State Office of Administrative Hearings

Kristofer S. Monson Chief Administrative Law Judge

May 20, 2024

Mary Smith General Counsel Texas Commission on Environmental Quality VIA E-FILE TEXAS

RE: Docket Number 582-23-22762.TCEQ; Texas Commission on Environmental Quality No. 2023-0649-AIR; APPLICATION BY EXXON MOBIL CORPORATION TO AMEND AIR QUALITY PERMIT NO. 102982 IN BAYTOWN, HARRIS COUNTY, TEXAS

Dear Ms. Smith:

Following the issuance of the Proposal for Decision (PFD) in this matter, the Executive Director (ED) filed exceptions; and Exxon Mobil Corporation (Applicant or Exxon) filed a reply to those exceptions. Protestants Environment Texas and Theresa Blackwood and the Office of Public Interest Counsel (OPIC) did not file exceptions or a reply.

The ED agrees with the PFD but seeks clarification of a sentence on page 45 of the decision. On that page, in discussing Applicant and the ED's position, the ALJ wrote that Exxon modeled the impacts of certain pollutants and performed a de minimis modeling demonstration. The PFD stated that no further analysis was required for certain pollutants. The PFD continued to state that Exxon only performed a minor New Source Review (NSR) review and not a full Prevention of Significant Deterioration (PSD) review. The ED notes that because the predicted concentrations were less than the respective de minimis levels, a full National Ambient Air Quality Standard (NAAQS) analysis was not required. Therefore, the ED would like the ALJ to amend the sentence to state, "Exxon only performed a

Exceptions Letter May 20, 2024 Page 2 of 2

minor NSR review and not a full <u>PSD NAAQS</u> review." Applicant agrees with the change requested by the ED.

The ALJ agrees that the requested clarification is appropriate and should be made to the PFD.

Mathe Jonladi

Meitra Farhadi, Presiding Administrative Law Judge

CC: Service List