERM 13630

Dear Chief Clerk,

I urge you to deny WRPERM 13630. I am opposed to any intake pipeline for a desalination plant in La Quinta Channel. So many members of our community love to fish, boat, and swim along the Portland Shoreline where the intake pipe would be located and in Ingleside Cove where the discharge would flow to. I am concerned that given the number of small larvae which will be sucked up, turned to sludge, and deposited into landfills, fishing will be badly impaired in the region.

I am also asking for a two week extension for comments due to the recent loss of electricity in Texas and that you hold a public meeting for the community to share their concerns.

Regards, Peter Craig 1781 Spyglass Dr Austin, TX 78746

From:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC

Sent:

Thursday, March 25, 2021 6:17 PM

To:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC2; PUBCOMMENT-OPIC; PUBCOMMENT-ELD; PUBCOMMENT-

WWW-WRAS

Subject:

FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

PM

From: CHIEFCLK <chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov> Sent: Thursday, March 25, 2021 4:01 PM

To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC < PUBCOMMENT-OCC@tceq.texas.gov>

Subject: FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

From: Mark Crider < Mark.Crider.433436304@p2a.co >

Sent: Thursday, March 25, 2021 11:05 AM
To: CHIEFCLK < chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov>
Subject: I oppose WRPERM 13630

Dear Chief Clerk,

I oppose WRPERM 13630. Many of us suffered through the historic winter storm in February 2021 and were without power for several days in freezing temperatures due to the amount of demand placed on the electrical grid in Texas. The operating pumps required to suck 62,890 gallons of water per minute will take an enormous amount of power, placing even more strain on the grid. I am opposed to issuing a permit which would demand excessive amounts of energy to supply water only for industrial use.

I also ask for a two-week extension for comments, as well as a public meeting.

Regards, Mark Crider 4402 Hart Rd Corpus Christi, TX 78410 a

From:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC

Sent:

Thursday, March 25, 2021 8:52 AM

To:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC2; PUBCOMMENT-OPIC; PUBCOMMENT-ELD; PUBCOMMENT-

WWW-WRAS

Subject:

FW: Public comment on Permit Number WRPERM 13630

From: daviddelmoral@aol.com <daviddelmoral@aol.com>

Sent: Wednesday, March 24, 2021 9:07 PM

To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC <PUBCOMMENT-OCC@tceq.texas.gov> Subject: Public comment on Permit Number WRPERM 13630

REGULATED ENTY NAME WRPERM 13630

RN NUMBER: RN110844933

PERMIT NUMBER: WRPERM 13630

DOCKET NUMBER:

COUNTY: SAN PATRICIO

PRINCIPAL NAME: PORT OF CORPUS CHRISTI AUTHORITY OF NUECES COUNTY

CN NUMBER: CN600885248

FROM

NAME: MR David Del Moral, SR

E-MAIL: daviddelmoral@aol.com

COMPANY:

ADDRESS: 313 SEA GATE DR PORTLAND TX 78374-4125

PHONE: 3615482799

FAX:

COMMENTS: I oppose the request by the Port to build this desalination plant by private industry or any other entity. The Port has been overtaken by greed and is now looking to continue it's poor planning of long term growth for this area. They don't care about the citizens of Portland. It's all about big industry and money. The potential damage to the ecosystem will not be easily corrected. Please deny this permit for the families of this community. Thank you, David Del Moral

From:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC

Sent:

Thursday, March 25, 2021 6:13 PM

To:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC2; PUBCOMMENT-OPIC; PUBCOMMENT-ELD; PUBCOMMENT-

WWW-WRAS

Subject:

FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

PM

From: CHIEFCLK <chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov> Sent: Thursday, March 25, 2021 3:59 PM

To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC < PUBCOMMENT-OCC@tceq.texas.gov>

Subject: FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

From: Michael DelGiudice < Michael. DelGiudice. 92806401@p2a.co >

Sent: Thursday, March 25, 2021 11:28 AM
To: CHIEFCLK < chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov>
Subject: I oppose WRPERM 13630

Dear Chief Clerk,

I oppose WRPERM 13630. Many of us suffered through the historic winter storm in February 2021 and were without power for several days in freezing temperatures due to the amount of demand placed on the electrical grid in Texas. The operating pumps required to suck 62,890 gallons of water per minute will take an enormous amount of power, placing even more strain on the grid. I am opposed to issuing a permit which would demand excessive amounts of energy to supply water only for industrial use.

BUT MOST IMPORTANTLY I'M OPPOSED TO WHAT DESALINIZATION DOES TO THE SEA AND ITS INHABITANTS. I also ask for a two-week extension for comments, as well as a public meeting.

Regards, Michael DelGiudice 2105 Pindos Pony Way Georgetown, TX 78626

From:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC

Sent:

Tuesday, March 30, 2021 8:44 AM

To:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC2; PUBCOMMENT-OPIC; PUBCOMMENT-ELD; PUBCOMMENT-

WWW-WRAS

Subject:

FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

PM

From: CHIEFCLK <chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov> Sent: Monday, March 29, 2021 10:49 AM

To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC < PUBCOMMENT-OCC@tceq.texas.gov>

Subject: FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

From: Ellen Denham < Ellen. Denham. 339966293@p2a.co >

Sent: Monday, March 29, 2021 10:28 AM To: CHIEFCLK < chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov Subject: I oppose WRPERM 13630

Dear Chief Clerk,

I oppose WRPERM 13630. Many of us suffered through the historic winter storm in February 2021 and were without power for several days in freezing temperatures due to the amount of demand placed on the electrical grid in Texas. The operating pumps required to suck 62,890 gallons of water per minute will take an enormous amount of power, placing even more strain on the grid. I am opposed to issuing a permit which would demand excessive amounts of energy to supply water only for industrial use.

I also ask for a two-week extension for comments, as well as a public meeting.

Regards, Ellen Denham 402 Sharon Dr Corpus Christi, TX 78412 ...

From:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC

Sent:

Tuesday, March 30, 2021 8:41 AM

To:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC2; PUBCOMMENT-OPIC; PUBCOMMENT-ELD; PUBCOMMENT-

WWW-WRAS

Subject:

FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

PM

From: CHIEFCLK <chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov> Sent: Monday, March 29, 2021 10:48 AM

To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC < PUBCOMMENT-OCC@tceq.texas.gov>

Subject: FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

From: John Dial < John.Dial.339817081@p2a.co >

Sent: Monday, March 29, 2021 10:40 AM
To: CHIEFCLK < chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov >
Subject: I oppose WRPERM 13630

Dear Chief Clerk,

I ask that you deny WRPERM 13630, and I oppose the Port of Corpus Christi's placing an intake pipe for a desalination plant in La Quinta Channel. This water will largely be for industrial use, not for me, my family, or my neighbors. I don't think bringing polluting corporations to our community is worth the cost these desalination plants will have on our Bay, on our wildlife, and on our local fishing and tourism economies.

I request a two-week extension of the deadline for comments on account of the recent freeze and loss of electricity in Texas. I also request that a public meeting be held for the community to express its concerns.

Regards,
John Dial
639 Lantana Dr
Port Aransas, TX 78373

From:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC

Sent:

Friday, March 26, 2021 2:26 PM

To:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC2; PUBCOMMENT-OPIC; PUBCOMMENT-ELD; PUBCOMMENT-

WWW-WRAS

Subject:

FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

PM

From: CHIEFCLK <chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov> Sent: Friday, March 26, 2021 9:36 AM

To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC < PUBCOMMENT-OCC@tceq.texas.gov>

Subject: FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

From: Katie Donovan < Katie. Donovan. 88500658@p2a.co >

Sent: Friday, March 26, 2021 7:52 AM
To: CHIEFCLK < chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov >
Subject: I oppose WRPERM 13630

Dear Chief Clerk,

I oppose WRPERM 13630. Many of us suffered through the historic winter storm in February 2021 and were without power for several days in freezing temperatures due to the amount of demand placed on the electrical grid in Texas. The operating pumps required to suck 62,890 gallons of water per minute will take an enormous amount of power, placing even more strain on the grid. I am opposed to issuing a permit which would demand excessive amounts of energy to supply water only for industrial use.

I also ask for a two-week extension for comments, as well as a public meeting.

Regards, Katie Donovan 3210 Candlepine Dr Spring, TX 77388

From:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC

Sent:

Friday, March 26, 2021 2:40 PM

To:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC2; PUBCOMMENT-OPIC; PUBCOMMENT-ELD; PUBCOMMENT-

WWW-WRAS

Subject:

FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

PM

From: CHIEFCLK <chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov> Sent: Friday, March 26, 2021 9:52 AM

To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC < PUBCOMMENT-OCC@tceq.texas.gov>

Subject: FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

From: Christine Dougherty < Christine. Dougherty. 433481313@p2a.co >

Sent: Thursday, March 25, 2021 2:13 PM
To: CHIEFCLK < chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov >
Subject: I oppose WRPERM 13630

Dear Chief Clerk,

I ask that you deny WRPERM 13630, and I oppose the Port of Corpus Christi's placing an intake pipe for a desalination plant in La Quinta Channel. This water will largely be for industrial use, not for me, my family, or my neighbors. I don't think bringing polluting corporations to our community is worth the cost these desalination plants will have on our Bay, on our wildlife, and on our local fishing and tourism economies.

I request a two-week extension of the deadline for comments on account of the recent freeze and loss of electricity in Texas. I also request that a public meeting be held for the community to express its concerns.

Regards, Christine Dougherty 1134 Barkston Dr Katy, TX 77450

From:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC

Sent:

Thursday, March 25, 2021 6:14 PM

To:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC2; PUBCOMMENT-OPIC; PUBCOMMENT-ELD; PUBCOMMENT-

WWW-WRAS

Subject:

FW: OPPOSITION TO: WRPERM 13630

PM

From: CHIEFCLK <chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov> Sent: Thursday, March 25, 2021 4:00 PM

To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC < PUBCOMMENT-OCC@tceq.texas.gov>

Subject: FW: OPPOSITION TO: WRPERM 13630

From: Nathan Drake < Nathan.Drake.359388040@p2a.co >

Sent: Thursday, March 25, 2021 11:17 AM
To: CHIEFCLK < chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov >
Subject: OPPOSITION TO: WRPERM 13630

Dear Chief Clerk,

I write you to OPPOSE WRPERM 13630 and the Port of Corpus Christi's placement of an intake pipe for a desalination plant in La Quinta Channel. The permit would allow Port of Corpus Christi would to withdraw 62,890 gallons of water from La Quinta Channel *every minute*. Aquatic life will be trapped or killed, and there are huge potential consequence to the nearby coast and coastline as the concentrated saltwater is pumped back into the area. People with economic interest in this area will be affected negatively. And I am seriously concerned that people do not understand the long-term effects this will have on diminished wildlife and therefore diminished coastal economic property value.

I request a two-week extension of the deadline for comments, and also request a public meeting. The people affected by these changes and the people proposing these changes should have a public forum to discuss.

Regards,
Nathan Drake
1816 Bolsover St
Houston, TX 77005

From:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC

Sent:

Tuesday, March 30, 2021 8:39 AM

To:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC2; PUBCOMMENT-OPIC; PUBCOMMENT-ELD; PUBCOMMENT-

WWW-WRAS

Subject:

FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

PM

From: CHIEFCLK <chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov> Sent: Monday, March 29, 2021 9:13 AM

To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC < PUBCOMMENT-OCC@tceq.texas.gov>

Subject: FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

From: Tim Duda < Tim.Duda.8610979@p2a.co >

Sent: Sunday, March 28, 2021 5:03 AM
To: CHIEFCLK < chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov >
Subject: I oppose WRPERM 13630

Dear Chief Clerk,

I ask that you deny WRPERM 13630, and I oppose the Port of Corpus Christi's placing an intake pipe for a desalination plant in La Quinta Channel. This water will largely be for industrial use, not for me, my family, or my neighbors. I don't think bringing polluting corporations to our community is worth the cost these desalination plants will have on our Bay, on our wildlife, and on our local fishing and tourism economies.

I request a two-week extension of the deadline for comments on account of the recent freeze and loss of electricity in Texas. I also request that a public meeting be held for the community to express its concerns.

Regards, Tim Duda 340 Queen Anne Ct San Antonio, TX 78209

From:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC

Sent:

Thursday, March 25, 2021 6:05 PM

To:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC2; PUBCOMMENT-OPIC; PUBCOMMENT-ELD; PUBCOMMENT-

WWW-WRAS

Subject:

FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

PM

From: CHIEFCLK <chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov> Sent: Thursday, March 25, 2021 3:53 PM

To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC < PUBCOMMENT-OCC@tceq.texas.gov>

Subject: FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

From: Sylvia Duncan < Sylvia.Duncan.8657095@p2a.co >

Sent: Thursday, March 25, 2021 12:39 PM
To: CHIEFCLK < chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov
Subject: I oppose WRPERM 13630

Dear Chief Clerk,

I oppose WRPERM 13630. Many of us suffered through the historic winter storm in February 2021 and were without power for several days in freezing temperatures due to the amount of demand placed on the electrical grid in Texas. The operating pumps required to suck 62,890 gallons of water per minute will take an enormous amount of power, placing even more strain on the grid. I am opposed to issuing a permit which would demand excessive amounts of energy to supply water only for industrial use.

I also ask for a two-week extension for comments, as well as a public meeting.

Regards, Sylvia Duncan 1117 Orlando Dr Plano, TX 75075

From:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC

Sent:

Monday, April 5, 2021 11:54 AM

To:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC2; PUBCOMMENT-OPIC; PUBCOMMENT-ELD; PUBCOMMENT-

WWW-WRAS

Subject:

FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

PM

From: CHIEFCLK <chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov> Sent: Monday, April 5, 2021 11:48 AM

To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC < PUBCOMMENT-OCC@tceq.texas.gov>

Subject: FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

From: Margaret Duran < Margaret. Duran. 90690961@p2a.co>

Sent: Monday, April 5, 2021 11:14 AM
To: CHIEFCLK < chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov >
Subject: I oppose WRPERM 13630

Dear Chief Clerk,

I urge you to deny WRPERM 13630. I am opposed to any intake pipeline for a desalination plant in La Quinta Channel. So many members of our community love to fish, boat, and swim along the Portland Shoreline where the intake pipe would be located and in Ingleside Cove where the discharge would flow to. I am concerned that given the number of small larvae which will be sucked up, turned to sludge, and deposited into landfills, fishing will be badly impaired in the region.

I am also asking for a two week extension for comments due to the recent loss of electricity in Texas and that you hold a public meeting for the community to share their concerns.

Regards,
Margaret Duran
4022 Congressional Dr
Corpus Christi, TX 78413

Melissa Schmidt

From: PUBCOMMENT-OCC

Sent: Wednesday, July 14, 2021 8:37 AM

To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC2; PUBCOMMENT-OPIC; PUBCOMMENT-ELD; PUBCOMMENT-

WWW-WRAS

Subject: FW: Public comment on Permit Number WRPERM 13630

From: ebertowskig@gmail.com <ebertowskig@gmail.com>

Sent: Tuesday, July 13, 2021 8:55 PM

To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC < PUBCOMMENT-OCC@tceq.texas.gov > **Subject:** Public comment on Permit Number WRPERM 13630

REGULATED ENTY NAME WRPERM 13630

RN NUMBER: RN110844933

PERMIT NUMBER: WRPERM 13630

DOCKET NUMBER: 2021-0421-WR

COUNTY: SAN PATRICIO

PRINCIPAL NAME: PORT OF CORPUS CHRISTI AUTHORITY OF NUECES COUNTY

CN NUMBER: CN600885248

FROM

NAME: Gabriella Marie Ebertowski

E-MAIL: ebertowskig@gmail.com

COMPANY:

ADDRESS: 435 PALOMA ST CORPUS CHRISTI TX 78412-2648

PHONE: 3617289542

FAX:

COMMENTS: I am strongly against the port allowing for this permit to pass. It is disgusting to witness the port seek profit over protecting the most valuable resource that the coastal bend has. I have lived in this city my entire life and I have witnessed it on repeat where industries matter more than the people and environment that creates such an incredible area. The TCEQ should be ashamed on how they have-not educated the communities of San Patricio and Nueces county

on what Desal actually means. Once the port has been pillaged for it's resources, I doubt the port or TCEQ will be held accountable. Our region needs investments in renewable resources that benefit the entire community. This permit must not pass in order for the future of our way of life in the coastal bend. This water does not belong to the TCEQ or to those involved with the port of Corpus Christi.

From:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC

Sent:

Tuesday, March 30, 2021 8:41 AM

To:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC2; PUBCOMMENT-OPIC; PUBCOMMENT-ELD; PUBCOMMENT-

WWW-WRAS

Subject:

FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

PM

From: CHIEFCLK <chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov> Sent: Monday, March 29, 2021 10:48 AM

To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC < PUBCOMMENT-OCC@tceq.texas.gov>

Subject: FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

From: Diana Emerson < Diana. Emerson. 408850609@p2a.co>

Sent: Monday, March 29, 2021 10:45 AM
To: CHIEFCLK < chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov >
Subject: I oppose WRPERM 13630

Dear Chief Clerk,

I ask that you deny WRPERM 13630, and I oppose the Port of Corpus Christi's placing an intake pipe for a desalination plant in La Quinta Channel. This water will largely be for industrial use, not for me, my family, or my neighbors. I don't think bringing polluting corporations to our community is worth the cost these desalination plants will have on our Bay, on our wildlife, and on our local fishing and tourism economies.

I request a two-week extension of the deadline for comments on account of the recent freeze and loss of electricity in Texas. I also request that a public meeting be held for the community to express its concerns.

Regards,
Diana Emerson
609 College St
Portland, TX 78374

From:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC

Sent:

Thursday, April 1, 2021 9:10 AM

To:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC2; PUBCOMMENT-OPIC; PUBCOMMENT-ELD; PUBCOMMENT-

WWW-WRAS

Subject:

FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

PM

From: CHIEFCLK <chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov> Sent: Wednesday, March 31, 2021 7:07 PM

To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC < PUBCOMMENT-OCC@tceq.texas.gov>

Subject: FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

From: Ariana Escamilla < Ariana. Escamilla. 435312606@p2a.co >

Sent: Wednesday, March 31, 2021 4:33 PM
To: CHIEFCLK < chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov >
Subject: I oppose WRPERM 13630

Dear Chief Clerk,

I ask that you deny WRPERM 13630, and I oppose the Port of Corpus Christi's placing an intake pipe for a desalination plant in La Quinta Channel. This water will largely be for industrial use, not for me, my family, or my neighbors. I don't think bringing polluting corporations to our community is worth the cost these desalination plants will have on our Bay, on our wildlife, and on our local fishing and tourism economies.

I request a two-week extension of the deadline for comments on account of the recent freeze and loss of electricity in Texas. I also request that a public meeting be held for the community to express its concerns.

Regards, Ariana Escamilla 4959 Ramos St Corpus Christi, TX 78410

From:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC

Sent:

Friday, March 26, 2021 2:43 PM

To:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC2; PUBCOMMENT-OPIC; PUBCOMMENT-ELD; PUBCOMMENT-

WWW-WRAS

Subject:

FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

PM

From: CHIEFCLK <chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov> Sent: Friday, March 26, 2021 9:56 AM

To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC < PUBCOMMENT-OCC@tceq.texas.gov>

Subject: FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

From: Ed Fiedler < Ed. Fiedler . 15611394@p2a.co >

Sent: Thursday, March 25, 2021 1:23 PM
To: CHIEFCLK < chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov >
Subject: I oppose WRPERM 13630

Dear Chief Clerk,

I oppose WRPERM 13630. Many of us suffered through the historic winter storm in February 2021 and were without power for several days in freezing temperatures due to the amount of demand placed on the electrical grid in Texas. The operating pumps required to suck 62,890 gallons of water per minute will take an enormous amount of power, placing even more strain on the grid. I am opposed to issuing a permit which would demand excessive amounts of energy to supply water only for industrial use.

I also ask for a two-week extension for comments, as well as a public meeting.

Regards, Ed Fiedler 11505 June Dr Austin, TX 78753

From:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC

Sent:

Tuesday, March 30, 2021 8:40 AM

To:

PUBCOMMENT-QCC2; PUBCOMMENT-OPIC; PUBCOMMENT-ELD; PUBCOMMENT-

WWW-WRAS

Subject:

FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

PM

From: CHIEFCLK <chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov> Sent: Monday, March 29, 2021 9:12 AM

To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC < PUBCOMMENT-OCC@tceq.texas.gov>

Subject: FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

From: Dr. Fielder < Dr.Fielder.26391081@p2a.co>

Sent: Sunday, March 28, 2021 10:21 PM
To: CHIEFCLK < chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov >
Subject: I oppose WRPERM 13630

Dear Chief Clerk,

I ask that you deny WRPERM 13630, and I oppose the Port of Corpus Christi's placing an intake pipe for a desalination plant in La Quinta Channel. This water will largely be for industrial use, not for me, my family, or my neighbors. I don't think bringing polluting corporations to our community is worth the cost these desalination plants will have on our Bay, on our wildlife, and on our local fishing and tourism economies.

I request a two-week extension of the deadline for comments on account of the recent freeze and loss of electricity in Texas. I also request that a public meeting be held for the community to express its concerns.

Regards, Dr. Fielder 2234 Carmel Dr Carrollton, TX 75006

From:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC

Sent:

Wednesday, March 31, 2021 9:15 AM

To:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC2; PUBCOMMENT-OPIC; PUBCOMMENT-ELD; PUBCOMMENT-

WWW-WRAS

Subject:

FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

PM

From: CHIEFCLK <chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov> Sent: Tuesday, March 30, 2021 8:16 AM

To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC < PUBCOMMENT-OCC@tceq.texas.gov>

Subject: FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

From: Larisa Ford < Larisa. Ford. 339924073@p2a.co >

Sent: Monday, March 29, 2021 9:05 PM
To: CHIEFCLK < chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov >
Subject: I oppose WRPERM 13630

Dear Chief Clerk,

I urge you to deny WRPERM 13630. I am opposed to any intake pipeline for a desalination plant in La Quinta Channel. So many members of our community love to fish, boat, and swim along the Portland Shoreline where the intake pipe would be located and in Ingleside Cove where the discharge would flow to. I am concerned that given the number of small larvae which will be sucked up, turned to sludge, and deposited into landfills, fishing will be badly impaired in the region.

I am also asking for a two week extension for comments due to the recent loss of electricity in Texas and that you hold a public meeting for the community to share their concerns.

Regards, Larisa Ford 3204 Mill Brook Dr Corpus Christi, TX 78418

From: PUBCOMMENT-OCC

Sent: Friday, March 26, 2021 2:23 PM

To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC2; PUBCOMMENT-OPIC; PUBCOMMENT-ELD; PUBCOMMENT-

WWW-WRAS

Subject: FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

PM

----Original Message-----

From: CHIEFCLK <chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov> Sent: Friday, March 26, 2021 9:34 AM

To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC < PUBCOMMENT-OCC@tceq.texas.gov>

Subject: FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

----Original Message-----

From: Linda Ford <Linda.Ford.83733015@p2a.co>

Sent: Thursday, March 25, 2021 11:41 AM To: CHIEFCLK <chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov> Subject: I oppose WRPERM 13630

Dear Chief Clerk,

I ask that you deny WRPERM 13630, and I oppose the Port of Corpus Christi's placing an intake pipe for a desalination plant in La Quinta Channel. This water will largely be for industrial use, not for me, my family, or my neighbors. I don't think bringing polluting corporations to our community is worth the cost these desalination plants will have on our Bay, on our wildlife, and on our local fishing and tourism economies.

I request a two-week extension of the deadline for comments on account of the recent freeze and loss of electricity in Texas. I also request that a public meeting be held for the community to express its concerns.

Regards, Linda Ford 540 Park Ln

Richardson, TX 75081 http://admin.phone2action.com/email/open/leg/583850/108525611

From:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC

Sent:

Thursday, March 25, 2021 6:09 PM

To:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC2; PUBCOMMENT-OPIC; PUBCOMMENT-ELD; PUBCOMMENT-

WWW-WRAS

Subject:

FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

PM

From: CHIEFCLK <chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov> Sent: Thursday, March 25, 2021 3:58 PM

To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC < PUBCOMMENT-OCC@tceq.texas.gov>

Subject: FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

From: Linda Ford < Linda.Ford.83733015@p2a.co >

Sent: Thursday, March 25, 2021 11:41 AM
To: CHIEFCLK < chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov >
Subject: I oppose WRPERM 13630

Dear Chief Clerk,

I ask that you deny WRPERM 13630, and I oppose the Port of Corpus Christi's placing an intake pipe for a desalination plant in La Quinta Channel. This water will largely be for industrial use, not for me, my family, or my neighbors. I don't think bringing polluting corporations to our community is worth the cost these desalination plants will have on our Bay, on our wildlife, and on our local fishing and tourism economies.

I request a two-week extension of the deadline for comments on account of the recent freeze and loss of electricity in Texas. I also request that a public meeting be held for the community to express its concerns.

Regards, Linda Ford 540 Park Ln Richardson, TX 75081

From:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC

Sent:

Thursday, March 25, 2021 1:07 PM

To:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC2; PUBCOMMENT-OPIC; PUBCOMMENT-ELD; PUBCOMMENT-

WWW-WRAS

Subject:

FW: Public comment on Permit Number WRPERM 13630

PM

From: Josiefountain@yahoo.com < Josiefountain@yahoo.com >

Sent: Thursday, March 25, 2021 11:34 AM

To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC < PUBCOMMENT-OCC@tceq.texas.gov > Subject: Public comment on Permit Number WRPERM 13630

REGULATED ENTY NAME WRPERM 13630

RN NUMBER: RN110844933

PERMIT NUMBER: WRPERM 13630

DOCKET NUMBER:

COUNTY: SAN PATRICIO

PRINCIPAL NAME: PORT OF CORPUS CHRISTI AUTHORITY OF NUECES COUNTY

CN NUMBER: CN600885248

FROM

NAME: Josie Fountain

E-MAIL: Josiefountain@yahoo.com

COMPANY:

ADDRESS: 107 MARIE PL PORTLAND TX 78374-1415

PHONE: 3617743166

FAX:

COMMENTS: The permit requested to build a desalination plant near the infamous park in Portland is not a viable option and many members of the community strongly oppose it. Bayside Park is not only one, if not the most, of the popular attractions found in Portland, Texas. Building a desalination plant near it would cause many people looking to move to Portland to deter away from that option. This city is already experiencing a boom in industries being built and a plant

near one of the scenic parks in the coastal bend area would only push Portland towards it's death sentence. Bayside Park is also a destination for kayakers, fishermen, painters, wind surfers and so much more. Not only is the desalination plant a bad idea for the community, but also the surrounding environment. Portland is home to many fish, including trout and redfish, that were claimed victim to the most recent freeze. If the environmental patterns continue to repeat in the future, which they are predicted to due to many different researchers, we will be experiencing more freezes and harsher storms in the future that will impact both the local fish and bird population. Building a desalination plant would only increase the amount of damage done to the surrounding ecosystem. I strongly believe that this permit should not be granted and if it does proceed in the process, the community should have a voice in the decision of building this plant. This can be done in many ways including more options to express opinions both online and in person. There are larger things in life to be considered than just how much more money a giant industry can make.

From:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC

Sent:

Thursday, March 25, 2021 1:14 PM

To:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC2; PUBCOMMENT-OPIC; PUBCOMMENT-ELD; PUBCOMMENT-

WWW-WRAS

Subject:

FW: Public comment on Permit Number WRPERM 13630

From: val_fountain@yahoo.com <val_fountain@yahoo.com>

Sent: Thursday, March 25, 2021 12:53 PM

To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC <PUBCOMMENT-OCC@tceq.texas.gov>
Subject: Public comment on Permit Number WRPERM 13630

REGULATED ENTY NAME WRPERM 13630

RN NUMBER: RN110844933

PERMIT NUMBER: WRPERM 13630

DOCKET NUMBER:

COUNTY: SAN PATRICIO

PRINCIPAL NAME: PORT OF CORPUS CHRISTI AUTHORITY OF NUECES COUNTY

CN NUMBER: CN600885248

FROM

NAME: Valerie Fountain

E-MAIL: val fountain@yahoo.com

COMPANY:

ADDRESS: 107 MARIE PL PORTLAND TX 78374-1415

PHONE: 5127873982

FAX:

COMMENTS: I'm concerned about the location of the of where your company wants to draw water for desalination. The location of the intake structure that will draw water is way too close to residential areas. This area is full of life and the intake structure will threaten aquatic life and other species. As a resident who lives in this area, I am also concerned about the decrease in property values. Another huge concern is that we recreate off of the shores in Portland daily (as

it's walking distance from our home). We like to fish, kite surf, kayak, etc. People from all over the world come to Portland to Kite Surf! This project is way too close to our neighborhood and will have a negative impact on our environment and community.

From:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC

Sent:

Friday, March 26, 2021 2:32 PM

To:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC2; PUBCOMMENT-OPIC; PUBCOMMENT-ELD; PUBCOMMENT-

WWW-WRAS

Subject:

FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

PM

From: CHIEFCLK <chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov> Sent: Friday, March 26, 2021 9:39 AM

To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC < PUBCOMMENT-OCC@tceq.texas.gov>

Subject: FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

From: Arthur Francis < Arthur. Francis. 338717155@p2a.co >

Sent: Thursday, March 25, 2021 8:55 PM
To: CHIEFCLK < chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov > Subject: I oppose WRPERM 13630

Dear Chief Clerk,

I oppose WRPERM 13630. Many of us suffered through the historic winter storm in February 2021 and were without power for several days in freezing temperatures due to the amount of demand placed on the electrical grid in Texas. The operating pumps required to suck 62,890 gallons of water per minute will take an enormous amount of power, placing even more strain on the grid. I am opposed to issuing a permit which would demand excessive amounts of energy to supply water only for industrial use.

I also ask for a two-week extension for comments, as well as a public meeting.

Regards,
Arthur Francis
449 Coral Pl
Corpus Christi, TX 78411

From:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC

Sent:

Thursday, March 25, 2021 6:15 PM

To:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC2; PUBCOMMENT-OPIC; PUBCOMMENT-ELD; PUBCOMMENT-

WWW-WRAS

Subject:

FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

PM

From: CHIEFCLK <chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov> Sent: Thursday, March 25, 2021 4:00 PM

To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC < PUBCOMMENT-OCC@tceq.texas.gov>

Subject: FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

From: stacey francis < stacey.francis.74516890@p2a.co >

Sent: Thursday, March 25, 2021 11:17 AM
To: CHIEFCLK < chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov>
Subject: I oppose WRPERM 13630

Dear Chief Clerk,

I ask that you deny WRPERM 13630, and I oppose the Port of Corpus Christi's placing an intake pipe for a desalination plant in La Quinta Channel. This water will largely be for industrial use, not for me, my family, or my neighbors. I don't think bringing polluting corporations to our community is worth the cost these desalination plants will have on our Bay, on our wildlife, and on our local fishing and tourism economies.

I request a two-week extension of the deadline for comments on account of the recent freeze and loss of electricity in Texas. I also request that a public meeting be held for the community to express its concerns.

Regards, stacey francis 4606 Everest Ln Austin, TX 78727

From:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC

Sent:

Tuesday, March 30, 2021 9:13 AM

To:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC2; PUBCOMMENT-OPIC; PUBCOMMENT-ELD; PUBCOMMENT-

WWW-WRAS

Subject:

FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

PM

From: CHIEFCLK <chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov> Sent: Monday, March 29, 2021 4:12 PM

To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC < PUBCOMMENT-OCC@tceq.texas.gov>

Subject: FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

From: Linda Fuiman < Linda.Fuiman.434431498@p2a.co >

Sent: Monday, March 29, 2021 3:35 PM
To: CHIEFCLK < chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov
Subject: I oppose WRPERM 13630

Dear Chief Clerk,

I ask that you deny WRPERM 13630, and I oppose the Port of Corpus Christi's placing an intake pipe for a desalination plant in La Quinta Channel. This water will largely be for industrial use, not for me, my family, or my neighbors. I don't think bringing polluting corporations to our community is worth the cost these desalination plants will have on our Bay, on our wildlife, and on our local fishing and tourism economies.

I request a two-week extension of the deadline for comments on account of the recent freeze and loss of electricity in Texas. I also request that a public meeting be held for the community to express its concerns.

Regards, Linda Fuiman 1701 Ennis Joslin Rd Corpus Christi, TX 78412

From:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC

Sent:

Thursday, March 25, 2021 6:21 PM

To:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC2; PUBCOMMENT-OPIC; PUBCOMMENT-ELD; PUBCOMMENT-

WWW-WRAS

Subject:

FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

PM

From: CHIEFCLK <chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov> Sent: Thursday, March 25, 2021 4:02 PM

To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC < PUBCOMMENT-OCC@tceq.texas.gov>

Subject: FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

From: Chad Fuqua < Chad.Fuqua.216832891@p2a.co >

Sent: Thursday, March 25, 2021 10:58 AM To: CHIEFCLK < chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov > Subject: I oppose WRPERM 13630

Dear Chief Clerk,

I urge you to deny WRPERM 13630. I am opposed to any intake pipeline for a desalination plant in La Quinta Channel. So many members of our community love to fish, boat, and swim along the Portland Shoreline where the intake pipe would be located and in Ingleside Cove where the discharge would flow to. I am concerned that given the number of small larvae which will be sucked up, turned to sludge, and deposited into landfills, fishing will be badly impaired in the region.

I am also asking for a two week extension for comments due to the recent loss of electricity in Texas and that you hold a public meeting for the community to share their concerns.

Regards, Chad Fuqua 3411 Springrock Ln Houston, TX 77080

From:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC

Sent:

Tuesday, March 30, 2021 8:37 AM

To:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC2; PUBCOMMENT-OPIC; PUBCOMMENT-ELD; PUBCOMMENT-

WWW-WRAS

Subject:

FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

PM

From: CHIEFCLK <chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov> Sent: Monday, March 29, 2021 9:14 AM

To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC < PUBCOMMENT-OCC@tceq.texas.gov>

Subject: FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

From: Guillermo Gallegos < Guillermo. Gallegos. 342266368@p2a.co >

Sent: Friday, March 26, 2021 2:51 PM
To: CHIEFCLK < chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov
Subject: I oppose WRPERM 13630

Dear Chief Clerk,

I strongly oppose WRPERM 13630 and the Port of Corpus Christi's placing an intake pipe for a desalination plant in La Quinta Channel. According to the permit, the Port of Corpus Christi would be allowed to suck 62,890 gallons of water from La Quinta Channel every minute. Sucking in that amount of water that fast will require an enormous amount of suction power and I am concerned about aquatic life being trapped or killed in the process.

I also request a two-week extension of the deadline for comments and a public meeting.

Regards,
Guillermo Gallegos
7621 Cedar Brook Dr
Corpus Christi, TX 78413

From:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC

Sent:

Thursday, March 25, 2021 6:05 PM

To:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC2; PUBCOMMENT-OPIC; PUBCOMMENT-ELD; PUBCOMMENT-

WWW-WRAS

Subject:

FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

PM

From: CHIEFCLK <chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov> Sent: Thursday, March 25, 2021 3:55 PM

To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC < PUBCOMMENT-OCC@tceq.texas.gov>

Subject: FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

From: Donald Ganer < Donald.Ganer.359491397@p2a.co >

Sent: Thursday, March 25, 2021 12:10 PM
To: CHIEFCLK < chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov
Subject: I oppose WRPERM 13630

Dear Chief Clerk,

I urge you to deny WRPERM 13630. I am opposed to any intake pipeline for a desalination plant in La Quinta Channel. So many members of our community love to fish, boat, and swim along the Portland Shoreline where the intake pipe would be located and in Ingleside Cove where the discharge would flow to. I am concerned that given the number of small larvae which will be sucked up, turned to sludge, and deposited into landfills, fishing will be badly impaired in the region.

I am also asking for a two week extension for comments due to the recent loss of electricity in Texas and that you hold a public meeting for the community to share their concerns.

Regards,
Donald Ganer
3710 Pope Dr
Corpus Christi, TX 78411

Melissa Schmidt

From:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC

Sent:

Wednesday, July 14, 2021 9:04 AM

To:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC2; PUBCOMMENT-OPIC; PUBCOMMENT-ELD; PUBCOMMENT-

WWW-WRAS

Subject:

FW: Public comment on Permit Number WRPERM 13630

From: lexytg@gmail.com <lexytg@gmail.com>

Sent: Tuesday, July 13, 2021 4:30 PM

To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC <PUBCOMMENT-OCC@tceq.texas.gov> **Subject:** Public comment on Permit Number WRPERM 13630

REGULATED ENTY NAME WRPERM 13630

RN NUMBER: RN110844933

PERMIT NUMBER: WRPERM 13630

DOCKET NUMBER: 2021-0421-WR

COUNTY: SAN PATRICIO

PRINCIPAL NAME: PORT OF CORPUS CHRISTI AUTHORITY OF NUECES COUNTY

CN NUMBER: CN600885248

FROM

NAME: Lexy Taylor Garcia

E-MAIL: lexytg@gmail.com

COMPANY:

ADDRESS: 7621 IMPALA DR CORPUS CHRISTI TX 78414-6423

PHONE: 3615482450

FAX:

COMMENTS: Hello I am an organizer for climate justice and a member os San Antonio DSA, born in Corpus Christi and called it home for 20 years. I want to register my opposition to this permit allowing another desalination to extract our water and cost precious energy in pursuit of more and more profit. Our coastal estuaries must be put first both for recreation and preservation for the continuation of public space, and people. Before this permit is issued, additional

ecological impacts have to be seriously evaluated, with input and transparency to all who call this area home, and a true community first approach to more costly developments. Lastly, this area is not apart of the national grid, like the rest of Texas, so the immense load cannot be shared or shifted when our output inevitably outpaces demand again amidst our ongoing climate crisis. That crisis is one we cant fix, and though the energy use of the port of Corpus Christi and TCEQ's decisions are a drop in the bucket, it is our drop, and we must do everything we can to protect future generations from the extractive economy development corporations want to commit us to, there is another choice. please do not approve this permit and listen to the community.

From:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC

Sent:

Wednesday, March 31, 2021 9:17 AM

To:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC2; PUBCOMMENT-OPIC; PUBCOMMENT-ELD; PUBCOMMENT-

WWW-WRAS

Subject:

FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

PM

From: CHIEFCLK <chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov> Sent: Tuesday, March 30, 2021 8:16 AM

To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC < PUBCOMMENT-OCC@tceq.texas.gov>

Subject: FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

From: Marilena Garza < Marilena. Garza. 434588765@p2a.co>

Sent: Monday, March 29, 2021 7:26 PM
To: CHIEFCLK < chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov >
Subject: I oppose WRPERM 13630

Dear Chief Clerk,

I ask that you deny WRPERM 13630, and I oppose the Port of Corpus Christi's placing an intake pipe for a desalination plant in La Quinta Channel. This water will largely be for industrial use, not for me, my family, or my neighbors. I don't think bringing polluting corporations to our community is worth the cost these desalination plants will have on our Bay, on our wildlife, and on our local fishing and tourism economies.

I request a two-week extension of the deadline for comments on account of the recent freeze and loss of electricity in Texas. I also request that a public meeting be held for the community to express its concerns.

Regards,
Marilena Garza
1008 Marguerite St
Corpus Christi, TX 78401.

From:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC

Sent:

Wednesday, March 31, 2021 9:16 AM

To:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC2; PUBCOMMENT-OPIC; PUBCOMMENT-ELD; PUBCOMMENT-

WWW-WRAS

Subject:

FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

PM

From: CHIEFCLK <chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov> Sent: Tuesday, March 30, 2021 8:16 AM

To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC < PUBCOMMENT-OCC@tceq.texas.gov>

Subject: FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

From: ginger gauthier < ginger.gauthier.403601088@p2a.co >

Sent: Monday, March 29, 2021 8:07 PM
To: CHIEFCLK < chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov
Subject: I oppose WRPERM 13630

Dear Chief Clerk,

I oppose WRPERM 13630. Many of us suffered through the historic winter storm in February 2021 and were without power for several days in freezing temperatures due to the amount of demand placed on the electrical grid in Texas. The operating pumps required to suck 62,890 gallons of water per minute will take an enormous amount of power, placing even more strain on the grid. I am opposed to issuing a permit which would demand excessive amounts of energy to supply water only for industrial use.

I also ask for a two-week extension for comments, as well as a public meeting.

Regards, ginger gauthier 1101 N Magnolia St Rockport, TX 78382

From:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC

Sent:

Thursday, March 25, 2021 6:13 PM

To:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC2; PUBCOMMENT-OPIC; PUBCOMMENT-ELD; PUBCOMMENT-

WWW-WRAS

Subject:

FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

PM

From: CHIEFCLK <chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov> Sent: Thursday, March 25, 2021 3:59 PM

To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC < PUBCOMMENT-OCC@tceq.texas.gov>

Subject: FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

From: Don Gentry < Don.Gentry.334920955@p2a.co >

Sent: Thursday, March 25, 2021 11:23 AM
To: CHIEFCLK < chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov>
Subject: I oppose WRPERM 13630

Dear Chief Clerk,

I oppose WRPERM 13630. Many of us suffered through the historic winter storm in February 2021 and were without power for several days in freezing temperatures due to the amount of demand placed on the electrical grid in Texas. The operating pumps required to suck 62,890 gallons of water per minute will take an enormous amount of power, placing even more strain on the grid. I am opposed to issuing a permit which would demand excessive amounts of energy to supply water only for industrial use.

I also ask for a two-week extension for comments, as well as a public meeting.

Regards,
Don Gentry
2218 Stone Meadow
Fredericksburg, TX 78624 ...

Melissa Schmidt

From:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC

Sent:

Wednesday, July 14, 2021 8:39 AM

To:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC2; PUBCOMMENT-OPIC; PUBCOMMENT-ELD; PUBCOMMENT-

WWW-WRAS

Subject:

FW: Public comment on Permit Number WRPERM 13630

From: gonzalesjoey88@yahoo.com <gonzalesjoey88@yahoo.com>

Sent: Tuesday, July 13, 2021 8:42 PM

To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC < PUBCOMMENT-OCC@tceq.texas.gov> Subject: Public comment on Permit Number WRPERM 13630

REGULATED ENTY NAME WRPERM 13630

RN NUMBER: RN110844933

PERMIT NUMBER: WRPERM 13630

DOCKET NUMBER: 2021-0421-WR

COUNTY: SAN PATRICIO

PRINCIPAL NAME: PORT OF CORPUS CHRISTI AUTHORITY OF NUECES COUNTY

CN NUMBER: CN600885248

FROM

NAME: Jose Gonzales, IV

E-MAIL: gonzalesjoey88@yahoo.com

COMPANY:

ADDRESS: 4334 DEVON DR

CORPUS CHRISTI TX 78415-5130

PHONE: 3616942222

FAX:

COMMENTS: I am a student and life long resident of the coastal bend area and I am urging the port to say no to the permit no.13630. I find it extremely alarming & awful that the port of Corpus Christi is going through with pursuing this permit. The Trump administration had sued the City of Corpus Christi over its water quality. Does the Port of corpus christi know how terrible your water has to be to be sued by the Trump administrations government over your water

usage? Pretty terrible considering this administration prioritized profit over people. With the addition of this desal plant this would devastate our water quality passed former conditions. And so with that I would like to emphasize that the port needs to say NO to permit no.13630.

From:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC

Sent:

Thursday, March 25, 2021 6:23 PM

To:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC2; PUBCOMMENT-OPIC; PUBCOMMENT-ELD; PUBCOMMENT-

WWW-WRAS

Subject:

FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

PM

From: CHIEFCLK <chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov> Sent: Thursday, March 25, 2021 4:03 PM

To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC < PUBCOMMENT-OCC@tceq.texas.gov>

Subject: FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

From: Steve Gonzales < Steve.Gonzales.433433181@p2a.co >

Sent: Thursday, March 25, 2021 10:53 AM To: CHIEFCLK < chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov Subject: I oppose WRPERM 13630

Dear Chief Clerk,

I oppose WRPERM 13630. Many of us suffered through the historic winter storm in February 2021 and were without power for several days in freezing temperatures due to the amount of demand placed on the electrical grid in Texas. The operating pumps required to suck 62,890 gallons of water per minute will take an enormous amount of power, placing even more strain on the grid. I am opposed to issuing a permit which would demand excessive amounts of energy to supply water only for industrial use.

I also ask for a two-week extension for comments, as well as a public meeting.

Regards, Steve Gonzales 8209 Endeavor Cir Austin, TX 78726

From:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC

Sent:

Tuesday, March 30, 2021 9:03 AM

To:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC2; PUBCOMMENT-OPIC; PUBCOMMENT-ELD; PUBCOMMENT-

WWW-WRAS

Subject:

FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

PM

From: CHIEFCLK <chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov> Sent: Monday, March 29, 2021 1:40 PM

To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC < PUBCOMMENT-OCC@tceq.texas.gov>

Subject: FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

From: ALYSON GREENE < ALYSON.GREENE.348084148@p2a.co >

Sent: Monday, March 29, 2021 11:04 AM
To: CHIEFCLK < chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov >
Subject: I oppose WRPERM 13630

Dear Chief Clerk,

I strongly oppose WRPERM 13630 and the Port of Corpus Christi's placing an intake pipe for a desalination plant in La Quinta Channel. According to the permit, the Port of Corpus Christi would be allowed to suck 62,890 gallons of water from La Quinta Channel every minute. Sucking in that amount of water that fast will require an enormous amount of suction power and I am concerned about aquatic life being trapped or killed in the process.

I also request a two-week extension of the deadline for comments and a public meeting.

Regards,
ALYSON GREENE
14326 Emerald St
Corpus Christi, TX 78418

Melissa Schmidt

From:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC

Sent:

Wednesday, July 14, 2021 9:00 AM

To:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC2; PUBCOMMENT-OPIC; PUBCOMMENT-ELD; PUBCOMMENT-

WWW-WRAS

Subject:

FW: POCC comments.

From: Brad Patterson < Brad. Patterson@tceq.texas.gov>

Sent: Tuesday, July 13, 2021 6:41 PM

To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC < PUBCOMMENT-OCC@tceq.texas.gov>

Subject: FW: POCC comments.

From: Doug Gresenz < theredfordranch@gmail.com>

Sent: Tuesday, July 13, 2021 6:39 PM

To: Brad Patterson < Brad.Patterson@tceq.texas.gov >

Subject: POCC comments.

As a property owner in Aransas pass I want to adamantly express my protest of the port of corpus chrisit and their proposed desal plant in the valuable coastal waters we have. The environmental and tourism asset that the eco system provides can be cultivated responsibly and with foresight to spread the success and prosperity to all who call that area home. Keep the port of corpus christi out of aransas pass.

Doug Gresenz

Founder Redford Ranch.

From:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC

Sent:

Friday, March 26, 2021 2:34 PM

To:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC2; PUBCOMMENT-OPIC; PUBCOMMENT-ELD; PUBCOMMENT-

WWW-WRAS

Subject:

FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

PM

From: CHIEFCLK <chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov> Sent: Friday, March 26, 2021 9:43 AM

To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC < PUBCOMMENT-OCC@tceq.texas.gov>

Subject: FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

From: Fred Grimes < Fred.Grimes.95300706@p2a.co >

Sent: Thursday, March 25, 2021 4:36 PM To: CHIEFCLK <chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov> Subject: I oppose WRPERM 13630

Dear Chief Clerk,

I strongly oppose WRPERM 13630 and the Port of Corpus Christi's placing an intake pipe for a desalination plant in La Quinta Channel. According to the permit, the Port of Corpus Christi would be allowed to suck 62,890 gallons of water from La Quinta Channel every minute. Sucking in that amount of water that fast will require an enormous amount of suction power and I am concerned about aquatic life being trapped or killed in the process.

I also request a two-week extension of the deadline for comments and a public meeting.

Regards, Fred Grimes 3406 Green Tree Park Houston, TX 77007

From:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC

Sent:

Friday, March 26, 2021 2:40 PM

To:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC2; PUBCOMMENT-OPIC; PUBCOMMENT-ELD; PUBCOMMENT-

WWW-WRAS

Subject:

FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

PM

From: CHIEFCLK <chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov> Sent: Friday, March 26, 2021 9:51 AM

To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC < PUBCOMMENT-OCC@tceq.texas.gov>

Subject: FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

From: Carol Grimm < Carol.Grimm.433491844@p2a.co>

Sent: Thursday, March 25, 2021 2:48 PM
To: CHIEFCLK < chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov >
Subject: I oppose WRPERM 13630

Dear Chief Clerk,

I ask that you deny WRPERM 13630, and I oppose the Port of Corpus Christi's placing an intake pipe for a desalination plant in La Quinta Channel. This water will largely be for industrial use, not for me, my family, or my neighbors. I don't think bringing polluting corporations to our community is worth the cost these desalination plants will have on our Bay, on our wildlife, and on our local fishing and tourism economies.

I request a two-week extension of the deadline for comments on account of the recent freeze and loss of electricity in Texas. I also request that a public meeting be held for the community to express its concerns.

Regards, Carol Grimm 612 Dale Dr San Marcos, TX 78666

From:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC

Sent:

Friday, March 26, 2021 2:31 PM

To:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC2; PUBCOMMENT-OPIC; PUBCOMMENT-ELD; PUBCOMMENT-

WWW-WRAS

Subject:

FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

PM

From: CHIEFCLK <chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov> Sent: Friday, March 26, 2021 9:38 AM

To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC < PUBCOMMENT-OCC@tceq.texas.gov>

Subject: FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

From: Joanne Groshardt < <u>Joanne.Groshardt.229996445@p2a.co</u>>

Sent: Thursday, March 25, 2021 9:00 PM
To: CHIEFCLK < chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov >
Subject: I oppose WRPERM 13630

Dear Chief Clerk,

I urge you to deny WRPERM 13630. I am opposed to any intake pipeline for a desalination plant in La Quinta Channel. So many members of our community love to fish, boat, and swim along the Portland Shoreline where the intake pipe would be located and in Ingleside Cove where the discharge would flow to. I am concerned that given the number of small larvae which will be sucked up, turned to sludge, and deposited into landfills, fishing will be badly impaired in the region.

I am also asking for a two week extension for comments due to the recent loss of electricity in Texas and that you hold a public meeting for the community to share their concerns.

Regards,
Joanne Groshardt
302 Trailridge Dr
Richardson, TX 75081

From:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC

Sent:

Tuesday, March 30, 2021 8:42 AM

To:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC2; PUBCOMMENT-OPIC; PUBCOMMENT-ELD; PUBCOMMENT-

WWW-WRAS

Subject:

FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

PM

From: CHIEFCLK <chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov> Sent: Monday, March 29, 2021 10:48 AM

To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC < PUBCOMMENT-OCC@tceq.texas.gov>

Subject: FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

From: Pamela Guinn < Pamela.Guinn.428365723@p2a.co >

Sent: Monday, March 29, 2021 10:35 AM
To: CHIEFCLK < chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov >
Subject: I oppose WRPERM 13630

Dear Chief Clerk,

I ask that you deny WRPERM 13630, and I oppose the Port of Corpus Christi's placing an intake pipe for a desalination plant in La Quinta Channel. This water will largely be for industrial use, not for me, my family, or my neighbors. I don't think bringing polluting corporations to our community is worth the cost these desalination plants will have on our Bay, on our wildlife, and on our local fishing and tourism economies.

I request a two-week extension of the deadline for comments on account of the recent freeze and loss of electricity in Texas. I also request that a public meeting be held for the community to express its concerns.

Regards,
Pamela Guinn
2226 Oak Crest Dr
Corpus Christi, TX 78418

From:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC

Sent:

Thursday, March 25, 2021 6:16 PM

To:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC2; PUBCOMMENT-OPIC; PUBCOMMENT-ELD; PUBCOMMENT-

WWW-WRAS

Subject:

FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

PM

From: CHIEFCLK <chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov> Sent: Thursday, March 25, 2021 4:01 PM

To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC < PUBCOMMENT-OCC@tceq.texas.gov>

Subject: FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

From: Kiran Hahn < Kiran. Hahn. 92790166@p2a.co >

Sent: Thursday, March 25, 2021 11:13 AM
To: CHIEFCLK < chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov>
Subject: I oppose WRPERM 13630

Dear Chief Clerk,

I urge you to deny WRPERM 13630. I am opposed to any intake pipeline for a desalination plant in La Quinta Channel. So many members of our community love to fish, boat, and swim along the Portland Shoreline where the intake pipe would be located and in Ingleside Cove where the discharge would flow to. I am concerned that given the number of small larvae which will be sucked up, turned to sludge, and deposited into landfills, fishing will be badly impaired in the region.

I am also asking for a two week extension for comments due to the recent loss of electricity in Texas and that you hold a public meeting for the community to share their concerns.

Regards, Kiran Hahn 4301 Bamford Dr Austin, TX 78731

Melissa Schmidt

From: PUBCOMMENT-OCC

Sent: Wednesday, July 14, 2021 8:32 AM

To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC2; PUBCOMMENT-OPIC; PUBCOMMENT-ELD; PUBCOMMENT-

WWW-WRAS

Subject: FW: Public comment on Permit Number WRPERM 13630

From: Captainpescador@aol.com < Captainpescador@aol.com >

Sent: Tuesday, July 13, 2021 9:45 PM

To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC <PUBCOMMENT-OCC@tceq.texas.gov> **Subject:** Public comment on Permit Number WRPERM 13630

REGULATED ENTY NAME WRPERM 13630

RN NUMBER: RN110844933

PERMIT NUMBER: WRPERM 13630

DOCKET NUMBER: 2021-0421-WR

COUNTY: SAN PATRICIO

PRINCIPAL NAME: PORT OF CORPUS CHRISTI AUTHORITY OF NUECES COUNTY

CN NUMBER: CN600885248

FROM

NAME: MR Chip Harmon

E-MAIL: Captainpescador@aol.com

COMPANY:

ADDRESS: PO BOX 18718

CORPUS CHRISTI TX 78480-8718

PHONE: 3612447714

FAX:

COMMENTS: Nothing in your Mission Statement aligns with this permit. This isn't about need or water. It's about power and domination. Sir John Dalbergbsaid it best in the 1800s, "power corrupts and absolute power corrupts absolutely". The POCC has proven to be unapologetically powerful and bullies with no concern for anyone other than themselves.

From:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC

Sent:

Thursday, March 25, 2021 6:08 PM

To:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC2; PUBCOMMENT-OPIC; PUBCOMMENT-ELD; PUBCOMMENT-

WWW-WRAS

Subject:

FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

PM

From: CHIEFCLK <chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov> Sent: Thursday, March 25, 2021 3:58 PM

To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC < PUBCOMMENT-OCC@tceq.texas.gov>

Subject: FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

From: Pam Harper-Smith < Pam. HarperSmith.8428675@p2a.co >

Sent: Thursday, March 25, 2021 11:49 AM To: CHIEFCLK < chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov > Subject: I oppose WRPERM 13630

Dear Chief Clerk,

I urge you to deny WRPERM 13630. I am opposed to any intake pipeline for a desalination plant in La Quinta Channel. So many members of our community love to fish, boat, and swim along the Portland Shoreline where the intake pipe would be located and in Ingleside Cove where the discharge would flow to. I am concerned that given the number of small larvae which will be sucked up, turned to sludge, and deposited into landfills, fishing will be badly impaired in the region.

I am also asking for a two week extension for comments due to the recent loss of electricity in Texas and that you hold a public meeting for the community to share their concerns.

Regards,
Pam Harper-Smith
1112 Neal Pickett Dr
College Station, TX 77840

From:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC

Sent:

Thursday, March 25, 2021 6:13 PM

To:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC2; PUBCOMMENT-OPIC; PUBCOMMENT-ELD; PUBCOMMENT-

WWW-WRAS

Subject:

FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

PM

From: CHIEFCLK <chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov> Sent: Thursday, March 25, 2021 3:59 PM

To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC < PUBCOMMENT-OCC@tceq.texas.gov>

Subject: FW: I opposé WRPERM 13630

From: Mike Harris < Mike. Harris. 300339994@p2a.co >

Sent: Thursday, March 25, 2021 11:33 AM
To: CHIEFCLK < chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov>
Subject: I oppose WRPERM 13630

Dear Chief Clerk,

I strongly oppose WRPERM 13630 and the Port of Corpus Christi's placing an intake pipe for a desalination plant in La Quinta Channel. According to the permit, the Port of Corpus Christi would be allowed to suck 62,890 gallons of water from La Quinta Channel every minute. Sucking in that amount of water that fast will require an enormous amount of suction power and I am concerned about aquatic life being trapped or killed in the process.

I also request a two-week extension of the deadline for comments and a public meeting.

Regards, Mike Harris PO box 29241 Austin, TX 78755

Melissa Schmidt

From:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC

Sent:

Wednesday, July 14, 2021 8:53 AM

To:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC2; PUBCOMMENT-OPIC; PUBCOMMENT-ELD; PUBCOMMENT-

WWW-WRAS

Subject:

FW: Public comment on Permit Number WRPERM 13630

From: nessabelle87@gmail.com <nessabelle87@gmail.com>

Sent: Tuesday, July 13, 2021 7:55 PM

To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC < PUBCOMMENT-OCC@tceq.texas.gov> Subject: Public comment on Permit Number WRPERM 13630

REGULATED ENTY NAME WRPERM 13630

RN NUMBER: RN110844933

PERMIT NUMBER: WRPERM 13630

DOCKET NUMBER: 2021-0421-WR

COUNTY: SAN PATRICIO

PRINCIPAL NAME: PORT OF CORPUS CHRISTI AUTHORITY OF NUECES COUNTY

CN NUMBER: CN600885248

FROM

NAME: Jenessa Hernandez

E-MAIL: nessabelle87@gmail.com

COMPANY:

ADDRESS: 8050 S PADRE ISLAND DR Apt. N1

CORPUS CHRISTI TX 78412-5225

PHONE: 3613719574

FAX:

COMMENTS: I am not for the permit to authorize the intake of water for the Desalination Plant, since the location is on an Indigenous Karankawa land, the impact on an already compromised eco-system, the results from the plant and the impact it has on businesses that rely on the area, and the irresponsibility to effectively respond to climate change and the 0% Carbon Emission plans. I see this plan as an ecological crime. The water that will be taken from the bay will have a detrimental impact on the eco-system and I fear there will be no life left once heinous amounts of salty wastewater and chemicals are dumped back into the area. The area is a part of a channel of water that flows into other areas of the bay, so not only will this certain area of the bay be impacted but, like the dominoes effect other areas will too. If life forms and ecosystems die from this plant, then local businesses that use the water and the bay will go out of business. The promise of jobs for a sustaining life is a false promise as our world faces climate change and that will change industries' capabilities. It's an exchange for a toxic business versus a symbiotic business that does not exploit the habitats of the existing living organisms for capitalistic endeavors and hedonistic paradigms of society. Indigenous people and lands have already faced enough exploitations. The continuation of an outdated way of doing things is quite frankly insane. How can this justify any further behavior like this when the entire western portion of our country is suffering from this type of industry. Lastly, my personal statement on all this outside of facts and data is that it is completely irresponsible due to climate change and do see this action as a climate change crime. As the person who inherits this Earth and will be moving further into climate change, I am livid that industry will not stop. I am tired of seeing men work in terrible conditions to only live a short life and die from cancer caused from this toxic work. Scientists have already said over and over that we must move towards 0% Carbon Emissions so much so that many others around the globe and other states in the U.S. are already working up a plan. What is Corpus Christi, "Business as Usual" until we all starve to death or more appropriately related to local climate change impacts; homeless and probably drown. So no to this permit, absolutely not and if you want my vote bring jobs that are symbiotic with the environment while we all try to figure out how to adapt to the impacts of climate change.

From:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC

Sent:

Wednesday, March 31, 2021 9:15 AM

To:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC2; PUBCOMMENT-OPIC; PUBCOMMENT-ELD; PUBCOMMENT-

WWW-WRAS

Subject:

FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

PM

From: CHIEFCLK <chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov> Sent: Tuesday, March 30, 2021 8:15 AM

To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC < PUBCOMMENT-OCC@tceq.texas.gov>

Subject: FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

From: Eric Holguin < Eric. Holguin. 434702875@p2a.co >

Sent: Monday, March 29, 2021 10:24 PM
To: CHIEFCLK < chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov >
Subject: I oppose WRPERM 13630

Dear Chief Clerk,

I oppose WRPERM 13630. Many of us suffered through the historic winter storm in February 2021 and were without power for several days in freezing temperatures due to the amount of demand placed on the electrical grid in Texas. The operating pumps required to suck 62,890 gallons of water per minute will take an enormous amount of power, placing even more strain on the grid. I am opposed to issuing a permit which would demand excessive amounts of energy to supply water only for industrial use.

I also ask for a two-week extension for comments, as well as a public meeting.

Regards, Eric Holguin 1514 Ennis Joslin Rd Corpus Christi, TX 78412

From:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC

Sent:

Wednesday, March 31, 2021 9:08 AM

To:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC2; PUBCOMMENT-OPIC; PUBCOMMENT-ELD; PUBCOMMENT-

WWW-WRAS

Subject:

FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

PM

From: CHIEFCLK <chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov> Sent: Tuesday, March 30, 2021 8:15 AM

To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC < PUBCOMMENT-OCC@tceq.texas.gov>

Subject: FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

From: Phillip Hunter < Phillip.Hunter.360756616@p2a.co >

Sent: Tuesday, March 30, 2021 6:58 AM
To: CHIEFCLK < chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov >
Subject: I oppose WRPERM 13630

Dear Chief Clerk,

I oppose WRPERM 13630. Many of us suffered through the historic winter storm in February 2021 and were without power for several days in freezing temperatures due to the amount of demand placed on the electrical grid in Texas. The operating pumps required to suck 62,890 gallons of water per minute will take an enormous amount of power, placing even more strain on the grid. I am opposed to issuing a permit which would demand excessive amounts of energy to supply water only for industrial use.

I also ask for a two-week extension for comments, as well as a public meeting.

Regards,
Phillip Hunter
432 Palm Dr
Port Aransas, TX 78373

From:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC

Sent:

Wednesday, March 31, 2021 9:19 AM

To:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC2; PUBCOMMENT-OPIC; PUBCOMMENT-ELD; PUBCOMMENT-

WWW-WRAS

Subject:

FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

PM

From: CHIEFCLK <chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov> Sent: Tuesday, March 30, 2021 8:17 AM

To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC < PUBCOMMENT-OCC@tceq.texas.gov>

Subject: FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

From: Bonnie Isaac < Bonnie. Isaac. 434575174@p2a.co >

Sent: Monday, March 29, 2021 6:54 PM
To: CHIEFCLK < chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov >
Subject: I oppose WRPERM 13630

Dear Chief Clerk,

I urge you to deny WRPERM 13630. I am opposed to any intake pipeline for a desalination plant in La Quinta Channel. So many members of our community love to fish, and boat along the channel near the jetties, and swim along the Portland Shoreline where the intake pipe would be located and in Ingleside Cove where the discharge would flow to. I am concerned that given the number of small larvae which will be sucked up, turned to sludge, and deposited into landfills, fishing will be badly impaired in the region.

I am also asking for a two week extension for comments due to the recent loss of electricity in Texas and that you hold a public meeting for the community to share their concerns.

Regards,
Bonnie Isaac
300 E White Ave
Port Aransas, TX 78373

From:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC

Sent:

Friday, March 26, 2021 2:30 PM

To:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC2; PUBCOMMENT-OPIC; PUBCOMMENT-ELD; PUBCOMMENT-

WWW-WRAS

Subject:

FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

PM

From: CHIEFCLK <chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov> Sent: Friday, March 26, 2021 9:38 AM

To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC < PUBCOMMENT-OCC@tceq.texas.gov>

Subject: FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

From: Harold Jeter < Harold.Jeter.433578497@p2a.co >

Sent: Thursday, March 25, 2021 9:20 PM
To: CHIEFCLK < chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov >
Subject: I oppose WRPERM 13630

Dear Chief Clerk,

I oppose WRPERM 13630. Many of us suffered through the historic winter storm in February 2021 and were without power for several days in freezing temperatures due to the amount of demand placed on the electrical grid in Texas. The operating pumps required to suck 62,890 gallons of water per minute will take an enormous amount of power, placing even more strain on the grid. I am opposed to issuing a permit which would demand excessive amounts of energy to supply water only for industrial use.

I also ask for a two-week extension for comments, as well as a public meeting.

Regards, Harold Jeter 4629 Prescott St Corpus Christi, TX 78416

From:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC

Sent:

Wednesday, March 31, 2021 9:19 AM

To:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC2; PUBCOMMENT-OPIC; PUBCOMMENT-ELD; PUBCOMMENT-

WWW-WRAS

Subject:

FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

PM

From: CHIEFCLK <chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov> Sent: Tuesday, March 30, 2021 8:18 AM

To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC < PUBCOMMENT-OCC@tceq.texas.gov>

Subject: FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

From: TIMOTHY JOLLY < TIMOTHY JOLLY .434484139@p2a.co >

Sent: Monday, March 29, 2021 4:33 PM
To: CHIEFCLK < chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov >
Subject: I oppose WRPERM 13630

Dear Chief Clerk,

I ask that you deny WRPERM 13630, and I oppose the Port of Corpus Christi's placing an intake pipe for a desalination plant in La Quinta Channel. This water will largely be for industrial use, not for me, my family, or my neighbors. I don't think bringing polluting corporations to our community is worth the cost these desalination plants will have on our Bay, on our wildlife, and on our local fishing and tourism economies.

I request a two-week extension of the deadline for comments on account of the recent freeze and loss of electricity in Texas. I also request that a public meeting be held for the community to express its concerns.

Regards, TIMOTHY JOLLY 1862 FM2725 Ingleside, TX 78362 ...

From:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC

Sent:

Monday, March 15, 2021 10:49 AM

To:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC2; PUBCOMMENT-OPIC; PUBCOMMENT-ELD; PUBCOMMENT-

WWW-WRAS

Subject:

FW: Public comment on Permit Number WRPERM 13630

From: ken@kenjofly.com <ken@kenjofly.com>

Sent: Monday, March 15, 2021 9:06 AM

To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC <PUBCOMMENT-OCC@tceq.texas.gov> **Subject:** Public comment on Permit Number WRPERM 13630

REGULATED ENTY NAME WRPERM 13630

RN NUMBER: RN110844933

PERMIT NUMBER: WRPERM 13630

DOCKET NUMBER:

COUNTY: SAN PATRICIO

PRINCIPAL NAME: PORT OF CORPUS CHRISTI AUTHORITY OF NUECES COUNTY

CN NUMBER: CN600885248

FROM

NAME: Capt Ken Jones

E-MAIL: ken@kenjofly.com

COMPANY: Kenjo Fly Fishing Charters

ADDRESS: PO BOX 2764

PORT ARANSAS TX 78373-2764

PHONE: 3615002552

FAX:

COMMENTS: To Whom It May Concern: Being a fishing guide and lifelong outdoorsman, I have come to learn about the delicate intricacies of water quality, the severe need for quality wildlife habitat. Having spent the majority of my life in the outdoors I have witnessed many changes occur to the natural environment due to increasing human impact, both industrial and recreational. In the last 9 years fishing almost daily in Port Aransas areas bays and estuaries, I have come

to see how our industrial human impact effects and affects the natural flora and fauna. I have witnessed "tanker tides" have adverse effects on the natural tides, therefore disrupting natural flows of the moon driven tides. I have also watched our fishery grow during periods of good rain (2014-2018) and saw the benefits of natural freshwater influx into our area. Considering that our bays and estuaries are hyper-saline, it is certainly foolish to think that even one single desalination facility anywhere on the Texas Coast would be most devastating and have immediate and severe impacts on the native flora and fauna. I urge you to consider other conservative options, such as simply using less water, wasting less of our natural resources, and deciding in the best interest of the people and the wild animals who depend on our lands and waters to remain clean. Sincerely, Capt Ken Jones

From:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC

Sent:

Thursday, March 25, 2021 6:05 PM

To:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC2; PUBCOMMENT-OPIC; PUBCOMMENT-ELD; PUBCOMMENT-

WWW-WRAS

Subject:

FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

PM

From: CHIEFCLK <chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov> Sent: Thursday, March 25, 2021 3:52 PM

To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC < PUBCOMMENT-OCC@tceq.texas.gov>

Subject: FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

From: Steven Kellman < Steven.Kellman.13293002@p2a.co >

Sent: Thursday, March 25, 2021 12:50 PM
To: CHIEFCLK < chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov>
Subject: I oppose WRPERM 13630

Dear Chief Clerk,

I urge you to deny WRPERM 13630. I am opposed to any intake pipeline for a desalination plant in La Quinta Channel. So many members of our community love to fish, boat, and swim along the Portland Shoreline where the intake pipe would be located and in Ingleside Cove where the discharge would flow to. I am concerned that given the number of small larvae which will be sucked up, turned to sludge, and deposited into landfills, fishing will be badly impaired in the region.

I am also asking for a two week extension for comments due to the recent loss of electricity in Texas and that you hold a public meeting for the community to share their concerns.

Regards, Steven Kellman 302 Fawn Dr Shavano Park, TX 78231 ...

From:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC

Sent:

Tuesday, March 30, 2021 9:16 AM

To:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC2; PUBCOMMENT-OPIC; PUBCOMMENT-ELD; PUBCOMMENT-

WWW-WRAS

Subject:

FW: Public comment on Permit Number WRPERM 13630

From: jeklein20@gmail.com <jeklein20@gmail.com>

Sent: Monday, March 29, 2021 4:32 PM

To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC < PUBCOMMENT-OCC@tceq.texas.gov > Subject: Public comment on Permit Number WRPERM 13630

REGULATED ENTY NAME WRPERM 13630

RN NUMBER: RN110844933

PERMIT NUMBER: WRPERM 13630

DOCKET NUMBER:

COUNTY: SAN PATRICIO

PRINCIPAL NAME: PORT OF CORPUS CHRISTI AUTHORITY OF NUECES COUNTY

CN NUMBER: CN600885248

FROM

NAME: DR. James Klein

E-MAIL: jeklein20@gmail.com

COMPANY: Coastal Bend Sierra Club group

ADDRESS: 3501 MONTERREY ST CORPUS CHRISTI TX 78411-1709

PHONE: 3613343908

FAX:

COMMENTS: I urge the TCEQ to reject this permit. Locating this desalination facility's water intake in the La Quinta Channel will be problematic because of its impingement and entrainment of organisms, particularly benthic organisms, in the ship channel. The threat to benthic organisms would consequently threaten the sporting fish in Corpus Christi Bay which feed on these organisms. Because port industries already discharge waste water into Corpus Christi Bay, this

intake will be terribly inefficient and may introduce dangerous chemicals into the Corpus Christi public water supply. This permit would contribute to completion of a desalination facility that would dump salty brine into the same channel, further reducing the facility's efficiency. This inefficiency would further increase water rates for people living in Corpus Christi and the surrounding region, though the benefits of this facility will disproportionately go to area industries. For the sake of area water rate payers and for the sake of plant and animal life in Corpus Christi Bay, I urge the TCEQ to reject this permit.

From:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC

Sent:

Thursday, March 25, 2021 6:13 PM

To:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC2; PUBCOMMENT-OPIC; PUBCOMMENT-ELD; PUBCOMMENT-

WWW-WRAS

Subject:

FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

PM

From: CHIEFCLK <chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov> Sent: Thursday, March 25, 2021 4:00 PM

To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC < PUBCOMMENT-OCC@tceq.texas.gov>

Subject: FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

From: James Klein < James. Klein. 8243689@p2a.co >

Sent: Thursday, March 25, 2021 11:18 AM
To: CHIEFCLK < chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov
Subject: I oppose WRPERM 13630

Dear Chief Clerk,

I strongly oppose WRPERM 13630 and the Port of Corpus Christi's placing an intake pipe for a desalination plant in La Quinta Channel. According to the permit, the Port of Corpus Christi would be allowed to suck 62,890 gallons of water from La Quinta Channel every minute. Sucking in that amount of water that fast will require an enormous amount of suction power and I am concerned about aquatic life being trapped or killed in the process.

I also request a two-week extension of the deadline for comments and a public meeting.

This, like numerous other issues (climate change, gun safety, immigration reform, prison reform, education reform, short-term lending regulation, healthcare reform, banking regulation, opioid regulation) remains a vexing problem primarily due to corporations' ability to curry favor with elected officials. The corrupting influence of money in our political system is undermining our democratic traditions and discouraging Americans from voting and/or running for office. This ominous development may well end our experiment in representative democracy unless we alter this decades-long trend. For the sake of the republic, we must amend the US Constitution to state that corporations are not people (and do not have constitutional rights) and money is not speech (and thus can be regulated by state and/or federal campaign finance laws). Short of accomplishing this, no other reform of significance will be achieved. The moneyed interests will turn any reform to their benefit, often at the expense of the nation as a whole.

Regards,
James Klein
3501 Monterrey St
Corpus Christi, TX 78411

Melissa Schmidt

From:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC

Sent: Wednesday, July 14, 2021 8:47 AM

To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC2; PUBCOMMENT-OPIC; PUBCOMMENT-ELD; PUBCOMMENT-

WWW-WRAS

Subject: FW: Public comment on Permit Number WRPERM 13630

From: terklein@gmail.com < terklein@gmail.com >

Sent: Tuesday, July 13, 2021 8:16 PM

To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC <PUBCOMMENT-OCC@tceq.texas.gov> **Subject:** Public comment on Permit Number WRPERM 13630

REGULATED ENTY NAME WRPERM 13630

RN NUMBER: RN110844933

PERMIT NUMBER: WRPERM 13630

DOCKET NUMBER: 2021-0421-WR

COUNTY: SAN PATRICIO

PRINCIPAL NAME: PORT OF CORPUS CHRISTI AUTHORITY OF NUECES COUNTY

CN NUMBER: CN600885248

FROM

NAME: DR. Teresa L Klein

E-MAIL: terklein@gmail.com

COMPANY:

ADDRESS: 3501 MONTERREY ST CORPUS CHRISTI TX 78411-1709

PHONE: 3615492165

FAX:

COMMENTS: I am a resident of Corpus Christi, living close to Corpus Christi Bay. I oppose this permit because I believe that this will harm the wildlife in the Bay. My family moved to Corpus Christi for the natural beauty and the aquatic features of this area. It appears that this project will damage the smallest creatures as well as the eggs, larvae, plankton, and other microscopic organism that exist within the bay. Without these buildingblocks, the bay will fail. This will

negatively impact quality of life in Corpus Christi. I also oppose this permit because the project is for industrial use which I believe will also harm the quality of life in Corpus Christi.							

From:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC

Sent:

Friday, March 26, 2021 2:40 PM

To:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC2; PUBCOMMENT-OPIC; PUBCOMMENT-ELD; PUBCOMMENT-

WWW-WRAS

Subject:

FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

PM

From: CHIEFCLK <chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov> Sent: Friday, March 26, 2021 9:52 AM

To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC < PUBCOMMENT-OCC@tceq.texas.gov>

Subject: FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

From: Juli Kring < Juli.Kring.229945405@p2a.co >

Sent: Thursday, March 25, 2021 2:28 PM
To: CHIEFCLK < chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov >
Subject: I oppose WRPERM 13630

Dear Chief Clerk,

As a mother and grandmother, I believe it is our duty to protect and preserve wildlife and our precious natural resources for all future generations. As a person of faith, I also feel a deep responsibility as a Steward for all of God's Divine Creation. That responsibility will always be more important than cooperate profit.

So, I strongly oppose WRPERM 13630 and the Port of Corpus Christi's placing an intake pipe for a desalination plant in La Quinta Channel. According to the permit, the Port of Corpus Christi would be allowed to suck 62,890 gallons of water from La Quinta Channel every minute. Sucking in that amount of water that fast will require an enormous amount of suction power and I am concerned about aquatic life being trapped or killed in the process.

I also request a two-week extension of the deadline for comments and a public meeting.

Regards, Juli Kring 12400 Brookglade Cir Houston, TX 77099 ...

From:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC

Sent:

Friday, March 26, 2021 2:28 PM

To:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC2; PUBCOMMENT-OPIC; PUBCOMMENT-ELD; PUBCOMMENT-

WWW-WRAS

Subject:

FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

PM

From: CHIEFCLK <chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov> Sent: Friday, March 26, 2021 9:37 AM

To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC < PUBCOMMENT-OCC@tceq.texas.gov>

Subject: FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

From: Hope Kruse < Hope.Kruse.169371878@p2a.co >

Sent: Thursday, March 25, 2021 11:16 PM
To: CHIEFCLK < chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov>
Subject: I oppose WRPERM 13630

Dear Chief Clerk,

I strongly oppose WRPERM 13630 and the Port of Corpus Christi's placing an intake pipe for a desalination plant in La Quinta Channel. According to the permit, the Port of Corpus Christi would be allowed to suck 62,890 gallons of water from La Quinta Channel every minute. Sucking in that amount of water that fast will require an enormous amount of suction power and I am concerned about aquatic life being trapped or killed in the process.

I also request a two-week extension of the deadline for comments and a public meeting.

Regards, Hope Kruse 271 Dorset Ln Austin, TX 78737

From:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC

Sent:

Tuesday, March 30, 2021 9:35 AM

To:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC2; PUBCOMMENT-OPIC; PUBCOMMENT-ELD; PUBCOMMENT-

WWW-WRAS

Subject:

FW: Public comment on Permit Number WRPERM 13630

From: cr_@yahoo.com <cr_@yahoo.com> Sent: Monday, March 29, 2021 8:31 PM

To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC < PUBCOMMENT-OCC@tceq.texas.gov > **Subject:** Public comment on Permit Number WRPERM 13630

REGULATED ENTY NAME WRPERM 13630

RN NUMBER: RN110844933

PERMIT NUMBER: WRPERM 13630

DOCKET NUMBER:

COUNTY: SAN PATRICIO

PRINCIPAL NAME: PORT OF CORPUS CHRISTI AUTHORITY OF NUECES COUNTY

CN NUMBER: CN600885248

FROM

NAME: CL

E-MAIL: cr @yahoo.com

COMPANY:

ADDRESS: 12052 BOOMER ST CORPUS CHRISTI TX 78418-1618

PHONE: 3616058608

FAX:

COMMENTS: I support these permits as I believe Corpus Christi needs engineering approaches to clean water. Our families will feel safer living in a city that isn't constantly falling under "water boil" status. Pulling water from, and discharging the brine solution into the bay isn't an inherently bad idea. With the right engineering approaches it can be done with the least amount of waterlife harm. I support this project and hope that it is done using smart technologies so

that natural asset we have, can provide us the additional benefits of fresh drinking water. I charge the city and project managers to proceed with a heart and mind towards protecting nature in the best way we can.						

From:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC

Sent:

Thursday, March 25, 2021 6:18 PM

To:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC2; PUBCOMMENT-OPIC; PUBCOMMENT-ELD; PUBCOMMENT-

WWW-WRAS

Subject:

FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

PM

From: CHIEFCLK <chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov> Sent: Thursday, March 25, 2021 4:01 PM

To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC < PUBCOMMENT-OCC@tceq.texas.gov>

Subject: FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

From: Brannin Lancaster < Brannin.Lancaster.281582338@p2a.co >

Sent: Thursday, March 25, 2021 11:02 AM
To: CHIEFCLK < chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov>
Subject: I oppose WRPERM 13630

Dear Chief Clerk,

I strongly oppose WRPERM 13630 and the Port of Corpus Christi's placing an intake pipe for a desalination plant in La Quinta Channel. According to the permit, the Port of Corpus Christi would be allowed to suck 62,890 gallons of water from La Quinta Channel every minute. Sucking in that amount of water that fast will require an enormous amount of suction power and I am concerned about aquatic life being trapped or killed in the process.

I also request a two-week extension of the deadline for comments and a public meeting.

Regards, Brannin Lancaster 6016 La Naranja Ln Austin, TX 78749

From:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC

Sent:

Tuesday, March 30, 2021 8:43 AM

To:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC2; PUBCOMMENT-OPIC; PUBCOMMENT-ELD; PUBCOMMENT-

WWW-WRAS

Subject:

FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

PM

From: CHIEFCLK < chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov> Sent: Monday, March 29, 2021 10:49 AM

To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC < PUBCOMMENT-OCC@tceq.texas.gov>

Subject: FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

From: Stephan Laurent-Faesi < Stephan.LaurentFaesi.359543308@p2a.co

Sent: Monday, March 29, 2021 10:29 AM
To: CHIEFCLK < chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov >
Subject: I oppose WRPERM 13630

Dear Chief Clerk,

I oppose WRPERM 13630. Many of us suffered through the historic winter storm in February 2021 and were without power for several days in freezing temperatures due to the amount of demand placed on the electrical grid in Texas. The operating pumps required to suck 62,890 gallons of water per minute will take an enormous amount of power, placing even more strain on the grid. I am opposed to issuing a permit which would demand excessive amounts of energy to supply water only for industrial use.

I also ask for a two-week extension for comments, as well as a public meeting.

Regards, Stephan Laurent-Faesi 402 Sharon Dr Corpus Christi, TX 78412 a

From:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC

Sent:

Friday, March 26, 2021 2:40 PM

To:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC2; PUBCOMMENT-OPIC; PUBCOMMENT-ELD; PUBCOMMENT-

WWW-WRAS

Subject:

FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

PM

From: CHIEFCLK <chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov> Sent: Friday, March 26, 2021 9:52 AM

To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC < PUBCOMMENT-OCC@tceq.texas.gov>

Subject: FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

From: Joe Lawrence < Joe.Lawrence.255024336@p2a.co >

Sent: Thursday, March 25, 2021 2:20 PM
To: CHIEFCLK < chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov >
Subject: I oppose WRPERM 13630

Dear Chief Clerk,

I oppose WRPERM 13630. Many of us suffered through the historic winter storm in February 2021 and were without power for several days in freezing temperatures due to the amount of demand placed on the electrical grid in Texas. The operating pumps required to suck 62,890 gallons of water per minute will take an enormous amount of power, placing even more strain on the grid. I am opposed to issuing a permit which would demand excessive amounts of energy to supply water only for industrial use.

I also ask for a two-week extension for comments, as well as a public meeting.

Regards, Joe Lawrence 2015 Scofield Ln Austin, TX 78727

From:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC

Sent:

Tuesday, March 30, 2021 9:13 AM

To:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC2; PUBCOMMENT-OPIC; PUBCOMMENT-ELD; PUBCOMMENT-

WWW-WRAS

Subject:

FW: I strongly oppose WRPERM 13630

PM

From: CHIEFCLK <chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov> Sent: Monday, March 29, 2021 4:12 PM

To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC < PUBCOMMENT-OCC@tceq.texas.gov>

Subject: FW: I strongly oppose WRPERM 13630

From: Zane Liston < Zane.Liston.434429347@p2a.co>

Sent: Monday, March 29, 2021 3:23 PM
To: CHIEFCLK < chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov >
Subject: I strongly oppose WRPERM 13630

Dear Chief Clerk,

The coast is ravaged by industry. I ask that you deny WRPERM 13630, and I oppose the Port of Corpus Christi's placing an intake pipe for a desalination plant in La Quinta Channel. This water will largely be for industrial use, not for me, my family, or my neighbors. I don't think bringing polluting corporations to our community is worth the cost these desalination plants will have on our Bay, on our wildlife, and on our local fishing and tourism economies. I recently served a term in the Gulf Conservation Corp, caring for the coastal wetlands. We must show that large polluting industries are not the only value of the coastal region.

I request a two-week extension of the deadline for comments on account of the recent freeze and loss of electricity in Texas. I also request that a public meeting be held for the community to express its concerns.

Regards,
Zane Liston
3838 Wow Rd
Corpus Christi, TX 78413.

From:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC

Sent:

Wednesday, March 31, 2021 1:16 PM

To:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC2; PUBCOMMENT-OPIC; PUBCOMMENT-ELD; PUBCOMMENT-

WWW-WRAS

Subject:

FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

PM

From: CHIEFCLK <chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov> Sent: Wednesday, March 31, 2021 7:49 AM

To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC < PUBCOMMENT-OCC@tceq.texas.gov>

Subject: FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

From: arlene long <arlene.long.435037521@p2a.co>

Sent: Tuesday, March 30, 2021 7:34 PM
To: CHIEFCLK < chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov >
Subject: I oppose WRPERM 13630

Dear Chief Clerk,

I strongly oppose WRPERM 13630 and the Port of Corpus Christi's placing an intake pipe for a desalination plant in La Quinta Channel. According to the permit, the Port of Corpus Christi would be allowed to suck 62,890 gallons of water from La Quinta Channel every minute. Sucking in that amount of water that fast will require an enormous amount of suction power and I am concerned about aquatic life being trapped or killed in the process.

I also request a two-week extension of the deadline for comments and a public meeting.

Regards, arlene long 5857 Timbergate Dr Corpus Christi, TX 78414.

From:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC

Sent:

Monday, March 29, 2021 1:04 PM

To:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC2; PUBCOMMENT-OPIC; PUBCOMMENT-ELD; PUBCOMMENT-

WWW-WRAS

Subject:

FW: Public comment on Permit Number WRPERM 13630

From: nancylubbock19@gmail.com <nancylubbock19@gmail.com>

Sent: Friday, March 26, 2021 4:00 PM

To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC <PUBCOMMENT-OCC@tceq.texas.gov> **Subject:** Public comment on Permit Number WRPERM 13630

REGULATED ENTY NAME WRPERM 13630

RN NUMBER: RN110844933

PERMIT NUMBER: WRPERM 13630

DOCKET NUMBER:

COUNTY: SAN PATRICIO

PRINCIPAL NAME: PORT OF CORPUS CHRISTI AUTHORITY OF NUECES COUNTY

CN NUMBER: CN600885248

FROM

NAME: Nancy Lubbock

E-MAIL: nancylubbock19@gmail.com

COMPANY:

ADDRESS: 155 WOODHAVEN INGLESIDE TX 78362-4675

PHONE: 3615640829

FAX:

COMMENTS: I oppose To desal plants Sea turtles are in danger And harmful to our environment

From:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC

Sent:

Wednesday, March 3, 2021 2:24 PM

To:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC2; PUBCOMMENT-OPIC; PUBCOMMENT-ELD; PUBCOMMENT-

WWW-WRAS

Subject:

FW: Public comment on Permit Number WRPERM 13630

From: susanhadley@cableone.net <susanhadley@cableone.net>

Sent: Wednesday, March 3, 2021 10:18 AM

To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC <PUBCOMMENT-OCC@tceq.texas.gov> **Subject:** Public comment on Permit Number WRPERM 13630

REGULATED ENTY NAME WRPERM 13630

RN NUMBER: RN110844933

PERMIT NUMBER: WRPERM 13630

DOCKET NUMBER:

COUNTY: SAN PATRICIO

PRINCIPAL NAME: PORT OF CORPUS CHRISTI AUTHORITY OF NUECES COUNTY

CN NUMBER: CN600885248

FROM

NAME: Susan Lugo

E-MAIL: susanhadley@cableone.net

COMPANY:

ADDRESS: 475 SUNSET INGLESIDE TX 78362-4740

PHONE: 3617763229

FAX:

COMMENTS: I strongly oppose the approval of WRPERM 13630 as a deterrent to the fishermen in this area. This is a plan to pump an excessive amount of water from our bays and will be very harmful to our environment. Please do not allow this to happen!

From:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC

Sent:

Thursday, March 25, 2021 8:52 AM

To:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC2; PUBCOMMENT-OPIC; PUBCOMMENT-ELD; PUBCOMMENT-

WWW-WRAS

Subject:

FW: Public comment on Permit Number WRPERM 13630

From: papabear@papabearfabrication.com <papabear@papabearfabrication.com>

Sent: Wednesday, March 24, 2021 8:28 PM

To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC <PUBCOMMENT-OCC@tceq.texas.gov> **Subject:** Public comment on Permit Number WRPERM 13630

REGULATED ENTY NAME WRPERM 13630

RN NUMBER: RN110844933

PERMIT NUMBER: WRPERM 13630

DOCKET NUMBER:

COUNTY: SAN PATRICIO

PRINCIPAL NAME: PORT OF CORPUS CHRISTI AUTHORITY OF NUECES COUNTY

CN NUMBER: CN600885248

FROM

NAME: Dewey Magee, III

E-MAIL: papabear@papabearfabrication.com

COMPANY:

ADDRESS: 4252 KESTREL LN PORTLAND TX 78374-3315

PHONE: 3619474252

FAX:

COMMENTS: This desal plant cannot be built in a closed bay system. I have lived in Portland for almost 50 years, and the waters around Portland and Corpus Christi are vital parts of the recreation, and economy of this area. Sean Strawbridge is not a marine biologist to my knowledge, he is ignoring all scientific information regarding the harm this will do to the

area. Please do not grant this permit. It needs of the citizens of this area.	is a terrible ide	a designed only t	o attract more in	dustry, not to sa	tisfy water

From:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC

Sent:

Tuesday, March 30, 2021 8:53 AM

To:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC2; PUBCOMMENT-OPIC; PUBCOMMENT-ELD; PUBCOMMENT-

WWW-WRAS

Subject:

FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

PM

From: CHIEFCLK <chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov> Sent: Monday, March 29, 2021 1:36 PM

To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC < PUBCOMMENT-OCC@tceq.texas.gov>

Subject: FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

From: Elizabeth Mayorga < Elizabeth.Mayorga.280886035@p2a.co >

Sent: Monday, March 29, 2021 12:59 PM
To: CHIEFCLK < chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov >
Subject: I oppose WRPERM 13630

Dear Chief Clerk,

I urge you to deny WRPERM 13630. I am opposed to any intake pipeline for a desalination plant in La Quinta Channel. So many members of our community love to fish, boat, and swim along the Portland Shoreline where the intake pipe would be located and in Ingleside Cove where the discharge would flow to. I am concerned that given the number of small larvae which will be sucked up, turned to sludge, and deposited into landfills, fishing will be badly impaired in the region.

I am also asking for a two week extension for comments due to the recent loss of electricity in Texas and that you hold a public meeting for the community to share their concerns.

Regards, Elizabeth Mayorga 5502 Saratoga Blvd Corpus Christi, TX 78413 a

From:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC

Sent:

Tuesday, March 30, 2021 8:49 AM

To:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC2; PUBCOMMENT-OPIC; PUBCOMMENT-ELD; PUBCOMMENT-

WWW-WRAS

Subject:

FW: Public comment on Permit Number WRPERM 13630

Attachments:

desal3.pdf

From: olimpia_isabel@hotmail.com <olimpia_isabel@hotmail.com>

Sent: Monday, March 29, 2021 12:21 PM

To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC < PUBCOMMENT-OCC@tceq.texas.gov> **Subject:** Public comment on Permit Number WRPERM 13630

REGULATED ENTY NAME WRPERM 13630

RN NUMBER: RN110844933

PERMIT NUMBER: WRPERM 13630

DOCKET NUMBER:

COUNTY: SAN PATRICIO

PRINCIPAL NAME: PORT OF CORPUS CHRISTI AUTHORITY OF NUECES COUNTY

CN NUMBER: CN600885248

FROM

NAME: Olimpia Isabel McAllister

E-MAIL: olimpia isabel@hotmail.com

COMPANY: self

ADDRESS: 908 BENTWATER PKWY

CEDAR HILL TX 75104-8269

PHONE: 9728902446

FAX:

COMMENTS: Please see attachment. Thank you

My name is Olimpia Isabel McAllister. I reside in Cedar Hill, Texas, a suburb southwest of Dallas, Texas. I moved to Dallas 24 years ago after I graduated from Texas A&M University. So, what draws my interest to this issue? I was raised in Portland, Texas. My parents, who are now retired and are finally ready to enjoy the fruits of their labor, still live there. I have three children and 7 nephews, who have all grown to love and appreciate the wild beauty and serenity of that bay as much as I do. Every school break, holiday weekend or special occasion finds us gathering and coming together at my parent's house at 105 Lost Creek. We spend about a quarter of the year-sometimes more- at that property. And it is my plan, as well as the next generation's, to continue to spend as much or more time in the coming years. For these reasons, and many more, I am alarmed to discover the City, county and Port's plans for the construction of desalination plants in what is practically, my parent's and therefore in turn, my children's backyard. It is my understanding that these permits were granted based on incomplete or misleading information and data. My comments on their proposals are as follows:

- Location of the intake: The claim that by placing the intake at that precise location is to avoid contamination of intake from the desalination discharges is completely preposterous. I don't need to be an expert to argue this point, ask anyone who has swam, fished, sailboarded, kayaked or spent any time out in those waters-those currents are in constant motion and rotation. The flow of the bay water is in constant flux. The ebb and flow of the tides are affected by a number of factors, including but not limited to the time of day, seasons, and weather, not to mention the currents which flow against the tide. The flow is ever changing.
- Dredging/channeling: It is my understanding that there will need to be additional excavations of considerable size and magnitude in order to accommodate the installation of necessary equipment at the intake. How do we, the residents, owners, visitors, or other interested parties, know with certainty that such excavations will not, whether directly or indirectly, adversely impact existing shoreline structures and homes not only when the weather is nice but also during threatening weather conditions? And in the event in the event that such a disastrous event may occur, is TECQ prepared to stand by their decision to allow this project to go through and justly compensate those who have their entire life's savings and dreams invested in these properties? To my lay person's ears, such costs have the potential to become astronomical.
- Health of a Unique Marine Ecosystem: By definition an ecosystem is a a biological community of interacting organisms and their physical environment. Does the information submitted with the permit applications take into consideration the entire "community of interacting organisms" in this bay? I do not see how it could. When it has been established by the local scientific community that living in these waters are crustaceans, and fish (native only to these waters by the way) which based on their sizes at various stages of development, would easily slip through the openings of the proposed protective screens. Screens that according to the enineering firm that prepared this application are intended to comply with the "Fish Protection Standards". Again, it does not take an expert to know there are more than just fish living in that bay. Does the application say anything about protecting the plant life? Those sea grasses

form a vital part of the food chain. Does the application mention how any of these aforementioned activities could affect the life cycle of those grasses? The application goes on to describe the velocity at which the intake will be sucked in at the entrance to the equipment. But isn't it the force at which these organisms will slam into the screens, and then the walls of the equipment that we really need to know? The velocity is just one variable in determining that force. And these were engineers that put together this application? I'm an accountant, and even I know the information provided here is incomplete and insufficient in determining the veracity of these statements. Makes one wonder or rather question the expertise, credentials, or true motivation of the person or persons involved in the proposal, planning and financing of this project.

- Energy Consumption: Where will the power to run this desalination plant come from? Based on the outcome of the disasterous winter storm that just passed through a few weeks ago, the gross mismanagment of this state's resources which led to the loss of property and life (numbers and/or dollar amounts yet to be determined), is the "grid" in Corpus Christi and surrounding cities prepared to take on more demand for power? Does the application even address this important point? What type of power will the plant require? How much?
- Cumulative effect: I know there are plans for more than one desalination plant in this
 bay and surrounding bays. Does this engineering firm, or for that matter ANY one in the
 business of desalination have any idea what the impact of all these desalination plants
 in a closed bay system will be? Have these proposed desalination projects been
 presented together or reviewed together, before permitting, in order to determine
 what the cumulative effects will be on this coastline, the city, its citizens? Again, I site
 the well documented fact that the health of our marine bodies of water have a direct
 effect on the health of the surrounding air quality and land resources.

Therefore, based on these points, I request that this application be denied/withdrawn immediately due to its lack of sufficient, meaningful technical content as well as its deficiencies and inadequacies in providing necessary information to the Public and the Regulating Agency (TCEQ) needed to determine the adverse impacts, whether direct or indirect, this project would have on the ecological systems of Corpus Christi Bay and the socio-economic impact it would have on affected citizens. The effects would be catastrophic.

From:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC

Sent:

Monday, March 29, 2021 1:41 PM

To:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC2; PUBCOMMENT-OPIC; PUBCOMMENT-ELD; PUBCOMMENT-

WWW-WRAS

Subject:

FW: Public comment on Permit Number WRPERM 13630

Attachments:

desal.pdf

From: olimpia_isabel@hotmail.com <olimpia_isabel@hotmail.com>

Sent: Sunday, March 28, 2021 9:57 PM

To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC < PUBCOMMENT-OCC@tceq.texas.gov > **Subject:** Public comment on Permit Number WRPERM 13630

REGULATED ENTY NAME WRPERM 13630

RN NUMBER: RN110844933

PERMIT NUMBER: WRPERM 13630

DOCKET NUMBER:

COUNTY: SAN PATRICIO

PRINCIPAL NAME: PORT OF CORPUS CHRISTI AUTHORITY OF NUECES COUNTY

CN NUMBER: CN600885248

FROM

NAME: Olimpia McAllister

E-MAIL: olimpia_isabel@hotmail.com

COMPANY:

ADDRESS: 908 BENTWATER PKWY

CEDAR HILL TX 75104-8269

PHONE: 9728902446

FAX:

COMMENTS: Please refer to the attachment for my comments. Thank you for your time.

My name is Olimpia Isabel McAllister. I reside in Cedar Hill, Texas, a suburb southwest of Dallas, Texas. I moved to Dallas 24 years ago after I graduated from Texas A&M University. So, what draws my interest to this issue? I was raised in Portland, Texas. My parents, who are now retired and are finally ready to enjoy the fruits of their labor, still live there. I have three children and 7 nephews, who have all grown to love and appreciate the wild beauty and serenity of that bay as much as I do. Every school break, holiday weekend or special occasion finds us gathering and coming together at my parent's house at 105 Lost Creek. We spend about a quarter of the year-sometimes more- at that property. And it is my plan, as well as the next generation's, to continue to spend as much or more time in the coming years. For these reasons, and many more, I am alarmed to discover the City, county and Port's plans for the construction of desalination plants in what is practically, my parent's and therefore in turn, my children's backyard. It is my understanding that these permits were granted based on incomplete or misleading information and data. My comments on their proposals are as follows:

- Location of the intake: The claim that by placing the intake at that precise location is to avoid contamination of intake from the desalination discharges is completely preposterous. I don't need to be an expert to argue this point, ask anyone who has swam, fished, sailboarded, kayaked or spent any time out in those waters-those currents are in constant motion and rotation. The flow of the bay water is in constant flux. The ebb and flow of the tides are affected by a number of factors, including but not limited to the time of day, seasons, and weather, not to mention the currents which flow against the tide. The flow is ever changing.
- Dredging/channeling: It is my understanding that there will need to be additional excavations of considerable size and magnitude in order to accommodate the installation of necessary equipment at the intake. How do we, the residents, owners, visitors, or other interested parties, know with certainty that such excavations will not, whether directly or indirectly, adversely impact existing shoreline structures and homes not only when the weather is nice but also during threatening weather conditions? And in the event in the event that such a disastrous event may occur, is TECQ prepared to stand by their decision to allow this project to go through and justly compensate those who have their entire life's savings and dreams invested in these properties? To my lay person's ears, such costs have the potential to become astronomical.
- Health of a Unique Marine Ecosystem: By definition an ecosystem is a a biological community of interacting organisms and their physical environment. Does the information submitted with the permit applications take into consideration the entire "community of interacting organisms" in this bay? I do not see how it could. When it has been established by the local scientific community that living in these waters are crustaceans, and fish (native only to these waters by the way) which based on their sizes at various stages of development, would easily slip through the openings of the proposed protective screens. Screens that according to the enineering firm that prepared this application are intended to comply with the "Fish Protection Standards". Again, it does not take an expert to know there are more than just fish living in that bay. Does the application say anything about protecting the plant life? Those sea grasses

form a vital part of the food chain. Does the application mention how any of these aforementioned activities could affect the life cycle of those grasses? The application goes on to describe the velocity at which the intake will be sucked in at the entrance to the equipment. But isn't it the force at which these organisms will slam into the screens, and then the walls of the equipment that we really need to know? The velocity is just one variable in determining that force. And these were engineers that put together this application? I'm an accountant, and even I know the information provided here is incomplete and insufficient in determining the veracity of these statements. Makes one wonder or rather question the expertise, credentials, or true motivation of the person or persons involved in the proposal, planning and financing of this project.

- Energy Consumption: Where will the power to run this desalination plant come from? Based on the outcome of the disasterous winter storm that just passed through a few weeks ago, the gross mismanagment of this state's resources which led to the loss of property and life (numbers and/or dollar amounts yet to be determined), is the "grid" in Corpus Christi and surrounding cities prepared to take on more demand for power? Does the application even address this important point? What type of power will the plant require? How much?
- Cumulative effect: I know there are plans for more than one desalination plant in this bay and surrounding bays. Does this engineering firm, or for that matter ANY one in the business of desalination have any idea what the impact of all these desalination plants in a closed bay system will be? Have these proposed desalination projects been presented together or reviewed together, before permitting, in order to determine what the cumulative effects will be on this coastline, the city, its citizens? Again, I site the well documented fact that the health of our marine bodies of water have a direct effect on the health of the surrounding air quality and land resources.

Therefore, based on these points, I request that this application be denied/withdrawn immediately due to its lack of sufficient, meaningful technical content as well as its deficiencies and inadequacies in providing necessary information to the Public and the Regulating Agency (TCEQ) needed to determine the adverse impacts, whether direct or indirect, this project would have on the ecological systems of Corpus Christi Bay and the socio-economic impact it would have on affected citizens. The effects would be catastrophic.

From:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC

Sent:

Tuesday, March 30, 2021 8:44 AM

To:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC2; PUBCOMMENT-OPIC; PUBCOMMENT-ELD; PUBCOMMENT-

WWW-WRAS

Subject:

FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

PM

From: CHIEFCLK <chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov> Sent: Monday, March 29, 2021 10:49 AM

To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC < PUBCOMMENT-OCC@tceq.texas.gov>

Subject: FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

From: Eli McKay < Eli.McKay.335796665@p2a.co >

Sent: Monday, March 29, 2021 10:28 AM To: CHIEFCLK < chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov > Subject: I oppose WRPERM 13630

Dear Chief Clerk,

I strongly oppose WRPERM 13630 and the Port of Corpus Christi's placing an intake pipe for a desalination plant in La Quinta Channel. According to the permit, the Port of Corpus Christi would be allowed to suck 62,890 gallons of water from La Quinta Channel every minute. Sucking in that amount of water that fast will require an enormous amount of suction power and I am concerned about aquatic life being trapped or killed in the process.

I also request a two-week extension of the deadline for comments and a public meeting.

Regards, Eli McKay 1008 Marguerite St Corpus Christi, TX 78401.

From:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC

Sent:

Friday, March 26, 2021 2:18 PM

To:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC2; PUBCOMMENT-OPIC; PUBCOMMENT-ELD; PUBCOMMENT-

WWW-WRAS

Subject:

FW: Public comment on Permit Number WRPERM 13630

From: philm@cableone.net < philm@cableone.net >

Sent: Friday, March 26, 2021 9:10 AM

To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC <PUBCOMMENT-OCC@tceq.texas.gov> **Subject:** Public comment on Permit Number WRPERM 13630

REGULATED ENTY NAME WRPERM 13630

RN NUMBER: RN110844933

PERMIT NUMBER: WRPERM 13630

DOCKET NUMBER:

COUNTY: SAN PATRICIO

PRINCIPAL NAME: PORT OF CORPUS CHRISTI AUTHORITY OF NUECES COUNTY

CN NUMBER: CN600885248

FROM

NAME: Phillip McMulin

E-MAIL: philm@cableone.net

COMPANY:

ADDRESS: PO BOX 179 201 SUNSET

INGLESIDE TX 78362-0179

PHONE: 3617767407

FAX:

COMMENTS: I am a member of the Ingleside on the Bay Coastal Watch Association and live in Ingleside on the Bay. Our neighborhood is on La Quinta channel. I STRONGLY OPPOSE the Port of Corpus Christi's placing an intake pipe for a desalination plant in La Quinta Channel. I request a two-week extension of the deadline for comments on account of the recent freeze and loss of electricity in Texas. According to the permit, the Port of Corpus Christi would be allowed to

suck 62,890 gallons of water from La Quinta Channel every minute. Sucking in that amount of water that fast will require an enormous amount of suction power and I am concerned about aquatic life being trapped or killed in the process. All of our area scientists, including from Texas Parks & Wildlife, the General Land Office, the UT Marine Science Institute, and the Harte Research Institute, have said, in published reports, that seawater desalination intake and discharge should only occur in designated areas offshore in the Gulf. There's even an expedited permitting process for this. Why is the Port of Corpus Christi, a public entity, insisting on putting intake and discharge inside Corpus Christi Bay in the first place. Aren't they listening? Why aren't they showing the way by pursuing the expedited permit process that will keep our Bay safer?

Melissa Schmidt

From:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC

Sent:

Wednesday, July 14, 2021 8:41 AM

To:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC2; PUBCOMMENT-OPIC; PUBCOMMENT-ELD; PUBCOMMENT-

WWW-WRAS

Subject:

FW: Public comment on Permit Number WRPERM 13630

Attachments:

Comment-TCEQ_Port's LQ Chnnl Desal Intake_7-13-21.docx

From: vicechair@coastalbend.surfrider.org < vicechair@coastalbend.surfrider.org >

Sent: Tuesday, July 13, 2021 8:35 PM

To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC <PUBCOMMENT-OCC@tceq.texas.gov> **Subject:** Public comment on Permit Number WRPERM 13630

REGULATED ENTY NAME WRPERM 13630

RN NUMBER: RN110844933

PERMIT NUMBER: WRPERM 13630

DOCKET NUMBER: 2021-0421-WR

COUNTY: SAN PATRICIO

PRINCIPAL NAME: PORT OF CORPUS CHRISTI AUTHORITY OF NUECES COUNTY

CN NUMBER: CN600885248

FROM

NAME: Neil McQueen

E-MAIL: vicechair@coastalbend.surfrider.org

COMPANY:

ADDRESS: 4213 ESTATE DR CORPUS CHRISTI TX 78412-2428

PHONE: 3617654445

FAX:

COMMENTS: My name is Neil McQueen. I have lived in Corpus Christi for 18 years. In that time, I have sailed and/or fished in all parts of Corpus Christi Bay. Those experiences have been enhanced by observing a wide range of wildlife in, on and around the bay. I oppose the intake permit for the desalination plant on La Quinta Channel for the following

reasons: 1) When the Harte Research Institute was asked to study possible locations for desalination plants in the Coastal Bend, their initial biological conclusion was it was best to have the intakes and discharges offshore in the Gulf of Mexico. The General Land Office and Texas Parks & Wildlife Department reached the same conclusion in their joint "Marine Seawater Desalination Diversion and Discharge Zones Study" in 2018. 2) Impingement and entrainment are very real concerns linked with intake structures. According to a study by the Harte Research Institute, "impingement of larger fish, marine mammals, and sea turtles can reduce the spawning stock biomass due to an increased mortality rate. In addition, entrainment of smaller invertebrate and fish larvae as well as eggs can reduce recruitment." HRI concluded that the preferred intake type would be either the subsurface directional drilled or subsurface infiltration gallery intakes depending on site characteristics, yet the application for the permit shows a screened intake above the seabed. 3) A 2020 study done by HRI scientists titled "Water Quality Trends in Texas Estuaries" concluded that, "Increasing salinity was observed in estuaries of the central Texas coast". The combined intake and brine discharge activities of the proposed desalination plant will further increase salinity in Corpus Christi Bay. This change will harm sensitive fish and other aquatic life. A separate study by HRI scientists in 2020 stated, "Significant annual increases in salinity were observed at four sites in Corpus Christi Bay. This has important implications for marine organisms that are sensitive to high salinities." And yet another HRI study done last year found that, "not only are red tides increasing in the Corpus Christi area, but they may be tied to documented long-term increases in salinity levels in the Nueces Estuary system. ... our data indicates that pretty much anything we do that leads to an increase in the salinity of the bay has the potential to create more conducive conditions for red tide." 4) Lastly, the plant will require massive amounts of energy to operate, creating higher demands on the power grid. My family was one of many that suffered through the winter storm in February 2021 and were without power for several days in freezing temperatures when the grid failed. The energy required to power the plant's pumps will place even more strain on the grid, and I am opposed to issuing a permit which would demand excessive amounts of electricity to supply water for industrial use. My name is Neil McQueen. I have lived in Corpus Christi for 18 years. In that time, I have sailed and/or fished in all parts of Corpus Christi Bay. Those experiences have been enhanced by observing a wide range of wildlife in, on and around the bay. I oppose the intake permit for the desalination plant on La Quinta Channel for the following reasons: 1) When the Harte Research Institute was asked to study possible locations for desalination plants in the Coastal Bend, their initial biological conclusion was it was best to have the intakes and discharges offshore in the Gulf of Mexico. The General Land Office and Texas Parks & Wildlife Department reached the same conclusion in their joint "Marine Seawater Desalination Diversion and Discharge Zones Study" in 2018. 2) Impingement and entrainment are very real concerns linked with intake structures. According to a study by the Harte Research Institute, "impingement of larger fish, marine mammals, and sea turtles can reduce the spawning stock biomass due to an increased mortality rate. In addition, entrainment of smaller invertebrate and fish larvae as well as eggs can reduce recruitment." HRI concluded that the preferred intake type would be either the subsurface directional drilled or subsurface infiltration gallery intakes depending on site characteristics, yet the application for the permit shows a screened intake above the seabed. 3) A 2020 study done by HRI scientists titled "Water Quality Trends in Texas Estuaries" concluded that, "Increasing salinity was observed in estuaries of the central Texas coast". The combined intake and brine discharge activities of the proposed desalination plant will further increase salinity in Corpus Christi Bay. This change will harm sensitive fish and other aquatic life. A separate study by HRI scientists in 2020 stated, "Significant annual increases in salinity were observed at four sites in Corpus Christi Bay. This has important implications for marine organisms that are sensitive to high salinities." And yet another HRI study done last year found that, "not only are red tides increasing in the Corpus Christi area, but they may be tied to documented long-term increases in salinity levels in the Nueces Estuary system. ... our data indicates that pretty much anything we do that leads to an increase in the salinity of the bay has the potential to create more conducive conditions for red tide." 4) Lastly, the plant will require massive amounts of energy to operate, creating higher demands on the power grid. My family was one of many that suffered through the winter storm in February 2021 and were without power for several days in freezing temperatures when the grid failed. The energy required to power the plant's pumps will place even more strain on the grid, and I am opposed to issuing a permit which would demand excessive amounts of electricity to supply water for industrial use.

Comment for TCEQ Public Meeting – 90 MGD Intake Permit for the Port of Corpus Christi's Desalination Plant on La Quinta Channel

My name is Neil McQueen. I have lived in Corpus Christi for 18 years. In that time, I have sailed and/or fished in all parts of Corpus Christi Bay. Those experiences have been enhanced by observing a wide range of wildlife in, on and around the bay.

I oppose the intake permit for the desalination plant on La Quinta Channel for the following reasons:

- 1) When the Harte Research Institute was asked to study possible locations for desalination plants in the Coastal Bend, their initial biological conclusion was it was best to have the intakes and discharges offshore in the Gulf of Mexico. The General Land Office and Texas Parks & Wildlife Department reached the same conclusion in their joint "Marine Seawater Desalination Diversion and Discharge Zones Study" in 2018.
- 2) Impingement and entrainment are very real concerns linked with intake structures. According to a study by the Harte Research Institute, "impingement of larger fish, marine mammals, and sea turtles can reduce the spawning stock biomass due to an increased mortality rate. In addition, entrainment of smaller invertebrate and fish larvae as well as eggs can reduce recruitment."

HRI concluded that the preferred intake type would be either the subsurface directional drilled or subsurface infiltration gallery intakes depending on site characteristics, yet the application for the permit shows a screened intake above the seabed.

3) A 2020 study done by HRI scientists titled "Water Quality Trends in Texas Estuaries" concluded that, "Increasing salinity was observed in estuaries of the central Texas coast". The combined intake and brine discharge activities of the proposed desalination plant will further increase salinity in Corpus Christi Bay. This change will harm sensitive fish and other aquatic life.

A separate study by HRI scientists in 2020 stated, "Significant annual increases in salinity were observed at four sites in Corpus Christi Bay. This has important implications for marine organisms that are sensitive to high salinities."

And yet another HRI study done last year found that, "not only are red tides increasing in the Corpus Christi area, but they may be tied to documented long-term increases in salinity levels in the Nueces Estuary system. ... our data indicates that pretty much anything we do that leads to an increase in the salinity of the bay has the potential to create more conducive conditions for red tide."

4) Lastly, the plant will require massive amounts of energy to operate, creating higher demands on the power grid. My family was one of many that suffered through the winter storm in February 2021 and were without power for several days in freezing temperatures when the grid failed. The energy required to power the plant's pumps

will place even more strain on the grid, and I am opposed to issuing a permit which would demand excessive amounts of electricity to supply water for industrial use.

From:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC

Sent:

Friday, March 26, 2021 2:27 PM

To:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC2; PUBCOMMENT-OPIC; PUBCOMMENT-ELD; PUBCOMMENT-

WWW-WRAS

Subject:

FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

PM

From: CHIEFCLK <chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov> Sent: Friday, March 26, 2021 9:36 AM

To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC < PUBCOMMENT-OCC@tceq.texas.gov>

Subject: FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

From: Ms. Melton < Ms. Melton.214313817@p2a.co >

Sent: Friday, March 26, 2021 4:45 AM
To: CHIEFCLK < chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov >
Subject: I oppose WRPERM 13630

Dear Chief Clerk,

I urge you to deny WRPERM 13630. I am opposed to any intake pipeline for a desalination plant in La Quinta Channel. So many members of our community love to fish, boat, and swim along the Portland Shoreline where the intake pipe would be located and in Ingleside Cove where the discharge would flow to. I am concerned that given the number of small larvae which will be sucked up, turned to sludge, and deposited into landfills, fishing will be badly impaired in the region.

I am also asking for a two week extension for comments due to the recent loss of electricity in Texas and that you hold a public meeting for the community to share their concerns.

Regards, Ms. Melton 3209 Brookmeade Ct Deer Park, TX 77536

From:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC

Sent:

Tuesday, March 30, 2021 9:02 AM

To:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC2; PUBCOMMENT-OPIC; PUBCOMMENT-ELD; PUBCOMMENT-

WWW-WRAS

Subject:

FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

PM

From: CHIEFCLK <chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov> Sent: Monday, March 29, 2021 1:37 PM

To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC < PUBCOMMENT-OCC@tceq.texas.gov>

Subject: FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

From: Tamara Wren < Tamara. Wren. 202987589@p2a.co >

Sent: Monday, March 29, 2021 11:36 AM
To: CHIEFCLK < chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov >
Subject: I oppose WRPERM 13630

Dear Chief Clerk,

I strongly oppose WRPERM 13630 and the Port of Corpus Christi's placing an intake pipe for a desalination plant in La Quinta Channel. According to the permit, the Port of Corpus Christi would be allowed to suck 62,890 gallons of water from La Quinta Channel every minute. Sucking in that amount of water that fast will require an enormous amount of suction power and I am concerned about aquatic life being trapped or killed in the process.

I also request a two-week extension of the deadline for comments and a public meeting.

Regards, Tamara Wren 36 Southpointe Cir Rockport, TX 78382

Melissa Schmidt

From:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC

Sent:

Wednesday, July 14, 2021 8:46 AM

To:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC2; PUBCOMMENT-OPIC; PUBCOMMENT-ELD; PUBCOMMENT-

WWW-WRAS

Subject:

FW: Public comment on Permit Number WRPERM 13630

From: thirdcoastphoto@gmail.com <thirdcoastphoto@gmail.com>

Sent: Tuesday, July 13, 2021 8:25 PM

To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC <PUBCOMMENT-OCC@tceq.texas.gov> **Subject:** Public comment on Permit Number WRPERM 13630

REGULATED ENTY NAME WRPERM 13630

RN NUMBER: RN110844933

PERMIT NUMBER: WRPERM 13630

DOCKET NUMBER: 2021-0421-WR

COUNTY: SAN PATRICIO

PRINCIPAL NAME: PORT OF CORPUS CHRISTI AUTHORITY OF NUECES COUNTY

CN NUMBER: CN600885248

FROM

NAME: Carrie R Meyer

E-MAIL: thirdcoastphoto@gmail.com

COMPANY:

ADDRESS: 4401 GULFBREEZE BLVD CORPUS CHRISTI TX 78402-1517

PHONE: 3614420628

FAX:

COMMENTS: I live in a beach cottage on North Beach, one block from Corpus Christi Bay. My husband and I moved here 20 years ago because we can windsurf, kayak and kiteboard in the bay right from our house. The proposed desalination plants for Corpus Christi Bay pose a direct threat to my business. I am a professional kayaking guide and owner of a local business called Nature Trails Kayaking. As a kayak guide, I lead tourists and locals on Kayaking Eco Tours throughout the

bays and estuaries of Coastal Bend, including Corpus Christi Bay. I kayak for a living. But in my spare time, I go kiteboarding. I launch my kiteboard either at my house on North Beach, about 1 mile from the proposed Inner Harbor desalination plant, or I launch at Violet Andrews Park in Portland, which is about 1 mile from the proposed La Quinta desalination plant. A large group of kiteboarders can be regularly seen from that public park in Portland, sailing back and forth in the public waters right off the shore there in Corpus Christi Bay, very close to or even right over the area in the bay where this intake facility is being proposed. The importance of maintaining safe recreational use of our public waters need to be factored into this permitting process. Although the intake will be submerged a few feet below the surface, the suction it causes could produce whirlpools or hydraulics that result in making the area unsafe for fishermen, kiteboarders, kayakers and others who recreate in that part of the bay near Portland. Our access to these public waters should not restricted or impacted by this intake facility. My second concern is that the cumulative effect of these desalination plants needs to be considered. The TCEQ is separating the intake portion of this proposed desalination plant from the discharge permit. Treating these as if they are not connected ignores the cumulative impact that desalination would have on Corpus Christi Bay. In addition, the TCEQ should consider the impact caused by the combination of TWO desal plants in the same bay system. Future industrial growth that the desal plants will bring should also be considered by the TCEQ. You should factor in how creating more fresh water for the region will enable more polluting industries to be built here and how the runoff and pollution from those industries will negatively impact the bay. The SUM of all these negative impacts to the environment and to the community should be the metric you use, not just the intake from one plant. Tourism businesses like mine are the second largest contributor to our local economy. The state government needs to protect tourism, protect residents' quality of life and protect the health of the bay. I'm speaking for my kayaking clients, for my fellow kiteboarders and for the marine creatures that can't speak for themselves when I ask you to NOT APPROVE THIS PERMIT APPLICATION because of the detrimental effect it will have on Corpus Christi Bay.

From:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC

Sent:

Tuesday, March 30, 2021 9:37 AM

To:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC2; PUBCOMMENT-OPIC; PUBCOMMENT-ELD; PUBCOMMENT-

WWW-WRAS

Subject:

FW: Public comment on Permit Number WRPERM 13630

From: thirdcoastphoto@gmail.com <thirdcoastphoto@gmail.com>

Sent: Monday, March 29, 2021 10:46 PM

To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC <PUBCOMMENT-OCC@tceq.texas.gov> **Subject:** Public comment on Permit Number WRPERM 13630

REGULATED ENTY NAME WRPERM 13630

RN NUMBER: RN110844933

PERMIT NUMBER: WRPERM 13630

DOCKET NUMBER:

COUNTY: SAN PATRICIO

PRINCIPAL NAME: PORT OF CORPUS CHRISTI AUTHORITY OF NUECES COUNTY

CN NUMBER: CN600885248

FROM

NAME: Carrie R Meyer

E-MAIL: thirdcoastphoto@gmail.com

COMPANY:

ADDRESS: 4401 GULFBREEZE BLVD CORPUS CHRISTI TX 78402-1517

PHONE: 3614420628

FAX:

COMMENTS: I am against this water rights permit for the intake of the proposed desalination plant on the La Quinta Channel. Several times per month, I kiteboard in Corpus Christi Bay off the shore of Portland, Texas, very close to where the proposed desalination plant would be built. I launch my kiteboard at Violet Andrews Park on Wildcat, where many other kiteboarders also launch. It is the most popular place in this region for kiteboarding due to the large expanse of

water, grassy park and consistent wind. Since I am literally over my head in the bay water when I fall off my board, I rely on the water quality being fit for humans to swim in it, not just wade, but actually to have your head underwater in it. If a water rights permit were to be issued to the proposed desalination plant, it could drastically impact the aquatic life in the bay. Not enough studies have been done for us to really know how that will impact the water quality. As the plant sucks in the juvenile and larvae form of marine species, they will be killed and no longer provide food for the larger animals. That could knock the delicate bay ecosystem out of balance and end up with fish kills, algae blooms or more frequent red tides, which would all negatively impact the sport of kiteboarding. Although I live in Corpus Christi, a few miles away, I enjoy kiting in Portland because of the large community of kiteboarders that I meet at the Violet Andrews Park. If the water quality changes due to desal and causes fish die off or any other type of environmental change, the water I rely on for recreation, and thus my personal human health, could be negatively impacted to such a degree that I could no longer kiteboard there. I ask the TCEQ to not grant this water rights permit to preserve the bay water quality for all of us who enjoy the bay.

From:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC

Sent:

Wednesday, April 14, 2021 3:20 PM

To:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC2; PUBCOMMENT-OPIC; PUBCOMMENT-ELD; PUBCOMMENT-

WWW-WRAS

Subject:

FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

PM

From: CHIEFCLK <chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov> Sent: Wednesday, April 14, 2021 7:41 AM

To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC < PUBCOMMENT-OCC@tceq.texas.gov>

Subject: FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

From: Chad Miller < Chad.Miller.282455202@p2a.co >

Sent: Wednesday, April 14, 2021 5:00 AM
To: CHIEFCLK < chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov
Subject: I oppose WRPERM 13630

Dear Chief Clerk,

I urge you to deny WRPERM 13630. I am opposed to any intake pipeline for a desalination plant in La Quinta Channel. So many members of our community love to fish, boat, and swim along the Portland Shoreline where the intake pipe would be located and in Ingleside Cove where the discharge would flow to. I am concerned that given the number of small larvae which will be sucked up, turned to sludge, and deposited into landfills, fishing will be badly impaired in the region.

I am also asking for a two week extension for comments due to the recent loss of electricity in Texas and that you hold a public meeting for the community to share their concerns.

Regards, Chad Miller 4713 Camacho St Austin, TX 78723

From:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC

Sent:

Monday, February 22, 2021 12:58 PM

To:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC2; PUBCOMMENT-OPIC; PUBCOMMENT-ELD; PUBCOMMENT-

WWW-WRAS

Subject:

FW: Public comment on Permit Number WRPERM 13630

From: pepperwheels52@gmail.com <pepperwheels52@gmail.com>

Sent: Sunday, February 21, 2021 4:29 PM

To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC <PUBCOMMENT-OCC@tceq.texas.gov> **Subject:** Public comment on Permit Number WRPERM 13630

REGULATED ENTY NAME WRPERM 13630

RN NUMBER: RN110844933

PERMIT NUMBER: WRPERM 13630

DOCKET NUMBER:

COUNTY: SAN PATRICIO

PRINCIPAL NAME: PORT OF CORPUS CHRISTI AUTHORITY OF NUECES COUNTY

CN NUMBER: CN600885248

FROM

NAME: Patricia Mitchell

E-MAIL: pepperwheels52@gmail.com

COMPANY:

ADDRESS: 1112 BAYSHORE DR INGLESIDE TX 78362-4702

PHONE: 3612052995

FAX:

COMMENTS: I cannot believe that the Port of Corpus Christi seriously thinks that it is okay to suck nearly 63k GPM from the La Quinta Channel and then discharge over 57 millions of gallons of brine back into the channel. Are they so swept up in their profit-making schemes that they have no consideration whatsoever for the health of the Corpus Christi Bay? This is piss-poor stewardship to say the very least. And TCEQ is only intending to take reasonable measures to reduce

impacts due to "entrainment or impengement?" That's ludicrous! Some sea larvae are microscopic. And what about the rest of the bay residents--including the human residents--that are being swept aside by heavy industry in pursuit of the almighty dollar? Can anyone seriously believe that discharging 57 million gallons of brine back into the channel is not going to do serious and irreparable damage to the careful maintenance of delicate salinity levels that ALL life in and surrounding the bay need to survive? I hate to level unfounded allegations, but this seriously makes one wonder whose pockets are being lined, and how far up the food chain the corruption goes. Thank you for hearing me out.

From:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC

Sent:

Tuesday, March 30, 2021 8:40 AM

To:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC2; PUBCOMMENT-OPIC; PUBCOMMENT-ELD; PUBCOMMENT-

WWW-WRAS

Subject:

FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

PM

From: CHIEFCLK <chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov> Sent: Monday, March 29, 2021 9:12 AM

To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC < PUBCOMMENT-OCC@tceq.texas.gov>

Subject: FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

From: BARBARA MOSES < BARBARA.MOSES.434174359@p2a.co >

Sent: Sunday, March 28, 2021 11:59 AM
To: CHIEFCLK < chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov >
Subject: I oppose WRPERM 13630

Dear Chief Clerk,

I strongly oppose WRPERM 13630 and the Port of Corpus Christi's placing an intake pipe for a desalination plant in La Quinta Channel. According to the permit, the Port of Corpus Christi would be allowed to suck 62,890 gallons of water from La Quinta Channel every minute. Sucking in that amount of water that fast will require an enormous amount of suction power and I am concerned about aquatic life being trapped or killed in the process.

I also request a two-week extension of the deadline for comments and a public meeting.

Regards, BARBARA MOSES 434 Haroldson Dr Corpus Christi, TX 78412

From:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC

Sent:

Wednesday, March 31, 2021 9:16 AM

To:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC2; PUBCOMMENT-OPIC; PUBCOMMENT-ELD; PUBCOMMENT-

WWW-WRAS

Subject:

FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

PM

From: CHIEFCLK <chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov> Sent: Tuesday, March 30, 2021 8:16 AM

To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC < PUBCOMMENT-OCC@tceq.texas.gov>

Subject: FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

From: Robert Muir < Robert. Muir. 434650512@p2a.co >

Sent: Monday, March 29, 2021 8:49 PM
To: CHIEFCLK < chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov >
Subject: I oppose WRPERM 13630

Dear Chief Clerk,

I urge you to deny WRPERM 13630. I am opposed to any intake pipeline for a desalination plant in La Quinta Channel. So many members of our community love to fish, boat, and swim along the Portland Shoreline where the intake pipe would be located and in Ingleside Cove where the discharge would flow to. I am concerned that given the number of small larvae which will be sucked up, turned to sludge, and deposited into landfills, fishing will be badly impaired in the region.

I am also asking for a two week extension for comments due to the recent loss of electricity in Texas and that you hold a public meeting for the community to share their concerns.

Regards,
Robert Muir
6230 Bourbonais Dr
Corpus Christi, TX 78414.

From:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC

Sent:

Thursday, March 25, 2021 6:05 PM

To:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC2; PUBCOMMENT-OPIC; PUBCOMMENT-ELD; PUBCOMMENT-

WWW-WRAS

Subject:

FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

PM

From: CHIEFCLK <chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov> Sent: Thursday, March 25, 2021 3:54 PM

To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC < PUBCOMMENT-OCC@tceq.texas.gov>

Subject: FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

From: Liz Murphy < Liz.Murphy.359401198@p2a.co>

Sent: Thursday, March 25, 2021 12:35 PM
To: CHIEFCLK < chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov
Subject: I oppose WRPERM 13630

Dear Chief Clerk,

I strongly oppose WRPERM 13630 and the Port of Corpus Christi's placing an intake pipe for a desalination plant in La Quinta Channel. According to the permit, the Port of Corpus Christi would be allowed to suck 62,890 gallons of water from La Quinta Channel every minute. Sucking in that amount of water that fast will require an enormous amount of suction power and I am concerned about aquatic life being trapped or killed in the process.

I also request a two-week extension of the deadline for comments and a public meeting.

Regards, Liz Murphy 4811 Avenue F Austin, TX 78751

From:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC

Sent:

Wednesday, March 31, 2021 9:18 AM

To:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC2; PUBCOMMENT-OPIC; PUBCOMMENT-ELD; PUBCOMMENT-

WWW-WRAS

Subject:

FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

PM

From: CHIEFCLK <chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov> Sent: Tuesday, March 30, 2021 8:17 AM

To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC < PUBCOMMENT-OCC@tceq.texas.gov>

Subject: FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

From: Catena Neumann < Catena. Neumann. 358898018@p2a.co >

Sent: Monday, March 29, 2021 7:05 PM
To: CHIEFCLK < chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov>
Subject: I oppose WRPERM 13630

Dear Chief Clerk,

I urge you to deny WRPERM 13630. I am opposed to any intake pipeline for a desalination plant in La Quinta Channel. So many members of our community love to fish, boat, and swim along the Portland Shoreline where the intake pipe would be located and in Ingleside Cove where the discharge would flow to. I am concerned that given the number of small larvae which will be sucked up, turned to sludge, and deposited into landfills, fishing will be badly impaired in the region.

I am also asking for a two week extension for comments due to the recent loss of electricity in Texas and that you hold a public meeting for the community to share their concerns.

Regards,
Catena Neumann
500 East St
Port Aransas, TX 78373

From: PUBCOMMENT-OCC

Sent: Thursday, March 25, 2021 8:54 AM

To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC2; PUBCOMMENT-OPIC; PUBCOMMENT-ELD; PUBCOMMENT-

WWW-WRAS

Subject: FW: Public comment on Permit Number WRPERM 13630

From: julienye1@gmail.com <julienye1@gmail.com>

Sent: Wednesday, March 24, 2021 4:06 PM

To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC < PUBCOMMENT-OCC@tceq.texas.gov > Subject: Public comment on Permit Number WRPERM 13630

REGULATED ENTY NAME WRPERM 13630

RN NUMBER: RN110844933

PERMIT NUMBER: WRPERM 13630

DOCKET NUMBER:

COUNTY: SAN PATRICIO

PRINCIPAL NAME: PORT OF CORPUS CHRISTI AUTHORITY OF NUECES COUNTY

CN NUMBER: CN600885248

FROM

NAME: Julie Ann Nye

E-MAIL: julienye1@gmail.com

COMPANY:

ADDRESS: 1018 BAYSHORE DR INGLESIDE TX 78362-4647

PHONE: 3615497650

FAX:

COMMENTS: I strongly oppose the POCC LaQuinta Desal permit request on the grounds it will kill small marine life either by sucking them into their intake process and/or releasing heavy brine in a closed bay system. My family have owned our property in Ingleside on the Bay since 1967. Our children and grandchildren have grown up playing and fishing in

these waters. We enjoy the sea life and bird life, both of which rely on the delicate ecosystem of our bay for their survival. Deny this unnecessary permit intended only to promote more industrial growth by the greedy POCC!!

From:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC

Sent:

Friday, March 26, 2021 2:43 PM

To:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC2; PUBCOMMENT-OPIC; PUBCOMMENT-ELD; PUBCOMMENT-

WWW-WRAS

Subject:

FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

PM

From: CHIEFCLK <chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov> Sent: Friday, March 26, 2021 9:56 AM

To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC < PUBCOMMENT-OCC@tceq.texas.gov>

Subject: FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

From: Robert Ober < Robert.Ober.307399847@p2a.co >

Sent: Thursday, March 25, 2021 1:01 PM
To: CHIEFCLK < chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov >
Subject: I oppose WRPERM 13630

Dear Chief Clerk,

I strongly oppose WRPERM 13630 and the Port of Corpus Christi's placing an intake pipe for a desalination plant in La Quinta Channel. According to the permit, the Port of Corpus Christi would be allowed to suck 62,890 gallons of water from La Quinta Channel every minute. Sucking in that amount of water that fast will require an enormous amount of suction power and I am concerned about aquatic life being trapped or killed in the process.

I also request a two-week extension of the deadline for comments and a public meeting.

Regards, Robert Ober 3003 Rockarbor Dr Houston, TX 77063

From:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC

Sent:

Thursday, March 25, 2021 6:13 PM

To:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC2; PUBCOMMENT-OPIC; PUBCOMMENT-ELD; PUBCOMMENT-

WWW-WRAS

Subject:

FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

PM

From: CHIEFCLK <chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov> Sent: Thursday, March 25, 2021 3:59 PM

To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC < PUBCOMMENT-OCC@tceq.texas.gov>

Subject: FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

From: Donald O'Neil < Donald.ONeil.174345772@p2a.co >

Sent: Thursday, March 25, 2021 11:23 AM
To: CHIEFCLK < chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov>
Subject: I oppose WRPERM 13630

Dear Chief Clerk,

I urge you to deny WRPERM 13630. I am opposed to any intake pipeline for a desalination plant in La Quinta Channel. So many members of our community love to fish, boat, and swim along the Portland Shoreline where the intake pipe would be located and in Ingleside Cove where the discharge would flow to. I am concerned that given the number of small larvae which will be sucked up, turned to sludge, and deposited into landfills, fishing will be badly impaired in the region.

I am also asking for a two week extension for comments due to the recent loss of electricity in Texas and that you hold a public meeting for the community to share their concerns.

Regards,
Donald O'Neil
118 Crystal Springs Dr
Georgetown, TX 78633

From:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC

Sent:

Thursday, March 25, 2021 6:18 PM

To:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC2; PUBCOMMENT-OPIC; PUBCOMMENT-ELD; PUBCOMMENT-

WWW-WRAS

Subject:

FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

PM

From: CHIEFCLK <chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov> Sent: Thursday, March 25, 2021 4:01 PM

To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC < PUBCOMMENT-OCC@tceq.texas.gov>

Subject: FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

From: Susan Pantell < Susan.Pantell.12040715@p2a.co >

Sent: Thursday, March 25, 2021 11:01 AM
To: CHIEFCLK < chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov>
Subject: I oppose WRPERM 13630

Dear Chief Clerk,

I oppose WRPERM 13630. Many of us suffered through the historic winter storm in February 2021 and were without power for several days in freezing temperatures due to the amount of demand placed on the electrical grid in Texas. The operating pumps required to suck 62,890 gallons of water per minute will take an enormous amount of power, placing even more strain on the grid. I am opposed to issuing a permit which would demand excessive amounts of energy to supply water only for industrial use.

I also ask for a two-week extension for comments, as well as a public meeting.

Regards, Susan Pantell 1016 Camino La Costa Austin, TX 78752 ...

From:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC

Sent:

Thursday, March 25, 2021 6:16 PM

To:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC2; PUBCOMMENT-OPIC; PUBCOMMENT-ELD; PUBCOMMENT-

WWW-WRAS

Subject:

FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

PM

From: CHIEFCLK <chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov> Sent: Thursday, March 25, 2021 4:01 PM

To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC < PUBCOMMENT-OCC@tceq.texas.gov>

Subject: FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

From: Holly Paquette < Holly.Paquette.399262487@p2a.co >

Sent: Thursday, March 25, 2021 11:13 AM
To: CHIEFCLK < chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov>
Subject: I oppose WRPERM 13630

Dear Chief Clerk,

I strongly oppose WRPERM 13630 and the Port of Corpus Christi's placing an intake pipe for a desalination plant in La Quinta Channel. According to the permit, the Port of Corpus Christi would be allowed to suck 62,890 gallons of water from La Quinta Channel every minute. Sucking in that amount of water that fast will require an enormous amount of suction power and I am concerned about aquatic life being trapped or killed in the process.

I also request a two-week extension of the deadline for comments and a public meeting.

Regards, Holly Paquette 12411 Stafford Springs Dr Houston, TX 77077

From:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC

Sent:

Thursday, March 25, 2021 6:21 PM

To:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC2; PUBCOMMENT-OPIC; PUBCOMMENT-ELD; PUBCOMMENT-

WWW-WRAS

Subject:

FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

PM

From: CHIEFCLK <chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov> Sent: Thursday, March 25, 2021 4:02 PM

To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC < PUBCOMMENT-OCC@tceq.texas.gov>

Subject: FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

From: Susan Pascoe < Susan.Pascoe.231857103@p2a.co >

Sent: Thursday, March 25, 2021 10:57 AM
To: CHIEFCLK < chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov >
Subject: I oppose WRPERM 13630

Dear Chief Clerk,

I urge you to deny WRPERM 13630. I am opposed to any intake pipeline for a desalination plant in La Quinta Channel. So many members of our community love to fish, boat, and swim along the Portland Shoreline where the intake pipe would be located and in Ingleside Cove where the discharge would flow to. I am concerned that given the number of small larvae which will be sucked up, turned to sludge, and deposited into landfills, fishing will be badly impaired in the region.

I am also asking for a two week extension for comments due to the recent loss of electricity in Texas and that you hold a public meeting for the community to share their concerns.

Regards, Susan Pascoe 2502 Hartford Rd Austin, TX 78703

From:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC

Sent:

Tuesday, March 30, 2021 8:38 AM

To:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC2; PUBCOMMENT-OPIC; PUBCOMMENT-ELD; PUBCOMMENT-

WWW-WRAS

Subject:

FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

PM

From: CHIEFCLK <chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov> Sent: Monday, March 29, 2021 9:13 AM

To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC < PUBCOMMENT-OCC@tceq.texas.gov>

Subject: FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

From: Rick Pearson < Rick.Pearson.8679208@p2a.co >

Sent: Friday, March 26, 2021 2:51 PM
To: CHIEFCLK < chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov
Subject: I oppose WRPERM 13630

Dear Chief Clerk,

I urge you to deny WRPERM 13630. I am opposed to any intake pipeline for a desalination plant in La Quinta Channel. So many members of our community love to fish, boat, and swim along the Portland Shoreline where the intake pipe would be located and in Ingleside Cove where the discharge would flow to. I am concerned that given the number of small larvae which will be sucked up, turned to sludge, and deposited into landfills, fishing will be badly impaired in the region.

I am also asking for a two week extension for comments due to the recent loss of electricity in Texas and that you hold a public meeting for the community to share their concerns.

Regards, Rick Pearson 221 County Rd 3082 Lampasas, TX 76550 ...

From:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC

Sent:

Thursday, March 25, 2021 6:23 PM

To:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC2; PUBCOMMENT-OPIC; PUBCOMMENT-ELD; PUBCOMMENT-

WWW-WRAS

Subject:

FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

PM

From: CHIEFCLK <chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov> Sent: Thursday, March 25, 2021 4:03 PM

To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC < PUBCOMMENT-OCC@tceq.texas.gov>

Subject: FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

From: Charles Peissel < Charles.Peissel.359495500@p2a.co >

Sent: Thursday, March 25, 2021 10:53 AM
To: CHIEFCLK < chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov >
Subject: I oppose WRPERM 13630

Dear Chief Clerk,

I strongly oppose WRPERM 13630 and the Port of Corpus Christi's placing an intake pipe for a desalination plant in La Quinta Channel. According to the permit, the Port of Corpus Christi would be allowed to suck 62,890 gallons of water from La Quinta Channel every minute. Sucking in that amount of water that fast will require an enormous amount of suction power and I am concerned about aquatic life being trapped or killed in the process.

I also request a two-week extension of the deadline for comments and a public meeting.

Regards, Charles Peissel 124A Carefree Cir Lakeway, TX 78734

From:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC

Sent:

Friday, March 26, 2021 2:31 PM

To:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC2; PUBCOMMENT-OPIC; PUBCOMMENT-ELD; PUBCOMMENT-

WWW-WRAS

Subject:

FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

PM

From: CHIEFCLK <chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov> Sent: Friday, March 26, 2021 9:38 AM

To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC < PUBCOMMENT-OCC@tceq.texas.gov>

Subject: FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

From: Carol Pennington < Carol.Pennington.168147959@p2a.co>

Sent: Thursday, March 25, 2021 8:56 PM
To: CHIEFCLK < chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov >
Subject: I oppose WRPERM 13630

Dear Chief Clerk,

I urge you to deny WRPERM 13630. I am opposed to any intake pipeline for a desalination plant in La Quinta Channel. So many members of our community love to fish, boat, and swim along the Portland Shoreline where the intake pipe would be located and in Ingleside Cove where the discharge would flow to. I am concerned that given the number of small larvae which will be sucked up, turned to sludge, and deposited into landfills, fishing will be badly impaired in the region.

I am also asking for a two week extension for comments due to the recent loss of electricity in Texas and that you hold a public meeting for the community to share their concerns.

Regards, Carol Pennington 1005 Bluebird Dr Manchaca, TX 78652

From:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC

Sent:

Wednesday, March 31, 2021 9:19 AM

To:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC2; PUBCOMMENT-OPIC; PUBCOMMENT-ELD; PUBCOMMENT-

WWW-WRAS

Subject:

FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

PM

From: CHIEFCLK <chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov> Sent: Tuesday, March 30, 2021 8:17 AM

To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC < PUBCOMMENT-OCC@tceq.texas.gov>

Subject: FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

From: Christopher Phelan < Christopher. Phelan. 393032875@p2a.co>

Sent: Monday, March 29, 2021 6:18 PM
To: CHIEFCLK < chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov
Subject: I oppose WRPERM 13630

Dear Chief Clerk,

I ask that you deny WRPERM 13630, and I oppose the Port of Corpus Christi's placing an intake pipe for a desalination plant in La Quinta Channel. This water will largely be for industrial use, not for me, my family, or my neighbors. I don't think bringing polluting corporations to our community is worth the cost these desalination plants will have on our Bay, on our wildlife, and on our local fishing and tourism economies.

I request a two-week extension of the deadline for comments on account of the recent freeze and loss of electricity in Texas. I also request that a public meeting be held for the community to express its concerns.

Regards, Christopher Phelan 3806 Kingston Dr Corpus Christi, TX 78415

From:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC

Sent:

Thursday, March 25, 2021 6:06 PM

To:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC2; PUBCOMMENT-OPIC; PUBCOMMENT-ELD; PUBCOMMENT-

WWW-WRAS

Subject:

FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

PM

From: CHIEFCLK <chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov> Sent: Thursday, March 25, 2021 3:56 PM

To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC < PUBCOMMENT-OCC@tceq.texas.gov>

Subject: FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

From: SANDY PHILLIPS < SANDY.PHILLIPS.107810067@p2a.co>

Sent: Thursday, March 25, 2021 12:06 PM
To: CHIEFCLK < chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov
Subject: I oppose WRPERM 13630

Dear Chief Clerk,

I oppose WRPERM 13630. Many of us suffered through the historic winter storm in February 2021 and were without power for several days in freezing temperatures due to the amount of demand placed on the electrical grid in Texas. The operating pumps required to suck 62,890 gallons of water per minute will take an enormous amount of power, placing even more strain on the grid. I am opposed to issuing a permit which would demand excessive amounts of energy to supply water only for industrial use.

I also ask for a two-week extension for comments, as well as a public meeting.

Regards,
SANDY PHILLIPS
6741 Catania Loop
Round Rock, TX 78665

From:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC

Sent:

Monday, April 5, 2021 10:11 AM

To:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC2; PUBCOMMENT-OPIC; PUBCOMMENT-ELD; PUBCOMMENT-

WWW-WRAS

Subject:

FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

PM

From: CHIEFCLK <chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov> Sent: Monday, April 5, 2021 7:48 AM

To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC < PUBCOMMENT-OCC@tceq.texas.gov>

Subject: FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

From: Bryant pierce < Bryant.pierce.436097812@p2a.co >

Sent: Friday, April 2, 2021 12:57 PM

To: CHIEFCLK <chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov>
Subject: I oppose WRPERM 13630

Dear Chief Clerk,

I urge you to deny WRPERM 13630. I am opposed to any intake pipeline for a desalination plant in La Quinta Channel. So many members of our community love to fish, boat, and swim along the Portland Shoreline where the intake pipe would be located and in Ingleside Cove where the discharge would flow to. I am concerned that given the number of small larvae which will be sucked up, turned to sludge, and deposited into landfills, fishing will be badly impaired in the region.

I am also asking for a two week extension for comments due to the recent loss of electricity in Texas and that you hold a public meeting for the community to share their concerns.

Regards, Bryant pierce 1209 Tyler Ave Corpus Christi, TX 78404.

From:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC

Sent:

Thursday, March 25, 2021 6:06 PM

To:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC2; PUBCOMMENT-OPIC; PUBCOMMENT-ELD; PUBCOMMENT-

WWW-WRAS

Subject:

FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

PM

From: CHIEFCLK <chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov> Sent: Thursday, March 25, 2021 3:56 PM

To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC < PUBCOMMENT-OCC@tceq.texas.gov>

Subject: FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

From: Kathleen Pratt < Kathleen. Pratt. 338979795@p2a.co >

Sent: Thursday, March 25, 2021 12:09 PM
To: CHIEFCLK < chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov >
Subject: I oppose WRPERM 13630

Dear Chief Clerk,

I oppose WRPERM 13630. Many of us suffered through the historic winter storm in February 2021 and were without power for several days in freezing temperatures due to the amount of demand placed on the electrical grid in Texas. The operating pumps required to suck 62,890 gallons of water per minute will take an enormous amount of power, placing even more strain on the grid. I am opposed to issuing a permit which would demand excessive amounts of energy to supply water only for industrial use.

I also ask for a two-week extension for comments, as well as a public meeting.

Regards, Kathleen Pratt 15205 Isla Pinta Ct Corpus Christi, TX 78418

From:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC

Sent:

Thursday, March 25, 2021 6:09 PM

To:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC2; PUBCOMMENT-OPIC; PUBCOMMENT-ELD; PUBCOMMENT-

WWW-WRAS

Subject:

FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

PM

From: CHIEFCLK <chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov> Sent: Thursday, March 25, 2021 3:58 PM

To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC < PUBCOMMENT-OCC@tceq.texas.gov>

Subject: FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

From: Liana Putrino <Liana.Putrino.126872004@p2a.co>

Sent: Thursday, March 25, 2021 11:48 AM To: CHIEFCLK < chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov Subject: I oppose WRPERM 13630

Dear Chief Clerk,

Texans care about our environment! Please stop these harmful industries from causing more environmental destruction.

I urge you to deny WRPERM 13630. I am opposed to any intake pipeline for a desalination plant in La Quinta Channel. So many members of our community love to fish, boat, and swim along the Portland Shoreline where the intake pipe would be located and in Ingleside Cove where the discharge would flow to. I am concerned that given the number of small larvae which will be sucked up, turned to sludge, and deposited into landfills, fishing will be badly impaired in the region.

I am also asking for a two week extension for comments due to the recent loss of electricity in Texas and that you hold a public meeting for the community to share their concerns.

Regards, Liana Putrino 3204 Menchaca Rd Austin, TX 78704

From:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC

Sent:

Wednesday, March 31, 2021 9:25 AM

To:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC2; PUBCOMMENT-OPIC; PUBCOMMENT-ELD; PUBCOMMENT-

WWW-WRAS

Subject:

FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

PM

From: CHIEFCLK <chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov> Sent: Tuesday, March 30, 2021 10:40 AM

To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC < PUBCOMMENT-OCC@tceq.texas.gov>

Subject: FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

From: Suanne Pyle <Suanne.Pyle.337685026@p2a.co>

Sent: Tuesday, March 30, 2021 10:22 AM
To: CHIEFCLK < chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov>
Subject: I oppose WRPERM 13630

Dear Chief Clerk,

I ask that you deny WRPERM 13630, and I oppose the Port of Corpus Christi's placing an intake pipe for a desalination plant in La Quinta Channel. This water will largely be for industrial use, not for me, my family, or my neighbors. I don't think bringing polluting corporations to our community is worth the cost these desalination plants will have on our Bay, on our wildlife, and on our local fishing and tourism economies.

I request a two-week extension of the deadline for comments on account of the recent freeze and loss of electricity in Texas. I also request that a public meeting be held for the community to express its concerns.

Regards,
Suanne Pyle
415 Sea Isle Dr
Port Aransas, TX 78373

From:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC

Sent:

Wednesday, March 31, 2021 9:17 AM

To:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC2; PUBCOMMENT-OPIC; PUBCOMMENT-ELD; PUBCOMMENT-

WWW-WRAS

Subject:

FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

PM

From: CHIEFCLK <chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov> Sent: Tuesday, March 30, 2021 8:17 AM

To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC < PUBCOMMENT-OCC@tceq.texas.gov>

Subject: FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

From: Yolanda Quintanilla < Yolanda Quintanilla . 420819547@p2a.co>

Sent: Monday, March 29, 2021 7:23 PM
To: CHIEFCLK < chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov>
Subject: I oppose WRPERM 13630

Dear Chief Clerk,

I urge you to deny WRPERM 13630. I am opposed to any intake pipeline for a desalination plant in La Quinta Channel. So many members of our community love to fish, boat, and swim along the Portland Shoreline where the intake pipe would be located and in Ingleside Cove where the discharge would flow to. I am concerned that given the number of small larvae which will be sucked up, turned to sludge, and deposited into landfills, fishing will be badly impaired in the region.

I am also asking for a two week extension for comments due to the recent loss of electricity in Texas and that you hold a public meeting for the community to share their concerns.

Regards, Yolanda Quintanilla 4626 Willowick Dr Corpus Christi, TX 78413

From:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC

Sent:

Friday, March 26, 2021 2:26 PM

To:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC2; PUBCOMMENT-OPIC; PUBCOMMENT-ELD; PUBCOMMENT-

WWW-WRAS

Subject:

FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

PM

From: CHIEFCLK <chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov> Sent: Friday, March 26, 2021 9:36 AM

To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC < PUBCOMMENT-OCC@tceq.texas.gov>

Subject: FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

From: Cathy Ramsey < Cathy.Ramsey.121493127@p2a.co >

Sent: Friday, March 26, 2021 8:38 AM
To: CHIEFCLK < chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov >
Subject: I oppose WRPERM 13630

Dear Chief Clerk,

I oppose WRPERM 13630. Many of us suffered through the historic winter storm in February 2021 and were without power for several days in freezing temperatures due to the amount of demand placed on the electrical grid in Texas. The operating pumps required to suck 62,890 gallons of water per minute will take an enormous amount of power, placing even more strain on the grid. I am opposed to issuing a permit which would demand excessive amounts of energy to supply water only for industrial use.

I also ask for a two-week extension for comments, as well as a public meeting.

Regards, Cathy Ramsey 131 Barton Ranch Rd Dripping Springs, TX 78620

REVIEWED

MAR 2 6 2021 By <u>G</u> Law Office of Mary Reagan 711 West 7th Street Austin, TX 78701 (512) 472-9300 reaganlawfirm@gmail.com 2021 MAR 26 PM 3: 30
CHIEF CLERKS OFFICE

March 25, 2021

Office of Chief Clerk MC 105 Texas Commission on Environmental Quality P.O. Box 13087 Austin, Texas 78711-3087

Via Hand Delivery

Re: Written Comments submitted by the City of Portland, Texas, on Application No. 13630 in the name the Port of Corpus Christi of Nueces County

Dear Chief Clerk:

The City of Portland, Texas (the "City) submits these written comments on Application No. 13630 (the "Application") filed by the Port of Corpus Christi of Nueces County (the "Port") for a water use permit for the diversion and use of not to exceed 101,334 acre-feet of seawater at a maximum diversion rate of 140.12 cfs (62,890 gpm) for industrial purposes. The Port's application for a water use permit relates to the proposed construction and operation of a desalination plant near the La Quinta Ship Channel in San Patricio County. As a municipality responsible for ensuring that its residents and businesses have an adequate water supply, the City has an active interest in all water development projects with the potential to affect water delivery in San Patricio County. Thus, the City has chosen to exercise its right to submit written comments to the TCEQ on the Application. Based on its review of the Application, the City believes that the Application does not address consistency with the relevant approved regional water plan, as required by 30 TAC §297.202(6). In addition, the Application does not demonstrate consistency with regional water planning criteria relating to the evaluation of brine concentrate disposal issues. Finally, the Application's compliance with 30 TAC §288.5 relating to water conservation plans for wholesale water suppliers and with 30 TAC §297.202(3) requiring that water use be intended for a beneficial use is abrogated by the recent suspension of processing of the Port's application for a wastewater discharge permit relating to the desalination plant.

1. The Application does not demonstrate compliance with 30 TAC §297.202(6) because it does not address compliance with the state water plan and the relevant approved regional water plan. In addition, the Application is not consistent with the regional water plan because brine concentrate disposal issues have not been addressed.

RECEIVED

MAR 25 2021

TCEQ MAIL CENTER CJ Section 297.202 (6) requires applications for diversion of marine seawater or seawater to address "a water supply need in a manner that is consistent with the state water plan and the relevant approved regional water plan." With respect to compliance with this section, the Application states the following:

The site is located within the Region N Planning Group. The application is consistent with the Coastal Bend Regional Water Planning Area Region N Regional Water Plan, dated December 2015. Pages 5-42, 5-44, and 5-50 describe use of a desalination plant approximately 30 mgd as part of a recommended water supply plan to support future manufacturing and power needs. (Page 4 of 23)

This statement fails to demonstrate compliance with §297.202(6) because the regional water plan it describes has been superseded by the 2021 Regional Water Plan dated October 2020 (the "Regional Plan"). The Regional Plan is the "relevant approved regional water plan" for which compliance must be demonstrated under §297.202(6). Although the Port submitted a letter from the Coastal Bend Regional Water Planning Group, this letter does not demonstrate compliance with §297.202(6) because it only purports to determine consistency with the superseded regional plan:

In conclusion, the proposed projects for which water rights applications are being sought differ from the strategy specifics outlined in the 2016 Plan. However, this letter is being provided stating that although the applications may be for different amounts and different project configurations than indicated in the 2016 Plan, the applications are consistent with the 2016 Plan.

Likewise, an interoffice memorandum dated November 19, 2020, from Jennifer Allis, Senior Water Conservation Specialist, Resource Protection Team, to Hal Bailey, Project Manager, Water Rights Permitting Team, contains insufficient findings. Specifically, it states that "the application is consistent with the 2016 Region N Water Plan, and the draft 2021 Region N Water Plan." Although the memorandum attempts to cure the insufficiency of showing compliance with a superseded plan by referring to "the draft 2021 Region N Water Plan," compliance with a draft plan does not constitute compliance with an approved plan, as required by §297.202(6).

The memorandum fails to address whether the Application further complies with the state water plan, as required by §297.202(6).

In addition, the Application is not consistent with the Regional Plan because brine concentrate disposal issues have not been evaluated as required by Table 5D.10.15, Evaluation Summary of the Port of Corpus Christi Authority-La Quinta Channel 30 MGD Project (p. 5D.10-46). This table lists eleven impact categories and a comment section relating to each category. One of these impact categories is environmental factors, which includes water quality as a subcategory (dissolved solids, salinity, bacteria, chlorides, bromide, sulfate, uranium, arsenic, and other water quality constituents). Noting that the La Quinta desalination plant intends to remove total dissolved solids and salinity of water by reverse osmosis, the water quality comments provide that "[b]rine concentrate disposal issues will need to be evaluated." The TCEQ cannot determine consistency with 30 TAC §297.202 (6) as it relates to this provision of the Regional Plan, because

the Port has deferred evaluation of brine concentrate disposal issues, as discussed in the next section.

2. Anticipated changes to the wastewater discharge permit application adversely affect the TCEQ's approval of the Application under 30 TAC §288.5 relating to water conservation plans for wholesale water suppliers and under 30 TAC §297.202(3) requiring that water appropriated under a water rights permit be intended for a beneficial use.

The water use permit requested by the Application is one of two TCEQ permits necessary for the construction and operation of the desalination plant. The Port has also submitted Application No. WQ0005254000 to the TCEQ for a wastewater discharge permit for the direct discharge of wastewater generated from the treatment process into the La Quinta Ship Channel. However, processing of this permit application has been indefinitely suspended by the TCEQ in response to the Port's request that this application be put "on hold" to allow time for the Port to conduct a feasibility study on alternative strategies for brine disposal. By the Port's own admission, this feasibility study will likely result in substantial changes to the wastewater discharge permit application in the area of brine concentrate management. These anticipated changes have adverse regulatory consequences for the Application because of the interdependent nature of the water rights permit and the wastewater discharge permit. Specifically, the feasibility study calls into question the accuracy and validity of the Port's analysis of water conservation measures specified in its water conservation plan. In addition, the indefinite suspension of the processing of Application No. WQ0005254000 casts legitimate doubt on the future of this project, making the subject water use for industrial purposes under the Application speculative at best and impeding a regulatory determination that the water use is intended for a beneficial use and the marine seawater will be treated in accordance with applicable rules, as required by 30 TAC §297.202(3).

Feasibility Study. On February 23, 2021, the Port delivered a presentation to the City Council of the City of Ingleside (the "City Council") relating to the status of the Application. As part of its presentation, the Port presented a series of slides, the first of which stated that (1) a feasibility study was "underway to explore beneficial (upland) use of brine to mitigate potential long-term mitigation of accumulation" and (2) "TCEQ discharge permit to be modified pending results of this study." Sarah Garza, the Port's Director of Environmental Planning and Compliance, stated that this study would identify alternatives for brine disposal "instead of just putting everything into the bay system," and informed the City Council that the discharge permit application has been put "on hold because we expect that it will affect the permit application."

The feasibility study is outlined in a professional services contract between the Port and Parsons Environment & Infrastructure Group Inc ("Parsons"). The scope of work described in this contract includes an alternative brine disposal evaluation for the identification of "viable brine disposal options for the facility including brine disposal in the Alcoa Copano Mud Beds, deep injection well, commingled effluent with nearby facilities, reduced discharge to Corpus Christi bay, or a combination of these or other identifiable disposal solutions." The scope of work also includes updated permit discharge and technical information, including supplementing "the existing TCEQ TPDES individual permit application with additional information related to the final recommendations for alternative brine management strategy" and "meet[ing] with the TCEQ

and Authority, as necessary relating to the above items." The scope of work acknowledges that submission of additional information to the TCEQ is "anticipated" as a result of the study. The contract makes clear that changes to the wastewater discharge permit application based on the study are far reaching, including a reference to the likely need for updated modeling and changes to the outfall and diffuser configurations. Due to the sweeping nature of the likely changes, the scope of work also obligates Parsons to review any draft and final permit relating to the wastewater discharge.

Despite the substantial nature of these anticipated changes, the Port has adopted a regulatory strategy to decouple the water rights permit and the wastewater discharge permit, indicated by Ms. Garza's further testimony before the City Council that there are "no details in the feasibility study that would alter what we are requesting by way of water rights." However, the Port's position that the details of the feasibility study will not alter or affect the Application is in error. Whereas multiple permit applications for other types of projects may, and often do, proceed independently, the applications for a wastewater discharge permit and a water rights permit in this instance are interconnected and do not allow for such flexibility.

Water Conservation Plan. The Port's water conservation plan demonstrates the interconnection between the Application and the wastewater discharge permit. The feasibility study relating to Application No. WQ0005254000 invalidates the Port's analysis of water conservation measures specified in its water conservation plan required by 30 TAC §288.5, specifying minimum requirements for water conservation plans for wholesale water suppliers. Specifically, the water conservation plan identifies direct discharge of treated wastewater as one of the programs the Port will use to implement its goals for water savings, specifically stating that "[w]astewater generated at the desalination plant will be treated and put back in the bay where originally diverted." The feasibility study, however, identifies alternative brine disposal options, including its disposal in the Alcoa Copano Mud Beds, deep injection wells, commingled effluent with nearby facilities, reduced discharged to Corpus Christi Bay, or a combination of these or other identifiable disposal options. Direct discharge will no longer be a viable water conservation measure because as the Port explained to the City Council, the feasibility study is intended to identify alternatives for brine disposal "instead of just putting everything into the bay system."

In addition, §288.5(1)(I) requires that all water conservation plans for wholesale water suppliers must contain documentation of coordination with the regional water planning groups for the service area of the wholesale water supplier in order to ensure consistency with the appropriate approved regional water plans. The inability to determine consistency with the Regional Plan in the absence of evaluation of brine concentrate disposal issues, discussed above, compromises compliance with §288.5(1)(I) because it requires documentation of consistency with the Regional Plan.

Beneficial Use. Indefinite suspension of the processing of Application No. WQ0005254000 casts legitimate doubt on the future of this project, compromising the determination required under 30 TAC §297.202(3) that the water right is intended for a beneficial use. Section 297.202 specifies the approval criteria for water rights applications involving diversion of marine seawater and seawater. Section 297.303(3) provides that the Commission may grant an application for a water right to divert marine seawater or seawater only if the diverted

marine seawater or seawater is intended for a beneficial use and will be treated in accordance with applicable rules. The Commission's ability to make this required determination is severely compromised when another permit application necessary for construction and operation is in a state of limbo. Application No. WQ0005254000 may not even be granted if issues relating to brine disposal at this location cannot be resolved, or if granted, may authorize a discharge volume less than the requested water right.

Such outcomes are not merely theoretical. On February 5, 2021, the State Office of Administrative Hearings ("SOAH") issued a proposal for decision ("PFD") recommending denial of the Port's wastewater permit application WQ00052530001 relating to its proposed desalination plan in Nueces County. The issues in that case also centered on brine disposal: "[t]he main constituent of concern in this case is salinity. The Facility's discharge will consist primarily of the concentrated salts that remain after the desalination process." (PFD, p. 7). The Administrative Law Judges found that "[t]he record is lacking as to what level of salinity would be protective of aquatic life" (PFD, p. 65), concluding that the Port "has not met its burden to prove that the proposed discharge will not adversely impact the marine environment, aquatic life, and wildlife, including spawning eggs and larval migration" (PFD, p. 69).

Adding to the speculative nature of the Port's intended water use are its statements before the Ingleside City Council on February 23rd. During its presentation, the Port indicated that it would not be ready to commence construction upon receiving the water rights permit because Application No. WQ0005254000 was "on hold" due to the need for further study of brine concentrate disposal options. Texas Water Code §11.145, however, requires construction of proposed facilities to commence within a time frame fixed by the commission not to exceed two years and further requires the water rights holder to work diligently and continuously to complete construction. Section §297.51 of the Commission's rules further provides that failure to commence or complete construction within the specified time frame may cause the permit holder to forfeit all rights to the permit. In addition, the prospect of more permitting makes the intended water use even more hypothetical for purposes of 30 TAC §297.202(3). The brine disposal options under consideration in the feasibility study explore options, such as injection wells, which will require additional permit authorization from the TCEQ.

The City appreciates the opportunity to provide comments on the Application and urges their serious consideration.

Sincerely,

Mary Reagan

cc Cathy Skurow

Mayor, City of Portland

Randy Wright

City Manager, City of Portland

From:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC

Sent:

Friday, March 26, 2021 2:38 PM

To:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC2; PUBCOMMENT-OPIC; PUBCOMMENT-ELD; PUBCOMMENT-

WWW-WRAS

Subject:

FW: Comment Letter on Application No. 13630

Attachments:

Comment Letter on Application No. 13630 (Filed).pdf

Importance:

High

From: CHIEFCLK <chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov> Sent: Friday, March 26, 2021 9:44 AM

To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC < PUBCOMMENT-OCC@tceq.texas.gov>

Subject: FW: Comment Letter on Application No. 13630

Importance: High

From: Mary Reagan < reaganlawfirm@gmail.com>

Sent: Thursday, March 25, 2021 3:48 PM **To:** CHIEFCLK < <u>chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov</u>>

Subject: Comment Letter on Application No. 13630

Importance: High

Dear Chief Clerk,

Attached is a comment letter by the City of Portland, Texas, relating to Application No. 13603 in the name of the Port of Corpus Christi of Nueces County. The letter was filed earlier today with the TCEQ at the Mail Center.

Please contact me with any questions.

Regards, Mary Reagan Law Office of Mary Reagan 711 West 7th Street Austin, TX 78701 (512) 472-9300 reaganlawfirm@gmail.com

March 25, 2021

Office of Chief Clerk
MC 105
Texas Commission on Environmental Quality
P.O. Box 13087
Austin, Texas 78711-3087

Via Hand Delivery

Re: Written Comments submitted by the City of Portland, Texas, on Application No. 13630 in the name the Port of Corpus Christi of Nucces County

Dear Chief Clerk:

The City of Portland, Texas (the "City) submits these written comments on Application No. 13630 (the "Application") filed by the Port of Corpus Christi of Nueces County (the "Port") for a water use permit for the diversion and use of not to exceed 101,334 acre-feet of seawater at a maximum diversion rate of 140.12 cfs (62,890 gpm) for industrial purposes. The Port's application for a water use permit relates to the proposed construction and operation of a desalination plant near the La Quinta Ship Channel in San Patricio County. As a municipality responsible for ensuring that its residents and businesses have an adequate water supply, the City has an active interest in all water development projects with the potential to affect water delivery in San Patricio County. Thus, the City has chosen to exercise its right to submit written comments to the TCEQ on the Application. Based on its review of the Application, the City believes that the Application does not address consistency with the relevant approved regional water plan, as required by 30 TAC §297.202(6). In addition, the Application does not demonstrate consistency with regional water planning criteria relating to the evaluation of brine concentrate disposal issues. Finally, the Application's compliance with 30 TAC §288.5 relating to water conservation plans for wholesale water suppliers and with 30 TAC §297.202(3) requiring that water use be intended for a beneficial use is abrogated by the recent suspension of processing of the Port's application for a wastewater discharge permit relating to the desalination plant.

1. The Application does not demonstrate compliance with 30 TAC §297.202(6) because it does not address compliance with the state water plan and the relevant approved regional water plan. In addition, the Application is not consistent with the regional water plan because brine concentrate disposal issues have not been addressed.

RECEIVED

MAR 25 2021 TCEQ MAIL CENTER CJ Section 297.202 (6) requires applications for diversion of marine seawater or seawater to address "a water supply need in a manner that is consistent with the state water plan and the relevant approved regional water plan." With respect to compliance with this section, the Application states the following:

The site is located within the Region N Planning Group. The application is consistent with the Coastal Bend Regional Water Planning Area Region N Regional Water Plan, dated December 2015. Pages 5-42, 5-44, and 5-50 describe use of a desalination plant approximately 30 mgd as part of a recommended water supply plan to support future manufacturing and power needs. (Page 4 of 23)

This statement fails to demonstrate compliance with §297.202(6) because the regional water plan it describes has been superseded by the 2021 Regional Water Plan dated October 2020 (the "Regional Plan"). The Regional Plan is the "relevant approved regional water plan" for which compliance must be demonstrated under §297.202(6). Although the Port submitted a letter from the Coastal Bend Regional Water Planning Group, this letter does not demonstrate compliance with §297.202(6) because it only purports to determine consistency with the superseded regional plan:

In conclusion, the proposed projects for which water rights applications are being sought differ from the strategy specifics outlined in the 2016 Plan. However, this letter is being provided stating that although the applications may be for different amounts and different project configurations than indicated in the 2016 Plan, the applications are consistent with the 2016 Plan.

Likewise, an interoffice memorandum dated November 19, 2020, from Jennifer Allis, Senior Water Conservation Specialist, Resource Protection Team, to Hal Bailey, Project Manager, Water Rights Permitting Team, contains insufficient findings. Specifically, it states that "the application is consistent with the 2016 Region N Water Plan, and the draft 2021 Region N Water Plan." Although the memorandum attempts to cure the insufficiency of showing compliance with a superseded plan by referring to "the draft 2021 Region N Water Plan," compliance with a draft plan does not constitute compliance with an approved plan, as required by §297.202(6).

The memorandum fails to address whether the Application further complies with the state water plan, as required by §297.202(6).

In addition, the Application is not consistent with the Regional Plan because brine concentrate disposal issues have not been evaluated as required by Table 5D.10.15, Evaluation Summary of the Port of Corpus Christi Authority-La Quinta Channel 30 MGD Project (p. 5D.10-46). This table lists eleven impact categories and a comment section relating to each category. One of these impact categories is environmental factors, which includes water quality as a subcategory (dissolved solids, salinity, bacteria, chlorides, bromide, sulfate, uranium, arsenic, and other water quality constituents). Noting that the La Quinta desalination plant intends to remove total dissolved solids and salinity of water by reverse osmosis, the water quality comments provide that "[b]rine concentrate disposal issues will need to be evaluated." The TCEQ cannot determine consistency with 30 TAC §297.202 (6) as it relates to this provision of the Regional Plan, because

the Port has deferred evaluation of brine concentrate disposal issues, as discussed in the next section.

2. Anticipated changes to the wastewater discharge permit application adversely affect the TCEQ's approval of the Application under 30 TAC §288.5 relating to water conservation plans for wholesale water suppliers and under 30 TAC §297.202(3) requiring that water appropriated under a water rights permit be intended for a beneficial use.

The water use permit requested by the Application is one of two TCEQ permits necessary for the construction and operation of the desalination plant. The Port has also submitted Application No. WQ0005254000 to the TCEQ for a wastewater discharge permit for the direct discharge of wastewater generated from the treatment process into the La Quinta Ship Channel. However, processing of this permit application has been indefinitely suspended by the TCEQ in response to the Port's request that this application be put "on hold" to allow time for the Port to conduct a feasibility study on alternative strategies for brine disposal. By the Port's own admission, this feasibility study will likely result in substantial changes to the wastewater discharge permit application in the area of brine concentrate management. These anticipated changes have adverse regulatory consequences for the Application because of the interdependent nature of the water rights permit and the wastewater discharge permit. Specifically, the feasibility study calls into question the accuracy and validity of the Port's analysis of water conservation measures specified in its water conservation plan. In addition, the indefinite suspension of the processing of Application No. WQ0005254000 casts legitimate doubt on the future of this project, making the subject water use for industrial purposes under the Application speculative at best and impeding a regulatory determination that the water use is intended for a beneficial use and the marine seawater will be treated in accordance with applicable rules, as required by 30 TAC §297.202(3).

Feasibility Study. On February 23, 2021, the Port delivered a presentation to the City Council of the City of Ingleside (the "City Council") relating to the status of the Application. As part of its presentation, the Port presented a series of slides, the first of which stated that (1) a feasibility study was "underway to explore beneficial (upland) use of brine to mitigate potential long-term mitigation of accumulation" and (2) "TCEQ discharge permit to be modified pending results of this study." Sarah Garza, the Port's Director of Environmental Planning and Compliance, stated that this study would identify alternatives for brine disposal "instead of just putting everything into the bay system," and informed the City Council that the discharge permit application has been put "on hold because we expect that it will affect the permit application."

The feasibility study is outlined in a professional services contract between the Port and Parsons Environment & Infrastructure Group Inc ("Parsons"). The scope of work described in this contract includes an alternative brine disposal evaluation for the identification of "viable brine disposal options for the facility including brine disposal in the Alcoa Copano Mud Beds, deep injection well, commingled effluent with nearby facilities, reduced discharge to Corpus Christi bay, or a combination of these or other identifiable disposal solutions." The scope of work also includes updated permit discharge and technical information, including supplementing "the existing TCEQ TPDES individual permit application with additional information related to the final recommendations for alternative brine management strategy" and "meet[ing] with the TCEQ

and Authority, as necessary relating to the above items." The scope of work acknowledges that submission of additional information to the TCEQ is "anticipated" as a result of the study. The contract makes clear that changes to the wastewater discharge permit application based on the study are far reaching, including a reference to the likely need for updated modeling and changes to the outfall and diffuser configurations. Due to the sweeping nature of the likely changes, the scope of work also obligates Parsons to review any draft and final permit relating to the wastewater discharge.

Despite the substantial nature of these anticipated changes, the Port has adopted a regulatory strategy to decouple the water rights permit and the wastewater discharge permit, indicated by Ms. Garza's further testimony before the City Council that there are "no details in the feasibility study that would alter what we are requesting by way of water rights." However, the Port's position that the details of the feasibility study will not alter or affect the Application is in error. Whereas multiple permit applications for other types of projects may, and often do, proceed independently, the applications for a wastewater discharge permit and a water rights permit in this instance are interconnected and do not allow for such flexibility.

Water Conservation Plan. The Port's water conservation plan demonstrates the interconnection between the Application and the wastewater discharge permit. The feasibility study relating to Application No. WQ0005254000 invalidates the Port's analysis of water conservation measures specified in its water conservation plan required by 30 TAC §288.5, specifying minimum requirements for water conservation plans for wholesale water suppliers. Specifically, the water conservation plan identifies direct discharge of treated wastewater as one of the programs the Port will use to implement its goals for water savings, specifically stating that "[w]astewater generated at the desalination plant will be treated and put back in the bay where originally diverted." The feasibility study, however, identifies alternative brine disposal options, including its disposal in the Alcoa Copano Mud Beds, deep injection wells, commingled effluent with nearby facilities, reduced discharged to Corpus Christi Bay, or a combination of these or other identifiable disposal options. Direct discharge will no longer be a viable water conservation measure because as the Port explained to the City Council, the feasibility study is intended to identify alternatives for brine disposal "instead of just putting everything into the bay system."

In addition, §288.5(1)(I) requires that all water conservation plans for wholesale water suppliers must contain documentation of coordination with the regional water planning groups for the service area of the wholesale water supplier in order to ensure consistency with the appropriate approved regional water plans. The inability to determine consistency with the Regional Plan in the absence of evaluation of brine concentrate disposal issues, discussed above, compromises compliance with §288.5(1)(I) because it requires documentation of consistency with the Regional Plan.

Beneficial Use. Indefinite suspension of the processing of Application No. WQ0005254000 casts legitimate doubt on the future of this project, compromising the determination required under 30 TAC §297.202(3) that the water right is intended for a beneficial use. Section 297.202 specifies the approval criteria for water rights applications involving diversion of marine seawater and seawater. Section 297.303(3) provides that the Commission may grant an application for a water right to divert marine seawater or seawater only if the diverted

marine seawater or seawater is intended for a beneficial use and will be treated in accordance with applicable rules. The Commission's ability to make this required determination is severely compromised when another permit application necessary for construction and operation is in a state of limbo. Application No. WQ0005254000 may not even be granted if issues relating to brine disposal at this location cannot be resolved, or if granted, may authorize a discharge volume less than the requested water right.

Such outcomes are not merely theoretical. On February 5, 2021, the State Office of Administrative Hearings ("SOAH") issued a proposal for decision ("PFD") recommending denial of the Port's wastewater permit application WQ00052530001 relating to its proposed desalination plan in Nueces County. The issues in that case also centered on brine disposal: "[t]he main constituent of concern in this case is salinity. The Facility's discharge will consist primarily of the concentrated salts that remain after the desalination process." (PFD, p. 7). The Administrative Law Judges found that "[t]he record is lacking as to what level of salinity would be protective of aquatic life" (PFD, p. 65), concluding that the Port "has not met its burden to prove that the proposed discharge will not adversely impact the marine environment, aquatic life, and wildlife, including spawning eggs and larval migration" (PFD, p. 69).

Adding to the speculative nature of the Port's intended water use are its statements before the Ingleside City Council on February 23rd. During its presentation, the Port indicated that it would not be ready to commence construction upon receiving the water rights permit because Application No. WQ0005254000 was "on hold" due to the need for further study of brine concentrate disposal options. Texas Water Code §11.145, however, requires construction of proposed facilities to commence within a time frame fixed by the commission not to exceed two years and further requires the water rights holder to work diligently and continuously to complete construction. Section §297.51 of the Commission's rules further provides that failure to commence or complete construction within the specified time frame may cause the permit holder to forfeit all rights to the permit. In addition, the prospect of more permitting makes the intended water use even more hypothetical for purposes of 30 TAC §297.202(3). The brine disposal options under consideration in the feasibility study explore options, such as injection wells, which will require additional permit authorization from the TCEQ.

The City appreciates the opportunity to provide comments on the Application and urges their serious consideration.

Sincerely.

Mary Reagan

cc Cathy Skurow

Mayor, City of Portland

Randy Wright

City Manager, City of Portland

From:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC

Sent:

Tuesday, March 30, 2021 9:14 AM

To:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC2; PUBCOMMENT-OPIC; PUBCOMMENT-ELD; PUBCOMMENT-

WWW-WRAS

Subject:

FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

PM

From: CHIEFCLK <chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov> Sent: Monday, March 29, 2021 4:13 PM

To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC < PUBCOMMENT-OCC@tceq.texas.gov>

Subject: FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

From: Susan Reeves < Susan.Reeves.334861663@p2a.co >

Sent: Monday, March 29, 2021 2:38 PM
To: CHIEFCLK < chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov >
Subject: I oppose WRPERM 13630

Dear Chief Clerk,

I oppose WRPERM 13630. Many of us suffered through the historic winter storm in February 2021 and were without power for several days in freezing temperatures due to the amount of demand placed on the electrical grid in Texas. The operating pumps required to suck 62,890 gallons of water per minute will take an enormous amount of power, placing even more strain on the grid. I am opposed to issuing a permit which would demand excessive amounts of energy to supply water only for industrial use.

I also ask for a two-week extension for comments, as well as a public meeting.

Regards,
Susan Reeves
3618 Topeka St
Corpus Christi, TX 78411

From:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC

Sent:

Friday, March 26, 2021 2:44 PM

To:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC2; PUBCOMMENT-OPIC; PUBCOMMENT-ELD; PUBCOMMENT-

WWW-WRAS

Subject:

FW: Public comment on Permit Number WRPERM 13630

From: 1retta43@gmail.com <1retta43@gmail.com>

Sent: Friday, March 26, 2021 10:23 AM

To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC <PUBCOMMENT-OCC@tceq.texas.gov> **Subject:** Public comment on Permit Number WRPERM 13630

REGULATED ENTY NAME WRPERM 13630

RN NUMBER: RN110844933

PERMIT NUMBER: WRPERM 13630

DOCKET NUMBER:

COUNTY: SAN PATRICIO

PRINCIPAL NAME: PORT OF CORPUS CHRISTI AUTHORITY OF NUECES COUNTY

CN NUMBER: CN600885248

FROM

NAME: Etta Reynolds

E-MAIL: 1retta43@gmail.com

COMPANY:

ADDRESS: 511 SUNSET INGLESIDE TX 78362-4736

PHONE: 3615504004

FAX:

COMMENTS: I live in Ingleside on the Bay and the effect that this endeavor will have on the ecosystem of this area of the bay system will have devastating consequences for generations to come. Etta Reynolds 511 Sunset Ingleside on the Bay Texas 78362

From:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC

Sent:

Friday, March 26, 2021 2:42 PM

To:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC2; PUBCOMMENT-OPIC; PUBCOMMENT-ELD; PUBCOMMENT-

WWW-WRAS

Subject:

FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

PM

From: CHIEFCLK <chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov> Sent: Friday, March 26, 2021 9:54 AM

To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC < PUBCOMMENT-OCC@tceq.texas.gov>

Subject: FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

From: Monica Rhodes < Monica.Rhodes.433472241@p2a.co >

Sent: Thursday, March 25, 2021 1:34 PM
To: CHIEFCLK < chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov >
Subject: I oppose WRPERM 13630

Dear Chief Clerk,

I strongly oppose WRPERM 13630 and the Port of Corpus Christi's placing an intake pipe for a desalination plant in La Quinta Channel. According to the permit, the Port of Corpus Christi would be allowed to suck 62,890 gallons of water from La Quinta Channel every minute. Sucking in that amount of water that fast will require an enormous amount of suction power and I am concerned about aquatic life being trapped or killed in the process.

I also request a two-week extension of the deadline for comments and a public meeting.

Regards, Monica Rhodes PO Box 20723 Houston, TX 77225

From:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC

Sent:

Tuesday, March 30, 2021 9:06 AM

To:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC2; PUBCOMMENT-OPIC; PUBCOMMENT-ELD; PUBCOMMENT-

WWW-WRAS

Subject:

FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

PM

From: CHIEFCLK <chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov> Sent: Monday, March 29, 2021 2:15 PM

To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC < PUBCOMMENT-OCC@tceq.texas.gov>

Subject: FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

From: mike rievley < mike.rievley.338041137@p2a.co >

Sent: Monday, March 29, 2021 2:00 PM
To: CHIEFCLK < chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov
Subject: I oppose WRPERM 13630

Dear Chief Clerk,

I urge you to deny WRPERM 13630. I am opposed to any intake pipeline for a desalination plant in La Quinta Channel. So many members of our community love to fish, boat, and swim along the Portland Shoreline where the intake pipe would be located and in Ingleside Cove where the discharge would flow to. I am concerned that given the number of small larvae which will be sucked up, turned to sludge, and deposited into landfills, fishing will be badly impaired in the region.

I am also asking for a two week extension for comments due to the recent loss of electricity in Texas and that you hold a public meeting for the community to share their concerns.

Regards, mike rievley 19207 Rose Cv San Antonio, TX 78259

Melissa Schmidt

From:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC

Sent:

Monday, July 12, 2021 3:57 PM

To:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC2; PUBCOMMENT-OPIC; PUBCOMMENT-ELD; PUBCOMMENT-

WWW-WRAS

Subject:

FW: Public comment on Permit Number WRPERM 13630

WRPERM 11970L

From: jriggins55@gmail.com < jriggins55@gmail.com>

Sent: Monday, July 12, 2021 2:16 PM

To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC < PUBCOMMENT-OCC@tceq.texas.gov > Subject: Public comment on Permit Number WRPERM 13630

REGULATED ENTY NAME WRPERM 13630

RN NUMBER: RN110844933

PERMIT NUMBER: WRPERM 13630

DOCKET NUMBER: 2021-0421-WR

COUNTY: SAN PATRICIO

PRINCIPAL NAME: PORT OF CORPUS CHRISTI AUTHORITY OF NUECES COUNTY

CN NUMBER: CN600885248

FROM

NAME: Jay Riggins

E-MAIL: jriggins55@gmail.com

COMPANY:

ADDRESS: 5041 GREENBRIAR DR CORPUS CHRISTI TX 78413-2719

PHONE: 3617656164

FAX:

COMMENTS: Clean water is the cornerstone of any successful waterfront community. Protecting our clean water is the primary reason I strongly oppose WRPERM 13630. Not enough attention has been paid to the discharge back into the bay. There is nothing in the proposed plan, and we have no safety net of regulation, to protect the bay, our citizens, and the marine life from the adverse effects of the discharge from the desalination plant. Since industrial companies will be

the primary beneficiaries of the proposed plant, those companies can afford to put protective measures in place at the front end that will eliminate or minimize the negative consequences from that discharge. Until there is a well-planned solution that addresses the discharge from the plant and keeps it from having such a significant negative impact on us and our way of life, we should rely on other safer alternatives such as water reuse, water efficiency and groundwater instead of desalination.

From:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC

Sent:

Thursday, March 25, 2021 10:04 AM

To:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC2; PUBCOMMENT-OPIC; PUBCOMMENT-ELD; PUBCOMMENT-

WWW-WRAS

Subject:

FW: Public comment on Permit Number WRPERM 13630

From: barryxwind@msn.com <barryxwind@msn.com>

Sent: Thursday, March 25, 2021 9:51 AM

To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC <PUBCOMMENT-OCC@tceq.texas.gov> **Subject:** Public comment on Permit Number WRPERM 13630

REGULATED ENTY NAME WRPERM 13630

RN NUMBER: RN110844933

PERMIT NUMBER: WRPERM 13630

DOCKET NUMBER:

COUNTY: SAN PATRICIO

PRINCIPAL NAME: PORT OF CORPUS CHRISTI AUTHORITY OF NUECES COUNTY

CN NUMBER: CN600885248

FROM

NAME: Barry Ritchey

E-MAIL: barryxwind@msn.com

COMPANY: Self

ADDRESS: 910 SANTA ANA AVE SE ALBUQUERQUE NM 87123-4231

PHONE: 5052388123

FAX:

COMMENTS: We spend half of each year on the TX coast and truly appreciate the Coastal Bend. I'm curious why you would jeopardize the CC Bay, already in a precarious and threatened state, when it is recommended that a desalination plant intake should come from a deep water site? And the brine discharge, where is that being sent? The average

salinity in the Laguna Madre and coastal waterways is already double that of average seawater. Are you going to adequately address the issues caused by brine discharge?				

From:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC

Sent:

Monday, March 22, 2021 5:56 PM

To:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC2; PUBCOMMENT-OPIC; PUBCOMMENT-ELD; PUBCOMMENT-

WWW-WRAS

Subject:

FW: Public comment on Permit Number WRPERM 13630

From: tomrodino@att.net <tomrodino@att.net>

Sent: Thursday, March 18, 2021 11:40 AM

To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC <PUBCOMMENT-OCC@tceq.texas.gov> **Subject:** Public comment on Permit Number WRPERM 13630

REGULATED ENTY NAME WRPERM 13630

RN NUMBER: RN110844933

PERMIT NUMBER: WRPERM 13630

DOCKET NUMBER:

COUNTY: SAN PATRICIO

PRINCIPAL NAME: PORT OF CORPUS CHRISTI AUTHORITY OF NUECES COUNTY

CN NUMBER: CN600885248

FROM

NAME: Thomas B Rodino

E-MAIL: tomrodino@att.net

COMPANY:

ADDRESS: 183 HERON OAKS ROCKPORT TX 78382-4332

PHONE: 3617291077

FAX:

COMMENTS: y concern is that diversion of very large volumes of water from inshore sources such as Corpus Christi Bay and adjacent areas, including the ship channel, will have long-term adverse effects on flows and salinity. It's reasonable to expect that the desalination plant brine discharge would be in the same general location, possibly compounding the

problem. A far more preferable approach is a coastal plant that allows for both water intake and brine discharge points to be offshore. Both the City of Corpus Christi and the Port of Corpus Christi have multiple options for such coastal sites.

From:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC

Sent:

Friday, March 26, 2021 2:29 PM

To:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC2; PUBCOMMENT-OPIC; PUBCOMMENT-ELD; PUBCOMMENT-

WWW-WRAS

Subject:

FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

PM

From: CHIEFCLK <chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov> Sent: Friday, March 26, 2021 9:38 AM

To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC < PUBCOMMENT-OCC@tceq.texas.gov>

Subject: FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

From: Martha Rogers < Martha.Rogers.126896675@p2a.co >

Sent: Thursday, March 25, 2021 9:28 PM **To:** CHIEFCLK < chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov > **Subject:** I oppose WRPERM 13630

Dear Chief Clerk,

I ask that you deny WRPERM 13630, and I oppose the Port of Corpus Christi's placing an intake pipe for a desalination plant in La Quinta Channel. This water will largely be for industrial use, not for me, my family, or my neighbors. I don't think bringing polluting corporations to our community is worth the cost these desalination plants will have on our Bay, on our wildlife, and on our local fishing and tourism economies.

I request a two-week extension of the deadline for comments on account of the recent freeze and loss of electricity in Texas. I also request that a public meeting be held for the community to express its concerns.

Regards,
Martha Rogers
4104 Deepwoods Dr
Austin, TX 78731

Melissa Schmidt

From:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC

Sent:

Tuesday, June 29, 2021 5:52 PM

To:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC2; PUBCOMMENT-OPIC; PUBCOMMENT-ELD; PUBCOMMENT-

WWW-WRAS

Subject:

FW: Public comment on Permit Number WRPERM 13630

WRPERM 11970L

From: drossonjr@yahoo.com <drossonjr@yahoo.com>

Sent: Tuesday, June 29, 2021 3:22 PM

To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC <PUBCOMMENT-OCC@tceq.texas.gov> **Subject:** Public comment on Permit Number WRPERM 13630

REGULATED ENTY NAME WRPERM 13630

RN NUMBER: RN110844933

PERMIT NUMBER: WRPERM 13630

DOCKET NUMBER: 2021-0421-WR

COUNTY: SAN PATRICIO

PRINCIPAL NAME: PORT OF CORPUS CHRISTI AUTHORITY OF NUECES COUNTY

CN NUMBER: CN600885248

FROM

NAME: Donna Rosson

E-MAIL: drossonjr@yahoo.com

COMPANY: 78387

ADDRESS: 11464 HIGHWAY 188

SINTON TX 78387-5539

PHONE: 3612221212

FAX:

COMMENTS: The Bay of Corpus Christi is a closed bay system and should not be subjected to desalination discharge of any kind EVER. This permit to allow the intake of huge amounts of water containing sea life to be processed and thereby destroyed, for industrial water use, is a travesty and is an antithesis to what Texas Commission on Environmental Quality stands for. I strongly oppose this permit on the grounds of inadequate environmental assessments from third party

organizations who have no monetary or political affiliation with the City of Corpus Christi or the Port of Corpus Christi. Fishing, birding, boating, swimming, and other water activities are crucially important to those of us who live by the bay and have grown up here. A healthy society does not accelerate the forces of change purely for profit or power. Reminder TCEQ mission: "The Texas Commission on Environmental Quality strives to protect our state's public health and natural resources consistent with sustainable economic development." In this case Texans cannot have both if desalination is allowed in CC Bay.

From: PUBCOMMENT-OCC

Sent: Friday, March 26, 2021 2:20 PM

To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC2; PUBCOMMENT-OPIC; PUBCOMMENT-ELD; PUBCOMMENT-

WWW-WRAS

Subject: FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

PM

----Original Message----

From: CHIEFCLK <chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov> Sent: Friday, March 26, 2021 9:33 AM

To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC < PUBCOMMENT-OCC@tceq.texas.gov>

Subject: FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

----Original Message-----

From: Donna Rosson < Donna. Rosson. 200576479@p2a.co>

Sent: Thursday, March 25, 2021 4:14 PM To: CHIEFCLK <chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov> Subject: I oppose WRPERM 13630

Dear Chief Clerk,

I strongly oppose WRPERM 13630 and the Port of Corpus Christi's placing an intake pipe for a desalination plant in La Quinta Channel. According to the permit, the Port of Corpus Christi would be allowed to suck 62,890 gallons of water from La Quinta Channel every minute. Sucking in that amount of water that fast will require an enormous amount of suction power and I am concerned about aquatic life being trapped or killed in the process.

I also request a two-week extension of the deadline for comments and a public meeting.

Regards, Donna Rosson 11464 TX-188

Sinton, TX 78387 http://admin.phone2action.com/email/open/leg/583850/108563628

From:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC

Sent:

Tuesday, March 30, 2021 9:33 AM

To:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC2; PUBCOMMENT-OPIC; PUBCOMMENT-ELD; PUBCOMMENT-

WWW-WRAS

Subject:

FW: Public comment on Permit Number WRPERM 13630

From: carolrowald@yahoo.com <carolrowald@yahoo.com>

Sent: Monday, March 29, 2021 5:17 PM

To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC <PUBCOMMENT-OCC@tceq.texas.gov>
Subject: Public comment on Permit Number WRPERM 13630

REGULATED ENTY NAME WRPERM 13630

RN NUMBER: RN110844933

PERMIT NUMBER: WRPERM 13630

DOCKET NUMBER:

COUNTY: SAN PATRICIO

PRINCIPAL NAME: PORT OF CORPUS CHRISTI AUTHORITY OF NUECES COUNTY

CN NUMBER: CN600885248

FROM

NAME: MRS Carol G Rowald

E-MAIL: carolrowald@yahoo.com

COMPANY:

ADDRESS: PO BOX 1253 BELTON TX 76513-5253

PHONE: 2547182711

FAX:

COMMENTS: PLEASE HEAR THE PEOPLE! I agree with the opposition. PLEASE DO NOT APPROVE OF THIS PROJECT! this is not a good plan. it is destructive to humans, the environment & nature. Thank you

From:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC

Sent:

Friday, March 26, 2021 2:39 PM

To:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC2; PUBCOMMENT-OPIC; PUBCOMMENT-ELD; PUBCOMMENT-

WWW-WRAS

Subject:

FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

PM

From: CHIEFCLK <chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov> Sent: Friday, March 26, 2021 9:47 AM

To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC < PUBCOMMENT-OCC@tceq.texas.gov>

Subject: FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

From: Deanna Ruiz < Deanna.Ruiz.267561463@p2a.co >

Sent: Thursday, March 25, 2021 3:24 PM
To: CHIEFCLK < chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov >
Subject: I oppose WRPERM 13630

Dear Chief Clerk,

I ask that you deny WRPERM 13630, and I oppose the Port of Corpus Christi's placing an intake pipe for a desalination plant in La Quinta Channel. This water will largely be for industrial use, not for me, my family, or my neighbors. I don't think bringing polluting corporations to our community is worth the cost these desalination plants will have on our Bay, on our wildlife, and on our local fishing and tourism economies.

I request a two-week extension of the deadline for comments on account of the recent freeze and loss of electricity in Texas. I also request that a public meeting be held for the community to express its concerns.

Regards, Deanna Ruiz 7324 Skillman St Dallas, TX 75231

From:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC

Sent:

Friday, March 26, 2021 2:43 PM

To:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC2; PUBCOMMENT-OPIC; PUBCOMMENT-ELD; PUBCOMMENT-

WWW-WRAS

Subject:

FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

PM

From: CHIEFCLK <chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov> Sent: Friday, March 26, 2021 9:56 AM

To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC < PUBCOMMENT-OCC@tceq.texas.gov>

Subject: FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

From: Tim Rygg < Tim.Rygg.42756303@p2a.co > Sent: Thursday, March 25, 2021 1:11 PM
To: CHIEFCLK < chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov > Subject: I oppose WRPERM 13630

Dear Chief Clerk,

I ask that you deny WRPERM 13630, and I oppose the Port of Corpus Christi's placing an intake pipe for a desalination plant in La Quinta Channel. This water will largely be for industrial use, not for me, my family, or my neighbors. I don't think bringing polluting corporations to our community is worth the cost these desalination plants will have on our Bay, on our wildlife, and on our local fishing and tourism economies.

I request a two-week extension of the deadline for comments on account of the recent freeze and loss of electricity in Texas. I also request that a public meeting be held for the community to express its concerns.

Regards, Tim Rygg 1800 Holly St Austin, TX 78702

From:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC

Sent:

Friday, March 26, 2021 2:43 PM

To:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC2; PUBCOMMENT-OPIC; PUBCOMMENT-ELD; PUBCOMMENT-

WWW-WRAS

Subject:

FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

PM

From: CHIEFCLK <chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov> Sent: Friday, March 26, 2021 9:56 AM

To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC < PUBCOMMENT-OCC@tceq.texas.gov>

Subject: FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

From: Thomas sako < Thomas.sako.92488045@p2a.co >

Sent: Thursday, March 25, 2021 1:15 PM
To: CHIEFCLK < chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov>
Subject: I oppose WRPERM 13630

Dear Chief Clerk,

I strongly oppose WRPERM 13630 and the Port of Corpus Christi's placing an intake pipe for a desalination plant in La Quinta Channel. According to the permit, the Port of Corpus Christi would be allowed to suck 62,890 gallons of water from La Quinta Channel every minute. Sucking in that amount of water that fast will require an enormous amount of suction power and I am concerned about aquatic life being trapped or killed in the process.

I also request a two-week extension of the deadline for comments and a public meeting.

Regards, Thomas sako 9243 Circle S Dr Helotes, TX 78023 •

From:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC

Sent:

Tuesday, March 30, 2021 9:03 AM

To:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC2; PUBCOMMENT-OPIC; PUBCOMMENT-ELD; PUBCOMMENT-

WWW-WRAS

Subject:

FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

PM

From: CHIEFCLK <chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov> Sent: Monday, March 29, 2021 1:38 PM

To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC < PUBCOMMENT-OCC@tceq.texas.gov>

Subject: FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

From: Carole Salsberry < Carole.Salsberry.181444792@p2a.co >

Sent: Monday, March 29, 2021 11:11 AM
To: CHIEFCLK < chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov >
Subject: I oppose WRPERM 13630

Dear Chief Clerk,

I strongly oppose WRPERM 13630 and the Port of Corpus Christi's placing an intake pipe for a desalination plant in La Quinta Channel. According to the permit, the Port of Corpus Christi would be allowed to suck 62,890 gallons of water from La Quinta Channel every minute. Sucking in that amount of water that fast will require an enormous amount of suction power and I am concerned about aquatic life being trapped or killed in the process.

I also request a two-week extension of the deadline for comments and a public meeting.

Regards, Carole Salsberry 413 Whitehall Dr Corpus Christi, TX 78412

Melissa Schmidt

From:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC

Sent:

Wednesday, July 14, 2021 9:02 AM

To:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC2; PUBCOMMENT-OPIC; PUBCOMMENT-ELD; PUBCOMMENT-

WWW-WRAS

Subject:

FW: Public comment on Permit Number WRPERM 13630

From: Cuestas33361@yahoo.com < Cuestas33361@yahoo.com >

Sent: Tuesday, July 13, 2021 7:06 PM

To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC < PUBCOMMENT-OCC@tceq.texas.gov > **Subject:** Public comment on Permit Number WRPERM 13630

REGULATED ENTY NAME WRPERM 13630

RN NUMBER: RN110844933

PERMIT NUMBER: WRPERM 13630

DOCKET NUMBER: 2021-0421-WR

COUNTY: SAN PATRICIO

PRINCIPAL NAME: PORT OF CORPUS CHRISTI AUTHORITY OF NUECES COUNTY

CN NUMBER: CN600885248

FROM

NAME: Love Sanchez

E-MAIL: Cuestas33361@yahoo.com

COMPANY: Indigenous Peoples of the Coastal Bend

ADDRESS: 6130 WOOLDRIDGE RD 1008

CORPUS CHRISTI TX 78414-2559

PHONE: 3615582945

FAX:

COMMENTS: Hello, my name is Love Sanchez. I am with the indigenous people of the Coastal Bend a local intertribal grass roots nonprofit in Corpus Christi, Texas our groups consists of Lipan Apache, Mexica and Karankawa. I am also a member of the karankawa Kadla. Today I would like to comment on the intake permit that TCEQ is reviewing and taking comments on. It has been said in other meetings that the intake of water will not effect our bay. But it will. The intake of

salt water will kill the marine life that it sucks up when this process takes place. These species are important to the ecosystem that makes our bay thrive. It's understood that the intake of salt water will be turned in fresh water for industrial usage, despite push back on that notion, it true. I know that I will be told this isn't the place to discuss both intake and discharge but it must be understood they go hand in hand. Both will destroy marine life and Both will disrupt the ecosystem. If this permit is approved it will be enabling the destruction of our waters. The discharge that will be placed back into the bay after the intake is processed will create irreversible consequences due to the brine and other chemicals placed back into the bay. Those who live near the area have not been heard or consulted. The people that live here do not want it here. I've researched the consequences of desalination. This researched has led to a California desalination and a desal plant in Chile. both shows that they are experiencing severe consequences. I mention other areas because this is what people in your positions should be doing when they manage this permit. The closest observation that can show what desal will do, is the desal in Chile. The bay there is a semi closed bay while ours is a closed bay system due to the islands..imagine what can happen with our bay. That is why evidence of costs and severe environmental effects to the water and residents should reviewed. I believe those requesting a contested hearing should be heard and the data on it's costs should be presented to you. Thank you.

From:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC

Sent:

Thursday, March 25, 2021 6:08 PM

To:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC2; PUBCOMMENT-OPIC; PUBCOMMENT-ELD; PUBCOMMENT-

WWW-WRAS

Subject:

FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

PM

From: CHIEFCLK <chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov> Sent: Thursday, March 25, 2021 3:57 PM

To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC < PUBCOMMENT-OCC@tceq.texas.gov>

Subject: FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

From: Cliff Schlabach < Cliff.Schlabach.92830828@p2a.co >

Sent: Thursday, March 25, 2021 11:55 AM
To: CHIEFCLK < chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov>
Subject: I oppose WRPERM 13630

Dear Chief Clerk,

I oppose WRPERM 13630. Many of us suffered through the historic winter storm in February 2021 and were without power for several days in freezing temperatures due to the amount of demand placed on the electrical grid in Texas. The operating pumps required to suck 62,890 gallons of water per minute will take an enormous amount of power, placing even more strain on the grid. I am opposed to issuing a permit which would demand excessive amounts of energy to supply water only for industrial use.

I also ask for a two-week extension for comments, as well as a public meeting.

Regards, Cliff Schlabach 122 Whiteley Dr Corpus Christi, TX 78418

From:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC

Sent:

Friday, March 26, 2021 2:41 PM

To:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC2; PUBCOMMENT-OPIC; PUBCOMMENT-ELD; PUBCOMMENT-

WWW-WRAS

Subject:

FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

PM

From: CHIEFCLK <chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov> Sent: Friday, March 26, 2021 9:53 AM

To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC < PUBCOMMENT-OCC@tceq.texas.gov>

Subject: FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

From: D Schoech < D.Schoech.30385227@p2a.co >

Sent: Thursday, March 25, 2021 2:05 PM
To: CHIEFCLK < chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov >
Subject: I oppose WRPERM 13630

Dear Chief Clerk,

I strongly oppose WRPERM 13630 and the Port of Corpus Christi's placing an intake pipe for a desalination plant in La Quinta Channel. According to the permit, the Port of Corpus Christi would be allowed to suck 62,890 gallons of water from La Quinta Channel every minute. Sucking in that amount of water that fast will require an enormous amount of suction power and I am concerned about aquatic life being trapped or killed in the process.

I also request a two-week extension of the deadline for comments and a public meeting.

Regards, D Schoech 2614 Chinquapin Oak Ln Arlington, TX 76012

From:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC

Sent:

Thursday, March 25, 2021 6:07 PM

To:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC2; PUBCOMMENT-OPIC; PUBCOMMENT-ELD; PUBCOMMENT-

WWW-WRAS

Subject:

FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

PM

From: CHIEFCLK <chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov> Sent: Thursday, March 25, 2021 3:57 PM

To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC < PUBCOMMENT-OCC@tceq.texas.gov>

Subject: FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

From: Margaret Schulenberg < Margaret. Schulenberg. 81436585@p2a.co >

Sent: Thursday, March 25, 2021 12:00 PM
To: CHIEFCLK < chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov
Subject: I oppose WRPERM 13630

Dear Chief Clerk,

I strongly oppose WRPERM 13630 and the Port of Corpus Christi's placing an intake pipe for a desalination plant in La Quinta Channel. According to the permit, the Port of Corpus Christi would be allowed to suck 62,890 gallons of water from La Quinta Channel every minute. Sucking in that amount of water that fast will require an enormous amount of suction power and I am concerned about aquatic life being trapped or killed in the process.

I also request a two-week extension of the deadline for comments and a public meeting.

Regards, Margaret Schulenberg 300 Pecan Ln Round Rock, TX 78664

From:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC

Sent:

Thursday, March 25, 2021 6:16 PM

To:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC2; PUBCOMMENT-OPIC; PUBCOMMENT-ELD; PUBCOMMENT-

WWW-WRAS

Subject:

FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

PM

From: CHIEFCLK <chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov> Sent: Thursday, March 25, 2021 4:00 PM

To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC < PUBCOMMENT-OCC@tceq.texas.gov>

Subject: FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

From: Demetrius Sellers < Demetrius.Sellers.393159947@p2a.co >

Sent: Thursday, March 25, 2021 11:14 AM
To: CHIEFCLK < chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov
Subject: I oppose WRPERM 13630

Dear Chief Clerk,

I oppose WRPERM 13630. Many of us suffered through the historic winter storm in February 2021 and were without power for several days in freezing temperatures due to the amount of demand placed on the electrical grid in Texas. The operating pumps required to suck 62,890 gallons of water per minute will take an enormous amount of power, placing even more strain on the grid. I am opposed to issuing a permit which would demand excessive amounts of energy to supply water only for industrial use.

I also ask for a two-week extension for comments, as well as a public meeting.

Regards,
Demetrius Sellers
2801 St Joseph St
Corpus Christi, TX 78418

From:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC

Sent:

Friday, March 26, 2021 2:29 PM

To:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC2; PUBCOMMENT-OPIC; PUBCOMMENT-ELD; PUBCOMMENT-

WWW-WRAS

Subject:

FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

PM

From: CHIEFCLK <chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov> Sent: Friday, March 26, 2021 9:37 AM

To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC < PUBCOMMENT-OCC@tceq.texas.gov>

Subject: FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

From: Greg Sells <<u>Greg.Sells.8831811@p2a.co</u>>
Sent: Thursday, March 25, 2021 9:37 PM
To: CHIEFCLK <<u>chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov</u>>

Subject: I oppose WRPERM 13630

Dear Chief Clerk,

I ask that you deny WRPERM 13630, and I oppose the Port of Corpus Christi's placing an intake pipe for a desalination plant in La Quinta Channel. This water will largely be for industrial use, not for residential use. I don't think bringing polluting corporations to our community is worth the cost these desalination plants will have on our Bay, on our wildlife, and on our local fishing and tourism economies.

I request a two-week extension of the deadline for comments on account of the recent freeze and loss of electricity in Texas. I also request that a public meeting be held for the community to express its concerns.

Regards, Greg Sells 3300 Parker Ln Austin, TX 78741

From:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC

Sent:

Friday, April 2, 2021 10:04 AM

To:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC2; PUBCOMMENT-OPIC; PUBCOMMENT-ELD; PUBCOMMENT-

WWW-WRAS

Subject:

FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

PM

From: CHIEFCLK <chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov> Sent: Thursday, April 1, 2021 12:52 PM

To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC < PUBCOMMENT-OCC@tceq.texas.gov>

Subject: FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

From: Sharon Sells < Sharon.Sells.435883829@p2a.co>

Sent: Thursday, April 1, 2021 9:06 AM
To: CHIEFCLK < chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov >
Subject: I oppose WRPERM 13630

Dear Chief Clerk,

I strongly oppose WRPERM 13630 and the Port of Corpus Christi's placing an intake pipe for a desalination plant in La Quinta Channel. According to the permit, the Port of Corpus Christi would be allowed to suck 62,890 gallons of water from La Quinta Channel every minute. Sucking in that amount of water that fast will require an enormous amount of suction power and I am concerned about aquatic life being trapped or killed in the process.

I also request a two-week extension of the deadline for comments and a public meeting.

Regards, Sharon Sells 10350 Colville Ln Indianapolis, IN 46236

From:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC

Sent:

Monday, February 22, 2021 1:04 PM

To:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC2; PUBCOMMENT-OPIC; PUBCOMMENT-ELD; PUBCOMMENT-

WWW-WRAS

Subject:

FW: Public comment on Permit Number WRPERM 13630.

From: chuckshamel2009@gmail.com <chuckshamel2009@gmail.com>

Sent: Saturday, February 20, 2021 11:59 AM

To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC < PUBCOMMENT-OCC@tceq.texas.gov > **Subject:** Public comment on Permit Number WRPERM 13630

REGULATED ENTY NAME WRPERM 13630

RN NUMBER: RN110844933

PERMIT NUMBER: WRPERM 13630

DOCKET NUMBER:

COUNTY: SAN PATRICIO

PRINCIPAL NAME: PORT OF CORPUS CHRISTI AUTHORITY OF NUECES COUNTY

CN NUMBER: CN600885248

FROM

NAME: Charles Shamel

E-MAIL: chuckshamel2009@gmail.com

COMPANY:

ADDRESS: 152 DUSTIN LN ROCKPORT TX 78382-7076

PHONE: 3618135358

FAX:

COMMENTS: This is a terrible idea that will impact a favorite recreational fishing area and probably commercial shrimping as larvae will get sucked into the screens.

From:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC

Sent:

Thursday, March 25, 2021 8:48 AM

To:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC2; PUBCOMMENT-OPIC; PUBCOMMENT-ELD; PUBCOMMENT-

WWW-WRAS

Subject:

FW: Public comment on Permit Number WRPERM 13630

From: jsherek72@msn.com <jsherek72@msn.com>

Sent: Wednesday, March 24, 2021 9:49 PM

To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC < PUBCOMMENT-OCC@tceq.texas.gov > **Subject:** Public comment on Permit Number WRPERM 13630

REGULATED ENTY NAME WRPERM 13630

RN NUMBER: RN110844933

PERMIT NUMBER: WRPERM 13630

DOCKET NUMBER:

COUNTY: SAN PATRICIO

PRINCIPAL NAME: PORT OF CORPUS CHRISTI AUTHORITY OF NUECES COUNTY

CN NUMBER: CN600885248

FROM

NAME: John Sherek

E-MAIL: jsherek72@msn.com

COMPANY:

ADDRESS: 2813 JESSE JAYE DR CORPUS CHRISTI TX 78410-2219

PHONE: 3618839838

FAX:

COMMENTS: If a desal plant was to come to south Texas, we need to not take it from the bay or deposit the salt back in anywhere close. It either needs to be processed and sold or taken way offshore like over 10 miles and put back in to keep the sea life from getting hurt. Maybe even further. It's sea salt and we all need salt. Just sell it and put the plant in

the right place, not Portland, or don't do it at all. We are not dumb people and know what needs to be done without hurting business, people, sea life and the environment. Do the right thing and stop thinking in dollar signs. Thanks

From:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC

Sent:

Friday, March 26, 2021 2:24 PM

To:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC2; PUBCOMMENT-OPIC; PUBCOMMENT-ELD; PUBCOMMENT-

WWW-WRAS

Subject:

FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

PM

From: CHIEFCLK <chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov> Sent: Friday, March 26, 2021 9:35 AM

To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC < PUBCOMMENT-OCC@tceq.texas.gov>

Subject: FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

From: Lisa Silguero < Lisa. Silguero. 306672303@p2a.co >

Sent: Friday, March 26, 2021 8:51 AM
To: CHIEFCLK < chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov
Subject: I oppose WRPERM 13630

Dear Chief Clerk,

I oppose WRPERM 13630. Many of us SUFFERED through the historic winter storm in February 2021 and were WITHOUT POWER for SEVERAL days in FREEZING temperatures due to the amount of demand placed on the electrical grid in Texas. The operating pumps REQUIRED to suck 62,890 gallons of water per minute will take an ENORMOUS amount of power, placing even MORE STRAIN on the grid. I am OPPOSED to issuing a permit which would demand EXCESSIVE amounts of energy to supply water ONLY for industrial use.

I also ask for a two-week EXTENSION for comments, as well as a PUBLIC MEETING.

Regards, Lisa Silguero 3903 S Congress Ave Austin, TX 78704

From:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC

Sent:

Tuesday, March 30, 2021 8:43 AM

To:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC2; PUBCOMMENT-OPIC; PUBCOMMENT-ELD; PUBCOMMENT-

WWW-WRAS

Subject:

FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

PM

From: CHIEFCLK <chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov> Sent: Monday, March 29, 2021 10:48 AM

To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC < PUBCOMMENT-OCC@tceq.texas.gov>

Subject: FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

From: RONALD SMITH < RONALD.SMITH.334557229@p2a.co>

Sent: Monday, March 29, 2021 10:35 AM
To: CHIEFCLK < chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov >
Subject: I oppose WRPERM 13630

Dear Chief Clerk,

I oppose WRPERM 13630. Many of us suffered through the historic winter storm in February 2021 and were without power for several days in freezing temperatures due to the amount of demand placed on the electrical grid in Texas. The operating pumps required to suck 62,890 gallons of water per minute will take an enormous amount of power, placing even more strain on the grid. I am opposed to issuing a permit which would demand excessive amounts of energy to supply water only for industrial use.

I will support the desal units if intake and discharge locations are offshore.

I also ask for a two-week extension for comments, as well as a public meeting.

Regards, RONALD SMITH 517 Bermuda Pl Corpus Christi, TX 78411.

From:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC

Sent:

Thursday, March 25, 2021 6:10 PM

To:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC2; PUBCOMMENT-OPIC; PUBCOMMENT-ELD; PUBCOMMENT-

WWW-WRAS

Subject:

FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

PM

From: CHIEFCLK <chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov> Sent: Thursday, March 25, 2021 3:59 PM

To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC < PUBCOMMENT-OCC@tceq.texas.gov>

Subject: FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

From: Sarah Smith < Sarah. Smith. 425355420@p2a.co >

Sent: Thursday, March 25, 2021 11:38 AM
To: CHIEFCLK < chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov >
Subject: I oppose WRPERM 13630

Dear Chief Clerk,

I urge you to deny WRPERM 13630. I am opposed to any intake pipeline for a desalination plant in La Quinta Channel. So many members of our community love to fish, boat, and swim along the Portland Shoreline where the intake pipe would be located and in Ingleside Cove where the discharge would flow to. I am concerned that given the number of small larvae which will be sucked up, turned to sludge, and deposited into landfills, fishing will be badly impaired in the region.

I am also asking for a two week extension for comments due to the recent loss of electricity in Texas and that you hold a public meeting for the community to share their concerns.

Regards, Sarah Smith 2341 Fenestra Dr Dallas, TX 75228

From: PUBCOMMENT-OCC

Sent: Friday, March 26, 2021 8:10 AM

To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC2; PUBCOMMENT-OPIC; PUBCOMMENT-ELD; PUBCOMMENT-

WWW-WRAS

Subject: FW: Public comment on Permit Number WRPERM 13630

From: ICCSW72@gmail.com <ICCSW72@gmail.com>

Sent: Thursday, March 25, 2021 7:54 PM

To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC <PUBCOMMENT-OCC@tceq.texas.gov> **Subject:** Public comment on Permit Number WRPERM 13630

REGULATED ENTY NAME WRPERM 13630

RN NUMBER: RN110844933

PERMIT NUMBER: WRPERM 13630

DOCKET NUMBER:

COUNTY: SAN PATRICIO

PRINCIPAL NAME: PORT OF CORPUS CHRISTI AUTHORITY OF NUECES COUNTY

CN NUMBER: CN600885248

FROM

NAME: Joseph Stephenson

E-MAIL: ICCSW72@GMAIL.COM

COMPANY:

ADDRESS: 2401 MEMORIAL PKWY

PORTLAND TX 78374-3209

PHONE: 8506875934

FAX:

COMMENTS: I am a water sports enthusiast and the primary reason I live so close to Corpus Christi Bay is to enjoy the water. This project will have an unmeasurable negative effect to me personally and will also restrict my rights to enjoy the navigable waterway where this is proposed to be. It should go without saying that the negative impact on sea life will be horrendous but here we are having to say it. This project should not be given a permit.

From:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC

Sent:

Thursday, March 25, 2021 6:19 PM

To:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC2; PUBCOMMENT-OPIC; PUBCOMMENT-ELD; PUBCOMMENT-

WWW-WRAS

Subject:

FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

PM

From: CHIEFCLK <chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov> Sent: Thursday, March 25, 2021 4:01 PM

To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC < PUBCOMMENT-OCC@tceq.texas.gov>

Subject: FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

From: Lisa Stone < Lisa.Stone.230044412@p2a.co >

Sent: Thursday, March 25, 2021 10:57 AM
To: CHIEFCLK < chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov>
Subject: I oppose WRPERM 13630

Dear Chief Clerk,

I oppose WRPERM 13630. Many of us suffered through the historic winter storm in February 2021 and were without power for several days in freezing temperatures due to the amount of demand placed on the electrical grid in Texas. The operating pumps required to suck 62,890 gallons of water per minute will take an enormous amount of power, placing even more strain on the grid. I am opposed to issuing a permit which would demand excessive amounts of energy to supply water only for industrial use.

I also ask for a two-week extension for comments, as well as a public meeting.

Regards, Lisa Stone 8902 Birdwood Ct Houston, TX 77096

Melissa Schmidt

From:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC

Sent:

Wednesday, July 14, 2021 8:30 AM

To:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC2; PUBCOMMENT-OPIC; PUBCOMMENT-ELD; PUBCOMMENT-

WWW-WRAS

Subject:

FW: Public comment on Permit Number WRPERM 13630

From: sjstout118@gmail.com <sjstout118@gmail.com>

Sent: Tuesday, July 13, 2021 10:23 PM

To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC <PUBCOMMENT-OCC@tceq.texas.gov> **Subject:** Public comment on Permit Number WRPERM 13630

REGULATED ENTY NAME WRPERM 13630

RN NUMBER: RN110844933

PERMIT NUMBER: WRPERM 13630

DOCKET NUMBER: 2021-0421-WR

COUNTY: SAN PATRICIO

PRINCIPAL NAME: PORT OF CORPUS CHRISTI AUTHORITY OF NUECES COUNTY

CN NUMBER: CN600885248

FROM

NAME: Sarah Jordan Stout

E-MAIL: sistout118@gmail.com

COMPANY:

ADDRESS: 2210 W DALLAS ST #123

HOUSTON TX 77019-4366

PHONE: 8656046429

FAX:

COMMENTS: I strongly oppose this water intake permit because I oppose the proposed desal projects and the rising fossil fuel industry buildout in the region. None of these impacts on the bay are being studied thoroughly and with their accumulation in mind. What are the operating costs for maintaining the intake pipe and screens? What effect will the pipe have on aquatic microorganisms and on up the food chain? Who decides who gets power if there is another grid

failure--the desal plant or cc residents? How much rise in water bill costs can residents expect to see? What new harmful and polluting industries will this energy-intensive water allow to operate? Water intake should not be discussed if there is no clear plan for water discharge. Dumping in the closed bay system is unacceptable, and dangerous. The significant water demands on the Corpus Christi area by heavy industry are not appropriate to the natural ecology and climate of the area. Corpus Christi's economic future is diversifying from thirsty, heavy industry with its high water demands. Leave the water in the bay, and deny this intake permit.

From:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC

Sent:

Friday, March 26, 2021 2:33 PM

To:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC2; PUBCOMMENT-OPIC; PUBCOMMENT-ELD; PUBCOMMENT-

WWW-WRAS

Subject:

FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

PM

From: CHIEFCLK <chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov>
Sent: Friday, March 26, 2021 9:39 AM

To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC < PUBCOMMENT-OCC@tceq.texas.gov>

Subject: FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

From: Federico Subervi < Federico.Subervi.359390236@p2a.co >

Sent: Thursday, March 25, 2021 7:58 PM **To:** CHIEFCLK < chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov > **Subject:** I oppose WRPERM 13630

Dear Chief Clerk,

I strongly oppose WRPERM 13630 and the Port of Corpus Christi's placing an intake pipe for a desalination plant in La Quinta Channel. According to the permit, the Port of Corpus Christi would be allowed to suck 62,890 gallons of water from La Quinta Channel every minute. Sucking in that amount of water that fast will require an enormous amount of suction power and I am concerned about aquatic life being trapped or killed in the process.

I also request a two-week extension of the deadline for comments and a public meeting.

Regards, Federico Subervi 6304 Colina Ln Austin, TX 78759

From:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC

Sent:

Tuesday, March 30, 2021 8:39 AM

To:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC2; PUBCOMMENT-OPIC; PUBCOMMENT-ELD; PUBCOMMENT-

WWW-WRAS

Subject:

FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

PM

From: CHIEFCLK <chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov> Sent: Monday, March 29, 2021 9:13 AM

To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC < PUBCOMMENT-OCC@tceq.texas.gov>

Subject: FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

From: Erin Swaney < Erin.Swaney.92955604@p2a.co >

Sent: Sunday, March 28, 2021 10:11 AM
To: CHIEFCLK < chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov >
Subject: I oppose WRPERM 13630

Dear Chief Clerk,

I ask that you deny WRPERM 13630, and I oppose the Port of Corpus Christi's placing an intake pipe for a desalination plant in La Quinta Channel. This water will largely be for industrial use, not for me, my family, or my neighbors. I don't think bringing polluting corporations to our community is worth the cost these desalination plants will have on our Bay, on our wildlife, and on our local fishing and tourism economies.

I request a two-week extension of the deadline for comments on account of the recent freeze and loss of electricity in Texas. I also request that a public meeting be held for the community to express its concerns.

Regards, Erin Swaney 5932 Ventus St Austin, TX 78721 a

From:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC

Sent:

Wednesday, March 31, 2021 9:14 AM

To:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC2; PUBCOMMENT-OPIC; PUBCOMMENT-ELD; PUBCOMMENT-

WWW-WRAS

Subject:

FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

PM

From: CHIEFCLK <chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov> Sent: Tuesday, March 30, 2021 8:15 AM

To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC < PUBCOMMENT-OCC@tceq.texas.gov>

Subject: FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

From: Tamie Taufaasau < Tamie. Taufaasau. 416010170@p2a.co >

Sent: Tuesday, March 30, 2021 5:47 AM To: CHIEFCLK <chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov> Subject: I oppose WRPERM 13630

Dear Chief Clerk,

I urge you to deny WRPERM 13630. I am opposed to any intake pipeline for a desalination plant in La Quinta Channel. So many members of our community love to fish, boat, and swim along the Portland Shoreline where the intake pipe would be located and in Ingleside Cove where the discharge would flow to. I am concerned that given the number of small larvae which will be sucked up, turned to sludge, and deposited into landfills, fishing will be badly impaired in the region.

I am also asking for a two week extension for comments due to the recent loss of electricity in Texas and that you hold a public meeting for the community to share their concerns.

Regards,
Tamie Taufaasau
802 Pyramid Dr
Corpus Christi, TX 78412

From:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC

Sent:

Friday, March 26, 2021 2:49 PM

To:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC2; PUBCOMMENT-OPIC; PUBCOMMENT-ELD; PUBCOMMENT-

WWW-WRAS

Subject:

FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

PM

From: CHIEFCLK <chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov> Sent: Friday, March 26, 2021 12:16 PM

To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC < PUBCOMMENT-OCC@tceq.texas.gov>

Subject: FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

From: Susan Teegardin < Susan. Teegardin. 74640685@p2a.co >

Sent: Friday, March 26, 2021 11:24 AM
To: CHIEFCLK < chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov >
Subject: I oppose WRPERM 13630

Dear Chief Clerk,

I strongly oppose WRPERM 13630 and the Port of Corpus Christi's placing an intake pipe for a desalination plant in La Quinta Channel. According to the permit, the Port of Corpus Christi would be allowed to suck 62,890 gallons of water from La Quinta Channel every minute. Sucking in that amount of water that fast will require an enormous amount of suction power and I am concerned about aquatic life being trapped or killed in the process.

I also request a two-week extension of the deadline for comments and a public meeting.

Regards, Susan Teegardin 1405 Woodlawn Ave Dallas, TX 75208

From:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC

Sent:

Thursday, April 1, 2021 9:10 AM

To:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC2; PUBCOMMENT-OPIC; PUBCOMMENT-ELD; PUBCOMMENT-

WWW-WRAS

Subject:

FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

PM

From: CHIEFCLK <chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov> Sent: Wednesday, March 31, 2021 7:07 PM

To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC < PUBCOMMENT-OCC@tceq.texas.gov>

Subject: FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

From: Anneliese Terrell < Anneliese. Terrell. 435290494@p2a.co >

Sent: Wednesday, March 31, 2021 3:59 PM
To: CHIEFCLK < chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov >
Subject: I oppose WRPERM 13630

Dear Chief Clerk,

I strongly oppose WRPERM 13630 and the Port of Corpus Christi's placing an intake pipe for a desalination plant in La Quinta Channel. According to the permit, the Port of Corpus Christi would be allowed to suck 62,890 gallons of water from La Quinta Channel every minute. Sucking in that amount of water that fast will require an enormous amount of suction power and I am concerned about aquatic life being trapped or killed in the process.

I also request a two-week extension of the deadline for comments and a public meeting.

Regards,
Anneliese Terrell
2222 Saxony Dr
Corpus Christi, TX 78418

From:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC

Sent:

Tuesday, June 16, 2020 8:52 AM

To:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC2; PUBCOMMENT-OPIC; PUBCOMMENT-ELD; PUBCOMMENT-

WWW-WRAS

Subject:

FW: Public comment on Permit Number WRPERM 13630

PM

From: ftissot01@outlook.com <ftissot01@outlook.com>

Sent: Sunday, June 14, 2020 3:22 PM

To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC < PUBCOMMENT-OCC@tceq.texas.gov > Subject: Public comment on Permit Number WRPERM 13630

REGULATED ENTY NAME WRPERM 13630

RN NUMBER: RN110844933

PERMIT NUMBER: WRPERM 13630

DOCKET NUMBER:

COUNTY: SAN PATRICIO

PRINCIPAL NAME: PORT OF CORPUS CHRISTI AUTHORITY OF NUECES COUNTY

CN NUMBER: CN600885248

FROM

NAME: Florence Tissot

E-MAIL: ftissot01@outlook.com

COMPANY:

ADDRESS: 3560 ARANSAS ST CORPUS CHRISTI TX 78411-1336

PHONE: 3618510403

FAX:

COMMENTS: I vehemently oppose the granting of permit WRPERM 13630 and I am hereby requesting a public meeting on this permit. I have lived in the Coastal Bend for almost 20 years after living all over the world, and this place is very special. The recent deluge of permit requests to build desalination plants, if they were to be approved, is sure to absolutely ruin this place. The impact on our Bay and Estuaries would be catastrophic. These projects would put a tremendous amount of stress on a system that is already stressed due to climate change and the already considerable

pollution generated by industries in the area. The only purpose of these desalination plants is to meet the unreasonable demand for water from industries that are soon to become obsolete. It is time for the Coastal Bend and Texas to start looking towards the future and serve the need of the public at large instead of the private interests of a few already extremely rich individual. TCEQ must deny this permit and hold a public meeting on it to allow the community to voice its opposition to it.

From:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC

Sent:

Thursday, March 25, 2021 6:14 PM

To:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC2; PUBCOMMENT-OPIC; PUBCOMMENT-ELD; PUBCOMMENT-

WWW-WRAS

Subject:

FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

PM

From: CHIEFCLK <chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov> Sent: Thursday, March 25, 2021 4:00 PM

To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC < PUBCOMMENT-OCC@tceq.texas.gov>

Subject: FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

From: Taylor Townsend < Taylor. Townsend. 300331532@p2a.co >

Sent: Thursday, March 25, 2021 11:17 AM
To: CHIEFCLK < chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov >
Subject: I oppose WRPERM 13630

Dear Chief Clerk,

I oppose WRPERM 13630. Many of us suffered through the historic winter storm in February 2021 and were without power for several days in freezing temperatures due to the amount of demand placed on the electrical grid in Texas. The operating pumps required to suck 62,890 gallons of water per minute will take an enormous amount of power, placing even more strain on the grid. I am opposed to issuing a permit which would demand excessive amounts of energy to supply water only for industrial use.

I also ask for a two-week extension for comments, as well as a public meeting.

Regards, Taylor Townsend 221 Hilltop St Kingsland, TX 78639 ...

From:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC

Sent:

Friday, March 26, 2021 2:28 PM

To:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC2; PUBCOMMENT-OPIC; PUBCOMMENT-ELD; PUBCOMMENT-

WWW-WRAS

Subject:

FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

PM

From: CHIEFCLK <chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov> Sent: Friday, March 26, 2021 9:37 AM

To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC < PUBCOMMENT-OCC@tceq.texas.gov>

Subject: FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

From: Jane Van Praag < Jane. Van Praag. 115391406@p2a.co >

Sent: Friday, March 26, 2021 4:30 AM
To: CHIEFCLK < chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov >
Subject: I oppose WRPERM 13630

Dear Chief Clerk,

I oppose WRPERM 13630. Many of us suffered through the historic winter storm in February 2021 and were without power for several days in freezing temperatures due to the amount of demand placed on the electrical grid in Texas. The operating pumps required to suck 62,890 gallons of water per minute will take an enormous amount of power, placing even more strain on the grid. I am opposed to issuing a permit which would demand excessive amounts of energy to supply water only for industrial use.

I also ask for a two-week extension for comments, as well as a public meeting.

Regards,
Jane Van Praag
131 N Evie St
Bartlett, TX 76511 ...

From:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC

Sent:

Tuesday, March 30, 2021 8:39 AM

To:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC2; PUBCOMMENT-OPIC; PUBCOMMENT-ELD; PUBCOMMENT-

WWW-WRAS

Subject:

FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

PM

From: CHIEFCLK <chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov> Sent: Monday, March 29, 2021 9:13 AM

To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC < PUBCOMMENT-OCC@tceq.texas.gov>

Subject: FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

From: Emily Vaughan < Emily. Vaughan. 359835151@p2a.co >

Sent: Saturday, March 27, 2021 9:05 AM
To: CHIEFCLK < chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov >
Subject: I oppose WRPERM 13630

Dear Chief Clerk,

I urge you to deny WRPERM 13630. I am opposed to any intake pipeline for a desalination plant in La Quinta Channel. So many members of our community love to fish, boat, and swim along the Portland Shoreline where the intake pipe would be located and in Ingleside Cove where the discharge would flow to. I am concerned that given the number of small larvae which will be sucked up, turned to sludge, and deposited into landfills, fishing will be badly impaired in the region.

I am also asking for a two week extension for comments due to the recent loss of electricity in Texas and that you hold a public meeting for the community to share their concerns.

Regards, Emily Vaughan 1031 E 23rd St Houston, TX 77009 ...

From:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC

Sent:

Friday, March 26, 2021 2:33 PM

To:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC2; PUBCOMMENT-OPIC; PUBCOMMENT-ELD; PUBCOMMENT-

WWW-WRAS

Subject:

FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

PM

From: CHIEFCLK <chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov> Sent: Friday, March 26, 2021 9:39 AM

To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC < PUBCOMMENT-OCC@tceq.texas.gov>

Subject: FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

From: Laura Vera < Laura. Vera. 38176267@p2a.co >

Sent: Thursday, March 25, 2021 7:48 PM
To: CHIEFCLK < chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov >
Subject: I oppose WRPERM 13630

Dear Chief Clerk,

I strongly oppose WRPERM 13630 and the Port of Corpus Christi's placing an intake pipe for a desalination plant in La Quinta Channel. According to the permit, the Port of Corpus Christi would be allowed to suck 62,890 gallons of water from La Quinta Channel every minute. Sucking in that amount of water that fast will require an enormous amount of suction power and I am concerned about aquatic life being trapped or killed in the process.

I also request a two-week extension of the deadline for comments and a public meeting.

Regards, Laura Vera 2731 Mary Ln Dickinson, TX 77539 ...

From:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC

Sent:

Thursday, March 25, 2021 6:22 PM

To:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC2; PUBCOMMENT-OPIC; PUBCOMMENT-ELD; PUBCOMMENT-

WWW-WRAS

Subject:

FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

PM

From: CHIEFCLK <chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov> Sent: Thursday, March 25, 2021 4:02 PM

To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC < PUBCOMMENT-OCC@tceq.texas.gov>

Subject: FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

From: Joy Victory < <u>Joy.Victory.399294211@p2a.co</u>>

Sent: Thursday, March 25, 2021 10:57 AM
To: CHIEFCLK < chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov>
Subject: I oppose WRPERM 13630

Dear Chief Clerk,

I strongly oppose WRPERM 13630 and the Port of Corpus Christi's placing an intake pipe for a desalination plant in La Quinta Channel. According to the permit, the Port of Corpus Christi would be allowed to suck 62,890 gallons of water from La Quinta Channel every minute. Sucking in that amount of water that fast will require an enormous amount of suction power and I am concerned about aquatic life being trapped or killed in the process.

I also request a two-week extension of the deadline for comments and a public meeting.

Regards, Joy Victory 2605 S 3rd St Austin, TX 78704

From:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC

Sent:

Friday, March 26, 2021 2:41 PM

To:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC2; PUBCOMMENT-OPIC; PUBCOMMENT-ELD; PUBCOMMENT-

WWW-WRAS

Subject:

FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

PM

From: CHIEFCLK <chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov> Sent: Friday, March 26, 2021 9:52 AM

To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC < PUBCOMMENT-OCC@tceq.texas.gov>

Subject: FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

From: Carol Watts < Carol. Watts. 113813959@p2a.co >

Sent: Thursday, March 25, 2021 2:13 PM
To: CHIEFCLK < chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov >
Subject: I oppose WRPERM 13630

Dear Chief Clerk,

I oppose WRPERM 13630. Many of us suffered through the historic winter storm in February 2021 and were without power for several days in freezing temperatures due to the amount of demand placed on the electrical grid in Texas. The operating pumps required to suck 62,890 gallons of water per minute will take an enormous amount of power, placing even more strain on the grid. I am opposed to issuing a permit which would demand excessive amounts of energy to supply water only for industrial use.

I also ask for a two-week extension for comments, as well as a public meeting.

Regards, Carol Watts 1308 S 5th St Austin, TX 78704 ...

From:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC

Sent:

Thursday, March 25, 2021 6:22 PM

To:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC2; PUBCOMMENT-OPIC; PUBCOMMENT-ELD; PUBCOMMENT-

WWW-WRAS

Subject:

FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

PM

From: CHIEFCLK <chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov> Sent: Thursday, March 25, 2021 4:02 PM

To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC < PUBCOMMENT-OCC@tceq.texas.gov>

Subject: FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

From: Jessica Weaver < Jessica. Weaver. 57187408@p2a.co >

Sent: Thursday, March 25, 2021 10:56 AM
To: CHIEFCLK < chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov>
Subject: I oppose WRPERM 13630

Dear Chief Clerk,

I oppose WRPERM 13630. Many of us suffered through the historic winter storm in February 2021 and were without power for several days in freezing temperatures due to the amount of demand placed on the electrical grid in Texas. The operating pumps required to suck 62,890 gallons of water per minute will take an enormous amount of power, placing even more strain on the grid. I am opposed to issuing a permit which would demand excessive amounts of energy to supply water only for industrial use.

I also ask for a two-week extension for comments, as well as a public meeting.

Regards, Jessica Weaver 615 W Johanna St Austin, TX 78704 ...

From:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC

Sent:

Tuesday, March 30, 2021 8:43 AM

To:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC2; PUBCOMMENT-OPIC; PUBCOMMENT-ELD; PUBCOMMENT-

WWW-WRAS

Subject:

FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

PM

From: CHIEFCLK <chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov> Sent: Monday, March 29, 2021 10:49 AM

To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC < PUBCOMMENT-OCC@tceq.texas.gov>

Subject: FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

From: John Weber < John. Weber. 411449601@p2a.co >

Sent: Monday, March 29, 2021 10:49 AM
To: CHIEFCLK < chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov >
Subject: I oppose WRPERM 13630

Dear Chief Clerk,

I ask that you deny WRPERM 13630, and I oppose the Port of Corpus Christi's placing an intake pipe for a desalination plant in La Quinta Channel. This water will largely be for industrial use, not for me, my family, or my neighbors. I don't think bringing polluting corporations to our community is worth the cost these desalination plants will have on our Bay, on our wildlife, and on our local fishing and tourism economies.

I request a two-week extension of the deadline for comments on account of the recent freeze and loss of electricity in Texas. I also request that a public meeting be held for the community to express its concerns.

Regards,
John Weber
609 Naples St
Corpus Christi, TX 78404

From:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC

Sent:

Tuesday, March 30, 2021 8:55 AM

To:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC2; PUBCOMMENT-OPIC; PUBCOMMENT-ELD; PUBCOMMENT-

WWW-WRAS

Subject:

FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

PM

From: CHIEFCLK <chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov> Sent: Monday, March 29, 2021 1:37 PM

To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC < PUBCOMMENT-OCC@tceq.texas.gov >

Subject: FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

From: Barbara Welder < Barbara. Welder. 338925677@p2a.co >

Sent: Monday, March 29, 2021 11:49 AM To: CHIEFCLK < chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov> Subject: I oppose WRPERM 13630

Dear Chief Clerk,

I urge you to deny WRPERM 13630. I am opposed to any intake pipeline for a desalination plant in La Quinta Channel. So many members of our community love to fish, boat, and swim along the Portland Shoreline where the intake pipe would be located and in Ingleside Cove where the discharge would flow to. I am concerned that given the number of small larvae which will be sucked up, turned to sludge, and deposited into landfills, fishing will be badly impaired in the region.

I am also asking for a two week extension for comments due to the recent loss of electricity in Texas and that you hold a public meeting for the community to share their concerns.

Regards,
Barbara Welder
202 Reef Ave
Corpus Christi, TX 78402

From:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC

Sent:

Thursday, March 25, 2021 6:17 PM

To:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC2; PUBCOMMENT-OPIC; PUBCOMMENT-ELD; PUBCOMMENT-

WWW-WRAS

Subject:

FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

PM

From: CHIEFCLK <chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov> Sent: Thursday, March 25, 2021 4:01 PM

To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC < PUBCOMMENT-OCC@tceq.texas.gov>

Subject: FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

From: Dianne Wells < Dianne. Wells. 328184888@p2a.co>

Sent: Thursday, March 25, 2021 11:03 AM
To: CHIEFCLK < chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov>
Subject: I oppose WRPERM 13630

Dear Chief Clerk,

As a former Corpus resident and someone who cares about the environment, I strongly oppose WRPERM 13630 and the Port of Corpus Christi's placing an intake pipe for a desalination plant in La Quinta Channel. According to the permit, the Port of Corpus Christi would be allowed to suck 62,890 gallons of water from La Quinta Channel every minute. Sucking in that amount of water that fast will require an enormous amount of suction power and I am concerned about aquatic life being trapped or killed in the process.

I also request a two-week extension of the deadline for comments and a public meeting.

Regards,
Dianne Wells
3904 Coleridge St
West University Place, TX 77005 a

From:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC

Sent:

Friday, March 26, 2021 2:49 PM

To:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC2; PUBCOMMENT-OPIC; PUBCOMMENT-ELD; PUBCOMMENT-

WWW-WRAS

Subject:

FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

PM

From: CHIEFCLK <chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov> Sent: Friday, March 26, 2021 12:15 PM

To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC < PUBCOMMENT-OCC@tceq.texas.gov>

Subject: FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

From: Edward Whitehead < Edward. Whitehead. 359568815@p2a.co >

Sent: Thursday, March 25, 2021 12:59 PM
To: CHIEFCLK < chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov>
Subject: I oppose WRPERM 13630

Dear Chief Clerk,

I oppose WRPERM 13630. Many of us suffered through the historic winter storm in February 2021 and were without power for several days in freezing temperatures due to the amount of demand placed on the electrical grid in Texas. The operating pumps required to suck 62,890 gallons of water per minute will take an enormous amount of power, placing even more strain on the grid. I am opposed to issuing a permit which would demand excessive amounts of energy to supply water only for industrial use.

I also ask for a two-week extension for comments, as well as a public meeting.

Regards, Edward Whitehead 12206 Deer Track Austin, TX 78727

From:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC

Sent:

Thursday, March 25, 2021 6:05 PM

To:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC2; PUBCOMMENT-OPIC; PUBCOMMENT-ELD; PUBCOMMENT-

WWW-WRAS

Subject:

FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

PM

From: CHIEFCLK <chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov> Sent: Thursday, March 25, 2021 3:54 PM

To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC < PUBCOMMENT-OCC@tceq.texas.gov>

Subject: FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

From: Mary Whitlow < Mary. Whitlow.92487668@p2a.co >

Sent: Thursday, March 25, 2021 12:18 PM
To: CHIEFCLK < chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov>
Subject: I oppose WRPERM 13630

Dear Chief Clerk,

I urge you to deny WRPERM 13630. I am opposed to any intake pipeline for a desalination plant in La Quinta Channel. So many members of our community love to fish, boat, and swim along the Portland Shoreline where the intake pipe would be located and in Ingleside Cove where the discharge would flow to. I am concerned that given the number of small larvae which will be sucked up, turned to sludge, and deposited into landfills, fishing will be badly impaired in the region.

I am also asking for a two week extension for comments due to the recent loss of electricity in Texas and that you hold a public meeting for the community to share their concerns.

Regards, Mary Whitlow 3224 Bryn Mawr Dr Dallas, TX 75225 ...

From:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC

Sent:

Tuesday, March 30, 2021 8:53 AM

To:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC2; PUBCOMMENT-OPIC; PUBCOMMENT-ELD; PUBCOMMENT-

WWW-WRAS

Subject:

FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

PM

From: CHIEFCLK <chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov> Sent: Monday, March 29, 2021 1:36 PM

To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC < PUBCOMMENT-OCC@tceq.texas.gov>

Subject: FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

From: mary Wiechman < mary. Wiechman. 199984488@p2a.co>

Sent: Monday, March 29, 2021 12:07 PM
To: CHIEFCLK < chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov >
Subject: I oppose WRPERM 13630

Dear Chief Clerk,

I ask that you deny WRPERM 13630, and I oppose the Port of Corpus Christi's placing an intake pipe for a desalination plant in La Quinta Channel. This water will largely be for industrial use, not for me, my family, or my neighbors. I don't think bringing polluting corporations to our community is worth the cost these desalination plants will have on our Bay, on our wildlife, and on our local fishing and tourism economies.

I request a two-week extension of the deadline for comments on account of the recent freeze and loss of electricity in Texas. I also request that a public meeting be held for the community to express its concerns.

Regards, mary Wiechman 1620 Santa Cecelia Dr Kingsville, TX 78363

From:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC

Sent:

Tuesday, March 30, 2021 8:53 AM

To:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC2; PUBCOMMENT-OPIC; PUBCOMMENT-ELD; PUBCOMMENT-

WWW-WRAS

Subject:

FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

PM

From: CHIEFCLK <chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov> Sent: Monday, March 29, 2021 1:36 PM

To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC < PUBCOMMENT-OCC@tceq.texas.gov>

Subject: FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

From: mary Wiechman < mary. Wiechman. 199984488@p2a.co>

Sent: Monday, March 29, 2021 12:07 PM
To: CHIEFCLK < chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov >
Subject: I oppose WRPERM 13630

Dear Chief Clerk,

I ask that you deny WRPERM 13630, and I oppose the Port of Corpus Christi's placing an intake pipe for a desalination plant in La Quinta Channel. This water will largely be for industrial use, not for me, my family, or my neighbors. I don't think bringing polluting corporations to our community is worth the cost these desalination plants will have on our Bay, on our wildlife, and on our local fishing and tourism economies.

I request a two-week extension of the deadline for comments on account of the recent freeze and loss of electricity in Texas. I also request that a public meeting be held for the community to express its concerns.

Regards, mary Wiechman 1620 Santa Cecelia Dr Kingsville, TX 78363

From:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC

Sent:

Thursday, March 25, 2021 6:13 PM

To:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC2; PUBCOMMENT-OPIC; PUBCOMMENT-ELD; PUBCOMMENT-

WWW-WRAS

Subject:

FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

PM

From: CHIEFCLK <chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov> Sent: Thursday, March 25, 2021 4:00 PM

To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC < PUBCOMMENT-OCC@tceq.texas.gov>

Subject: FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

From: Philip Wildfang < Philip.Wildfang.98991608@p2a.co>

Sent: Thursday, March 25, 2021 11:18 AM To: CHIEFCLK < chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov Subject: I oppose WRPERM 13630

Dear Chief Clerk,

I strongly oppose WRPERM 13630 and the Port of Corpus Christi's placing an intake pipe for a desalination plant in La Quinta Channel. According to the permit, the Port of Corpus Christi would be allowed to suck 62,890 gallons of water from La Quinta Channel every minute. Sucking in that amount of water that fast will require an enormous amount of suction power and I am concerned about aquatic life being trapped or killed in the process.

I also request a two-week extension of the deadline for comments and a public meeting.

Regards,
Philip Wildfang
713 Waterwood St
Rockport, TX 78382

From:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC

Sent:

Thursday, March 25, 2021 6:17 PM

To:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC2; PUBCOMMENT-OPIC; PUBCOMMENT-ELD; PUBCOMMENT-

WWW-WRAS

Subject:

FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

PM

From: CHIEFCLK <chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov> Sent: Thursday, March 25, 2021 4:01 PM

To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC < PUBCOMMENT-OCC@tceq.texas.gov>

Subject: FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

From: Terrie Williams < Terrie.Williams.5933244@p2a.co

Sent: Thursday, March 25, 2021 11:10 AM
To: CHIEFCLK < chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov >
Subject: I oppose WRPERM 13630

Dear Chief Clerk,

I oppose WRPERM 13630. Many of us suffered through the historic winter storm in February 2021 and were without power for several days in freezing temperatures due to the amount of demand placed on the electrical grid in Texas. The operating pumps required to suck 62,890 gallons of water per minute will take an enormous amount of power, placing even more strain on the grid. I am opposed to issuing a permit which would demand excessive amounts of energy to supply water only for industrial use.

I also ask for a two-week extension for comments, as well as a public meeting.

Regards, Terrie Williams 850 Laura Ln Vidor, TX 77662 **a**

From:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC

Sent:

Friday, March 26, 2021 2:42 PM

To:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC2; PUBCOMMENT-OPIC; PUBCOMMENT-ELD; PUBCOMMENT-

WWW-WRAS

Subject:

FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

PM

From: CHIEFCLK <chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov> Sent: Friday, March 26, 2021 9:55 AM

To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC < PUBCOMMENT-OCC@tceq.texas.gov>

Subject: FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

From: Deborah Wilson < Deborah. Wilson. 90117679@p2a.co >

Sent: Thursday, March 25, 2021 1:27 PM
To: CHIEFCLK < chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov >
Subject: I oppose WRPERM 13630

Dear Chief Clerk,

I oppose WRPERM 13630. Many of us suffered through the historic winter storm in February 2021 and were without power for several days in freezing temperatures due to the amount of demand placed on the electrical grid in Texas. The operating pumps required to suck 62,890 gallons of water per minute will take an enormous amount of power, placing even more strain on the grid. I am opposed to issuing a permit which would demand excessive amounts of energy to supply water only for industrial use.

I also ask for a two-week extension for comments, as well as a public meeting.

Regards,
Deborah Wilson
408 Stonegate Ln
Dripping Springs, TX 78620 •

From:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC

Sent:

Thursday, March 25, 2021 6:21 PM

To:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC2; PUBCOMMENT-OPIC; PUBCOMMENT-ELD; PUBCOMMENT-

WWW-WRAS

Subject:

FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

PM

From: CHIEFCLK <chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov> Sent: Thursday, March 25, 2021 4:02 PM

To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC < PUBCOMMENT-OCC@tceq.texas.gov>

Subject: FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

From: Dallas Windham < Dallas.Windham.230021534@p2a.co>

Sent: Thursday, March 25, 2021 10:57 AM
To: CHIEFCLK < chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov>
Subject: I oppose WRPERM 13630

Dear Chief Clerk,

I strongly oppose WRPERM 13630 and the Port of Corpus Christi's placing an intake pipe for a desalination plant in La Quinta Channel. According to the permit, the Port of Corpus Christi would be allowed to suck 62,890 gallons of water from La Quinta Channel every minute. Sucking in that amount of water that fast will require an enormous amount of suction power and I am concerned about aquatic life being trapped or killed in the process.

I also request a two-week extension of the deadline for comments and a public meeting.

Regards,
Dallas Windham
2512 Castle St
Irving, TX 75038

From:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC

Sent:

Tuesday, March 30, 2021 8:54 AM

To:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC2; PUBCOMMENT-OPIC; PUBCOMMENT-ELD; PUBCOMMENT-

WWW-WRAS

Subject:

FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

PM

From: CHIEFCLK <chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov> Sent: Monday, March 29, 2021 1:37 PM

To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC < PUBCOMMENT-OCC@tceq.texas.gov>

Subject: FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

From: Melissa Zamora < Melissa. Zamora. 178668806@p2a.co>

Sent: Monday, March 29, 2021 12:05 PM
To: CHIEFCLK < chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov >
Subject: I oppose WRPERM 13630

Dear Chief Clerk,

I oppose WRPERM 13630. Many of us suffered through the historic winter storm in February 2021 and were without power for several days in freezing temperatures due to the amount of demand placed on the electrical grid in Texas. The operating pumps required to suck 62,890 gallons of water per minute will take an enormous amount of power, placing even more strain on the grid. I am opposed to issuing a permit which would demand excessive amounts of energy to supply water only for industrial use.

I also ask for a two-week extension for comments, as well as a public meeting.

Regards,
Melissa Zamora
3917 Brawner Pkwy
Corpus Christi, TX 78411 a