

Jon Niermann, *Chairman*
Bobby Janecka, *Commissioner*
Catarina R. Gonzales, *Commissioner*
Kelly Keel, *Executive Director*



Garrett T. Arthur, *Public Interest Counsel*

TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

Protecting Texas by Reducing and Preventing Pollution

June 3, 2024

Laurie Gharis, Chief Clerk
Texas Commission on Environmental Quality
Office of the Chief Clerk (MC-105)
P.O. Box 13087
Austin, Texas 78711-3087

**RE: IN THE MATTER OF THE PETITION FOR THE CREATION OF
WILLIAMSON COUNTY MUNICIPAL UTILITY DISTRICT NO. 48
TCEQ DOCKET NO. 2024-0669-DIS**

Dear Ms. Gharis:

Enclosed for filing is the Office of Public Interest Counsel's Response to Hearing Request in the above-entitled matter.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Josiah Mercer".

Josiah T. Mercer, Attorney
Assistant Public Interest Counsel

cc: Mailing List

DOCKET NO. 2024-0669-DIS

PETITION FOR THE CREATION
OF WILLIAMSON COUNTY
MUNICIPAL UTILITY DISTRICT
NO. 48

§
§
§
§

BEFORE THE
TEXAS COMMISSION ON
ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

OFFICE OF PUBLIC INTEREST COUNSEL'S
RESPONSE TO HEARING REQUEST

To the Members of the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality:

The Office of Public Interest Counsel (OPIC) of the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality (TCEQ or the Commission) files this Response to Hearing Request in the above-entitled matter.

I. Introduction

A. Summary of Position

TCEQ Chief Clerk's office received one request for a contested case hearing in this matter from Williamson County (the County). For the reasons discussed herein, OPIC respectfully recommends that the Commission grant the County's hearing request and refer this matter to the State Office of Administrative Hearings (SOAH) for a contested case hearing.

B. Background

Cathy Moore and Mark Albrecht filed a petition (the Application) for the creation of Williamson County Municipal Utility District No. 48 (the District) pursuant to Article XVI, Section 59 of the Texas Constitution; Chapters 49 and 54 of the Texas Water Code (TWC); Title 30 of the Texas Administrative Code (TAC), Chapter 293; and the procedural rules of the TCEQ. The Application was declared administratively complete on August 25, 2023. On October 31, 2023,

the Williamson County Clerk posted the Notice of District Petition on the bulletin board used for posting legal notices in Williamson County. The notice was published in *The Austin Chronicle* on November 10, 2023 and again on November 17, 2023. According to the notice, the proposed District would contain approximately 507 acres and would be wholly located within Williamson County. The comment and contested case hearing request periods ended on December 18, 2023. The Commission received only one request for a contested case hearing—from Williamson County.

II. Applicable Law

A municipal utility district (MUD or a district) may be created under and subject to the authority, conditions, and restrictions of Article XVI, Section 59, of the Texas Constitution.¹ Chapters 49 and 54 of the TWC and the Commission's administrative rules found at Title 30, Chapter 293, of the TAC govern petitions to create a MUD. A district shall be created for the following purposes:

- (1) the control, storage, preservation, and distribution of its storm water and floodwater, the water of its rivers and streams for irrigation, power, and all other useful purposes;
- (2) the reclamation and irrigation of its arid, semiarid, and other land needing irrigation;
- (3) the reclamation and drainage of its overflowed land and other land needing drainage;
- (4) the conservation and development of its forests, water, and hydroelectric power;
- (5) the navigation of its inland and coastal water;
- (6) the control, abatement, and change of any shortage or harmful excess of water;
- (7) the protection, preservation, and restoration of the purity and sanitary condition of water within the state; and
- (8) the preservation of all natural resources of the state.²

¹ Tex. Water Code § 54.011.

² TWC § 54.012.

To create a MUD, a petition requesting creation shall be filed with the Commission.³ The petition shall be signed by a majority in value of the holders of title of the land within the proposed district, as indicated by the tax rolls of the central appraisal district.⁴ Among other things, the petition shall: (1) describe the boundaries of the proposed district by metes and bounds or by lot and block number; (2) state the general nature of the work proposed to be done, the necessity for the work, and the cost of the project as then estimated by those filing the petition; and (3) include a name of the district which shall be generally descriptive of the locale of the district.⁵

If the entire district is proposed to be located outside corporate limits of a municipality, the commissioners court of the county in which the district is to be located may review the petition for creation and other evidence and information relating to the proposed district that the commissioners consider necessary.⁶ If the commissioners court votes to make a recommendation to the Commission, the commissioners court shall submit to the Commission, at least 10 days before the date set for the hearing on the petition, a written opinion stating whether or not the county would recommend the creation of the proposed district and stating any findings, conclusions, and other information that the commissioners court thinks would assist the Commission in making a final determination on the

³ TWC § 54.014.

⁴ *Id.*

⁵ TWC § 54.015. *See also* 30 TAC § 293.11(a) and (d).

⁶ TWC § 54.0161(a).

petition.⁷ The Commission shall consider the written opinion submitted by the county commissioners.⁸

The Commission shall grant the petition if it conforms to the requirements of § 54.015 and the project is feasible, practicable, necessary, and further— would be a benefit to the land to be included in the district.⁹ In determining if the project is feasible, practicable, necessary, and beneficial to the land included in the district, the Commission shall consider:

- (1) the availability of comparable service from other systems, including but not limited to water districts, municipalities, and regional authorities;
- (2) the reasonableness of projected construction costs, tax rates, and water and sewer rates; and
- (3) whether or not the district and its system and subsequent development within the district will have an unreasonable effect on the following:
 - (A) land elevation;
 - (B) subsidence;
 - (C) groundwater level within the region;
 - (D) recharge capability of a groundwater source;
 - (E) natural run-off rates and drainage;
 - (F) water quality; and
 - (G) total tax assessments on all land located within a district.¹⁰

If the Commission finds that not all of the land proposed to be included in the district will be benefited by the creation of the district, it shall exclude all land not benefited and redefine the proposed district's boundaries accordingly.¹¹

If the petition does not conform to the requirements of TWC § 54.015 or the project is not feasible, practicable, necessary, or a benefit to the land in the

⁷ TWC § 54.0161(b).

⁸ TWC § 54.0161(c).

⁹ TWC § 54.021(a).

¹⁰ TWC § 54.021(b).

¹¹ TWC § 54.021(c).

district, the Commission shall deny the petition.¹² The rights, powers, privileges, authority, and functions of a district shall be subject to the continuing right of supervision by the Commission.¹³

The applicant must publish notice of the petition to create a district once a week for two consecutive weeks in a newspaper regularly published or circulated in the county where the district is proposed to be located not later than the 30th day before the date of the Commission's decision on the application.¹⁴ Additionally, the applicant must post notice of the petition on the bulletin board used for posting legal notices in each county in which all or part of the proposed district is to be located.¹⁵ The Commission shall hold a public hearing if requested by the Commission, Executive Director, or an "affected person" under the factors in 30 TAC, Chapter 55.¹⁶ Hearing requests must be filed during the 30 days following the final notice publication date.¹⁷

A hearing requestor must make the request in writing within the time period specified in the notice and identify the requestor's personal justiciable interest affected by the application, specifically explaining the "requestor's location and distance relative to the activity that is the subject of the application and how and why the requestor believes he or she will be affected by the activity in a manner not common to members of the general public."¹⁸

¹² TWC § 54.021(d).

¹³ TWC § 54.024.

¹⁴ TWC §§ 49.011(b) and 54.018.

¹⁵ 30 TAC § 293.12(b)(2).

¹⁶ TWC § 49.011(c). *See also* 30 TAC § 55.250.

¹⁷ TWC § 49.011(c); 30 TAC § 293.12(c).

¹⁸ 30 TAC § 55.251(b)-(d).

An affected person is “one who has a personal justiciable interest related to a legal right, duty, privilege, power, or economic interest affected by the application. An interest common to members of the general public does not qualify as a personal justiciable interest.”¹⁹ Governmental entities with authority under state law over issues contemplated by the application may be considered affected persons.²⁰ Relevant factors to be considered in determining whether a person is affected include, but are not limited to:

- (1) whether the interest claimed is one protected by the law under which the application will be considered;
- (2) distance restrictions or other limitations imposed by law on the affected interest;
- (3) whether a reasonable relationship exists between the interest claimed and the activity regulated;
- (4) likely impact of the regulated activity on the health, safety, and use of property of the person;
- (5) likely impact of the regulated activity on use of the impacted natural resource by the person; and
- (6) for governmental entities, their statutory authority over or interest in the issues relevant to the application.²¹

The Commission shall grant a request for a contested case hearing if: (1) the request is made by the applicant or the ED; or (2) the request is made by an affected person, complies with the requirements of § 55.251, is timely filed with the chief clerk, and is made pursuant to a right to hearing authorized by law.²²

III. Discussion

Williamson County was the only party to submit a timely hearing request in this matter. The County did so through their attorney, J. Grady Randle. The

¹⁹ 30 TAC § 55.256(a).

²⁰ 30 TAC § 55.256(b).

²¹ 30 TAC § 55.256(c).

²² 30 TAC § 55.255(b).

District would be wholly within Williamson County. Under 30 TAC § 55.256(b), governmental entities with authority under state law over issues contemplated by the Application may be considered affected persons. A relevant factor for determining whether governmental entities qualify as affected persons is their statutory authority over or interest in the issues relevant to the Application.²³

In its request, the County claims statutory authority over various functions within its borders—including transportation, emergency services, and health and safety. The County also claims an interest in regulating development within its borders.²⁴ Williamson County therefore does have statutory authority over and interest in issues relevant to the Application.²⁵ In its hearing request, the County raises concerns that the District and the related development would negatively affect its ability to provide services efficiently. They are specifically concerned with the District’s effect on roads, law enforcement, animal control, fire, and emergency medical services. These concerns about provision of services and efficient development are relevant to the Commission’s final determination on the Application.²⁶ Based on the County’s statutory authority over public services and interest in regulating development in the area, and the District’s location wholly within its borders—OPIC finds that Williamson County has demonstrated it qualifies as an affected person in this matter.

²³ 30 TAC § 55.256(c)(6).

²⁴ The County cites: Tex. Local Gov’t Code § 232.001-.011; Tex. Local Gov’t Code § 251.003; Tex. Local Gov’t Code, Chapter 233, Subchapters C and E; Tex. Local Gov’t Code, Chapter 232, Subchapter E; Tex. Local Gov’t Code Chapter 418; Tex. Transp. Code § 251.016; and Tex. Transp. Code, Chapter 254.

²⁵ See 30 TAC § 55.256(b) and (c).

²⁶ See TWC § 54.021(b).

IV. Conclusion

For the reasons set forth above, OPIC respectfully recommends the Commission find that Williamson County qualifies as an affected person, grant its hearing request, and refer the matter to SOAH for a contested case hearing.

Respectfully submitted,

Garrett T. Arthur
Public Interest Counsel

By: *Josiah Mercer*

Josiah T. Mercer
Assistant Public Interest Counsel
State Bar No. 24131506
P.O. Box 13087, MC 103
Austin, Texas 78711-3087
(512) 239-0579

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on June 3, 2024, the original of the Office of Public Interest Counsel's Response to Request for Hearing was filed with the Chief Clerk of the TCEQ and a copy was served on all persons listed on the attached mailing list via electronic mail, and/or by deposit in the U.S. Mail.

 Josiah Mercer
Josiah T. Mercer

MAILING LIST
WILLIAMSON COUNTY MUD NO. 48
TCEQ DOCKET NO. 2024-0669-DIS

FOR THE APPLICANT
via electronic mail:

Emily W. Rogers
Stefanie Albright
Bickerstaff Heath Delgado Acosta LLP
Two Barton Skyway
1601 South MoPac Expressway
Suite C400
Austin, Texas 78746
erogers@bickerstaff.com
salbright@bickerstaff.com

Laken Jenkins Kilgore
Coats Rose PC
9 Greenway Plaza, Suite 1000
Houston, Texas 77046
lkilgore@coatsrose.com

John Alvarez
Ryan Quinn
Quiddity Engineering LLC
3100 Alvin Devane Boulevard, Suite 150
Austin, Texas 78741
jalvarez@quiddity.com
rquinn@quiddity.com

FOR THE EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR
via electronic mail:

Kayla Murray, Staff Attorney
Texas Commission on Environmental
Quality
Environmental Law Division MC-173
P.O. Box 13087
Austin, Texas 78711-3087
Tel: 512/239-0600 Fax: 512/239-0606
kayla.murray@tceq.texas.gov

James Walker, Technical Staff
Texas Commission on Environmental
Quality
Water Supply Division MC-152
P.O. Box 13087
Austin, Texas 78711-3087

Tel: 512/239-2532 Fax: 512/239-2214
james.walker@tceq.texas.gov

Ryan Vise, Director
Texas Commission on Environmental
Quality
External Relations Division
Public Education Program MC-108
P.O. Box 13087
Austin, Texas 78711-3087
Tel: 512/239-4000 Fax: 512/239-5678
pep@tceq.texas.gov

FOR ALTERNATIVE DISPUTE
RESOLUTION
via electronic mail:

Kyle Lucas, Attorney
Texas Commission on Environmental
Quality
Alternative Dispute Resolution MC-222
P.O. Box 13087
Austin, Texas 78711-3087
Tel: 512/239-0687 Fax: 512/239-4015
kyle.lucas@tceq.texas.gov

FOR THE CHIEF CLERK
via eFiling:

Docket Clerk
Texas Commission on Environmental
Quality
Office of Chief Clerk MC-105
P.O. Box 13087
Austin, Texas 78711-3087
Tel: 512/239-3300 Fax: 512/239-3311
<https://www14.tceq.texas.gov/epic/eFiling/>

REQUESTER(S):

J. Grady Randle
Randle Law Office Ltd LLP
820 Gessner Road, Suite 1570
Houston, Texas 77024