From:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC

Sent:

Tuesday, March 30, 2021 9:13 AM

To:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC2; PUBCOMMENT-OPIC; PUBCOMMENT-ELD; PUBCOMMENT-

WWW-WRAS

Subject:

FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

PM

From: CHIEFCLK <chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov> Sent: Monday, March 29, 2021 4:12 PM

To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC < PUBCOMMENT-OCC@tceq.texas.gov>

Subject: FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

From: Marissa Acuna < Marissa. Acuna. 434434053@p2a.co>

Sent: Monday, March 29, 2021 3:38 PM
To: CHIEFCLK < chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov>
Subject: I oppose WRPERM 13630

Dear Chief Clerk,

I strongly oppose WRPERM 13630 and the Port of Corpus Christi's placing an intake pipe for a desalination plant in La Quinta Channel. According to the permit, the Port of Corpus Christi would be allowed to suck 62,890 gallons of water from La Quinta Channel every minute. Sucking in that amount of water that fast will require an enormous amount of suction power and I am concerned about aquatic life being trapped or killed in the process.

I also request a two-week extension of the deadline for comments and a public meeting.

Regards,
Marissa Acuna
1705 Triple Crown Dr
Corpus Christi, TX 78417

From:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC

Sent:

Friday, March 26, 2021 2:42 PM

To:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC2; PUBCOMMENT-OPIC; PUBCOMMENT-ELD; PUBCOMMENT-

WWW-WRAS

Subject:

FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

PM

From: CHIEFCLK <chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov>
Sent: Friday, March 26, 2021 9:56 AM

To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC < PUBCOMMENT-OCC@tceq.texas.gov>

Subject: FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

From: Fran Adams < Fran.Adams.178593863@p2a.co >

Sent: Thursday, March 25, 2021 1:24 PM
To: CHIEFCLK < chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov >
Subject: I oppose WRPERM 13630

Dear Chief Clerk,

I strongly oppose WRPERM 13630 and the Port of Corpus Christi's placing an intake pipe for a desalination plant in La Quinta Channel. According to the permit, the Port of Corpus Christi would be allowed to suck 62,890 gallons of water from La Quinta Channel every minute. Sucking in that amount of water that fast will require an enormous amount of suction power and I am concerned about aquatic life being trapped or killed in the process.

I also request a two-week extension of the deadline for comments and a public meeting.

Regards, Fran Adams 69 Portia Ave Rockport, TX 78382

From:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC

Sent:

Friday, March 26, 2021 2:34 PM

To:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC2; PUBCOMMENT-OPIC; PUBCOMMENT-ELD; PUBCOMMENT-

WWW-WRAS

Subject:

FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

PM

From: CHIEFCLK <chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov>
Sent: Friday, March 26, 2021 9:42 AM

To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC < PUBCOMMENT-OCC@tceq.texas.gov>

Subject: FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

From: Angela Albanese < Angela. Albanese. 290184989@p2a.co>

Sent: Thursday, March 25, 2021 5:42 PM
To: CHIEFCLK < chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov >
Subject: I oppose WRPERM 13630

Dear Chief Clerk,

I ask that you deny WRPERM 13630, and I oppose the Port of Corpus Christi's placing an intake pipe for a desalination plant in La Quinta Channel. This water will largely be for industrial use, not for me, my family, or my neighbors. I don't think bringing polluting corporations to our community is worth the cost these desalination plants will have on our Bay, on our wildlife, and on our local fishing and tourism economies.

I request a two-week extension of the deadline for comments on account of the recent freeze and loss of electricity in Texas. I also request that a public meeting be held for the community to express its concerns.

Regards, Angela Albanese 180 W Gray St Houston, TX 77019

From:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC

Sent:

Tuesday, March 23, 2021 3:31 PM

To:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC2; PUBCOMMENT-OPIC; PUBCOMMENT-ELD; PUBCOMMENT-

WWW-WRAS

Subject:

FW: Public comment on Permit Number WRPERM 13630

From: amsdentx@yahoo.com <amsdentx@yahoo.com>

Sent: Monday, March 22, 2021 5:49 PM

To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC <PUBCOMMENT-OCC@tceq.texas.gov> **Subject:** Public comment on Permit Number WRPERM 13630

REGULATED ENTY NAME WRPERM 13630

RN NUMBER: RN110844933

PERMIT NUMBER: WRPERM 13630

DOCKET NUMBER:

COUNTY: SAN PATRICIO

PRINCIPAL NAME: PORT OF CORPUS CHRISTI AUTHORITY OF NUECES COUNTY

CN NUMBER: CN600885248

FROM

NAME: Carl Daniel Amsden

E-MAIL: amsdentx@yahoo.com

COMPANY:

ADDRESS: 161 SUNSET INGLESIDE TX 78362-4735

PHONE: 9034213564

FAX:

COMMENTS: My wife and I are retirees living in IOB. The reason we are here is the proximity to great salt water inshore recreational opportunities. The La Quinta channel has more than enough major industrial development. To locate a desal plant here for primarily industrial use could have disastrous results if the intake and discharge are inshore. The volume of water involved is massive and should absolutely not be sourced from the bay or the channel. You don't have

to look very far to see what happens when government fails to listen to scienissue and source the water off shore and release the brine off shore also,	entists. Please listen to them now on this

From:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC

Sent:

Friday, March 26, 2021 2:30 PM

To:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC2; PUBCOMMENT-OPIC; PUBCOMMENT-ELD; PUBCOMMENT-

WWW-WRAS

Subject:

FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

PM

From: CHIEFCLK <chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov> Sent: Friday, March 26, 2021 9:38 AM

To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC < PUBCOMMENT-OCC@tceq.texas.gov>

Subject: FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

From: Sherry Andresen < Sherry.Andresen.28094296@p2a.co>

Sent: Thursday, March 25, 2021 9:17 PM
To: CHIEFCLK < chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov >
Subject: I oppose WRPERM 13630

Dear Chief Clerk,

I ask that you deny WRPERM 13630, and I oppose the Port of Corpus Christi's placing an intake pipe for a desalination plant in La Quinta Channel. This water will largely be for industrial use, not for me, my family, or my neighbors. I don't think bringing polluting corporations to our community is worth the cost these desalination plants will have on our Bay, on our wildlife, and on our local fishing and tourism economies.

I request a two-week extension of the deadline for comments on account of the recent freeze and loss of electricity in Texas. I also request that a public meeting be held for the community to express its concerns.

Regards, Sherry Andresen 1022 Flagmore Dr Katy, TX 77450

From:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC

Sent:

Wednesday, March 31, 2021 9:20 AM

To:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC2; PUBCOMMENT-OPIC; PUBCOMMENT-ELD; PUBCOMMENT-

WWW-WRAS

Subject:

FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

PM

From: CHIEFCLK <chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov> Sent: Tuesday, March 30, 2021 8:33 AM

To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC < PUBCOMMENT-OCC@tceq.texas.gov>

Subject: FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

From: Joanne Arnold < Joanne. Arnold. 434776441@p2a.co >

Sent: Tuesday, March 30, 2021 8:25 AM
To: CHIEFCLK < chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov >
Subject: I oppose WRPERM 13630

Dear Chief Clerk,

I strongly oppose WRPERM 13630 and the Port of Corpus Christi's placing an intake pipe for a desalination plant in La Quinta Channel. According to the permit, the Port of Corpus Christi would be allowed to suck 62,890 gallons of water from La Quinta Channel every minute. Sucking in that amount of water that fast will require an enormous amount of suction power and I am concerned about aquatic life being trapped or killed in the process.

I also request a two-week extension of the deadline for comments and a public meeting.

Regards, Joanne Arnold 5809 Commonwealth Dr Corpus Christi, TX 78414

From:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC

Sent:

Friday, March 26, 2021 2:35 PM

To:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC2; PUBCOMMENT-OPIC; PUBCOMMENT-ELD; PUBCOMMENT-

WWW-WRAS

Subject:

FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

PM

From: CHIEFCLK <chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov>
Sent: Friday, March 26, 2021 9:43 AM

To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC < PUBCOMMENT-OCC@tceq.texas.gov>

Subject: FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

From: Karin Ascot < Karin. Ascot. 42735261@p2a.co >

Sent: Thursday, March 25, 2021 4:02 PM
To: CHIEFCLK < chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov >
Subject: I oppose WRPERM 13630

Dear Chief Clerk,

NO NEW DESALINATION PLANTS! PROTECT WILDLIFE AND TOURISM.

I ask that you deny WRPERM 13630, and I oppose the Port of Corpus Christi's placing an intake pipe for a desalination plant in La Quinta Channel. This water will largely be for industrial use, not for me, my family, or my neighbors. I don't think bringing polluting corporations to our community is worth the cost these desalination plants will have on our Bay, on our wildlife, and on our local fishing and tourism economies.

I request a two-week extension of the deadline for comments on account of the recent freeze and loss of electricity in Texas. I also request that a public meeting be held for the community to express its concerns.

Regards, Karin Ascot 405 Academy Dr Austin, TX 78704

From:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC

Sent:

Tuesday, March 30, 2021 8:43 AM

To:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC2; PUBCOMMENT-OPIC; PUBCOMMENT-ELD; PUBCOMMENT-

WWW-WRAS

Subject:

FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

PM

From: CHIEFCLK <chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov> Sent: Monday, March 29, 2021 10:49 AM

To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC < PUBCOMMENT-OCC@tceq.texas.gov>

Subject: FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

From: William Asmussen < William. Asmussen. 416371828@p2a.co >

Sent: Monday, March 29, 2021 10:34 AM
To: CHIEFCLK < chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov
Subject: I oppose WRPERM 13630

Dear Chief Clerk,

I oppose WRPERM 13630. Many of us suffered through the historic winter storm in February 2021 and were without power for several days in freezing temperatures due to the amount of demand placed on the electrical grid in Texas. The operating pumps required to suck 62,890 gallons of water per minute will take an enormous amount of power, placing even more strain on the grid. I am opposed to issuing a permit which would demand excessive amounts of energy to supply water only for industrial use.

I also ask for a two-week extension for comments, as well as a public meeting.

Regards,
William Asmussen
6202 Coppedge Dr
Corpus Christi, TX 78414

From:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC

Sent:

Friday, March 26, 2021 2:34 PM

To:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC2; PUBCOMMENT-OPIC; PUBCOMMENT-ELD; PUBCOMMENT-

WWW-WRAS

Subject:

FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

PM

From: CHIEFCLK <chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov>
Sent: Friday, March 26, 2021 9:42 AM

To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC < PUBCOMMENT-OCC@tceq.texas.gov>

Subject: FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

From: Stephen Ball < Stephen.Ball.154476797@p2a.co >

Sent: Thursday, March 25, 2021 7:36 PM
To: CHIEFCLK < chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov >
Subject: I oppose WRPERM 13630

Dear Chief Clerk,

I strongly oppose WRPERM 13630 and the Port of Corpus Christi's placing an intake pipe for a desalination plant in La Quinta Channel. According to the permit, the Port of Corpus Christi would be allowed to suck 62,890 gallons of water from La Quinta Channel every minute. Sucking in that amount of water that fast will require an enormous amount of suction power and I am concerned about aquatic life being trapped or killed in the process.

I also request a two-week extension of the deadline for comments and a public meeting.

Regards, Stephen Ball 3609 Misty Ln Pearland, TX 77581

From:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC

Sent:

Tuesday, March 30, 2021 9:14 AM

To:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC2; PUBCOMMENT-OPIC; PUBCOMMENT-ELD; PUBCOMMENT-

WWW-WRAS

Subject:

FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

PM

From: CHIEFCLK <chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov> Sent: Monday, March 29, 2021 4:13 PM

To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC < PUBCOMMENT-OCC@tceq.texas.gov>

Subject: FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

From: Stacey Bartlett < Stacey.Bartlett.337899939@p2a.co >

Sent: Monday, March 29, 2021 3:04 PM
To: CHIEFCLK < chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov
Subject: I oppose WRPERM 13630

Dear Chief Clerk,

I strongly oppose WRPERM 13630 and the Port of Corpus Christi's placing an intake pipe for a desalination plant in La Quinta Channel. According to the permit, the Port of Corpus Christi would be allowed to suck 62,890 gallons of water from La Quinta Channel every minute. Sucking in that amount of water that fast will require an enormous amount of suction power and I am concerned about aquatic life being trapped or killed in the process.

I also request a two-week extension of the deadline for comments and a public meeting.

Regards, Stacey Bartlett 541 Channel View Dr Port Aransas, TX 78373

From:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC

Sent:

Thursday, March 25, 2021 6:05 PM

To:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC2; PUBCOMMENT-OPIC; PUBCOMMENT-ELD; PUBCOMMENT-

WWW-WRAS

Subject:

FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

PM

From: CHIEFCLK <chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov> Sent: Thursday, March 25, 2021 3:55 PM

To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC < PUBCOMMENT-OCC@tceq.texas.gov>

Subject: FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

From: Doug Beatty < Doug.Beatty.120792568@p2a.co>

Sent: Thursday, March 25, 2021 12:09 PM
To: CHIEFCLK < chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov>
Subject: I oppose WRPERM 13630

Dear Chief Clerk,

I ask that you deny WRPERM 13630, and I oppose the Port of Corpus Christi's placing an intake pipe for a desalination plant in La Quinta Channel. This water will largely be for industrial use, not for me, my family, or my neighbors. I don't think bringing polluting corporations to our community is worth the cost these desalination plants will have on our Bay, on our wildlife, and on our local fishing and tourism economies.

I request a two-week extension of the deadline for comments on account of the recent freeze and loss of electricity in Texas. I also request that a public meeting be held for the community to express its concerns.

Regards,
Doug Beatty
5220 Braeburn Dr
Bellaire, TX 77401

From: PUBCOMMENT-OCC

Sent: Friday, March 26, 2021 2:22 PM

To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC2; PUBCOMMENT-OPIC; PUBCOMMENT-ELD; PUBCOMMENT-

WWW-WRAS

Subject: FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

PM

----Original Message-----

From: CHIEFCLK <chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov> Sent: Friday, March 26, 2021 9:33 AM

To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC < PUBCOMMENT-OCC@tceq.texas.gov>

Subject: FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

----Original Message-----

From: Doug Beatty < Doug.Beatty.120792568@p2a.co>

Sent: Thursday, March 25, 2021 12:09 PM To: CHIEFCLK <chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov> Subject: I oppose WRPERM 13630

Dear Chief Clerk,

I ask that you deny WRPERM 13630, and I oppose the Port of Corpus Christi's placing an intake pipe for a desalination plant in La Quinta Channel. This water will largely be for industrial use, not for me, my family, or my neighbors. I don't think bringing polluting corporations to our community is worth the cost these desalination plants will have on our Bay, on our wildlife, and on our local fishing and tourism economies.

I request a two-week extension of the deadline for comments on account of the recent freeze and loss of electricity in Texas. I also request that a public meeting be held for the community to express its concerns.

Regards, Doug Beatty 5220 Braeburn Dr

Bellaire, TX 77401 http://admin.phone2action.com/email/open/leg/583850/108529429

From:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC

Sent:

Tuesday, March 30, 2021 8:44 AM

To:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC2; PUBCOMMENT-OPIC; PUBCOMMENT-ELD; PUBCOMMENT-

WWW-WRAS

Subject:

FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

PM

From: CHIEFCLK <chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov>
Sent: Monday, March 29, 2021 10:49 AM

To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC < PUBCOMMENT-OCC@tceq.texas.gov>

Subject: FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

From: CAROL BEELER < CAROL.BEELER.421745359@p2a.co>

Sent: Monday, March 29, 2021 10:29 AM
To: CHIEFCLK < chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov >
Subject: I oppose WRPERM 13630

Dear Chief Clerk,

I ask that you deny WRPERM 13630, and I oppose the Port of Corpus Christi's placing an intake pipe for a desalination plant in La Quinta Channel. This water will largely be for industrial use, not for me, my family, or my neighbors. I don't think bringing polluting corporations to our community is worth the cost these desalination plants will have on our Bay, on our wildlife, and on our local fishing and tourism economies.

I request a two-week extension of the deadline for comments on account of the recent freeze and loss of electricity in Texas. I also request that a public meeting be held for the community to express its concerns.

Regards,
CAROL BEELER
4721 Schwerin Lake Dr
Corpus Christi, TX 78413.

From:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC

Sent:

Friday, March 26, 2021 2:27 PM

To:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC2; PUBCOMMENT-OPIC; PUBCOMMENT-ELD; PUBCOMMENT-

WWW-WRAS

Subject:

FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

PM

From: CHIEFCLK <chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov> Sent: Friday, March 26, 2021 9:36 AM

To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC < PUBCOMMENT-OCC@tceq.texas.gov>

Subject: FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

From: t bell < t.bell.231971430@p2a.co > Sent: Friday, March 26, 2021 5:41 AM

To: CHIEFCLK < chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov > Subject: I oppose WRPERM 13630

Dear Chief Clerk,

I urge you to deny WRPERM 13630. I am opposed to any intake pipeline for a desalination plant in La Quinta Channel. So many members of our community love to fish, boat, and swim along the Portland Shoreline where the intake pipe would be located and in Ingleside Cove where the discharge would flow to. I am concerned that given the number of small larvae which will be sucked up, turned to sludge, and deposited into landfills, fishing will be badly impaired in the region.

I am also asking for a two week extension for comments due to the recent loss of electricity in Texas and that you hold a public meeting for the community to share their concerns.

Regards, t bell 5223 Mt Bonnell Rd Austin, TX 78731

From:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC

Sent:

Tuesday, March 30, 2021 8:38 AM

To:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC2; PUBCOMMENT-OPIC; PUBCOMMENT-ELD; PUBCOMMENT-

WWW-WRAS

Subject:

FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

PM

From: CHIEFCLK <chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov> Sent: Monday, March 29, 2021 9:13 AM

To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC < PUBCOMMENT-OCC@tceq.texas.gov>

Subject: FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

From: Steven Bernstein < Steven.Bernstein.231871017@p2a.co >

Sent: Friday, March 26, 2021 3:34 PM
To: CHIEFCLK < chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov >
Subject: I oppose WRPERM 13630

Dear Chief Clerk,

I oppose WRPERM 13630. Many of us suffered through the historic winter storm in February 2021 and were without power for several days in freezing temperatures due to the amount of demand placed on the electrical grid in Texas. The operating pumps required to suck 62,890 gallons of water per minute will take an enormous amount of power, placing even more strain on the grid. I am opposed to issuing a permit which would demand excessive amounts of energy to supply water only for industrial use.

I also ask for a two-week extension for comments, as well as a public meeting.

Regards, Steven Bernstein 3904 Blue Monster Cove Round Rock, TX 78664

From:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC

Sent:

Monday, March 15, 2021 3:37 PM

To:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC2; PUBCOMMENT-OPIC; PUBCOMMENT-ELD; PUBCOMMENT-

WWW-WRAS

Subject:

FW: Public comment on Permit Number WRPERM 13630

From: BISSELLS@swbell.net <BISSELLS@swbell.net>

Sent: Monday, March 15, 2021 2:47 PM

To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC <PUBCOMMENT-OCC@tceq.texas.gov> Subject: Public comment on Permit Number WRPERM 13630

REGULATED ENTY NAME WRPERM 13630

RN NUMBER: RN110844933

PERMIT NUMBER: WRPERM 13630

DOCKET NUMBER:

COUNTY: SAN PATRICIO

PRINCIPAL NAME: PORT OF CORPUS CHRISTI AUTHORITY OF NUECES COUNTY

CN NUMBER: CN600885248

FROM

NAME: DAWN BISSELL

E-MAIL: BISSELLS@SWBELL.NET

COMPANY:

ADDRESS: 253 CIRCLE DR

CORPUS CHRISTI TX 78411-1257

PHONE: 3619602151

FAX:

COMMENTS: I oppose the desal plant on La Quinta Channel, as there is not enough water circulation to properly dispense the brine discharge that will occur. This will result in an even more hypersaline bay, negatively affecting plant and sea life. I support desalination for Corpus Christi's water needs, but it MUST be placed in the right location.

From:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC

Sent:

Friday, March 26, 2021 2:50 PM

To:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC2; PUBCOMMENT-OPIC; PUBCOMMENT-ELD; PUBCOMMENT-

WWW-WRAS

Subject:

FW: Public comment on Permit Number WRPERM 13630

From: davo2971@yahoo.com <davo2971@yahoo.com>

Sent: Friday, March 26, 2021 12:30 PM

To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC <PUBCOMMENT-OCC@tceq.texas.gov> **Subject:** Public comment on Permit Number WRPERM 13630

REGULATED ENTY NAME WRPERM 13630

RN NUMBER: RN110844933

PERMIT NUMBER: WRPERM 13630

DOCKET NUMBER:

COUNTY: SAN PATRICIO

PRINCIPAL NAME: PORT OF CORPUS CHRISTI AUTHORITY OF NUECES COUNTY

CN NUMBER: CN600885248

FROM

NAME: David Bjork

E-MAIL: davo2971@yahoo.com

COMPANY:

ADDRESS: 102 MARIE PL PORTLAND TX 78374-1416

PHONE: 3618776649

FAX:

COMMENTS: I grew up on this shoreline between Portland and ingleside. It is always been such a pristine and beautiful place to go and fish and enjoy nature. with the port putting everything that it has already put in, and ruining much of the fishing areas and shorelines for progress, I really am very much against putting a desalinization plant there as well. Is this worth it? Is it worth ruining our coastal fishing areas the wildlife, just in the name of progress, most of all money in the

pockets? This will have dire consequences for the entire area for the fish and habitat....ALL FOR MONEY!! This was once a beautiful, pristine area of the coastal bend, now it has bowed down to progress and development. Do we have to ruin the entire area along with the habitat and fisheries? Us citizens will be fighting this to the very end you can count on it.

From:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC

Sent:

Thursday, March 25, 2021 6:21 PM

To:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC2; PUBCOMMENT-OPIC; PUBCOMMENT-ELD; PUBCOMMENT-

WWW-WRAS

Subject:

FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

PM

From: CHIEFCLK <chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov> Sent: Thursday, March 25, 2021 4:02 PM

To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC < PUBCOMMENT-OCC@tceq.texas.gov>

Subject: FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

From: Frank Blake < Frank.Blake.35984506@p2a.co >

Sent: Thursday, March 25, 2021 10:55 AM
To: CHIEFCLK < chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov>
Subject: I oppose WRPERM 13630

Dear Chief Clerk,

I urge you to deny WRPERM 13630. I am opposed to any intake pipeline for a desalination plant in La Quinta Channel. So many members of our community love to fish, boat, and swim along the Portland Shoreline where the intake pipe would be located and in Ingleside Cove where the discharge would flow to. I am concerned that given the number of small larvae which will be sucked up, turned to sludge, and deposited into landfills, fishing will be badly impaired in the region.

I am also asking for a two week extension for comments due to the recent loss of electricity in Texas and that you hold a public meeting for the community to share their concerns.

Regards, Frank Blake 1010 Peden St Houston, TX 77006

From:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC

Sent:

Tuesday, March 30, 2021 8:53 AM

To:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC2; PUBCOMMENT-OPIC; PUBCOMMENT-ELD; PUBCOMMENT-

WWW-WRAS

Subject:

FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

PM

From: CHIEFCLK <chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov> Sent: Monday, March 29, 2021 1:36 PM

To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC < PUBCOMMENT-OCC@tceq.texas.gov>

Subject: FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

From: Ruby Blanchette < Ruby.Blanchette.434411256@p2a.co>

Sent: Monday, March 29, 2021 1:32 PM
To: CHIEFCLK < chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov >
Subject: I oppose WRPERM 13630

Dear Chief Clerk,

I strongly oppose WRPERM 13630 and the Port of Corpus Christi's placing an intake pipe for a desalination plant in La Quinta Channel. According to the permit, the Port of Corpus Christi would be allowed to suck 62,890 gallons of water from La Quinta Channel every minute. Sucking in that amount of water that fast will require an enormous amount of suction power and I am concerned about aquatic life being trapped or killed in the process.

I also request a two-week extension of the deadline for comments and a public meeting.

Regards, Ruby Blanchette 1637 Palisades Dr Port Aransas, TX 78373

From: PUBCOMMENT-OCC

Sent: Thursday, April 1, 2021 9:09 AM

To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC2; PUBCOMMENT-OPIC; PUBCOMMENT-ELD; PUBCOMMENT-

WWW-WRAS

Subject: FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

PM

From: CHIEFCLK <chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov> Sent: Wednesday, March 31, 2021 7:07 PM

To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC < PUBCOMMENT-OCC@tceq.texas.gov>

Subject: FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

From: Patricia Bocanegra < Patricia. Bocanegra. 16200425@p2a.co >

Sent: Wednesday, March 31, 2021 2:48 PM To: CHIEFCLK < chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov > Subject: I oppose WRPERM 13630

Dear Chief Clerk,

I urge you to deny WRPERM 13630. I am opposed to any intake pipeline for a desalination plant in La Quinta Channel. So many members of our community love to fish, boat, and swim along the Portland Shoreline where the intake pipe would be located and in Ingleside Cove where the discharge would flow to. I am concerned that given the number of small larvae which will be sucked up, turned to sludge, and deposited into landfills, fishing will be badly impaired in the region.

I am also asking for a two week extension for comments due to the recent loss of electricity in Texas and that you hold a public meeting for the community to share their concerns.

Regards, Patricia Bocanegra 216 Co Rd 6814 Natalia, TX 78059 •

From:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC

Sent:

Thursday, March 25, 2021 6:08 PM

To:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC2; PUBCOMMENT-OPIC; PUBCOMMENT-ELD; PUBCOMMENT-

WWW-WRAS

Subject:

FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630.

PM

From: CHIEFCLK <chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov> Sent: Thursday, March 25, 2021 3:57 PM

To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC < PUBCOMMENT-OCC@tceq.texas.gov>

Subject: FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630.

From: Lakshmi Bollini < Lakshmi.Bollini.433448608@p2a.co >

Sent: Thursday, March 25, 2021 11:54 AM To: CHIEFCLK < chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov> Subject: I oppose WRPERM 13630.

Dear Chief Clerk,

I urge you to deny WRPERM 13630. I am opposed to any intake pipeline for a desalination plant in La Quinta Channel. The desalination plant is a terrible short-term solution that mainly benefits a small number of industrial interests.

So many members of our community love to fish, boat, and swim along the Portland Shoreline, where the intake pipe would be located, and in Ingleside Cove, where the discharge would flow. The number of small larvae that will be sucked up, turned to sludge, and deposited into landfills will have an adverse impact on fishing and biodiversity in the region.

I am also asking for a two-week extension for comments due to the recent loss of electricity in Texas and that you hold a public meeting for the community to share their concerns.

Regards, Lakshmi Bollini 8513 Putnam Dr Austin, TX 78757 ...

Melissa Schmidt

From:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC

Sent:

Wednesday, July 14, 2021 8:39 AM

To:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC2; PUBCOMMENT-OPIC; PUBCOMMENT-ELD; PUBCOMMENT-

WWW-WRAS

Subject:

FW: Public comment on Permit Number WRPERM 13630

From: tce.nicholas@gmail.com <tce.nicholas@gmail.com>

Sent: Tuesday, July 13, 2021 8:45 PM

To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC <PUBCOMMENT-OCC@tceq.texas.gov> **Subject:** Public comment on Permit Number WRPERM 13630

REGULATED ENTY NAME WRPERM 13630

RN NUMBER: RN110844933

PERMIT NUMBER: WRPERM 13630

DOCKET NUMBER: 2021-0421-WR

COUNTY: SAN PATRICIO

PRINCIPAL NAME: PORT OF CORPUS CHRISTI AUTHORITY OF NUECES COUNTY

CN NUMBER: CN600885248

FROM

NAME: MR Nicholas James Borjas

E-MAIL: tce.nicholas@gmail.com

COMPANY:

ADDRESS: 8102 HOOD CIR Unit A

AUSTIN TX 78745-6913

PHONE: 5126216154

FAX:

COMMENTS: I, Nicholas J. Borjas, am completely opposed to WRPERM 13630. This is a boondoggle of a project and is only to be used by the needs of industry to make profits by externalizing the cost onto the local ecosystem. This project will exploit the region of its natural resources and create an unlivable environment for marine life. This application is not intended to help the populations need for water, rather a cloak for industrial needs that do not take into account the

cumulative impacts desalination would have on the communities that depend on a healthy bay. If you destroy the bay, you destroy the way of life for a whole region. You must reject this permit to keep the health and future of so many people above the profiteering motives of the industries that are thinking about their short terms gains. Thank you and please represent and protect the environment, thats your job!

From:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC

Sent:

Friday, March 26, 2021 2:35 PM

To:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC2; PUBCOMMENT-OPIC; PUBCOMMENT-ELD; PUBCOMMENT-

WWW-WRAS

Subject:

FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

PM

From: CHIEFCLK <chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov> Sent: Friday, March 26, 2021 9:43 AM

To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC < PUBCOMMENT-OCC@tceq.texas.gov>

Subject: FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

From: Gayle Borst < Gayle.Borst.90045660@p2a.co>

Sent: Thursday, March 25, 2021 4:30 PM To: CHIEFCLK < chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov> Subject: I oppose WRPERM 13630

Dear Chief Clerk,

I strongly oppose WRPERM 13630 and the Port of Corpus Christi's placing an intake pipe for a desalination plant in La Quinta Channel. According to the permit, the Port of Corpus Christi would be allowed to suck 62,890 gallons of water from La Quinta Channel every minute. Sucking in that amount of water that fast will require an enormous amount of suction power and I am concerned about aquatic life being trapped or killed in the process.

I also request a two-week extension of the deadline for comments and a public meeting.

Regards, **Gayle Borst** 1604 Deloney St Austin, TX 78721 ...

Melissa Schmidt

From:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC

Sent:

Wednesday, July 14, 2021 8:55 AM

To:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC2; PUBCOMMENT-OPIC; PUBCOMMENT-ELD; PUBCOMMENT-

WWW-WRAS

Subject:

FW: Public comment on Permit Number WRPERM 13630

From: david.bradsby@tpwd.texas.gov <david.bradsby@tpwd.texas.gov>

Sent: Tuesday, July 13, 2021 7:16 PM

To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC <PUBCOMMENT-OCC@tceq.texas.gov> **Subject:** Public comment on Permit Number WRPERM 13630

REGULATED ENTY NAME WRPERM 13630

RN NUMBER: RN110844933

PERMIT NUMBER: WRPERM 13630

DOCKET NUMBER: 2021-0421-WR

COUNTY: SAN PATRICIO

PRINCIPAL NAME: PORT OF CORPUS CHRISTI AUTHORITY OF NUECES COUNTY

CN NUMBER: CN600885248

FROM

NAME: David Bradsby

E-MAIL: david.bradsby@tpwd.texas.gov

COMPANY: Texas Parks and Wildlife Department

ADDRESS: 4200 SMITH SCHOOL RD

AUSTIN TX 78744-3218

PHONE: 5123898048

FAX:

COMMENTS: Dear Sir or Madam: The Texas Parks and Wildlife Department (TPWD) appreciates the opportunity to provide comment on water use permit application No. 13630 submitted by the Port of Corpus Christi Authority (POCC) of Nueces County. TPWD is the agency with primary responsibility for protecting the state's fish and wildlife resources (Texas Parks and Wildlife Code §12.0011(a)) in addition to encouraging outdoor recreation on Texas water resources.

With respect to this role, TPWD is charged with providing information on fish and wildlife resources to any local, state, and federal agencies or private organizations that make decisions affecting those resources (Texas Parks and Wildlife Code §12.0011(b)(3)). Please be aware that a written response to a TPWD recommendation for informational comment received by a state government agency may be required by state law. For further guidance, please see Texas Parks & Wildlife Code Section 12.0011. Regarding water use permit application No. 13630, at present, one of the primary concerns is the lack of information or guidance on the diversion structure and, more specifically, efforts to minimize impingement and entrainment. A related matter is the high diversion rate for the project (140.12 cfs) and the potential resulting flow-through velocity for the diversion structure. The TPWD and Texas General Land Office coordinated on a report (https://tpwd.texas.gov/publications/pwdpubs/media/hb2031dz.pdf) as a result of HB 2031 of the 84th Texas Legislature that directed the agencies to identify zones in the Gulf of Mexico that are appropriate for the diversion of marine seawater, and for the discharge of marine seawater desalination brine concentrate, while taking into account the need to protect marine organisms. While the scope of the report is not entirely applicable to the POCC's desalination project, the recommendations for limiting impingement and entrainment apply. For diversions the recommendations include: • diversions of marine seawater should not exceed flow-through velocities of 0.5 feet per second (fps), nor be co-located such that combined impacts in the surrounding approach area exceeds 0.5 fps; • intake structure design should adjust or adaptively manage with varying flows and water quality that may occur at the intake site; • intake structures should be designed to reduce the flow velocity so that marine organisms may escape being drawn into the intake; • screens or booms, or both, should be used to exclude organisms from the intake; and • a site-specific study of conditions at proposed intake locations be conducted to identify marine organisms at risk from intake operations and to inform the design planning process. In addition, when feasible, directional drilling to install piping below the seabed and drawing water down through a sandy bottom will prevent impingement of marine organisms on intake screens exposed to open water and prevent entrainment of other organisms carried with the feedwater through the intake screen. TPWD staff are available to discuss the recommendation and to coordinate with the applicant and TCEQ on efforts to reduce impingement and entrainment associated with the proposed project. For questions, please contact David Bradsby at david.bradsby@tpwd.texas .gov. Sincerely, David Bradsby, Leader Water Quantity Program Texas Parks and Wildlife Department 512.389.8048

From:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC

Sent:

Tuesday, March 30, 2021 8:55 AM

To:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC2; PUBCOMMENT-OPIC; PUBCOMMENT-ELD; PUBCOMMENT-

WWW-WRAS

Subject:

FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

PM

From: CHIEFCLK <chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov> Sent: Monday, March 29, 2021 1:37 PM

To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC < PUBCOMMENT-OCC@tceq.texas.gov>

Subject: FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

From: Jill Brodnax < Jill.Brodnax.337792397@p2a.co >

Sent: Monday, March 29, 2021 11:42 AM
To: CHIEFCLK < chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov >
Subject: I oppose WRPERM 13630

Dear Chief Clerk,

I strongly oppose WRPERM 13630 and the Port of Corpus Christi's placing an intake pipe for a desalination plant in La Quinta Channel. According to the permit, the Port of Corpus Christi would be allowed to suck 62,890 gallons of water from La Quinta Channel every minute. Sucking in that amount of water that fast will require an enormous amount of suction power and I am concerned about aquatic life being trapped or killed in the process.

I also request a two-week extension of the deadline for comments and a public meeting.

Regards,
Jill Brodnax
417 E Oaks Ave
Port Aransas, TX 78373 a

From:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC

Sent:

Thursday, March 25, 2021 6:22 PM

To:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC2; PUBCOMMENT-OPIC; PUBCOMMENT-ELD; PUBCOMMENT-

WWW-WRAS

Subject:

FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

PM

From: CHIEFCLK <chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov>
Sent: Thursday, March 25, 2021 4:02 PM

To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC < PUBCOMMENT-OCC@tceq.texas.gov>

Subject: FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

From: Bari Brookman < Bari.Brookman.44087728@p2a.co >

Sent: Thursday, March 25, 2021 10:57 AM
To: CHIEFCLK < chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov>
Subject: I oppose WRPERM 13630

Dear Chief Clerk,

I strongly oppose WRPERM 13630 and the Port of Corpus Christi's placing an intake pipe for a desalination plant in La Quinta Channel. According to the permit, the Port of Corpus Christi would be allowed to suck 62,890 gallons of water from La Quinta Channel every minute. Sucking in that amount of water that fast will require an enormous amount of suction power and I am concerned about aquatic life being trapped or killed in the process.

I also request a two-week extension of the deadline for comments and a public meeting.

Regards,
Bari Brookman
6391 Hilldale Ct
Fort Worth, TX 76116

Melissa Schmidt

From:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC

Sent:

Wednesday, July 14, 2021 8:51 AM

To:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC2; PUBCOMMENT-OPIC; PUBCOMMENT-ELD; PUBCOMMENT-

WWW-WRAS

Subject:

FW: Public comment on Permit Number WRPERM 13630

From: debcdp@yahoo.com <debcdp@yahoo.com>

Sent: Tuesday, July 13, 2021 8:10 PM

To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC < PUBCOMMENT-OCC@tceq.texas.gov > Subject: Public comment on Permit Number WRPERM 13630

REGULATED ENTY NAME WRPERM 13630

RN NUMBER: RN110844933

PERMIT NUMBER: WRPERM 13630

DOCKET NUMBER: 2021-0421-WR

COUNTY: SAN PATRICIO

PRINCIPAL NAME: PORT OF CORPUS CHRISTI AUTHORITY OF NUECES COUNTY

CN NUMBER: CN600885248

FROM

NAME: Deborah Brown

E-MAIL: debcdp@yahoo.com

COMPANY:

ADDRESS: 1823 LATEXO DR HOUSTON TX 77018-1743

PHONE: 7133204176

FAX:

COMMENTS: The Exxon/SABIC plastics plant needs 20 million gallons of freshwater per day! Where does the destruction end? One of the many risks cited in studies of the desal project has to do with the excess salinity in the bay and how it will put stress on seagrasses which are the core of the food chain. All life in the bay and all of the seafood we eat, depend on these seagrasses. It is a delicate balance and without them, there is no life. It will cause a domino effect, with

the loss of one species causing the demise of other species. Extinction breeds extinction and that is irreversible. Quoting Henry Paulson, our former Treasury Secretary... Biodiversity loss poses a fundamental risk to human well-being. It affects our food supply, our economic and physical health, and the beauty of the natural world. It's far cheaper to prevent environmental damage than to clean it up afterward.

From:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC

Sent:

Thursday, March 25, 2021 6:10 PM

To:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC2; PUBCOMMENT-OPIC; PUBCOMMENT-ELD; PUBCOMMENT-

WWW-WRAS

Subject:

FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

PM

From: CHIEFCLK <chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov> Sent: Thursday, March 25, 2021 3:59 PM

To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC < PUBCOMMENT-OCC@tceq.texas.gov>

Subject: FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

From: Melissa Brown < Melissa. Brown. 59489339@p2a.co >

Sent: Thursday, March 25, 2021 11:40 AM
To: CHIEFCLK < chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov>
Subject: I oppose WRPERM 13630

Dear Chief Clerk,

I urge you to deny WRPERM 13630. I am opposed to any intake pipeline for a desalination plant in La Quinta Channel. So many members of our community love to fish, boat, and swim along the Portland Shoreline where the intake pipe would be located and in Ingleside Cove where the discharge would flow to. I am concerned that given the number of small larvae which will be sucked up, turned to sludge, and deposited into landfills, fishing will be badly impaired in the region.

I am also asking for a two week extension for comments due to the recent loss of electricity in Texas and that you hold a public meeting for the community to share their concerns.

Regards,
Melissa Brown
537 Cambridge Dr
Desoto, TX 75115

From:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC

Sent:

Wednesday, March 31, 2021 9:18 AM

To:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC2; PUBCOMMENT-OPIC; PUBCOMMENT-ELD; PUBCOMMENT-

WWW-WRAS

Subject:

FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

PM

From: CHIEFCLK <chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov> Sent: Tuesday, March 30, 2021 8:17 AM

To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC < PUBCOMMENT-OCC@tceq.texas.gov>

Subject: FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

From: Patricia Brown < Patricia. Brown. 413164065@p2a.co >

Sent: Monday, March 29, 2021 7:13 PM
To: CHIEFCLK < chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov >
Subject: I oppose WRPERM 13630

Dear Chief Clerk,

I strongly oppose WRPERM 13630 and the Port of Corpus Christi's placing an intake pipe for a desalination plant in La Quinta Channel. According to the permit, the Port of Corpus Christi would be allowed to suck 62,890 gallons of water from La Quinta Channel every minute. Sucking in that amount of water that fast will require an enormous amount of suction power and I am concerned about aquatic life being trapped or killed in the process.

I also request a two-week extension of the deadline for comments and a public meeting.

Regards,
Patricia Brown
3025 Jamaica Dr
Corpus Christi, TX 78418

From:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC

Sent:

Friday, March 26, 2021 2:41 PM

To:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC2; PUBCOMMENT-OPIC; PUBCOMMENT-ELD; PUBCOMMENT-

WWW-WRAS

Subject:

FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

PM

From: CHIEFCLK <chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov> Sent: Friday, March 26, 2021 9:53 AM

To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC < PUBCOMMENT-OCC@tceq.texas.gov>

Subject: FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

From: Karen Cagle < Karen. Cagle. 334546140@p2a.co >

Sent: Thursday, March 25, 2021 2:01 PM
To: CHIEFCLK < chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov >
Subject: I oppose WRPERM 13630

Dear Chief Clerk,

I strongly oppose WRPERM 13630 and the Port of Corpus Christi's placing an intake pipe for a desalination plant in La Quinta Channel. According to the permit, the Port of Corpus Christi would be allowed to suck 62,890 gallons of water from La Quinta Channel every minute. Sucking in that amount of water that fast will require an enormous amount of suction power and I am concerned about aquatic life being trapped or killed in the process.

I also request a two-week extension of the deadline for comments and a public meeting.

Regards, Karen Cagle 6322 Grandvilliers Dr Corpus Christi, TX 78414

Melissa Schmidt

From:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC

Sent:

Wednesday, July 14, 2021 8:47 AM

To:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC2; PUBCOMMENT-OPIC; PUBCOMMENT-ELD; PUBCOMMENT-

WWW-WRAS

Subject:

FW: Public comment on Permit Number WRPERM 13630

From: campossylvia87@gmail.com <campossylvia87@gmail.com>

Sent: Tuesday, July 13, 2021 8:18 PM

To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC < PUBCOMMENT-OCC@tceq.texas.gov> Subject: Public comment on Permit Number WRPERM 13630

REGULATED ENTY NAME WRPERM 13630

RN NUMBER: RN110844933

PERMIT NUMBER: WRPERM 13630

DOCKET NUMBER: 2021-0421-WR

COUNTY: SAN PATRICIO

PRINCIPAL NAME: PORT OF CORPUS CHRISTI AUTHORITY OF NUECES COUNTY

CN NUMBER: CN600885248

FROM

NAME: MS Sylvia Campos

E-MAIL: campossylvia87@gmail.com

COMPANY:

ADDRESS: 4410 FIR ST

CORPUS CHRISTI TX 78411-3635

PHONE: 3616877259

FAX:

COMMENTS: My name is Sylvia Campos, I have lived in Corpus Christi all my and I must admit I don't get to many opportunities to get on a boat. A few weeks ago, I had an opportunity to take a boat ride and see for myself the increased industries that have already moved into our bay. The bay is already congested and exploiting our resources. I don't have a degree and I have not worked in this type of business, but any fool can see additional industrial expansion

will destroy this delicate balance of our fish, air and vegetation. The Bay cannot be replaced, what we do here will affect other generations. This should be common sense but it's not because it involves money. These companies have already destroyed other areas around Texas, they have nowhere else to go but here. You can stop them now. I am asking that you not grant this permit. The people of the Coastal Bend deserve clean air, clean water and good air to breathe.

From:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC

Sent:

Monday, March 29, 2021 1:21 PM

To:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC2; PUBCOMMENT-OPIC; PUBCOMMENT-ELD; PUBCOMMENT-

WWW-WRAS

Subject:

FW: Public comment on Permit Number WRPERM 13630

PM

From: sandpiperx2003@yahoo.com <sandpiperx2003@yahoo.com>

Sent: Saturday, March 27, 2021 10:59 AM

To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC <PUBCOMMENT-OCC@tceq.texas.gov>
Subject: Public comment on Permit Number WRPERM 13630

REGULATED ENTY NAME WRPERM 13630

RN NUMBER: RN110844933

PERMIT NUMBER: WRPERM 13630

DOCKET NUMBER:

COUNTY: SAN PATRICIO

PRINCIPAL NAME: PORT OF CORPUS CHRISTI AUTHORITY OF NUECES COUNTY

CN NUMBER: CN600885248

FROM

NAME: Chris Carleton

E-MAIL: sandpiperx2003@yahoo.com

COMPANY: iobcwa

ADDRESS: 1100 N SANDPIPER DR

INGLESIDE TX 78362

PHONE: 3612908457

FAX:

COMMENTS: I am an IOBCWA member and live within 2 miles of the proposed POCCA Desal Intake. I request a public meeting to be held for the community to express its concerns. I request a two-week extension of the comment period because of the recent freeze and loss of electricity in Texas. I oppose the desal intake and outflow because of the following adverse impacts: 1 - heavy water intake of millions of gallons threatens marine and aquatic life 2 - outflow in a

shallow bay system is a death knell for fish, seagrass and other aquatic life. 3 - salty brine discharge will adversely affect fishing, both commercial and recreation 4 - possible health effects of chemicals used in the desal process 5 - economic impact of reduced fishing and recreation due to adverse impacts on aquatic life 6 - water produced by desal plant will be for industrial use and will not be potable. The La Quinta Channel of Corpus Christi Bay is a mostly closed bay system and cannot accept, distribute and regenerate from such hyper-salinity concentration of its bay water. This project, both intake and outflow, needs to go off-shore regardless of costs.

From:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC

Sent:

Friday, March 26, 2021 2:39 PM

To:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC2; PUBCOMMENT-OPIC; PUBCOMMENT-ELD; PUBCOMMENT-

WWW-WRAS

Subject:

FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

PM

From: CHIEFCLK <chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov> Sent: Friday, March 26, 2021 9:47 AM

To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC < PUBCOMMENT-OCC@tceg.texas.gov>

Subject: FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

From: Cheryl Carney < Cheryl.Carney.15887966@p2a.co>

Sent: Thursday, March 25, 2021 3:41 PM
To: CHIEFCLK < chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov >
Subject: I oppose WRPERM 13630

Dear Chief Clerk,

I urge you to deny WRPERM 13630. I am opposed to any intake pipeline for a desalination plant in La Quinta Channel. So many members of our community love to fish, boat, and swim along the Portland Shoreline where the intake pipe would be located and in Ingleside Cove where the discharge would flow to. I am concerned that given the number of small larvae which will be sucked up, turned to sludge, and deposited into landfills, fishing will be badly impaired in the region.

I am also asking for a two week extension for comments due to the recent loss of electricity in Texas and that you hold a public meeting for the community to share their concerns.

Regards, Cheryl Carney 1124 W Gramercy Pl San Antonio, TX 78201

Melissa Schmidt

From:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC

Sent:

Monday, July 12, 2021 11:25 AM

To:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC2; PUBCOMMENT-OPIC; PUBCOMMENT-ELD; PUBCOMMENT-

WWW-WRAS

Subject:

FW: Public comment on Permit Number WRPERM 13630

From: elida.i.castillo@gmail.com <elida.i.castillo@gmail.com>

Sent: Friday, July 9, 2021 5:42 PM

To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC <PUBCOMMENT-OCC@tceq.texas.gov> **Subject:** Public comment on Permit Number WRPERM 13630

REGULATED ENTY NAME WRPERM 13630

RN NUMBER: RN110844933

PERMIT NUMBER: WRPERM 13630

DOCKET NUMBER: 2021-0421-WR

COUNTY: SAN PATRICIO

PRINCIPAL NAME: PORT OF CORPUS CHRISTI AUTHORITY OF NUECES COUNTY

CN NUMBER: CN600885248

FROM

NAME: Elida Castillo

E-MAIL: elida.i.castillo@gmail.com

COMPANY:

ADDRESS: PO BOX 643 TAFT TX 78390-0643

PHONE: 2108578925

FAX:

COMMENTS: Hello. My name is Elida Castillo and I'm a resident of Taft, TX in San Patricio County. I am strongly opposed to the water rights permit for the Port of Corpus Christi's proposed La Quinta Channel desalination plant - WRPerm13630. Why? You may be asking yourselves. This will bring much-needed jobs to the area, is what we're told. But what the Port and its supporters aren't saying is how much this will take from us, and the jobs numbers are grossly

exaggerated. This plant isn't for residential use, but we'll be paying for it. We'll be paying through our taxes and more than likely through an increase in our utility bills. We'll be paying for it through the loss of our lands. We'll be paying for it through the devaluation of our properties, because who wants to live near an industrial plant? We'll be paying for it through our health, because building this will attract more polluting industries to our area. But most unfortunate, is the damage this will cause our families and future generations. Gone will be the opportunities to create lifetime memories with our friends and loved ones at the beach. Or those teachable moments to remind my nephew about patience because he hasn't caught a fish and it's already been 10 minutes. Of course, how can I expect a nine year old to remember the catastrophic loss of fish and wildlife caused by Winter Storm Uri, when so many adults have already forgotten? Not to mention, how about that power grid? Side note: Doesn't desalination require massive amounts of power? Yeah, it does. If approved, we can say goodbye to all the mom & pop shops, the people, and what we love most about living here - the bay - if this water rights permit goes through. I have 33,000,000,000 billion reasons why this is a bad idea. That's what 90.5 million gallons of saltwater a day amounts to in a year. Once again, water that is slated for industrial use. This is worrisome, especially at the rate industry is using our potable water. What's going to be left when that devastating time comes where we've poisoned our lands and drinking water? Because at the rate we're going, it's inevitable. This is why I am asking you all to please consider everything that I and others have shared with you. As the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality, you have the duty to regulate our environment, and ensure our way of life, our bays, our lives, and our health are protected. Please, deny this permit and live up to that mission. Thank you.

Melissa Schmidt

From: PUBCOMMENT-OCC

Sent: Wednesday, July 14, 2021 8:46 AM

To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC2; PUBCOMMENT-OPIC; PUBCOMMENT-ELD; PUBCOMMENT-

WWW-WRAS

Subject: FW: Public comment on Permit Number WRPERM 13630

From: dragonflytx2001@gmail.com <dragonflytx2001@gmail.com>

Sent: Tuesday, July 13, 2021 8:20 PM

To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC <PUBCOMMENT-OCC@tceq.texas.gov> **Subject:** Public comment on Permit Number WRPERM 13630

REGULATED ENTY NAME WRPERM 13630

RN NUMBER: RN110844933

PERMIT NUMBER: WRPERM 13630

DOCKET NUMBER: 2021-0421-WR

COUNTY: SAN PATRICIO

PRINCIPAL NAME: PORT OF CORPUS CHRISTI AUTHORITY OF NUECES COUNTY

CN NUMBER: CN600885248

FROM

NAME: Elisa Castillo

E-MAIL: dragonflytx2001@gmail.com

COMPANY:

ADDRESS: PO BOX 643 TAFT TX 78390-0643

PHONE: 2104786932

FAX:

COMMENTS: I am strongly opposed to the water intake permit.

Melissa Schmidt

From:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC

Sent:

Wednesday, July 14, 2021 8:39 AM

To:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC2; PUBCOMMENT-OPIC; PUBCOMMENT-ELD; PUBCOMMENT-

WWW-WRAS

Subject:

FW: Public comment on Permit Number WRPERM 13630

From: e.castillo13@yahoo.com <e.castillo13@yahoo.com>

Sent: Tuesday, July 13, 2021 8:40 PM

To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC < PUBCOMMENT-OCC@tceq.texas.gov> Subject: Public comment on Permit Number WRPERM 13630

REGULATED ENTY NAME WRPERM 13630

RN NUMBER: RN110844933

PERMIT NUMBER: WRPERM 13630

DOCKET NUMBER: 2021-0421-WR

COUNTY: SAN PATRICIO

PRINCIPAL NAME: PORT OF CORPUS CHRISTI AUTHORITY OF NUECES COUNTY

CN NUMBER: CN600885248

FROM

NAME: MS Elsa Castillo

E-MAIL: e.castillo13@yahoo.com

COMPANY:

ADDRESS: PO BOX 643 TAFT TX 78390-0643

PHONE: 2104163767

FAX:

COMMENTS: I am a long term resident of Taft. I have young children and take my children fishing. I strongly oppose the intake permit. I am concerned on the impact of our ecosystem and on how the plant will affect our waters in the long run; as we are already seeing more and more low water levels.

From:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC

Sent:

Friday, March 26, 2021 2:28 PM

To:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC2; PUBCOMMENT-OPIC; PUBCOMMENT-ELD; PUBCOMMENT-

WWW-WRAS

Subject:

FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

PM

From: CHIEFCLK <chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov> Sent: Friday, March 26, 2021 9:37 AM

To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC < PUBCOMMENT-OCC@tceq.texas.gov>

Subject: FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

From: Mary Cato < Mary.Cato.18422346@p2a.co >

Sent: Thursday, March 25, 2021 11:24 PM
To: CHIEFCLK < chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov >
Subject: I oppose WRPERM 13630

Dear Chief Clerk,

I oppose WRPERM 13630. Many of us suffered through the historic winter storm in February 2021 and were without power for several days in freezing temperatures due to the amount of demand placed on the electrical grid in Texas. The operating pumps required to suck 62,890 gallons of water per minute will take an enormous amount of power, placing even more strain on the grid. I am opposed to issuing a permit which would demand excessive amounts of energy to supply water only for industrial use.

I also ask for a two-week extension for comments, as well as a public meeting.

Regards, Mary Cato 1807 Pecan Park Dr Arlington, TX 76012

From: PUBCOMMENT-OCC

Sent: Friday, March 26, 2021 2:20 PM

To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC2; PUBCOMMENT-OPIC; PUBCOMMENT-ELD; PUBCOMMENT-

WWW-WRAS

Subject: FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

PM

----Original Message----

From: CHIEFCLK <chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov> Sent: Friday, March 26, 2021 9:33 AM

To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC < PUBCOMMENT-OCC@tceq.texas.gov>

Subject: FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

----Original Message----

From: Cecelia Cialdella < Cecelia. Cialdella . 125732109@p2a.co>

Sent: Thursday, March 25, 2021 2:51 PM To: CHIEFCLK <chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov> Subject: I oppose WRPERM 13630

Dear Chief Clerk,

I urge you to deny WRPERM 13630. I am opposed to any intake pipeline for a desalination plant in La Quinta Channel. So many members of our community love to fish, boat, and swim along the Portland Shoreline where the intake pipe would be located and in Ingleside Cove where the discharge would flow to. I am concerned that given the number of small larvae which will be sucked up, turned to sludge, and deposited into landfills, fishing will be badly impaired in the region.

I am also asking for a two week extension for comments due to the recent loss of electricity in Texas and that you hold a public meeting for the community to share their concerns.

Regards, Cecelia Cialdella 7912 Aria Loop Bldg 2

Austin, TX 78736 http://admin.phone2action.com/email/open/leg/583850/108549962

From:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC

Sent:

Thursday, March 25, 2021 6:05 PM

To:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC2; PUBCOMMENT-OPIC; PUBCOMMENT-ELD; PUBCOMMENT-

WWW-WRAS

Subject:

FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

PM

From: CHIEFCLK <chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov> Sent: Thursday, March 25, 2021 3:54 PM

To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC < PUBCOMMENT-OCC@tceq.texas.gov>

Subject: FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

From: Nicole Cloutier < Nicole.Cloutier.61846177@p2a.co >

Sent: Thursday, March 25, 2021 12:35 PM
To: CHIEFCLK < chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov
Subject: I oppose WRPERM 13630

Dear Chief Clerk,

I strongly oppose WRPERM 13630 and the Port of Corpus Christi's placing an intake pipe for a desalination plant in La Quinta Channel. According to the permit, the Port of Corpus Christi would be allowed to suck 62,890 gallons of water from La Quinta Channel every minute. Sucking in that amount of water that fast will require an enormous amount of suction power and I am concerned about aquatic life being trapped or killed in the process.

I also request a two-week extension of the deadline for comments and a public meeting.

Regards, Nicole Cloutier 4331 Staghorn Ln Friendswood, TX 77546

Melissa Schmidt

From:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC

Sent:

Wednesday, July 14, 2021 8:38 AM

To:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC2; PUBCOMMENT-OPIC; PUBCOMMENT-ELD; PUBCOMMENT-

WWW-WRAS

Subject:

FW: Public comment on Permit Number WRPERM 13630

From: pattcoeck@aol.com <pattcoeck@aol.com>

Sent: Tuesday, July 13, 2021 8:53 PM

To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC <PUBCOMMENT-OCC@tceq.texas.gov> **Subject:** Public comment on Permit Number WRPERM 13630

REGULATED ENTY NAME WRPERM 13630

RN NUMBER: RN110844933

PERMIT NUMBER: WRPERM 13630

DOCKET NUMBER: 2021-0421-WR

COUNTY: SAN PATRICIO

PRINCIPAL NAME: PORT OF CORPUS CHRISTI AUTHORITY OF NUECES COUNTY

CN NUMBER: CN600885248

FROM

NAME: Patt Coeckelenbergh

E-MAIL: pattcoeck@aol.com

COMPANY:

ADDRESS: 410 MERCER ST PORT ARANSAS TX 78373-5160

PHONE: 3612443866

FAX:

COMMENTS: My name is Patt Coeckelenbergh and I am speaking for myself and my family. We strongly oppose the Ports application for the Water Rights Permit to draw water from the La Quinta Channel for its desalination facility, WR 13630. We believe all permits presented should be looked at as a whole not in parts. To draw 90.5 million gals of water per day at the rate of 62,890 gals per min. 90 million gals to provide 30 million gals fresh water for industry, not

residential use. in addition, the amount of electrical power to operate this facility will put enormous pressure on a already broken grid system. It has been said that this water is for industry that is not yet located in the Coastal Bend.tonight it was mentioned that the Port will be a wholesale water provider. is that a job description of a navigation agency? the risk of the intake to aquatic life,(in spite of repeated comments on safety of the 1/4" mess), shore birds and recreators like myself and family who enjoy swimming, fishing and boating in our bay. In the time of climate disaster we need to look to solutions not to continue to promote dirty industry for the Coastal Bend. We urge you reject this permit Thank you.

From:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC

Sent:

Tuesday, March 30, 2021 8:38 AM

To:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC2; PUBCOMMENT-OPIC; PUBCOMMENT-ELD; PUBCOMMENT-

WWW-WRAS

Subject:

FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

PM

From: CHIEFCLK <chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov> Sent: Monday, March 29, 2021 9:13 AM

To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC < PUBCOMMENT-OCC@tceq.texas.gov>

Subject: FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

From: Wayne Coltrane < Wayne.Coltrane.180335064@p2a.co >

Sent: Friday, March 26, 2021 8:54 PM
To: CHIEFCLK < chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov >
Subject: I oppose WRPERM 13630

Dear Chief Clerk,

I oppose WRPERM 13630. Many of us suffered through the historic winter storm in February 2021 and were without power for several days in freezing temperatures due to the amount of demand placed on the electrical grid in Texas. The operating pumps required to suck 62,890 gallons of water per minute will take an enormous amount of power, placing even more strain on the grid. I am opposed to issuing a permit which would demand excessive amounts of energy to supply water only for industrial use.

I also ask for a two-week extension for comments, as well as a public meeting.

Regards, Wayne Coltrane 6904 Wesson Dr Plano, TX 75023 **a**

From:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC

Sent:

Thursday, March 25, 2021 6:23 PM

To:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC2; PUBCOMMENT-OPIC; PUBCOMMENT-ELD; PUBCOMMENT-

WWW-WRAS

Subject:

FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

PM

From: CHIEFCLK <chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov> Sent: Thursday, March 25, 2021 4:03 PM

To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC < PUBCOMMENT-OCC@tceq.texas.gov>

Subject: FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

From: Donald Cook < Donald.Cook.180700662@p2a.co >

Sent: Thursday, March 25, 2021 10:55 AM
To: CHIEFCLK < chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov>
Subject: I oppose WRPERM 13630

Dear Chief Clerk,

I oppose WRPERM 13630. Many of us suffered through the historic winter storm in February 2021 and were without power for several days in freezing temperatures due to the amount of demand placed on the electrical grid in Texas. The operating pumps required to suck 62,890 gallons of water per minute will take an enormous amount of power, placing even more strain on the grid. I am opposed to issuing a permit which would demand excessive amounts of energy to supply water only for industrial use.

I also ask for a two-week extension for comments, as well as a public meeting.

Regards, Donald Cook 7954 Glenheath St Houston, TX 77061.

From:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC

Sent:

Thursday, April 1, 2021 9:09 AM

To:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC2; PUBCOMMENT-OPIC; PUBCOMMENT-ELD; PUBCOMMENT-

WWW-WRAS

Subject:

FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

PM

From: CHIEFCLK <chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov> Sent: Wednesday, March 31, 2021 7:08 PM

To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC < PUBCOMMENT-OCC@tceq.texas.gov>

Subject: FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

From: Andrea Cornett < Andrea. Cornett. 337264799@p2a.co >

Sent: Wednesday, March 31, 2021 11:18 AM
To: CHIEFCLK < chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov
Subject: I oppose WRPERM 13630

Dear Chief Clerk,

I oppose WRPERM 13630. Many of us suffered through the historic winter storm in February 2021 and were without power for several days in freezing temperatures due to the amount of demand placed on the electrical grid in Texas. The operating pumps required to suck 62,890 gallons of water per minute will take an enormous amount of power, placing even more strain on the grid. I am opposed to issuing a permit which would demand excessive amounts of energy to supply water only for industrial use.

I also ask for a two-week extension for comments, as well as a public meeting.

Regards, Andrea Cornett 1825 Airline Rd Corpus Christi, TX 78412

From:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC

Sent:

Thursday, March 25, 2021 6:07 PM

To:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC2; PUBCOMMENT-OPIC; PUBCOMMENT-ELD; PUBCOMMENT-

WWW-WRAS

Subject:

FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

PM

From: CHIEFCLK <chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov> Sent: Thursday, March 25, 2021 3:56 PM

To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC < PUBCOMMENT-OCC@tceq.texas.gov>

Subject: FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

From: KIMBERLY CORNETT < KIMBERLY.CORNETT.337328257@p2a.co>

Sent: Thursday, March 25, 2021 12:02 PM
To: CHIEFCLK < chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov>
Subject: I oppose WRPERM 13630

Dear Chief Clerk,

I strongly oppose WRPERM 13630 and the Port of Corpus Christi's placing an intake pipe for a desalination plant in La Quinta Channel. According to the permit, the Port of Corpus Christi would be allowed to suck 62,890 gallons of water from La Quinta Channel every minute. Sucking in that amount of water that fast will require an enormous amount of suction power and I am concerned about aquatic life being trapped or killed in the process.

I also request a two-week extension of the deadline for comments and a public meeting.

Regards, KIMBERLY CORNETT 1825 Airline Rd Corpus Christi, TX 78412

From:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC

Sent:

Friday, March 26, 2021 2:50 PM

To:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC2; PUBCOMMENT-OPIC; PUBCOMMENT-ELD; PUBCOMMENT-

WWW-WRAS

Subject:

FW: Public comment on Permit Number WRPERM 13630

From: jardel.cancado@gmail.com < jardel.cancado@gmail.com >

Sent: Friday, March 26, 2021 12:40 PM

To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC <PUBCOMMENT-OCC@tceq.texas.gov> **Subject:** Public comment on Permit Number WRPERM 13630

REGULATED ENTY NAME WRPERM 13630

RN NUMBER: RN110844933

PERMIT NUMBER: WRPERM 13630

DOCKET NUMBER:

COUNTY: SAN PATRICIO

PRINCIPAL NAME: PORT OF CORPUS CHRISTI AUTHORITY OF NUECES COUNTY

CN NUMBER: CN600885248

FROM

NAME: Jardel Costa

E-MAIL: jardel.cancado@gmail.com

COMPANY:

ADDRESS: 123 S FIGUEROA ST LOS ANGELES CA 90012-2469

PHONE: 2312312123

FAX:

COMMENTS: Hi this is way cool

From:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC

Sent:

Friday, March 26, 2021 2:48 PM

To:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC2; PUBCOMMENT-OPIC; PUBCOMMENT-ELD; PUBCOMMENT-

WWW-WRAS

Subject:

FW: Public comment on Permit Number WRPERM 13630

From: jardel.cancado@gmail.com < jardel.cancado@gmail.com >

Sent: Friday, March 26, 2021 12:15 PM

To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC <PUBCOMMENT-OCC@tceq.texas.gov>
Subject: Public comment on Permit Number WRPERM 13630

REGULATED ENTY NAME WRPERM 13630

RN NUMBER: RN110844933

PERMIT NUMBER: WRPERM 13630

DOCKET NUMBER:

COUNTY: SAN PATRICIO

PRINCIPAL NAME: PORT OF CORPUS CHRISTI AUTHORITY OF NUECES COUNTY

CN NUMBER: CN600885248

FROM

NAME: Jardel Costa

E-MAIL: jardel.cancado@gmail.com

COMPANY:

ADDRESS: 123 S FIGUEROA ST LOS ANGELES CA 90012-2469

PHONE: 2312312123

FAX:

COMMENTS: Hi this is way cool

From:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC

Sent:

Friday, March 26, 2021 2:42 PM

To:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC2; PUBCOMMENT-OPIC; PUBCOMMENT-ELD; PUBCOMMENT-

WWW-WRAS

Subject:

FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

PM

From: CHIEFCLK <chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov> Sent: Friday, March 26, 2021 9:56 AM

To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC < PUBCOMMENT-OCC@tceq.texas.gov>

Subject: FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

From: Hannah Cowart < Hannah.Cowart.130052577@p2a.co >

Sent: Thursday, March 25, 2021 1:25 PM
To: CHIEFCLK < chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov >
Subject: I oppose WRPERM 13630

Dear Chief Clerk,

I strongly oppose WRPERM 13630 and the Port of Corpus Christi's placing an intake pipe for a desalination plant in La Quinta Channel. According to the permit, the Port of Corpus Christi would be allowed to suck 62,890 gallons of water from La Quinta Channel every minute. Sucking in that amount of water that fast will require an enormous amount of suction power and I am concerned about aquatic life being trapped or killed in the process.

I also request a two-week extension of the deadline for comments and a public meeting.

Regards, Hannah Cowart 715 W Slaughter Ln Austin, TX 78748

From:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC

Sent:

Thursday, March 25, 2021 6:15 PM

To:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC2; PUBCOMMENT-OPIC; PUBCOMMENT-ELD; PUBCOMMENT-

WWW-WRAS

Subject:

FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

PM

From: CHIEFCLK <chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov> Sent: Thursday, March 25, 2021 4:00 PM

To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC < PUBCOMMENT-OCC@tceq.texas.gov>

Subject: FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

From: Peter Craig < Peter.Craig.47099687@p2a.co>

Sent: Thursday, March 25, 2021 11:16 AM
To: CHIEFCLK < chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov
Subject: I oppose WRPERM 13630

Dear Chief Clerk,

I urge you to deny WRPERM 13630. I am opposed to any intake pipeline for a desalination plant in La Quinta Channel. So many members of our community love to fish, boat, and swim along the Portland Shoreline where the intake pipe would be located and in Ingleside Cove where the discharge would flow to. I am concerned that given the number of small larvae which will be sucked up, turned to sludge, and deposited into landfills, fishing will be badly impaired in the region.

I am also asking for a two week extension for comments due to the recent loss of electricity in Texas and that you hold a public meeting for the community to share their concerns.

Regards, Peter Craig 1781 Spyglass Dr Austin, TX 78746

From:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC

Sent:

Thursday, March 25, 2021 6:17 PM

To:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC2; PUBCOMMENT-OPIC; PUBCOMMENT-ELD; PUBCOMMENT-

WWW-WRAS

Subject:

FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

PM

From: CHIEFCLK <chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov> Sent: Thursday, March 25, 2021 4:01 PM

To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC < PUBCOMMENT-OCC@tceq.texas.gov>

Subject: FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

From: Mark Crider < Mark.Crider.433436304@p2a.co >

Sent: Thursday, March 25, 2021 11:05 AM
To: CHIEFCLK < chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov>
Subject: I oppose WRPERM 13630

Dear Chief Clerk,

I oppose WRPERM 13630. Many of us suffered through the historic winter storm in February 2021 and were without power for several days in freezing temperatures due to the amount of demand placed on the electrical grid in Texas. The operating pumps required to suck 62,890 gallons of water per minute will take an enormous amount of power, placing even more strain on the grid. I am opposed to issuing a permit which would demand excessive amounts of energy to supply water only for industrial use.

I also ask for a two-week extension for comments, as well as a public meeting.

Regards, Mark Crider 4402 Hart Rd Corpus Christi, TX 78410 a

From:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC

Sent:

Thursday, March 25, 2021 8:52 AM

To:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC2; PUBCOMMENT-OPIC; PUBCOMMENT-ELD; PUBCOMMENT-

WWW-WRAS

Subject:

FW: Public comment on Permit Number WRPERM 13630

From: daviddelmoral@aol.com <daviddelmoral@aol.com>

Sent: Wednesday, March 24, 2021 9:07 PM

To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC <PUBCOMMENT-OCC@tceq.texas.gov> Subject: Public comment on Permit Number WRPERM 13630

REGULATED ENTY NAME WRPERM 13630

RN NUMBER: RN110844933

PERMIT NUMBER: WRPERM 13630

DOCKET NUMBER:

COUNTY: SAN PATRICIO

PRINCIPAL NAME: PORT OF CORPUS CHRISTI AUTHORITY OF NUECES COUNTY

CN NUMBER: CN600885248

FROM

NAME: MR David Del Moral, SR

E-MAIL: daviddelmoral@aol.com

COMPANY:

ADDRESS: 313 SEA GATE DR PORTLAND TX 78374-4125

PHONE: 3615482799

FAX:

COMMENTS: I oppose the request by the Port to build this desalination plant by private industry or any other entity. The Port has been overtaken by greed and is now looking to continue it's poor planning of long term growth for this area. They don't care about the citizens of Portland. It's all about big industry and money. The potential damage to the ecosystem will not be easily corrected. Please deny this permit for the families of this community. Thank you, David Del Moral

From:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC

Sent:

Thursday, March 25, 2021 6:13 PM

To:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC2; PUBCOMMENT-OPIC; PUBCOMMENT-ELD; PUBCOMMENT-

WWW-WRAS

Subject:

FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

PM

From: CHIEFCLK <chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov> Sent: Thursday, March 25, 2021 3:59 PM

To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC < PUBCOMMENT-OCC@tceq.texas.gov>

Subject: FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

From: Michael DelGiudice < Michael. DelGiudice. 92806401@p2a.co >

Sent: Thursday, March 25, 2021 11:28 AM
To: CHIEFCLK < chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov>
Subject: I oppose WRPERM 13630

Dear Chief Clerk,

I oppose WRPERM 13630. Many of us suffered through the historic winter storm in February 2021 and were without power for several days in freezing temperatures due to the amount of demand placed on the electrical grid in Texas. The operating pumps required to suck 62,890 gallons of water per minute will take an enormous amount of power, placing even more strain on the grid. I am opposed to issuing a permit which would demand excessive amounts of energy to supply water only for industrial use.

BUT MOST IMPORTANTLY I'M OPPOSED TO WHAT DESALINIZATION DOES TO THE SEA AND ITS INHABITANTS. I also ask for a two-week extension for comments, as well as a public meeting.

Regards, Michael DelGiudice 2105 Pindos Pony Way Georgetown, TX 78626

From:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC

Sent:

Tuesday, March 30, 2021 8:44 AM

To:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC2; PUBCOMMENT-OPIC; PUBCOMMENT-ELD; PUBCOMMENT-

WWW-WRAS

Subject:

FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

PM

From: CHIEFCLK <chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov> Sent: Monday, March 29, 2021 10:49 AM

To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC < PUBCOMMENT-OCC@tceq.texas.gov>

Subject: FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

From: Ellen Denham < Ellen. Denham. 339966293@p2a.co >

Sent: Monday, March 29, 2021 10:28 AM To: CHIEFCLK < chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov Subject: I oppose WRPERM 13630

Dear Chief Clerk,

I oppose WRPERM 13630. Many of us suffered through the historic winter storm in February 2021 and were without power for several days in freezing temperatures due to the amount of demand placed on the electrical grid in Texas. The operating pumps required to suck 62,890 gallons of water per minute will take an enormous amount of power, placing even more strain on the grid. I am opposed to issuing a permit which would demand excessive amounts of energy to supply water only for industrial use.

I also ask for a two-week extension for comments, as well as a public meeting.

Regards, Ellen Denham 402 Sharon Dr Corpus Christi, TX 78412 ...

From:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC

Sent:

Tuesday, March 30, 2021 8:41 AM

To:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC2; PUBCOMMENT-OPIC; PUBCOMMENT-ELD; PUBCOMMENT-

WWW-WRAS

Subject:

FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

PM

From: CHIEFCLK <chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov> Sent: Monday, March 29, 2021 10:48 AM

To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC < PUBCOMMENT-OCC@tceq.texas.gov>

Subject: FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

From: John Dial < John.Dial.339817081@p2a.co >

Sent: Monday, March 29, 2021 10:40 AM
To: CHIEFCLK < chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov >
Subject: I oppose WRPERM 13630

Dear Chief Clerk,

I ask that you deny WRPERM 13630, and I oppose the Port of Corpus Christi's placing an intake pipe for a desalination plant in La Quinta Channel. This water will largely be for industrial use, not for me, my family, or my neighbors. I don't think bringing polluting corporations to our community is worth the cost these desalination plants will have on our Bay, on our wildlife, and on our local fishing and tourism economies.

I request a two-week extension of the deadline for comments on account of the recent freeze and loss of electricity in Texas. I also request that a public meeting be held for the community to express its concerns.

Regards,
John Dial
639 Lantana Dr
Port Aransas, TX 78373

From:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC

Sent:

Friday, March 26, 2021 2:26 PM

To:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC2; PUBCOMMENT-OPIC; PUBCOMMENT-ELD; PUBCOMMENT-

WWW-WRAS

Subject:

FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

PM

From: CHIEFCLK <chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov> Sent: Friday, March 26, 2021 9:36 AM

To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC < PUBCOMMENT-OCC@tceq.texas.gov>

Subject: FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

From: Katie Donovan < Katie. Donovan. 88500658@p2a.co >

Sent: Friday, March 26, 2021 7:52 AM
To: CHIEFCLK < chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov >
Subject: I oppose WRPERM 13630

Dear Chief Clerk,

I oppose WRPERM 13630. Many of us suffered through the historic winter storm in February 2021 and were without power for several days in freezing temperatures due to the amount of demand placed on the electrical grid in Texas. The operating pumps required to suck 62,890 gallons of water per minute will take an enormous amount of power, placing even more strain on the grid. I am opposed to issuing a permit which would demand excessive amounts of energy to supply water only for industrial use.

I also ask for a two-week extension for comments, as well as a public meeting.

Regards, Katie Donovan 3210 Candlepine Dr Spring, TX 77388

From:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC

Sent:

Friday, March 26, 2021 2:40 PM

To:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC2; PUBCOMMENT-OPIC; PUBCOMMENT-ELD; PUBCOMMENT-

WWW-WRAS

Subject:

FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

PM

From: CHIEFCLK <chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov> Sent: Friday, March 26, 2021 9:52 AM

To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC < PUBCOMMENT-OCC@tceq.texas.gov>

Subject: FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

From: Christine Dougherty < Christine. Dougherty. 433481313@p2a.co >

Sent: Thursday, March 25, 2021 2:13 PM
To: CHIEFCLK < chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov >
Subject: I oppose WRPERM 13630

Dear Chief Clerk,

I ask that you deny WRPERM 13630, and I oppose the Port of Corpus Christi's placing an intake pipe for a desalination plant in La Quinta Channel. This water will largely be for industrial use, not for me, my family, or my neighbors. I don't think bringing polluting corporations to our community is worth the cost these desalination plants will have on our Bay, on our wildlife, and on our local fishing and tourism economies.

I request a two-week extension of the deadline for comments on account of the recent freeze and loss of electricity in Texas. I also request that a public meeting be held for the community to express its concerns.

Regards, Christine Dougherty 1134 Barkston Dr Katy, TX 77450

From:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC

Sent:

Thursday, March 25, 2021 6:14 PM

To:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC2; PUBCOMMENT-OPIC; PUBCOMMENT-ELD; PUBCOMMENT-

WWW-WRAS

Subject:

FW: OPPOSITION TO: WRPERM 13630

PM

From: CHIEFCLK <chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov> Sent: Thursday, March 25, 2021 4:00 PM

To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC < PUBCOMMENT-OCC@tceq.texas.gov>

Subject: FW: OPPOSITION TO: WRPERM 13630

From: Nathan Drake < Nathan.Drake.359388040@p2a.co >

Sent: Thursday, March 25, 2021 11:17 AM
To: CHIEFCLK < chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov >
Subject: OPPOSITION TO: WRPERM 13630

Dear Chief Clerk,

I write you to OPPOSE WRPERM 13630 and the Port of Corpus Christi's placement of an intake pipe for a desalination plant in La Quinta Channel. The permit would allow Port of Corpus Christi would to withdraw 62,890 gallons of water from La Quinta Channel *every minute*. Aquatic life will be trapped or killed, and there are huge potential consequence to the nearby coast and coastline as the concentrated saltwater is pumped back into the area. People with economic interest in this area will be affected negatively. And I am seriously concerned that people do not understand the long-term effects this will have on diminished wildlife and therefore diminished coastal economic property value.

I request a two-week extension of the deadline for comments, and also request a public meeting. The people affected by these changes and the people proposing these changes should have a public forum to discuss.

Regards,
Nathan Drake
1816 Bolsover St
Houston, TX 77005

From:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC

Sent:

Tuesday, March 30, 2021 8:39 AM

To:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC2; PUBCOMMENT-OPIC; PUBCOMMENT-ELD; PUBCOMMENT-

WWW-WRAS

Subject:

FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

PM

From: CHIEFCLK <chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov> Sent: Monday, March 29, 2021 9:13 AM

To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC < PUBCOMMENT-OCC@tceq.texas.gov>

Subject: FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

From: Tim Duda < Tim.Duda.8610979@p2a.co >

Sent: Sunday, March 28, 2021 5:03 AM
To: CHIEFCLK < chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov >
Subject: I oppose WRPERM 13630

Dear Chief Clerk,

I ask that you deny WRPERM 13630, and I oppose the Port of Corpus Christi's placing an intake pipe for a desalination plant in La Quinta Channel. This water will largely be for industrial use, not for me, my family, or my neighbors. I don't think bringing polluting corporations to our community is worth the cost these desalination plants will have on our Bay, on our wildlife, and on our local fishing and tourism economies.

I request a two-week extension of the deadline for comments on account of the recent freeze and loss of electricity in Texas. I also request that a public meeting be held for the community to express its concerns.

Regards, Tim Duda 340 Queen Anne Ct San Antonio, TX 78209

From:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC

Sent:

Thursday, March 25, 2021 6:05 PM

To:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC2; PUBCOMMENT-OPIC; PUBCOMMENT-ELD; PUBCOMMENT-

WWW-WRAS

Subject:

FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

PM

From: CHIEFCLK <chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov> Sent: Thursday, March 25, 2021 3:53 PM

To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC < PUBCOMMENT-OCC@tceq.texas.gov>

Subject: FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

From: Sylvia Duncan < Sylvia.Duncan.8657095@p2a.co >

Sent: Thursday, March 25, 2021 12:39 PM
To: CHIEFCLK < chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov
Subject: I oppose WRPERM 13630

Dear Chief Clerk,

I oppose WRPERM 13630. Many of us suffered through the historic winter storm in February 2021 and were without power for several days in freezing temperatures due to the amount of demand placed on the electrical grid in Texas. The operating pumps required to suck 62,890 gallons of water per minute will take an enormous amount of power, placing even more strain on the grid. I am opposed to issuing a permit which would demand excessive amounts of energy to supply water only for industrial use.

I also ask for a two-week extension for comments, as well as a public meeting.

Regards, Sylvia Duncan 1117 Orlando Dr Plano, TX 75075

From:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC

Sent:

Monday, April 5, 2021 11:54 AM

To:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC2; PUBCOMMENT-OPIC; PUBCOMMENT-ELD; PUBCOMMENT-

WWW-WRAS

Subject:

FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

PM

From: CHIEFCLK <chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov> Sent: Monday, April 5, 2021 11:48 AM

To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC < PUBCOMMENT-OCC@tceq.texas.gov>

Subject: FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

From: Margaret Duran < Margaret. Duran. 90690961@p2a.co>

Sent: Monday, April 5, 2021 11:14 AM
To: CHIEFCLK < chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov >
Subject: I oppose WRPERM 13630

Dear Chief Clerk,

I urge you to deny WRPERM 13630. I am opposed to any intake pipeline for a desalination plant in La Quinta Channel. So many members of our community love to fish, boat, and swim along the Portland Shoreline where the intake pipe would be located and in Ingleside Cove where the discharge would flow to. I am concerned that given the number of small larvae which will be sucked up, turned to sludge, and deposited into landfills, fishing will be badly impaired in the region.

I am also asking for a two week extension for comments due to the recent loss of electricity in Texas and that you hold a public meeting for the community to share their concerns.

Regards,
Margaret Duran
4022 Congressional Dr
Corpus Christi, TX 78413

Melissa Schmidt

From: PUBCOMMENT-OCC

Sent: Wednesday, July 14, 2021 8:37 AM

To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC2; PUBCOMMENT-OPIC; PUBCOMMENT-ELD; PUBCOMMENT-

WWW-WRAS

Subject: FW: Public comment on Permit Number WRPERM 13630

From: ebertowskig@gmail.com <ebertowskig@gmail.com>

Sent: Tuesday, July 13, 2021 8:55 PM

To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC < PUBCOMMENT-OCC@tceq.texas.gov > **Subject:** Public comment on Permit Number WRPERM 13630

REGULATED ENTY NAME WRPERM 13630

RN NUMBER: RN110844933

PERMIT NUMBER: WRPERM 13630

DOCKET NUMBER: 2021-0421-WR

COUNTY: SAN PATRICIO

PRINCIPAL NAME: PORT OF CORPUS CHRISTI AUTHORITY OF NUECES COUNTY

CN NUMBER: CN600885248

FROM

NAME: Gabriella Marie Ebertowski

E-MAIL: ebertowskig@gmail.com

COMPANY:

ADDRESS: 435 PALOMA ST CORPUS CHRISTI TX 78412-2648

PHONE: 3617289542

FAX:

COMMENTS: I am strongly against the port allowing for this permit to pass. It is disgusting to witness the port seek profit over protecting the most valuable resource that the coastal bend has. I have lived in this city my entire life and I have witnessed it on repeat where industries matter more than the people and environment that creates such an incredible area. The TCEQ should be ashamed on how they have-not educated the communities of San Patricio and Nueces county

on what Desal actually means. Once the port has been pillaged for it's resources, I doubt the port or TCEQ will be held accountable. Our region needs investments in renewable resources that benefit the entire community. This permit must not pass in order for the future of our way of life in the coastal bend. This water does not belong to the TCEQ or to those involved with the port of Corpus Christi.

From:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC

Sent:

Tuesday, March 30, 2021 8:41 AM

To:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC2; PUBCOMMENT-OPIC; PUBCOMMENT-ELD; PUBCOMMENT-

WWW-WRAS

Subject:

FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

PM

From: CHIEFCLK <chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov> Sent: Monday, March 29, 2021 10:48 AM

To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC < PUBCOMMENT-OCC@tceq.texas.gov>

Subject: FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

From: Diana Emerson < Diana. Emerson. 408850609@p2a.co>

Sent: Monday, March 29, 2021 10:45 AM
To: CHIEFCLK < chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov >
Subject: I oppose WRPERM 13630

Dear Chief Clerk,

I ask that you deny WRPERM 13630, and I oppose the Port of Corpus Christi's placing an intake pipe for a desalination plant in La Quinta Channel. This water will largely be for industrial use, not for me, my family, or my neighbors. I don't think bringing polluting corporations to our community is worth the cost these desalination plants will have on our Bay, on our wildlife, and on our local fishing and tourism economies.

I request a two-week extension of the deadline for comments on account of the recent freeze and loss of electricity in Texas. I also request that a public meeting be held for the community to express its concerns.

Regards,
Diana Emerson
609 College St
Portland, TX 78374

From:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC

Sent:

Thursday, April 1, 2021 9:10 AM

To:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC2; PUBCOMMENT-OPIC; PUBCOMMENT-ELD; PUBCOMMENT-

WWW-WRAS

Subject:

FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

PM

From: CHIEFCLK <chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov> Sent: Wednesday, March 31, 2021 7:07 PM

To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC < PUBCOMMENT-OCC@tceq.texas.gov>

Subject: FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

From: Ariana Escamilla < Ariana. Escamilla. 435312606@p2a.co >

Sent: Wednesday, March 31, 2021 4:33 PM
To: CHIEFCLK < chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov >
Subject: I oppose WRPERM 13630

Dear Chief Clerk,

I ask that you deny WRPERM 13630, and I oppose the Port of Corpus Christi's placing an intake pipe for a desalination plant in La Quinta Channel. This water will largely be for industrial use, not for me, my family, or my neighbors. I don't think bringing polluting corporations to our community is worth the cost these desalination plants will have on our Bay, on our wildlife, and on our local fishing and tourism economies.

I request a two-week extension of the deadline for comments on account of the recent freeze and loss of electricity in Texas. I also request that a public meeting be held for the community to express its concerns.

Regards, Ariana Escamilla 4959 Ramos St Corpus Christi, TX 78410

From:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC

Sent:

Friday, March 26, 2021 2:43 PM

To:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC2; PUBCOMMENT-OPIC; PUBCOMMENT-ELD; PUBCOMMENT-

WWW-WRAS

Subject:

FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

PM

From: CHIEFCLK <chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov> Sent: Friday, March 26, 2021 9:56 AM

To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC < PUBCOMMENT-OCC@tceq.texas.gov>

Subject: FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

From: Ed Fiedler < Ed. Fiedler . 15611394@p2a.co >

Sent: Thursday, March 25, 2021 1:23 PM
To: CHIEFCLK < chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov >
Subject: I oppose WRPERM 13630

Dear Chief Clerk,

I oppose WRPERM 13630. Many of us suffered through the historic winter storm in February 2021 and were without power for several days in freezing temperatures due to the amount of demand placed on the electrical grid in Texas. The operating pumps required to suck 62,890 gallons of water per minute will take an enormous amount of power, placing even more strain on the grid. I am opposed to issuing a permit which would demand excessive amounts of energy to supply water only for industrial use.

I also ask for a two-week extension for comments, as well as a public meeting.

Regards, Ed Fiedler 11505 June Dr Austin, TX 78753

From:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC

Sent:

Tuesday, March 30, 2021 8:40 AM

To:

PUBCOMMENT-QCC2; PUBCOMMENT-OPIC; PUBCOMMENT-ELD; PUBCOMMENT-

WWW-WRAS

Subject:

FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

PM

From: CHIEFCLK <chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov> Sent: Monday, March 29, 2021 9:12 AM

To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC < PUBCOMMENT-OCC@tceq.texas.gov>

Subject: FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

From: Dr. Fielder < Dr.Fielder.26391081@p2a.co>

Sent: Sunday, March 28, 2021 10:21 PM
To: CHIEFCLK < chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov >
Subject: I oppose WRPERM 13630

Dear Chief Clerk,

I ask that you deny WRPERM 13630, and I oppose the Port of Corpus Christi's placing an intake pipe for a desalination plant in La Quinta Channel. This water will largely be for industrial use, not for me, my family, or my neighbors. I don't think bringing polluting corporations to our community is worth the cost these desalination plants will have on our Bay, on our wildlife, and on our local fishing and tourism economies.

I request a two-week extension of the deadline for comments on account of the recent freeze and loss of electricity in Texas. I also request that a public meeting be held for the community to express its concerns.

Regards, Dr. Fielder 2234 Carmel Dr Carrollton, TX 75006

From:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC

Sent:

Wednesday, March 31, 2021 9:15 AM

To:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC2; PUBCOMMENT-OPIC; PUBCOMMENT-ELD; PUBCOMMENT-

WWW-WRAS

Subject:

FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

PM

From: CHIEFCLK <chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov> Sent: Tuesday, March 30, 2021 8:16 AM

To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC < PUBCOMMENT-OCC@tceq.texas.gov>

Subject: FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

From: Larisa Ford < Larisa. Ford. 339924073@p2a.co >

Sent: Monday, March 29, 2021 9:05 PM
To: CHIEFCLK < chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov >
Subject: I oppose WRPERM 13630

Dear Chief Clerk,

I urge you to deny WRPERM 13630. I am opposed to any intake pipeline for a desalination plant in La Quinta Channel. So many members of our community love to fish, boat, and swim along the Portland Shoreline where the intake pipe would be located and in Ingleside Cove where the discharge would flow to. I am concerned that given the number of small larvae which will be sucked up, turned to sludge, and deposited into landfills, fishing will be badly impaired in the region.

I am also asking for a two week extension for comments due to the recent loss of electricity in Texas and that you hold a public meeting for the community to share their concerns.

Regards, Larisa Ford 3204 Mill Brook Dr Corpus Christi, TX 78418

From: PUBCOMMENT-OCC

Sent: Friday, March 26, 2021 2:23 PM

To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC2; PUBCOMMENT-OPIC; PUBCOMMENT-ELD; PUBCOMMENT-

WWW-WRAS

Subject: FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

PM

----Original Message-----

From: CHIEFCLK <chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov> Sent: Friday, March 26, 2021 9:34 AM

To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC < PUBCOMMENT-OCC@tceq.texas.gov>

Subject: FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

----Original Message-----

From: Linda Ford <Linda.Ford.83733015@p2a.co>

Sent: Thursday, March 25, 2021 11:41 AM To: CHIEFCLK <chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov> Subject: I oppose WRPERM 13630

Dear Chief Clerk,

I ask that you deny WRPERM 13630, and I oppose the Port of Corpus Christi's placing an intake pipe for a desalination plant in La Quinta Channel. This water will largely be for industrial use, not for me, my family, or my neighbors. I don't think bringing polluting corporations to our community is worth the cost these desalination plants will have on our Bay, on our wildlife, and on our local fishing and tourism economies.

I request a two-week extension of the deadline for comments on account of the recent freeze and loss of electricity in Texas. I also request that a public meeting be held for the community to express its concerns.

Regards, Linda Ford 540 Park Ln

Richardson, TX 75081 http://admin.phone2action.com/email/open/leg/583850/108525611

From:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC

Sent:

Thursday, March 25, 2021 6:09 PM

To:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC2; PUBCOMMENT-OPIC; PUBCOMMENT-ELD; PUBCOMMENT-

WWW-WRAS

Subject:

FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

PM

From: CHIEFCLK <chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov> Sent: Thursday, March 25, 2021 3:58 PM

To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC < PUBCOMMENT-OCC@tceq.texas.gov>

Subject: FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

From: Linda Ford < Linda.Ford.83733015@p2a.co >

Sent: Thursday, March 25, 2021 11:41 AM
To: CHIEFCLK < chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov >
Subject: I oppose WRPERM 13630

Dear Chief Clerk,

I ask that you deny WRPERM 13630, and I oppose the Port of Corpus Christi's placing an intake pipe for a desalination plant in La Quinta Channel. This water will largely be for industrial use, not for me, my family, or my neighbors. I don't think bringing polluting corporations to our community is worth the cost these desalination plants will have on our Bay, on our wildlife, and on our local fishing and tourism economies.

I request a two-week extension of the deadline for comments on account of the recent freeze and loss of electricity in Texas. I also request that a public meeting be held for the community to express its concerns.

Regards, Linda Ford 540 Park Ln Richardson, TX 75081

From:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC

Sent:

Thursday, March 25, 2021 1:07 PM

To:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC2; PUBCOMMENT-OPIC; PUBCOMMENT-ELD; PUBCOMMENT-

WWW-WRAS

Subject:

FW: Public comment on Permit Number WRPERM 13630

PM

From: Josiefountain@yahoo.com < Josiefountain@yahoo.com >

Sent: Thursday, March 25, 2021 11:34 AM

To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC < PUBCOMMENT-OCC@tceq.texas.gov > Subject: Public comment on Permit Number WRPERM 13630

REGULATED ENTY NAME WRPERM 13630

RN NUMBER: RN110844933

PERMIT NUMBER: WRPERM 13630

DOCKET NUMBER:

COUNTY: SAN PATRICIO

PRINCIPAL NAME: PORT OF CORPUS CHRISTI AUTHORITY OF NUECES COUNTY

CN NUMBER: CN600885248

FROM

NAME: Josie Fountain

E-MAIL: Josiefountain@yahoo.com

COMPANY:

ADDRESS: 107 MARIE PL PORTLAND TX 78374-1415

PHONE: 3617743166

FAX:

COMMENTS: The permit requested to build a desalination plant near the infamous park in Portland is not a viable option and many members of the community strongly oppose it. Bayside Park is not only one, if not the most, of the popular attractions found in Portland, Texas. Building a desalination plant near it would cause many people looking to move to Portland to deter away from that option. This city is already experiencing a boom in industries being built and a plant

near one of the scenic parks in the coastal bend area would only push Portland towards it's death sentence. Bayside Park is also a destination for kayakers, fishermen, painters, wind surfers and so much more. Not only is the desalination plant a bad idea for the community, but also the surrounding environment. Portland is home to many fish, including trout and redfish, that were claimed victim to the most recent freeze. If the environmental patterns continue to repeat in the future, which they are predicted to due to many different researchers, we will be experiencing more freezes and harsher storms in the future that will impact both the local fish and bird population. Building a desalination plant would only increase the amount of damage done to the surrounding ecosystem. I strongly believe that this permit should not be granted and if it does proceed in the process, the community should have a voice in the decision of building this plant. This can be done in many ways including more options to express opinions both online and in person. There are larger things in life to be considered than just how much more money a giant industry can make.

From:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC

Sent:

Thursday, March 25, 2021 1:14 PM

To:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC2; PUBCOMMENT-OPIC; PUBCOMMENT-ELD; PUBCOMMENT-

WWW-WRAS

Subject:

FW: Public comment on Permit Number WRPERM 13630

From: val_fountain@yahoo.com <val_fountain@yahoo.com>

Sent: Thursday, March 25, 2021 12:53 PM

To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC <PUBCOMMENT-OCC@tceq.texas.gov>
Subject: Public comment on Permit Number WRPERM 13630

REGULATED ENTY NAME WRPERM 13630

RN NUMBER: RN110844933

PERMIT NUMBER: WRPERM 13630

DOCKET NUMBER:

COUNTY: SAN PATRICIO

PRINCIPAL NAME: PORT OF CORPUS CHRISTI AUTHORITY OF NUECES COUNTY

CN NUMBER: CN600885248

FROM

NAME: Valerie Fountain

E-MAIL: val fountain@yahoo.com

COMPANY:

ADDRESS: 107 MARIE PL PORTLAND TX 78374-1415

PHONE: 5127873982

FAX:

COMMENTS: I'm concerned about the location of the of where your company wants to draw water for desalination. The location of the intake structure that will draw water is way too close to residential areas. This area is full of life and the intake structure will threaten aquatic life and other species. As a resident who lives in this area, I am also concerned about the decrease in property values. Another huge concern is that we recreate off of the shores in Portland daily (as

it's walking distance from our home). We like to fish, kite surf, kayak, etc. People from all over the world come to Portland to Kite Surf! This project is way too close to our neighborhood and will have a negative impact on our environment and community.

From:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC

Sent:

Friday, March 26, 2021 2:32 PM

To:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC2; PUBCOMMENT-OPIC; PUBCOMMENT-ELD; PUBCOMMENT-

WWW-WRAS

Subject:

FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

PM

From: CHIEFCLK <chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov> Sent: Friday, March 26, 2021 9:39 AM

To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC < PUBCOMMENT-OCC@tceq.texas.gov>

Subject: FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

From: Arthur Francis < Arthur. Francis. 338717155@p2a.co >

Sent: Thursday, March 25, 2021 8:55 PM
To: CHIEFCLK < chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov > Subject: I oppose WRPERM 13630

Dear Chief Clerk,

I oppose WRPERM 13630. Many of us suffered through the historic winter storm in February 2021 and were without power for several days in freezing temperatures due to the amount of demand placed on the electrical grid in Texas. The operating pumps required to suck 62,890 gallons of water per minute will take an enormous amount of power, placing even more strain on the grid. I am opposed to issuing a permit which would demand excessive amounts of energy to supply water only for industrial use.

I also ask for a two-week extension for comments, as well as a public meeting.

Regards,
Arthur Francis
449 Coral Pl
Corpus Christi, TX 78411

From:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC

Sent:

Thursday, March 25, 2021 6:15 PM

To:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC2; PUBCOMMENT-OPIC; PUBCOMMENT-ELD; PUBCOMMENT-

WWW-WRAS

Subject:

FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

PM

From: CHIEFCLK <chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov> Sent: Thursday, March 25, 2021 4:00 PM

To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC < PUBCOMMENT-OCC@tceq.texas.gov>

Subject: FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

From: stacey francis < stacey.francis.74516890@p2a.co >

Sent: Thursday, March 25, 2021 11:17 AM
To: CHIEFCLK < chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov>
Subject: I oppose WRPERM 13630

Dear Chief Clerk,

I ask that you deny WRPERM 13630, and I oppose the Port of Corpus Christi's placing an intake pipe for a desalination plant in La Quinta Channel. This water will largely be for industrial use, not for me, my family, or my neighbors. I don't think bringing polluting corporations to our community is worth the cost these desalination plants will have on our Bay, on our wildlife, and on our local fishing and tourism economies.

I request a two-week extension of the deadline for comments on account of the recent freeze and loss of electricity in Texas. I also request that a public meeting be held for the community to express its concerns.

Regards, stacey francis 4606 Everest Ln Austin, TX 78727

From:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC

Sent:

Tuesday, March 30, 2021 9:13 AM

To:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC2; PUBCOMMENT-OPIC; PUBCOMMENT-ELD; PUBCOMMENT-

WWW-WRAS

Subject:

FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

PM

From: CHIEFCLK <chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov> Sent: Monday, March 29, 2021 4:12 PM

To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC < PUBCOMMENT-OCC@tceq.texas.gov>

Subject: FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

From: Linda Fuiman < Linda.Fuiman.434431498@p2a.co >

Sent: Monday, March 29, 2021 3:35 PM
To: CHIEFCLK < chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov
Subject: I oppose WRPERM 13630

Dear Chief Clerk,

I ask that you deny WRPERM 13630, and I oppose the Port of Corpus Christi's placing an intake pipe for a desalination plant in La Quinta Channel. This water will largely be for industrial use, not for me, my family, or my neighbors. I don't think bringing polluting corporations to our community is worth the cost these desalination plants will have on our Bay, on our wildlife, and on our local fishing and tourism economies.

I request a two-week extension of the deadline for comments on account of the recent freeze and loss of electricity in Texas. I also request that a public meeting be held for the community to express its concerns.

Regards, Linda Fuiman 1701 Ennis Joslin Rd Corpus Christi, TX 78412

From:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC

Sent:

Thursday, March 25, 2021 6:21 PM

To:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC2; PUBCOMMENT-OPIC; PUBCOMMENT-ELD; PUBCOMMENT-

WWW-WRAS

Subject:

FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

PM

From: CHIEFCLK <chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov> Sent: Thursday, March 25, 2021 4:02 PM

To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC < PUBCOMMENT-OCC@tceq.texas.gov>

Subject: FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

From: Chad Fuqua < Chad.Fuqua.216832891@p2a.co >

Sent: Thursday, March 25, 2021 10:58 AM To: CHIEFCLK < chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov > Subject: I oppose WRPERM 13630

Dear Chief Clerk,

I urge you to deny WRPERM 13630. I am opposed to any intake pipeline for a desalination plant in La Quinta Channel. So many members of our community love to fish, boat, and swim along the Portland Shoreline where the intake pipe would be located and in Ingleside Cove where the discharge would flow to. I am concerned that given the number of small larvae which will be sucked up, turned to sludge, and deposited into landfills, fishing will be badly impaired in the region.

I am also asking for a two week extension for comments due to the recent loss of electricity in Texas and that you hold a public meeting for the community to share their concerns.

Regards, Chad Fuqua 3411 Springrock Ln Houston, TX 77080

From:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC

Sent:

Tuesday, March 30, 2021 8:37 AM

To:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC2; PUBCOMMENT-OPIC; PUBCOMMENT-ELD; PUBCOMMENT-

WWW-WRAS

Subject:

FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

PM

From: CHIEFCLK <chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov> Sent: Monday, March 29, 2021 9:14 AM

To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC < PUBCOMMENT-OCC@tceq.texas.gov>

Subject: FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

From: Guillermo Gallegos < Guillermo. Gallegos. 342266368@p2a.co >

Sent: Friday, March 26, 2021 2:51 PM
To: CHIEFCLK < chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov
Subject: I oppose WRPERM 13630

Dear Chief Clerk,

I strongly oppose WRPERM 13630 and the Port of Corpus Christi's placing an intake pipe for a desalination plant in La Quinta Channel. According to the permit, the Port of Corpus Christi would be allowed to suck 62,890 gallons of water from La Quinta Channel every minute. Sucking in that amount of water that fast will require an enormous amount of suction power and I am concerned about aquatic life being trapped or killed in the process.

I also request a two-week extension of the deadline for comments and a public meeting.

Regards,
Guillermo Gallegos
7621 Cedar Brook Dr
Corpus Christi, TX 78413

From:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC

Sent:

Thursday, March 25, 2021 6:05 PM

To:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC2; PUBCOMMENT-OPIC; PUBCOMMENT-ELD; PUBCOMMENT-

WWW-WRAS

Subject:

FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

PM

From: CHIEFCLK <chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov> Sent: Thursday, March 25, 2021 3:55 PM

To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC < PUBCOMMENT-OCC@tceq.texas.gov>

Subject: FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

From: Donald Ganer < Donald.Ganer.359491397@p2a.co >

Sent: Thursday, March 25, 2021 12:10 PM
To: CHIEFCLK < chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov
Subject: I oppose WRPERM 13630

Dear Chief Clerk,

I urge you to deny WRPERM 13630. I am opposed to any intake pipeline for a desalination plant in La Quinta Channel. So many members of our community love to fish, boat, and swim along the Portland Shoreline where the intake pipe would be located and in Ingleside Cove where the discharge would flow to. I am concerned that given the number of small larvae which will be sucked up, turned to sludge, and deposited into landfills, fishing will be badly impaired in the region.

I am also asking for a two week extension for comments due to the recent loss of electricity in Texas and that you hold a public meeting for the community to share their concerns.

Regards,
Donald Ganer
3710 Pope Dr
Corpus Christi, TX 78411

Melissa Schmidt

From:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC

Sent:

Wednesday, July 14, 2021 9:04 AM

To:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC2; PUBCOMMENT-OPIC; PUBCOMMENT-ELD; PUBCOMMENT-

WWW-WRAS

Subject:

FW: Public comment on Permit Number WRPERM 13630

From: lexytg@gmail.com <lexytg@gmail.com>

Sent: Tuesday, July 13, 2021 4:30 PM

To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC <PUBCOMMENT-OCC@tceq.texas.gov> **Subject:** Public comment on Permit Number WRPERM 13630

REGULATED ENTY NAME WRPERM 13630

RN NUMBER: RN110844933

PERMIT NUMBER: WRPERM 13630

DOCKET NUMBER: 2021-0421-WR

COUNTY: SAN PATRICIO

PRINCIPAL NAME: PORT OF CORPUS CHRISTI AUTHORITY OF NUECES COUNTY

CN NUMBER: CN600885248

FROM

NAME: Lexy Taylor Garcia

E-MAIL: lexytg@gmail.com

COMPANY:

ADDRESS: 7621 IMPALA DR CORPUS CHRISTI TX 78414-6423

PHONE: 3615482450

FAX:

COMMENTS: Hello I am an organizer for climate justice and a member os San Antonio DSA, born in Corpus Christi and called it home for 20 years. I want to register my opposition to this permit allowing another desalination to extract our water and cost precious energy in pursuit of more and more profit. Our coastal estuaries must be put first both for recreation and preservation for the continuation of public space, and people. Before this permit is issued, additional

ecological impacts have to be seriously evaluated, with input and transparency to all who call this area home, and a true community first approach to more costly developments. Lastly, this area is not apart of the national grid, like the rest of Texas, so the immense load cannot be shared or shifted when our output inevitably outpaces demand again amidst our ongoing climate crisis. That crisis is one we cant fix, and though the energy use of the port of Corpus Christi and TCEQ's decisions are a drop in the bucket, it is our drop, and we must do everything we can to protect future generations from the extractive economy development corporations want to commit us to, there is another choice. please do not approve this permit and listen to the community.

From:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC

Sent:

Wednesday, March 31, 2021 9:17 AM

To:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC2; PUBCOMMENT-OPIC; PUBCOMMENT-ELD; PUBCOMMENT-

WWW-WRAS

Subject:

FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

PM

From: CHIEFCLK <chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov> Sent: Tuesday, March 30, 2021 8:16 AM

To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC < PUBCOMMENT-OCC@tceq.texas.gov>

Subject: FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

From: Marilena Garza < Marilena. Garza. 434588765@p2a.co>

Sent: Monday, March 29, 2021 7:26 PM
To: CHIEFCLK < chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov >
Subject: I oppose WRPERM 13630

Dear Chief Clerk,

I ask that you deny WRPERM 13630, and I oppose the Port of Corpus Christi's placing an intake pipe for a desalination plant in La Quinta Channel. This water will largely be for industrial use, not for me, my family, or my neighbors. I don't think bringing polluting corporations to our community is worth the cost these desalination plants will have on our Bay, on our wildlife, and on our local fishing and tourism economies.

I request a two-week extension of the deadline for comments on account of the recent freeze and loss of electricity in Texas. I also request that a public meeting be held for the community to express its concerns.

Regards,
Marilena Garza
1008 Marguerite St
Corpus Christi, TX 78401.

From:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC

Sent:

Wednesday, March 31, 2021 9:16 AM

To:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC2; PUBCOMMENT-OPIC; PUBCOMMENT-ELD; PUBCOMMENT-

WWW-WRAS

Subject:

FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

PM

From: CHIEFCLK <chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov> Sent: Tuesday, March 30, 2021 8:16 AM

To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC < PUBCOMMENT-OCC@tceq.texas.gov>

Subject: FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

From: ginger gauthier < ginger.gauthier.403601088@p2a.co >

Sent: Monday, March 29, 2021 8:07 PM
To: CHIEFCLK < chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov
Subject: I oppose WRPERM 13630

Dear Chief Clerk,

I oppose WRPERM 13630. Many of us suffered through the historic winter storm in February 2021 and were without power for several days in freezing temperatures due to the amount of demand placed on the electrical grid in Texas. The operating pumps required to suck 62,890 gallons of water per minute will take an enormous amount of power, placing even more strain on the grid. I am opposed to issuing a permit which would demand excessive amounts of energy to supply water only for industrial use.

I also ask for a two-week extension for comments, as well as a public meeting.

Regards, ginger gauthier 1101 N Magnolia St Rockport, TX 78382

From:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC

Sent:

Thursday, March 25, 2021 6:13 PM

To:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC2; PUBCOMMENT-OPIC; PUBCOMMENT-ELD; PUBCOMMENT-

WWW-WRAS

Subject:

FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

PM

From: CHIEFCLK <chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov> Sent: Thursday, March 25, 2021 3:59 PM

To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC < PUBCOMMENT-OCC@tceq.texas.gov>

Subject: FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

From: Don Gentry < Don.Gentry.334920955@p2a.co >

Sent: Thursday, March 25, 2021 11:23 AM
To: CHIEFCLK < chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov>
Subject: I oppose WRPERM 13630

Dear Chief Clerk,

I oppose WRPERM 13630. Many of us suffered through the historic winter storm in February 2021 and were without power for several days in freezing temperatures due to the amount of demand placed on the electrical grid in Texas. The operating pumps required to suck 62,890 gallons of water per minute will take an enormous amount of power, placing even more strain on the grid. I am opposed to issuing a permit which would demand excessive amounts of energy to supply water only for industrial use.

I also ask for a two-week extension for comments, as well as a public meeting.

Regards,
Don Gentry
2218 Stone Meadow
Fredericksburg, TX 78624 ...

Melissa Schmidt

From:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC

Sent:

Wednesday, July 14, 2021 8:39 AM

To:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC2; PUBCOMMENT-OPIC; PUBCOMMENT-ELD; PUBCOMMENT-

WWW-WRAS

Subject:

FW: Public comment on Permit Number WRPERM 13630

From: gonzalesjoey88@yahoo.com <gonzalesjoey88@yahoo.com>

Sent: Tuesday, July 13, 2021 8:42 PM

To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC < PUBCOMMENT-OCC@tceq.texas.gov> Subject: Public comment on Permit Number WRPERM 13630

REGULATED ENTY NAME WRPERM 13630

RN NUMBER: RN110844933

PERMIT NUMBER: WRPERM 13630

DOCKET NUMBER: 2021-0421-WR

COUNTY: SAN PATRICIO

PRINCIPAL NAME: PORT OF CORPUS CHRISTI AUTHORITY OF NUECES COUNTY

CN NUMBER: CN600885248

FROM

NAME: Jose Gonzales, IV

E-MAIL: gonzalesjoey88@yahoo.com

COMPANY:

ADDRESS: 4334 DEVON DR

CORPUS CHRISTI TX 78415-5130

PHONE: 3616942222

FAX:

COMMENTS: I am a student and life long resident of the coastal bend area and I am urging the port to say no to the permit no.13630. I find it extremely alarming & awful that the port of Corpus Christi is going through with pursuing this permit. The Trump administration had sued the City of Corpus Christi over its water quality. Does the Port of corpus christi know how terrible your water has to be to be sued by the Trump administrations government over your water

usage? Pretty terrible considering this administration prioritized profit over people. With the addition of this desal plant this would devastate our water quality passed former conditions. And so with that I would like to emphasize that the port needs to say NO to permit no.13630.

From:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC

Sent:

Thursday, March 25, 2021 6:23 PM

To:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC2; PUBCOMMENT-OPIC; PUBCOMMENT-ELD; PUBCOMMENT-

WWW-WRAS

Subject:

FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

PM

From: CHIEFCLK <chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov> Sent: Thursday, March 25, 2021 4:03 PM

To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC < PUBCOMMENT-OCC@tceq.texas.gov>

Subject: FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

From: Steve Gonzales < Steve.Gonzales.433433181@p2a.co >

Sent: Thursday, March 25, 2021 10:53 AM To: CHIEFCLK < chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov Subject: I oppose WRPERM 13630

Dear Chief Clerk,

I oppose WRPERM 13630. Many of us suffered through the historic winter storm in February 2021 and were without power for several days in freezing temperatures due to the amount of demand placed on the electrical grid in Texas. The operating pumps required to suck 62,890 gallons of water per minute will take an enormous amount of power, placing even more strain on the grid. I am opposed to issuing a permit which would demand excessive amounts of energy to supply water only for industrial use.

I also ask for a two-week extension for comments, as well as a public meeting.

Regards, Steve Gonzales 8209 Endeavor Cir Austin, TX 78726

From:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC

Sent:

Tuesday, March 30, 2021 9:03 AM

To:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC2; PUBCOMMENT-OPIC; PUBCOMMENT-ELD; PUBCOMMENT-

WWW-WRAS

Subject:

FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

PM

From: CHIEFCLK <chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov> Sent: Monday, March 29, 2021 1:40 PM

To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC < PUBCOMMENT-OCC@tceq.texas.gov>

Subject: FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

From: ALYSON GREENE < ALYSON.GREENE.348084148@p2a.co >

Sent: Monday, March 29, 2021 11:04 AM
To: CHIEFCLK < chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov >
Subject: I oppose WRPERM 13630

Dear Chief Clerk,

I strongly oppose WRPERM 13630 and the Port of Corpus Christi's placing an intake pipe for a desalination plant in La Quinta Channel. According to the permit, the Port of Corpus Christi would be allowed to suck 62,890 gallons of water from La Quinta Channel every minute. Sucking in that amount of water that fast will require an enormous amount of suction power and I am concerned about aquatic life being trapped or killed in the process.

I also request a two-week extension of the deadline for comments and a public meeting.

Regards,
ALYSON GREENE
14326 Emerald St
Corpus Christi, TX 78418

Melissa Schmidt

From:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC

Sent:

Wednesday, July 14, 2021 9:00 AM

To:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC2; PUBCOMMENT-OPIC; PUBCOMMENT-ELD; PUBCOMMENT-

WWW-WRAS

Subject:

FW: POCC comments.

From: Brad Patterson < Brad. Patterson@tceq.texas.gov>

Sent: Tuesday, July 13, 2021 6:41 PM

To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC < PUBCOMMENT-OCC@tceq.texas.gov>

Subject: FW: POCC comments.

From: Doug Gresenz < theredfordranch@gmail.com>

Sent: Tuesday, July 13, 2021 6:39 PM

To: Brad Patterson < Brad.Patterson@tceq.texas.gov >

Subject: POCC comments.

As a property owner in Aransas pass I want to adamantly express my protest of the port of corpus chrisit and their proposed desal plant in the valuable coastal waters we have. The environmental and tourism asset that the eco system provides can be cultivated responsibly and with foresight to spread the success and prosperity to all who call that area home. Keep the port of corpus christi out of aransas pass.

Doug Gresenz

Founder Redford Ranch.

From:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC

Sent:

Friday, March 26, 2021 2:34 PM

To:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC2; PUBCOMMENT-OPIC; PUBCOMMENT-ELD; PUBCOMMENT-

WWW-WRAS

Subject:

FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

PM

From: CHIEFCLK <chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov> Sent: Friday, March 26, 2021 9:43 AM

To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC < PUBCOMMENT-OCC@tceq.texas.gov>

Subject: FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

From: Fred Grimes < Fred.Grimes.95300706@p2a.co >

Sent: Thursday, March 25, 2021 4:36 PM To: CHIEFCLK <chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov> Subject: I oppose WRPERM 13630

Dear Chief Clerk,

I strongly oppose WRPERM 13630 and the Port of Corpus Christi's placing an intake pipe for a desalination plant in La Quinta Channel. According to the permit, the Port of Corpus Christi would be allowed to suck 62,890 gallons of water from La Quinta Channel every minute. Sucking in that amount of water that fast will require an enormous amount of suction power and I am concerned about aquatic life being trapped or killed in the process.

I also request a two-week extension of the deadline for comments and a public meeting.

Regards, Fred Grimes 3406 Green Tree Park Houston, TX 77007

From:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC

Sent:

Friday, March 26, 2021 2:40 PM

To:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC2; PUBCOMMENT-OPIC; PUBCOMMENT-ELD; PUBCOMMENT-

WWW-WRAS

Subject:

FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

PM

From: CHIEFCLK <chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov> Sent: Friday, March 26, 2021 9:51 AM

To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC < PUBCOMMENT-OCC@tceq.texas.gov>

Subject: FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

From: Carol Grimm < Carol.Grimm.433491844@p2a.co>

Sent: Thursday, March 25, 2021 2:48 PM
To: CHIEFCLK < chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov >
Subject: I oppose WRPERM 13630

Dear Chief Clerk,

I ask that you deny WRPERM 13630, and I oppose the Port of Corpus Christi's placing an intake pipe for a desalination plant in La Quinta Channel. This water will largely be for industrial use, not for me, my family, or my neighbors. I don't think bringing polluting corporations to our community is worth the cost these desalination plants will have on our Bay, on our wildlife, and on our local fishing and tourism economies.

I request a two-week extension of the deadline for comments on account of the recent freeze and loss of electricity in Texas. I also request that a public meeting be held for the community to express its concerns.

Regards, Carol Grimm 612 Dale Dr San Marcos, TX 78666

From:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC

Sent:

Friday, March 26, 2021 2:31 PM

To:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC2; PUBCOMMENT-OPIC; PUBCOMMENT-ELD; PUBCOMMENT-

WWW-WRAS

Subject:

FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

PM

From: CHIEFCLK <chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov> Sent: Friday, March 26, 2021 9:38 AM

To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC < PUBCOMMENT-OCC@tceq.texas.gov>

Subject: FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

From: Joanne Groshardt < <u>Joanne.Groshardt.229996445@p2a.co</u>>

Sent: Thursday, March 25, 2021 9:00 PM
To: CHIEFCLK < chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov >
Subject: I oppose WRPERM 13630

Dear Chief Clerk,

I urge you to deny WRPERM 13630. I am opposed to any intake pipeline for a desalination plant in La Quinta Channel. So many members of our community love to fish, boat, and swim along the Portland Shoreline where the intake pipe would be located and in Ingleside Cove where the discharge would flow to. I am concerned that given the number of small larvae which will be sucked up, turned to sludge, and deposited into landfills, fishing will be badly impaired in the region.

I am also asking for a two week extension for comments due to the recent loss of electricity in Texas and that you hold a public meeting for the community to share their concerns.

Regards,
Joanne Groshardt
302 Trailridge Dr
Richardson, TX 75081

From:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC

Sent:

Tuesday, March 30, 2021 8:42 AM

To:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC2; PUBCOMMENT-OPIC; PUBCOMMENT-ELD; PUBCOMMENT-

WWW-WRAS

Subject:

FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

PM

From: CHIEFCLK <chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov> Sent: Monday, March 29, 2021 10:48 AM

To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC < PUBCOMMENT-OCC@tceq.texas.gov>

Subject: FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

From: Pamela Guinn < Pamela.Guinn.428365723@p2a.co >

Sent: Monday, March 29, 2021 10:35 AM
To: CHIEFCLK < chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov >
Subject: I oppose WRPERM 13630

Dear Chief Clerk,

I ask that you deny WRPERM 13630, and I oppose the Port of Corpus Christi's placing an intake pipe for a desalination plant in La Quinta Channel. This water will largely be for industrial use, not for me, my family, or my neighbors. I don't think bringing polluting corporations to our community is worth the cost these desalination plants will have on our Bay, on our wildlife, and on our local fishing and tourism economies.

I request a two-week extension of the deadline for comments on account of the recent freeze and loss of electricity in Texas. I also request that a public meeting be held for the community to express its concerns.

Regards,
Pamela Guinn
2226 Oak Crest Dr
Corpus Christi, TX 78418

From:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC

Sent:

Thursday, March 25, 2021 6:16 PM

To:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC2; PUBCOMMENT-OPIC; PUBCOMMENT-ELD; PUBCOMMENT-

WWW-WRAS

Subject:

FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

PM

From: CHIEFCLK <chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov> Sent: Thursday, March 25, 2021 4:01 PM

To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC < PUBCOMMENT-OCC@tceq.texas.gov>

Subject: FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

From: Kiran Hahn < Kiran. Hahn. 92790166@p2a.co >

Sent: Thursday, March 25, 2021 11:13 AM
To: CHIEFCLK < chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov>
Subject: I oppose WRPERM 13630

Dear Chief Clerk,

I urge you to deny WRPERM 13630. I am opposed to any intake pipeline for a desalination plant in La Quinta Channel. So many members of our community love to fish, boat, and swim along the Portland Shoreline where the intake pipe would be located and in Ingleside Cove where the discharge would flow to. I am concerned that given the number of small larvae which will be sucked up, turned to sludge, and deposited into landfills, fishing will be badly impaired in the region.

I am also asking for a two week extension for comments due to the recent loss of electricity in Texas and that you hold a public meeting for the community to share their concerns.

Regards, Kiran Hahn 4301 Bamford Dr Austin, TX 78731

Melissa Schmidt

From: PUBCOMMENT-OCC

Sent: Wednesday, July 14, 2021 8:32 AM

To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC2; PUBCOMMENT-OPIC; PUBCOMMENT-ELD; PUBCOMMENT-

WWW-WRAS

Subject: FW: Public comment on Permit Number WRPERM 13630

From: Captainpescador@aol.com < Captainpescador@aol.com >

Sent: Tuesday, July 13, 2021 9:45 PM

To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC <PUBCOMMENT-OCC@tceq.texas.gov> **Subject:** Public comment on Permit Number WRPERM 13630

REGULATED ENTY NAME WRPERM 13630

RN NUMBER: RN110844933

PERMIT NUMBER: WRPERM 13630

DOCKET NUMBER: 2021-0421-WR

COUNTY: SAN PATRICIO

PRINCIPAL NAME: PORT OF CORPUS CHRISTI AUTHORITY OF NUECES COUNTY

CN NUMBER: CN600885248

FROM

NAME: MR Chip Harmon

E-MAIL: Captainpescador@aol.com

COMPANY:

ADDRESS: PO BOX 18718

CORPUS CHRISTI TX 78480-8718

PHONE: 3612447714

FAX:

COMMENTS: Nothing in your Mission Statement aligns with this permit. This isn't about need or water. It's about power and domination. Sir John Dalbergbsaid it best in the 1800s, "power corrupts and absolute power corrupts absolutely". The POCC has proven to be unapologetically powerful and bullies with no concern for anyone other than themselves.

From:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC

Sent:

Thursday, March 25, 2021 6:08 PM

To:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC2; PUBCOMMENT-OPIC; PUBCOMMENT-ELD; PUBCOMMENT-

WWW-WRAS

Subject:

FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

PM

From: CHIEFCLK <chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov> Sent: Thursday, March 25, 2021 3:58 PM

To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC < PUBCOMMENT-OCC@tceq.texas.gov>

Subject: FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

From: Pam Harper-Smith < Pam. HarperSmith.8428675@p2a.co >

Sent: Thursday, March 25, 2021 11:49 AM To: CHIEFCLK < chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov > Subject: I oppose WRPERM 13630

Dear Chief Clerk,

I urge you to deny WRPERM 13630. I am opposed to any intake pipeline for a desalination plant in La Quinta Channel. So many members of our community love to fish, boat, and swim along the Portland Shoreline where the intake pipe would be located and in Ingleside Cove where the discharge would flow to. I am concerned that given the number of small larvae which will be sucked up, turned to sludge, and deposited into landfills, fishing will be badly impaired in the region.

I am also asking for a two week extension for comments due to the recent loss of electricity in Texas and that you hold a public meeting for the community to share their concerns.

Regards,
Pam Harper-Smith
1112 Neal Pickett Dr
College Station, TX 77840

From:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC

Sent:

Thursday, March 25, 2021 6:13 PM

To:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC2; PUBCOMMENT-OPIC; PUBCOMMENT-ELD; PUBCOMMENT-

WWW-WRAS

Subject:

FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

PM

From: CHIEFCLK <chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov> Sent: Thursday, March 25, 2021 3:59 PM

To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC < PUBCOMMENT-OCC@tceq.texas.gov>

Subject: FW: I opposé WRPERM 13630

From: Mike Harris < Mike. Harris. 300339994@p2a.co >

Sent: Thursday, March 25, 2021 11:33 AM
To: CHIEFCLK < chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov>
Subject: I oppose WRPERM 13630

Dear Chief Clerk,

I strongly oppose WRPERM 13630 and the Port of Corpus Christi's placing an intake pipe for a desalination plant in La Quinta Channel. According to the permit, the Port of Corpus Christi would be allowed to suck 62,890 gallons of water from La Quinta Channel every minute. Sucking in that amount of water that fast will require an enormous amount of suction power and I am concerned about aquatic life being trapped or killed in the process.

I also request a two-week extension of the deadline for comments and a public meeting.

Regards, Mike Harris PO box 29241 Austin, TX 78755

Melissa Schmidt

From:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC

Sent:

Wednesday, July 14, 2021 8:53 AM

To:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC2; PUBCOMMENT-OPIC; PUBCOMMENT-ELD; PUBCOMMENT-

WWW-WRAS

Subject:

FW: Public comment on Permit Number WRPERM 13630

From: nessabelle87@gmail.com <nessabelle87@gmail.com>

Sent: Tuesday, July 13, 2021 7:55 PM

To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC < PUBCOMMENT-OCC@tceq.texas.gov> Subject: Public comment on Permit Number WRPERM 13630

REGULATED ENTY NAME WRPERM 13630

RN NUMBER: RN110844933

PERMIT NUMBER: WRPERM 13630

DOCKET NUMBER: 2021-0421-WR

COUNTY: SAN PATRICIO

PRINCIPAL NAME: PORT OF CORPUS CHRISTI AUTHORITY OF NUECES COUNTY

CN NUMBER: CN600885248

FROM

NAME: Jenessa Hernandez

E-MAIL: nessabelle87@gmail.com

COMPANY:

ADDRESS: 8050 S PADRE ISLAND DR Apt. N1

CORPUS CHRISTI TX 78412-5225

PHONE: 3613719574

FAX:

COMMENTS: I am not for the permit to authorize the intake of water for the Desalination Plant, since the location is on an Indigenous Karankawa land, the impact on an already compromised eco-system, the results from the plant and the impact it has on businesses that rely on the area, and the irresponsibility to effectively respond to climate change and the 0% Carbon Emission plans. I see this plan as an ecological crime. The water that will be taken from the bay will have a detrimental impact on the eco-system and I fear there will be no life left once heinous amounts of salty wastewater and chemicals are dumped back into the area. The area is a part of a channel of water that flows into other areas of the bay, so not only will this certain area of the bay be impacted but, like the dominoes effect other areas will too. If life forms and ecosystems die from this plant, then local businesses that use the water and the bay will go out of business. The promise of jobs for a sustaining life is a false promise as our world faces climate change and that will change industries' capabilities. It's an exchange for a toxic business versus a symbiotic business that does not exploit the habitats of the existing living organisms for capitalistic endeavors and hedonistic paradigms of society. Indigenous people and lands have already faced enough exploitations. The continuation of an outdated way of doing things is quite frankly insane. How can this justify any further behavior like this when the entire western portion of our country is suffering from this type of industry. Lastly, my personal statement on all this outside of facts and data is that it is completely irresponsible due to climate change and do see this action as a climate change crime. As the person who inherits this Earth and will be moving further into climate change, I am livid that industry will not stop. I am tired of seeing men work in terrible conditions to only live a short life and die from cancer caused from this toxic work. Scientists have already said over and over that we must move towards 0% Carbon Emissions so much so that many others around the globe and other states in the U.S. are already working up a plan. What is Corpus Christi, "Business as Usual" until we all starve to death or more appropriately related to local climate change impacts; homeless and probably drown. So no to this permit, absolutely not and if you want my vote bring jobs that are symbiotic with the environment while we all try to figure out how to adapt to the impacts of climate change.

From:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC

Sent:

Wednesday, March 31, 2021 9:15 AM

To:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC2; PUBCOMMENT-OPIC; PUBCOMMENT-ELD; PUBCOMMENT-

WWW-WRAS

Subject:

FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

PM

From: CHIEFCLK <chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov> Sent: Tuesday, March 30, 2021 8:15 AM

To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC < PUBCOMMENT-OCC@tceq.texas.gov>

Subject: FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

From: Eric Holguin < Eric. Holguin. 434702875@p2a.co >

Sent: Monday, March 29, 2021 10:24 PM
To: CHIEFCLK < chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov >
Subject: I oppose WRPERM 13630

Dear Chief Clerk,

I oppose WRPERM 13630. Many of us suffered through the historic winter storm in February 2021 and were without power for several days in freezing temperatures due to the amount of demand placed on the electrical grid in Texas. The operating pumps required to suck 62,890 gallons of water per minute will take an enormous amount of power, placing even more strain on the grid. I am opposed to issuing a permit which would demand excessive amounts of energy to supply water only for industrial use.

I also ask for a two-week extension for comments, as well as a public meeting.

Regards, Eric Holguin 1514 Ennis Joslin Rd Corpus Christi, TX 78412

From:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC

Sent:

Wednesday, March 31, 2021 9:08 AM

To:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC2; PUBCOMMENT-OPIC; PUBCOMMENT-ELD; PUBCOMMENT-

WWW-WRAS

Subject:

FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

PM

From: CHIEFCLK <chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov> Sent: Tuesday, March 30, 2021 8:15 AM

To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC < PUBCOMMENT-OCC@tceq.texas.gov>

Subject: FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

From: Phillip Hunter < Phillip.Hunter.360756616@p2a.co >

Sent: Tuesday, March 30, 2021 6:58 AM
To: CHIEFCLK < chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov >
Subject: I oppose WRPERM 13630

Dear Chief Clerk,

I oppose WRPERM 13630. Many of us suffered through the historic winter storm in February 2021 and were without power for several days in freezing temperatures due to the amount of demand placed on the electrical grid in Texas. The operating pumps required to suck 62,890 gallons of water per minute will take an enormous amount of power, placing even more strain on the grid. I am opposed to issuing a permit which would demand excessive amounts of energy to supply water only for industrial use.

I also ask for a two-week extension for comments, as well as a public meeting.

Regards,
Phillip Hunter
432 Palm Dr
Port Aransas, TX 78373

From:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC

Sent:

Wednesday, March 31, 2021 9:19 AM

To:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC2; PUBCOMMENT-OPIC; PUBCOMMENT-ELD; PUBCOMMENT-

WWW-WRAS

Subject:

FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

PM

From: CHIEFCLK <chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov> Sent: Tuesday, March 30, 2021 8:17 AM

To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC < PUBCOMMENT-OCC@tceq.texas.gov>

Subject: FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

From: Bonnie Isaac < Bonnie. Isaac. 434575174@p2a.co >

Sent: Monday, March 29, 2021 6:54 PM
To: CHIEFCLK < chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov >
Subject: I oppose WRPERM 13630

Dear Chief Clerk,

I urge you to deny WRPERM 13630. I am opposed to any intake pipeline for a desalination plant in La Quinta Channel. So many members of our community love to fish, and boat along the channel near the jetties, and swim along the Portland Shoreline where the intake pipe would be located and in Ingleside Cove where the discharge would flow to. I am concerned that given the number of small larvae which will be sucked up, turned to sludge, and deposited into landfills, fishing will be badly impaired in the region.

I am also asking for a two week extension for comments due to the recent loss of electricity in Texas and that you hold a public meeting for the community to share their concerns.

Regards,
Bonnie Isaac
300 E White Ave
Port Aransas, TX 78373

From:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC

Sent:

Friday, March 26, 2021 2:30 PM

To:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC2; PUBCOMMENT-OPIC; PUBCOMMENT-ELD; PUBCOMMENT-

WWW-WRAS

Subject:

FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

PM

From: CHIEFCLK <chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov> Sent: Friday, March 26, 2021 9:38 AM

To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC < PUBCOMMENT-OCC@tceq.texas.gov>

Subject: FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

From: Harold Jeter < Harold.Jeter.433578497@p2a.co >

Sent: Thursday, March 25, 2021 9:20 PM
To: CHIEFCLK < chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov >
Subject: I oppose WRPERM 13630

Dear Chief Clerk,

I oppose WRPERM 13630. Many of us suffered through the historic winter storm in February 2021 and were without power for several days in freezing temperatures due to the amount of demand placed on the electrical grid in Texas. The operating pumps required to suck 62,890 gallons of water per minute will take an enormous amount of power, placing even more strain on the grid. I am opposed to issuing a permit which would demand excessive amounts of energy to supply water only for industrial use.

I also ask for a two-week extension for comments, as well as a public meeting.

Regards, Harold Jeter 4629 Prescott St Corpus Christi, TX 78416

From:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC

Sent:

Wednesday, March 31, 2021 9:19 AM

To:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC2; PUBCOMMENT-OPIC; PUBCOMMENT-ELD; PUBCOMMENT-

WWW-WRAS

Subject:

FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

PM

From: CHIEFCLK <chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov> Sent: Tuesday, March 30, 2021 8:18 AM

To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC < PUBCOMMENT-OCC@tceq.texas.gov>

Subject: FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

From: TIMOTHY JOLLY < TIMOTHY JOLLY .434484139@p2a.co >

Sent: Monday, March 29, 2021 4:33 PM
To: CHIEFCLK < chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov >
Subject: I oppose WRPERM 13630

Dear Chief Clerk,

I ask that you deny WRPERM 13630, and I oppose the Port of Corpus Christi's placing an intake pipe for a desalination plant in La Quinta Channel. This water will largely be for industrial use, not for me, my family, or my neighbors. I don't think bringing polluting corporations to our community is worth the cost these desalination plants will have on our Bay, on our wildlife, and on our local fishing and tourism economies.

I request a two-week extension of the deadline for comments on account of the recent freeze and loss of electricity in Texas. I also request that a public meeting be held for the community to express its concerns.

Regards, TIMOTHY JOLLY 1862 FM2725 Ingleside, TX 78362 ...

From:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC

Sent:

Monday, March 15, 2021 10:49 AM

To:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC2; PUBCOMMENT-OPIC; PUBCOMMENT-ELD; PUBCOMMENT-

WWW-WRAS

Subject:

FW: Public comment on Permit Number WRPERM 13630

From: ken@kenjofly.com <ken@kenjofly.com>

Sent: Monday, March 15, 2021 9:06 AM

To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC <PUBCOMMENT-OCC@tceq.texas.gov> **Subject:** Public comment on Permit Number WRPERM 13630

REGULATED ENTY NAME WRPERM 13630

RN NUMBER: RN110844933

PERMIT NUMBER: WRPERM 13630

DOCKET NUMBER:

COUNTY: SAN PATRICIO

PRINCIPAL NAME: PORT OF CORPUS CHRISTI AUTHORITY OF NUECES COUNTY

CN NUMBER: CN600885248

FROM

NAME: Capt Ken Jones

E-MAIL: ken@kenjofly.com

COMPANY: Kenjo Fly Fishing Charters

ADDRESS: PO BOX 2764

PORT ARANSAS TX 78373-2764

PHONE: 3615002552

FAX:

COMMENTS: To Whom It May Concern: Being a fishing guide and lifelong outdoorsman, I have come to learn about the delicate intricacies of water quality, the severe need for quality wildlife habitat. Having spent the majority of my life in the outdoors I have witnessed many changes occur to the natural environment due to increasing human impact, both industrial and recreational. In the last 9 years fishing almost daily in Port Aransas areas bays and estuaries, I have come

to see how our industrial human impact effects and affects the natural flora and fauna. I have witnessed "tanker tides" have adverse effects on the natural tides, therefore disrupting natural flows of the moon driven tides. I have also watched our fishery grow during periods of good rain (2014-2018) and saw the benefits of natural freshwater influx into our area. Considering that our bays and estuaries are hyper-saline, it is certainly foolish to think that even one single desalination facility anywhere on the Texas Coast would be most devastating and have immediate and severe impacts on the native flora and fauna. I urge you to consider other conservative options, such as simply using less water, wasting less of our natural resources, and deciding in the best interest of the people and the wild animals who depend on our lands and waters to remain clean. Sincerely, Capt Ken Jones

From:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC

Sent:

Thursday, March 25, 2021 6:05 PM

To:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC2; PUBCOMMENT-OPIC; PUBCOMMENT-ELD; PUBCOMMENT-

WWW-WRAS

Subject:

FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

PM

From: CHIEFCLK <chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov> Sent: Thursday, March 25, 2021 3:52 PM

To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC < PUBCOMMENT-OCC@tceq.texas.gov>

Subject: FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

From: Steven Kellman < Steven.Kellman.13293002@p2a.co >

Sent: Thursday, March 25, 2021 12:50 PM
To: CHIEFCLK < chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov>
Subject: I oppose WRPERM 13630

Dear Chief Clerk,

I urge you to deny WRPERM 13630. I am opposed to any intake pipeline for a desalination plant in La Quinta Channel. So many members of our community love to fish, boat, and swim along the Portland Shoreline where the intake pipe would be located and in Ingleside Cove where the discharge would flow to. I am concerned that given the number of small larvae which will be sucked up, turned to sludge, and deposited into landfills, fishing will be badly impaired in the region.

I am also asking for a two week extension for comments due to the recent loss of electricity in Texas and that you hold a public meeting for the community to share their concerns.

Regards, Steven Kellman 302 Fawn Dr Shavano Park, TX 78231 ...

From:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC

Sent:

Tuesday, March 30, 2021 9:16 AM

To:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC2; PUBCOMMENT-OPIC; PUBCOMMENT-ELD; PUBCOMMENT-

WWW-WRAS

Subject:

FW: Public comment on Permit Number WRPERM 13630

From: jeklein20@gmail.com <jeklein20@gmail.com>

Sent: Monday, March 29, 2021 4:32 PM

To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC < PUBCOMMENT-OCC@tceq.texas.gov > Subject: Public comment on Permit Number WRPERM 13630

REGULATED ENTY NAME WRPERM 13630

RN NUMBER: RN110844933

PERMIT NUMBER: WRPERM 13630

DOCKET NUMBER:

COUNTY: SAN PATRICIO

PRINCIPAL NAME: PORT OF CORPUS CHRISTI AUTHORITY OF NUECES COUNTY

CN NUMBER: CN600885248

FROM

NAME: DR. James Klein

E-MAIL: jeklein20@gmail.com

COMPANY: Coastal Bend Sierra Club group

ADDRESS: 3501 MONTERREY ST CORPUS CHRISTI TX 78411-1709

PHONE: 3613343908

FAX:

COMMENTS: I urge the TCEQ to reject this permit. Locating this desalination facility's water intake in the La Quinta Channel will be problematic because of its impingement and entrainment of organisms, particularly benthic organisms, in the ship channel. The threat to benthic organisms would consequently threaten the sporting fish in Corpus Christi Bay which feed on these organisms. Because port industries already discharge waste water into Corpus Christi Bay, this

intake will be terribly inefficient and may introduce dangerous chemicals into the Corpus Christi public water supply. This permit would contribute to completion of a desalination facility that would dump salty brine into the same channel, further reducing the facility's efficiency. This inefficiency would further increase water rates for people living in Corpus Christi and the surrounding region, though the benefits of this facility will disproportionately go to area industries. For the sake of area water rate payers and for the sake of plant and animal life in Corpus Christi Bay, I urge the TCEQ to reject this permit.

From:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC

Sent:

Thursday, March 25, 2021 6:13 PM

To:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC2; PUBCOMMENT-OPIC; PUBCOMMENT-ELD; PUBCOMMENT-

WWW-WRAS

Subject:

FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

PM

From: CHIEFCLK <chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov> Sent: Thursday, March 25, 2021 4:00 PM

To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC < PUBCOMMENT-OCC@tceq.texas.gov>

Subject: FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

From: James Klein < James. Klein. 8243689@p2a.co >

Sent: Thursday, March 25, 2021 11:18 AM
To: CHIEFCLK < chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov
Subject: I oppose WRPERM 13630

Dear Chief Clerk,

I strongly oppose WRPERM 13630 and the Port of Corpus Christi's placing an intake pipe for a desalination plant in La Quinta Channel. According to the permit, the Port of Corpus Christi would be allowed to suck 62,890 gallons of water from La Quinta Channel every minute. Sucking in that amount of water that fast will require an enormous amount of suction power and I am concerned about aquatic life being trapped or killed in the process.

I also request a two-week extension of the deadline for comments and a public meeting.

This, like numerous other issues (climate change, gun safety, immigration reform, prison reform, education reform, short-term lending regulation, healthcare reform, banking regulation, opioid regulation) remains a vexing problem primarily due to corporations' ability to curry favor with elected officials. The corrupting influence of money in our political system is undermining our democratic traditions and discouraging Americans from voting and/or running for office. This ominous development may well end our experiment in representative democracy unless we alter this decades-long trend. For the sake of the republic, we must amend the US Constitution to state that corporations are not people (and do not have constitutional rights) and money is not speech (and thus can be regulated by state and/or federal campaign finance laws). Short of accomplishing this, no other reform of significance will be achieved. The moneyed interests will turn any reform to their benefit, often at the expense of the nation as a whole.

Regards,
James Klein
3501 Monterrey St
Corpus Christi, TX 78411

Melissa Schmidt

From:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC

Sent: Wednesday, July 14, 2021 8:47 AM

To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC2; PUBCOMMENT-OPIC; PUBCOMMENT-ELD; PUBCOMMENT-

WWW-WRAS

Subject: FW: Public comment on Permit Number WRPERM 13630

From: terklein@gmail.com < terklein@gmail.com >

Sent: Tuesday, July 13, 2021 8:16 PM

To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC <PUBCOMMENT-OCC@tceq.texas.gov> **Subject:** Public comment on Permit Number WRPERM 13630

REGULATED ENTY NAME WRPERM 13630

RN NUMBER: RN110844933

PERMIT NUMBER: WRPERM 13630

DOCKET NUMBER: 2021-0421-WR

COUNTY: SAN PATRICIO

PRINCIPAL NAME: PORT OF CORPUS CHRISTI AUTHORITY OF NUECES COUNTY

CN NUMBER: CN600885248

FROM

NAME: DR. Teresa L Klein

E-MAIL: terklein@gmail.com

COMPANY:

ADDRESS: 3501 MONTERREY ST CORPUS CHRISTI TX 78411-1709

PHONE: 3615492165

FAX:

COMMENTS: I am a resident of Corpus Christi, living close to Corpus Christi Bay. I oppose this permit because I believe that this will harm the wildlife in the Bay. My family moved to Corpus Christi for the natural beauty and the aquatic features of this area. It appears that this project will damage the smallest creatures as well as the eggs, larvae, plankton, and other microscopic organism that exist within the bay. Without these buildingblocks, the bay will fail. This will

negatively impact quality of life in Corpus Christi. I also oppose this permit because the project is for industrial use which I believe will also harm the quality of life in Corpus Christi.

From:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC

Sent:

Friday, March 26, 2021 2:40 PM

To:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC2; PUBCOMMENT-OPIC; PUBCOMMENT-ELD; PUBCOMMENT-

WWW-WRAS

Subject:

FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

PM

From: CHIEFCLK <chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov> Sent: Friday, March 26, 2021 9:52 AM

To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC < PUBCOMMENT-OCC@tceq.texas.gov>

Subject: FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

From: Juli Kring < Juli.Kring.229945405@p2a.co >

Sent: Thursday, March 25, 2021 2:28 PM
To: CHIEFCLK < chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov >
Subject: I oppose WRPERM 13630

Dear Chief Clerk,

As a mother and grandmother, I believe it is our duty to protect and preserve wildlife and our precious natural resources for all future generations. As a person of faith, I also feel a deep responsibility as a Steward for all of God's Divine Creation. That responsibility will always be more important than cooperate profit.

So, I strongly oppose WRPERM 13630 and the Port of Corpus Christi's placing an intake pipe for a desalination plant in La Quinta Channel. According to the permit, the Port of Corpus Christi would be allowed to suck 62,890 gallons of water from La Quinta Channel every minute. Sucking in that amount of water that fast will require an enormous amount of suction power and I am concerned about aquatic life being trapped or killed in the process.

I also request a two-week extension of the deadline for comments and a public meeting.

Regards, Juli Kring 12400 Brookglade Cir Houston, TX 77099 ...

From:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC

Sent:

Friday, March 26, 2021 2:28 PM

To:

PUBCOMMENT-OCC2; PUBCOMMENT-OPIC; PUBCOMMENT-ELD; PUBCOMMENT-

WWW-WRAS

Subject:

FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

PM

From: CHIEFCLK <chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov> Sent: Friday, March 26, 2021 9:37 AM

To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC < PUBCOMMENT-OCC@tceq.texas.gov>

Subject: FW: I oppose WRPERM 13630

From: Hope Kruse < Hope.Kruse.169371878@p2a.co >

Sent: Thursday, March 25, 2021 11:16 PM
To: CHIEFCLK < chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov>
Subject: I oppose WRPERM 13630

Dear Chief Clerk,

I strongly oppose WRPERM 13630 and the Port of Corpus Christi's placing an intake pipe for a desalination plant in La Quinta Channel. According to the permit, the Port of Corpus Christi would be allowed to suck 62,890 gallons of water from La Quinta Channel every minute. Sucking in that amount of water that fast will require an enormous amount of suction power and I am concerned about aquatic life being trapped or killed in the process.

I also request a two-week extension of the deadline for comments and a public meeting.

Regards, Hope Kruse 271 Dorset Ln Austin, TX 78737