



**Windell Cannon <wcannon817@gmail.com>**

Laurie Gharis, Chief Clerk

TCEQ

Office of the Chief Clerk (MC-105)

P.O. Box 13087

Austin, Texas 78711-3087

I am Filling a request for Reconsideration of the ED'S Decision. I reside ON the discharge route 2.6 Miles from the discharge point. The West Fork of the Dry Comal flows directly through my property for ¼ mile.

I reject the ruling that I will not be affected. At a rate of 600,000 gallons/day, or 6,400 cubic feet per day with a 416-gallon mean flow per minute, I believe that flow will inter my property and adversely affect the use of my property. The degree I will be affected depends on the predicted flow rate and saturation rate of the discharge over the 2.6-mile distance. Since the West Fork is on bed rock, how much absorption will take place over the 2.6-mile span? In addition, the effect of rain raising the runoff level due to the over saturation created by the discharge and the added pollution that will be contributing to an already polluted stream of water. This increased flow will adversely affect the use of my land.

I do not have to remind people that the West Fork of the Dry Comal is a conduit for water and as such, how much water will be absorbed. There is a spring on the property just upstream that has not gone dry even during the drought. It provides water for wildlife and cattle. What effect will the runoff have on that lake and the surrounding area?

On my property, there is a 30'x30'x10' depression that holds water for several weeks after a good rain (3"). I do not want a permanent pond of contaminated water in the West Fork 35' feet from my back door continually breeding Mosquitos and algae.

I request to be considered an affected party regardless of a 2.6 linear mile distance as I am 0 miles from the Dry Comal creek.

Sincerely,  
Windell Cannon

---

<http://www.tceq.texas.gov/goto/efilings>