SOAH DOCKET NO. 582-22-02856 TCEQ DOCKET NO. 2022-0326-MWD

APPLICATION OF RESTORE THE § BEFORE THE STATE OFFICE

GRASSLANDS LLC AND

§ § HARRINGTON/TURNER OF

§ § ENTERPRISES, LP

(TPDES PERMIT NO. WQ0016003001) **ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS**

APPLICANTS' EXCEPTIONS AND REQUEST FOR CORRECTIONS TO THE ORDERING PARAGRAPHS OF THE PROPOSAL FOR DECISION

Restore the Grasslands LLC ("RTG") and Harrington/Turner Enterprises, LP ("HTE") ("Applicants") file their Exceptions to the Proposal for Decision ("PFD") in this matter. Applicants respectfully request that the ordering paragraphs to the PFD be clarified to give direction to the parties with regard to Issue E in this matter. Accordingly, Applicants submit this Supporting Brief as follows:

I. INTRODUCTION AND PROCEDURAL BACKGROUND

Applicants seek approval of Texas Pollutant Discharge Elimination System ("TPDES") permit No. WQ0016003001 (the "Draft Permit") in the above-referenced docket, which was filed on May 26, 2021, with the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality ("TCEQ" or the "Commission"). Because the Application was filed after September 1, 2015, the Application is subject to the procedural requirements adopted pursuant to House Bill ("HB") 801, 76th Legislature (1999), and Senate Bill ("SB") 709, 84th Legislature (2015), both implemented by the Commission in its rules under 30 Texas Administrative Code Chapters 39, 50, and 55. See Tex. GOV'T CODE § 2003.047(i-1)-(i-3). The Texas Legislature enacted SB 709, effective September 1, 2015, amending the requirements for comments and contested case hearings. See id.

One of the major changes to the contested case hearing process as a result of SB 709 is that the filing of the Draft Permit, the preliminary decision issued by the Executive Director ("ED")

and other documentation establishes a prima facie case that a Draft Permit meets all applicable

state and federal legal and technical requirements and that the Draft Permit, if issued, will protect

human health and safety and the environment. See id. The statute further provides that a party may

only rebut this prima facie case by: (1) presenting evidence relating to one of the issues the

Commission referred; and (2) demonstrating that a Draft Permit violates an applicable state or

federal requirement. See id. The statute also provides that the applicant and the executive director

may present additional evidence to support a Draft Permit. See id.

On May 20, 2022, the Commission granted hearing requests and referred the matter to

SOAH for a hearing. The State Office of Administrative Hearings ("SOAH") held a preliminary

hearing on August 29, 2022, during which Administrative Law Judge ("ALJ") Rebecca Smith

determined that SOAH had jurisdiction. A contested case hearing ("CCH") was held on February

7 - 10, 2023.

On April 27, 2023, ALJ Rebecca Smith issued a Proposal for Decision ("PFD") in this

matter. After considering the comments received and the evidence presented at the CCH, it was

recommended that the Draft Permit complies with the statutory and regulatory requirements, and

that Applicants established their prima facie case of the meeting the statutory and regulatory

requirements. The PFD recommended approval of the Draft Permit if Applicants were unable to

reach an agreement with the North Texas Municipal Water District ("NTMWD") for wastewater

service either directly or through a member city, or as directed by the Commission.

In any event, Protestants have failed to rebut Applicants' prima facie case, or its evidence,

and have failed to establish that the Draft Permit violates a specifically applicable state or federal

requirement, and that the State's regionalization policy discussed in the PFD does not dictate that

Applicants' Exceptions and Request for Corrections to the Ordering Paragraphs of the Proposal for Decision

the Draft Permit be denied. The issues for consideration, which were presented at the Hearing, are items A-L from TCEQ's referral to SOAH.

II. <u>APPLICANTS RAISE NO EXCEPTIONS OR OBJECTIONS TO THE PROPOSAL FOR DECISION</u>

As outlined below, Applicants do not raise any exceptions or objections with respect to issues "A-D" and "F-L" that were referred to SOAH for consideration. However, Applicants do except to issue E and the lack of clarity in the Ordering Paragraphs of the PFD:

A. Whether the Draft Permit is protective of livestock, wildlife, and wildlife habitats:

Applicants raise no exceptions or objections to the PFD's determination that the Draft Permit is protective of livestock, wildlife, and wildlife habitats. *See* PROPOSAL FOR DECISION at pp. 17-21.

B. Whether the Draft Permit is protective of the requesters' and their families' health and safety:

Applicants raise no exceptions or corrections to the PFD's determination that the Draft Permit protective of the requestors' and their families' health and safety. *See id.* at pp. 21-22.

C. Whether the Draft Permit complies with applicable siting requirements in 30 Texas Administrative Code Chapter 309, including adequate prevention of nuisance odors:

Applicants raise no exceptions or corrections to the PFD's determination that the Draft Permit complies with applicable siting requirements in 30 Texas Administrative Code Ch. 309, including adequate prevention of nuisance orders. *See id.* at pp. 34-38.

D. Whether Applicants substantially complied with applicable notice requirements:

Applicants raise no exceptions to the determination that the PFD's Draft Permit complied with applicable notice requirements. *See id.* at pp. 27-28.

E. Whether issuance of the Draft Permit is consistent with TCEQ's regionalization policy and Texas Water Code §§ 26.081 and 26.0282, and 30 Texas Administrative Code Chapter 351, Subchapter C, including consideration of need for the proposed facility and designation of a regional entity:

The PFD recommends that the parties be instructed to attempt to reach an agreement with regard to terms of service. Applicants have made a diligent and good faith attempt to negotiate wastewater service with NTWD and its customer cities of Parker and Murphy to no avail. Applicants will, however, continue in this effort if so ordered. Applicants agree with the PFD that the Commission has not been petitioned to order NTMWD to provide wastewater service to Applicants, however, because NTMWD is a party to these proceedings Applicant agrees with the ED that no such petition should be required and that Applicants should not be required to start the application process over. It is Applicant's further position that in any event they have met the requirements under Issue E for the permit to be issued.

F. Whether the Draft Permit complies with the Texas Surface Water Quality Standards and is protective of surface and groundwater quality, including requesters' use and enjoyment of their property:

Applicants raise no exceptions or corrections to the PFD's determination Draft Permit complies with the Texas Surface Water Quality Standards and is protective of surface and groundwater quality, including requesters' use and enjoyment of their property. *See id.* pp. 12-17.

G. Whether the antidegradation review complies with applicable regulations and the Draft Permit includes adequate nutrient limits:

Applicants raise no exceptions or corrections to the PFD's determination that the antidegradation review complies with applicable regulations and the Draft Permit includes adequate nutrient limits. *See id.* at pp. 22-27.

H. Whether Applicants substantially complied with applicable notice requirements:

Applicants raise no exceptions or corrections to the determination that the PFD's Draft Permit complied with applicable notice requirements. *See* Proposal for Decision at pp. 27-28.

I. Whether the Application is accurate and contains all required information:

Applicants raise no exceptions or corrections to the PFD's determination that the Application is accurate and contains all required information. *See id.* at pp. 28-31.

J. Whether Applicants are legal entities:

Applicants raise no exceptions or corrections to the PFD's determination that the Application is accurate and contains all required information. *See id.* at pp. 31-34.

K. Whether the Draft Permit includes adequate provisions to control vectors:

Applicants raise no exceptions or corrections to the PFD's determination that the Draft Permit includes adequate provisions to control vectors. *See* Proposal for Decision at pg. 47.

L. Whether the Draft Permit's monitoring requirements comply with applicable regulations:

Applicants raise no exceptions or corrections to the PFD's determination that the Draft Permit's monitoring requirements comply with applicable regulations. *See id*.

M. Whether Applicants' compliance history raises any issues regarding Applicants' ability to comply with the material terms of the permit that warrant denying or altering the terms of the Draft Permit:

Applicants raise no exceptions or corrections to the PFD's determination that Applicants' compliance history raises no issues regarding Applicants' ability to comply with the material terms of the permit that warrant denying or altering the terms of the Draft Permit. *See* Proposal for Decision at pp. 49-50.

III. APPLICANT'S OBJECTIONS AND EXCEPTIONS TO THE PROPOSED ORDER

Applicants object to the ordering paragraph 1 of the PFD on the basis that the Order is inconsistent with the PFD's analysis and proposes an outright denial of the Draft Permit. Clearly, the ALJ's analysis shows that the Applicants' have met their burden with respect to issues A-D and F-L. The only exception is related to Item E, which merely offers an opportunity for Applicants to negotiate terms of service with NTMWD, and/or allow the TCEQ to order such service. Accordingly, Applicants recommend the following language in place of paragraph 1 of the current proposed Order with existing paragraphs 2-6 renumbered:

- 1. Restore the Grasslands LLC ("RTG") and North Texas Municipal Water District ("NTMWD") shall immediately negotiate in good faith to reach an agreement for NTMWD to provide wastewater service to RTG either directly or through a customer city. Any such agreement must be mutually acceptable to each of RTG and NTMWD in their sole discretion.
- 2. In the event that RTG and NTMWD are unable to reach a mutually acceptable agreement within thirty (30) days after the date of this Order, then the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality (the "Commission") shall set appropriate terms.
- 3. If the Commission fails or refuses to set such terms within an additional sixty (60) days from the date of this Order, then the Application for Texas Pollutant Discharge Elimination System Permit No. WQ0016003001 is granted effective as of such sixtieth (60th) day.

IV. <u>CONCLUSION</u>

WHEREFORE, PREMISES CONSIDERED, Applicants respectfully requests that the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality consider their exceptions and amend the Ordering Paragraphs of the PFD.

Respectfully submitted,

COATS | ROSE

By:

Natalie B. Scott

State Bar No. 24027970

Email: NScott@coatsrose.com

Jatalie B Doots

Kevin R. Bartz

State Bar No. 24101488

Email: kbartz@coatsrose.com

Terrace 2

2700 Via Fortuna, Suite 350

Austin, Texas 78746 Phone: 512-684-3846 Fax: 512-469-9408

Alan J. Harlan

State Bar No. 09010200

Email: aharlan@coatsrose.com

Tim Green

State Bar No. 08370500

Email: TGreen@coatsrose.com

16000 North Dallas Parkway, Suite 350

Dallas, Texas 75248 Phone: 972-788-1600 Fax: 972-702-0662

ATTORNEYS FOR APPLICANTS

SOAH DOCKET NO. 582-22-02856 TCEQ DOCKET NO. 2022-0326-MWD CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on May 17, 2023, a copy of the foregoing Pleading was served on all person listed either via hand delivery, facsimile transmission, electronic mail, and/or by deposit in the U.S. Mail.

For the Executive Director:

Kathy Humphreys, Staff Attorney Aubrey Pawelka, Staff Attorney TCEQ Environmental Law Division, MC-173

P.O. Box 13087

Austin, Texas 78711-3087

Email: Kathy.Humphreys@tceq.texas.gov Email: Aubrey.Pawelka@tceq.texas.gov

Ryan Vise, Deputy Director TCEQ External Relations Division, MC-108 P.O. Box 13087

Austin, Texas 78711-3087 Email: pep@tceq.texas.gov

Counsel for City of Murphy:

Stephen C. Dickman Law Office of Stephen C. Dickman 6005 Upvalley Run Austin, TX 78731

Email: sdickmanlaw@att.net

Counsel for North Texas Municipal Water District:

Lauren Kalisek James Aldredge Lloyd Gosselink Rochelle & Townsend, P.C. 816 Congress Ave., Suite 1900

Austin, Texas 78701

Email: <u>lkalisek@lglawfirm.com</u> Email: <u>jaldredge@lglawfirm.com</u>

For Alternative Dispute Resolution:

Todd Burkey

TCEQ Alternative Dispute Resolution,

MC-222

P.O. Box 13087

Austin, Texas 78711-3087

Email: todd.burkey@tceq.texas.gov

Public Interest Counsel:

Sheldon Wayne, Public Interest Counsel TCEQ, Public Interest Counsel, MC-103

P.O. Box 13087

Austin, Texas 78711-3087

Email: Sheldon.Wayne@tceq.texas.gov

Counsel for City of Parker:

Arturo D. "Art" Rodriguez, Jr, Messer Fort McDonald

4201 W. Parmer Ln. Std. C-150

Austin, Texas 78727

Email: art@txmunicipallaw.com

Requester(s)/Interested Person(s):

See below

Mir Abidi 1303 Overland Drive

Murphy, TX 75094-4197 Email: abbasabidi@yahoo.com

Ray and Ruth Hemmig 3405 Bluff Lane Parker, TX 75002

Email: ray@rrgc.us

Cyndi and Tim Daugherty 3603 Hodge Drive Parker, TX 75002-6733

Email:

Cyndi.daugherty@gmail.com

Teral McDowell 356 Montana Trail Murphy, TX 75094-3685

Email: teralmc@verizon.net

Wendy Galarneau 312 Huntington Drive Murphy, TX 75094-5530

Email: wendy.galarneau@gmail.com

Lindy M. (Buddy) Pilgrim 3106 Bluff Lane Parker, TX 75002

Email: btraveler54@gmail.com

Matthew Wilson 1124 Avondale Drive Murphy, TX 75094-4192

Email: Mbwilson96@yahoo.com

Soumit and Sylvia Roy 924 Brentwood Drive Murphy, TX 75094-4439

Via Email:

sylviastastny@hotmail.com

Shawna Fastnaught 513 Windward Dr. Murphy, Texas 75094-5327

Email:

shawnafastnaught@yahoo.com

Emily Plummer 5908 Gregory Lane Parker, TX 75002-6710

Email: to EPonGregory@outlook.com

Lee Pettle

5700 E. Parker Road, Parker Texas 75002-6767 Email: <u>lpettle@aol.com</u>

Elizabeth Abraham 1307 Overland Drive Murphy, Texas 75094-4197

Email:

lizzy abraham@yahoo.com

Tracy Jo Allen 1230 Ranger Road Murphy, Texas 75094-3646 Email: tracyjallen8@gmail.com Lance Caughfield 1404 Keathly Circle Murphy, Texas 75094-4119 Email: lcaughfield@gmail.com

Don "Wade" Cloud, Jr. 103 Salsbury Circle Murphy, Texas 75094-4122 Email: smcbyses@verizon.net Alicia Evans 1507 Hogge Drive Parker, Texas 75002-6731 Email: aliciasueevans@gmail.com Kathy Harvey 3507 Hogge Drive Parker, Texas 75002-6731 Email: kathycalabria@me.com

Laura and Tony Hernandez 5906 Gregory Lane Parker, Texas 75002-6710

lauraghernandez@gmail.com

Charles Ho 5610 Gregory Lane Parker, Texas 75002-6704 Email: charles75093@gmail.com Deborah Ison 918 Mustang Ridge Drive Murphy, Texas 75095-4474 Email: dison@hotmail.com

Theodore Lane 5004 Dublin Creek Lane Parker, Texas 75002-6544 Email: lanetrl@gmail.com

Ed and Dianne Lundberg 1307 Featherwood Drive Murphy, Texas 75094-4173 Email: edlundberg@comcast.net Email: dlundberg54@msn.com

Andrew Malczewski 1328 Thornwood Drive Murphy, Texas 75094-5101 Email:

a.malczewski2010@gmail.com

Page 9 of 10

Carrolyn Moebius 1412 Parkview Lane Murphy, Texas 75094-4172 Email: carrmoe@gmail.com Sunil and Sreelaxmi Unnikrishnan 1408 Keathly Circle Murphy, Texas 75094-4119 Email: sukrishnan@gmail.com Angelique Lonecar 222 Lakeside Circle Murphy, Texas 75094 Email: angeliqueloncar@tx.rr.com

Matalie Booth

Natalie B. Scott