From: Mehgan Taack
To: Mehgan Taack

Subject: FW: Public comment on Permit Number WQ0015977001

Date: Thursday, September 1, 2022 1:14:27 PM

Attachments: TCEO reconsideration docket 2022-0939-MWD.pdf

From: carter rc@sbcglobal.net <carter rc@sbcglobal.net>

Sent: Saturday, August 13, 2022 2:44 PM

To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC < <u>pubcomment-occ@tceq.texas.gov</u>> **Subject:** Public comment on Permit Number WQ0015977001

REGULATED ENTY NAME FM 2920 LAND

RN NUMBER: RN111244844

PERMIT NUMBER: WQ0015977001

DOCKET NUMBER: 2022-0939-MWD

COUNTY: HARRIS

PRINCIPAL NAME: FM 2920 LAND COMPANY LTD

CN NUMBER: CN605880145

FROM

NAME: Rick Carter

EMAIL: carter rc@sbcglobal.net

COMPANY:

ADDRESS: 22603 THREE PINES DR

HOCKLEY TX 77447-7082

PHONE: 9363465510

FAX:

COMMENTS: Request for reconsideration. Docket: 2022-0939-MWD

22603 Three Pines Dr. Hockley, TX 77447

Texas Commission on Environmental Quality

12100 Park 35 Circle, Bldg. D Austin, TX 78753 Phone: 512-239-1000

RE: Docket No. 2022-0939-MWD

FM 2920 Land Company, Ltd (Applicant)

Permit No. WQ0015977001

Dear Commissioners:

I would like to ask that the applicant's request be reconsidered based upon the following factors:

- 1. The project has changed the natural drainage leading to probable flooding of surrounding properties.
- 2. The project has produced uncontrolled dust pollution to surrounding properties.
- 3. The project poses a substantial fire risk due to the vast area of dry debris.
- 4. The project will result in pollution to Spring Creek which is a health threat to everyone in the area.
- 5. The applicant's actions thus far have shown *disrespect* for wetlands, wildlife, air pollution, water pollution, noise pollution and the associated health effects of these actions.
- 6. The applicant has made misleading or false statements (regarding the development plan) to numerous parties including State Representative Tom Oliverson, Harris County Commissioner R. Jack Cagle and the TCEQ Commissioners. It is my understanding that the full extent of the project (government subsidized mobile housing and its supporting infrastructure) was not revealed and therefore the ability to support the environmental and health needs (e.g. MUD, drainage, waste water treatment, schools, security, EMS, traffic congestion, etc.) of such a project have not been fully addressed.
- 7. The scope of the project has changed (e.g. additional land to include more manufactured home pads) since the original permit was granted. This should require further evaluation/analysis. I am concerned about how this scope change affects the drainage into Spring Creek and the surrounding neighborhoods as well as the original plans for the waste water treatment plant (i.e. are the original plans still acceptable?).

Regards.
i vezai us.

Rick Carter

August 13, 2022

