Brooke T. Paup, *Chairwoman* Bobby Janecka, *Commissioner* Catarina R. Gonzales, *Commissioner* Kelly Keel, *Executive Director* 



# TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

Protecting Texas by Reducing and Preventing Pollution

April 7, 2025

Laurie Gharis, Chief Clerk Texas Commission on Environmental Quality P.O. Box 13087, MC 105 Austin, Texas 78711-3087

Re: Application For Williamson County Municipal Utility District No. 60; TCEQ Docket No. 2025-0288-DIS

Dear Ms. Gharis:

I have enclosed for filing the "Executive Director's Response to a Hearing Request." Please let me know if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

Kayla murray

Kayla Murray Staff Attorney Environmental Law Division

Enclosure

cc: Mailing List

P.O. Box 13087 • Austin, Texas 78711-3087 • 512-239-1000 • tceq.texas.gov

#### TCEQ DOCKET NO. 2025-0288-DIS

§ § §

§

Ş

APPLICATION FOR THE CREATION OF WILLIAMSON COUNTY MUNICIPAL UTILITY DISTRICT NO. 60 **BEFORE THE TEXAS** 

COMMISSION ON

ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

## EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR'S RESPONSE TO A HEARING REQUEST

## I. SUMMARY

The Executive Director recommends that the Commission find that Williamson County is an affected person and grant its hearing request.

### **II. INTRODUCTION**

The Executive Director (ED) of the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality (TCEQ or Commission) files this Response to a Hearing Request on the Petition by EOP III Sub GP, LLC (Petitioner) for the creation of Williamson County Municipal Utility District No. 60 (District). The TCEQ received one hearing request from Williamson County through its representative, Bill Gravell Jr.

The petition states that: (1) the Petitioner holds title to a majority in value of the land to be included in the proposed District; (2) there are no lienholders; (3) the proposed District will contain approximately 219.998 acres located within Williamson County, Texas; and (4) the land is not within the extraterritorial jurisdiction or corporate boundaries of any municipality.

The proposed District is located outside the corporate limits and extraterritorial jurisdiction of any city, town, or village. Therefore, city consent is not required under Local Government Code § 42.042 and Tex. Water Code § 54.016. In accordance with Tex. Water Code § 54.0161, a certified letter, dated September 26, 2024, was sent to the Williamson County Commissioners Court which provided notice of the proposed District's pending creation application and provided them an opportunity to make their recommendations. The county responded to the notification on November 22, 2024, with a request for a contested case hearing. Evidence of filing a copy of the petition with the Williamson County Clerks' office, the TCEQ's regional office, the Texas state representative, and the Texas state senator was included in the application.

According to the Petition, the proposed District would contain approximately 219.998 acres, located approximately six miles southeast of downtown Georgetown and 32 miles north of downtown Austin. The proposed District is situated to the west of State Highway 130, with County Road 105 on its northwestern boundary and County Road 107 on its southern boundary.

The petition further states that the general nature of the work proposed to be done by the District is the design, construction, acquisition, improvement, extension, financing, and issuance of bonds for: (i) maintenance, operation, and conveyance of an adequate and efficient water works and sanitary sewer system for domestic purposes; (ii) maintenance, operation, and conveyance of works, improvements, facilities, plants, equipment, and appliances helpful or necessary to provide more adequate drainage for the District, and to control, abate, and amend local storm waters or other harmful excesses of waters; (iii) maintenance, operation, and conveyance of park and recreational facilities; (iv) conveyance of road and improvements in aid of roads; and (v) maintenance, operation, and conveyance of such other additional facilities, systems, plants, and enterprises as may be consistent with any or all of the purposes for which the District is created.

The proposed District will be considered a "developer project" as defined by 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 293.44(a). Therefore, in accordance with 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 293.47, developer cost participation will be required.

Included with the ED's Response to a Hearing Request is a TCEQ-generated map of the proposed District, labelled as Attachment A.

# **III. PROCEDURAL HISTORY**

The Petitioner filed a petition with the TCEQ for the creation of the District and it was declared administratively complete on September 24, 2024. The Notice of District Petition was published in the *Williamson County Sun*, a newspaper generally published or circulated in Williamson County, where the District is proposed to be located, on October 16 and October 23, 2024. The Notice of District Petition was also posted at the place for posting legal notices at the Williamson County Courthouse on October 16, 2024.

The TCEQ received a request for a contested case hearing from Williamson County. The period to request a contested case hearing ended on November 22, 2024. The Office of the Chief Clerk sent notice of the agenda setting for the Commission's consideration of the hearing request on May 1, 2025.

# IV. CREATION OF MUNICIPAL UTILITY DISTRICTS

# A. District Purpose

A municipal utility district (MUD) may be created under and subject to the authority, conditions, and restrictions of Article XVI, Section 59, of the Texas Constitution.<sup>1</sup> The District in this case is proposed to be created and organized according to the terms and provisions of Article XVI, Section 59, of the Texas Constitution and Chapters 49 and 54 of the Tex. Water Code.

A MUD may be created for the following purposes:

- (1) the control, storage, preservation, and distribution of its storm water and floodwater, the water of its rivers and streams for irrigation, power, and all other useful purposes;
- (2) the reclamation and irrigation of its arid, semiarid, and other land needing irrigation;
- (3) the reclamation and drainage of its overflowed land and other land needing drainage;
- (4) the conservation and development of its forests, water, and hydroelectric power;
- (5) the navigation of its inland and coastal water;

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> TeX. WATER CODE § 54.011.

- (6) the control, abatement, and change of any shortage or harmful excess of water;
- (7) the protection, preservation, and restoration of the purity and sanitary condition of water within the state; and
- (8) the preservation of all natural resources of the state.<sup>2</sup>

The Commission has jurisdiction to hear this case and create the District.<sup>3</sup>

# B. Required Findings

The Commission must grant or deny a MUD creation application in accordance with Tex. Water Code § 54.021. In order to grant an application, the Commission must find that organization of the district as requested is feasible and practicable and is necessary and would be a benefit to the land to be included in the district.<sup>4</sup> If the Commission fails to make these findings, it shall refuse to grant the petition.<sup>5</sup>

In determining if a project is feasible and practicable and if it is necessary and would be a benefit to the land included in the district, the Commission shall consider:

- (1) the availability of comparable service from other systems, including but not limited to water districts, municipalities, and regional authorities;
- (2) the reasonableness of projected construction costs, tax rates, and water and sewer rates; and
- (3) whether or not the district and its system and subsequent development within the district will have an unreasonable effect on the following:
  - (A) land elevation;
  - (B) subsidence;
  - (C) groundwater level within the region;
  - (D) recharge capability of a groundwater source;
  - (E) natural run-off rates and drainage;
  - (F) water quality; and
  - (G) total tax assessments on all land located within a district.<sup>6</sup>

The Commission, however, must exclude the areas that it finds would not be benefited by the creation of the district and must redefine the boundaries of the proposed district according to its findings.<sup>7</sup>

## V. EVALUATION PROCESS FOR HEARING REQUESTS

As the application was declared administratively complete after September 1, 1999, it is subject to the requirements of Title 30, Chapter 55, Subchapter G, Sections 55.250-55.256 of the Tex. Admin. Code. The Commission, the Executive Director, the Petitioner, or affected persons may request a contested case hearing on this

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> TEX. WATER CODE § 54.012.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> TEX. WATER CODE § 54.014.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> TEX. WATER CODE § 54.021(a); 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 293.13(b)(1).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> TEX. WATER CODE § 54.021(d); 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 293.13(a).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> TEX. WATER CODE § 54.021(b).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 293.13(b)(2); TEX. WATER CODE § 54.021(c).

application.<sup>8</sup> The Commission must evaluate the hearing requests and may take one of the following actions:

- (1) determine that the hearing requests do not meet the rule requirements and act on the application;
- (2) determine that the hearing requests do not meet the rule requirements and refer the application to a public meeting to develop public comment before acting on the application;
- (3) determine that the hearing requests meet the rule requirements and refer the application to the State Office of Administrative Hearings ("SOAH") for a hearing; or
- (4) refer the hearing requests to SOAH for a hearing on whether the hearing requests meet the rule requirements.<sup>9</sup>

The regulations provide that a hearing request made by an affected person must be in writing and must be filed with the Office of the Chief Clerk within the time provided in the Notice of District Petition.<sup>10</sup> These two requirements are mandatory. The affected person's hearing request must also substantially comply with the following:

- (1) give the name, address, and daytime telephone number of the person who files the request;
- (2) identify the person's personal justiciable interest affected by the application, including a brief, but specific, written statement explaining in plain language the requestor's location and distance relative to the activity that is the subject of the application and how and why the requestor believes he or she will be affected by the activity in a manner not common to members of the general public;
- (3) request a contested case hearing; and
- (4) provide any other information specified in the public notice of application.<sup>11</sup>

An affected person's personal justiciable interest must be related to a legal right, duty, privilege, power, or economic interest affected by the application belonging to the requestor and not an interest common to members of the general public.<sup>12</sup> The regulations give the Commission flexibility to determine affected person status by considering any relevant factor, including the following:

- (1) whether the interest claimed is one protected by the law under which the application will be considered;
- (2) distance restrictions or other limitations imposed by law on the affected interest;
- (3) whether a reasonable relationship exists between the interest claimed and the activity regulated;
- (4) likely impact of the regulated activity on the health and safety of the person, and on the use of property of the person; and

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 55.251(a).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 55.255(a).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 55.251(b) and (d).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 55.251(c).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 55.256(a).

- (5) likely impact of the regulated activity on use of the impacted natural resource by the person.
- (6) For governmental entities, their statutory authority over or interest in the issues relevant to the application.<sup>13</sup>

# VI. HEARING REQUEST

Williamson County, through its representative Bill Gravell, Jr., submitted a timely request which contained the name, address, and phone number of the person filing the request pursuant to 30 TAC § 55.251(c)(1). According to the petition, the proposed District will be located entirely within Williamson County. Williamson County requested a contested case hearing pursuant to 30 TAC § 55.251(c)(3) and included the internal control number in its request, as required in the notice pursuant to 30 TAC § 55.251(c)(4).

In its request, Williamson County discussed its statutory authority over road construction in subdivisions,<sup>14</sup> order and rulemaking authority over roads,<sup>15</sup> authority over the fire code in unincorporated areas,<sup>16</sup> authority over infrastructure planning,<sup>17</sup> authority over building and setback lines,<sup>18</sup> authority over emergency management,<sup>19</sup> general control over roads, highways, and bridges,<sup>20</sup> and authority over drainage on public roads.<sup>21</sup>

Under both 30 TAC §§ 55.256(b) & (c)(6), governmental entities with authority over issues relevant to the application may be considered affected persons. Thus, in order for a governmental entity to be an affected person, that entity's statutory authority must be relevant to at least one of the issues the Commission may consider as part of the review of the application.

Pursuant to TWC § 54.021(b), granting road powers to the proposed District is an issue that is part of the creation petition review process and part of the TCEQ's decision on the petition. As a result, the road powers issue is relevant to the application. As discussed above, Williamson County's hearing request described the statutory authority it has over roads constructed in the county, which is an issue relevant to the application. As a result, Williamson County has shown that it is an affected person pursuant to 30 TAC § 55.256(c)(6). The other issues raised by Williamson County are outside of TCEQ's jurisdiction to consider as part of the MUD creation process.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup> 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 55.256(c).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup> Tex. Local Gov't Code §§ 232.001-.011.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>15</sup> Tex. Local Gov't Code § 251.003.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>16</sup> Tex. Local Gov't Code, Ch. 233, Subchapter C; *see also* Tex. Local Gov't Code, Ch. 233, Subchapter E.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>17</sup> Tex. Local Gov't Code, Ch. 232, Subchapter E.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>18</sup> Tex. Local Gov't Code, Ch. 233, Subchapter B.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>19</sup> Tex. Local Gov't Code, Ch. 418.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>20</sup> Tex. Transp. Code § 251.016.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>21</sup> Tex. Transp. Code, Ch. 254.

## **VII. RECOMMENDATION**

The Executive Director recommends that the Commission grant the hearing request of Williamson County.

If the Commission chooses to deny the hearing request, then the Executive Director recommends that the creation petition be granted.

Respectfully submitted,

TEXAS COMMSSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

Kelly Keel, Executive Director

Charmaine Backens, Deputy Director Environmental Law Division

Kayla munay By:

Kayla Murray, Staff Attorney Environmental Law Division State Bar No. 24049282 P.O. Box 13087, MC 173 Austin, Texas 78711-3087 Phone (512) 239-4761 Fax (512) 239-0606

REPRESENTING THE EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR OF THE TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

## MAILING LIST Williamson County Municipal Utility District 60 DOCKET NO. 2025-0288-DIS; INTERNAL CONTROL NO. D-09182024-033

#### FOR THE APPLICANT

Ken Heroy Jones-Heroy & Associates 13915 N Mopac Expressway, Suite 200 Austin, Texas 78728

John Bartram Armbrust & Brown LLP 100 Congress Avenue, Suite 1300 Austin, Texas 78701

<u>FOR THE EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR</u> via electronic mail:

Justin Taack, Technical Staff Texas Commission on Environmental Quality Water Supply Division, MC-152 P.O. Box 13087 Austin, Texas 78711

Ryan Vise, Deputy Director Texas Commission on Environmental Quality External Relations Division, MC-108 P.O. Box 13087 Austin, Texas 78711

<u>FOR PUBLIC INTEREST COUNSEL</u> via electronic mail:

Garrett T. Arthur, Public Interest Counsel Texas Commission on Environmental Quality Public Interest Counsel, MC-103 P.O. Box 13087 Austin, Texas 78711

#### FOR ALTERNATIVE DISPUTE RESOLUTION

via electronic mail:

Kyle Lucas Texas Commission on Environmental Quality Alternative Dispute Resolution, MC-222 P.O. Box 13087 Austin, Texas 78711

#### FOR THE CHIEF CLERK:

Docket Clerk Texas Commission on Environmental Quality Office of Chief Clerk, MC-105 P.O. Box 13087 Austin, Texas 78711 https://www.tceq.texas.gov/goto/efilings

## **REQUESTER(S)**

Gravell, Bill Jr. 3151 SE Inner Loop Georgetown TX 78626

Gravell, Bill Jr. 710 S Main St. Georgetown TX 78626

#### **INTERESTED PERSON(S)**

Boatright, Adam D. 3151 SE Inner Loop Georgetown Texas 78626

# Attachment A

