### EXECUTIVE SUMMARY – ENFORCEMENT MATTER – CASE NO. 63520 OHK GLOBAL INC dba Snappy Foods 17 RN105683817 Docket No. 2024-0372-PST-E

Order Type:

Default Shutdown Order

Media:

**PST** 

**Small Business:** 

Yes

**Location Where Violations Occurred:** 

1002 United States Highway 77, Bishop, Nueces County

Type of Operation:

an underground storage tank ("UST") system and a convenience store with retail sales of gasoline

**Other Significant Matters:** 

Additional Pending Enforcement Actions: Yes; 2022-1056-PST-E; 2023-1294-PST-E;

2024-0367-PST-E; 2024-0368-PST-E; 2024-0369-PST-E; 2024-0370-PST-E: 2024-0371-PST-E; 2024-0373-PST-E; 2024-0374-PST-E; 2024-0375-PST-E; 2024-0387-PST-E; 2024-0389-PST-E; 2024-0

2024-0390-PST-E

Past-Due Penalties:

Past-Due Fees:

Other:

None
Interested Third-Parties:

None

**Texas Register Publication Date:** October 11, 2024

Comments Received: None

**Penalty Information** 

**Total Penalty Assessed:** \$43,758

Total Paid to General Revenue: \$0

**Total Due to General Revenue:** \$43,758

**Compliance History Classifications:** 

Person/CN - Satisfactory Site/RN - Satisfactory

Major Source: No Statutory Limit Adjustment: None

**Applicable Penalty Policy:** January 28, 2021

**Investigation Information** 

Complaint Date: N/A

**Date of Investigation:** April 5, 2022

Date of NOV: N/A

**Date of NOE:** August 18, 2022

### EXECUTIVE SUMMARY - ENFORCEMENT MATTER - CASE No. 63520 OHK GLOBAL INC dba Snappy Foods 17 RN105683817 Docket No. 2024-0372-PST-E

### **Violation Information**

- 1. Failed to monitor the UST in a manner which will detect a release at a frequency of at least once every 30 days [Tex. Water Code § 26.3475(c)(1) and 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 334.50(b)(1)(A)].
- 2. Failed to test the spill prevention equipment at least once every three years to ensure the equipment is liquid tight [Tex. Water Code § 26.3475(c)(2) and 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 334.48(g)(1)(A)(ii) and (h)(1)(B)(ii)].
- 3. Failed to conduct annual walkthrough inspections for the regulated substance releases in the containment sump and to the environment [Tex. Water Code § 26.3475(c)(2) and 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 334.48(g)(1)(A)(ii) and (h)(1)(B)(ii)].
- 4. Failed to investigate and confirm all suspected releases of regulated substances requiring reporting within 30 days [30 Tex. ADMIN. CODE § 334.74].
- 5. Failed to report suspected releases to the agency within 24 hours of discovery [30 Tex. Admin. Code § 334.72].
- 6. Failed to securely anchor the emergency shutoff valves at the base of each dispenser [30 Tex. ADMIN. CODE § 334.45(c)(3)(A)].
- 7. Failed to equip each UST with a valve or other appropriate device designed to automatically restrict the flow of regulated substances into the UST when the liquid level in the UST reaches a preset level [Tex. Water Code § 26.3475(c)(2) and 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 334.51(b)(2)(C)(ii)].
- 8. Failed to assure that spill and overfill prevention devices are maintained in good operating condition [Tex. Water Code § 26.3475(c)(2) and 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 334.51(a)(6)].

### **Corrective Actions/Technical Requirements**

#### **Corrective Action(s) Completed:**

None

#### **Technical Requirements:**

- 1. Immediately shut down operations of all USTs at the Facility:
  - a. Cease dispensing fuel from the USTs;
  - b. Cease receiving deliveries of regulated substances into the USTs;
  - c. Secure the dispensers:
  - d. Empty the USTs of all regulated substances; and
  - e. Temporarily remove the USTs from service.
- 2. The Facility's UST fuel delivery certificate is revoked immediately. Respondent may submit an application for a new fuel delivery certificate only after Respondent has complied with all of the requirements set forth in the Order, including payment of the administrative penalty in full.
- 3. The USTs shall remain out of service until such time as Respondent demonstrates to the satisfaction of the Executive Director that the release detection and spill and overfill prevention violations have been corrected and Respondent obtains a new fuel delivery certificate for the Facility.
- 4. Immediately cease accepting fuel at the Facility until such time as a valid delivery certificate is obtained from the TCEO.
- 5. Within 10 days surrender the Facility's UST fuel delivery certificate to the TCEQ.

### EXECUTIVE SUMMARY – ENFORCEMENT MATTER – CASE NO. 63520 OHK GLOBAL INC dba Snappy Foods 17 RN105683817 Docket No. 2024-0372-PST-E

- 6. Within 15 days submit a detailed written report documenting the steps taken to comply with Technical Requirement Nos. 1.a. through 1.e., 4 and 5.
- 7. Prior to receiving deliveries of gasoline and resuming retail sales of gasoline:
  - a. Implement a release detection method for the UST at the Facility;
  - b. Conduct the triennial inspection of the spill prevention equipment;
  - c. Conduct the annual walkthrough inspection of the containment sumps;
  - d. Conduct an investigation of the suspected releases and implement appropriate corrective measures;
  - e. Develop and implement a process for reporting suspected releases timely;
  - f. Securely anchor the shear valves at the base of Dispenser nos. 7/8, 11/12, and 13/14;
  - g. Install automatic shutoff valves on each UST;
  - h. Properly repair the connection points leaks at the product piping connected to Dispenser Nos. 1/2, 5/6, 7/8, and 11/12 by a TCEQ licensed contractor; and
  - i. Obtain a new fuel delivery certificate.
- 8. Upon obtaining a new fuel delivery certificate, post the fuel delivery certificate in a location at the Facility where the delivery certificate is clearly visible at all times.
- 9. Within 10 days of resuming sales of gasoline, submit written certification to demonstrate compliance with Technical Requirement Nos. 7 and 8.

#### **Litigation Information**

Date Petition Filed:April 24, 2024Date of Service:April 29, 2024

#### **Contact Information**

TCEQ Attorneys: Jennifer Peltier, Litigation Division, (512) 239-3400

Sheldon Wayne, Public Interest Counsel, (512) 239-6363

TCEQ Litigation Agenda Coordinator: Katherine McKenzie, Litigation Division, (512) 239-2575

TCEQ Enforcement Coordinator: Sushil Modak, Enforcement Division, (512) 239-2142

**TCEQ Regional Contact:** Tim Perdue, Corpus Christi Regional Office, (361) 881-6900

Respondent Contact: Seth Kretzer, Registered Agent and Director, OHK GLOBAL INC, 917 Franklin

Street, Sixth Floor, Houston, Texas 77002

Respondent's Attorney: N/A

**Owner Contact:** Corporation Service Company dba CSC-Lawyer Incorporating Service Company,

Registered Agent for Realty Income Properties 9, LLC, 211 East 7th Street, Suite 620,

Austin, Texas 78701-3218

# THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK



# Penalty Calculation Worksheet (PCW)

Policy Revision 5 (January 28, 2021) PCW Revision February 11, 2021

DATES Assigned 29-Aug-2022

 Assigned
 29-Aug-2022

 PCW
 21-Feb-2024
 Screening
 5-Dec-2022
 EPA Due

RESPONDENT/FACILITY INFORMATION

Respondent OHK GLOBAL INC dba Snappy Foods 17

Reg. Ent. Ref. No. RN105683817

Facility/Site Region 14-Corpus Christi Major/Minor Source Minor

CASE INFORMATION

Enf./Case ID No. 63520
Docket No. 2024-0372-PST-E
Media Program(s) Petroleum Storage Tank
Multi-Media

Admin. Penalty \$ Limit Minimum \$0 Maximum \$25,000

		Penalty			tion			
TOTAL BASE PENA	LTY (Sum of v	violation bas	se penal	ties)			Subtotal 1	\$36,250
DJUSTMENTS (+)	/-) TO SUBTO	ΓAL 1						
Subtotals 2-7 are ob  Compliance His	tained by multiplying t	ne Total Base Pena	Ity (Subtotal : 20.0%	<ol> <li>by the indicate Adjustment</li> </ol>	ed percenta	-	tals 2, 3, & 7	\$7,250
Notes	•	for one prior o			of liabili			¥1/ <b>=</b> 50
Culpability	No		0.0%	Enhancement			Subtotal 4	\$(
Notes	The Resp	ondent does no	ot meet the	Culpability c	riteria.			
Good Faith Effo	ort to Comply To	tal Adjustmen	ts				Subtotal 5	\$0
Economic Bene Estimated	Total EB Amounts Cost of Compliance	\$709 \$6,200		Enhancement* ed at the Total EE	3 \$ Amount	:	Subtotal 6	\$0
SUM OF SUBTOTAL	-S 1-7					F	inal Subtotal	\$43,500
THER FACTORS A				0.6%	<b>6</b>		Adjustment	\$258
Notes	Recommended er	nhancement to ssociated with	•		t of comp	pliance		
L					Fi	nal Per	nalty Amount	\$43,758
TATUTORY LIMIT	ADJUSTMENT	Γ			Fina	al Asse	ssed Penalty	\$43,758
DEFERRAL educes the Final Assessed Per	nalty by the indicated r	e e recento de		0.0%	<b>∕o</b> Red≀	uction	Adjustment	\$0
Notes		ferral is recomn	nended for	Findings Ord	lers.			
PAYABLE PENALTY	1							\$43,758

Policy Revision 5 (January 28, 2021) PCW Revision February 11, 2021

Respondent OHK GLOBAL INC dba Snappy Foods 17

**Case ID No.** 63520

Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN105683817

**Media** Petroleum Storage Tank

Enf. Coordinator Sushil Modak

Compliance History Worksheet >> Compliance History Site Enhancement (Subtotal 2)											
	CO	Component	Number of	Number	Adjust.						
		NOVs	Written notices of violation ("NOVs") with same or similar violations as those in the current enforcement action (number of NOVs meeting criteria)	0	0%						
			Other written NOVs	0	0%						
			Any agreed final enforcement orders containing a denial of liability ( <i>number of orders meeting criteria</i> )	1	20%						
		Orders	Any adjudicated final enforcement orders, agreed final enforcement orders without a denial of liability, or default orders of this state or the federal government, or any final prohibitory emergency orders issued by the commission	0	0%						
		Judgments	Any non-adjudicated final court judgments or consent decrees containing a denial of liability of this state or the federal government (number of judgments or consent decrees meeting criteria)	0	0%						
		and Consent Decrees	Any adjudicated final court judgments and default judgments, or non-adjudicated final court judgments or consent decrees without a denial of liability, of this state or the federal government	0	0%						
		Convictions	Any criminal convictions of this state or the federal government (number of counts)	0	0%						
		Emissions	Chronic excessive emissions events (number of events)	0	0%						
		Audits	Letters notifying the executive director of an intended audit conducted under the Texas Environmental, Health, and Safety Audit Privilege Act, 74th Legislature, 1995 (number of audits for which notices were submitted)	0	0%						
		Disclosures of violations under the Texas Environmental, Health, and Safety Audit Privilege Act, 74th Legislature, 1995 (number of audits for which violations were disclosed)			0%						
			Environmental management systems in place for one year or more	No	0%						
		Other	Voluntary on-site compliance assessments conducted by the executive director under a special assistance program	No	0%						
			Participation in a voluntary pollution reduction program	No	0%						
			Early compliance with, or offer of a product that meets future state or federal government environmental requirements	No	0%						
			Adjustment Per	centage (Sub	ototal 2) 20%						
>>	Re	peat Violator	(Subtotal 3)								
		No	Adjustment Per	centage (Sub	ototal 3) 0%						
>>	Co	mpliance Hist	ory Person Classification (Subtotal 7)								
		Satisfactory	Performer Adjustment Per	centage (Sub	ototal 7) 0%						
>>	Co	mpliance Hist	ory Summary								
		Compliance History Notes	Enhancement for one prior order containing a denial of liability.								
>-	Fins	l Compliance	Total Compliance History Adjustment Percentage (S	Subtotals 2,	<b>3, &amp; 7)</b> 20%						
		ii compliance	Final Adjustment Percent	age *capped.	at <b>100%</b> 20%						
			· ····································								

	Screening Date		Docket No. 2024-0372-	PST-E	PCW
	<del>-</del>	OHK GLOBAL INC dba Snapp	y Foods 17	Policy Re	evision 5 (January 28, 2021)
	Case ID No.			PCW	Revision February 11, 2021
Reg.	Ent. Reference No.				
		Petroleum Storage Tank			
	Enf. Coordinator				
	Violation Number	1			
	Rule Cite(s)	20 Tay Admin Code 5 2	24 FO(b)(1)(A) and Toy, Water Code	26 2475(a)(1)	
		30 Tex. Admin. Code 9 3	34.50(b)(1)(A) and Tex. Water Code	26.3475(0)(1)	
		Failed to monitor the unde	rground storage tank ("UST") in a m	annor which will	
	<b>Violation Description</b>		t a frequency of at least once every 3		
		200000 a 10.0000 a	e a mequency of actions of one	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	
				Base Penalty	\$25,000
>> En	vironmental, Prope	ty and Human Health	Matrix		
	Release	<b>Harm</b> Major Moderate	Minor		
OR	Actual	Major	THINOI		
	Potential	Х	Percent	15.0%	
	. 555	<u> </u>		13.070	
>>Pro	grammatic Matrix				
	Falsification	Major Moderate	Minor		
			Percent	0.0%	
	Matrix Human bankh	or the environment will or o	ould be expected to pollutante that we	auld aveced levels	
			ould be exposed to pollutants that we environmental receptors as a result o		
	Notes that are pr	otective of fidinal fleatiff of e	environmental receptors as a result of	i the violation.	
	<u>-</u>				
			Adjustment	\$21,250	
				г	\$3,750
				L	\$3,730
Violati	on Events				
- 10101					
	Number of \	/iolation Events 2	244 Number of v	iolation days	
		daily			
		weekly			
		monthly			
		quarterly	Violati	ion Base Penalty	\$7,500
		semiannual x			
		annual			
		single event	<u>I</u>		
	Two semia		ded from the April 5, 2022 investigati	ion date to the	
		December	5, 2022 screening date.		
Good F	aith Efforts to Com			Reduction	\$0
		Before NOE/NOV	NOE/NOV to EDPRP/Settlement Offer		
		Extraordinary			
		Ordinary			
		N/A x			
		The Respon	dent does not meet the good faith cr	riteria	
		Notes	for this violation.		
			V	iolation Subtotal	\$7,500
			V	iolation Subtotal	φ7,500
Econor	mic Benefit (EB) for	this violation	Statutory	Limit Test	
	Estimat	ed EB Amount	\$92 Violation Fir	nal Penalty Total	\$9,053
	Estillati	a Lo Allivulit	φσ2] VIOIALIOII FII	iai reliaity lotal	φ9,000
		This viola	ation Final Assessed Penalty (adj	usted for limits)	\$9,053

	E	conomic	Benefit	Woi	ksheet		
		INC dba Snappy F	oods 17				
Case ID No.							
Reg. Ent. Reference No.							
Media Violation No.	Petroleum Sto 1	orage Tank				Percent Interest	Years of Depreciation
						5.0	15
	Item Cost	<b>Date Required</b>	Final Date	Yrs	<b>Interest Saved</b>	Costs Saved	EB Amount
Item Description							
Delayed Costs				_			
Equipment				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Buildings				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Engineering/Construction				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Land				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Record Keeping System				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Training/Sampling				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Remediation/Disposal				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Permit Costs	\$1,500	5-Apr-2022	25-Jun-2023	1.22	\$0 \$92	n/a n/a	\$0 \$92
Other (as needed)	\$1,500	5-ADT-2022	25-Jun-2023	1.22	\$92	n/a	\$92
Notes for DELAYED costs	Requ	uired is the investi	gation date and	the Fin	al Date is the estin	ne UST at the Facilit nated date of compl	iance.
Avoided Costs	ANNU	ALIZE avoided c	osts before en			one-time avoided	
Disposal				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Personnel				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Inspection/Reporting/Sampling				0.00	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0
Supplies/Equipment Financial Assurance				0.00	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0
ONE-TIME avoided costs				0.00	\$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0
Other (as needed)				0.00	\$0	\$0 \$0	\$0
Notes for AVOIDED costs				0.00	1 \$0	ΦU	50
Approx. Cost of Compliance		\$1,500			TOTAL		\$92

	E	conomic	Benefit	Woi	rksheet		
Respondent	OHK GLOBAL	INC dba Snappy F	oods 17				
Case ID No.	63520						
Reg. Ent. Reference No.		,					
	Petroleum Sto					Percent Interest	Years of Depreciation
violation No.	2					F 0	
						5.0	15
		Date Required	Final Date	Yrs	Interest Saved	Costs Saved	EB Amount
Item Description							
Delayed Costs				_			
Equipment				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Buildings				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Engineering/Construction				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Land				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Record Keeping System				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Training/Sampling				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Remediation/Disposal				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Permit Costs				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Other (as needed)	\$200	5-Apr-2022	25-Jun-2023	1.22	\$12	n/a	\$12
	Estimated del	ayed cost to cond	uct the triennial	testing	of the spill preven	tion overfill equipm	ent (\$100) and
Notes for DELAYED costs	to conduct the	e annual walkthro	ugh inspection o	f the co	ntainment sumps	(\$100). The Date	Required is the
		investigation	date, and the Fi	nal Date	e is the estimated	compliance date.	•
Avoided Costs	ANINIII	ALTZE avaidad a	acta bafava an	ta vina	itom (oveent for	one-time avoided	d costs)
	ANNO	ALIZE avoided C	osts before en	0.00	\$0		
Disposal Personnel				0.00	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0
Inspection/Reporting/Sampling				0.00	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0
Supplies/Equipment				0.00	\$0	\$0 \$0	\$0
Financial Assurance				0.00	\$0	\$0 \$0	\$0
ONE-TIME avoided costs	\$100	5-Apr-2022	5-Dec-2022	0.67	\$3	\$100	\$103
Other (as needed)	\$100	5-Apr-2022	5-Dec-2022	0.67	\$3	\$100	\$103
2 (25							
						tion overfill equipm	<b>,</b> ,
Notes for AVOIDED costs	to conduct the	e annual walkthro	ugh inspection o	f the co	ntainment sumps	(\$100). The Date	Required is the
		investig	ation date, and	the Fina	al Date is the scree	ening date.	
		, 1					1015
Approx. Cost of Compliance		\$400			TOTAL		\$218

	E	conomic	Benefit	Woi	rksheet		
Respondent	OHK GLOBAL	INC dba Snappy F	oods 17				
Case ID No.	63520						
Reg. Ent. Reference No.							
	Petroleum Sto					A	Years of
Violation No.						Percent Interest	Depreciation
						5.0	15
	Item Cost	<b>Date Required</b>	Final Date	Yrs	<b>Interest Saved</b>	Costs Saved	EB Amount
Item Description							
_							
Delayed Costs				_			
Equipment				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Buildings				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Engineering/Construction				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Land				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Record Keeping System				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Training/Sampling				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Remediation/Disposal				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Permit Costs				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Other (as needed)	\$1,800		25-Jun-2023	1.57	\$141	n/a	\$141
						ne line for each com	
Notes for DELAYED costs		•				n investigation of th	· ·
			•			equired is the earlies	•
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			ated date of complia	
Avoided Costs	ANNU	ALIZE avoided o	osts before en		<u> </u>	one-time avoided	
Disposal				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Personnel				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Inspection/Reporting/Sampling				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Supplies/Equipment				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Financial Assurance				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
ONE-TIME avoided costs				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Notes for AVOIDED costs							
		1					<del></del>
Approx. Cost of Compliance		\$1,800			TOTAL		\$141

Respondent OHK GLOBAL INC Ube Snappy Foods 17  Reg. Ent. Reference No. RNIDSSB312  Reg. Ent. Reference No. RNIDSSB312  Reg. Ent. Reference No. RNIDSSB312  Rule Cite(s)  Rule Cite(s)  Rule Cite(s)  Rule Cite(s)  Falicit to report suspected releases to the agency within 24 hours of discovery. Specifically, inventory control records for the regular unleaded compartment from September 2021 through Narch 2022, for the super unleaded compartment from December 2021 through Narch 2022 and December 2021 and December 2021 through Narch 2022 and December 2021 through Nar		Screening Date		PCW
Reg. Ent. Reference No. NNIJ05683817 Media Erlo. Coordinator Sushi Modak Violation Number 4  Sushi Modak Violation Number 4  Sushi Modak Violation Number 5  Rule Cite (s)  Failed to report suspected releases to the gegure visited and compartment from December 2021 through March 2022, for the super unleaded compartment from December 2021 through March 2022 indicated suspected releases that were not reported.  Sase Penalty \$25,000  Servironmental, Property and Human Health Matrix  Rain Release Major Moderate Minor Actual Rotton Rotton Notes  100% of the rule requirement was not met.  Notes  Number of Violation Events 3 399 Number of violation days  delity weekly weekl		Respondent	OHK GLOBAL INC dba Snappy Foods 17	Policy Revision 5 (January 28, 2021)
Media   Petroleum Storage Tank   September 202 system   Modes   Million   Matrix   Major   Moderate   Minor   Moderate				PCW Revision February 11, 2021
Second Faith Efforts to Comply   Second Estimated EB Amount   State   Second Estimated EB Amount   Se	Reg.			
Violation Number   Rule Cite(s)   30 Tex. Admin. Code § 334-72				
Falled to report suspected releases to the agency with 24 hours of discovery.				
Failed to report suspected releases to the agency within 24 hours of discovery. Specifically, inventory, control records for the regular unleaded compartment from December 2021 through March 2022, for the super unleaded compartment from September 2021 through March 2022, and December 2021 through March 2022, and December 2021 through March 2022, and for the delect compartment from September 2021 through March 2022 industry of the regular unleaded compartment from September 2021 through March 2022, and for the delect compartment from September 2021 through March 2022 industry of the regular unleaded compartment from September 2021 through March 2022 industry of the regular unleaded compartment from September 2021 through March 2022 industry of the regular unleaded compartment from September 2021 through March 2022 industry of the regular unleaded compartment from September 2021 through March 2022 industry of the regular unleaded compartment from September 2021 through March 2022 industry of the regular unleaded support of the regular unleaded compartment from September 2021 through March 2022 industry of the regular unleaded 2007 industry of the regular			4	
Violation Description   Specifically, inventory, control records for the Fegular unleaded compartment from December 2012 through March 2022, for the super unleaded compartment from September 2021 through March 2022, for the super unleaded compartment from September 2021 through March 2022, and December 2021 through March 2022, and December 2021 through March 2022 indicated suspected releases that were not reported.    Sase Penalty   \$25,000		Rule Cite(s)	30 Tex. Admin. Code § 334.72	
Violation Description   Specifically, inventory, control records for the Fegular unleaded compartment from December 2012 through March 2022, for the super unleaded compartment from September 2021 through March 2022, for the super unleaded compartment from September 2021 through March 2022, and December 2021 through March 2022, and December 2021 through March 2022 indicated suspected releases that were not reported.    Sase Penalty   \$25,000				
December 2021 through March 2022, for the super unleaded compartment from September 2021 through October 2021 and December 2021 through October 2021 and December 2021 through October 2021 and December 2021 through March 2022, and for the diesel compartment from September 2021 through October 2021 and December 2021 through October 2021 and December 2021 through March 2022, and for the diesel compartment from September 2021 through October 2021 and December 2021 through October 2021 and December 2021 through March 2022, and for the diesel compartment from September 2021 through October 2021 and December 2021 and December 2021 through October 2021 and December 2021				
Violation Description   September 2021. through Notober 2021 and December 2021 through March 2022, and for the diseles Compartment from September 2021 through March 2022 and December 2021 through March 2022 indicated suspected releases that were not reported.    Page Penalty   September 2021 through March 2022 indicated suspected releases that were not reported.   Percent   0.0%				
December 2021 through March 2022 Indicated suspected releases that were not reported.   Sase Penalty   \$25,000		Violation Description		
Release   Major   Moderate   Minor   Percent   0.0%		-	and for the diesel compartment from September 2021 through October 202	21 and
September   Sept				re not
>> Environmental, Property and Human Health Matrix Harm Release Major Moderate Minor Actual Potential Potential Potential Percent 0.0%  >> Programmatic Matrix Falsification Major Moderate Minor Adjustment Falsification Major Moderate Minor Adjustment Falsification Major Moderate Minor Falsification Major Moderate Minor Adjustment Falsification Major Moderate Minor			reported.	
>> Environmental, Property and Human Health Matrix Harm Release Major Moderate Minor Actual Potential Potential Potential Percent 0.0%  >> Programmatic Matrix Falsification Major Moderate Minor Adjustment Falsification Major Moderate Minor Adjustment Falsification Major Moderate Minor Falsification Major Moderate Minor Adjustment Falsification Major Moderate Minor				
Number of Violation Events   Number of Violation Events   Saminanual annual single event are recommended (one single event for each UST compartment in which a suspected release occurred).    Saminanual annual annual single events are recommended (one single event for each UST compartment in which a suspected release occurred).   Condition Events   Saminanual annual ann			Base I	Penalty \$25,000
Number of Violation Events   Number of Violation Events   Saminanual annual single event are recommended (one single event for each UST compartment in which a suspected release occurred).    Saminanual annual annual single events are recommended (one single event for each UST compartment in which a suspected release occurred).   Condition Events   Saminanual annual ann	>> Fnv	ironmental. Prone	ty and Human Health Matrix	
Percent 0.0%    Potentia   Percent 0.0%		in ominionitally in topol		
Potentia Percent 0.0%  >>Programmatic Matrix Falsification Major Moderate Minor Falsification X    Notes   100% of the rule requirement was not met.		Release	Major Moderate Minor	
>>Programmatic Matrix Falsification Major Moderate Minor  Matrix Notes  100% of the rule requirement was not met.  100% of the rule requirement was not met.  Adjustment \$22,500  \$2,500  Violation Events  Number of Violation Ev	OR			
Falsification Major Moderate Minor    Matrix   Notes   100% of the rule requirement was not met.		Potential	Percent 0.0%	
Falsification Major Moderate Minor    Matrix   Notes   100% of the rule requirement was not met.	> > D	avanomatic Matrix		
Matrix Notes    Matrix Notes   100% of the rule requirement was not met.	>>Prog		Major Moderate Minor	
Matrix Notes    Adjustment   \$22,500		Taisincation		
Adjustment \$22,500  \$2,500  Violation Events  Number of Violation Events 3 399 Number of violation days  daily weekly weekly semianual annual single event			X Teresite 10.0%	
Adjustment \$22,500  \$2,500  Violation Events  Number of Violation Events 3 399 Number of violation days  daily weekly weekly semianual annual single event				
Adjustment \$22,500  \$2,500  Violation Events  Number of Violation Events 3 399 Number of violation days  daily weekly monthly quarterly semiannual annual single event x x  Three single event x x  Three single events are recommended (one single event for each UST compartment in which a suspected release occurred).  Good Faith Efforts to Comply 0.0% NOE/NOV NOE/NOV to EDPRP/Settlement Offer Extraordinary Ordinary N/A x Notes  The Respondent does not meet the good faith criteria for this violation.  Violation Subtotal \$7,500  Economic Benefit (EB) for this violation Statutory Limit Test  Estimated EB Amount \$58 Violation Final Penalty Total \$9,053			100% of the rule requirement was not met.	
Violation Events  Number of Violation Events 3 399 Number of violation days  daily weekly monthly gentlanual annual single event   x   x   x   x   x   x   x   x   x		Notes		
Violation Events  Number of Violation Events 3 399 Number of violation days  daily weekly monthly gentlanual annual single event   x   x   x   x   x   x   x   x   x				
Violation Events    Number of Violation Events   3   399   Number of violation days			Adjustment	\$22,500
Violation Events    Number of Violation Events   3   399   Number of violation days				#2.500
Number of Violation Events 3 399 Number of violation days    daily				\$2,500
Number of Violation Events 3 399 Number of violation days    daily	Violatio	on Events		
daily   weekly   monthly   quarterly   semiannual   annual   single event   x   weekly   we	Tiolatic			
Weekly monthly quarterly   Semiannual annual single event   X   Violation Base Penalty   \$7,500		Number of \	iolation Events 3 399 Number of violation da	iys
Weekly monthly quarterly   Semiannual annual single event   X   Violation Base Penalty   \$7,500				
monthly quarterly				
quarterly semiannual annual single event				
Semiannual annual single event x  Three single events are recommended (one single event for each UST compartment in which a suspected release occurred).  Good Faith Efforts to Comply 0.0% Reduction \$0  Before NOE/NOV NOE/NOV to EDPRP/Settlement Offer Extraordinary Ordinary N/A x  Notes The Respondent does not meet the good faith criteria for this violation.  Violation Subtotal \$7,500  Economic Benefit (EB) for this violation Statutory Limit Test  Estimated EB Amount \$58 Violation Final Penalty Total \$9,053				<b>7</b> 500
Three single events are recommended (one single event for each UST compartment in which a suspected release occurred).  Good Faith Efforts to Comply  0.0%  Before NOE/NOV NOE/NOV to EDPRP/Settlement Offer  Extraordinary  Ordinary  N/A  Notes  The Respondent does not meet the good faith criteria for this violation.  Violation Subtotal  \$7,500  Economic Benefit (EB) for this violation  Statutory Limit Test  Estimated EB Amount  \$9,053				\$7,500
Three single events are recommended (one single event for each UST compartment in which a suspected release occurred).  Good Faith Efforts to Comply  Before NOE/NOV NOE/NOV to EDPRP/Settlement Offer  Extraordinary Ordinary N/A  Notes  The Respondent does not meet the good faith criteria for this violation.  Violation Subtotal  \$7,500  Economic Benefit (EB) for this violation  Statutory Limit Test  Estimated EB Amount  \$9,053				
Three single events are recommended (one single event for each UST compartment in which a suspected release occurred).  Good Faith Efforts to Comply  Before NOE/NOV NOE/NOV to EDPRP/Settlement Offer Extraordinary Ordinary N/A x Notes  The Respondent does not meet the good faith criteria for this violation.  Violation Subtotal \$7,500  Economic Benefit (EB) for this violation  Statutory Limit Test Estimated EB Amount \$9,053				
Suspected release occurred).  Good Faith Efforts to Comply  Before NOE/NOV NOE/NOV to EDPRP/Settlement Offer Extraordinary Ordinary N/A x Notes  The Respondent does not meet the good faith criteria for this violation.  Violation Subtotal \$7,500  Economic Benefit (EB) for this violation  \$58 Violation Final Penalty Total \$9,053				
Suspected release occurred).  Good Faith Efforts to Comply  Before NOE/NOV NOE/NOV to EDPRP/Settlement Offer Extraordinary Ordinary N/A x Notes  The Respondent does not meet the good faith criteria for this violation.  Violation Subtotal \$7,500  Economic Benefit (EB) for this violation  \$58 Violation Final Penalty Total \$9,053		Thurs should	events are recommended (one single event for each LICT extract trace)	high a
Good Faith Efforts to Comply  Before NOE/NOV NOE/NOV to EDPRP/Settlement Offer  Extraordinary Ordinary N/A x Notes  The Respondent does not meet the good faith criteria for this violation.  Violation Subtotal \$7,500  Economic Benefit (EB) for this violation  Statutory Limit Test Estimated EB Amount \$58 Violation Final Penalty Total \$9,053		i nree single		nich a
Before NOE/NOV NOE/NOV to EDPRP/Settlement Offer  Extraordinary Ordinary N/A x Notes The Respondent does not meet the good faith criteria for this violation.  Violation Subtotal \$7,500  Economic Benefit (EB) for this violation Statutory Limit Test  Estimated EB Amount \$58 Violation Final Penalty Total \$9,053			Suspected release decurred).	
Before NOE/NOV NOE/NOV to EDPRP/Settlement Offer  Extraordinary Ordinary N/A x Notes The Respondent does not meet the good faith criteria for this violation.  Violation Subtotal \$7,500  Economic Benefit (EB) for this violation Statutory Limit Test  Estimated EB Amount \$58 Violation Final Penalty Total \$9,053	Cocd	aith Effauta to Com	0.00%	40
Extraordinary Ordinary N/A  Notes  The Respondent does not meet the good faith criteria for this violation.  Violation Subtotal  \$7,500  Economic Benefit (EB) for this violation  Statutory Limit Test  Estimated EB Amount  \$58  Violation Final Penalty Total  \$9,053	G000 F	aith Efforts to Com		eduction \$0
Ordinary N/A x Notes The Respondent does not meet the good faith criteria for this violation.  Violation Subtotal \$7,500  Economic Benefit (EB) for this violation Statutory Limit Test  Estimated EB Amount \$58 Violation Final Penalty Total \$9,053				
Notes  The Respondent does not meet the good faith criteria for this violation.  Violation Subtotal \$7,500  Economic Benefit (EB) for this violation  Statutory Limit Test  Estimated EB Amount \$58 Violation Final Penalty Total \$9,053				
Notes The Respondent does not meet the good faith criteria for this violation.  Violation Subtotal \$7,500  Economic Benefit (EB) for this violation Statutory Limit Test  Estimated EB Amount \$58 Violation Final Penalty Total \$9,053				
Violation Subtotal \$7,500  Economic Benefit (EB) for this violation Statutory Limit Test  Estimated EB Amount \$58 Violation Final Penalty Total \$9,053				
Violation Subtotal \$7,500  Economic Benefit (EB) for this violation Statutory Limit Test  Estimated EB Amount \$58 Violation Final Penalty Total \$9,053				
Economic Benefit (EB) for this violation  Statutory Limit Test  Violation Final Penalty Total \$9,053			this violation.	
Economic Benefit (EB) for this violation  Statutory Limit Test  Violation Final Penalty Total \$9,053			<u> </u>	
Estimated EB Amount \$58 Violation Final Penalty Total \$9,053			Violation S	ubtotal \$7,500
Estimated EB Amount \$58 Violation Final Penalty Total \$9,053	Econon	nic Benefit (FR) for	this violation Statutory Limit 1	est
This violation Final Assessed Penalty (adjusted for limits) \$9,053		Estimate	d EB Amount \$58 Violation Final Penalt	:y lotal \$9,053
			This violation Final Assessed Penalty (adjusted for	limits) \$9,053

	E	conomic	Benefit	Wor	ksheet					
Respondent	OHK GLOBAL	INC dba Snappy F	oods 17							
Case ID No.	63520									
Reg. Ent. Reference No.	RN105683817	•								
	Petroleum Sto					B	Years of			
Violation No.	4	-				Percent Interest	Depreciation			
						5.0	15			
	Item Cost	<b>Date Required</b>	Final Date	Yrs	<b>Interest Saved</b>	Costs Saved	EB Amount			
Item Description										
Delayed Costs				_						
Equipment				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0			
Buildings				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0			
Other (as needed)				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0			
Engineering/Construction				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0			
Land				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0			
Record Keeping System				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0			
Training/Sampling				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0			
Remediation/Disposal				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0			
Permit Costs	1.100	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0			
Other (as needed)	\$100	5-Apr-2022	25-Jun-2023	1.22	\$6	n/a	\$6			
Notes for DELAYED costs	Date Re	equired is the inve	stigation date, a	nd the	Final Date is the e	ng suspected release stimated date of cor	npliance.			
Avoided Costs	ANNU	ALIZE avoided o	osts before en			one-time avoided				
Disposal				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0			
Personnel				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0			
Inspection/Reporting/Sampling				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0			
Supplies/Equipment				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0			
Financial Assurance				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0			
ONE-TIME avoided costs	\$25	1-Feb-2022	5-Dec-2022	0.84	\$1	\$25	\$26			
Other (as needed)	\$25	1-Nov-2021	5-Dec-2022	1.09	\$1	\$25	\$26			
Notes for AVOIDED costs	Estimated avoided costs to report the suspected releases for three UST compartments (\$25 for one report that was due on February 1, 2022, and \$25 for two reports that were due on November 1, 2021). The Dates Required are the earliest date the suspected releases should have been reported, and the Final Date is the screening date.									
Approx. Cost of Compliance		\$150			TOTAL		\$58			

			5-Dec-2022			cket No. 2024-037	72-PST-E		PCW
	Res	pondent	OHK GLOBAL IN	NC dba Snapp	y Foods 17			Policy Re	evision 5 (January 28, 2021)
	Cas	e ID No.	63520					PCW	Revision February 11, 2021
Reg.	Ent. Refere	ence No.	RN105683817						
		Media	Petroleum Stora	age Tank					
	Enf. Coo	rdinator	Sushil Modak						
	Violatio	n Number	5						
	Ru	ıle Cite(s)		30 To	Admin Code	e § 334.45(c)(3)(A)			
				30 162	c. Aurilli. Cou	e g 554.45(c)(5)(A)			
	Wieletien D					shutoff valves (also			
	Violation D	escription		-	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	enser. Specifically, th 3/14 were not proper			
			Disperi	sei 110s. 7/0,	11/12, and 1.	5/14 Were not proper	iy anchored	•	
		L							
							Base	Penalty	\$25,000
	-								
>> En	vironmenta	ii, Proper	rty and Hum	an Health Harm	Matrix				
		Release	Major	Moderate	Minor				
OR		Actual	a.je.						
		Potential		х		Percent	5.0%		
		<u> </u>							
>>Pro	grammatic								
	Fa	alsification	Major	Moderate	Minor				
						Percent	0.0%		
	1								
						d to significant amou			
	Notes	ula not exce	sed levels that a	•	or numan nea f the violation.	alth or environmental	receptors a	is a result	
				01	the violation.				
						Adjustment		\$23,750	
						7.mju-0		7-07:00	
									\$1,250
\/:-I-+:									
violati	on Events								
	1	Number of V	iolation Events	2		244 Number o	of violation of	davs	
				_	IJ <u> </u> ⊑			/-	
			daily						
			weekly						
			monthly					_	
			quarterly			Viol	lation Base	Penalty	\$2,500
			semiannual	Х					
			annual						
			single event						
		Two semia	annual events a			April 5, 2022 investig	ation date t	o the	
				December	5, 2022 scree	ning date.			
					1				
Good F	Faith Effort	s to Com		0.0%			F	Reduction	\$0
			The state of the s	efore NOE/NOV	NOE/NOV to ED	PRP/Settlement Offer			
			Extraordinary						
			Ordinary						
			N/A	X					
				The Respond	lent does not i	meet the good faith o	riteria for		
			Notes			violation.			
			L						
							Violation	Subtotal	\$2,500
_		/==: ·							
Econor	mic Benefit	(EB) for	this violation	on		Statuto	ory Limit	rest	
		Estimate	ed EB Amount		\$43	Violation	Final Pena	ity Total	\$3,018
			- [					_	
				This vio	lation Final <i>I</i>	Assessed Penalty (a	adjusted fo	or limits)	\$3,018

	Е	conomic	Benefit	Woı	rksheet		
Respondent	OHK GLOBAL	INC dba Snappy F	oods 17				
Case ID No.	63520						
Reg. Ent. Reference No.	RN105683817	•					
Media	Petroleum Sto	rage Tank				D	Years of
Violation No.	5	_				Percent Interest	Depreciation
						5.0	15
	Item Cost	Date Required	Final Date	Yrs	Interest Saved	Costs Saved	EB Amount
Item Description							
Item Description							
Delayed Costs							
Equipment	\$500	5-Apr-2022	25-Jun-2023	1.22	\$2	\$41	\$43
Buildings	4500	3 7 KB1 2022	20 3411 2020	0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Engineering/Construction				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Land				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Record Keeping System				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Training/Sampling				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Remediation/Disposal				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Permit Costs				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
						at the base of Dispe	
Notes for DELAYED costs	11/12, and 1	3/14. THE Date Re	equired is the in	complia complia	•	Final Date is the est	imated date of
				Compile	ance.		
Avoided Costs	ANNU	ALIZE avoided c	osts before en	tering	item (except for	one-time avoided	d costs)
Disposal				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Personnel				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Inspection/Reporting/Sampling				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Supplies/Equipment				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Financial Assurance				0.00	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0
ONE-TIME avoided costs Other (as needed)				0.00	\$0 \$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)		<u> </u>		0.00	\$0	<b>3</b> 0	\$0
Notes for AVOIDED costs							
Annual Cost of Compliance		#F00			TOTAL		¢42
Approx. Cost of Compliance		\$500			IUIAL		\$43

	Scree	ening Date	5-Dec-2022		Doc	<b>:ket No.</b> 2024-0372-PST-E		PCW
	R	espondent	OHK GLOBAL IN	NC dba Snapp	y Foods 17		Policy Revis	sion 5 (January 28, 2021)
		ase ID No.						evision February 11, 2021
Rea			RN105683817				7077	
cg.	Liiti Kei		Petroleum Stor	ago Tank				
	Enf C			age rank				
			Sushil Modak	1				
	Viola	tion Number						
		Rule Cite(s)	30 Tex. Adm	in. Code § 33	4.51(b)(2)(C)(	(ii) and Tex. Water Code 26.347	5(c)(2)	
				3	- (-)( )(-)(	(,, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	- ( - ) ( )	
			·	•		r other appropriate device desig		
	Violation	Description			_	substances into the UST when t		
	Violation	. Description	level in the US	ST reaches a p		pecifically, automatic shutoff valu	es were	
					not inst	talled.		
						Base	Penalty	\$25,000
>> Env	vironmer	ital, Propei	rty and Hum	an Health	Matrix			
				Harm				
		Release	Major	Moderate	Minor			
OR		Actual						
		Potential		х		Percent 5.0%		
>>Pro	grammat	tic Matrix						
	gramma	Falsification	Major	Moderate	Minor			
	Ī	. distribution	ujo.	11000100		Percent 0.0%		
	Щ					0.070		
	Īī							
	Matrix					d to significant amounts of pollut		
	Notes	would exceed	levels that are	•		or environmental receptors as a	result of	
	Notes			t	the violation.			
						Adjustment	\$23,750	
								\$1,250
<b>Violation</b>	on Event	S						
			_		_			
		Number of \	/iolation Events	2		244 Number of violation of	days	
			_		<u> </u>			
			daily					
			weekly					
			monthly					
						Violation Bass	Donaltu	\$2,500
			quarterly			Violation Base	e Penaity	\$2,500
			semiannual	Х				
			annual					
			single event					
	-							
		Two comi	annual avents a	ro rocommon	dad from the A	anril F 2022 investigation date t	o tho	
		IWO Sellin	ailliuai evelits a		5, 2022 screen	April 5, 2022 investigation date t	o trie	
				December .	3, 2022 Screen	ing date.		
	-						-	
Good F	aith Effo	rts to Com	plv	0.0%		F	Reduction	\$0
						PRP/Settlement Offer		
			Extraordinary					
			· ·					
			Ordinary					
			N/A	Х				
				The Respond	lant does not n	neet the good faith criteria for		
			Notes	THE INESPOND		riolation.		
					CIIIS V	iolation.		
			L					
						Violation	Subtotal	\$2,500
Econor	nic Bene	fit (EB) for	this violation	on		Statutory Limit	Test	
						•		
		Estimate	ed EB Amount		\$51	Violation Final Pena	ilty Total	\$3,018
				This vio	Iation Final A	ssessed Penalty (adjusted fo	or limits)	\$3,018

	E	conomic	<b>Benefit</b>	Woi	ksheet		
		INC dba Snappy F	oods 17				
Case ID No.							
Reg. Ent. Reference No.	RN105683817 Petroleum Sto						Years of
Violation No.		nage rank				Percent Interest	Depreciation
						5.0	15
	Item Cost	<b>Date Required</b>	Final Date	Yrs	<b>Interest Saved</b>	Costs Saved	EB Amount
Item Description							
Delayed Costs				1			
Equipment	\$600	5-Apr-2022	25-Jun-2023	1.22	\$2	\$49	\$51
Buildings				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Engineering/Construction				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Land				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Record Keeping System				0.00	\$0 \$0	n/a	\$0 \$0
Training/Sampling				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Remediation/Disposal Permit Costs						n/a	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.00	\$0 \$0	n/a n/a	\$0
Notes for DELAYED costs		date, an	d the Final Date	is the	estimated date of o	<u> </u>	
Avoided Costs	ANNU	ALIZE avoided C	osts before en			one-time avoided	
Disposal Personnel				0.00	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0
Inspection/Reporting/Sampling				0.00	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0
Supplies/Equipment				0.00	\$0	\$0 \$0	\$0
Financial Assurance				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
ONE-TIME avoided costs				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Notes for AVOIDED costs							
Approx. Cost of Compliance		\$600			TOTAL		\$51

			5-Dec-2022			<b>et No.</b> 2024-0372-PST-E		PCW
	Res	spondent	OHK GLOBAL II	NC dba Snapp	y Foods 17		Policy Revision	5 (January 28, 2021)
	Cas	se ID No.	63520				PCW Revision	on February 11, 2021
Reg. Ent	t. Refer	ence No.	RN105683817					
			Petroleum Stor	age Tank				
E	nf. Cod	ordinator	Sushil Modak	_				
		on Number	7					
	R	ule Cite(s)	30 Tay 1	dmin Code S	334 51(2)(6) 25	nd Tex. Water Code 26.3475(c)	(2)	
			JU TEX. A	ariiii. Code g	554.51(a)(b) al	id 10x. Water Code 20.3473(C)	(2)	
						vention devices are maintained		
Vie	olation [	Description		•		Nos. 1/2, 5/6, and 7/8 were connection points for Dispenser N		
			damagea, and	a not nquiu tig	and 11/12 wer	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	103. 1/2	
					aa 11, 12	cag.		
		L						
						Base	Penalty	\$25,000
>> Enviro	nment	al. Propei	rty and Hum	nan Health	Matrix			
		_		Harm				
		Release	Major	Moderate	Minor			
OR		Actual				_		
		Potential		Х		Percent 5.0%		
> > D		- M-4						
>>Progra		alsification	Major	Madarata	Minor			
		aisirication	Major	Moderate	Minor	Percent 0.0%		
						<b>Percent</b> 0.0%		
	u.	uman haalth	or the environm	mant will ar so	uld be evpeed	to significant amounts of pollut	anta that	
М						to significant amounts of pollut environmental receptors as a		
N	lotes "	voulu exceeu	levels that are	•	the violation.	environmental receptors as a	result of	
					the violation.			
						Adjustment	\$23,750	
						najasamene	4207.00	
								\$1,250
	_							
Violation I	Events							
		Ni	rataria e e canta		1 -	Noveles of deletion of		
		Number of V	iolation Events	2		Number of violation d	ays	
			daily		1			
			daily weekly					
			monthly					
			quarterly			Violation Base	Penalty	\$2,500
			semiannual	×		Violation Base	Citalty	Ψ2/300
			annual					
			single event					
		•	J		4			
Two semiannual events are recommended from the April 5, 2022 investigation date to the								
		iwo semia	ailluai evelits a		5, 2022 screenir		tile	
				December	3, 2022 Screenii	ig date.		
Cood Foit	h Effaul	ta ta Cam	mler	0.00/			and an electrical	\$0
Good Faitl	II EIIOI	is to Com		0.0% Before NOE/NOV	NOE/NOV to EDPR		eduction	<b>\$</b> 0
			Extraordinary	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	,		
			Ordinary					
			N/A	×				
			14/ 🔠		1			
			Notes	The Respond		eet the good faith criteria for		
			140003		this vio	lation.		
			l					
						Violation 9	Subtotal	\$2,500
Economic Benefit (EB) for this violation Statutory Limit Test								
		Estimate	ed EB Amount		\$107	<b>Violation Final Pena</b>	lty Total	\$3,018
				Th::-	lation Fire! * -	second Density (addition of the	r limita)	42 O10
				ı nıs vio	iation rinai As:	sessed Penalty (adjusted fo	r iimits)	\$3,018

Economic Benefit Worksheet							
Respondent OHK GLOBAL INC dba Snappy Foods 17							
Case ID No.	63520						
Reg. Ent. Reference No.	RN105683817						
_	Petroleum Sto					Percent Interest	Years of Depreciation
Violation No.	/					5.0	15
	Itom Cost	Date Required	Final Date	Yrs	Interest Saved	Costs Saved	EB Amount
T	Item Cost	Date Required	rillai Date	115	Interest Saveu	Costs Saveu	EB Alliouiit
Item Description							
Delayed Costs	11.050					1.400	
Equipment	\$1,250	5-Apr-2022	25-Jun-2023	1.22	\$5	\$102	\$107
Buildings				0.00	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0
Other (as needed) Engineering/Construction				0.00	\$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0
Lingineering/ construction				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Record Keeping System				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Training/Sampling				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Remediation/Disposal				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Permit Costs				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Notes for DELAYED costs	Estimated delayed cost to fix leaks at the dispensers and connection points and make them liquid-tight (\$1,250; [\$250 per connection x 5). The Date Required is the investigation date, and the Final Date is the estimated date of compliance.						
Avoided Costs	ANNU	ALIZE avoided c	osts before en	tering	item (except for	one-time avoided	d costs)
Disposal				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Personnel				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Inspection/Reporting/Sampling				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Supplies/Equipment				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Financial Assurance				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
ONE-TIME avoided costs				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)  Notes for AVOIDED costs		<u>                                     </u>		0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Approx. Cost of Compliance		\$1,250			TOTAL		\$107

To request a more accessible version of this report, please contact the TCEQ Help Desk at (512) 239-4357.



# Compliance History Report

Compliance History Report for CN605894831, RN105683817, Rating Year 2023 which includes Compliance History (CH) components from September 1, 2018, through August 31, 2023.

Customer, Respondent, or CN605894831, OHK GLOBAL INC

Classification: SATISFACTORY

Rating: 13.69

Owner/Operator:

Regulated Entity: RN105683817, SNAPPY FOODS 17 Classification: SATISFACTORY Rating: 33.33

Complexity Points: 2 Repeat Violator: NO

CH Group: 14 - Other

Location: 1002 US HIGHWAY 77 BISHOP, TX 78343-5113, NUECES COUNTY

TCEQ Region: REGION 14 - CORPUS CHRISTI

ID Number(s):

PETROLEUM STORAGE TANK REGISTRATION REGISTRATION

79338

Compliance History Period: September 01, 2018 to August 31, 2023 Rating Year: 2023 Rating Date: 09/01/2023

**Date Compliance History Report Prepared:** April 02, 2024

Agency Decision Requiring Compliance History: Enforcement

Component Period Selected: January 25, 2019 to January 25, 2024

TCEQ Staff Member to Contact for Additional Information Regarding This Compliance History.

Name: Sushil Modak Phone: (512) 239-2142

#### Site and Owner/Operator History:

1) Has the site been in existence and/or operation for the full five year compliance period? YES

2) Has there been a (known) change in ownership/operator of the site during the compliance period? YES

3) Who is the current owner/operator? Ohk Global Inc OPERATOR since 3/4/2022

Realty Income Properties 9, LLC OWNER since 3/4/2022

4) Who was/were the prior owner(s)/operator(s)?

JANOOB, INC., OWNER OPERATOR, 6/16/2011 to 3/3/2022

42 Convenience Holdings, LLC, OWNER, 3/4/2019 to 3/3/2022

#### Components (Multimedia) for the Site Are Listed in Sections A - J

#### A. Final Orders, court judgments, and consent decrees:

1 Effective Date: 01/27/2022 ADMINORDER 2020-0654-PST-E (Findings Order-Default)

Classification: Minor

Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 334, SubChapter C 334.45(c)(3)(A)

Description: Failed to ensure that the emergency shutoff valves (also called shear or impact valves) are securely anchored at the

base of all dispensers.
Classification: Moderate

Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 334, SubChapter C 334.42(a)

30 TAC Chapter 334, SubChapter C 334.48(a) 30 TAC Chapter 334, SubChapter C 334.48(b)

Description: Failed to operate, maintain, and manage a UST system in accordance with accepted industry practices and in a manner that will prevent releases of regulated substances.

#### **B.** Criminal convictions:

N/A

D.	The approval dates of investigations (CCEDS Inv. Track. No.):							
	Item 1	April 16, 2019	(1555656)					
Ε.	A notice of viola	ation represents a writter	OV) (CCEDS Inv. Track. No.):  In allegation of a violation of a specific regulatory requirement from the commission to a regulated all enforcement action, nor proof that a violation has actually occurred.	d				
	N/A							
F.	<b>Environment</b> N/A	tal audits:						
G.	Type of envi	ronmental manager	ment systems (EMSs):					

H. Voluntary on-site compliance assessment dates:

C. Chronic excessive emissions events:

N/A

N/A

I. Participation in a voluntary pollution reduction program:

NI/A

J. Early compliance:

N/A

**Sites Outside of Texas:** 

N/A

# TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY



IN THE MATTER OF AN	§	BEFORE THE
ENFORCEMENT ACTION	§	
CONCERNING	§	TEVAS COMMISSION ON
OHK GLOBAL INC DBA SNAPPY	§	TEXAS COMMISSION ON
FOODS 17;	§	
RN105683817	§	ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

#### **DEFAULT AND SHUTDOWN ORDER**

#### DOCKET NO. 2024-0372-PST-E

The Commission makes the following Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law:

#### FINDINGS OF FACT

- 1. Respondent operates, as defined in 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 334.2(75), a UST system and a convenience store with retail sales of gasoline located at 1002 United States Highway 77 in Bishop, Nueces County, Texas (Facility ID No. 79338) (the "Facility"). The USTs at the Facility are not exempt or excluded from regulation under the Texas Water Code or the rules of the TCEQ, and contain a regulated petroleum substance as defined in the rules of the TCEQ.
- 2. During an investigation conducted on April 5, 2022, an investigator documented that Respondent:
  - a. Failed to monitor the UST in a manner which will detect a release at a frequency of at least once every 30 days;
  - b. Failed to test the spill prevention equipment at least once every three years to ensure the equipment is liquid tight. Also, failed to conduct annual walkthrough inspections for the regulated substance releases in the containment sump and to the environment. Specifically, Respondent had not conducted the triennial testing of the spill prevention equipment;
  - c. Failed to investigate and confirm all suspected releases of regulated substances requiring reporting under 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 334.72 (relating to Reporting of Suspected Releases) within 30 days. Specifically, inventory control records for the regular unleaded compartment from December 2021 through March 2022, for the super unleaded compartment from September 2021 through October 2021 and December 2021 through March 2022, and for the diesel compartment from September 2021 through October 2021 and December 2021 through March 2022 indicated suspected releases that were not investigated;

- d. Failed to report suspected releases to the agency within 24 hours of discovery. Specifically, inventory control records for the regular unleaded compartment from December 2021 through March 2022, for the super unleaded compartment from September 2021 through October 2021 and December 2021 through March 2022, and for the diesel compartment from September 2021 through October 2021 and December 2021 through March 2022 indicated suspected releases that were not reported;
- e. Failed to securely anchor the emergency shutoff valves (also known as shear or impact valves) at the base of each dispenser. Specifically, the shear valves for Dispenser Nos. 7/8, 11/12, and 13/14 were not properly anchored;
- f. Failed to equip each UST with a valve or other appropriate device designed to automatically restrict the flow of regulated substances into the UST when the liquid level in the UST reaches a preset level. Specifically, automatic shutoff valves were not installed; and
- g. Failed to assure that spill and overfill prevention devices are maintained in good operating condition. Specifically, Dispenser Nos. 1/2, 5/6, and 7/8 were cracked, damaged, and not liquid-tight. Also, the connection points for Dispenser Nos. 1/2 and 11/12 were leaking.
- 3. By letter dated August 18, 2022, Respondent was provided with written notice of the violations and of TCEQ's authority to shut down and remove from service USTs not in compliance with release detection, spill and/or overfill prevention, corrosion protection, and/or financial assurance requirements if the violations were not corrected.
- 4. The Executive Director filed the "Executive Director's Preliminary Report and Petition Recommending that the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality Enter an Enforcement Order Assessing an Administrative Penalty Against and Requiring Certain Actions of OHK GLOBAL INC dba Snappy Foods 17" (the "EDPRP") in the TCEQ Chief Clerk's office on April 24, 2024.
- 5. By letter dated April 24, 2024, sent to Respondent's last known address via certified mail, return receipt requested, postage prepaid, the Executive Director served Respondent with notice of the EDPRP. According to the return receipt "green card," Respondent received notice of the EDPRP on April 29, 2024, as evidenced by the signature on the card and USPS.com "Track & Confirm" delivery confirmation records.
- 6. More than 20 days have elapsed since Respondent received notice of the EDPRP. Respondent failed to file an answer and failed to request a hearing.
- 7. By letter dated August 19, 2024, the Executive Director provided Respondent with notice of TCEQ's intent to order the USTs at the Facility to be shut down and removed from service if Respondent failed to correct the release detection and spill and overfill prevention violations within 30 days after Respondent's receipt of the notice.
- 8. As of the date of entry of this Order, Respondent has not provided the Executive Director with documentation demonstrating that the release detection and spill and overfill prevention violations alleged in Findings of Fact Nos. 2.a., 2.b., 2.f., and 2.g. have been corrected.
- 9. The USTs at the Facility do not have release detection and spill and overfill prevention as required by Tex. Water Code § 26.3475(c)(1) and (c)(2) and 30 Tex. Admin. Code §§ 334.48(g)(1)(A)(ii) and (h)(1)(B)(ii), 334.50(b)(1)(A) and 334.51(a)(6) and (b)(2)(C)(ii) and may be releasing petroleum products to the environment. Therefore, conditions at the Facility constitute an imminent peril to public health, safety, and welfare.

#### **CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

- 1. As evidenced by Finding of Fact No. 1, Respondent is subject to the jurisdiction of the TCEQ pursuant to Tex. Water Code ch. 26 and the rules of the TCEQ.
- 2. As evidenced by Finding of Fact No. 2.a., Respondent failed to monitor the UST in a manner which will detect a release at a frequency of at least once every 30 days, in violation of Tex. Water Code § 26.3475(c)(1) and 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 334.50(b)(1)(A).
- 3. As evidenced by Finding of Fact No. 2.b., Respondent failed to test the spill prevention equipment at least once every three years to ensure the equipment is liquid tight. Also, failed to conduct annual walkthrough inspections for the regulated substance releases in the containment sump and to the environment, in violation of Tex. WATER CODE § 26.3475(c)(2) and 30 Tex. ADMIN. CODE § 334.48(g)(1)(A)(ii) and (h)(1)(B)(ii).
- 4. As evidenced by Finding of Fact No. 2.c., Respondent failed to investigate and confirm all suspected releases of regulated substances requiring reporting under 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 334.72 (relating to Reporting of Suspected Releases) within 30 days, in violation of 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 334.74.
- 5. As evidenced by Finding of Fact No. 2.d., Respondent failed to report suspected releases to the agency within 24 hours of discovery, in violation of 30 Tex. ADMIN. CODE § 334.72.
- 6. As evidenced by Finding of Fact No. 2.e., Respondent failed to securely anchor the emergency shutoff valves (also known as shear or impact valves) at the base of each dispenser, in violation of 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 334.45(c)(3)(A).
- 7. As evidenced by Finding of Fact No. 2.f., Respondent failed to equip each UST with a valve or other appropriate device designed to automatically restrict the flow of regulated substances into the UST when the liquid level in the UST reaches a preset level, in violation of Tex. Water Code § 26.3475(c)(2) and 30 Tex. ADMIN. Code § 334.51(b)(2)(C)(ii).
- 8. As evidenced by Finding of Fact No. 2.g., Respondent failed to assure that spill and overfill prevention devices are maintained in good operating condition, in violation of Tex. Water Code § 26.3475(c)(2) and 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 334.51(a)(6).
- 9. As evidenced by Findings of Fact Nos. 4 and 5, the Executive Director timely served Respondent with proper notice of the EDPRP, as required by Tex. Water Code § 7.055 and 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 70.104(b)(1).
- 10. As evidenced by Finding of Fact No. 6, Respondent failed to file a timely answer as required by Tex. Water Code § 7.056 and 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 70.105. Pursuant to Tex. Water Code § 7.057 and 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 70.106, the Commission may enter a Default Order against Respondent and assess the penalty recommended by the Executive Director.
- 11. Pursuant to Tex. Water Code § 7.051, the Commission has the authority to assess an administrative penalty against Respondent for violations of state statutes within TCEQ's jurisdiction, for violations of rules adopted under such statutes, or for violations of orders or permits issued under such statutes.
- 12. An administrative penalty in the amount of \$43,758 is justified by the facts recited in this Order, and considered in light of the factors set forth in Tex. WATER CODE § 7.053.
- 13. As evidenced by Findings of Fact Nos. 2.a., 2.b., 2.f., 2.g., 3, 7, and 8, Respondent failed to correct documented violations of TCEQ release detection and spill and overfill prevention requirements within 30 days after Respondent received notice of the violations and notice of the Executive Director's intent to shut down the USTs at the Facility.

- 14. Tex. Water Code §§ 26.3475(e) and 26.352(i) authorize the Commission to order a UST owner or operator to shut down a UST system if, within 30 days after receiving notice of the violations, the owner or operator fails to correct violations of TCEQ regulatory requirements relating to release detection for tanks and/or piping, spill and/or overfill prevention for tanks, corrosion protection for tanks and/or piping, and/or acceptable financial assurance.
- 15. Tex. Water Code §§ 5.102 and 7.002 authorize the Commission to issue orders and make determinations necessary to effectuate the purposes of the statutes within its jurisdiction.
- 16. Pursuant to 30 Tex. ADMIN. CODE § 334.8(c)(6), the Commission has authority to revoke the Facility's UST fuel delivery certificate if the Commission finds that good cause exists.
- 17. Good cause for revocation of the Facility's UST fuel delivery certificate exists as justified by Findings of Fact Nos. 2 and 4 through 6, and Conclusions of Law Nos. 2 through 10.
- 18. As evidenced by Findings of Fact Nos. 8 and 9, current conditions at the Facility constitute an imminent peril to public health, safety, and welfare. Therefore, pursuant to the Administrative Procedure Act, Tex. Gov't Code § 2001.144(a)(3), this Order is final and effective on the date it is signed by the Commission.

#### **ORDERING PROVISIONS**

NOW, THEREFORE, THE TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY ORDERS that:

- 1. Immediately upon the effective date of this Order, Respondent shall take the following steps to shut down operations of all USTs at the Facility:
  - a. Cease dispensing fuel from the USTs;
  - b. Cease receiving deliveries of regulated substances into the USTs;
  - c. Secure the dispensers to prevent access;
  - d. Empty the USTs of all regulated substances in accordance with 30 Tex. ADMIN. CODE § 334.54(d): and
  - e. Temporarily remove the USTs from service in accordance with 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 334.54.
- 2. The Facility's UST fuel delivery certificate is revoked immediately upon the effective date of this Order. Respondent may submit an application for a new fuel delivery certificate only after Respondent has complied with all of the requirements set forth in this Order, including payment of the administrative penalty in full.
- 3. The USTs at the Facility shall remain out of service, pursuant to Tex. Water Code § 26.3475(e) and as directed by Ordering Provisions Nos. 1.a. through 1.e. until such time as Respondent demonstrates to the satisfaction of the Executive Director that the release detection and spill and overfill prevention violations noted in Conclusions of Law Nos. 2, 3, 7, and 8 have been corrected and Respondent obtains a new fuel delivery certificate for the Facility.
- 4. Immediately upon the effective date of this Order, Respondent shall cease accepting fuel at the Facility until such time as a valid delivery certificate is obtained from the TCEQ in accordance with 30 Tex. ADMIN. CODE §§ 334.7 and 334.8.
- 5. Within 10 days after the effective date of this Order, Respondent shall send the Facility's UST fuel delivery certificate to:

Petroleum Storage Tank Registration Team, MC 138 Texas Commission on Environmental Quality P.O. Box 13087 Austin, Texas 78711-3087

- 6. Within 15 days after the effective date of this Order, Respondent shall submit a detailed written report, in accordance with Ordering Provision No. 13 documenting the steps taken to comply with Ordering Provision Nos. 1.a. through 1.e., 4 and 5.
- 7. If Respondent elects to permanently remove from service any portion of the UST system at the Facility, Respondent shall, immediately upon the effective date of this Order, permanently remove the UST system from service in accordance with 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 334.55, and within 15 days after the effective date of this Order, shall submit a written report documenting compliance with 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 334.55 to:

Petroleum Storage Tank Registration Team, MC 138 Texas Commission on Environmental Quality P.O. Box 13087 Austin. Texas 78711-3087

- 8. Respondent is assessed an administrative penalty in the amount of \$43,758 for violations of state statutes and rules of the TCEQ. The payment of this penalty and Respondent's compliance with all the requirements set forth in this Order resolve only the matters set forth by this Order in this action. The Commission shall not be constrained in any manner from requiring corrective actions or penalties for violations which are not raised here.
- 9. The penalty assessed by this Order shall be paid within 30 days after the effective date of this Order. All checks submitted to pay the penalty imposed by this Order shall be made out to TCEQ and shall be sent with the notation "Re: OHK GLOBAL INC dba Snappy Foods 17; Docket No. 2024-0372-PST-E" to:

Financial Administration Division, Revenue Operations Section Texas Commission on Environmental Quality Attention: Cashier's Office, MC 214 P.O. Box 13088 Austin, Texas 78711-3088

- 10. Prior to receiving deliveries of gasoline and resuming retail sales of gasoline, Respondent shall undertake the following technical requirements:
  - a. Implement a release detection method for the UST at the Facility, in accordance with 30 Tex. ADMIN. CODE § 334.50;
  - b. Conduct the triennial inspection of the spill prevention equipment, in accordance with 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 334.48;
  - c. Conduct the annual walkthrough inspection of the containment sumps, in accordance with 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 334.48;
  - d. Conduct an investigation of the suspected releases and implement appropriate corrective measures, in accordance with 30 Tex. ADMIN. CODE § 334.74;
  - e. Develop and implement a process for reporting suspected releases timely, in accordance with 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 334.72;
  - f. Securely anchor the shear valves at the base of Dispenser nos. 7/8, 11/12, and 13/14, in accordance with 30 Tex. ADMIN. CODE § 334.45;
  - g. Install automatic shutoff valves on each UST, in accordance with 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 334.51;

- h. Properly repair the connection points leaks at the product piping connected to Dispenser Nos. 1/2, 5/6, 7/8, and 11/12 by a TCEQ licensed contractor, in accordance with 30 Tex. ADMIN. CODE § 334.51; and
- i. Obtain a new fuel delivery certificate from the TCEQ.
- 11. Upon obtaining a new fuel delivery certificate, Respondent shall post the fuel delivery certificate in a location at the Facility where the delivery certificate is clearly visible at all times, in accordance with 30 Tex. ADMIN. CODE § 334.8(c)(5)(A)(iii).
- 12. Within 10 days of resuming retail sales of gasoline, Respondent shall submit written certification, in accordance with Ordering Provision No. 13 to demonstrate compliance with Ordering Provision Nos. 10 and 11.
- 13. The certifications required by these Ordering Provisions shall be accompanied by detailed supporting documentation, including photographs, receipts, and/or other records, shall be signed by Respondent, and shall include the following certification language:

"I certify under penalty of law that I have personally examined and am familiar with the information submitted and all attached documents, and that based on my inquiry of those individuals immediately responsible for obtaining the information, I believe that the submitted information is true, accurate and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."

Respondent shall submit the written certifications and supporting documentation necessary to demonstrate compliance with these Ordering Provisions to:

Enforcement Division, MC 149A Texas Commission on Environmental Quality P.O. Box 13087 Austin, Texas 78711-3087

and:

Waste Section Manager Corpus Christi Regional Office Texas Commission on Environmental Quality 500 North Shoreline Blvd, Ste 500 Corpus Christi, Texas 78401-0318

- 14. All relief not expressly granted in this Order is denied.
- 15. The provisions of this Order shall apply to and be binding upon Respondent. Respondent is ordered to give notice of this Order to personnel who maintain day-to-day control over the Facility operations referenced in this Order.
- 16. The Executive Director may grant an extension of any deadline in this Order or in any plan, report, or other document submitted pursuant to this Order, upon a written and substantiated showing of good cause. All requests for extensions by Respondent shall be made in writing to the Executive Director. Extensions are not effective until Respondent receives written approval from the Executive Director. The determination of what constitutes good cause rests solely with the Executive Director. Extension requests shall be sent to the Enforcement Division at the address listed above.

- 17. If Respondent fails to comply with any of the Ordering Provisions in this Order within the prescribed schedules, and that failure is caused solely by an act of God, war, strike, riot, or other catastrophe, Respondent's failure to comply is not a violation of this Order. Respondent shall have the burden of establishing to the Executive Director's satisfaction that such an event has occurred. Respondent shall notify the Executive Director within seven days after Respondent becomes aware of a delaying event and shall take all reasonable measures to mitigate and minimize any delay.
- 18. The Executive Director may refer this matter to the Office of the Attorney General of the State of Texas ("OAG") for further enforcement proceedings without notice to Respondent if the Executive Director determines that Respondent has not complied with one or more of the terms or conditions in this Order.
- 19. The provisions of this Order are deemed severable, and, if a court of competent jurisdiction or other appropriate authority deems any provision of this Order unenforceable, the remaining provisions shall be valid and enforceable.
- 20. This Order shall terminate five years from its effective date or upon compliance with all the terms and conditions set forth in this Order, whichever is later.
- 21. The Chief Clerk shall provide a copy of this fully executed Order to each of the parties. By law, the effective date of this Order shall be the date the Order is final, as provided by 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 70.106(d) and Tex. Gov't Code § 2001.144.

OHK GLOBAL INC dba Snappy Foods 17 Docket No. 2024-0372-PST-E Page 8

# SIGNATURE PAGE

TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONM	ENTAL QUALITY	
For the Commission	Date	

# **TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY**



## UNSWORN DECLARATION OF JENNIFER PELTIER

"On behalf of the Executive Director of the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality, the 'Executive Director's Preliminary Report and Petition Recommending that the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality Enter an Enforcement Order Assessing an Administrative Penalty Against and Requiring Certain Actions of OHK GLOBAL INC dba Snappy Foods 17' (the "EDPRP") was filed in the TCEQ Chief Clerk's office on April 24, 2024.

The EDPRP was mailed to Respondent's last known address on April 24, 2024, via certified mail, return receipt requested, postage prepaid. According to the return receipt "green card," Respondent received notice of the EDPRP on April 29, 2024, as evidenced by the signature on the card and USPS.com "Track & Confirm" delivery confirmation records.

More than 20 days have elapsed since Respondent received notice of the EDPRP. Respondent failed to file an answer and failed to request a hearing.

By letter dated August 19, 2024, sent via first class mail and certified mail, return receipt requested article no. 7022 3330 0000 1189 8146, I provided Respondent with notice of the TCEQ's intent to order the USTs at the Facility be shut down and removed from service if the violations pertaining to release detection and spill and overfill prevention were not corrected within 30 days of Respondent's receipt of the letter. According to the return receipt "green card," Respondent received the notice on September 4, 2024.

As of the date of this declaration, I am not aware of any evidence that indicates that Respondent has corrected the release detection and spill and overfill prevention violations noted during the April 5, 2022, investigation."

"My name is Jennifer Peltier, and I am an employee of the following governmental agency: Texas Commission on Environmental Quality. I am executing this declaration as part of my assigned duties and responsibilities. I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct."

Executed in Travis County,

State of Texas,

on the 19th day of August, 2024

Declarant