## Executive Summary – Enforcement Matter – Case No. 61152 SAAHEL, INC. dba Snappy Foods 18 RN102012465 Docket No. 2021-1042-PST-E

**Order Type:** 

1660 Agreed Order

**Findings Order Justification:** 

N/A

**Media:** 

**PST** 

**Small Business:** 

Yes

**Location(s) Where Violation(s) Occurred:** 

Snappy Foods 18, 1701 U.S. Highway 181, Portland, San Patricio County

**Type of Operation:** 

Underground storage tank ("UST") system and convenience store with retail sales of gasoline

**Other Significant Matters:** 

Additional Pending Enforcement Actions: No

Past-Due Penalties: No

Other: N/A

Interested Third-Parties: None

Texas Register Publication Date: April 15, 2022

Comments Received: No

**Penalty Information** 

**Total Penalty Assessed:** \$8,024

**Amount Deferred for Expedited Settlement: \$1.604** 

Total Paid to General Revenue: \$190
Total Due to General Revenue: \$6,230

Payment Plan: 35 payments of \$178 each

**Compliance History Classifications:** 

Person/CN - Satisfactory Site/RN - Satisfactory

Major Source: No

**Statutory Limit Adjustment:** N/A

Applicable Penalty Policy: January 2021

**Investigation Information** 

**Complaint Date(s):** N/A

**Complaint Information**: N/A

Date(s) of Investigation: May 27, 2021

Date(s) of NOE(s): July 22, 2021

## Executive Summary – Enforcement Matter – Case No. 61152 SAAHEL, INC. dba Snappy Foods 18 RN102012465 Docket No. 2021-1042-PST-E

### **Violation Information**

- 1. Failed to provide release detection for the pressurized piping associated with the UST system. Specifically, the Respondent had not conducted the annual line leak detector and piping tightness tests [30 Tex. Admin. Code § 334.50(b)(2)(A) and Tex. Water Code § 26.3475(a)].
- 2. Failed to inspect and test the corrosion protection system for operability and adequacy of protection at a frequency of at least once every three years. Specifically, the Respondent had not conducted the triennial testing of the corrosion protection system [30 Tex. Admin. Code § 334.49(c)(4)(C) and Tex. Water Code § 26.3475(d)].
- 3. Failed to assure that all UST recordkeeping requirements are met. Specifically, tank release detection records and periodic operation and maintenance inspection records of the Facility's UST system were not available for inspection at the time of the investigation [30 Tex. Admin. Code § 334.10(b)(2)].

## Corrective Actions/Technical Requirements

## **Corrective Action(s) Completed:**

N/A

## **Technical Requirements:**

The Order will require the Respondent to:

- a. Immediately, begin maintaining UST records, including, but not limited to, tank release detection and periodic operation and maintenance inspection records, and make them immediately available for inspection upon request by agency personnel.
- b. Within 30 days:
- i. Conduct the annual line leak detector and piping tightness tests; and
- ii. Conduct the triennial testing of the corrosion protection system.
- c. Within 45 days, submit written certification to demonstrate compliance with a. and b.

## Executive Summary – Enforcement Matter – Case No. 61152 SAAHEL, INC. dba Snappy Foods 18 RN102012465 Docket No. 2021-1042-PST-E

# **Contact Information**

TCEQ Attorney: N/A

TCEQ Enforcement Coordinator: Karolyn Kent, Enforcement Division,

Enforcement Team 6, MC 219, (512) 239-2536; Michael Parrish, Enforcement Division,

MC 219, (512) 239-2548

Respondent: Agha Hammad Ali, Director, SAAHEL, INC., P.O. Box 31044, Corpus

Christi, Texas 78463-1044

**Respondent's Attorney:** N/A

#### Penalty Calculation Worksheet (PCW) Policy Revision 5 (January 28, 2021) PCW Revision February 11, 2021 **DATES** Assigned 26-Jul-2021 Screening 5-Aug-2021 PCW 9-Aug-2021 **EPA Due RESPONDENT/FACILITY INFORMATION** Respondent SAAHEL, INC. dba Snappy Foods 18 Reg. Ent. Ref. No. RN102012465 Facility/Site Region 14-Corpus Christi Major/Minor Source Minor **CASE INFORMATION Enf./Case ID No. 61152** No. of Violations 3 **Docket No.** 2021-1042-PST-E Order Type 1660 Government/Non-Profit No Enf. Coordinator Karolyn Kent Media Program(s) Petroleum Storage Tank Multi-Media EC's Team Enforcement Team 6 Admin. Penalty \$ Limit Minimum \$25,000 \$0 Maximum

			Penalty Ca	alcula	tion Section	n		
TOTA	L BASE PENA	ALTY (Sum o	f violation base	penalt	ties)		Subtotal 1	\$7,750
AD1U	STMENTS (+	/-) TO SUBT	ΟΤΑΙ 1					
ADJO			g the Total Base Penalty	(Subtotal 1	) by the indicated pe	ercentage.		
	<b>Compliance Hi</b>		,	2.0%	Adjustment		otals 2, 3, & 7	\$155
	Notes	Enha	ncement for one NO	V with dis	ssimilar violatior	ıs.		
	Culpability	No		0.0%	Enhancement		Subtotal 4	\$0
	Notes	The R	espondent does not	meet the	culpability crite	ria.		
	Good Faith Fff	ort to Comply	Total Adjustments				Subtotal 5	\$0
	Good Faith En	ore to compry	Total Aujustinichts					Ψ0
	Economic Ben	ofit		0.00/	Enhancement*		Subtotal 6	\$0
		Total EB Amounts d Cost of Compliance			d at the Total EB \$ A	Amount	Subtotal o	<b>40</b>
SUM (	OF SUBTOTA	LS 1-7				ı	inal Subtotal	\$7,905
				_				
			MAY REQUIRE		1.5%		Adjustment	\$119
Reduces	or enhances the Fina						7	
	Notes	Enhancement t	o capture the avoide Violatio	ed cost of on No. 1.	compliance ass	ociated with		
						Final Pe	nalty Amount	\$8,024
STAT	UTORY LIMIT	T ADJUSTME	NT			Final Asse	essed Penalty	\$8,024
								. ,
DEFE					20.0%	Reduction	Adjustment	-\$1,604
Reduces	the Final Assessed Pe	enalty by the indicate	ed percentage.	•				
	Notes		Deferral offered for	expedited	d settlement.			
PAYA	BLE PENALT	Y						\$6,420

**PCW** 

Policy Revision 5 (January 28, 2021)

PCW Revision February 11, 2021

**Screening Date** 5-Aug-2021

**Respondent** SAAHEL, INC. dba Snappy Foods 18

**Case ID No.** 61152

Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN102012465

**Media** Petroleum Storage Tank

Enf. Coordinator Karolyn Kent

Compliance His	Compliance History Worksheet tory Site Enhancement (Subtotal 2)		
Component	Number of	Number	Adjust.
NOVs	Written notices of violation ("NOVs") with same or similar violations as those in the current enforcement action (number of NOVs meeting criteria)	0	0%
	Other written NOVs	1	2%
	Any agreed final enforcement orders containing a denial of liability (number of orders meeting criteria)	0	0%
Orders	Any adjudicated final enforcement orders, agreed final enforcement orders without a denial of liability, or default orders of this state or the federal government, or any final prohibitory emergency orders issued by the commission	0	0%
Judgments and Consent	Any non-adjudicated final court judgments or consent decrees containing a denial of liability of this state or the federal government (number of judgments or consent decrees meeting criteria)	0	0%
Decrees	final court judgments or consent decrees without a denial of liability, of this state or the federal government	0	0%
Convictions	Any criminal convictions of this state or the federal government (number of counts)	0	0%
Emissions	Chronic excessive emissions events (number of events)	0	0%
Audits	Letters notifying the executive director of an intended audit conducted under the Texas Environmental, Health, and Safety Audit Privilege Act, 74th Legislature, 1995 (number of audits for which notices were submitted)	0	0%
Addits	Disclosures of violations under the Texas Environmental, Health, and Safety Audit Privilege Act, 74th Legislature, 1995 (number of audits for which violations were disclosed)	0	0%
	Environmental management systems in place for one year or more	No	0%
Other	Voluntary on-site compliance assessments conducted by the executive director under a special assistance program	No	0%
0 (	Participation in a voluntary pollution reduction program	No	0%
	Early compliance with, or offer of a product that meets future state or federal government environmental requirements	No	0%
•	Adjustment Per	centage (Sub	ototal 2)
Repeat Violator	(Subtotal 3)		
No	Adjustment Pero	centage (Sub	ototal 3)
Compliance His	tory Person Classification (Subtotal 7)		
Satisfactory	Performer Adjustment Pero	centage (Sub	ototal 7)
Compliance Hist	tory Summary		
Compliance History Notes	Enhancement for one NOV with dissimilar violations.		
	Total Compliance History Adjustment Percentage (S	Subtotals 2,	3, & 7)
inal Compliance	History Adjustment		

		ening Date	_	ha Caanay Fa		<b>et No.</b> 2021-1042-PST-		PCW
		Case ID No.	SAAHEL, INC. d 61152	ра Зпарру го	ous 18		•	Revision 5 (January 28, 2021) W Revision February 11, 2021
Reg.			RN102012465					,,
	F., £ . 6		Petroleum Stora	age Tank				
		Coordinator ation Number						
		Rule Cite(s)			24 50/1 \/2\/4\	1.T. W.I. C. I. C. 2	c 2475( )	
		` ,	30 Tex. Adi	min. Code § 3.	34.50(b)(2)(A)	and Tex. Water Code § 2	6.34/5(a)	
	Violatio	n Description	underground	storage tank (	"UST") system	pressurized piping associa . Specifically, the Respond ctor and piping tightness	dent had not	
							Base Penalty	\$25,000
>> Env	/ironme	ntal, Proper	ty and Huma		<b>4atrix</b>			
		Release	Major	<b>Harm</b> Moderate	Minor			
OR		Actual				Downant 15	00/	
		Potential	Х			Percent 15.	U%	
>>Prog	gramma	tic Matrix Falsification	Major	Moderate	Minor			
		Faisification	Major	Moderate	MILIOI	Percent 0.	0%	
	Matrix Notes				•	I to pollutants that would eceptors as a result of the		
						Adjustment	\$21,250	
								\$3,750
Violatio	on Event	'S						
			/iolation Events	1		70 Number of violat	tion days	
			daily weekly monthly quarterly semiannual annual single event	X		Violation	Base Penalty	\$3,750
				One single e	vent is recomn	nended.		
Good F	aith Effo	orts to Comp	_	0.0%			Reduction	\$0
			Extraordinary		NOE/NOV to EDPR	P/Settlement Offer		
			Ordinary					
			N/A	X				
			Notes	The Responde	ent does not me this vio	eet the good faith criteria plation.	for	
						Viola	tion Subtotal	\$3,750
Econon	nic Bene	fit (EB) for	this violatio	n		Statutory Li	mit Test	
		Estimate	ed EB Amount		\$125	Violation Final	Penalty Total	\$3,883
			•	This viola		sessed Penalty (adjust		
						-		<del>+3,000</del>

	E	conomic	Benefit	Woı	rksheet		
Respondent	SAAHEL, INC.	dba Snappy Food	s 18				
Case ID No.							
Reg. Ent. Reference No.		I					
	Petroleum Sto						Years of
Violation No.		rage rank				<b>Percent Interest</b>	Depreciation
Violation No.	<b>T</b>						
						5.0	15
	Item Cost	Date Required	Final Date	Yrs	Interest Saved	Costs Saved	EB Amount
Item Description							
Delayed Costs							
Equipment				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Buildings				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Engineering/Construction				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Land				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Record Keeping System	+110	27.14	22.14	0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Training/Sampling	\$118	27-May-2021	22-May-2022	0.99	\$6	n/a	\$6
Remediation/Disposal				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Permit Costs				0.00	\$0 \$0	n/a	\$0 \$0
Other (as needed)				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Notes for DELAYED costs	Requ	ired is the investig	gation date, and	the Fin	al Date is the esti	piping tightness test mated date of comp	liance.
Avoided Costs	ANNU	ALIZE avoided co	osts before en			one-time avoided	
Disposal				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Personnel				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Inspection/Reporting/Sampling				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Supplies/Equipment				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Financial Assurance	1110	27.14	E	0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
ONE-TIME avoided costs	\$118	27-May-2021	5-Aug-2021	0.19	\$1	\$118	\$119
Other (as needed)				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Notes for AVOIDED costs	Estimated avoided cost to conduct the annual line leak detector and piping tightness tests. The Date Required is the investigation date, and the Final Date is the screening date.						
Approx Cost of Compliance		\$236			TOTAL		\$125
Approx. Cost of Compliance		<b>\$230</b>			IUIAL		\$123

	Screening Date	-			cket No.	2021-1042-PST-E		PCW
	Respondent	SAAHEL, INC. dl	ba Snappy Fo	ods 18			Policy Re	vision 5 (January 28, 2021)
	Case ID No.	61152					PCW	Revision February 11, 2021
Reg.	<b>Ent. Reference No.</b>	RN102012465						
	Media	Petroleum Stora	ige Tank					
	<b>Enf. Coordinator</b>	Karolyn Kent						
	<b>Violation Number</b>	2						
	Rule Cite(s)	30 Tex. Adr	nin. Code § 3	34.49(c)(4)(	C) and Tex.	Water Code § 26.347	'5(d)	
	Violation Description	Failed to ins	pect and test of protection	the corrosio at a frequen had not cond	n protection	system for operability t once every three year elennial testing of the	y and ars.	
						Base	Penalty	\$25,000
>> Fnv	vironmental, Prope	rty and Hum	an Health	Matrix				
// LIIV	vironinientai, Prope	ity and main	Harm	Matrix				
	Release	Major	Moderate	Minor				
OR	Actual							
	Potential	X				<b>Percent</b> 15.0%		
<b>.</b> . D	auananatia Matuisa							
>>Pro	grammatic Matrix Falsification	Major	Moderate	Minor				
	1 disilication	Majoi	Moderate	MILIO		Percent 0.0%		
		<u> </u>				0.070		
				•	al receptors	ants that would exceed as a result of the violation		
							Г	\$3,750
								ψ5/130
Violati	on Events							
	Number of \	/iolation Events	1		510	Number of violation d	ays	
		daily weekly monthly quarterly semiannual annual single event	X			Violation Base	Penalty	\$3,750
			One single	event is reco	mmended.			
Good F	aith Efforts to Com	ply	0.0%			R	eduction	\$0
		Be	efore NOE/NOV	NOE/NOV to E	DPRP/Settleme	nt Offer		
		Extraordinary						
		Ordinary						
		N/A	Χ					
		Notes	The Respond		meet the go violation.	ood faith criteria for		
						Violation 9	Subtotal	\$3,750
Econor	mic Benefit (EB) for	this violation	on			Statutory Limit	Test	
		ed EB Amount		\$25		/iolation Final Pena	_	\$3,883
	Estillate	M LD MIIIUUIIL		·			_	
			This viol	ation Final	Assessed P	enalty (adjusted fo	r limits)	\$3,883

	E	conomic	Benefit	Woi	rksheet		
Respondent	SAAHEL, INC.	dba Snappy Food	s 18				
Case ID No.		,					
Reg. Ent. Reference No.		1					
	Petroleum Sto						Years of
Violation No.		rage raint				<b>Percent Interest</b>	Depreciation
Violation itel	_					5.0	15
	Item Cost	Date Required	Final Date	Vrs	Interest Saved	Costs Saved	EB Amount
Item Description	rtein cost	Date Required	i iliai bacc	5	Interest suveu	costs savea	LD Amount
Item Description							
Delayed Costs							
Equipment				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Buildings				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Engineering/Construction				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Land				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Record Keeping System				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Training/Sampling				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Remediation/Disposal				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Permit Costs	1500	27.14	22.11	0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Other (as needed)	\$500	27-May-2021	22-May-2022	0.99	\$25	n/a	\$25
Notes for DELAYED costs	Requi	ired is the investig	gation date, and	d the Fin	al Date is the estir	on protection systen mated date of comp	liance.
Avoided Costs	ANNU	ALIZE avoided co	osts before er	tering	item (except for	one-time avoided	d costs)
Disposal				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Personnel				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Inspection/Reporting/Sampling				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Supplies/Equipment				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Financial Assurance				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
ONE-TIME avoided costs				0.00	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0
Other (as needed)  Notes for AVOIDED costs		<u> </u>		<u>JI 0.00</u>	<u>1 \$U</u>	<u> </u>	\$0
Approx. Cost of Compliance		\$500			TOTAL		\$25

	Screening Date	_	<b>Docket No.</b> 2021-10	42-PST-E	PCW
	Respondent	SAAHEL, INC. dba Snappy Foo	ds 18	Policy Re	evision 5 (January 28, 2021)
	Case ID No.	61152		PCW	Revision February 11, 2021
Reg.	Ent. Reference No.	RN102012465			
		Petroleum Storage Tank			
	Enf. Coordinator	_			
	Violation Number	·			
	Rule Cite(s)		A L		
	` ,	30 Tex	. Admin. Code § 334.10(b)(2)		
	Violation Description	release detection records a	ecordkeeping requirements are recordkeeping requirements are record maint water and maint water were not available for instance the investigation.	enance inspection	
				Base Penalty	\$25,000
>> Fm	ironmental Propo	rty and Human Health N	<b>Matrix</b>		
// LIIV	inominental, Proper	Harm	-iati ix		
	Release	Major Moderate	Minor		
OR	Actual				
	Potential		Percent	0.0%	
_					
>>Pro	grammatic Matrix				
	Falsification	Major Moderate	Minor	1 00/	
			X Percent	1.0%	
	Matrix Notes	Less than 30% of the	rule requirement was not met.		
			Adjustmen	\$24,750	
					\$250
				-	
Violatio	on Events				
	Number of V	iolation Events 1	70 Number	of violation days	
		daily weekly monthly quarterly semiannual annual single event  X	Vio	lation Base Penalty	\$250
		One single ev	ent is recommended.		
Good F	aith Efforts to Com	ply 0.0%		Reduction	\$0
			OE/NOV to EDPRP/Settlement Offer	, readection	**
		Notes The Responder	nt does not meet the good faith this violation.	criteria for	
				Violation Subtotal	\$250
Econon	nic Benefit (EB) for	this violation	Statut	ory Limit Test	
	Estimate	ed EB Amount	\$2 Violation	Final Penalty Total	\$259
			<u> </u>		
		This violat	ion Final Assessed Penalty (	adjusted for limits)	\$259

	E	conomic	Benefit	Woi	rksheet		
Respondent	SAAHEL, INC.	dba Snappy Food	ls 18				
Case ID No.	61152						
Reg. Ent. Reference No.	RN102012465	;					
Media	Petroleum Sto	rage Tank				Percent Interest	Years of
Violation No.	3					Percent Interest	Depreciation
						5.0	15
	Item Cost	<b>Date Required</b>	Final Date	Yrs	<b>Interest Saved</b>	Costs Saved	EB Amount
Item Description							
200 2 000puo							
Delayed Costs							
Equipment				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Buildings				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Engineering/Construction				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Land				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Record Keeping System	\$45	27-May-2021	22-May-2022	0.99	\$2	n/a	\$2
Training/Sampling				0.00	\$0 #0	n/a	\$0
Remediation/Disposal Permit Costs				0.00	\$0 #0	n/a	\$0 \$0
Other (as needed)				0.00	\$0 \$0	n/a n/a	\$0 \$0
Notes for DELAYED costs	inspection rec	ords and make th	em immediately	availab	ole for inspection u	dic operation and m pon request by age estimated date of o	ncy personnel.
Avoided Costs	ANNU	ALIZE avoided c	osts before en	tering	item (except for	one-time avoided	d costs)
Disposal				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Personnel				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Inspection/Reporting/Sampling				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Supplies/Equipment				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Financial Assurance				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
ONE-TIME avoided costs				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)  Notes for AVOIDED costs		JI J		0.00	<u>  \$0</u>	\$0	\$0
Approx. Cost of Compliance		\$45			TOTAL		\$2

The TCEQ is committed to accessibility.

To request a more accessible version of this report, please contact the TCEO Help Desk at (512) 239-4357.



# Compliance History Report

Compliance History Report for CN603931973, RN102012465, Rating Year 2021 which includes Compliance History (CH) components from September 1, 2016, through August 31, 2021.

Customer, Respondent, CN603931973, SAAHEL, INC. **Classification:** SATISFACTORY **Rating: 16.80** 

or Owner/Operator:

**Classification: SATISFACTORY** Rating: 0.14 Regulated Entity: RN102012465, Snappy Foods 18

**Complexity Points:** Repeat Violator: NO

CH Group: 14 - Other

Location: 1701 US Highway 181, Portland, San Patricio County, Texas 78374-3702

TCEQ Region: **REGION 14 - CORPUS CHRISTI** 

ID Number(s):

PETROLEUM STORAGE TANK REGISTRATION

**REGISTRATION 54135** 

Compliance History Period: September 01, 2016 to August 31, 2021 Rating Year: 2021 **Rating Date:** 09/01/2021

Date Compliance History Report Prepared: September 21, 2021 Agency Decision Requiring Compliance History: Enforcement

**Component Period Selected:** September 21, 2016 to September 21, 2021

TCEQ Staff Member to Contact for Additional Information Regarding This Compliance History.

Name: Karolyn Kent Phone: (512) 239-2536

#### Site and Owner/Operator History:

3) Who is the current owner/operator?

1) Has the site been in existence and/or operation for the full five year compliance period?

YFS YES

2) Has there been a (known) change in ownership/operator of the site during the compliance period?

SAAHEL, INC. OPERATOR since 3/4/2019

42 Convenience Holdings, LLC OWNER since 3/4/2019

4) Who was/were the prior owner(s)/operator(s)? SAAHEL, INC., OWNER OPERATOR, 6/16/2011 to 3/3/2019

#### Components (Multimedia) for the Site Are Listed in Sections A - J

A. Final Orders, court judgments, and consent decrees:

N/A

B. Criminal convictions:

C. Chronic excessive emissions events:

N/A

D. The approval dates of investigations (CCEDS Inv. Track. No.):

Item 1 June 29, 2017 (1410745)Item 2 August 30, 2018 (1512683)

E. Written notices of violations (NOV) (CCEDS Inv. Track. No.):

A notice of violation represents a written allegation of a violation of a specific regulatory requirement from the commission to a regulated entity. A notice of violation is not a final enforcement action, nor proof that a violation has actually occurred.

1 Date: 05/27/2021 (1723783)

> Self Report? Classification: Minor

Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 334, SubChapter C 334.50(b)(1)(A)

Failed to monitor all such tanks in a manner which will detect a release at a Description:

frequency of at least once every 30-days by using one or more of the release

detection methods described in subsection (d)(4) - (10).

G.	Type of environmental management systems (EMSs): $\ensuremath{\text{N/A}}$
н.	Voluntary on-site compliance assessment dates: $\ensuremath{N/A}$
I.	Participation in a voluntary pollution reduction program: $\ensuremath{N/A}$
J.	Early compliance: N/A
Sit	es Outside of Texas:

F. Environmental audits:

N/A

N/A

# TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY



IN THE MATTER OF AN	<b>§</b>	BEFORE THE
ENFORCEMENT ACTION	§	
CONCERNING	§	TEXAS COMMISSION ON
SAAHEL, INC. DBA SNAPPY	§	TEAAS COMMISSION ON
FOODS 18	§	
RN102012465	§	ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

#### AGREED ORDER DOCKET NO. 2021-1042-PST-E

#### I. JURISDICTION AND STIPULATIONS

On	_, the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality ("the
Commission" or "TCEQ") cons	idered this agreement of the parties, resolving an enforcement
action regarding SAAHEL, INC	C. dba Snappy Foods 18 (the "Respondent") under the authority of
TEX. WATER CODE chs. 7 and 2	6. The Executive Director of the TCEQ, through the Enforcement
Division, and the Respondent	together stipulate that:

- 1. The Respondent operates, as defined in 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 334.2(75), an underground storage tank ("UST") system and convenience store with retail sales of gasoline located at 1701 US Highway 181 in Portland, San Patricio County, Texas (the "Facility"). The UST system at the Facility is not exempt or excluded from regulation under the Texas Water Code or the rules of the Commission, and contains or contained a regulated petroleum substance as defined in the rules of the TCEQ.
- 2. The Executive Director and the Respondent agree that the TCEQ has jurisdiction to enter this Order pursuant to Tex. Water Code §§ 7.002, 7.051, and 7.073, and that the Respondent is subject to TCEQ's jurisdiction. The TCEQ has jurisdiction in this matter pursuant to Tex. Water Code § 5.013 because it alleges violations of Tex. Water Code ch. 26 and the rules of the TCEQ.
- 3. The occurrence of any violation is in dispute and the entry of this Order shall not constitute an admission by the Respondent of any violation alleged in Section II ("Allegations"), nor of any statute or rule.
- 4. An administrative penalty in the amount of \$8,024 is assessed by the Commission in settlement of the violations alleged in Section II ("Allegations"). The Respondent paid \$190 of the penalty and \$1,604 is deferred contingent upon the Respondent's timely and satisfactory compliance with all the terms of this Order and shall be waived only upon full compliance with all the terms and conditions of this Order.

The remaining amount of \$6,230 of the undeferred penalty shall be paid in 35 monthly payments of \$178 each. The first monthly payment shall be paid within 30 days after the effective date of this Order. The subsequent payments shall each be paid not later than

30 days following the due date of the previous payment until the penalty is paid in full. If the Respondent fails to comply with the payment requirements of this Order, including the payment schedule, the Executive Director may accelerate the maturity of the remaining installments, in which event the unpaid balance shall become immediately due and payable without demand or notice. The Respondent's failure to meet the payment schedule of this Order and/or the acceleration of any remaining balance constitutes the failure by the Respondent to timely and satisfactorily comply with all the terms and conditions of this Order and the Executive Director may demand payment of all or part of the deferred penalty amount.

- 5. The Executive Director and the Respondent agree on a settlement of the matters alleged in this enforcement action, subject to final approval in accordance with 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 70.10(a). Any notice and procedures, which might otherwise be authorized or required in this action, are waived in the interest of a more timely resolution of the matter.
- 6. The Executive Director may, without further notice or hearing, refer this matter to the Office of the Attorney General of the State of Texas ("OAG") for further enforcement proceedings if the Executive Director determines that the Respondent has not complied with one or more of the terms or conditions in this Order.
- 7. This Order represents the complete and fully-integrated agreement of the parties. The provisions of this Order are deemed severable and, if a court of competent jurisdiction or other appropriate authority deems any provision of this Order unenforceable, the remaining provisions shall be valid and enforceable.
- 8. This Order shall terminate five years from its effective date or upon compliance with all the terms and conditions set forth in this Order, whichever is later.

#### II. ALLEGATIONS

During an investigation conducted on May 27, 2021, an investigator documented that the Respondent:

- 1. Failed to provide release detection for the pressurized piping associated with the UST system, in violation of 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 334.50(b)(2)(A) and Tex. Water Code § 26.3475(a). Specifically, the Respondent had not conducted the annual line leak detector and piping tightness tests.
- 2. Failed to inspect and test the corrosion protection system for operability and adequacy of protection at a frequency of at least once every three years, in violation of 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 334.49(c)(4)(C) and TEX. WATER CODE § 26.3475(d). Specifically, the Respondent had not conducted the triennial testing of the corrosion protection system.
- 3. Failed to assure that all UST recordkeeping requirements are met, in violation of 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 334.10(b)(2). Specifically, tank release detection records and periodic operation and maintenance inspection records of the Facility's UST system were not available for inspection at the time of the investigation.

#### III. DENIALS

The Respondent generally denies each allegation in Section II ("Allegations").

#### IV. ORDERING PROVISIONS

NOW, THEREFORE, THE TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY ORDERS that:

1. The Respondent is assessed a penalty as set forth in Section I, Paragraph No. 4. The payment of this penalty and the Respondent's compliance with all of the requirements set forth in this Order resolve only the allegations in Section II. The Commission shall not be constrained in any manner from requiring corrective action or penalties for violations which are not raised here. Penalty payments shall be made payable to "TCEQ" and shall be sent with the notation "Re: SAAHEL, INC. dba Snappy Foods 18, Docket No. 2021-1042-PST-E" to:

Financial Administration Division, Revenue Operations Section Attention: Cashier's Office, MC 214 Texas Commission on Environmental Quality P.O. Box 13088 Austin, Texas 78711-3088

- 2. The Respondent shall undertake the following technical requirements:
  - a. Immediately upon the effective date of this Order, begin maintaining UST records, including, but not limited to, tank release detection and periodic operation and maintenance inspection records, and make them immediately available for inspection upon request by agency personnel, in accordance with 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 334.10.
  - b. Within 30 days after the effective date of this Order:
    - i. Conduct the annual line leak detector and piping tightness tests, in accordance with 30 Tex. ADMIN. CODE § 334.50; and
    - ii. Conduct the triennial testing of the corrosion protection system, in accordance with 30 Tex. ADMIN. CODE § 334.49.
  - c. Within 45 days after the effective date of this Order, submit written certification, and include detailed supporting documentation including photographs, receipts, and/or other records to demonstrate compliance with Ordering Provision Nos.
    2.a and 2.b. The certification shall be signed by the Respondent and shall include the following certification language:

"I certify under penalty of law that I have personally examined and am familiar with the information submitted and all attached documents, and that based on my inquiry of those individuals immediately responsible for obtaining the information, I believe that the submitted information is true, accurate and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fines and imprisonment for knowing violations."

The certification shall be submitted to:

Order Compliance Team Enforcement Division, MC 149A Texas Commission on Environmental Quality P.O. Box 13087 Austin, Texas 78711-3087

with a copy to:

Waste Section Manager Corpus Christi Regional Office Texas Commission on Environmental Quality 6300 Ocean Drive, Suite 1200 Corpus Christi, Texas 78412-5839

- 3. All relief not expressly granted in this Order is denied.
- 4. The duties and provisions imposed by this Order shall apply to and be binding upon the Respondent. The Respondent is ordered to give notice of this Order to personnel who maintain day-to-day control over the Facility operations referenced in this Order.
- 5. If the Respondent fails to comply with any of the Ordering Provisions in this Order within the prescribed schedules, and that failure is caused solely by an act of God, war, strike, riot, or other catastrophe, the Respondent's failure to comply is not a violation of this Order. The Respondent shall have the burden of establishing to the Executive Director's satisfaction that such an event has occurred. The Respondent shall notify the Executive Director within seven days after the Respondent becomes aware of a delaying event and shall take all reasonable measures to mitigate and minimize any delay.
- 6. The Executive Director may grant an extension of any deadline in this Order or in any plan, report, or other document submitted pursuant to this Order, upon a written and substantiated showing of good cause. All requests for extensions by the Respondent shall be made in writing to the Executive Director. Extensions are not effective until the Respondent receives written approval from the Executive Director. The determination of what constitutes good cause rests solely with the Executive Director. Extension requests shall be sent to the Order Compliance Team at the address listed above.
- 7. This Order, issued by the Commission, shall not be admissible against the Respondent in a civil proceeding, unless the proceeding is brought by the OAG to: (1) enforce the terms of this Order; or (2) pursue violations of a statute within the Commission's jurisdiction, or of a rule adopted or an order or permit issued by the Commission under such a statute.
- 8. This Order may be executed in separate and multiple counterparts, which together shall constitute a single instrument. Any page of this Order may be copied, scanned, digitized,

SAAHEL, INC. dba Snappy Foods 18 DOCKET NO. 2021-1042-PST-E Page 5

converted to electronic portable document format ("pdf"), or otherwise reproduced and may be transmitted by digital or electronic transmission, including but not limited to facsimile transmission and electronic mail. Any signature affixed to this Order shall constitute an original signature for all purposes and may be used, filed, substituted, or issued for any purpose for which an original signature could be used. The term "signature" shall include manual signatures and true and accurate reproductions of manual signatures created, executed, endorsed, adopted, or authorized by the person or persons to whom the signatures are attributable. Signatures may be copied or reproduced digitally, electronically, by photocopying, engraving, imprinting, lithographing, electronic mail, facsimile transmission, stamping, or any other means or process which the Executive Director deems acceptable. In this paragraph exclusively, the terms: electronic transmission, owner, person, writing, and written, shall have the meanings assigned to them under Tex. Bus. Org. Code § 1.002.

9. The effective date of this Order is the date it is signed by the Commission. A copy of this fully executed Order shall be provided to each of the parties.

SAAHEL, INC. dba Snappy Foods 18 DOCKET NO. 2021-1042-PST-E Page 6

# SIGNATURE PAGE

TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

For the Commission	Date
	7/19/2022
For the Executive Director	Date
the attached Order, and I do agree to the terr acknowledge that the TCEQ, in accepting pay on such representation.	d the attached Order. I am authorized to agree to ns and conditions specified therein. I further ment for the penalty amount, is materially relying
I also understand that failure to comply with and/or failure to timely pay the penalty amou	the Ordering Provisions, if any, in this Order unt, may result in:
<ul> <li>additional penalties, and/or attorney fee</li> <li>Increased penalties in any future enformations.</li> <li>Automatic referral to the Attorney General TCEQ seeking other relief as authorized.</li> </ul>	ions submitted; neral's Office for contempt, injunctive relief, es, or to a collection agency; cement actions; eral's Office of any future enforcement actions; and d by law.
In addition, any falsification of any complian	ce documents may result in criminal prosecution.
Redi	Date  President  Title
Signature	Date
Adra di	President
Name (Printed or typed) Authorized Representative of SAAHEL, INC. dba Snappy Foods 18	
☐ If mailing address has changed, please of	check this box and provide the new address below: