### Executive Summary – Enforcement Matter – Case No. 62845 Enterprise Products Operating LLC RN102984911 Docket No. 2022-1103-IWD-E

**Order Type:** 1660 Agreed Order **Findings Order Justification:** N/A Media: IWD **Small Business:** No Location(s) Where Violation(s) Occurred: Enterprise East, 316 South Main Street, approximately 0.15 miles east of the intersection of Highway 146 and Sun Oil Road, City of Mont Belvieu, Chambers County **Type of Operation:** Chemical manufacturing facility **Other Significant Matters:** Additional Pending Enforcement Actions: Yes, Docket No. 2024-0811-AIR-E Past-Due Penalties: No Other: N/A Interested Third-Parties: None Texas Register Publication Date: October 25, 2024 Comments Received: No **Penalty Information** Total Penalty Assessed: \$15,975

Amount Deferred for Expedited Settlement: \$3,195
Total Paid to General Revenue: \$6,390
Total Due to General Revenue: \$0
 Payment Plan: N/A
Supplemental Environmental Project ("SEP") Conditional Offset: \$6,390
 Name of SEP: City of Baytown (Third-Party Pre-Approved)
Compliance History Classifications:
 Person/CN - Satisfactory
 Site/RN - Satisfactory
Major Source: No
Statutory Limit Adjustment: N/A
Applicable Penalty Policy: January 2021

# **Investigation Information**

Complaint Date(s): N/A Complaint Information: N/A Date(s) of Investigation: March 30, 2022 Date(s) of NOE(s): May 11, 2022

# Executive Summary – Enforcement Matter – Case No. 62845 Enterprise Products Operating LLC RN102984911 Docket No. 2022-1103-IWD-E

# **Violation Information**

Failed to comply with permitted effluent limitations for total copper, free chlorine, chemical oxygen demand, and pH [30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 305.125(1), TEX. WATER CODE § 26.121(a)(1), and Texas Pollutant Discharge Elimination System ("TPDES") Permit No. WQ0004966000, Effluent Limitations and Monitoring Requirements Nos. 1 and 2].

# **Corrective Actions/Technical Requirements**

# **Corrective Action(s) Completed:**

N/A

# **Technical Requirements:**

1. The Order will require the Respondent to implement and complete a SEP (see SEP Attachment A).

2. The Order will also require the Respondent to within 130 days, submit written certification of compliance with the permitted effluent limitations of TPDES Permit No. WQ0004966000, including specific corrective actions that were implemented at the Facility to achieve compliance and copies of the most current self-reported discharge monitoring reports, demonstrating at least three consecutive months of compliance with all permitted effluent limitations.

# **Contact Information**

TCEQ Attorney: N/A

TCEQ Enforcement Coordinator: Samantha Smith, Enforcement Division, Enforcement Team 1, MC R-12, (512) 239-2099; Michael Parrish, Enforcement Division, MC 219, (512) 239-2548
TCEQ SEP Coordinator: Stuart Beckley, SEP Coordinator, Enforcement Division, MC 219, (512) 239-3565
SEP Third-Party Administrator: Director of Public Works, P.O. Box 424, Baytown, Texas 77522-79005
Respondent: W. Randall Fowler, Director, Enterprise Products Operating LLC, P.O. Box 4324, Houston, Texas 77210-4018
Bradley J. Cooley, Senior Director, Enterprise Products Operating LLC, P.O. Box 4324, Houston, Texas 77210-4018
Respondent's Attorney: N/A

S COMMISSION	Policy R	Pe evision 5 (January 28,	•	Calculatio	n Works	heet (PC	-	vision February	, 11, 2021
DATES	Assigned PCW	23-May-2022 22-Sep-2022	Scroonin	g 24-May-2022	EPA Due		1		
				<u>24-May-2022</u>	EPA Due				
RESPO		TY INFORMATIC		na LLC					
	g. Ent. Ref. No.	RN102984911			Majar /	Minor Course	Minou		
Facili	ty/Site Region	12-Houston			Major/ i	Minor Source	MINOF		
	NFORMATION f./Case ID No.	62845			No.	of Violations	1		
	Docket No.	2022-1103-IWD-	E			Order Type	1660		
Med	lia Program(s) Multi-Media	Water Quality				t/Non-Profit Coordinator	No Samantha Sm	nith	
A alu			¢0		·		Enforcement		
Adr	nin. Penalty \$		\$0	Maximum	\$25,000				
			Pena	lty Calcula	tion Secti	ion			
TOTA	L BASE PENA	LTY (Sum of	violatior	n base penal	ties)		Subtotal 1	\$	511,250
ADJU	STMENTS (+	/-) TO SUBTO	TAL 1						
	Subtotals 2-7 are of Compliance Hi	otained by multiplying <b>story</b>	the Total Base	e Penalty (Subtotal 42.0%	<ol> <li>by the indicated Adjustment</li> </ol>		tals 2, 3, & 7		\$4,725
	-			er containing a d		, one order			
	Notes			ty, and one NOV					
		Reduction R		closures of Violat					
	Culpability	No		0.0%	Enhancement		Subtotal 4		\$0
	Notes	The Res	pondent de	oes not meet the	e culpability crit	eria.			
					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
	Good Faith Eff	ort to Comply To	otal Adjust	tments			Subtotal 5		\$0
	Economic Ben	efit Total EB Amounts	\$2,749		Enhancement* ed at the Total EB \$	Amount	Subtotal 6		\$0
	Estimated	Cost of Compliance	\$15,000			, into and			
SUM (	OF SUBTOTA	LS 1-7				F	inal Subtotal	\$	15,975
OTUE					0.00/			· · ·	+0
		AS JUSTICE M Subtotal by the indic			0.0%		Adjustment		\$0
	Notes								
	10000								
						Final Per	alty Amount	\$	515,975
STATU	JTORY LIMI	ADJUSTMEN	T			Final Asse	ssed Penalty	\$	15,975
DEFE	RRAL				20.0%	Reduction	Adjustment	· -	•\$3,195
		nalty by the indicated	percentage.				]	Į	1-7
	Notes	D	eferral offe	red for expedite	d settlement.				
							J		
ΡΑΥΑ	BLE PENALT	Y						\$	12,780

		Other written NOVs	1	2%					
		Any agreed final enforcement orders containing a denial of liability ( <i>number</i> orders meeting criteria)	of 1	20%					
	Orders Any adjudicated final enforcement orders, agreed final enforcement orders without a denial of liability, or default orders of this state or the federal government, or any final prohibitory emergency orders issued by the commission		ral 1	25%					
	Any non-adjudicated final court judgments or consent decrees containing a denial of liability of this state or the federal government ( <i>number of judgments or consent decrees meeting criteria</i> )			0%					
	and Consent Decrees	Any adjudicated final court judgments and default judgments, or non-adjudicat final court judgments or consent decrees without a denial of liability, of this state or the federal government	te 0	0%					
	Convictions	Any criminal convictions of this state or the federal government ( <i>number counts</i> )	of 0	0%					
	Emissions	Chronic excessive emissions events (number of events)	0	0%					
	Audits	Letters notifying the executive director of an intended audit conducted under t Texas Environmental, Health, and Safety Audit Privilege Act, 74th Legislatur 1995 (number of audits for which notices were submitted)		-1%					
	Disclosures of violations under the Texas Environmental, Health, and Safety Audit Privilege Act, 74th Legislature, 1995 ( <i>number of audits for which violations were</i> <i>disclosed</i> )			-4%					
		Environmental management systems in place for one year or more	No	0%					
	Other	Voluntary on-site compliance assessments conducted by the executive direct under a special assistance program	or No	0%					
	other	Participation in a voluntary pollution reduction program	No	0%					
		Early compliance with, or offer of a product that meets future state or fede government environmental requirements	ral No	0%					
		Adjustment F	Percentage (Sub	ototal 2)	42%				
>> Re	epeat Violator	(Subtotal 3)							
	No	Adjustment F	Percentage (Sub	ototal 3)	0%				
>> Co	mpliance Hist	ory Person Classification (Subtotal 7)							
	Satisfactory I	Performer Adjustment F	Percentage (Sub	ototal 7)	0%				
>> Co	mpliance Hist	bry Summary							
	Compliance History Notes       Enhancement for one order containing a denial of liability, one order without a denial of liability, and one NOV with dissimilar violations. Reduction for one Notice of Intent to conduct an audit and two Disclosures of Violations.								
		Total Compliance History Adjustment Percentage	(Subtotals 2,	3, & 7)	42%				
>> Fina	al Compliance	History Adjustment			4000				
		Final Adjustment Perce	nt <b>age</b> *capped	at 100%	42%				

#### **Compliance History Worksheet** >> Compliance History Site Enhancement (Subtotal 2)

Written notices of violation ("NOVs") with same or similar violations as those in

the current enforcement action (number of NOVs meeting criteria)

Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN102984911 Media Water Quality

**Respondent** Enterprise Products Operating LLC

Enf. Coordinator Samantha Smith

Screening Date 24-May-2022

**Case ID No.** 62845

Component Number of...

NOVs

Docket No. 2022-1103-IWD-E

Policy Revision 5 (January 28, 2021) PCW Revision February 11, 2021

Adjust.

0%

PCW



Number

0

Respondent       Enterprise Products Operating LLC       Add memory 28, 2020         Respondent       Media				24-May-2022			<b>0.</b> 2022-1103-IWD-E		PCW
Reg. Ent. Reference No. RV102949111         Media Ware Quality         Enf. Coordinator samatila Such         Violation Number         Sue Cite(s)         20 Tax. Admin. Code 6 205.125(1), Tax. Water Code 6 26.121(a)(1), and Texas Pollutato Discore Provide Prov			•		lucts Operating	LLC		Policy R	evision 5 (January 28, 2021)
Weidie       Water Outlify         Enc. Coordinators manntha smith       13 Tex. Admin. Cade 8 205.122(1), Tex. Water Code 6 26.121(a)(1), and Texas         Pollation Number       13 Tex. Admin. Cade 8 205.122(1), Tex. Water Code 6 26.121(a)(1), and Texas         Pollation Discharge Eliminators System Permit No. Y00009560000, Effluent       1 mit 20009560000, Effluent         Violation Description       Paleto to comply with permitted effluent limitations, as shown in the attached effluent violation table.         Violation Description       Paleto to comply with permitted effluent limitations, as shown in the attached effluent violation table.         Polorental       Property and Human Health Matrix         Mam       Release       Major         Matrix       Percent       15.0%         >>Programmatic Matrix       Major       Moderate         Matrix       Percent       0.0%         A simplified model was stace to evoluate total copyer to determine whether the discharged atomation of pollutants exceeded protective levels that are protective of human health or environmental receptors as a result of the violation table environmental base Resould (weekly moonth) or environmental receptors as a result of the violation days         Violation Events       Intere quarterity events are nocomered for the quarterity events are nocomered for the quarterity of May June, Matrix Harderithe, Violation Statutory Li								РСИ	V Revision February 11, 2021
Enf. Coordinator       Samatha Sinkh         Violation Number       1         Rule Cite(s)       10 Rex. Admin. Code § 305.125(1), Tox. Water Code § 26.121(1)(1), and Texas         Pollutati Discharge Elimination System Permit Nav. 900049560000, Effluent         Limitations and Monkoring Requirements Nos. 1 and 2.         Violation Description         Falled to comply with permitted effluent limitations, as shown in the attached effluent violation table.         >> Environmental, Property and Human Health Matrix         Harm         OR       Actual         Actual       Marrie         Protectal       Maleria         Protectal       Maleria         Protectal       Maleria         Actual       Marrie         Actual       Marrie         Protectal       Maleria         Matrix       Percent         Protectal       Maleria         Matrix       Asignified model was used to evaluate total cooper to determine whether the discharged amount of pollutants exceed apretective levels. Free available total corget a determine whether the discharged amount of pollutants exceed apretective levels. Free available total corget a determine whether the discharged amount of pollutants exceed apretective levels. Free available total corget a determine whether of unavels and the monts of a data set and	Reg. I	Ent. Ref							
Violation Rumber       30         Rule Cite(s)       30         The Charage Elimitation System Permit No. W0000456000, Effuent Limitations and Healtoning Requirements Nos. 1 and 2         Violation Description       Failed to comply with permitted effluent limitations, as shown in the attached effluent violation toble.         See Penalty       525,000         >> Environmental, Property and Human Health Matrix       825,000         A strain       Percent       15.0%         >> Programmatic Matrix       Percent       5.0%         A simplified model was used to avaluate total copper to determine whether the discharged amount of pollutants exceeded protective levels, free available chinne, demital copper amount of pollutants exceeded protective levels, free available chinne, demital copper amount of pollutants exceeded protective levels, free available chinne, demital copper amount of pollutants exceeded protective levels, free available chinne, demital copper amount of pollutants exceeded protective levels, free available chinne, demital copper amount of pollutants exceeded protective levels has are protective of human health or environmental receptors as a result of the violation.         Violation Events       31       104         Number of Violation Events       31       104         Violation Events       31       104         Number of Violation Events       31       104         Violation Events       31       104         Sender available tof the violatio		Enf C			- L-				
30 Tex. Admin. Code § 305.125(1), Tex. Water Code § 26.121(8)(1), and Texas Pollutant Discharge Elimination System Permit No. W0000406000, Effluent Limitations and Montoning Requirements Nos. 1 and 2         Violation Description         Failed to comply with permitted effluent limitations, as shown in the attached effluent violation table.         Save Penalty \$25,000         Save Penalty \$25,000         Save Penalty \$25,000         Save Penalty \$25,000         Programmetal, Property and Human Health Matrix         Percent 15.0%         Programmatic Matrix         Percent 15.0%         > Programmatic Matrix         Programmatic Matrix         Programmatic Matrix         Number of violation sea used to avaluate total copper to determine whether the discharged amount of pollutants scaced ary receive levels. Tree available Chlorine, chemical oxygen demand, and pit were also considered. Human Health or the environment has been exposed to magnificant amounts of pollutants that do not exceed levels that are protective of human health or environment has been exposed to magnificant amounts of pollutants that do not exceed levels that are protective of human health or environment has been exposed to magnificant amounts of pollutants that do not exceed levels that are protective of human health or environment has been exposed to magnificant amounts of pollutants that do not exceed levels that are protective of human health or environmental receptors as a result of the		-							
Rollecters       Pollutant Discharge Elimination System Permit No. W00004966000, Elifuent Limitations and Monitoring Requirements Nos. 1 and 2         Violation Description       Felled to comply with permitted effluent limitations, as shown in the attached effluent violation table.         >> Environmental, Property and Human Health Matrix       Base Penalty         0R       Release Matrix       Minor         Percent       15.0%         >> Programmatic Matrix       Percent         Pakification       Matrix         Matrix       Amointain Percent         Pakification       Matrix         Amointain Age Percent       0.0%         Actual of plurants exceeded protective levels. Free available total corgen amount of pollutants exceeded vest that are protective of human health or environmental receptors as a result of the violation.         Matrix       Asignificat amounts of pollutants       4djustment         S23,750       S3,750         Violation Events       3       184         Number of Violation Events       3       184         Single event       311,250         Sood Faith Efforts to Comply       Korker and December of 2021.       S0         Cood Faith Efforts to Comply       Korker and December of 2021.       S0         Violation Base Penalty       S11,250         Sodd Faith Efforts t		VIUIC							
Violation Description       effluent violation table.         Base Penalty       \$25,000         >> Environmental, Property and Human Health Matrix       Marrie         OR       Actual       Noferate         A generate       Minor       Percent         OR       Actual       Noferate         Potential       Marrie       Percent         Potential       Marrie       Percent         A simplified model was used to evaluate total copper to determine whether the discharged amount of pollutants staceded protective levels. Free available chorine, chemical oxygen demand, and pit were also conditeder. Human health or the evaluate total copper to determine, chemical oxygen demand, and pit were also conditeder. Human health or the violation.         Matrix       A simplified model was used to evaluate total copper to determine whether the discharged amount of pollutants that do not exceed levels that are protective of human health or environment has been exceed to violation or environmental receptors as a result of the violation.         Adjustment       \$21,250         Violation Events       3         Number of Violation Events       3         Image: Single event       400/W         July, October, November, and December of 2021.       \$11,250         Good Faith Efforts to Comply       0.00%       Reduction         Matrix       Notes       Notes       \$00/W/W			Rule Cite(s)	Pollutant Di	ischarge Elimina	tion System Permit	No. WQ0004966000,		
>> Environmental, Property and Human Health Matrix Ham OR Actual Potential Potential Astification Major Moderate Minor Percent Estimated EB Amount Procent Major Astification Major Moderate Minor Percent Moderate Minor Percent Moderate Minor Percent Moderate Minor Percent Moderate Minor Percent Moderate Minor Percent Moderate Minor Percent Moderate Minor Percent Moderate Minor Percent Moderate Minor Percent Moderate Minor Percent Moderate Minor Percent Moderate Moderate Minor Percent Moderate Minor Percent Moderate Moderate Minor Percent Moderate Moderate Minor Percent Moderate Minor Percent Moderate Moderate Moderate Minor Percent Moderate Moderate Minor Percent Moderate Moderate Minor Percent Moderate Moderate Minor Percent Moderate Minor Percent Moderate Moderate Minor Percent Moderate Minor Percent Moderate Moderate Minor Percent Moderate Moderate Moderate Minor Percent Moderate Moderate Moderate Moderate Minor Percent Moderate		Violatio	n Description	Failed to co				ottached	
OR       Release Actual Potential       Major Moderate X       Minor X       Percent       Is.0%         >>Programmatic Matrix Paisification       Major       Moderate Minor       Percent       0.0%         A simplified model was used to evaluate total copper to determine whether the discharged amount of polutants that do not exceed express that are protective of human health or environmental receptors as a result of the violation.       Adjustment       \$21,250         Violation Events       3       184       Number of violation days       \$3,750         Violation Events       3       184       Number of violation days       \$11,250         Social Forts to Comply       0.0%       0.0%       \$11,250       \$11,250         Good Faith Efforts to Comply       0.0%       Nother protection offer       \$11,250         Violation Subtotal       30       20,0%       Reduction       \$0         Extraordinary       0.0%       Notes       The Respondent does not meet the good faith criteria for this violation.       \$0         Economic Benefit (EB) for this violation       Statutory Limit Test       \$11,250							Bas	se Penalty	\$25,000
OR       Release Major       Moderate       Minor         Protential       Precent       15.0%         >>Programmatic Matrix       Percent       0.0%         A simplified model was used to evaluate total copper to determine whether the discharged amount of pollutants sexceeded protective levels. Free available chlorine, chemical oxygen demand, and PH were abso considered. Human health the environment has been exposed to insignificant amounts of pollutants that do not exceed levels that are protective of human health or environmental receptors as a result of the violation.         Adjustment       \$21,250         Violation Events       3         Number of Violation Events       3         Image: Weekly monthly weekl	>> Env	vironme	ntal, Prope	rty and Hum		fatrix			
OR       Actual       X       Percent       15.0%         >> Programmatic Matrix       Falsification       Major       Moderate       Minor       Percent       0.0%         A simplified model was used to evaluate total copper to determine whether the discharged around of pollutans searceded protective levels. Free available chlorine, chemical oxygen demand, and pH were also considered. Human health or the environment has been exposed to insignificant amounts of pollutants that do not exceed levels that are protective of human health or environmental receptors as a result of the violation.       \$3,750         Violation Events       3       184       Number of violation days         daily       weekly       \$3,750         Violation Events       3       184       Number of violation days         daily       weekly       \$3,750         Violation Events       3       184       Number of violation days         daily       weekly       \$11,250         single event       0.0%       Extraordinary       \$11,250         Good Faith Efforts to Comply       0.0%       NocKNOV to EDPRP/Settlement Offer       \$0         Violation Subtotal       \$11,250       \$11,250       \$11,250         Extraordinary       Interest the good faith criteria for this violation.       \$0         Violation Subtotal       \$11,250       \$11,2			Palazza	Major		Minor			
Potential       Percent       15.0%         >> Programmatic Matrix Falsification       Major       Moderate       Minor         Percent       0.0%         A simplified model was used to evaluate total copper to determine whether the discharged amount of pollutants exceeded protective levels. Free available chlorine, chemical oxygen demand, and pH were also considered. Human health or the environment has been exposed to insignificant amounts of pollutants that do not exceed levels that are protective of human health or environmental receptors as a result of the violation.         Adjustment       \$21,250         \$3,750         Violation Events         Number of Violation Events         3       184         Number of Violation Events         Three quarterly events are recommended for the quarters containing the months of of May, June, July, October, November, and December of 2021.         Good Faith Efforts to Comply       0.0%         Violation Subtotal       \$11,250         Notes       The Respondent does not meet the good faith criteria for this violation.       \$0         Violation Subtotal       \$11,250         Economic Benefit (EB) for this violation       Statutory Limit Test         Estimated EB Amount       \$27,278       Violation Final Penalty Total	OR				Moderate				
>>Programmatic Matrix Falsification Major Moderate Minor Percent 0.0% A simplified model was used to evaluate total copper to determine whether the discharged demand, and pH were also considered. Human health or the environment has been exposed to insignificant amounts of pollutants that do not exceed levels that are protective of human health or environmental receptors as a result of the violation.           Adjustment         \$21,250           Violation Events         \$3,750           Violation Events         \$31,750           Understrip weekly         Yiolation Base Penalty           Single event         \$11,250           Three quarterly events are recommended for the quarters containing the months of of May, June, July, October, November, and December of 2021.         \$0           Good Faith Efforts to Comply         0.0% Motes         0.0% Three spondent does not meet the good faith criteria for this violation.         \$11,250           Violation Subtotal         \$11,250         \$11,250         \$11,250	UN						Percent 15.0%	1	
Faisification       Major       Moderate       Minor       Percent       0.0%         Matrix       A simplified model was used to evaluate total copper to determine whether the discharged amount of pollutants exceeded protective levels. Free available chlorine, chemical oxygen demand, and pH were also considered. Human health or the environment has been exposed to insignificant amounts of pollutants that do not exceed levels that are protective of human health or environmental receptors as a result of the violation.         Kittik       Violation Events       Salphane         Violation Events       3       184       Number of violation days         Violation Events       3       184       Number of violation days         Violation Events       3       184       Number of violation days         Image: Single event       Single event       Single event       Single event         Single event       0.0%       Reduction       Sol         Good Faith Efforts to Comply       0.0%       Reduction       Sol         Violation Subtotal       Sill,250         Violation Subtotal       Sol         Matrix       Notes       The Respondent does not meet the good faith criteria for this violation         Statutory Limit Test       Estimated EB Amount       Sill,278       Violation Final Penalty Total					11_			1	
A simplified model was used to evaluate total copper to determine whether the discharged amount of pollutants exceeded protective levels. Free available choirine, chemical oxygen demand, and pH were also considered. Human health or the environment has been exposed to insignificant amounts of pollutants that do not exceed levels that are protective of human health or environmental receptors as a result of the violation.         Adjustment       \$21,250         S3,750       \$3,750         Violation Events       3         Number of Violation Events       3         Violation Base Penalty       \$11,250         Three quarterly events are recommended for the quarters containing the months of of May, June, July, October, November, and December of 2021.       \$0         Good Faith Efforts to Comply       0.0%       Note/NOV to ED/RAP/Settement Offer         Extraordinary       Ordinary       Note         Violation Subtotal       \$11,250         Economic Benefit (EB) for this violation       Statutory Limit Test         Estimated EB Amount       \$2,749       Violation Final Penalty Total	>>Prog	gramma							
A simplified model was used to evaluate total copper to determine whether the discharged amount of pollutants exceeded protective levels. Free available chlorine, chemical oxygen demand, and pH were also considered. Human health or the environment has been exposed to insignificant amounts of pollutants that do not exceeded levels that are protective of human health or environmental receptors as a result of the violation.         Adjustment       \$21,250         Violation Events       \$3,750         Violation Events       \$3,750         Number of Violation Events       3         Violation Events       \$184         Number of Violation Events       3         Image: Second Se			Falsification	Major	Moderate	Minor	-	1	
Matrix Notes       amount of pollutants exceeded protective levels. Free available chlorine, chemical oxygen demand, and pH were also considered. Human health or the environment has been exposed to insignificant amounts of pollutants that do not exceed levels that are protective of human health or environmental receptors as a result of the violation.         Adjustment       \$21,250         Violation Events       \$3,750         Number of Violation Events       3         Image: Second							Percent 0.0%		
Yiolation Events       \$3,750         Number of Violation Events       3       184       Number of violation days         daily       weekly       Violation Base Penalty       \$11,250         annual       annual       semiannual       single event       \$11,250         Three quarterly events are recommended for the quarters containing the months of of May, June, July, October, November, and December of 2021.       Reduction       \$0         Good Faith Efforts to Comply       0.0%       Network NOE/NOV to EDPRP/Settlement Offer       \$0         Extraordinary       Ordinary       NA       \$0         Notes       The Respondent does not meet the good faith criteria for this violation.       \$11,250         Economic Benefit (EB) for this violation       Statutory Limit Test       \$11,250         Estimated EB Amount       \$2,749       Violation Final Penalty Total       \$15,975			amount o demand, and	f pollutants exce d pH were also c amounts of pollu	eeded protective considered. Hun utants that do ne	e levels. Free availa nan health or the er ot exceed levels tha	ble chlorine, chemical on nvironment has been exact are protective of hun	oxygen kposed to	
Violation Events         Number of Violation Events         3       184       Number of violation days         daily         weekly         monthly       2         semiannual       3       184         annual       3       184         Semiannual       3       184         Inree quarterly       X       Violation Base Penalty         \$11,250       100       \$11,250         Three quarterly events are recommended for the quarters containing the months of of May, June, July, October, November, and December of 2021.       100         Good Faith Efforts to Comply       0.0%       Reduction       \$0         Before NOE/NOV       NOE/NOV to EDPRP/Settlement Offer       \$0         Extraordinary       Notes       The Respondent does not meet the good faith criteria for this violation.       \$11,250         Violation Subtotal       \$11,250         Economic Benefit (EB) for this violation       Statutory Limit Test         Estimated EB Amount       \$2,749       Violation Final Penalty Total       \$15,975						1	Adjustment	\$21,250	
Violation Events         Number of Violation Events         3       184       Number of violation days         daily         weekly         monthly       2         semiannual       3       184         annual       3       184         Semiannual       3       184         Inree quarterly       X       Violation Base Penalty         \$11,250       100       \$11,250         Three quarterly events are recommended for the quarters containing the months of of May, June, July, October, November, and December of 2021.       100         Good Faith Efforts to Comply       0.0%       Reduction       \$0         Before NOE/NOV       NOE/NOV to EDPRP/Settlement Offer       \$0         Extraordinary       Notes       The Respondent does not meet the good faith criteria for this violation.       \$11,250         Violation Subtotal       \$11,250         Economic Benefit (EB) for this violation       Statutory Limit Test         Estimated EB Amount       \$2,749       Violation Final Penalty Total       \$15,975									42 7F0
Number of Violation Events       3       184       Number of violation days         daily weekly monthly quarterly semiannual annual single event       Violation Base Penalty       \$11,250         Three quarterly events are recommended for the quarters containing the months of of May, June, July, October, November, and December of 2021.       Second Faith Efforts to Comply       0.0%         Before NOE/NOV       NOE/NOV to EDPRP/Settlement Offer       \$0         Extraordinary N/A       X       Notes       The Respondent does not meet the good faith criteria for this violation.       \$11,250         Economic Benefit (EB) for this violation       Statutory Limit Test       \$11,250									\$3,/50
daily	Violatio	on Event	s						
daily									
weekly monthly quarterly semiannual annual single event       Violation Base Penalty       \$11,250         Three quarterly events are recommended for the quarters containing the months of of May, June, July, October, November, and December of 2021.       fmail for the quarters containing the months of of May, June, July, October, November, and December of 2021.         Good Faith Efforts to Comply       0.0%       Reduction       \$0         Before NOE/NOV       NOE/NOV to EDPRP/Settlement Offer       \$0         Extraordinary       0.0%       Reduction       \$0         Ordinary       0.0%       Reduction       \$0         Notes       The Respondent does not meet the good faith criteria for this violation.       \$11,250         Economic Benefit (EB) for this violation       Statutory Limit Test       \$11,250         Estimated EB Amount       \$2,749       Violation Final Penalty Total       \$15,975			Number of V		1 N	1			
weekly monthly quarterly semiannual annual single event       Violation Base Penalty       \$11,250         Three quarterly events are recommended for the quarters containing the months of of May, June, July, October, November, and December of 2021.       fmail for the quarters containing the months of of May, June, July, October, November, and December of 2021.         Good Faith Efforts to Comply       0.0%       Reduction       \$0         Before NOE/NOV       NOE/NOV to EDPRP/Settlement Offer       \$0         Extraordinary       0.0%       Reduction       \$0         Ordinary       0.0%       Reduction       \$0         Notes       The Respondent does not meet the good faith criteria for this violation.       \$11,250         Economic Benefit (EB) for this violation       Statutory Limit Test       \$11,250         Estimated EB Amount       \$2,749       Violation Final Penalty Total       \$15,975				violation Events		184	Number of violation	days	
monthiy       x       Violation Base Penalty       \$11,250         semiannual annual single event       single event       image: single event       image: single event       image: single event         Three quarterly events are recommended for the quarters containing the months of of May, June, July, October, November, and December of 2021.       image: single event       image: single event         Good Faith Efforts to Comply       0.0%       Reduction       \$0         Before NOE/NOV       NOE/NOV to EDPRP/Settlement Offer       Extraordinary       image: single event         Violation Subtotal       \$11,250         Violation Subtotal       \$11,250         Economic Benefit (EB) for this violation       Statutory Limit Test         Estimated EB Amount       \$2,749       Violation Final Penalty Total	1					184	Number of violation	days	
semiannual annual single event				daily		184	Number of violation	days	
annual single event         Three quarterly events are recommended for the quarters containing the months of of May, June, July, October, November, and December of 2021.         Good Faith Efforts to Comply       0.0%         Reduction       \$0         Before NOE/NOV NOE/NOV to EDPRP/Settlement Offer         Extraordinary				daily weekly		184	Number of violation	days	
single event				daily weekly monthly quarterly		184			\$11,250
Good Faith Efforts to Comply       0.0%       Reduction       \$0         Before NOE/NOV       NOE/NOV to EDPRP/Settlement Offer       \$0         Ordinary       0.0%       Reduction       \$0         N/A       x       \$0       \$0       \$1,250         Extraordinary         Notes       The Respondent does not meet the good faith criteria for this violation.       \$11,250         Economic Benefit (EB) for this violation         Statutory Limit Test         Estimated EB Amount       \$2,749       Violation Final Penalty Total       \$15,975				daily weekly monthly quarterly semiannual		184			\$11,250
July, October, November, and December of 2021.         Good Faith Efforts to Comply       0.0%         Before NOE/NOV       Reduction         Before NOE/NOV       NOE/NOV to EDPRP/Settlement Offer         Extraordinary       0rdinary         Ordinary       0rdinary         N/A       x         Notes       The Respondent does not meet the good faith criteria for this violation.         Violation Subtotal       \$11,250         Economic Benefit (EB) for this violation       Statutory Limit Test         Estimated EB Amount       \$2,749				daily weekly monthly quarterly semiannual annual		184			\$11,250
Before NOE/NOV       NOE/NOV to EDPRP/Settlement Offer         Extraordinary				daily weekly monthly quarterly semiannual annual		184			\$11,250
Before NOE/NOV       NOE/NOV to EDPRP/Settlement Offer         Extraordinary			Three quarter	daily weekly monthly quarterly semiannual annual single event	X Commended for	• the quarters conta	Violation Bas	se Penalty	\$11,250
Extraordinary       Image: Construction of the system of the				daily weekly monthly quarterly semiannual annual single event ly events are re- July, o	X Commended for October, Novem	• the quarters conta	Violation Bas	se Penalty May, June,	
Ordinary       X         N/A       X         Notes       The Respondent does not meet the good faith criteria for this violation.         Violation Subtotal       \$11,250         Economic Benefit (EB) for this violation       Statutory Limit Test         Estimated EB Amount       \$2,749         Violation Final Penalty Total       \$15,975	Good F	aith Effo		daily weekly monthly quarterly semiannual annual single event ly events are re- July, o	Commended for October, Novem	the quarters conta ber, and December	Violation Bas ining the months of of r of 2021.	se Penalty May, June,	
N/A       x         Notes       The Respondent does not meet the good faith criteria for this violation.         Violation Subtotal       \$11,250         Economic Benefit (EB) for this violation       Statutory Limit Test         Estimated EB Amount       \$2,749         Violation Final Penalty Total       \$15,975	Good F	aith Effo		daily weekly monthly quarterly semiannual annual single event ly events are re- July, o	Commended for October, Novem	the quarters conta ber, and December	Violation Bas ining the months of of r of 2021.	se Penalty May, June,	
Image: Notes       The Respondent does not meet the good faith criteria for this violation.         Violation Subtotal       \$11,250         Economic Benefit (EB) for this violation       Statutory Limit Test         Estimated EB Amount       \$2,749         Violation Final Penalty Total       \$15,975	Good F	aith Effo		daily weekly monthly quarterly semiannual annual single event ly events are re July, o ply Extraordinary	Commended for October, Novem	the quarters conta ber, and December	Violation Bas ining the months of of r of 2021.	se Penalty May, June,	
Notes       for this violation.         Violation Subtotal       \$11,250         Economic Benefit (EB) for this violation       Statutory Limit Test         Estimated EB Amount       \$2,749         Violation Final Penalty Total       \$15,975	Good F	aith Effo		daily weekly monthly quarterly semiannual annual single event ly events are re July, o ply Extraordinary Ordinary	Commended for October, Novem	the quarters conta ber, and December	Violation Bas ining the months of of r of 2021.	se Penalty May, June,	
Economic Benefit (EB) for this violation     Statutory Limit Test       Estimated EB Amount     \$2,749       Violation Final Penalty Total     \$15,975	Good F	aith Effo		daily weekly monthly quarterly semiannual annual single event ly events are re July, o ply Extraordinary Ordinary	Commended for October, Novem	the quarters contants of the quarters contants of the quarters contants of the second	Violation Bas	se Penalty May, June,	
Estimated EB Amount \$2,749 Violation Final Penalty Total \$15,975	Good F	aith Effo		daily weekly monthly quarterly semiannual annual single event ly events are re July, o ply Extraordinary Ordinary N/A	Commended for October, Novem	the quarters contant ober, and December NOE/NOV to EDPRP/Sett	Violation Bas	se Penalty May, June,	
	Good F	aith Effo		daily weekly monthly quarterly semiannual annual single event ly events are re July, o ply Extraordinary Ordinary N/A	Commended for October, Novem	the quarters contant ober, and December NOE/NOV to EDPRP/Sett	Violation Bas	May, June, Reduction	\$0
This violation Final Assessed Penalty (adjusted for limits) \$15,975			orts to Com	daily weekly monthly quarterly semiannual annual single event ly events are re- July, of ply Extraordinary Ordinary N/A Notes	Commended for October, Novem	the quarters contant ober, and December NOE/NOV to EDPRP/Sett	Violation Bas	May, June, Reduction	\$0
			orts to Com fit (EB) for	daily weekly monthly quarterly semiannual annual single event ly events are re- July, o ply Extraordinary Ordinary N/A Notes	Commended for October, Novem	the quarters contanber, and December NOE/NOV to EDPRP/Sett	Violation Bas ining the months of of r of 2021. :tement Offer :the good faith criteria on. Violation Statutory Limi	May, June, Reduction	\$0

	E	conomic	Benefit	Woi	rksheet		
Respondent	Enterprise Pro	ducts Operating L	LC				
Case ID No.	62845						
Reg. Ent. Reference No.	RN102984911						
Media	Water Quality					Deveryt Tutevert	Years of
Violation No.	1					Percent Interest	Depreciation
						5.0	15
	Item Cost	Date Required	Final Date	Yrs	Interest Saved	Costs Saved	EB Amount
Item Description							
Delayed Costs							
Equipment				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Buildings				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Engineering/Construction				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Land				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Record Keeping System				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Training/Sampling				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Remediation/Disposal				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Permit Costs Other (as needed)	\$15,000	31-May-2021	28-Jan-2025	0.00	\$0 \$2,749	n/a n/a	<u>\$0</u> \$2,749
Notes for DELAYED costs	the Facility, a	and achieve comp	liance with the p	ermitte	d effluent limitatio	e necessary repairs/ ns. The Date Requi estimated date of co	red is the end
Avoided Costs	ANNU	ALIZE avoided c	osts before en	tering	item (except for	one-time avoided	l costs)
Disposal				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Personnel				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Inspection/Reporting/Sampling				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Supplies/Equipment				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Financial Assurance				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
ONE-TIME avoided costs				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Notes for AVOIDED costs							
Approx. Cost of Compliance		\$15,000			TOTAL		\$2,749

Enterprise Products Operating LLC									
	Docket No. 2022-1103-IWD-E								
	Case No	0. 62845							
	TPDES Permit No	. WQ0004966000							
pH Maximum Total Copper Daily Total Copper Daily Average Maximum Concentration Concentration									
Monitoring PeriodLimit = 9.0 SULimit = 0.0259 mg/LLimit = 0.0548 mg									
May 2021	С	С	С						
June 2021	С	С	С						
July 2021	С	С	С						
October 2021	9.2	С	0.0759						
November 2021	9.9	С	С						
December 2021	С	0.094	0.32						

	Free Chlorine Daily Average Concentration	Free Chlorine Daily Maximum Concentration	Chemical Oxygen Demand Daily Average Concentration	Chemical Oxygen Demand Daily Maximum Concentration
Monitoring Period	Limit = 0.20 mg/L	Limit = 0.50 mg/L	Limit = 150 mg/L	Limit = 200 mg/L
May 2021	С	С	217.5	438
June 2021	0.34	2.2	С	262
July 2021	С	С	С	225
October 2021	С	С	С	254
November 2021	0.5	0.7	С	С
December 2021	0.28	С	С	С

SU = standard units c = compliant mg/L = milligrams per liter

The TCEQ is committed to accessibility. To request a more accessible version of this report, please contact the TCEQ Help Desk at (512) 239-4357.



# Compliance History Report

Compliance History Report for CN603211277, RN102984911, Rating Year 2023 which includes Compliance History (CH) components from September 1, 2018, through August 31, 2023.

Customer, Respondent,	CN603211277, Enterprise Products	<b>Classification:</b> SATISFACT	ORY Rating: 5.46
or Owner/Operator:	Operating LLC		
Regulated Entity:	RN102984911, ENTERPRISE EAST	Classification: SATISFACT	ORY <b>Rating:</b> 11.30
<b>Complexity Points:</b>	14	Repeat Violator: NO	
CH Group:	05 - Chemical Manufacturing		
Location:	316 South Main Street, approxima	tely 0.15 miles east of the intersection o	of Highway 146 and Sun Oil Road,
City of Mont Belvieu, Chamber	rs County, Texas		
TCEQ Region:	REGION 12 - HOUSTON		
Date Compliance History Agency Decision Requiri	S ACCOUNT NUMBER S PERMIT 93973 S REGISTRATION 169320 S REGISTRATION 168410 S ACCOUNT NUMBER 05D013 004966000 PLANNING ID NUMBER OUS WASTE SOLID WASTE 57 od: September 01, 2018 to Augus y Report Prepared: June 07, 2 ing Compliance History: Enf	2024 forcement	IM 4807100017 TRATION 140448 TRATION 172898 TRATION 172230 TRATION 81822 UNT NUMBER
Component Period Selec	<b>ted:</b> June 07, 2019 to June 07,	2024	
TCEQ Staff Member to C	ontact for Additional Informa	ation Regarding This Compliance	e History.
Name: Samantha Smit	;h	<b>Phone:</b> (512) 239-2	099
2) Has there been a (known) of Components (Multime A. Final Orders, court ja 1 Effective Date: 04 Classification: M Citation: 30 T. 5C T Rqmt Prov: SC D	ace and/or operation for the full five change in ownership/operator of the edia) for the Site Are Liste udgments, and consent decre 4/08/2022 ADMINORDE Moderate AC Chapter 116, SubChapter B 116. HSC Chapter 382 382.085(b)	e site during the compliance period? <b>Id in Sections A - J</b> <b>Sees:</b> ER 2020-1377-AIR-E (Findings Order-A 115(c)	YES NO Agreed Order Without Denial)
2 Effective Date: 07 Classification: N		R 2021-0460-AIR-E (1660 Order-Agre	eed Order With Denial)

30 TAC Chapter 116, SubChapter B 116.115(c) Citation:

5C THSC Chapter 382 382.085(b)

Rqmt Prov: Special Condition 1 PERMIT

Description: Failed to prevent unauthorized emissions. Specifically, the Respondent released 20,024.01 pounds ("lbs") of volatile organic compounds ("VOC") as fugitive emissions, during an emissions event (Incident No. 302821) that occurred on February 14, 2019 and lasted 16 minutes. The emissions event occurred due to a three-quarter inch bleed valve that was located below a T-strainer being left in the open position, resulting in the release to the atmosphere.

Classification: Moderate

Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 116, SubChapter B 116.115(c) 5C THSC Chapter 382 382.085(b)

Ramt Prov: Special Condition 1 PERMIT

Description: Failed to prevent unauthorized emissions. Specifically, the Respondent released 1,954.52 lbs of carbon monoxide ("CO"), 1,277.96 lbs of nitrogen oxides ("NOx"), and 1,954.82 lbs of VOC the from East Flare, Emissions Point Number ("EPN") FL-06, during an emissions event (Incident No. 319220) that began on August 19, 2019 and lasted 23 hours and 30 minutes. The emissions event occurred due to a thermal relief of Pressure Safety Valve PSV032 on an eight-inch Liquid Propane Gas Prover line that ca

Classification: Moderate

Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 106, SubChapter A 106.6(b)

5C THSC Chapter 382 382.085(b)

Rgmt Prov: 155109 REG

Description: Failed to prevent unauthorized emissions. Specifically, the Respondent released 71.37 lbs of CO, 70.86 lbs of VOC, and 46.66 lbs of NOx from the East Flare, EPN FL-06, and released 334.93 lbs of VOC as fugitive emissions, during an emissions event (Incident No. 355046) that began on April 29, 2021 and lasted nine hours. The emissions event occurred due to a leak in the stainless steel flexible hose that connected a bleeder valve and the flare header, resulting in flaring and the release to the

Classification: Moderate

Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 116, SubChapter B 116.115(c)

5C THSC Chapter 382 382.085(b)

Rgmt Prov: Special condition 1 PERMIT

Description: Failed to prevent unauthorized emissions. Specifically, the Respondent released 90.18 lbs of CO, 88.44 lbs of VOC, and 58.96 lbs of NOx from the East Flare, EPN FL-06, and released 10,315.60 lbs of VOC as fugitive emissions, during an emissions event (Incident No. 359199) that occurred on May 27, 2021 and lasted four hours. The emissions event occurred due to a seal leak on a pipeline pump and a loose bearing that became dislodged, causing damage to the shaft and the pipeline pump to be isolate

#### **B.** Criminal convictions:

N/A

C. Chronic excessive emissions events:

N/A

#### D. The approval dates of investigations (CCEDS Inv. Track. No.):

Item 1	June 18, 2019	(1587720)	Item 21	March 19, 2021	(1732098)
Item 2	August 19, 2019	(1601707)	Item 22	April 19, 2021	(1732099)
Item 3	September 20, 2019	(1608612)	Item 23	May 17, 2021	(1743336)
Item 4	October 18, 2019	(1615490)	Item 24	August 20, 2021	(1750546)
Item 5	November 18, 2019	(1621292)	Item 25	September 16, 2021	(1768622)
Item 6	December 18, 2019	(1628628)	Item 26	October 19, 2021	(1779371)
Item 7	January 15, 2020	(1636249)	Item 27	November 17, 2021	(1690636)
Item 8	February 19, 2020	(1642868)	Item 28	December 03, 2021	(1447994)
Item 9	March 17, 2020	(1649375)	Item 29	March 17, 2022	(1815562)
Item 10	April 13, 2020	(1655738)	Item 30	May 02, 2022	(1811373)
Item 11	April 14, 2020	(1638485)	Item 31	May 17, 2022	(1831025)
Item 12	May 20, 2020	(1662281)	Item 32	October 18, 2022	(1864742)
Item 13	June 19, 2020	(1668827)	Item 33	November 17, 2022	(1871651)
Item 14	August 20, 2020	(1682567)	Item 34	December 20, 2022	(1877512)
Item 15	September 16, 2020	(1689114)	Item 35	January 19, 2023	(1884318)
Item 16	October 16, 2020	(1695478)	Item 36	February 09, 2023	(1872835)
Item 17	November 17, 2020	(1719029)	Item 37	February 15, 2023	(1892130)
Item 18	December 15, 2020	(1719030)	Item 38	March 17, 2023	(1900705)
Item 19	January 18, 2021	(1719031)	Item 39	March 28, 2023	(1893940)
Item 20	February 18, 2021	(1732097)	Item 40	April 18, 2023	(1907501)

Item 41	May 15, 2023	(1840682)	Item 50	October 17, 2023	(1948160)
Item 42	May 17, 2023	(1914650)	Item 51	November 20, 2023	(1929725)
Item 43	June 15, 2023	(1921263)	Item 52	December 15, 2023	(1963637)
Item 44	June 23, 2023	(1888392)	Item 53	January 18, 2024	(1970209)
Item 45	July 19, 2023	(1928245)	Item 54	February 20, 2024	(1979291)
Item 46	July 27, 2023	(1917269)	Item 55	March 18, 2024	(1985848)
Item 47	August 17, 2023	(1935180)	Item 56	March 25, 2024	(1981198)
Item 48	September 19, 2023	(1941400)	Item 57	May 15, 2024	(1987551)
Item 49	September 20, 2023	(1916984)	Item 58	May 17, 2024	(1896778)

#### E. Written notices of violations (NOV) (CCEDS Inv. Track. No.):

1

A notice of violation represents a written allegation of a violation of a specific regulatory requirement from the commission to a regulated entity. A notice of violation is not a final enforcement action, nor proof that a violation has actually occurred.

Date: 11/	/09/2023	(1925954)			
Self Report?	NO			Classification:	Minor
Citation:	30 TAC 30 TAC 40 CFR 5C THS Special Special	Chapter 115, Su Chapter 116, Su Chapter 122, Su Chapter 60, Sub C Chapter 382 3 Condition 5A PE Term and Condi Term and Condi	ubChapter B 11 ubChapter B 12 uChapter C, PT 82.085(b) RMIT tion 1A OP	6.115(c)	)(3)(ii)
Description:		to maintain the i		ue for the East Flare	(EPN: FL-06)
Self Report? Citation:	NO 30 TAC 30 TAC 30 TAC	Chapter 115, Su Chapter 116, Su Chapter 122, Su	ibChapter B 11 ibChapter B 12	6.115(c)	Minor )(3)(ii)
Description:	Special Special Special Failure	C Chapter 382 3 Condition 5A PE Term and Condi Term and Condi to maintain the p ory B18(g)(1)).	RMIT tion 1A OP tion 9 OP	ue for the West Flare	(EPN: FL-08)
Self Report?	NO	//y D10(g)(1)).		Classification:	Moderate
Citation:	30 TAC 5C THS Special	Chapter 116, Su Chapter 122, Su C Chapter 382 3 Condition 1 PER Term and Condi	ibChapter B 12 82.085(b) MIT		
Description:				e Maximum Allowable LD) (Category B13).	e Emission Rate
Self Report? Citation:	30 TAC 5C THS Special	Chapter 116, Su Chapter 122, Su C Chapter 382 3 Condition 9F PE Term and Condi	ibChapter B 12 82.085(b) RMIT		Moderate
Description:	the Spli			e total dissolved solid VT-1) (Category C4).	
Self Report? Citation:	30 TAC 5C THS Special	Chapter 116, Su Chapter 122, Su C Chapter 382 3 Condition 1 PER Term and Condi	ibChapter B 12 82.085(b) MIT		Moderate
Description:	Failure	to prevent an ex	ceedance of th	e Maximum Allowable er (EPN: REGEN-1) ((	
Self Report? Citation:	NO 30 TAC 30 TAC 5C THS	Chapter 115, Su Chapter 122, Su C Chapter 382 3 Term and Condi	ibChapter C 11 ibChapter B 12 82.085(b)	Classification: 5.214(a)(1)(C)	Minor
Description: Self Report? Citation:	Failure NO 30 TAC	to maintain valio	l leak test for ta ıbChapter A 11	ank truck (Category ( Classification: 1.111(a)(4)(A)(ii) 2.143(4)	C1). Minor

		5C THSC Chapter 382 382.085(b)
		Special Term and Condition 1A OP
	Description:	Failure to conduct daily flare observations for the West Flare (EPN: FL-08) (Category C1).
	Self Report?	NO Classification: Minor
	Citation:	30 TAC Chapter 115, SubChapter D 115.352(4) 30 TAC Chapter 115, SubChapter H 115.783(5)
		30 TAC Chapter 116, SubChapter B 116.115(c)
		30 TAC Chapter 122, SubChapter B 122.143(4)
		5C THSC Chapter 382 382.085(b) Special Condition 10E PERMIT
		Special Term and Condition 1A OP
		Special Term and Condition 9 OP
	Description: Self Report?	Failure to prevent open-ended lines (Category C10). NO Classification: Moderate
	Citation:	30 TAC Chapter 116, SubChapter B 116.115(c)
		30 TAC Chapter 122, SubChapter B 122.143(4)
		5C THSC Chapter 382 382.085(b) Special Condition 5F PERMIT
		Special Term and Condition 9 OP
	Description:	Failure to conduct annual flow meter calibration for the West Flare (EPN:
		FL-08) (Category B1).
F.	Environmental audit	
	Notice of Intent Da Disclosure Date:	
	Viol.	Moderate
	Classification:	
	Citation: 30 T	AC Chapter 106, SubChapter A 106.8(c)
	Description: Failed	to maintain records of the weekly audio, visual, and olfactory checks of the connectors and
		es in the facility files.
	Viol. Classification:	Moderate
	Citation: 30 T	AC Chapter 106, SubChapter K 106.261
		to submit a PBR Registration to update fugitive emissions and component counts for the site
		on present component counts. Specifically, the LDAR valve and flange/connector counts sented in the PBR 81822 permit application dated July 19, 2007, differs from a present master
	compo	onent list.
	Disclosure Date:	- / /
	Viol. Classification:	Moderate
	Citation: 30 T	AC Chapter 116, SubChapter B 116.115(c)
	Rqmt Prov: PER	MIT Special Condition 12.C
		to validate that the VOC concentrations inside equipment, when vented to atmosphere during
	MSS a Viol.	activities, were below 10,000 ppmv or 10% of the LEL. Moderate
	Classification:	
		AC Chapter 116, SubChapter B 116.115(c) MIT Special Condition 13.C(2)
	•	to ensure that the functionality test being performed on the LEL monitors is capable of showing
		he monitors are reading no lower than 90% of the calibration gas certified value.
	Viol.	Moderate
	Classification: Citation: 30 T	AC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1)
		AC Chapter 319, SubChapter A 319.11
	30 T	AC Chapter 319, SubChapter A 319.12
	Rqmt Prov: PER	MIT M/R RQ No. 2
	PERI	MIT M/R RQ No. 5
		to ensure that chlorine residual calibration standard of 0.24+/-0.03 and/or 0.93+/-0.10 is in
		. Specifically, from January thru March and May thru December 2016; January, June and er through December 2017; January through October 2018 the calibration standard was out of
	range	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	Viol.	Moderate
	Classification: Citation: 30 T	AC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1)

Rqmt Prov: PERMIT EFF LIM/ MON RQ No. 1

Description: Failed to analyze for chlorine weekly. Specifically, the following periods were not monitored weekly: 3/3-3/15/16, 3/30-4/5/16, 5/30-5/31/16, 10/26/16, 1/11-1/14/17,3/1-3/7/17, 4/15-4/18/17,

5/28-5/30/17, 6/12-6/13/17, 9/11-9/12/17, 9/29-10/3/17, 10/28-10/31/17, 11/13-11/14/17, 1/9/18, 2/15-2/27/18, 3/22-4/2/18, 4/15-4/19/18, 5/11-5/29/18, and 7/11-7/31/18. Viol. Minor Classification: 30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1) Citation: Rgmt Prov: PERMIT EFF LIM/MON REQ No. 2 Description: Failed to monitor pH daily for 3/22/16, 3/23/16, 7/3-7/9/17, 2/1/18. Viol. Moderate Classification: 40 CFR Chapter 262, SubChapter I, PT 262, SubPT A 262.17(a)(1)(v) Citation: 40 CFR Chapter 265, SubChapter I, PT 265, SubPT I 265.174 30 TAC Chapter 335, SubChapter E 335.112(a)(8) 30 TAC Chapter 335, SubChapter C 335.69(a)(1)(A) Description: Failed to maintain weekly inspections for Waste Management Unit 002. Viol. Minor Classification: 40 CFR Chapter 262, SubChapter I, PT 262, SubPT A 262.17(a)(1)(v) Citation: 40 CFR Chapter 265, SubChapter I, PT 265, SubPT I 265.174 30 TAC Chapter 335, SubChapter E 335.112(a)(8) 30 TAC Chapter 335, SubChapter C 335.69(a)(1)(A) Description: Failed to maintain weekly inspections for Waste Management Unit 005 for the weeks of 1/2/16, 3/5/16, 6/25/16, 7/23/16, 12/17/16, 2/18/17. Viol. Moderate Classification: 30 TAC Chapter 117, SubChapter B 117.354(a) Citation: Description: Failed to have a NOx Final Control Plan. Viol. Moderate Classification: Citation: 40 CFR Chapter 262, SubChapter I, PT 262, SubPT A 262.17(a)(1)(v) 40 CFR Chapter 265, SubChapter I, PT 265, SubPT I 265.174 30 TAC Chapter 335, SubChapter E 335.112(a)(8) 30 TAC Chapter 335, SubChapter C 335.69(a)(1)(A) Description: Failed to maintain weekly inspections for Waste Management Unit 003. Notice of Intent Date: 03/16/2022 (1805115) Disclosure Date: 09/27/2022 Viol. Moderate Classification: 30 TAC Chapter 115, SubChapter H 115.725(d)(1) Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 116, SubChapter B 116.115(c) Rqmt Prov: PERMIT SC Description: Failed to conduct annual calibration for the flare temperature and pressure system. Viol. Minor Classification: 30 TAC Chapter 115, SubChapter H 115.726(a)(1) Citation: Description: Failed to update the site Quality Assurance Plan (QAP) for East Storage Cold Flare (EPN FL-COLD). Viol. Moderate Classification: 30 TAC Chapter 117, SubChapter B 117.310(c)(1) Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 122, SubChapter B 122.143(4) Rgmt Prov: OP STC 8 Description: Failed to locate calibration records for the fuel gas flow meter (EPN HT15003) for 2020 or 2021. Viol. Moderate Classification: 30 TAC Chapter 117, SubChapter B 117.310(c)(1) Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 122, SubChapter B 122.143(4) Rgmt Prov: OP STC 8 Description: Failed to locate calibration records for the fuel gas flow meter (EPN REGEN-1) for 2021. Viol. Moderate Classification: 40 CFR Chapter 60, SubChapter C, PT 60, SubPT RRR 60.705(I) Citation: Description: Failed to submit NSPS Subpart RRR reports as required under the Alternate Monitoring Plan. Disclosure Date: 03/30/2023 Viol. Minor Classification: 40 CFR Chapter 60, SubChapter C, PT 60, SubPT VV 60.487(a) Citation:

40 CFR Chapter 60, SubChapter C, PT 60, SubPT VVa 60.487a(a) Description: Failed to submit semiannual NSPS Subpart VV or VVa reports as required. Viol. Minor Classification: Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 115, SubChapter H 115.786(c) Description: Failed to submit semiannual HRVOC non-repairable reports as required. Viol. Minor Classification: Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 115, SubChapter H 115.788(a) Description: Failed to conduct annual HRVOC audit.

- G. Type of environmental management systems (EMSs): N/A
- H. Voluntary on-site compliance assessment dates:  $$\rm N/A$$
- I. Participation in a voluntary pollution reduction program:  $$\rm N/A$$
- J. Early compliance:

N/A

Sites Outside of Texas:

N/A

# TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY



§

§

§

§

Ş

IN THE MATTER OF AN ENFORCEMENT ACTION CONCERNING ENTERPRISE PRODUCTS OPERATING LLC RN102984911 BEFORE THE TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

#### AGREED ORDER DOCKET NO. 2022-1103-IWD-E

### I. JURISDICTION AND STIPULATIONS

On \_\_\_\_\_\_, the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality ("the Commission" or "TCEQ") considered this agreement of the parties, resolving an enforcement action regarding Enterprise Products Operating LLC (the "Respondent") under the authority of TEX. WATER CODE chs. 7 and 26. The Executive Director of the TCEQ, through the Enforcement Division, and the Respondent together stipulate that:

- 1. The Respondent owns and operates a chemical manufacturing facility located at 316 South Main Street, approximately 0.15 miles east of the intersection of Highway 146 and Sun Oil Road, in the City of Mont Belvieu, Chambers County, Texas (the "Facility"). The Facility is near or adjacent to water in the state as defined in TEX. WATER CODE § 26.001(5).
- 2. The Executive Director and the Respondent agree that the TCEQ has jurisdiction to enter this Order pursuant to Tex. WATER CODE §§ 7.002, 7.051, and 7.073, and that the Respondent is subject to TCEQ's jurisdiction. The TCEQ has jurisdiction in this matter pursuant to Tex. WATER CODE § 5.013 because it alleges violations of Tex. WATER CODE ch. 26 and the rules of the TCEQ.
- 3. The occurrence of any violation is in dispute and the entry of this Order shall not constitute an admission by the Respondent of any violation alleged in Section II ("Allegations"), nor of any statute or rule.
- 4. An administrative penalty in the amount of \$15,975 is assessed by the Commission in settlement of the violations alleged in Section II ("Allegations"). The Respondent paid \$6,390 of the penalty and \$3,195 of the penalty is deferred contingent upon the Respondent's timely and satisfactory compliance with all the terms of this Order and shall be waived only upon full compliance with all the terms and conditions of this Order. If the Respondent fails to timely and satisfactorily comply with any of the terms and conditions contained in this Order, the Executive Director may demand payment of all or part of the deferred penalty amount.

Pursuant to TEX. WATER CODE § 7.067, \$6,390 of the penalty shall be conditionally offset by the Respondent's timely and satisfactory completion of a Supplemental Environmental Project ("SEP") as defined in the attached SEP Agreement ("Attachment A", incorporated herein by reference). The Respondent's obligation to pay the conditionally offset portion of the penalty shall be discharged upon full compliance with all the terms and conditions of this Order, which includes the timely and satisfactory completion of all provisions of the SEP Agreement, as determined by the Executive Director.

- 5. The Executive Director and the Respondent agree on a settlement of the matters alleged in this enforcement action, subject to final approval in accordance with 30 Tex. ADMIN. CODE § 70.10(a). Any notice and procedures, which might otherwise be authorized or required in this action, are waived in the interest of a more timely resolution of the matter.
- 6. The Executive Director may, without further notice or hearing, refer this matter to the Office of the Attorney General of the State of Texas ("OAG") for further enforcement proceedings if the Executive Director determines that the Respondent has not complied with one or more of the terms or conditions in this Order.
- 7. This Order represents the complete and fully integrated agreement of the parties. The provisions of this Order are deemed severable and, if a court of competent jurisdiction or other appropriate authority deems any provision of this Order unenforceable, the remaining provisions shall be valid and enforceable.
- 8. This Order shall terminate five years from its effective date or upon compliance with all the terms and conditions set forth in this Order, whichever is later.

#### **II. ALLEGATIONS**

During a record review for the Facility conducted on March 30, 2022, an investigator documented that the Respondent failed to comply with permitted effluent limitations, in violation of 30 Tex. ADMIN. CODE § 305.125(1), Tex. WATER CODE § 26.121(a)(1), and Texas Pollutant Discharge Elimination System ("TPDES") Permit No. WQ0004966000, Effluent Limitations and Monitoring Requirements Nos. 1 and 2, as shown in the effluent violation table below:

Effluent Violation Table								
	pH Maximum	Total Copper Daily Average Concentration	Total Copper Daily Maximum Concentration					
Monitoring Period	Limit = 9.0 SU	Limit = 0.0259 mg/L	Limit = 0.0548 mg/L					
May 2021	С	С	С					
June 2021	С	С	С					
July 2021	С	С	С					
October 2021	9.2	С	0.0759					
November 2021	9.9	С	С					
December 2021	С	0.094	0.32					

	Free Chlorine Daily Average Concentration	Free Chlorine Daily Maximum Concentration	Chemical Oxygen Demand Daily Average Concentration	Chemical Oxygen Demand Daily Maximum Concentration
Monitoring Period	Limit = 0.20 mg/L	Limit = 0.50 mg/L	Limit = 150 mg/L	Limit = 200 mg/L
May 2021	С	С	217.5	438
June 2021	0.34	2.2	С	262
July 2021	С	С	С	225
October 2021	С	С	С	254
November 2021	0.5	0.7	С	С
December 2021	0.28	С	С	С

SU = standard units c = compliant mg/L = milligrams per liter

#### **III. DENIALS**

The Respondent generally denies each allegation in Section II ("Allegations").

#### **IV. ORDERING PROVISIONS**

NOW, THEREFORE, THE TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY ORDERS that:

1. The Respondent is assessed a penalty as set forth in Section I, Paragraph No. 4. The payment of this penalty and the Respondent's compliance with all of the requirements set forth in this Order resolve only the allegations in Section II. The Commission shall not be constrained in any manner from requiring corrective action or penalties for violations which are not raised here. Penalty payments shall be made payable to "TCEQ" and shall be sent with the notation "Re: Enterprise Products Operating LLC, Docket No. 2022-1103-IWD-E" to:

Financial Administration Division, Revenue Operations Section Attention: Cashier's Office, MC 214 Texas Commission on Environmental Quality P.O. Box 13088 Austin, Texas 78711-3088

- 2. The Respondent shall implement and complete the SEP as set forth in Section I, Paragraph No. 4. The amount of \$6,390 of the assessed penalty is conditionally offset based on the Respondent's implementation and completion of the SEP pursuant to the terms of the SEP Agreement, as defined in Attachment A. Penalty payments for any portion of the SEP deemed by the Executive Director as not complete shall be paid within 30 days after the date the Executive Director demands payment.
- 3. The Respondent shall, within 130 days after the effective date of this Order, submit written certification of compliance with the permitted effluent limitations of TPDES Permit No. WQ0004966000, including specific corrective actions that were implemented

at the Facility to achieve compliance and copies of the most current self-reported discharge monitoring reports, demonstrating at least three consecutive months of compliance with all permitted effluent limitations, and include detailed supporting documentation including photographs, receipts, and/or other records to demonstrate compliance. The certification shall be signed by the Respondent and shall include the following certification language:

"I certify under penalty of law that I have personally examined and am familiar with the information submitted and all attached documents, and that based on my inquiry of those individuals immediately responsible for obtaining the information, I believe that the submitted information is true, accurate and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fines and imprisonment for knowing violations."

The certification shall be submitted to:

Enforcement Division, MC 149A Texas Commission on Environmental Quality P.O. Box 13087 Austin, Texas 78711-3087

with a copy to:

Water Section Manager Houston Regional Office Texas Commission on Environmental Quality 5425 Polk Street, Suite H Houston, Texas 77023-1452

- 4. All relief not expressly granted in this Order is denied.
- 5. The duties and provisions imposed by this Order shall apply to and be binding upon the Respondent. The Respondent is ordered to give notice of this Order to personnel who maintain day-to-day control over the Facility operations referenced in this Order.
- 6. The Executive Director may grant an extension of any deadline in this Order or in any plan, report, or other document submitted pursuant to this Order, upon a written and substantiated showing of good cause. All requests for extensions by the Respondent shall be made in writing to the Executive Director. Extensions are not effective until the Respondent receives written approval from the Executive Director. The determination of what constitutes good cause rests solely with the Executive Director. Extension requests shall be sent to the Enforcement Division at the address listed above.
- 7. This Order, issued by the Commission, shall not be admissible against the Respondent in a civil proceeding, unless the proceeding is brought by the OAG to: (1) enforce the terms of this Order; or (2) pursue violations of a statute within the Commission's jurisdiction, or of a rule adopted or an order or permit issued by the Commission under such a statute.

Enterprise Products Operating LLC DOCKET NO. 2022-1103-IWD-E Page 5

- 8. This Order may be executed in separate and multiple counterparts, which together shall constitute a single instrument. Any page of this Order may be copied, scanned, digitized, converted to electronic portable document format ("pdf"), or otherwise reproduced and may be transmitted by digital or electronic transmission, including but not limited to facsimile transmission and electronic mail. Any signature affixed to this Order shall constitute an original signature for all purposes and may be used, filed, substituted, or issued for any purpose for which an original signature could be used. The term "signature" shall include manual signatures and true and accurate reproductions of manual signatures created, executed, endorsed, adopted, or authorized by the person or persons to whom the signatures are attributable. Signatures may be copied or reproduced digitally, electronically, by photocopying, engraving, imprinting, lithographing, electronic mail, facsimile transmission, stamping, or any other means or process which the Executive Director deems acceptable. In this paragraph exclusively, the terms: electronic transmission, owner, person, writing, and written, shall have the meanings assigned to them under Tex. Bus. Org. Code § 1.002.
- 9. The effective date of this Order is the date it is signed by the Commission. A copy of this fully executed Order shall be provided to each of the parties.

**Enterprise Products Operating LLC** DOCKET NO. 2022-1103-IWD-E Page 6

#### SIGNATURE PAGE

#### TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

For the Commission

Date

For the Executive Director

11/8/2024 Date

I, the undersigned, have read and understand the attached Order. I am authorized to agree to the attached Order, and I do agree to the terms and conditions specified therein. I further acknowledge that the TCEQ, in accepting payment for the penalty amount, is materially relying on such representation.

I also understand that failure to comply with the Ordering Provisions, if any, in this Order and/or failure to timely pay the penalty amount, may result in:

- A negative impact on compliance history;
- Greater scrutiny of any permit applications submitted:
- Referral of this case to the OAG for contempt, injunctive relief, additional penalties, and/or attorney fees, or to a collection agency;
- Increased penalties in any future enforcement actions; .
- Automatic referral to the OAG of any future enforcement actions; and
- TCEQ seeking other relief as authorized hy law.

In addition, any falsification of any compliance documents may result in criminal prosecution.

Signature

Bradley J. Cooley

Name (Printed or typed) Authorized Representative of **Enterprise Products Operating LLC**  09/30/2024

Date

Senior Director Title

□ If mailing address has changed, please check this box and provide the new address below:

#### Attachment A

#### Docket Number: 2022-1103-IWD-E

#### SUPPLEMENTAL ENVIRONMENTAL PROJECT

Respondent:	Enterprise Products Operating LLC		
Payable Penalty Amount:	\$12,780		
SEP Offset Amount:	\$6,390		
Type of SEP:	Contribution to a Third-Party Administrator SEP		
Third-Party Administrator:	City of Baytown		
Project Name:	Residential Sewer Line Repair and Replacement Program		
Total Project Budget:	\$19,959,000		
Location of SEP:	Fort Bend, Grimes, Harris, Liberty, Montgomery, San Jacinto, Walker, and Waller Counties		

The Texas Commission on Environmental Quality ("TCEQ") agrees to offset a portion of the administrative penalty amount assessed in this Agreed Order for the Respondent to contribute to a Supplemental Environmental Project ("SEP"). The offset is equal to the SEP Offset Amount set forth above and is conditioned upon completion of the project in accordance with the terms of this Attachment A.

#### 1. Project Description

#### A. Project

The Respondent shall contribute the SEP Offset Amount to the Third-Party Administrator named above. The contribution will be to **City of Baytown** for the *Residential Sewer Line Repair and Replacement Program* (the "Project"). The Project is to repair or replace eligible homeowners' private sewer lines, thereby reducing inflow and infiltration (I&I) of storm water into Third-Party Administrator's wastewater system. The SEP will be done in accordance with all federal, state, and local environmental laws and regulations. The contribution will be used in accordance with the SEP Agreement between the Third-Party Administrator and the TCEQ.

All dollars contributed will be used solely for the direct cost of implementing the Project, including, but not limited to supplies, materials, and equipment. Any portion of this contribution that is not spent on the specifically identified SEP may, at the discretion of the Executive Director ("ED"), be applied to another pre-approved SEP.

The Respondent's signature affixed to this Agreed Order certifies that the Respondent has no prior commitment to make this contribution and that it is being contributed solely in an effort to settle this enforcement action. The Respondent shall not profit in any manner from this SEP.

#### B. Environmental Benefit

The City of Baytown had 164 Sanitary Sewer Overflows (SSOs) in 2017, which included 3,406,735 gallons of untreated wastewater polluting waterways. It is a common industry estimate that 50% of I&I originates from private sewer laterals. This program could effectively address over 1.5 million gallons of untreated wastewater that pollutes Texas waterways each year.

Enterprise Products Operating LLC Docket No. 2022-1103-IWD-E Agreed Order - Attachment A

# C. Minimum Expenditure

The Respondent shall contribute at least the SEP Offset Amount to the Third-Party Administrator and comply with all other provisions of this SEP.

#### 2. Performance Schedule

Within 30 days after the effective date of this Agreed Order, the Respondent must contribute the SEP Offset Amount to the Third-Party Administrator. The Respondent shall make the check payable to **City of Baytown SEP** and shall mail the contribution with a copy of the Agreed Order to:

Director of Public Works P.O. Box 424 Baytown, Texas 77522 79005

#### 3. Records and Reporting

Concurrent with the payment of the SEP Offset Amount, the Respondent shall provide the Enforcement SEP Coordinator with a copy of the check and transmittal letter indicating full payment of the SEP Offset Amount to the Third-Party Administrator. The Respondent shall mail a copy of the check and transmittal letter to:

Texas Commission on Environmental Quality Enforcement Division Attention: SEP Coordinator, MC 219 P.O. Box 13087 Austin, Texas 78711-3087

#### 4. Failure to Fully Perform

If the Respondent does not perform its obligations under this Attachment A, including full expenditure of the SEP Offset Amount and submittal of the required reporting described in Sections 2 and 3 above, the ED may require immediate payment of all or part of the SEP Offset Amount.

In the event the ED determines that the Respondent failed to fully implement and complete the Project, the Respondent shall remit payment for all or a portion of the SEP Offset Amount, as determined by the ED, and as set forth in the attached Agreed Order. After receiving notice of failure to complete the SEP, the Respondent shall include the docket number of the attached Agreed Order and a note that the enclosed payment is for the reimbursement of a SEP, shall make the check payable to "Texas Commission on Environmental Quality," and shall mail it to:

Texas Commission on Environmental Quality Litigation Division Attention: SEP Coordinator, MC 175 P.O. Box 13087 Austin, Texas 78711-3087

#### 5. Publicity

Any public statements concerning this SEP and/or project, made by or on behalf of the Respondent must include a clear statement that **the project was performed as part of the settlement of an enforcement action brought by the TCEQ**. Such statements include advertising, public relations, and press releases.

Enterprise Products Operating LLC Docket No. 2022-1103-IWD-E Agreed Order - Attachment A

# 6. Recognition

The Respondent may not seek recognition for this contribution in any other state or federal regulatory program.

# 7. Other SEPs by TCEQ or Other Agencies

The SEP Offset Amount identified in this Attachment A and in the attached Agreed Order has not been, and shall not be, included as a SEP for the Respondent under any other Agreed Order negotiated with the TCEQ or any other agency of the state or federal government.