Brooke Paup, *Chairwoman*Bobby Janecka, *Commissioner*Catarina R. Gonzales, *Commissioner*Kelly Keel, *Executive Director* 



## TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

Protecting Texas by Reducing and Preventing Pollution

January 23, 2025

TO: All interested persons.

RE: PC-II, LLC

Municipal Solid Waste Permit No. 2406

## **Decision of the Executive Director.**

The executive director has made a decision that the above-referenced permit application meets the requirements of applicable law. **This decision does not authorize construction or operation of any proposed facilities.** This decision will be considered by the commissioners at a regularly scheduled public meeting before any action is taken on this application unless all requests for contested case hearing or reconsideration have been withdrawn before that meeting.

Enclosed with this letter are instructions to view the Executive Director's Response to Public Comment (RTC) on the Internet. Individuals who would prefer a mailed copy of the RTC or are having trouble accessing the RTC on the website, should contact the Office of the Chief Clerk, by phone at (512) 239-3300 or by email at <a href="mailto:chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov">chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov</a>. A complete copy of the RTC (including the mailing list), complete application, draft permit and related documents, including public comments, are available for review at the TCEQ Central Office. Additionally, a copy of the complete application, the draft permit, and executive director's preliminary decision are available for viewing and copying at Shepherd Public Library, 30 North Liberty Street, Shepherd, Texas 77371. The permit application may be viewed online at https://peachcreekep.com/resources/.

If you disagree with the executive director's decision, and you believe you are an "affected person" as defined below, you may request a contested case hearing. In addition, anyone may request reconsideration of the executive director's decision. The procedures for the commission's evaluation of hearing requests/requests for reconsideration are located in 30 Texas Administrative Code Chapter 55, Subchapter F. A brief description of the procedures for these two requests follows.

#### How to Request a Contested Case Hearing.

It is important that your request include all the information that supports your right to a contested case hearing. Your hearing request must demonstrate that you meet the applicable legal requirements to have your hearing request granted. The commission's consideration of your request will be based on the information you provide.

The request must include the following:

- (1) Your name, address, daytime telephone number, and, if possible, a fax number.
- (2) The name of the applicant, the permit number and other numbers listed above so that your request may be processed properly.
- (3) A statement clearly expressing that you are requesting a contested case hearing. For example, the following statement would be sufficient: "I request a contested case hearing."
- (4) If the request is made by a group or association, the request must identify:
  - (A) one person by name, address, daytime telephone number, and, if possible, the fax number, of the person who will be responsible for receiving all communications and documents for the group;
  - (B) the comments on the application submitted by the group that are the basis of the hearing request; and
  - (C) by name and physical address one or more members of the group that would otherwise have standing to request a hearing in their own right. The interests the group seeks to protect must relate to the organization's purpose. Neither the claim asserted nor the relief requested must require the participation of the individual members in the case.

Additionally, your request must demonstrate that you are an "affected person." An affected person is one who has a personal justiciable interest related to a legal right, duty, privilege, power, or economic interest affected by the application. Your request must describe how and why you would be adversely affected by the proposed facility or activity in a manner not common to the general public. For example, to the extent your request is based on these concerns, you should describe the likely impact on your health, safety, or uses of your property which may be adversely affected by the proposed facility or activities. To demonstrate that you have a personal justiciable interest, you must state, as specifically as you are able, your location and the distance between your location and the proposed facility or activities.

Your request must raise disputed issues of fact that are relevant and material to the commission's decision on this application that were raised **by you** during the public comment period. The request cannot be based solely on issues raised in comments that you have withdrawn.

To facilitate the commission's determination of the number and scope of issues to be referred to hearing, you should: 1) specify any of the executive director's responses to **your** comments that you dispute; 2) the factual basis of the dispute; and 3) list any disputed issues of law.

# How to Request Reconsideration of the Executive Director's Decision.

Unlike a request for a contested case hearing, anyone may request reconsideration of the executive director's decision. A request for reconsideration should contain your name, address, daytime phone number, and, if possible, your fax number. The request must state that you are requesting reconsideration of the executive director's decision, and must explain why you believe the decision should be reconsidered.

#### **Deadline for Submitting Requests.**

A request for a contested case hearing or reconsideration of the executive director's decision must be **received by** the Chief Clerk's office no later than **30 calendar days** after the date of this letter. You may submit your request electronically at <a href="https://www.tceq.texas.gov/agency/decisions/cc/comments.html">www.tceq.texas.gov/agency/decisions/cc/comments.html</a> or by mail to the following address:

Laurie Gharis, Chief Clerk TCEQ, MC-105 P.O. Box 13087 Austin, Texas 78711-3087

### **Processing of Requests.**

Timely requests for a contested case hearing or for reconsideration of the executive director's decision will be referred to the TCEQ's Alternative Dispute Resolution Program and set on the agenda of one of the commission's regularly scheduled meetings. Additional instructions explaining these procedures will be sent to the attached mailing list when this meeting has been scheduled.

#### How to Obtain Additional Information.

Laurie Gharis

If you have any questions or need additional information about the procedures described in this letter, please call the Public Education Program, toll free, at 1-800-687-4040.

Sincerely,

Laurie Gharis Chief Clerk

LG/cb

Enclosure

# EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR'S RESPONSE TO PUBLIC COMMENT for PC-II, LLC Municipal Solid Waste Permit No. 2406

The Executive Director has made the Response to Public Comment (RTC) for the application by PC-II, LLC for Permit No. 2406 available for viewing on the Internet. You may view and print the document by visiting the TCEQ Commissioners' Integrated Database at the following link:

https://www.tceq.texas.gov/goto/cid

In order to view the RTC at the link above, enter the TCEQ ID Number for this application (Permit No. 2406) and click the "Search" button. The search results will display a link to the RTC.

Individuals who would prefer a mailed copy of the RTC or are having trouble accessing the RTC on the website, should contact the Office of the Chief Clerk, by phone at (512) 239-3300 or by email at <a href="mailto:chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov">chiefclk@tceq.texas.gov</a>.

#### **Additional Information**

For more information on the public participation process, you may contact the Office of the Public Interest Counsel at (512) 239-6363 or call the Public Education Program, toll free, at (800) 687-4040.

A complete copy of the RTC (including the mailing list), the complete application, the draft permit, and related documents, including comments, are available for review at the TCEQ Central Office in Austin, Texas. Additionally, a copy of the complete application, the draft permit, and executive director's preliminary decision are available for viewing and copying at Shepherd Public Library, 30 North Liberty Street, Shepherd, Texas 77371. The permit application may be viewed online at https://peachcreekep.com/resources/.

## MAILING LIST for PC-II, LLC Permit No. 2406

#### **FOR THE APPLICANT:**

Jeffery Hobby Project Manager PC-II, LLC 300 Concourse Boulevard, Suite 101 Ridgeland, Mississippi 39157

#### **INTERESTED PERSONS:**

See attached list

# FOR THE EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR via electronic mail:

Ryan Vise, Deputy Director Texas Commission on Environmental Quality External Relations Division Public Education Program MC-108 P.O. Box 13087 Austin, Texas 78711-3087

Caroline Catchings, Staff Attorney Texas Commission on Environmental Quality Environmental Law Division MC-173 P.O. Box 13087 Austin, Texas 78711-3087

Frank Zeng, Technical Staff Texas Commission on Environmental Quality Waste Permits Division MC-124 P.O. Box 13087 Austin, Texas 78711-3087

# FOR PUBLIC INTEREST COUNSEL via electronic mail:

Garrett T. Arthur, Attorney Texas Commission on Environmental Quality Public Interest Counsel MC-103 P.O. Box 13087 Austin, Texas 78711-3087

# FOR THE CHIEF CLERK via electronic mail:

Laurie Gharis, Chief Clerk Texas Commission on Environmental Quality Office of Chief Clerk MC-105 P.O. Box 13087 Austin, Texas 78711-3087

AARSLAND, FILIP ABERNATHY, DAWN ABELL, BEN APT 86 TEXAS HOUSE REPRESENTATIVE PENNY SHAW 2811 CORDER ST HOUSTON TX 77054-3419 8055 CAMBRIDGE ST HOUSTON TX 77054-3056 3605 KATY FWY HOUSTON TX 77007-3633 ABERNETHY, MARY E ACEVEDO, BIANCA ACEVEDO, DIANA 11307 LAKE FOREST DR 515 W 20TH ST 15 WHEELER RIDGE CIR HOUSTON TX 77008-3892 MANVEL TX 77578-4288 CONROE TX 77384-3263 ADAMS , MR DUSTIN JAMES ADAM, BEATRIZ ADDAI, EVETTE 718 E HEIGHTS HOLLOW LN 140 STEPHENS RD APT 3 HOUSTON TX 77007-7070 CLEVELAND TX 77328-4028 302 W SAULNIER ST HOUSTON TX 77019-4559 AGUILAR, JENNIFER AGUILAR JR, MR JOSE MARIO AGUILERA, ROBERT 10303 BRICKYARD CT 609 WAVERLY ST 155 SPEARS RD HOUSTON TX 77041-7837 CLEVELAND TX 77328-4551 HOUSTON TX 77007-4527 AKINS, ASHLEY ALEXANDER, DONNA ALEXANDER, SHANNON 8308 HALL RD 3820 OBERLIN ST 501 MEEKINS RD PATTISON TX 77423-2124 HOUSTON TX 77005-3634 CLEVELAND TX 77328-8395 ALEXANDER, STEVE ALEXANDER, TERRE ALI, RUBINA UNIT B 13714 COLE POINT DR HUMBLE TX 77396-1115 4318 GIBSON ST 4318 GIBSON ST HOUSTON TX 77007-5892 HOUSTON TX 77007-5892 ALLEN, JENNIFER ALLEN, JESSICA CHIEF OF STAFF ALLMARAS, KYEL TEXAS HOUSE REPRESENTATIVE ERNEST BAILES 2160 FOSTORIA TRAM RD PO BOX 3654 CLEVELAND TX 77328-7938 PO BOX 2910 CONROE TX 77305-3654 AUSTIN TX 78768-2910 ALLRED, JANIS ALMS, RAMONAR ALSIS, BASSELL 6060 CLAY PIT RD 7131 NORTHAMPTON WAY APT 1 COLLEGE STATION TX 77845-4282 HOUSTON TX 77055-7624 4540 MCKINNEY ST HOUSTON TX 77023-1166 ALVAREZ, ELIZABETH ALVES, LARA ALVARADO, PAUL 3 CROWN DR 6018 GHANA LN 2911 BRIGHT SKY CT COLDSPRING TX 77331-3065 PASADENA TX 77505-4156 SPRING TX 77386-3360 ANDERSON, BOBBI ANDERSON, DIANE ANDERSON, ERIC 12764 WALCO HILLS DR 107 ENGLEWOOD ST 231 JAYHAWKER RD WILLIS TX 77378-7366 BELLAIRE TX 77401-5323 CLEVELAND TX 77328-4559

ANDERSON, KEVIN 4811 FAIRMOOR ST PASADENA TX 77505-3729 ANDERSON, TAMMY 231 JAYHAWKER RD CLEVELAND TX 77328-4559 ANDRAS, CODY 739 TULANE ST HOUSTON TX 77007-1531

ANN, SUNNY

5225 LAS COLINAS BLVD IRVING TX 75039-4542

APPELBAUM, MICHAEL 290 N PINE HARBOUR DR COLDSPRING TX 77331-3321

ARAIZA, BAILEY 3623 W ALABAMA ST HOUSTON TX 77027-5927

ARAIZA, STEVE 1630 HEIGHTS BLVD HOUSTON TX 77008-4022

ARGENTINA, ROBERTO 1510 BONNIE BRAE ST HOUSTON TX 77006-5217 ARIZAL, EMILY 7675 PALMILLA DR SAN DIEGO CA 92122-4711

ARNOLD, MARY 819 HIGHLAND ST HOUSTON TX 77009-6510 ARNOLD, RACHEL 5784 FM 1008 DAYTON TX 77535-4045 ARONSON, ADAM 310 SHELTON LN CLEVELAND TX 77328-4982

ARONSON, VERED 310 SHELTON LN

CLEVELAND TX 77328-4982

AROSTIQUE, JANINE MAE APT 4

2201 DRISCOLL ST HOUSTON TX 77019-6841 ARRIAGA, RAFAEL 2912 WATERLOO RD PEARLAND TX 77581-4542

ARRIAGA, VANESSA 2912 WATERLOO RD PEARLAND TX 77581-4542 ASPELIN, BRIAN 30711 MINT TRACE CT SPRING TX 77386-3898

AUBERY, PAIGE 3833 CUMMINS ST HOUSTON TX 77027-5871

AVEN, MORGAN 613 JACKSON HILL ST HOUSTON TX 77007-5770

4509 FLOYD ST HOUSTON TX 77007-5507

AVILA, JESSICA

BABBILI, VARUN 2911 SUNSET BLVD HOUSTON TX 77005-2349

BACKSEN, ALICE 6514 RUTGERS AVE HOUSTON TX 77005-3851 BADER, ASHLEY 811 GARDEN ROSE LN HOUSTON TX 77018-6341

BAER, ANN 214 DEEP DALE LN CONROE TX 77304-7208

BAER, ROBERT 214 DEEP DALE LN CONROE TX 77304-7208 BAHJAM, SITI UNIT C 4102 KOLB ST HOUSTON TX 77007-2991 BAHLINGER, ELIZABETH 655 YALE ST HOUSTON TX 77007-2835

 $BAIG\,, AASIYAH$ 1316 TUAM ST HOUSTON TX 77004-2735

BAILES IV , THE HONORABLE ERNEST J STATE REPRESENTATIVE TEXAS HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES DISTRICT 18 STE E2.812 1100 S CONGRESS AVE AUSTIN TX 78704-1728

BAILES IV , THE HONORABLE ERNEST J STATE REPRESENTATIVE TEXAS HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES DISTRICT 18 PO BOX 2910 AUSTIN TX 78768-2910

BAILEY, DEBORAH BAILEY, MRS DRINDA BAILEY, KAREN G 11784 WINDWOOD WAY 10370 RUTHERFORD CIR 7618 TANAGER ST CLEVELAND TX 77328-6944 HOUSTON TX 77074-4228 WILLIS TX 77318-6566 BAILEY, KELLIE BAISE , NANCY BAILEY, RON 7811 AUGUSTINE DR 171 HARBOUR POINT CIR 15902 CAVENDISH DR HOUSTON TX 77036-6729 COLDSPRING TX 77331-3388 HOUSTON TX 77059-4615 BALBONTIN, PAULA BANES, BRYANT BANES, NEVA 1820 CORTLANDT ST 12202 MAPLE ROCK DR 1223 MAPLE ROCK DR HOUSTON TX 77008-4334 HOUSTON TX 77077-2533 HOUSTON TX 77077 BARBLES, CASEY BARCLAY, ANN BARNES, JILLIAN 827 TULANE ST 55A WOODBRANCH DR 150 MAURINE LN HOUSTON TX 77007-1533 NEW CANEY TX 77357-2839 CLEVELAND TX 77328-3230 BARNHILL, MR DON BARRAGAN, VANESSA TORO BARTLOW, MICHAEL 4438 GROVE PARK DR 4905 LIBBEY LN 592 STONEWALL JACKSON BND LEAGUE CITY TX 77573-4539 HOUSTON TX 77092-5228 CONROE TX 77302-3094 BAUMGART, ALISA BAX RHONDA BAXTER, TYLER 11439 STONE MALLOW DR 474 ENGLAND LN 193 ASBURY ST HOUSTON TX 77095-4874 COLDSPRING TX 77331-7290 HOUSTON TX 77007-8101 BAY, CAROLINE BEANS, SUSAN BEARDEN, MR STEPHEN 5702 STRAIGHT WAY APT 3095 11710 PLUMPOINT DR 7777 GREENBRIAR DR HOUSTON TX 77099-5037 KINGWOOD TX 77339-3390 HOUSTON TX 77030-4525 BECK, MRS MARGARET BECK, MAUREEN BECK, RICHARD 21562 BIG BUCK DR 4116 RICE BLVD 4116 RICE BLVD CLEVELAND TX 77328-8888 HOUSTON TX 77005-2744 HOUSTON TX 77005-2744 BECK, MRS TRISHA BEESON, DEVON BELL, KRISTINE 4482 N DUCK CREEK RD 580 MURPHY ADDITION RD 2902 WESTERLAND DR CLEVELAND TX 77328-8862 CLEVELAND TX 77328-4611 HOUSTON TX 77063-3840 BELLO, NATHALIE BELTRAMI, PEGGY  $BELL\,,\,MELANIE$ 3123 PLUMB ST APT 209 2915 RUSSETT PL W

8101 SAN FELIPE BLVD

AUSTIN TX 78729-7504

PEARLAND TX 77584-8681

HOUSTON TX 77005-3061

BENAVIDES , SABINA 1936 NORFOLK ST HOUSTON TX 77098-4224 BENAVIDES , TROY 1936 NORFOLK ST HOUSTON TX 77098-4224 BENESTANTE , JUDI J 110 MOORE ST COLDSPRING TX 77331-8202

BENNETT , ALEXA 5010 SANDY CEDAR DR KINGWOOD TX 77345-2423 BENTSEN , ADELE 18 EATON SQ HOUSTON TX 77027-3109

519 RIGGS ST BAYTOWN TX 77520-6983

BERNAL, EVA

BERRONES , ALEXA UNIT C 6127 CLYDE ST HOUSTON TX 77007-2356

BERRY , JUSTIN 600 SUE ST HOUSTON TX 77009-2756 BERRY , MADELINE 609 WAVERLY ST HOUSTON TX 77007-4527

BEST , CARMINE 114 WYNDEN TRACE LN HOUSTON TX 77056-2534

APT 4302 2828 GREENBRIAR DR HOUSTON TX 77098-1454

BEVICACQUA, MARGARET D

APT 8 2043 SUL ROSS ST HOUSTON TX 77098-2558

BIEGER, MARAH

BIRCH, ADAM

BIENVENUE , RUSTY 4917 LOUISIANA ST HOUSTON TX 77006-6209 BIGOSS , SANDY 2715 AVALON CT RICHMOND TX 77406-6718

APT 647 2900 W DALLAS ST HOUSTON TX 77019-4296

BLACKBURN , DAVID C 6524 WAKEFOREST AVE HOUSTON TX 77005-3954 BLAHA , LAURA 1415 ELDRIDGE PKWY HOUSTON TX 77077-1635 BLAIR , ALLISON 4223 LONGHORN DR CLEVELAND TX 77328-8853

BLANCHARD, JENNIFER

BLAKE , FRANK
APT 3
1010 PEDEN ST
HOUSTON TX 77006-1358

BLALOCK , STEPHEN TRLR 25 1295 US HIGHWAY 190 HUNTSVILLE TX 77340-8839

2 SENTINEL PL THE WOODLANDS TX 77382-1051

BLANCHARD , MICHAEL 2 SENTINEL PL THE WOODLANDS TX 77382-1051 BOATNER , TANIKA 28922 HIDDEN COVE DR MAGNOLIA TX 77354-6585 BOATRIGHT , BERTIE 253 PINE VALLEY RD CLEVELAND TX 77328-4511

2112 AUGUSTA DR HOUSTON TX 77057-3753

BOCK, KATY

BOESBEL , MINNETTE 2504 STANMORE DR HOUSTON TX 77019-3426 BOHDE , MARK 109 CARRIAGE LN CONROE TX 77385-7706

BONCZEK , CHRISTIE 2914 FONTANA DR HOUSTON TX 77043-1305 BOND , MICHELLE & WARD
PO BOX 9500
THE WOODLANDS TX 77387-9500

BONN , MRS CANDICE 2001 SNOW HILL RD COLDSPRING TX 77331-5582

BOOD, ALLYSON BORCHES, SUSAN BORTOT, PAM 3700 FIELDFARE DR 5812 FORDHAM ST 9538 VILVEN LN PFLUGERVILLE TX 78660-1774 HOUSTON TX 77005-2416 HOUSTON TX 77080-5325 BOTTS, CHYRELL BOWMAN, CHRISTINA BOUCHER, SARAH 1717 MARYLAND ST 27 ROMA RIDGE DR 29038 RESERVE BEND DR HUFFMAN TX 77336-3125 HOUSTON TX 77006-1717 MISSOURI CITY TX 77459-1170 BOYD, SISSY BOYD, SONIA BOYER, G 4507 BANNING DR **APT 223** 2912 AMHERST ST HOUSTON TX 77027-4805 2424 SAWYER HEIGHTS ST HOUSTON TX 77005-3018 HOUSTON TX 77007-7528 BOYETT, RICHARD BRACCO, KASEY BRADLEY, HUNTER 907 E HOUSTON ST 391 SETH BLVD 341 MEEKINS RD CLEVELAND TX 77327-4602 CLEVELAND TX 77328-5929 CLEVELAND TX 77328-8407 BRADLEY, KERI BRADSHAW, JOYCE M BRADSHAW, LARRY M 341 MEEKINS RD 5020 KELVIN DR APT 1010 CLEVELAND TX 77328-8407 HOUSTON TX 77005-2533 5020 KELVIN DR HOUSTON TX 77005-2533 BRADY, PAUL A BRANCH, CHARLES BRAND, MIRIAM 2615 ANNISTON DR 342 STAGES DR APT 735 HOUSTON TX 77080-3810 CORPUS CHRISTI TX 78412-2808 1320 MONTROSE BLVD HOUSTON TX 77019-4253 BRANDON, DAVID S BRANDON, MARY L BRAUD, MATTHEW 1880 WHITE OAK DR 31 LILLY YEAGER LOOP N 3723 GRENNOCH LN CLEVELAND TX 77327 HOUSTON TX 77025-2405 HOUSTON TX 77009-7567 BRESHEARS, ROXANNE BREWER, DEBORAH BRICE, KENDALL 701 T C JESTER BLVD 71 JAYHAWKER RD 1632 BONNIE BRAE ST HOUSTON TX 77008-6300 CLEVELAND TX 77328-7969 HOUSTON TX 77006-5285 BRICKEY, ROBERT BRIDGES, JAMES BRIDGES, MISTY 4003 ANGUS WAY 201 MAY COX RD 4020 ANGUS WAY CLEVELAND TX 77328-8821 CLEVELAND TX 77328-8480 CLEVELAND TX 77328-8802 BRIDGES, REBECCA BRINTON, WAYNE D BRISBOLS, MARIELLE

4517 BRAEBURN DR

BELLAIRE TX 77401-5511

616 MEMORIAL HEIGHTS DR

HOUSTON TX 77007-5985

201 MAY COX RD

CLEVELAND TX 77328-8480

BROUSSARD, MARY BROWN, ANDREW BROWN, DESARIE 707 MASTERS WAY 2421 TODVILLE RD 2693 COUNTY ROAD 413 KINGWOOD TX 77339-2919 CENTERVILLE TX 75833-3600 SEABROOK TX 77586-3024 BROWN, MATTHEW BROWN, PAUL M BROWN, TY 742 N SHEPHERD DR UNIT 1205 19002 AUGUST CIR HOUSTON TX 77007-1748 914 MAIN ST CLEVELAND TX 77328-2372 HOUSTON TX 77002-6200 BRUMER, JILL BROYLES, KYM A BRYANT, RACHELLE 7917 FM 1725 RD 2323 POLK ST UNIT 2 CLEVELAND TX 77328-7557 HOUSTON TX 77003-4405 4326 LEELAND ST HOUSTON TX 77023-3018 BUCHOLD, GREG BUCHTIEN, KAREN BUERGERS, NICOLE 1643 WESTBRANCH DR 11 WINCREST FALLS DR 1615 BRANARD ST HOUSTON TX 77077-3830 CYPRESS TX 77429-5153 HOUSTON TX 77006-4701 BUFFO, DENNIS D BUFFO, MARY L BUNKER, CODY 4634 N DUCK CREEK RD 4634 N DUCK CREEK RD 222 SEAGROVE ST CLEVELAND TX 77328-8866 CLEVELAND TX 77328-8866 LA PORTE TX 77571-7357 BURKETT, PATRICIA DORIS BURLING, ELIZABETH BURNS, CONSTANCE L 55 RED ROAD CUT OFF RD 1911 FOSTORIA TRAM RD SHEPHERD TX 77371-1494 CLEVELAND TX 77328-7935 2305 W ALABAMA ST HOUSTON TX 77098-2268 BURROUGHS , RICHARD R BURROWY, DON BUSH, MARLANA 161 IMPERIAL CIR 12642 ROYAL SHORES DR 13420 FM 1725 RD COLDSPRING TX 77331-3061 CONROE TX 77303-2622 CLEVELAND TX 77328-5434 BUSSEY, M BUSSEY, TRAY BUSTOS , NYDIA G 2023 BRODIE LN 2023 BRODIE LN 3152 HOLLY HALL ST CONROE TX 77301-7246 CONROE TX 77301-7246 HOUSTON TX 77054-4135 CABRERA, VICTOR BYRD, DAWN BYRD, STEVE 21222 GRANT LAKE CIR 21222 GRANT LAKE CIR APT 2222 CLEVELAND TX 77328-8838 CLEVELAND TX 77328-8838 7100 ALMEDA RD HOUSTON TX 77054-2194 CADY, TIMOTHY CALDERONE, MICHAEL CALENZO, PETER

6816 ROCINANTE LN

HOUSTON TX 77092-5438

801 BIG BUCK DR

CLEVELAND TX 77328-5539

205 OAKWOOD ST

LEAGUE CITY TX 77573-3625

CALENZO , TERESA 801 BIG BUCK DR	CAMARILLO , BRANDIE 523 COUNTY ROAD 3663	CAMPBELL , CINDY 2114 WICKBURN DR
CLEVELAND TX 77328-5539	SPLENDORA TX 77372-4498	SPRING TX 77386-1758
CAMPBELL , LARRY 15902 CONNERS ACE DR	CAMPBELL, SYLVIA 11 SKY TERRACE PL	CAMPBELL, WANDA 108 S BONHAM AVE
SPRING TX 77379-7719	THE WOODLANDS TX 77381-3186	CLEVELAND TX 77327-4546
CAREY, JACQUELINE	CARLIN, BARBARA A	CARLOW, MADISON
2455 DUNSTAN RD HOUSTON TX 77005-2537	3839 PAIGEWOOD DR PEARLAND TX 77584-9489	38 E RUSSET GROVE CIR CONROE TX 77384-3859
CARMAN , JACOB	CARMAN, TERRIE	CARNLINE , CAYLIE
22047 MISSION CYN PORTER TX 77365-5484	22047 MISSION CYN PORTER TX 77365-5484	22506 VALLEY CANYON LN PORTER TX 77365-7413
CARR, SALLY	CARRELL, MR GORDON LEE	CARRIG , DANIEL
995 OAK GLEN DR WILLIS TX 77378-5734	4203 CROSS CUT CLEVELAND TX 77328-8827	21419 DORU DR CLEVELAND TX 77328-8833
CASANOVA, VERONICA	CASANOVA , VERONICA	CASSELL, EILEEN
9819 CANTERTROT DR HUMBLE TX 77338-2241	9810 CANTERTROT DR HUMBLE TX 77338-2242	1378 BROAD OAKS CONROE TX 77301-3295
CASTANEDA, ADAM	CASTANEDA , NICOLE	CASTILLE , VERONICA
4326 LEELAND ST HOUSTON TX 77023-3018	4127 LONGHORN DR CLEVELAND TX 77328-8851	PO BOX 1491 SPLENDORA TX 77372-1491
CASTLEBERRY , JANICE	CASWELL, SHERIAL	CATECHIS , MARIAN
UNIT 14H 1400 HERMANN DR HOUSTON TX 77004-7590	3718 NATHANIEL SPRINGS DR MANVEL TX 77578-2738	4014 ABERDEEN WAY HOUSTON TX 77025-2306
CATNEY, DOLORES	CAUDLE, COREY	CAUDLE , LAUREN
240 WOODS LN COLDSPRING TX 77331-7558	21142 ROCK PIGEON CLEVELAND TX 77328-8803	21142 ROCK PIGEON CLEVELAND TX 77328-8803
CAVNAR, PEYTON	CEBALLOS , NICHOLE	CELAYA , NATALIE
42820 SARATOGA SPRINGS CIR FORT WORTH TX 76244	6816 ROCINANTE LN HOUSTON TX 77092-5438	13806 LONGVIEW ST HOUSTON TX 77015-3944

CHADWICK, JASON P CHADWICK, LISA CHAKRABARTI, ESHA 240 SMITH LN 240 SMITH LN APT 230 CLEVELAND TX 77328-4538 CLEVELAND TX 77328-4538 155 BIRDSALL ST HOUSTON TX 77007-2569 CHARLEY, SADIE CHANLER, ANNIE CHAPA, DAVID 10 WHITE ST 2850 FANNIN ST PO BOX 1002 HOUSTON TX 77002-9200 NEW YORK NY 10013-2445 CLEVELAND TX 77328-1002 CHARREY, MS MARTHA CHAVARRIA, ISAAC CHAVALI, JOANNE PO BOX 262 4459 N MACGREGOR WAY APT 146 COLDSPRING TX 77331-0262 HOUSTON TX 77004-6623 6301 ALMEDA RD HOUSTON TX 77021-1100 CHAVDA, DR. ANISH CHEN, CHUNG-YING CHEN, TS 13500 FM 2025 RD 8919 HAVERSTOCK DR 8919 HAVERSTOCK DR CLEVELAND TX 77328-8267 HOUSTON TX 77031-2705 HOUSTON TX 77031-2705 CHERRY, BRENDA CHERRY, JOAN CHEUNG, ABIGAIL 2613 BELL ST 38 S TAYLOR POINT DR 28111 HARPER CREEK LN HOUSTON TX 77003-4502 THE WOODLANDS TX 77382-1289 KATY TX 77494-1537 CHILDS . KATHERINE CHEW, CHLOE CHILDERS, SAVANNAH APT 463 11651 SAGEPARK LN 838 CORTLANDT ST HOUSTON TX 77089-5704 HOUSTON TX 77007-1640 920 WESTCOTT ST HOUSTON TX 77007-5595 CHINELLO, LUCA CHOATE, JAMES CHOLTKO, BRIANA 28111 HARPER CREEK LN 397 COUNTY ROAD 379 1715 BRIGHTON BROOK LN KATY TX 77494-1537 CLEVELAND TX 77328-7420 PEARLAND TX 77581-6564 CHOWATTUKUNNEL, JUSTIN CHRISTIAN, KATIE CLARK, HC 5118 GIBSON ST 2919 SACKETT ST GOLIAD COUNTY COMMISSIONERS HOUSTON TX 77007-5215 HOUSTON TX 77098-1127 2300 BOLSOVER ST HOUSTON TX 77005-2612 CLARK, L MICHELLE CLARKE, ROBERT L CLEMENS, ERIN 17540 FM 1725 RD STE 2300 515 BRISTOL TIDE CT CLEVELAND TX 77328-5486 711 LOUISIANA ST CONROE TX 77304-2492 HOUSTON TX 77002-2716

CLINE, ANDREW

12503 TEXAS ARMY TRL

CYPRESS TX 77429-2608

CLOUD, JENNIFER

2160 FOSTORIA TRAM RD

CLEVELAND TX 77328-7938

CLEMENTS, MAKAY

1 HERMANN PARK CT

HOUSTON TX 77021-2273

CLUFF, WILLIAM COBURN , ANNA M COBB, ALYDA 150 SPEARS RD 2615 BARBARA LN 39 BERRYFROST LN THE WOODLANDS TX 77380-1845 CLEVELAND TX 77328-4550 HOUSTON TX 77005-3433 COKINOS, LULA COLE, DANNA COLE, DANNA & JAMES 2701 FOSTORIA TRAM RD 2701 FOSTORIA TRAM RD APT 134 5800 WOODWAY DR CLEVELAND TX 77328-7947 CLEVELAND TX 77328-7947 HOUSTON TX 77057-2338 COLEMAN, KARLNETTA COLE, JAMES COLELLA, RACHEL SELF EMPLOYED 4400 MEMORIAL DR 3603 CHENEVERT ST 2701 FOSTORIA TRAM RD HOUSTON TX 77007-7378 HOUSTON TX 77004-4279 CLEVELAND TX 77328-7947 COLIE, MEDINA COLLINS, MARGARET COLLINS, MR RON 25344 PINE KNOB DR 25344 PINE KNOB DR 5353 FANNIN ST HOUSTON TX 77004-6945 CLEVELAND TX 77328-4512 CLEVELAND TX 77328-4512 COMBS, CARSON COMBS, JORDAN CONCERNED CITIZEN, 341 WARE RD 341 WARE RD 4614 OATS ST CLEVELAND TX 77328-4575 CLEVELAND TX 77328-4575 HOUSTON TX 77020-4250 CONCERNED CITIZEN, CONCERNED CITIZEN, CONCERNED CITIZEN 22524 BROOK FOREST RD APT 811 170 WATERWOOD 1900 WESTVIEW BLVD HUNTSVILLE TX 77320-9666 NEW CANEY TX 77357-4910 CONROE TX 77304-1925 CONCERNED CITIZEN, CONCERNED CITIZEN, CONES, YVONNE 1414 N SHEPHERD DR 206 MCGOWEN ST 2501 S BYRD AVE HOUSTON TX 77008-2973 HOUSTON TX 77006-2236 SHEPHERD TX 77371-3713 CONKLIN, YVONNE CONLEY, RAYVON CONN, MARIE 5638 INWOOD DR 27002 W FM 1097 RD APT B HOUSTON TX 77056-4012 MONTGOMERY TX 77356-6312 904 SABINE ST HOUSTON TX 77007-6101

15219 EVERGREEN KNOLL LN 1702 HAMILTON ST 361 JAYHAWKER RD
CYPRESS TX 77433-5545 SAN MARCOS TX 78666-2361 CLEVELAND TX 77328-7971

COOK, MS COLLEEN E

COOK III, JOHN W

CONTRERAS, CINTHYA

COOK , JOHN & KATHLEEN MCOOK , KATHLEEN MCOOK , MRS MANDY JO1985A STATE HIGHWAY 75 N1985A STATE HIGHWAY 75 N361 JAYHAWKER RDHUNTSVILLE TX 77320-1015HUNTSVILLE TX 77320-1015CLEVELAND TX 77328-7971

COOK, WILL COOLEY, CAROL SANDIN COOPER, DANIELLE 361 JAYHAWKER RD 1335 ASHLAND ST 712 ELEANOR ST CLEVELAND TX 77328-7971 HOUSTON TX 77009-1334 HOUSTON TX 77008-4101 CORBELLO , BARBARA G COOPER, KERI CORBELLO, CARA 95 E STEDHILL LOOP 5322 MANOR CREEK LN 201 S HEIGHTS BLVD CONROE TX 77384-5071 HOUSTON TX 77092-5615 HOUSTON TX 77007-5846 CORBELLO, HOPE CORBELLO, RICHARD CORBETT, MS JAMIE MICHELLE 11439 STONE MALLOW DR 11439 STONE MALLOW DR 161 BIG BUCK DR CLEVELAND TX 77328-5006 HOUSTON TX 77095-4874 HOUSTON TX 77095-4874 CORBETT, MS JAMIE MICHELLE CORNELISON, KELLY CORREA, SAMANTHA 8818 HIGHWAY 146 N 1529 BEVIS ST 29223 SEDGEFIELD ST LIBERTY TX 77575-8755 HOUSTON TX 77008-4482 SPRING TX 77386-5417 CORTEZ, ALICIA BATURONI COSTEA, CHRIS COX, CARLA 15906 LARKFIELD DR 129 GREENWAY DR 4317 CONWARD DR HOUSTON TX 77059-5907 TRINITY TX 75862-6978 HOUSTON TX 77066-4757 COX, JOHN & SHARON COX, KIM COX, LAURA 25 BLACK BRANCH LN 2344 WROXTON RD STE 1 BOX 202 COLDSPRING TX 77331-8653 HOUSTON TX 77005-1543 3736 BEE CAVES RD WEST LAKE HILLS TX 78746-5393 COX, OWEN COX, PATRICK COX, STEPHANIE 51 TRILLING BIRD PL 2338 TANGLEY ST 8007 MULLINS DR HOUSTON TX 77005-2654 HOUSTON TX 77081-7413 CONROE TX 77384-5032 COX, TYLER CRABTREE, BRIAN CRANNEY, TRISHA M  $2915 \; RUSSETT \; PL \; W$ 8518 COUNTY ROAD 195 11422 FM 1725 RD PEARLAND TX 77584-8681 LIVERPOOL TX 77577-9791 CLEVELAND TX 77328-5400 CRAWFORD, DAVID CREEGGAN, KATIE CROWEL, MARTIN 205 LIBBY CIR 391 SETH BLVD WILLIS TX 77378-8603 4001 GARROW ST CLEVELAND TX 77328-5929 HOUSTON TX 77003-2649 CRUZ , LILIANA CUELLAR, GERALD CRUZ, MARIA 7040 JAPONICA ST 7714 FIR ST 200 PLEASURE PASS

HOUSTON TX 77012-3043

COLDSPRING TX 77331-4740

HOUSTON TX 77087-2814

CUELLAR, KAREN CULLAR , KAREN S CULLEN, KAREN WEBER 200 PLEASURE PASS 200 PLEASURE PASS UNIT 10E COLDSPRING TX 77331-4740 COLDSPRING TX 77331-4740 1400 HERMANN DR HOUSTON TX 77004-7590 CURRY-MCDOUGALD, HANNAH CULLUM , KATHRYN CUPP, GALE 1206 PARALEE DR 50 HOLLY CV APT 1 1918 W DALLAS ST KATY TX 77494-4634 COLDSPRING TX 77331-2200 HOUSTON TX 77019-4597 CZARNECKI, MAGGIE DAMANI, NEELAM D, AUJNA 205 OAKWOOD ST 21540 PROVINCIAL BLVD STE 4410 LEAGUE CITY TX 77573-3625 KATY TX 77450-7559 3550 MAIN ST HOUSTON TX 77002-9569 DAMON, FRAN DAMON, FRANCES ELAINE DANIELOWICZ, JENNIFER 21435 DORU DR 9918 BOONE RD 115 EVENING TIDE CT CLEVELAND TX 77328-8833 HOUSTON TX 77099-2708 WILLIS TX 77318-1103 DARE, ANNE DARKENWALD, DUSTIN DAVENPORT, BEVERLY 8115 LORRIE DR 4127 BOARS RUN PO BOX 1011 HOUSTON TX 77025-2710 CLEVELAND TX 77328-8817 COLDSPRING TX 77331-1011 DAVIS, CATHY ELAINE DAVIS, DEREK DAVIS ANGILA 3604 PINE STREAM DR 20710 REDBAY RD PEARLAND TX 77581-8821 1400 HERMANN DR KATY TX 77449-4678 HOUSTON TX 77004-7590 DAVIS, KIRSTEN DAVIS, JIM DAVIS , LARRY WILLIAM UNIT 5N 15876 MALIBU E 20710 REDBAY RD WILLIS TX 77318-6766 KATY TX 77449-4678 14 GREENWAY PLZ HOUSTON TX 77046-1400 DAVIS, MARY DAVIS, MORGAN DAVIS, REBECCA APT 71 3604 PINE STREAM DR 602 WAVERLY ST 5005 GEORGI LN PEARLAND TX 77581-8821 HOUSTON TX 77007 HOUSTON TX 77092-5561 DAVIS, TIM DAVIS, TRENT DAY, JESSY 3604 PINE STREAM DR 3604 PINE STREAM DR 9818 WESTVIEW DR PEARLAND TX 77581-8821 PEARLAND TX 77581-8821 HOUSTON TX 77055-6126

DEBECK, ABIGAILDEBROCK, NICKDECHENE, MICHELLE20464 YOUPON LN21450 PARK ORCHARD DR2218 HARVEST CREEK CTPORTER TX 77365-3250KATY TX 77450-5328KINGWOOD TX 77345-1985

DECKER, MARK DECKORE, BLAKE DEIMEL, GREGORY 4504 MERIDIAN PARK DR UNIT A 2221 N RAGUET ST PEARLAND TX 77584-3289 5604 CHAUCER DR LUFKIN TX 75904-1865 HOUSTON TX 77005-2634 DEINE, C DELAUNAY, ELENA DENNY, MARY 3119 FERNDALE ST 517 LOVETT BLVD 11118 VILLAGE BEND LN HOUSTON TX 77098-2009 HOUSTON TX 77006-4020 HOUSTON TX 77072-3636 DESSARDO, VINCENT DEWEESE, BRYSON DEVON, SARAH 1514 FOXWOOD RD 2105 DUNSTAN RD 15381 FM 1725 RD HOUSTON TX 77008-5119 HOUSTON TX 77005-1623 CLEVELAND TX 77328-5467 DIAS , KANDEE DIAZ, NOSE A DICKEY, SHAUNA 20229 SOUTHWOOD OAKS DR 319 N HOLLY AVE 25805 DULANEY ST PORTER TX 77365-7227 CLEVELAND TX 77327-4215 SPLENDORA TX 77372-4009 DICKSON, S DICKSON, SUE DIEDERICKS, CARLA 402 MOSSYCUP DR 402 MOSSYCUP DR 5430 CANDLEMIST DR HOUSTON TX 77005 SAN MARCOS TX 78666-2860 HOUSTON TX 77091-5616 DIEDRICH, ROGER A DIETZ JOEL DILEY, JAMES 4607 OAK RIDGE ST 18015 ZAGRANSKI CEDAR CT 3131 E CEDAR HOLLOW DR CYPRESS TX 77433-8055 HOUSTON TX 77009-4422 PEARLAND TX 77584-8127 DINC, SERDAR DIVER, JW DOLE, MR BRANT 17243 BRANCH CANYON CT 3921 CASE ST 43 N DELTA MILL CIR HOUSTON TX 77005-3603 CONROE TX 77385-3467 HOUSTON TX 77095-7042 DONALDS, CRISTINA DORAN, DEBORAH DOSTER, CHARLOTTE R 5310 BEVERLYHILL ST APT 1116 APT 416 HOUSTON TX 77056-6908 1900 WESTVIEW BLVD 219 FLAGSHIP BLVD CONROE TX 77304-1925 MONTGOMERY TX 77316-2161 DOUGLAS, TOMMY DOUTHEY, NANCY DOWNS, SARA 712 E 12TH 1/2 ST APT 34 2005 ESTATE DR HOUSTON TX 77008-7120 1003 ISABELLA ST DEER PARK TX 77536-5809 HOUSTON TX 77004-4061 DOZIER, LISA DREHER, MR ANDREW DREHER, KATHLEEN 2914 AUSTIN ST 4830 IMOGENE ST 4830 IMOGENE ST HOUSTON TX 77004-2715 HOUSTON TX 77096-1716 HOUSTON TX 77096-1716

DRUZIN, RYE DUCEY, JENNIFER DUGAS, YVETTE APT A APT 1 3715 GLEN HAVEN BLVD HOUSTON TX 77025-1204 806 WINBERN ST 9810 ROSE PETAL LN HOUSTON TX 77002-9548 HOUSTON TX 77038-3035 DUNHAM, LORI DUNN, STORMY DUNNE, DWAN UNIT A 27602 COUNTY ROAD 3743 26360 JOY VILLAGE DR 1608 RUTHVEN ST SPLENDORA TX 77372-4286 SPLENDORA TX 77372-4518 HOUSTON TX 77019-5034 DURRANI, AYESHA DYLEWSKI, DREW A DYE, TOM STE 103 14 STOCKBRIDGE LANDING DR 14 TREEVINE CT 2010 NORTH LOOP W THE WOODLANDS TX 77382-1662 THE WOODLANDS TX 77381-6302 HOUSTON TX 77018-8125 EARLE, KATHRYN EARLY, EMILY EBAUGH, LINDA 14122 APPLE TREE RD 2030 BISSONNET ST 815 WOODLAND ST HOUSTON TX 77079-6829 HOUSTON TX 77005-1647 HOUSTON TX 77009-6541 ECHEGOYEN, JAZMIN ECHOLS, MARCIA A ECHOLS-BENNETT, KAREN 655 YALE ST 107 WATERWOOD 110 GARY DR HOUSTON TX 77007-2835 HUNTSVILLE TX 77320-9665 CLEVELAND TX 77328-9300 EDWARDS, JEFF EGAN, HENRY EGAN, MARIANNE 2307 BROOKMERE DR 14806 CAROLCREST DR 5203 HUMMINGBIRD ST HOUSTON TX 77008-1138 HOUSTON TX 77079-6312 HOUSTON TX 77035-3014 EGLI, CONNIE EGLI, CONNIE & JEFFREY EGLI, JEFFREY PAUL 2750 LEE TURNER RD 2750 LEE TURNER RD 2750 LEE TURNER RD CLEVELAND TX 77328-5978 CLEVELAND TX 77328-5978 CLEVELAND TX 77328-5978 ELIZONDO, DANIEL ELLIOTT, ELECTRA EMDEN, MILLA 920 WESTCOTT ST 2304 QUENBY ST 3520 W HOLCOMBE BLVD HOUSTON TX 77007-5595 HOUSTON TX 77005-1504 HOUSTON TX 77025-1314 EMERY, MICHAEL ENOS, JENNIFER EPHRAIM, HANNAH 24755 LAKEWOOD DR 4059 DUMBARTON ST 13219 TERRALYN WAY SPLENDORA TX 77372-3844 HOUSTON TX 77025-2313 SUGAR LAND TX 77478-6059

ERICKSON, ISABELLE ERICKSON, ISABELLE ESTERHELD, MICHAEL

APT 5202 APT 5202 10116 EMERALD OAKS DR

1911 POST OAK PARK DR 1911 POST OAK DR HOUSTON TX 77027-5370

HOUSTON TX 77027-3326 HOUSTON TX 77026

ESTES, KARI ETHRIDGE, CALEB EVANS, JASON R 23 ROYAL DALTON CIR 23979 MASON BEE LN 748 COUNTY ROAD 2173 CONROE TX 77304-9719 CLEVELAND TX 77327-9586 NEW CANEY TX 77357-8097 EVERETT, REBECCA EVANS, KATHY EVANS, STEVEN 748 COUNTY ROAD 2173 748 COUNTY ROAD 2173 10897 SI TERRY RD CLEVELAND TX 77327-9586 CLEVELAND TX 77327-9586 CONROE TX 77306-7317 FANAROF, BEVERLY EYE, DIANA FALCON, ANALISA PO BOX 1205 13411 BRIAR FOREST DR 2200 WILLOWICK RD RYE TX 77369-1205 HOUSTON TX 77077-2631 HOUSTON TX 77027-3950 FARMER, JAKE FARRELL, ANDE FARRELL, MR MIKE THOMAS 1008 VAN BUREN ST 13111 PARC LAKE EDGE DR 13266 ROYAL RDG HOUSTON TX 77019-4127 CYPRESS TX 77429-6196 CONROE TX 77302-3544 FARRIS, DEBORAH FAUSETT, MR JEFFRY J FEDDER, DAVID & SHEREE 11573 MAGNOLIA BEND DR 4002 LEE TURNER RD 27 TETHERED VINE PL CONROE TX 77302-4381 CLEVELAND TX 77328-5982 SPRING TX 77382-1179 FELDE, CYNTHIA FEDDER, SHEREE FEDDER, SHEREE 27 TETHERED VINE PL 27 TETHERED VINE PL 612 CORTLANDT ST SPRING TX 77382-1179 THE WOODLANDS TX 77382-1179 HOUSTON TX 77007-2636 FERGERSON, ERIN FERGUSON, DONNA FERGUSON, FRED 5834 SOUTHMINSTER DR 21282 GRANT LAKE CIR INSPERITY HOUSTON TX 77035-5424 CLEVELAND TX 77328-8838 21282 GRANT LAKE CIR CLEVELAND TX 77328-8838 FIELD , LINDA J FIELDS, BAMBI FIELDS, MARISSA 1243 ASHLAND ST 427 CARSON RIDGE DR 30 LUCILLE DR HOUSTON TX 77008-6739 SPRING TX 77386-3869 CLEVELAND TX 77328-7992 FINDEISEN, BRIAN FINLEY, BETHANY FIRKAS, CYNDY 1515 CAT SPRING RD 201 PICKERING RD 4115 MERRICK ST CAT SPRING TX 78933-5517 CLEVELAND TX 77328-4437 HOUSTON TX 77025-2319 FOLEY, BEVERLY  $FOLLARI\ ,\ GLORIA$ FONTAINE, CHALON

27 MARSEILLE

MONTGOMERY TX 77356-8614

6627 WANITA PL

HOUSTON TX 77007-2034

1119 E 6TH 1/2 ST

HOUSTON TX 77009-7329

FORBES , MEGAN 2312 REDWOOD RIDGE TRL MANVEL TX 77578-1590 FORBES , RACHEL 300 FM 1725 RD CLEVELAND TX 77328-3359 FORD , DANIELLE 1213 E 23RD ST HOUSTON TX 77009-1705

FORERO , PATRICIA 4712 LINDEN ST BELLAIRE TX 77401-4431 FORESTIERI , MONICA 1935 W CLAY ST HOUSTON TX 77019-3703

10316 FM 1725 RD CLEVELAND TX 77328-7583

FORET, MRS TAVA S

FORNSHELL , JAMES APT 711 2011 SPENWICK DR HOUSTON TX 77055-5803

FORRISTAL , PAT 5010 IMPERIAL ST BELLAIRE TX 77401-2310 FORTENBERRY , MELISSA 5734 KULDELL DR HOUSTON TX 77096-2115

FOUST , LAWRENCE 2739 TALBOTT ST HOUSTON TX 77005-3951 FOWLES , TRAVIS APT 1004 2121 HEPBURN ST HOUSTON TX 77054-3242 FRANCESCHI , ALEXANDRA 913 LAWRENCE ST HOUSTON TX 77008-6647

FRANCIS , TAJMA
APT 22
2011 MARSHALL ST
HOUSTON TX 77098-2738

FRENCH, BRYAN A
LAW OFFICE OF BRYAN FRENCH PLLC
4191 PIRATES BCH
GALVESTON TX 77554-8042

FRIEBMAN , BRUCE 4533 LIVE OAK ST BELLAIRE TX 77401-3706

FROMAN KIM

FRIEDMAN , BARRY & ROSANNE 8 SPURWOOD CT THE WOODLANDS TX 77381-2526

FRIEND , JUDY P 2811 SE JANET ST STUART FL 34997-6669

4315 FM 945 RD S CLEVELAND TX 77328-7708

FUENTES , INGRID 6725 ROOS RD HOUSTON TX 77074-6217 FUENTES , JOSE 13221 FM 2432 RD WILLIS TX 77378-5949 FURAN , LINDA PO BOX 788 TOWNSEND MT 59644-0788

FURNISH , JUDY 12615 WATERCRESS PARK HOUSTON TX 77041-7236 G , CHRISTINE R

APT 403

1025 S SHEPHERD DR

HOUSTON TX 77019-3631

GABELMAN , KATELIN 348 JACK TURNER RD CLEVELAND TX 77328-5551

GALLAGHER , STACY UNIT 8G 201 MAIN ST HOUSTON TX 77002-1746 GALLETTI , GISELLE 7004 CHINOOK DR AUSTIN TX 78736-1840

402 WELCH ST HOUSTON TX 77006-2124

GALVAN, MARIA A

GARCIA , JULIANA 12407 RAMLA PLACE TRL HOUSTON TX 77089-2790 GARCIA , LISA Q 182 REINICKE ST HOUSTON TX 77007-8119 GARCIA , MARIA G 10006 ERIN GLEN WAY PEARLAND TX 77584-3071

GARDNER , WANDA 22342 LA JOLLA CT HUNTSVILLE TX 77320	GARDNER , WANDA 170 WATERWOOD HUNTSVILLE TX 77320-9666	GARRETT , JODI L 9901 ROBIN CT CONROE TX 77385-4625
GARTNER , JULIE	GARTNER , ROBERT	GARZA , FRANCES
1717 HULDY ST	6319 SHERINGHAM ST	1906 PORTSMOUTH ST
HOUSTON TX 77019-5722	HOUSTON TX 77085-3244	HOUSTON TX 77098-4204
GARZA, SERGIO	GARZA , TINA	GAVVALA , SUMANA
19143 MOSSY HEDGE LN	4383 HOLSTEIN DR	4079 RICHARDSON LN
KATY TX 77449-4134	CLEVELAND TX 77328-8849	SEALY TX 77474-8269
GELLER , AMALIA 2928 DOMINO WAY LAS VEGAS NV 89117-3622	GELLMAN , LINDA UNIT 2 4207 S SHEPHERD DR HOUSTON TX 77098-5317	GETTINGS , RITA 15598 S TRAM RD SPLENDORA TX 77372-5152
GHADANFAR , NOUR APT 2415 3131 TIMMONS LN HOUSTON TX 77027-5922	GILLEY , JOSHUA 14195 TOMMY SMITH RD CONROE TX 77306-8452	GINN , RONALD 700 W CAVALCADE ST HOUSTON TX 77009-2051
GLENN , MRS ANGELA 623 COUNTY ROAD 3706A SPLENDORA TX 77372-9517	GLENN , KIM 171 IMPERIAL CIR COLDSPRING TX 77331-3061	GLISAN , BARBARA 4506 HONEYWOOD CT HOUSTON TX 77059-3216
GODDARD , DELAIN	GODDARD , VERONDIA	GOFF , DONNA
21102 BLUE TEAL	21102 BLUE TEAL	1850 OLD MAIN ST
CLEVELAND TX 77328-8812	CLEVELAND TX 77328-8812	HOUSTON TX 77030-2220
GOLDEN , ALYSON	GONEALES , VERONICA	GONZALEZ , MORGAN
655 YALE ST	391 SETH BLVD	1905 OLEANDER DR
HOUSTON TX 77007-2835	CLEVELAND TX 77328-5929	LA MARQUE TX 77568-5332
GONZALEZ , OLGA	GONZALEZ , OLGA	GOODE , ANNE
PO BOX 2135	81 HULON DR	932 W TEMPLE ST
CLEVELAND TX 77328-2135	CLEVELAND TX 77328-3161	HOUSTON TX 77009-5236
GOODMAN , KATHERINE APT 1811 8181 FANNIN ST HOUSTON TX 77054-2911	GOODWIN , LISSA 1530 PINE CHASE DR HOUSTON TX 77055-3444	GORDON , MR CRAIG 520 BIG BUCK DR CLEVELAND TX 77328-5007

GORMAN, LIZ GORRELL, ANGELA GORRELL, ANNABELLE 1503 HAROLD ST 4611 BUESCHER CT 4611 BUESCHER CT HOUSTON TX 77006-3707 PEARLAND TX 77584-1283 PEARLAND TX 77584-1283 GORRELL, SCOTT GOSHELL, LYNN GOSSETT, LISA 4611 BUESCHER CT 1741 WROXTON CT 2700 BAY AREA BLVD PEARLAND TX 77584-1283 HOUSTON TX 77005-1717 HOUSTON TX 77058-1002 GOSSETT, LISA B GOUCHA, CHIRAZ GOUCHA, LEYLA 5238 BIRDWOOD RD 5453 HIDALGO ST 5453 HIDALGO ST HOUSTON TX 77096-2504 HOUSTON TX 77056-6246 HOUSTON TX 77056-6246 GOULD, MELISSA GRAHAM, ALYSSA GRAHAM, ASHLEY 5314 SCHULER ST 2524 SHAKESPEARE ST 1707 PICADILLY PL HOUSTON TX 77007-3218 HOUSTON TX 77030-1024 TYLER TX 75703-2421 GRAHAM, GARY GRAHAM, JENNIFER GRAHAM, MARY 2701 VILLAGE MILLS DR 3102 PEBBLE TRACE DR 2701 VILLAGE MILLS DR PEARLAND TX 77584-1319 HOUSTON TX 77068-3026 PEARLAND TX 77584-1319 GRANAHAN, DIANE GRANDICH ANN GRANDICH MARK 15902 CAVENDISH DR APT 202 APT 202 HOUSTON TX 77059-4615 500 CRAWFORD ST 500 CRAWFORD ST HOUSTON TX 77002-2161 HOUSTON TX 77002-2161 GREBE, MELISSA GREEN, MARIE GREGORY, SUE & WALT 1619 W 10TH ST 20710 REDBAY RD 5239 FM 2 RD FREEPORT TX 77541-5141 KATY TX 77449-4678 NAVASOTA TX 77868-6538 GREY, MICHAEL GRIFFITH, MARIE GRIJALVA, BETH 1700 W ALABAMA ST APT 3109 21 E WEDGEMERE CIR HOUSTON TX 77098-2808 777 DUNLAVY ST THE WOODLANDS TX 77381-4190 HOUSTON TX 77019-1949 GRIJALVA, DOUGLAS COLE GRILLIOT, JESSICA GRIMARD, TALIA 21 E WEDGEMERE CIR 1911 FOSTORIA TRAM RD APT 708 THE WOODLANDS TX 77381-4190 CLEVELAND TX 77328-7935 6400 WASHINGTON AVE HOUSTON TX 77007-4710 GRIZZAFFI, AMY GRUBER, KYLE GUNN, MARY PAT 16452 CAROLINA ST 2511 WILLOWICK RD 1850 OLD MAIN ST SPLENDORA TX 77372-5942 HOUSTON TX 77027-3984 HOUSTON TX 77030-2220

GURA, DAVE GURLEY, PATTIE GUTJAHR, SHANNON 480 KINGS WAY 5194 ROLLING HILLS RD APT 401 COLDSPRING TX 77331-3160 CONROE TX 77303-4671 2120 KIPLING ST HOUSTON TX 77098-2300 GUY, ELIZABETH HAIN, DORIS GUTTERMAN, JORDAN 2503 HAZARD ST 3754 PURDUE ST 235 HURST RD HOUSTON TX 77019-6719 HOUSTON TX 77005-1128 YORKTOWN TX 78164-5258 HAINS, SUSAN E HAIRSTON, SUSIE HALE, LISA APT 4039 2620 PITTSBURG ST 10206 LEEDSCASTLE MANOR CT 3815 EASTSIDE ST HOUSTON TX 77005-3946 SPRING TX 77379-7485 HOUSTON TX 77098-2048 HALLONQUIST, JIM A HALPIN, ASHLEY HAMBRICK, MRS BONNIE 219 MESQUITE PASS 3512 AUDLEY ST 2710 LEE TURNER RD SEGUIN TX 78155-1846 HOUSTON TX 77098 CLEVELAND TX 77328-5978 HAMBURGER, JAY HAMILTON, BYRON A HANCE, TREASURE  $1817~\mathrm{STATE}~\mathrm{ST}$ UNIT 11Q 600 SUE ST HOUSTON TX 77007-8331 14 GREENWAY PLZ HOUSTON TX 77009-2756 HOUSTON TX 77046-1400 HANLEY, DIANE HANNA, HEIDI HANNAN, JAMES 20767 KENSWICK PARK DR 14651 FM 1725 RD 6 HACKBERRY LN HOUSTON TX 77027-5604 PORTER TX 77365-1039 CLEVELAND TX 77328-5453 HARDAWAY, KYLA HARDY, ERIN HARDY, JO APT 250 12238 ROCKY KNOLL DR 142 MADELINE LN 14400 ELLA BLVD HOUSTON TX 77077-5928 MONTGOMERY TX 77316-2113 HOUSTON TX 77014-2538 HARGRAVE, GLORIANE HARRIS, ANN HARRIS, ANN 76 ORINDA DR 106 ISSACKS ST 15381 FM 1725 RD CONROE TX 77304-1118 CLEVELAND TX 77327-5232 CLEVELAND TX 77328-5467 HARRIS, ANNETTE HARRIS, CAROL HARRIS, HENRY 2338 TANGLEY ST 3110 SUNSTREAM CT APT 131 HOUSTON TX 77005-2654 MANVEL TX 77578-7826 1400 S FRAZIER ST CONROE TX 77301-4464

HARRIS, ROBERTHARRIS, SANDRAHART, KATHERINE19214 TYRONE ST2251 STATE HIGHWAY 75 N15390 MEMORIAL DRSPRING TX 77373-5755HUNTSVILLE TX 77320-1021SPLENDORA TX 77372-4071

HARWELL, MONI HATZL, TYSON HAWKINS, JACQUELINE 2854 OLD CHOCOLATE BAYOU RD 13108 AUTUMN ASH DR 2929 BUFFALO SPEEDWAY PEARLAND TX 77584-8993 CONROE TX 77302-3156 HOUSTON TX 77098-1720 HAWTHORNE, SHERRY HAWNA, BARBARA HAY, ALISON E 304 W 17TH ST 2790 FOSTORIA TRAM RD 2026 WROXTON RD HOUSTON TX 77008-3902 CLEVELAND TX 77328-7946 HOUSTON TX 77005-1655 HEAD, MR JEFFREY J HEBERT, JULIA HAYNES, MEGAN 4106 WOODHEAD ST 25002 HESSETT CREEK DR 3526 CAVE SPRINGS DR HOUSTON TX 77098-3610 PORTER TX 77365-8606 KINGWOOD TX 77339-2250 HEBERT, MELINA HECKMAN, DAVID HEDDEN, ELIZABETH 1432 WAVERLY ST 12511 FOSSIL POINT CT 1818 DART ST HOUSTON TX 77008-4147 HUMBLE TX 77346-3662 HOUSTON TX 77007-4027 HEIKEN, JO HELMS, CHRIS HELMS, JOHN 11703 E GRAND POND CT PO BOX 710842 21435 DORU DR MONTGOMERY TX 77356-1717 HOUSTON TX 77271-0842 CLEVELAND TX 77328-8833 HERNANDEZ, CARLOS S HELMS, TAB HENSLEY, MR GERALD 151 LUCILLE DR 150 SMITH LN 6606 BLUE HILLS RD HOUSTON TX 77069-2413 CLEVELAND TX 77328-7995 CLEVELAND TX 77328-4536 HERNANDEZ, MIRNA HERNANDEZ, RAY HERRERA, VICTOR 2077 WHITE DOE CT 15219 EVERGREEN KNOLL LN 4503 HAVILAND FALLS DR CLEVELAND TX 77328-8881 HUMBLE TX 77396-4315 CYPRESS TX 77433-5545 HERSHEY, OLIVE HERSOM, MERLYNN HESTER, SEAN 2415 YUPON ST 12235 CRICKET LN 6260 VERDE ST HOUSTON TX 77006-2515 CONROE TX 77303-5016 GROVES TX 77619-5126 HESTER, TRACI HICKS, STEVEN & PALMIERI, DANIELLE HIGGS, NANCY 6260 VERDE ST 440 PURKERSON RD 2109 DRISCOLL ST GROVES TX 77619-5126 CLEVELAND TX 77328-4524 HOUSTON TX 77019-6824 HILL, MRS CATHY HILL, CHRIS HILL, CRYSTAL

6 HACKBERRY LN

HOUSTON TX 77027-5604

21486 FOREST COLONY DR

PORTER TX 77365-5954

248 WHISKEY BRANCH TRL

CLEVELAND TX 77328-4470

HILL, ROBERT HINDMAN, SHANE HILL, JASON PRESIDENT OF PEACH CREEK PLANTATION ESTATES 21486 FOREST COLONY DR 13750 FM 1725 RD 4175 BOARS RUN PORTER TX 77365-5954 CLEVELAND TX 77328-1106 CLEVELAND TX 77328-8817 HINDMAN, WENDY HITT, PATRICIA A HINDMAN, WENDY 13750 FM 1725 RD PO BOX 1691 2119 ATHENS DR CLEVELAND TX 77328-1106 NEW CANEY TX 77357-3456 CLEVELAND TX 77328-1691 HOFFMAN-LACH, RUTH  $\ensuremath{\mathsf{HIXON}}$  ,  $\ensuremath{\mathsf{JULIA}}$ HIXON, JW 2112 WROXTON RD 2112 WROXTON RD 1904 BANKS ST HOUSTON TX 77005-1534 HOUSTON TX 77005-1534 HOUSTON TX 77098-5456 HOGAN, ROBERT HOLLEY, JOSHUA HOOD, CARLY 2116 DEL MONTE DR 18502 GRIMES RD APT 2222 HOUSTON TX 77019-3535 CLEVELAND TX 77328-6303 7100 ALMEDA RD HOUSTON TX 77054-2194 HOOPER, RENEE HOOVER, CATHERINE HOOVER, DAVID WAYNE 735 LEICESTER LN 33 S PARKGATE CIR 33 S PARKGATE CIR HOUSTON TX 77034-5447 SHENANDOAH TX 77381-4789 SHENANDOAH TX 77381-4789 HOOVER, JACK HORN JANET HORNBUCKLE, PETER F 6024 WHIPPLE WAY 2414 BLUE BONNET BLVD 4118 BOARS RUN HOUSTON TX 77030-3502 AUSTIN TX 78745-2076 CLEVELAND TX 77328-8816 HORST, GLENN HOSA, KEN HOTHEM , ALICE D 4102 KOLB ST 171 IMPERIAL CIR 5850 LYNBROOK DR COLDSPRING TX 77331-3061 HOUSTON TX 77007-2991 HOUSTON TX 77057-2250 HOURANI, GARY HOUSE, ELIZABETH MARTHA HOUSER, KATHY APT 5 APT 3111 8703 S FITZGERALD WAY 111 SKYVIEW TER 15650 WALDEN RD MISSOURI CITY TX 77459-6658 FRIENDSWOOD TX 77546-3841 MONTGOMERY TX 77356-1768 HOUSTON, HANNA HOWARD, ROBIN HOWARD, ROY APT 146 APT 6310 15311 LAKE LAMOND RD 6301 ALMEDA RD 3133 BUFFALO SPEEDWAY CONROE TX 77384-4659 HOUSTON TX 77021-1100 HOUSTON TX 77098-1870 HOWE, KATIE HU, SHIJIN HUBBARD, CHEMENE 1422 1/2 ALLSTON ST 1153 BEASLEY HILLS LN 20815 SULLIVAN RD HOUSTON TX 77008-4208 HOUSTON TX 77008-1495 NEW CANEY TX 77357-7409

HUBER, JENNIFER 806 REDAN ST HOUSTON TX 77009-6038 HUBERTY, STEPHEN RICHARD 19323 ORWELL AVE N MARINE ON SAINT CROIX MN 55047-9775 HUDSON, SONORA 1743 ESPERANZA ST HOUSTON TX 77023-2401

HUFFMAN, CHERYL

UNIT B

1005 CROCKER ST

HOUSTON TX 77019-4337

HUGO, SIDNEY APT 1226 15125 WEST RD

HOUSTON TX 77095-3144

HULIN, LEAH

15491 CEDAR LANE LOOP WILLIS TX 77378-4153

HUMPHREYS, RICHARD & KOCH, JULIE A

110 ARNOLD ST

HOUSTON TX 77007-7202

HUMPHREYS, RICHARD

110 ARNOLD ST

HOUSTON TX 77007-7202

HURLEY, PATRICIA A

UNIT 7E

1400 HERMANN DR HOUSTON TX 77004-7590

HURLIMAN, B 729 W 42ND ST

HOUSTON TX 77018-4428

HURTADO-RAMOS, MIRANDA

1424 COLUMBIA ST

HOUSTON TX 77008-4533

IMMEL, SHELLY

1103 MARTIN ST

HOUSTON TX 77018-2017

IRISH, MARTIN

5938 VICKI JOHN DR

HOUSTON TX 77096-5801

IRWIN, DANIEL C DAN

24629 FM 2090 RD

SPLENDORA TX 77372-3714

ISBELL, RONALD

2314 WHISPERING OAKS ST

PEARLAND TX 77581-4566

ISGRIGG, KIERSTEN 828 WENDEL ST

HOUSTON TX 77009-7432

IVY, ANGELA

9100 BLACK BUCK LN CONROE TX 77303-2957 JACKSON ALAN

1311 TULANE ST

HOUSTON TX 77008-4105

JACKSON, CLAY

10850 DAW COLLINS RD

SPLENDORA TX 77372-3169

JACKSON, ROBERT 1301 CEMETERY RD

COLDSPRING TX 77331-5480

JACKSON, SHELIAH

1630 PANNELL ST

HOUSTON TX 77020-2333

JACKSON, SUSAN M

1311 TULANE ST

HOUSTON TX 77008-4105

JACOB, DEBBIE

2210 LADY LESLIE LN PEARLAND TX 77581-4540 JACOB, ROBERT

2210 LADY LESLIE LN

PEARLAND TX 77581-4540

JAMERLAN, EMILIO

2958 JENNY DR

SUGAR LAND TX 77479-1613

JANSSEN, LAURA

APT 3163 525 W 24TH ST

HOUSTON TX 77008-2050

JAYARAMAN, GEETHA & RAMESH

6857 TOURNAMENT DR

HOUSTON TX 77069-1219

JEFFRES, BROOKE

11910 WALRAVEN DR HUFFMAN TX 77336-4530 JEFFRES, TAYLOR 5550 N BRAESWOOD BLVD

HOUSTON TX 77096-3015

JENSEN, SUSAN E 3714 PLUMB ST

HOUSTON TX 77005-2810

JOBE , ANDREW JOHNS, JENNIE TOKES JOHNSON , CHRYSTAL 5110 COCHRAN ST 10203 TRAILBLAZER LN 18425 CHIPPEWA LN HOUSTON TX 77009-2121 HOUSTON TX 77064-7046 PORTER TX 77365-4027 JOHNSON, JEREMIAH JOHNSON-PITTS, JULIE JOHNSON, ELLENA 66 RED SABLE DR 18425 CHIPPEWA LN 21443 DORU DR THE WOODLANDS TX 77380-2600 PORTER TX 77365-4027 CLEVELAND TX 77328-8833 JONES, CARL D JONES, ELIZABETH JONES, JULIE C 251 SMITH LN 1023 HIGHLAND ST 21226 DORU DR CLEVELAND TX 77328-4539 CLEVELAND TX 77328-8828 HOUSTON TX 77009-6514 JONES, ROBERT B JONES, ZELDA JORDAN, JOHN 261 GREEN TREE DR 11665 FOREST GLEN DR 2002 HARLEM ST HOUSTON TX 77020-4406 COLDSPRING TX 77331-3295 WILLIS TX 77318-5574 JORDAN, SUSAN JOSEPH, JOHNSON JOSLIN, LARRY 11665 FOREST GLEN DR 21581 ERIKA CT 407 CAESARS CIR WILLIS TX 77318-5574 PORTER TX 77365-3493 NEW CANEY TX 77357-3103 JOST, CHRIS KADER, THOMAS KAHLER, CHERENNE 2347 GOLDSMITH ST 201 SUNNY HILL DR 10401 DAW COLLINS RD HOUSTON TX 77030-1129 COLDSPRING TX 77331-3220 CLEVELAND TX 77328-6657 KAIREK, KEYARA KALED, E SUZAN KAMINSKI, CYDNEY APT 110 2503 HAZARD ST 825 USENER ST 2323 POLK ST HOUSTON TX 77019-6719 HOUSTON TX 77009-7400 HOUSTON TX 77003-4405 KANDIL, MR MOHAMED MAGDY KARA, ELENA KARA, ROZINA PO BOX 590202 14222 HILLVALE DR 6818 ROCINANTE LN HOUSTON TX 77259-0202 HOUSTON TX 77077-1411 HOUSTON TX 77092-5438 KARAYTCHEVA, JIVA KARMEL, CATHERINE KARA, ROZINA APT 208 14222 HILLVALE DR 6337 VANDERBILT ST 3900 DACOMA ST HOUSTON TX 77077-1411 HOUSTON TX 77005-3415 HOUSTON TX 77092-8709 KARREN, ADAM KARYDAS, LINDA KEANE, LINDSEY PATILLO 2305 SUL ROSS ST 5401 CARMONA LN 3803 SENNA PL HOUSTON TX 77098-2207 PEARLAND TX 77584-1137 SUGAR LAND TX 77479-2814 KEATING, DIANE KEENAN, BRAYDEN KEENAN, JULIE 618 N PINE HARBOUR DR 5425 JUDALON LN 2210 DRYDEN RD COLDSPRING TX 77331-3538 HOUSTON TX 77056-7222 HOUSTON TX 77030-1102 KEISER, SHANNON KELLEY, LISA KELLEY, MALCOLM & PEGGY 1911 FOREST MANOR DR 2219 MOUNTAIN LAKE DR 9345 FOSTERS BND KINGWOOD TX 77339-3101 KINGWOOD TX 77345-1815 CLEVELAND TX 77328-6974 KELLEY, RUBY KELLEY, RUSSANNE KELLEY, R 460 J A MORGAN RD 460 J A MORGAN RD 5111 DICKSON ST CLEVELAND TX 77328-7907 CLEVELAND TX 77328-7907 HOUSTON TX 77007-7213 KENDALL, JOANNE KENNA, DEBBIE KENNEDY, BETH HEYN PO BOX 674 14210 SCARBOROUGH FAIR ST 3711 TILSON LN HOUSTON TX 77080-1621 HIGHLANDS TX 77562-0674 HOUSTON TX 77077-1815 KENNEDY, KERRY KENNEDY, MARY KENNEDY, TRICIA 921 WAVERLY ST PO BOX 3517 1730 HILLHOUSE RD HOUSTON TX 77008-6757 CONROE TX 77305-3517 PEARLAND TX 77584-2748 KERR, ASHLEY KERR, BECCA KERR, BECCA 4522 DICKSON ST STE 64 15809 SINGAPORE LN HOUSTON TX 77007-7302 5773 WOODWAY DR JERSEY VILLAGE TX 77040-3037 HOUSTON TX 77057-1501 KESSNER, SUSAN KESTLER, CRAIG KETCHAM, BARBARA LYNN 5201 PENNY RD 30 LUCILLE DR 5614 BELROSE DR CLEVELAND TX 77328-7494 CLEVELAND TX 77328-7992 HOUSTON TX 77035-2610

KEY, CHARLOTTE & JOHN KILLIAN, BILL KILPATRICK, KASEY  $21263 \ BIG \ BUCK \ DR$ 14950 SANTA GERTRUDIS DR APT 209 CLEVELAND TX 77328-8811 CORPUS CHRISTI TX 78410-5822 609 WAVERLY ST HOUSTON TX 77007-4527

KILPATRICK, MICHAEL C KILPATRICK, ROBERT M KILPATRICK, WENDY 781 BIG BUCK DR 781 BIG BUCK DR 781 BIG BUCK DR CLEVELAND TX 77328-5019 CLEVELAND TX 77328-5019 CLEVELAND TX 77328-5019

 $\mathsf{KIMBAL}\,,\,\mathsf{JOETTE}$ KING, CAROL KISE, SUSANNA 770 TURNER RD PO BOX 427 APT 370 CLEVELAND TX 77328-3927 SPLENDORA TX 77372-0427 1301 RICHMOND AVE HOUSTON TX 77006-5451 KISSINGER, CONNIE KIZER, CYNTHIA KLEIN, CAROLYN 1531 MILFORD ST 301 FM 945 RD N 14211 TOWNSHIRE DR HOUSTON TX 77006-6321 COLDSPRING TX 77331-5576 HOUSTON TX 77077-1800 KLEIN, ROBERT KNIGHT, CHRISTINE KLENK . PATRICK 14211 TOWNSHIRE DR 3838 N BRAESWOOD BLVD PO BOX 1166 HOUSTON TX 77077-1800 HOUSTON TX 77025-3000 COLDSPRING TX 77331-1166 KNIGHT, SHERYL KNOTT, LEVAN KNOX, PAM 2675 PINE ACRES DR 1730 CRESCENT GREEN DR 5213 HOLLY ST CONROE TX 77384-4041 HOUSTON TX 77094-2980 BELLAIRE TX 77401-4803 KNULL, ANNA KOCH, JULIE KOCUREK, WAYNE 1588 N WALKER RD 1337 OMAR ST 110 ARNOLD ST HOUSTON TX 77008-7135 HOUSTON TX 77007-7202 CLEVELAND TX 77328-2334 KOHUT, CINDY KOOKEN, MAKENZIE KOPP, ALEX 17710 SURREYWEST LN 419 ASHFORD FOREST DR 5340 INKER ST SPRING TX 77379-7854 HOUSTON TX 77079-6546 HOUSTON TX 77007-3499 KOSTETSKY, TANYA KOUECKI, DANIEL KOZOWSKI, DAVID 704 RIDGE ST APT A HOUSTON TX 77009-7418 2509 SHAKESPEARE ST 1525 OCEAN DR HOUSTON TX 77030-1086 CORPUS CHRISTI TX 78404-1877 KREDITOR, ROSE KRAMER, KEN DIRECTOR KRENEK, HOLLY SIERRA CLUB LONE STAR CHAPTER APT 356 5938 VICKI JOHN DR 8103 KRAMER RD 2001 WESTHEIMER RD HOUSTON TX 77096-5801 CHAPPELL HILL TX 77426-3737 HOUSTON TX 77098-1560 KRENTZEL, ALYSSA KRISTICK, CORRIE KRISTICK , LOREN E APT 1315 6314 HERMANN LAKE DR APT 229 5353 FANNIN ST HOUSTON TX 77021-2258 2400 N BRAESWOOD BLVD HOUSTON TX 77004-6945 HOUSTON TX 77030-4319 KROME, JON KUEHNE, WILLIAM KUEPKER, SHELBY 5728 LONGMONT LN 5353 FANNIN ST APT 2409

HOUSTON TX 77057-2500 HOUSTON TX 77004-6945 5253 FANNIN ST HOUSTON TX 77004-5877

KUFFNER, TIFFANY TYLER KULZER, SARA KUMELSKI, GABRIELLA 3014 NORHILL BLVD 23968 CUSHING RD APT 1 HOUSTON TX 77009-7147 MONTGOMERY TX 77316-1719 4540 MCKINNEY ST HOUSTON TX 77023-1166 KUNTZ , ILIANNA 4419 OMEARA DR HOUSTON TX 77035-3631 KUPERMAN , SHAY 4131 E NORTHAMPTON PL HOUSTON TX 77098-5255 LALARI , HAMIDA 7707 JESTER BLVD AUSTIN TX 78750-7935

LAMBARD , WILLIAM

195 SPYGLASS PARK LOOP

MONTGOMERY TX 77316-1501

LAMBERT , BRIAN 1920 STEVENS ST HOUSTON TX 77026-7433 LAMBRIGHT , CASSY 6249 ELLA LEE LN HOUSTON TX 77057-4403

LAMBRIGHT , NINA 6249 ELLA LEE LN HOUSTON TX 77057-4403 LANE , DOUG 902 WALLING ST HOUSTON TX 77009-3649 LANE , MARGARET
902 WALLING ST
HOUSTON TX 77009-3649

LANG , KELLEY 4013 ELLA LEE LN HOUSTON TX 77027-3910 LANG , MIKE 4013 ELLA LEE LN HOUSTON TX 77027-3910 LANGE , RACHEL
TEXAS PARKS AND WILDLIFE DEPARTMENT
4200 SMITH SCHOOL RD

LANGE , RACHEL  $\label{eq:texas} \mbox{TEXAS PARKS AND WILDLIFE DEPARTMENT} \\ \mbox{STE } 106$ 

316 SPRING ST

COLUMBUS TX 78934-2475

LARSEN , SETH 21065 HEREFORD WAY CLEVELAND TX 77328-8843 LATIMEN , DEBRA F 5634 SPELLMAN RD HOUSTON TX 77096-6150

AUSTIN TX 78744-3218

LAUMEYER , JAMES 1675 NW 4TH AVE

BOCA RATON FL 33432-1538

LAWRENCE , ERICA 28262 CALAVERAS LAKE DR

28262 CALAVERAS LAKE DR SPRING TX 77386-4289  $LAZARO\ , OSCAR$ 

3131 SOUTHWEST FWY HOUSTON TX 77098-4500

LEAGUE , SANDRA 10858 ROYAL PINES DR CONROE TX 77303-2757 LEAVITT , VICTORIA
3216 POLK ST
HOUSTON TX 77003-4721

LEBLANC, DR. REBECCA

HOUSTON TX 77004-7590

1400 HERMANN DR

LEBLANC , DEBORAH & GARY
3242 FOSTORIA TRAM RD
CLEVELAND TX 77328-7952

LEBLANC , MR GARY THOMAS 3242 FOSTORIA TRAM RD

3242 FOSTORIA TRAM RD CLEVELAND TX 77328-7952

LEE , EMILY

APT 113

UNIT 14

2700 REVERE ST HOUSTON TX 77098-1300 LEBLANC , ROBERT UNIT 14G

LEE, JENNIFER

STE 3092

1400 HERMANN DR HOUSTON TX 77004-7590

1647 COLQUITT ST HOUSTON TX 77006-5203

LEE, CAROL

HOUSTON TX 77098-1300

1940 FOUNTAIN VIEW DR HOUSTON TX 77057-3206

HOUSTON SAN JACINTO RANCH LLC

LEEDY , DON 1090 HICKORY RIDGE DR COLDSPRING TX 77331-4885 LEFTWICH , DAVID D 4616 OAK RIDGE ST HOUSTON TX 77009-4423 LEMONS , KELLEY
2118 STACY KNL
HOUSTON TX 77008-3492

LEMUS, MARIO LENSKY, HEIDIQ LEON, REBECCA LOFTIS 880 HIGHWAY 6 S 4747 RESEARCH FOREST DR 1909 LUBBOCK ST HOUSTON TX 77079-1034 THE WOODLANDS TX 77381-4912 HOUSTON TX 77007-7620 LEVOY, REGINA LERMA, CASSANDRA LESLIE, MR ANDREW 10 COUNTY ROAD 2244 UNIT 216 PO BOX 188 CLEVELAND TX 77327-8606 6341 STEWART RD SHIRO TX 77876-0188 **GALVESTON TX 77551-1880** LEVY, CHERYL LEVY, HARAN LEWIS, BRADLEY 5315 EDITH ST 5315 EDITH ST APT 15 HOUSTON TX 77096-1223 HOUSTON TX 77096-1223 1548 ASHLAND ST HOUSTON TX 77008-4100 LEWIS, KANISSA LEWIS, PAIGE LI, PEGGY 3419 ROSEDALE ST 1606 CHESTNUT RIDGE RD 712 WENDEL ST HOUSTON TX 77004-6356 KINGWOOD TX 77339-3270 HOUSTON TX 77009-7430 LIAO, SHARON LICCIARDONI, MARIO LICCIARDONI, MARIO 5331 KANSAS ST 22341 AUGUSTA CT 227 WATERWOOD HOUSTON TX 77007-1212 HUNTSVILLE TX 77320 HUNTSVILLE TX 77320-9646 LIEBENTHAL, CHLOE LIFTMAN, JESSICA LINARES, CARLOS 1605 RICE BLVD 1031 W 21ST ST APT 1604 HOUSTON TX 77005-4401 HOUSTON TX 77008-3366 2016 MAIN ST HOUSTON TX 77002-8848 LISENBT, MICHAEL LINER, ROBERT LIPSKI, JACQUELYN 1208 W PIERCE ST 221 ROY ST 5007 POINCIANA DR HOUSTON TX 77019-4146 HOUSTON TX 77007-7244 HOUSTON TX 77092-5620 LIVERGOOD, BRYAN T LIVERGOOD, SHELBY LIVY, IAN 771 WAVERLY ST 771 WAVERLY ST 6031 LYMBAR DR HOUSTON TX 77007-1442 HOUSTON TX 77007-1442 HOUSTON TX 77096-4712 LO, CYNTHIA LOCK, KASEY LOCK, RANDY 7114 ROOS RD 25384 MOSS CIR 25384 MOSS CIR HOUSTON TX 77074-3316 CLEVELAND TX 77328-6788 CLEVELAND TX 77328-6788

LOFTIN, FRANCES

680 BLACK BRANCH RD

COLDSPRING TX 77331-8670

LOMBARDI, MARIA

2604 MERRIMAC DR

LEAGUE CITY TX 77573-4814

LOCKE, JENNIFER

1133 DOROTHY ST

HOUSTON TX 77008-6645

LONGORIA, BEBI LOO, ANNE LOPEZ, JOHN O 1811 SALFORD DR 407 MARSHALL ST 24 JONES VIEW DR HOUSTON TX 77008-1015 HOUSTON TX 77006-4516 HUNTSVILLE TX 77320-1544 LOPEZ, JULIE LOPEZ, LAURA LOPEZ, SARA 3019 AVENUE M EXT 2312 JACKSON ST 14150 AMBER LN CONROE TX 77301-5829 MONTGOMERY TX 77316-2081 HOUSTON TX 77004-1234 LOTT, CHUCK LORD, MRS TERRA LORENSEN, NANCY 10400 FM 1725 RD 2004 BLAKE RD 130 SUGALOCH CV CLEVELAND TX 77328-7585 SUGAR LAND TX 77478-2504 JACKSON MS 39211-3435 LOUPE, OLIVIA LOVE, LACEY LOWERY, KENNETH 2511 WILLOWICK RD 11211 QUIET LAKE DR 680 BLACK BRANCH RD HOUSTON TX 77027-3984 CONROE TX 77304-1679 COLDSPRING TX 77331-8670 LOWERY, KEVIN LOWERY, VICKIE LUANGKHOT, NIRAKORN 680 BLACK BRANCH RD 680 BLACK BRANCH RD 2051 WHITE BUCK CT COLDSPRING TX 77331-8670 COLDSPRING TX 77331-8670 CLEVELAND TX 77328-8879 LUCARI, FRAN LUCAS, CASSONDRA LUCAS, KRISTIN 357 COUNTY ROAD 3997 1809 MORSE ST 6739 LINDYANN LN HOUSTON TX 77008-5132 HOUSTON TX 77019-5734 CLEVELAND TX 77328-3275 LUCK, RHIANNON LUCZAK, CAREY LUJAN, KAIRA 2314 WHISPERING OAKS ST 2000 TAYLOR ST 5927 ALMEDA RD PEARLAND TX 77581-4566 HOUSTON TX 77007-4645 HOUSTON TX 77004-7791 LUNA, SARAH LUNA, VANESSA LYLES , DOUGLAS E 13726 APPLE KNOLL CT PO BOX 15470 21358 DORU DR HOUSTON TX 77059-3585 HOUSTON TX 77220-5470 CLEVELAND TX 77328-8830 LYNCH, JAMES LYNCH, JEFF LYNCH, KEVIN 2242 OAK CIRCLE DR N 4228 SWARTHMORE ST 25840 HICKORY KNOLL CT CONROE TX 77301-3181 HOUSTON TX 77005-2710 CLEVELAND TX 77328-6980  $LYNSKEY\,,\,MATTHEW$  $LYNSKEY\,,\,SARAH$ LYONS, BRANDI

341 BIG BUCK DR

CLEVELAND TX 77328-5002

15233 BENNETTE WOODS RD

CONROE TX 77302-6813

341 BIG BUCK DR

CLEVELAND TX 77328-5002

LYONS, BRETT LYONS , DAVID LYONS, HANNA 7735 N WALKER RD 9193 LUCILLE LN 7735 N WALKER RD CLEVELAND TX 77328-5750 CONROE TX 77384-4633 CLEVELAND TX 77328-5750 LYONS, MADISON LYONS, SARA MABERRY . SHANE 7735 N WALKER RD 3626 MERRICK ST 390 C EVERITT RD CLEVELAND TX 77328-7720 CLEVELAND TX 77328-5750 HOUSTON TX 77025-1946 MABRY, WAYNE MABRY, JANIE MAGAL, ROTEM 1190 SMITH LN 1190 SMITH LN 5235 MIMOSA DR CLEVELAND TX 77328-4546 CLEVELAND TX 77328-4546 BELLAIRE TX 77401-4839 MAHLER, SEBASTIAN MAIER, SHELDA MALDONADO, DIANA 1153 BEASLEY HILLS LN APT 7105 2220 PINEGATE DR HOUSTON TX 77008-1495 3121 BUFFALO SPEEDWAY HOUSTON TX 77008-2898 HOUSTON TX 77098-1971 MALEN, STEPHANIE MALKEY, STEVEN MAN, VANESSA APT 1710 1206 MAYFAIR WAY 5327 WILLOWBEND BLVD 3233 W DALLAS ST KINGWOOD TX 77339-1677 HOUSTON TX 77096-5220 HOUSTON TX 77019-3873 MANDELL, MR ROY MANDL, HEIDI MANESCALCO, FRANK 26 SPEARS RD 5530 RUTHERGLENN DR 866 BIG BUCK DR CLEVELAND TX 77328-4548 HOUSTON TX 77096-4034 CLEVELAND TX 77328-5539 MANESCALCO, RHONDA LOUISE MANKAD, LILA MANN, CHARLIE R 866 BIG BUCK DR 819 HIGHLAND ST 46 ARTESIAN WAY CLEVELAND TX 77328-5539 HOUSTON TX 77009-6510 NEW CANEY TX 77357-3042 MANNCHEN, BRANDT MANNERS, TERRIE MANSOORI, SHADHI APT 3138 6193 COUNTY ROAD 2252 APT 1314 4300 DUNLAVY ST CLEVELAND TX 77327-0973 2900 N BRAESWOOD BLVD HOUSTON TX 77025-2329 HOUSTON TX 77006-5401 MAPLES, AIDAN MARCOGLIESE, ANDREA MAREK, BRITTANY NICOLE 1904 BANKS ST 1448 HEIGHTS BLVD 951 JAYHAWKER RD HOUSTON TX 77098-5456 HOUSTON TX 77008-4249 CLEVELAND TX 77328-2380

MARHSALL, PETER

HOUSTON TX 77007-7127

406 DETERING ST

MARQUEZ, MR JOSE

2015 WHITE BUCK CT

CLEVELAND TX 77328-8879

MAREK, JEREMIAH EDWARD

CLEVELAND TX 77328-2380

951 JAYHAWKER RD

MARQUEZ , MRS VERONCIA L MARSHALL, J MARSHALL, MS LISA 2015 WHITE BUCK CT 114 HEIGHTS BLVD 18823 COVE MILL LN CLEVELAND TX 77328-8879 HOUSTON TX 77007-3742 CYPRESS TX 77433-3386 MARTEN, DR. CLAIRE MARTIN, MR BEN MARTIN, MARTHA 18126 BAYOU MEAD TRL 2126 BAMBI CT 63 ARTESIAN OAKS DR CONROE TX 77304-2505 HUMBLE TX 77346-3078 CLEVELAND TX 77328-8806 MARTIN, VIKKI MARTINEZ, CORINA N MARTINEZ, BECKY 1040 COUNTY ROAD 368 BAYOU LAND CONSERVANCY 8814 RAINESVILLE LN ALVIN TX 77511-9779 BLDG J HOUSTON TX 77075-5206 10330 LAKE RD HOUSTON TX 77070-1695 MARTINEZ, MS JANIE G MARTINEZ, MARICELA MARTINEZ, MONICA P 14210 BATEAU DR 5681 HIGHLINE DR 2819 OUINCANNON LN CYPRESS TX 77429-2553 MONTGOMERY TX 77316-4194 HOUSTON TX 77043-1603 MARTINEZ, TANYA MARTINSON, DEEDEE MARTON, STEPHANIE 930 WALL ST 121 CAPERS RD APT 508 HOUSTON TX 77088-7943 CLEVELAND TX 77328-1483 3833 DUNLAVY ST HOUSTON TX 77006-4742 MARWAHA, BHARAT MASON PHILIP MATEKER, JOAN 419 SABAL PALM LN 4404 LAFAYETTE ST 5538 GRAND LAKE ST BELLAIRE TX 77401-4834 PEARLAND TX 77584-7771 BELLAIRE TX 77401-5633 MATEKER, MARK MATHES, BONNIER MATHES, MR CLAUDE DAVID 5538 GRAND LAKE ST 1065 SHADOW BEND CT 161 BIG BUCK DR BELLAIRE TX 77401-4834 CONROE TX 77301-5081 CLEVELAND TX 77328-5006 MATHES, MRS PATRICIA ANN MATTHEWS, DAVE MATTHEWS, KAREN 161 BIG BUCK DR 2705 SACKETT ST 109 DETERING ST CLEVELAND TX 77328-5006 HOUSTON TX 77098-1123 HOUSTON TX 77007-8226 MATTHEWS, MICHAEL F MATTHEWS, THAMA MAUZ, DENISE 109 DETERING ST 7302 CARDINAL CIR  $606 \to 27 \mathrm{TH} \ \mathrm{ST}$ HOUSTON TX 77007-8226 TEXAS CITY TX 77591-3646 HOUSTON TX 77008-2208 MAY , MRS DIEDRA E MAY, MARK DAVIS  $MAXWELL\ , ADRIANA$ 

41 MAY COX RD

CLEVELAND TX 77328-8476

41 MAY COX RD

CLEVELAND TX 77328-8476

2406 RIVERLAWN DR

KINGWOOD TX 77339-2422

MAYER , MARY L MCADAMS, CATHY MCADAMS, MAXINE 150 CAMILLA DR 11800 FM 1725 RD 71 A J MEEKINS RD COLDSPRING TX 77331-9791 CLEVELAND TX 77328-5408 CLEVELAND TX 77328-8403 MCAULIFFE, MS JILL MCCAMPBELL, ANN COLLEEN MCCONNELL, PETE 1111 AVENUE L 3414 PROSPECT ST UNIT 14B 1400 HERMANN DR **GALVESTON TX 77550-6134** HOUSTON TX 77004-7812 HOUSTON TX 77004-7590 MCCOPPIN, EMMETT MCCORMICK, ANN MCCOPPIN, RAY PO BOX 1069 PO BOX 1069 APT 2205 COLDSPRING TX 77331-1069 COLDSPRING TX 77331-1069 3300 CUMMINS ST HOUSTON TX 77027-5893 MCCULLOUGH, SHELLY MCDANIEL, ROBYN  $MCDANIEL\,,\,THOM$ 1531 MEEKINS RD 2622 SHERWIN ST APT 4 CLEVELAND TX 77328-4713 606 MARSHALL ST HOUSTON TX 77007-2693 HOUSTON TX 77006-4480 MCDONALD, JEFF MCDONALD, JOHN DAVID MCDONALD, LENE 2715 NEWMAN ST 650 JAYHAWKER RD 2715 NEWMAN ST HOUSTON TX 77098-1405 CLEVELAND TX 77328-7974 HOUSTON TX 77098-1405 MCDONALD, PAULINE MCDOWELL, DEIRDRE MCDOWELL, EMILY 7675 PHOENIX DR 811 ARLINGTON ST 740 E 13TH ST HOUSTON TX 77030-4700 HOUSTON TX 77007-1632 HOUSTON TX 77008-7148 MCDUGALD, R MCFARLAND, LIZ MCFARLIN, KRISTINE 2701 WESTHEIMER RD 21218 PEACH WOOD 4671 LEE TURNER RD HOUSTON TX 77098-1284 CLEVELAND TX 77328-8874 CLEVELAND TX 77328-5987 MCFERRAN, DARCI MCINTGRE, JILL MCKENNA, BRIAN

APT 17 502 AZALEADELL DR 716 CHELSEA BLVD
2002 RICHMOND AVE HOUSTON TX 77018-4414 HOUSTON TX 77006-6206
HOUSTON TX 77098-3453

MCKENZIE , C P MCKINNEY , KERI MCKIRACHAN , JOAN M
2718 STATELY OAK ST 18611 NASHUA PINES CT APT 3106
KINGWOOD TX 77345-1874 HUMBLE TX 77346-8184 777 DUNLAVY ST
HOUSTON TX 77019-1949

MCLAURIN , WHITNEYMCLELLAN , SHARONMCMANIS , KATHARINE8024 N TARRYTOWN CROSSING DR34 S TAYLOR POINT DR6522 COMMUNITY DRCONROE TX 77304-6820SPRING TX 77382-1289HOUSTON TX 77005-3558

MCMILLIAN , REINA 4122 GAIRLOCH LN HOUSTON TX 77025-2912 MCMILLIAN , SCOTT 4122 GAIRLOCH LN HOUSTON TX 77025-2912 MCMULLEN , BECKY 27424 LAZY S ST SPLENDORA TX 77372-4393

MCNAMARA , MIKE 1007 HILLCREST DR CONROE TX 77301-1108

MCPHAIL , JORDAN UNIT G 1818 WICHITA ST HOUSTON TX 77004-5970

UNIT 5G 1400 HERMANN DR HOUSTON TX 77004-7590

MCRANEY, SUE

MCSWEEN , CAMI 14676 DIAMONDHEAD S MONTGOMERY TX 77356-2807

MCWEENEY , JEAN 5234 INDIGO ST HOUSTON TX 77096-1311 MEALER , LINDA 4610 LAKEFRONT TERRACE DR PEARLAND TX 77584-5988

MEALER , PRESTON
4610 LAKEFRONT TERRACE DR
PEARLAND TX 77584-5988

MECHELL-HARRIS , MONICA 11027 SPRINGWOOD DR LA PORTE TX 77571-4497

APT 5 1404 WELCH ST HOUSTON TX 77006-1887

MECHENY, AISHA

MEDERES , MAGGIE 1906 TWIN SPRINGS DR KINGWOOD TX 77339-3354 MEDLIN , VERONICA B 21162 GRANT LAKE CIR CLEVELAND TX 77328-8836 MEGUIN , KACEY 6024 WHIPPLE WAY AUSTIN TX 78745-2076

MEHTA , VARENYA APT 152 1202 SEAGLER RD HOUSTON TX 77042-2058 MEIKLE , KAREN 2324 SHANNON ST HOUSTON TX 77027-3921 MEJIA , KYLE 3314 ABBEY FIELD LN PORTER TX 77365-8514

MEURER , EMILY APT 3306 13710 PARK ROW DR HOUSTON TX 77084-7361 MICHNA , HANNAH 4848 PIN OAK PARK HOUSTON TX 77081-2272 MIER , MARIA 18025 ATWOOD MILL DR NEW CANEY TX 77357-1303

MILLER , ALISON 2423 RALPH ST HOUSTON TX 77006-2426 MILLER , CHERRIE 1525 FM 1725 RD CLEVELAND TX 77328-3277

2423 RALPH ST HOUSTON TX 77006-2426

MILLER, ERIC

MINTON , CHARLES 16 N LIBERTY SHEPHERD TX 77371-2460 MISKELL , CONNIE 14473 BIMBO LN CONROE TX 77302-4007

202 NORMA LN CLEVELAND TX 77328-4502

MIZE, JESSE DON

MOHON , MS LINDA N 110 SMITH LN CLEVELAND TX 77328-4536 MOLINA , CLAUDIA 8667 GREEN KOLBE LN HOUSTON TX 77080-2203

MONROE , LEO 8820 N SAM HOUSTON PKWY E HUMBLE TX 77396-5036 MONTEITH, CHERYL & WALTER MONTES, D MOODY, ALLEE APT 361 8100 CAMBRIDGE ST 2009 FOSTORIA TRAM RD 1414 WOOD HOLLOW DR HOUSTON TX 77054-3171 CLEVELAND TX 77328-7937 HOUSTON TX 77057-1615 MOODY, ALLEE  $\mathsf{MOODY}$  ,  $\mathsf{CHASE}\ \mathsf{W}$ MOODY, CHASE W 2011 FOSTORIA TRAM RD 2009 FOSTORIA TRAM RD 2011 FOSTORIA TRAM RD CLEVELAND TX 77328-7937 CLEVELAND TX 77328-7937 CLEVELAND TX 77328-7937 MOODY, CURTIS W MOODY, CURTIS W MOODY, DANA C CURTIS MOODY CURTIS MOODY 2009 FOSTORIA TRAM RD 2009 FOSTORIA TRAM RD 2011 FOSTORIA TRAM RD CLEVELAND TX 77328-7937 CLEVELAND TX 77328-7937 CLEVELAND TX 77328-7937 MOODY, DANAC MOODY, ETHAN MOODY, ETHAN 2011 FOSTORIA TRAM RD 2009 FOSTORIA TRAM RD 2011 FOSTORIA TRAM RD CLEVELAND TX 77328-7937 CLEVELAND TX 77328-7937 CLEVELAND TX 77328-7937 MOODY, ETRAN W MOODY, MRS KIMBERLY MOODY, MADISON 2011 FOSTORIA TRAM RD 1507 CROWN DR 2009 FOSTORIA TRAM RD CLEVELAND TX 77328-7937 ALVIN TX 77511-3701 CLEVELAND TX 77328-7937 MOODY, MADISON MOODY, MIRANDA MOODY, MIRANDA 2009 FOSTORIA TRAM RD 2011 FOSTORIA TRAM RD 2011 FOSTORIA TRAM RD CLEVELAND TX 77328-7937 CLEVELAND TX 77328-7937 CLEVELAND TX 77328-7937 MOODY, VANCE MOODY, VANCE MOOK, HEATH 2009 FOSTORIA TRAM RD 2011 FOSTORIA TRAM RD 236 COUNTY ROAD 2314 CLEVELAND TX 77328-7937 CLEVELAND TX 77328-7937 DAYTON TX 77535-6201 MOOK, JO MOOK , JOHN P MOORE, ALEX 236 COUNTY ROAD 2314 236 COUNTY ROAD 2314 APT 1309 DAYTON TX 77535-6201 DAYTON TX 77535-6201 15650 WALDEN RD MONTGOMERY TX 77356-1768 MOORE, MRS HALLIE J MOORE JR, MR JOHNNY R MOORE, DAVID APT 3B 49 CASCADE SPRINGS PL 4118 BIG BEAVER 2700 ALBANY ST THE WOODLANDS TX 77381-3102 CLEVELAND TX 77328-8808 HOUSTON TX 77006-1500 MORALES , NICASIO MORAN, JJ MORAN, JEFFREY 830 OAK WEST DR 5315 HOLLY ST 3446 ARBOR ST HOUSTON TX 77073-5369 BELLAIRE TX 77401-4805 HOUSTON TX 77004-6303

MORGAN , JUSTIN 151 LUCILLE DR CLEVELAND TX 77328-7995 MORGAN , MINDY 22093 SUN HAVEN LN PORTER TX 77365-5881 MOROSKY , ASHLEY 13115 WILLIS WAUKEGAN RD CONROE TX 77303-4507

MORRIS , DAVID 2514 WATTS ST HOUSTON TX 77030-1832 MORRIS , JACI 407 FRIENDS KNOLL LN FRIENDSWOOD TX 77546-3782 MORROW , AIMEE 1002 S COLLEGE AVE CLEVELAND TX 77327-5120

MORROW , M
43 BAYOU POINTE DR
HOUSTON TX 77063-1037

MOSCHETTA , MARISSA
APT 1
806 WINBERN ST
HOUSTON TX 77002-9548

MOSCOSO , REBECA 8055 CAMBRIDGE ST HOUSTON TX 77054-3056

MOSELEY , DEBRA 14911 SANDALFOOT ST HOUSTON TX 77095-2818

MOSES , QUITENA UNIT 39 108 BLUE RDG CONROE TX 75013 MOSS , STACIE 3623 W ALABAMA ST HOUSTON TX 77027-5927

MUCK , SUSAN 11640 WARD RD CLEVELAND TX 77328-7463 MUELLER, EUGENE E 15602 CORSAIR RD HOUSTON TX 77053-3016 MULCIHY , DAVID 18506 CAPETOWN DR HOUSTON TX 77058-4011

MUNDINGER , CATHERINE 13734 KINGSRIDE LN HOUSTON TX 77079-5905 MUNDWILLER , LINDA A APT 675 11711 MEMORIAL DR HOUSTON TX 77024-7255 MUNDY , JENNIFER 180 PINE VALLEY RD CLEVELAND TX 77328-4508

MURATORE , ALISON APT 2234 1415 ELDRIDGE PKWY HOUSTON TX 77077-1635 MURDOCK , JOHN 15 PICTURE ROCK PL THE WOODLANDS TX 77389-4956

15 PICTURE ROCK PL THE WOODLANDS TX 77389-4956

MUSIL , ASHLEY 9489 FOSTERS BND CLEVELAND TX 77328-6975 MUSKIET , DONNIS 150 MAY COX RD CLEVELAND TX 77328-8477 MUSTAFA , NIAZ 13918 HOLLY LYNN LN HOUSTON TX 77077-1587

MURDOCK, PEGGY

MYERS , HANNAH 1500 CROSSING PL AUSTIN TX 78741-3390 MYERS , JUDY 11118 VILLAGE BEND LN HOUSTON TX 77072-3636 NADEL , SHELLEY 4431 WARM SPRINGS RD HOUSTON TX 77035-6025

NAIK , BRIDGETTE 1710 RIDGEWOOD ST HOUSTON TX 77006-1036 NAIR , JISHNU STE 200 8400 N SAM HOUSTON PKWY W HOUSTON TX 77064-3461 NAJERA , ROBYN 2904 JULIE ANN DR PEARLAND TX 77584-1308 NARA NARA, DEAN 623 E 13TH ST HOUSTON TX 77008-7145 NASR, MELISSA 11803 WILDWIND WAY MONTGOMERY TX 77356-5588 NASR, YASSER 11803 WILDWIND WAY MONTGOMERY TX 77356-5588

NAZOR, CRAIG MORRIS 11701 BARCHETTA DR AUSTIN TX 78758-3762

NEAL, MR JAMES A 575 COUNTY ROAD 507 NACOGDOCHES TX 75961-8998 NELL, AMBER 20954 PIN OAK RD NEW CANEY TX 77357-6630

NELL, STACY 20954 PIN OAK RD NEW CANEY TX 77357-6630 NELSON, JOANNA APT 9109 3131 MEMORIAL CT HOUSTON TX 77007-6175 NELSON, JOEL APT 2409 5253 FANNIN ST HOUSTON TX 77004-5877

NELSON, LAURA APT 252D

7900 CAMBRIDGE ST HOUSTON TX 77054-5502 NELSON, SHANNON 4336 HOLSTEIN DR CLEVELAND TX 77328-8848 NEUREN, JENNIFER 431 HUNTERWOOD DR HOUSTON TX 77024-6902

NEWLAND, SCOTT

597 COUNTY ROAD 3709 SPLENDORA TX 77372-9531 NEWMAN, BEVERLY 1607 MORSE ST

HOUSTON TX 77019-5321

NEWTON, CARLA

8005 OAKWOOD FOREST DR HOUSTON TX 77040-4431

NEWTON, TOM

8005 OAKWOOD FOREST DR HOUSTON TX 77040-4431

NGUYEN, CAIDEN 2800 POST OAK BLVD HOUSTON TX 77056-6100 NICHOLS ALEXA

APT 6 3903 LAW ST HOUSTON TX 77005-1240

NICHOLS, KATIE 404 OXFORD ST

HOUSTON TX 77007-2640

NICHOLS, MARCIA C 201 IMPERIAL CIR

COLDSPRING TX 77331-3017

NICHOLS , THE HONORABLE ROBERT L STATE

SENATOR

TEXAS STATE SENATE DISTRICT 3

PO BOX 12068

AUSTIN TX 78711-2068

NICHOLS, S

NIMITZ, JASON

720 FM 1280 E LOVELADY TX 75851-3659

NICHOLS, SUSAN 2122 RIVER VILLAGE DR KINGWOOD TX 77339-1776 NIEDERKORN , MRS CHARLENE M 4102 LONGHORN DR CLEVELAND TX 77328-8850

NOBLE, KIMBERLY A NOGUERA, MARIA

660 SMITH LN CLEVELAND TX 77328-4527 17580 FM 1725 RD CLEVELAND TX 77328-5486

3313 BUFFALO SPEEDWAY HOUSTON TX 77098

APT 3308

NORMAN, CECILIA APT 202

1101 ELDER ST HOUSTON TX 77007-6244 NOVAK, SARAH 902 PARTHENON PL

841 ALLSTON ST HOUSTON TX 77007-1525

NUNLI, KELLI

ROMAN FOREST TX 77357-3039

NYBERG, BRAD O'BRIEN , CATHERINE O'BRIEN, GARY 407 MARSHALL ST 2406 PEONY MEADOW CIR 8515 DISCUS DR HOUSTON TX 77006-4516 PEARLAND TX 77089-1670 HUMBLE TX 77346-6056 O'BRIEN, KEVIN OAKLEY, JENNIFER OCHOA, PATRICIA 810 HORSESHOE WAY LOOP 2351 CLAREMONT LN 26390 PECAN GRV CLEVELAND TX 77328-8160 HOUSTON TX 77019-5809 CLEVELAND TX 77328-6998 OJEDA, MISS REBECCA OLIN, LUISA M OLSON, CHRIS 2120 WEST ST 202 BEAVER DEN DR 800 COUNTY ROAD 440 HOUSTON TX 77026-6850 COLDSPRING TX 77331-4630 DAYTON TX 77535-4757 OLSON, HALEY OLSON, KAELYNN OLSON, KINSLEY 800 COUNTY ROAD 440 800 COUNTY ROAD 440 800 COUNTY ROAD 440 DAYTON TX 77535-4757 DAYTON TX 77535-4757 DAYTON TX 77535-4757 OSBORN, CYNTHIA OZDOGAN, ELIZABETH OZDOGAN, UMUT 97 FM 2550 RD APT 413 APT 413 HUNTSVILLE TX 77320-1960 3206 REVERE ST 3206 REVERE ST HOUSTON TX 77098-2223 HOUSTON TX 77098-2223 PAGE, PAULA PAGEL, FRANCES PAGEL, WALTER 4314 HAZELTON ST 3513 GRAMERCY ST 3513 GRAMERCY ST HOUSTON TX 77035-3810 HOUSTON TX 77025-1318 HOUSTON TX 77025-1318 PALLISTER, HAYLEY PALMER, DARYL PANG, LAURA 2219 BRIARVIEW DR 3219 HOLLY GREEN DR 2323 GRAMERCY ST HOUSTON TX 77077-5202 KINGWOOD TX 77339-1340 HOUSTON TX 77030-3213 PAPILLON-RODRIGUE, KATHERINE PARISI, ANTHONY PARISI, JANET 5807 KANSAS ST 6001 LAKE ST 6001 LAKE ST HOUSTON TX 77007-1005 HOUSTON TX 77005-3131 HOUSTON TX 77005-3131 PARK, SHARON PARKER, A PARKER, JESSICA 19143 MOSSY HEDGE LN 206 S MEADOWLARK ST 1911 FOSTORIA TRAM RD KATY TX 77449-4134 LAKEWAY TX 78734-4726 CLEVELAND TX 77328-7935 PARKER, LAURA PARKER, LAURAS PARKER, REBECCA 1716 SHEARN ST 15406 BAY GREEN CT 164 WESTMINSTER DR NE

HOUSTON TX 77059-5812

ATLANTA GA 30309-3330

HOUSTON TX 77007-4050

PARKER, SHAYLA PARKER, STEVEN PARKINSON, BROOKE 12315 RIVER RIDGE ST 2907 VIRGINIA ST 3303 MAIN ST BAYTOWN TX 77523-8522 HOUSTON TX 77098-1230 HOUSTON TX 77002-9322 PASCOE, ROBERT PARRISH, LAUREN PASTRANA, MIGUEL 21619 WHISTLING STRAITS DR 5150 AUDEN ST 655 YALE ST PORTER TX 77365-6569 HOUSTON TX 77005-1242 HOUSTON TX 77007-2835 PATEL, KATE PATTERSON , JIM L PATTILLO, THERESA 711 REINICKE ST APT 722 11300 JAKE PEARSON RD HOUSTON TX 77007-5162 2016 MAIN ST CONROE TX 77304-4269 HOUSTON TX 77002-8848 PAYNE, KELSEY PEACOCK, TARYN PECK, PAM 5151 EDLOE ST APT 1307 13710 MONEY TREE HOUSTON TX 77005-1102 10201 BUFFALO SPEEDWAY SAN ANTONIO TX 78232-4993 HOUSTON TX 77054-2561 PEDRELLI, JAKE PEEL, OLIVIA PELTIER, DARREN APT 463 11715 BROKEN BOUGH CIR 3240 FOSTORIA TRAM RD CLEVELAND TX 77328-7952 920 WESTCOTT ST HOUSTON TX 77024-5115 HOUSTON TX 77007-5595 PETERSON . DENISE PESTOVA, SVETLANA PETERSON A K UNIT 4H STE 550-626 828 NAGLE ST HOUSTON TX 77003-1266 1400 HERMANN DR 11807 WESTHEIMER RD HOUSTON TX 77004-7590 HOUSTON TX 77077-6789 PETERSON, MELISA PETT, RAUSTIN PHILLIPS, DENNIS 341 BIG BUCK DR 6314 HERMANN LAKE DR 15345 WILLIS WAY CLEVELAND TX 77328-5002 HOUSTON TX 77021-2258 CONROE TX 77384-3470 PHILLIPS, KRISTEN PHILLIPS , LARRY D PHILLIPS, LOUANN  $1912~\mathrm{SUL}~\mathrm{ROSS}~\mathrm{ST}$ 2316 HALBERT DR 2316 HALBERT DR HOUSTON TX 77098-2554 PEARLAND TX 77581-3830 PEARLAND TX 77581-3830 PHILLIPS, YOLANDA PINEDA, MARIO PITTS, JON 15345 WILLIS WAY 81 COUNTY ROAD 3891 N 21443 DORU DR

PITTS , ZOE PJRSTR , KATHLEEN PLISKIN , ALEX
11517 MAIN MAPLE DR 1915B HAZARD ST 4115 RICE BLVD
HOUSTON TX 77025-3116 HOUSTON TX 77019-6108 HOUSTON TX 77005-2743

CLEVELAND TX 77328-3226

CLEVELAND TX 77328-8833

CONROE TX 77384-3470

PLUNKETT, GILBERT PLUNKETT, JOANNE POL , JAMES C 7800 HIGHWAY 150 5210 CONTOUR PL 343 W 16TH ST SHEPHERD TX 77371-2911 HOUSTON TX 77096-4118 HOUSTON TX 77008-3915 POLIKUFF, JUELSON C PORTER, ELISSIA POL, KAREN 343 W 16TH ST 3333 ALLEN PKWY 1012 COUNTY 379 HOUSTON TX 77008-3915 HOUSTON TX 77019-1854 CLEVELAND TX 77328 PORTER, JIM PORTILLO, CESAR POWELL, KENNETH 17708 GLEN WEST DR 3133 BUFFALO SPEEDWAY 9011 CLIFFWOOD DR CONROE TX 77302 HOUSTON TX 77098-1870 HOUSTON TX 77096-3506 POWELL, MEREDITH PREDAL, REMI PREP, JACOB 1410 HUGE OAKS ST 11902 ROCKY KNOLL DR 2300 RICHMOND AVE

HOUSTON TX 77055-3418 HOUSTON TX 77077-6121 HOUSTON TX 77098-3259

PRESTON, KATHY PRICE, TABITHA PRICE, VENUS

PO BOX 1436 5782 DAW COLLINS RD HOUSTON PUBLIC WORKS - HOUSTON WATER

CONROE TX 77303-2628

HOUSTON TX 77008

COLDSPRING TX 77331-1436 CLEVELAND TX 77328-6644 611 WALKER ST

HOUSTON TX 77002-4903

PRICE, WILLARD A PRINE, DELORES PRITCHARD, TOM 25871 TALLOW VIS 71 EDGEWATER TER 1901 MEEKINS RD CLEVELAND TX 77328-4721 COLDSPRING TX 77331-4771 CLEVELAND TX 77328-7171

PROCINSKY, KRISTEN PROCTOR, ROBERT PROSKE, LINDY 3711 TARTAN LN 402 BYRNE ST 13200 ROYAL SHORES DR

HOUSTON TX 77009-7210

PRUFER, KEVIN PRYOR, GABRIELA PRYOR , JAMES E 1401 ALLSTON ST 110 TULANE ST 110 TULANE ST

HOUSTON TX 77008

HOUSTON TX 77025-2409

HOUSTON TX 77008-4207

PRYOR, JIMMY PRYZANT, JOE PSENCIK, ELIZABETH 1110 TULANE ST 3262 HUNTINGDON PL PO BOX 2906

HOUSTON TX 77008-6847 HOUSTON TX 77019-5926 CONROE TX 77305-2906

PUGUE, HEATHER PULLIN, KACY PURKERSON, MR LOUIS NATHAN 2031 VIKING DR 7622 BRAE ACRES CT 12681 FM 1725 RD HOUSTON TX 77018-1723 HOUSTON TX 77074-4123 CLEVELAND TX 77328-5421

QUIGLEY, DEBRA QUIGLEY, SEAN QUINLAN, MICHELLE 2928 LOUIS RD 2928 LOUIS RD APT 1610 CROSBY TX 77532-6417 CROSBY TX 77532-6417 3233 W DALLAS ST HOUSTON TX 77019-3873 RACK, LADA RAAB, MS PEGGY J RABAGO, KRISTIAN 4434 VANDERMERE CT 2850 FANNIN ST 1145 ALEXANDER ST KINGWOOD TX 77345-1692 HOUSTON TX 77002-9200 HOUSTON TX 77008-6634 RADFORD, LAUREN RAIRDEN, ALICIA R RAMIREZ, KELLY E 10782 FM 1725 RD 11919 W BORDER OAK DR 14923 RADSTOCK DR CLEVELAND TX 77328-7590 MAGNOLIA TX 77354-6997 HOUSTON TX 77062-2642 RAMOS, VERONICA RAMSEY, CLAIRE RANDEL, LUCY 2015 WHITE BUCK CT 4618 HUMMINGBIRD ST 5319 RUTHERGLENN DR CLEVELAND TX 77328-8879 HOUSTON TX 77035-5126 HOUSTON TX 77096-4139 RASBERRY, TOM RASNER, DIANA RAY, LISA 5008 COLMESNEIL ST UNIT D 101 LONGRIDGE DR PEARLAND TX 77584-1245 864 WAKEFIELD DR LA MARQUE TX 77568-5715 HOUSTON TX 77018-6368 REED, KELSEY REAGAN, JYL SCOTT REED, SHANNON 2037 SOUTH BLVD 3101 KINDT DR APT 453 HOUSTON TX 77098-5333 DAYTON TX 77535-1527 2345 BERING DR HOUSTON TX 77057-4749 REEVES, LARRY REEVES, LARRY REEVES, VIVI 3941 PLEASANT PLAINS DR 4931 PLEASANT PLAINS DR 252 JACK TURNER RD FRIENDSWOOD TX 77546 FRIENDSWOOD TX 77546-2952 CLEVELAND TX 77328-5549 REGISTER, STEVE REJAIE, AZAR RENAUD, LUIS  $410\ RETA\ DR$ **APT 67** 718 E HEIGHTS HOLLOW LN DEER PARK TX 77536-3555 10011 KNOBOAK DR HOUSTON TX 77007-7070 HOUSTON TX 77080-6414 REYES, LAUREN REYNOLDS, JEANIE REYNOLDS, JESSE APT 528 1416 ELKINS LK 75 LUCILLE DR 2724 KIPLING ST HUNTSVILLE TX 77340-7332 CLEVELAND TX 77328-7993 HOUSTON TX 77098-1762 REZLE, OMAR RHYMES, KATIE RICE, MADISON 1 HERMANN PARK CT 2210 DRYDEN RD 1935 W CLAY ST HOUSTON TX 77021-2273 HOUSTON TX 77030-1102 HOUSTON TX 77019-3703

RICHARDSON, NATHAN RICHMOND, JANNA RIDER, KATHARINE APT 906 3738 CHISOLM CT 5309 GREEN TREE RD HOUSTON TX 77056-1310 808 GLADSTELL RD CLEVELAND TX 77328-8822 CONROE TX 77304-4911 RIGHTMYER, PATRICK RINALDI, KATHERINE RIDGWAY, SHERI 593 FAIRWAY CT CITY OF HOUSTON UNIT 1 22627 W SHOREWOOD LOOP 2577 MARILEE LN CONROE TX 77302-3839 HUFFMAN TX 77336 HOUSTON TX 77057-4203  $RINEHART\ ,\ ED$ RIPPENKROEGER, BELINDA RIVERA, RON PO BOX 1687 383 GOODE CITY LN 3230 ENCLAVE LN NEW CANEY TX 77357-1687 CLEVELAND TX 77328-6018 HOUSTON TX 77077-1682 RIVETTE, CHARLES RIVETTE, CHARLES ROBB, ERIN 24275 KATY FWY 38 BROOKLINE CT 322 TEAL LN SUGAR LAND TX 77478-4717 KATY TX 77494-7257 THE WOODLANDS TX 77381-3823 ROBBINS, MS DARELLE ELIZABETH ROBBINS, PAM ROBERSON, SHANTELL 1912 MCDUFFIE ST 70 CAMPFIRE CIR PO BOX 88231 HOUSTON TX 77019-6132 COLDSPRING TX 77331-4746 HOUSTON TX 77288-0231 ROBERTS, JOE C ROBERTS, ROYCE ROBERTSON ARTHUR 8310 BARBERRY BRANCH ST 3118 MANZANITA LN APT 260 HOUSTON TX 77055-1669 MANVEL TX 77578-3496 4040 SAN FELIPE ST HOUSTON TX 77027-3949 ROBERTSON , CLINTON ROBINSON, DENA ROBINSON, KYRA 2705 WESTLAYAN ST 4814 WILLOW ST 15-1448 26TH AVE HOUSTON TX 77027 BELLAIRE TX 77401-4416 KEAAU HI 96749 RODOS, EVELYN RODRIGUEZ, DEBRA RODRIGUEZ, LEANNE 1912 MCDUFFIE ST 102~COUNTY~ROAD~3891~SAPT 7205 HOUSTON TX 77019-6132 CLEVELAND TX 77328-3241 4807 PIN OAK PARK HOUSTON TX 77081-2209

RODRIGUEZ , LOREN ROESNER, MR JASON F ROGERS MENZ, SHEM SAM HOUSTON NATIONAL FOREST 401A WELCH ST 1540 HAWTHORNE ST 394 W FM 1375 RD HOUSTON TX 77006-2123 HOUSTON TX 77006-3782 NEW WAVERLY TX 77358-3460

ROMAN, JASMINE G ROPPOLO, LESLIE ROSE, HENRY 21550 PROVINCIAL BLVD 910 W COTTAGE ST 3214 ELLA LEE LN HOUSTON TX 77009-5258 KATY TX 77450-7560 HOUSTON TX 77019-5924

ROSENBLATH, ANNA ROSENZWEIG, ALINE ROSS, ALEENA APT 3411 APT 4 3923 BADEN ST HOUSTON TX 77009-4708 2630 BISSONNET ST 2126 BRANARD ST HOUSTON TX 77098-2432 HOUSTON TX 77005-1347 ROSS, DONNA ROSS, BRUCE ROTBERG, KRYSTAL 21270 GRANT LAKE CIR APT 18 3007 ROYAL GLEN DR CLEVELAND TX 77328-8838 3611 MURWORTH DR KINGWOOD TX 77339-1268 HOUSTON TX 77025-3660 ROTH, ANGELA ROTH, AMY ROY, SENJA 25945 TALLOW VIS 4713 HOLT ST 5063 CALHOUN RD CLEVELAND TX 77328-7172 BELLAIRE TX 77401-5718 HOUSTON TX 77204-7005 RUARK, KATHY  $RUESEWALD\ ,\ JOE$ RUIZ, BECKY 5011 JASON ST 2401 FOSTORIA TRAM RD PO BOX 357 HOUSTON TX 77096-2719 CLEVELAND TX 77328-7951 OAKHURST TX 77359-0357 RUSH, SANDRA RUSSELL, BOBBIE RUSSELL, ROSANNA 5868 WESTHEIMER RD 1317 POST OAK DR 2703 VANNEVAR WAY HOUSTON TX 77057-5641 HOUSTON TX 77027 THE WOODLANDS TX 77381-3342 RYAN, ALICE RUSSELL, TIMOTHY SAATSOGLOU, ELENI 22516 PEBBLE BEACH WAY 11422 PEPPERDINE LN 1616 STUART ST HUNTSVILLE TX 77320 HOUSTON TX 77004-2945 HOUSTON TX 77071-2312 SABA, DAVID SABLATURA, CINDYE SAILOR, LISA 501 W 10TH ST UNIT 201 3630 OAK CROSSING DR HOUSTON TX 77008-6701 PEARLAND TX 77581-7103 3331 DAMICO ST HOUSTON TX 77019-1943 SALAMEH, NASSER SALAZAR, KENNETH SALAZAR, ROY APT 136 PO BOX 1248 621 BIG BUCK DR 2450 BRAESWOOD BLVD RYE TX 77369-1248 CLEVELAND TX 77328-5016 HOUSTON TX 77030 SALEM, VICTORIA SALVAGIO, JOE SAMUELS, JOSH 1760 HAROLD ST 2914 FONTANA DR 3702 ELMORA ST HOUSTON TX 77098-1602 HOUSTON TX 77043-1305 HOUSTON TX 77005-3712  $SANDER\ ,\ HARRY$ SANDERS, SARAH SANTIAGO, SYDNEY

11259 QUIET LAKE DR

CONROE TX 77304-1679

2715 HOT SPRINGS DR

PEARLAND TX 77584-1318

16319 TEXAS STAR CT

CONROE TX 77302-8042

SANTOS, JEFF SARDINA, EVELYN SARLERNO , FRANK G 26225 WALNUT BURL 715 NORTHAIRE DR 8445 CEDARBRAKE DR CLEVELAND TX 77328-7378 HOUSTON TX 77073-5416 HOUSTON TX 77055-4825 SASAKI, IRENE SAULS, KERRY SAVAGE, SHEA 810 TULANE ST 3166 WOOD LOOP 13212 BROWDER TRAYLOR RD HOUSTON TX 77007-1534 WILLIS TX 77378-4114 CONROE TX 77306-5755 SBAR , MR LAWRENCE M SAVAGE, TORI SAXTON, GEORGE 21581 ERIKA CT 20696 TRINITY WAY LASER INTERNATIONAL CORP PORTER TX 77365-3493 NEW CANEY TX 77357-4480 APT 17 1500 WITTE RD HOUSTON TX 77080-7606 SCANDROL, KELLI SCHACHTER, KIRSTEN SCHAEFER, BRENDA 1318 ALEXANDER ST 2910 FIVE OAKS DR 25388 PINE KNOB DR HOUSTON TX 77008-3846 MISSOURI CITY TX 77459-6506 CLEVELAND TX 77328-4512 SCHANZER, CELINE SCHANZER, MJ SCHAUDT, CAROL L 191 IMPERIAL CIR 191 IMPERIAL CIR 21602 PARK YORK DR COLDSPRING TX 77331-3061 COLDSPRING TX 77331-3061 KATY TX 77450-4624 SCHAUDT, JONATHAN MICHAEL SCHECHTER, LINDSEY SCHLAGENHAUF, AMANDA 2026 PROSPECT GLEN LN 901 E 23RD ST APT 502 KATY TX 77449-3221 HOUSTON TX 77009-2403 1111 RUSK ST HOUSTON TX 77002-3421 SCHLEMMER, KRISTEN SCHMALTZ, ROGER SCHMIDLIN, HERB BAYOU CITY WATERKEEPER 14015 OAKWICK LN 68 WATERWOOD STE 209 HOUSTON TX 77044-6129 HUNTSVILLE TX 77320-9671 4900 TRAVIS ST HOUSTON TX 77002-2631 SCHMIDT, SHEILA SCHMITT, PASCALE SCHNEIDER, AMALIA 6033 RAVENWOOD DR UNIT 11105 103~S~ABRAM~CIRPEARLAND TX 77584-7733 5925 ALMEDA RD THE WOODLANDS TX 77382-2035 HOUSTON TX 77004-7602 SCHORNO, ANN SCHUMANN, CHRIS SCHWALLER, SUE 1210 BOMAR ST 4919 KENESHAW ST 502 E 12TH ST HOUSTON TX 77006-1120 SUGAR LAND TX 77479-3985 HOUSTON TX 77008-7008

SCHWINDEL, STEPHANIESCOTT, LINDSEYSCOTT, SHARIN3419 WOODBINE PL511 ARCHWOOD TRL511 ARCHWOOD TRLPEARLAND TX 77584-4864HOUSTON TX 77007-1806HOUSTON TX 77007-1806

SEDLOCK , EMILY 2543 RIATA LN HOUSTON TX 77043-1833 SELLERS , KAYLYN 4435 MORRIS DR PEARLAND TX 77584-4925 SELLNER , MRS CAROL SUZANNE 1911 MAPLE LAKES DR KINGWOOD TX 77339-3351

SELLNER, JOHN & SUZANNE
1911 MAPLE LAKES DR
KINGWOOD TX 77339-3351

SEMAR , DANIAL 128 LADNER STOCKTON RD CLEVELAND TX 77328-8469

128 LADNER STOCKTON RD CLEVELAND TX 77328-8469

SEMAR, KELLI

SEMENTELLI , GLEN 719 EUCLID ST HOUSTON TX 77009-7228 SEQUEIRA , ISABEL 1228 W 17TH ST HOUSTON TX 77008-3440 SERRAO , ALEXANDRA 21450 PARK ORCHARD DR KATY TX 77450-5328

SEWALL , BRANDON 2030 BISSONNET ST HOUSTON TX 77005-1647 SHAFER , RANDALL 1931 DE MILO DR HOUSTON TX 77018-1703 SHALILIAN , IDA 5706 SANTA FE SPRINGS DR HOUSTON TX 77041-5755

SHALL , ISABELLE APT 34 835 HEIGHTS BLVD HOUSTON TX 77007-1540 SHARP , CARLA 3510 W HOLCOMBE BLVD HOUSTON TX 77025-1314 SHAW , THE HONORABLE PENNY MORALES STATE REPRESENTATIVE TEXAS HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES DISTRICT 148

PO BOX 2910 AUSTIN TX 78768-2910

SHEAD , LINDA R SHEAD CONSERVATION SOLUTIONS 712 E 12TH 1/2 ST HOUSTON TX 77008-7120

SHEPPARD , ANGELIA 22376 E WALLIS DR PORTER TX 77365-5931 SHERBON , JESSA 1034 W 8TH ST HOUSTON TX 77007-1410

SHERROD , DELANN 105 S WOODSWAY ST W CONROE TX 77301-1390 SHERWOOD , MRS BRENDA LEE 3043 CREEK MANOR DR KINGWOOD TX 77339-1224 SHIELDS , REBECCA 3409 AMHERST ST HOUSTON TX 77005-3335

SHIPMAN, JOY

SHIGEKAWA , MS LYNN 1401 EDGEWATER DR FRIENDSWOOD TX 77546-7837 SHILLING , ZACHARY 7710 MAIN ST HOUSTON TX 77030-4472

PO BOX 2237 NEW CANEY TX 77357-2237

SHOEMAKER , KIM APT 5304 2 STADIUM DR SUGAR LAND TX 77498-1840 SHOOK , KAYLA 1132 FRIES RD HOUSTON TX 77055-4718 SILVA , AARYN 2731 NOTTINGHAM ST HOUSTON TX 77005-2421

SIMPER , CYNTHIA 2685 ROLLING HILLS RD CONROE TX 77303-4643 SIMPSON , BURNICE 4642 N DUCK CREEK RD CLEVELAND TX 77328-8866 SIMPSON , CAYLEIGH 2705 WESLAYAN ST HOUSTON TX 77027-5123 SIMPSON, CHERYL SIMPSON, PAUL SIPAHIOGLU, CARLA APT 251 4642 N DUCK CREEK RD 3255 LAS PALMAS ST CLEVELAND TX 77328-8866 HOUSTON TX 77027-5767 4508 GRAUSTARK ST HOUSTON TX 77006-5835 SLUITER, MIRA SKAFF, MS RUTH ANN SKERO, KELLIE UNIT 12F 17007 SALMON CT 1022 GARDENIA DR HOUSTON TX 77018-4313 1400 HERMANN DR SPLENDORA TX 77372-1439 HOUSTON TX 77004-7590 SMALLWOOD, PHYLLIS SMITH, CHERIE SMITH, ALISA 19007 PINE TRACE CT 6132 W AIRPORT BLVD 13411 BRIAR FOREST DR HUMBLE TX 77346-3151 HOUSTON TX 77035-3972 HOUSTON TX 77077-2631 SMITH, CHRISTINA SMITH, JOHN SMITH, JOHN 3300 CALIFORNIA AVE 2215 BOLSOVER ST 2001 ALSOBROOKS RD CLEVELAND TX 77328-6553 HOUSTON TX 77005-2623 CLEVELAND TX 77328-4326 SMITH, JUSTIN SMITH, KAM SMITH, KATHRYN 201 S SOUTHWIND TRL 2001 ALSOBROOKS RD 3414 BIG HICKORY DR CLEVELAND TX 77328-4009 CLEVELAND TX 77328-4326 KINGWOOD TX 77345-3085 SMITH, LEAH D SMITH, LISA SMITH, SANDRAS 1111 LAKEVIEW ESTATES DR 15787 MILLER RD 1528 PRESERVE LN CONROE TX 77303-4935 COLDSPRING TX 77331-5061 PEARLAND TX 77089-7059 SMITH, SARAH SMITH, YVETTE SNELL, AMY 111 BROKEN BOUGH LN 3510 MAPLE PARK DR 4134 TARTAN LN KINGWOOD TX 77339-2623 CONROE TX 77304-1701 HOUSTON TX 77025-2920 SOEFER, CINDY SONAR, SHREYA SORENSON, BARBARA 20 LANA LN APT 2303 4715 VALERIE ST HOUSTON TX 77027-5606 5151 EDLOE ST BELLAIRE TX 77401-5703 HOUSTON TX 77005-1102 SOTO, NESTLE ANDRES SPARKS, BARBARA SOSA, MIGUELA 270 JOYCE RD 701 SAN JACINTO ST 1121 HERITAGE LN CLEVELAND TX 77328-3364 HOUSTON TX 77002-3673 CONROE TX 77304-1587 SPEARS, KELLY SPARKS, DEBI SPENCE , LAWRENCE 11095 MAGNOLIA DR 1911 MANILA LN 2219 BRIARVIEW DR

HOUSTON TX 77043-2407

HOUSTON TX 77077-5202

CONROE TX 77303-3229

SPENCER, ALLISON SPILLMAN, STEPHANIE ST JOHN , LISA 5319 SYCAMORE VILLAS DR 2826 KINGS RETREAT CIR 826 N WELLSFORD DR KINGWOOD TX 77345-1475 KINGWOOD TX 77345-5602 PEARLAND TX 77584-7623 STAGE, DANIEL & ROXANNE STAGE , ROXANNE R STALNAKER, STEPHANIE 3704 CHISOLM CT 3704 CHISOLM CT 501 MIZELL LN CLEVELAND TX 77328-8822 CLEVELAND TX 77328-8822 CLEVELAND TX 77832 STEGENGA, LINDA KAY STEKLA, LEE STEPHENS, ANNA CAML 14 PLEASANT BEND DR 4321 MOUNT VERNON ST 16652 STONECREST DR THE WOODLANDS TX 77382-1297 HOUSTON TX 77006-5850 CONROE TX 77302-4722 STEPHENS, ARLENE F STEPHENS, DAVID STEPHENS, LYN 12214 WISTERIA DALE PATH 43 E WHISTLERS BEND CIR 28018 BURRO SPRINGS LN HUMBLE TX 77346-3877 THE WOODLANDS TX 77384-5045 SPRING TX 77386-4229 STEPHENS, TOM STERN, RENEE STEVES, BUDDY 12214 WISTERIA DALE PATH APT 1908 2320 BLUE BONNET BLVD HUMBLE TX 77346-3877 3411 YOAKUM BLVD HOUSTON TX 77030-3602 HOUSTON TX 77006-4300 STEWART , CATHERINE STEWART, CHARLES STEWART, DENISE 6218 YARWELL DR 1509 ALVIN ST 2015 THOUSAND PINES DR HOUSTON TX 77096-4628 LAKE CHARLES LA 70601-5803 KINGWOOD TX 77339-3147 STEWART, VALERIE STEWART JR , RANDALL CLAUDE STILES, DAVID 105 SHADYLYN DR 321 N MOODY LN STE 230 CONROE TX 77304-1740 CLEVELAND TX 77328-5590 1302 WAUGH DR HOUSTON TX 77019-3908 STILWELL, JANET STINE, WHITNEY STONE, ALLISON 158 CEZANNE WOODS PL 2210 CEDAR FALLS DR 20464 YOUPON LN THE WOODLANDS TX 77382-2055 KINGWOOD TX 77339-3307 PORTER TX 77365-3250 STONEBERGER, LARRY ALLEN STONE, ANGELINA STONE, LISA 2211 BRIARGLEN DR 8902 BIRDWOOD CT 2652 FM 1725 RD HOUSTON TX 77027-3700 HOUSTON TX 77096-2107 CLEVELAND TX 77328-3363  $STOUT\,, ANN$ 

2623 ARBUCKLE ST

HOUSTON TX 77005-3929

STRAM , BRUCE STRECKFUSS , LESIA

APT 13 2413 E VILLAGE GREEN CIR

3200 W LAMAR ST CONROE TX 77304-3304

HOUSTON TX 77019-1967

STRINGER, JENNIFER STRIPLING, KIMBERLY & MICHAEL STUART, DEANNA 3908 HOUSTON LAKE DR 25960 HICKORY KNOLL CT 2450 FOSTORIA TRAM RD PEARLAND TX 77581-4796 CLEVELAND TX 77328-7950 CLEVELAND TX 77328-6981 STUBBLEFIELD, TREVOR SUCHART, TYLER STUTES, JANE 410 ARBOR OAK DR 341 MEEKINS RD 3722 WALKER FALLS LN NACOGDOCHES TX 75964-7119 FULSHEAR TX 77441-4566 CLEVELAND TX 77328-8407 SUMAN, ELIZABETH SUMRALL, ELIZABETH SULLIVAN, RAYMOND STE 1500 819 SLEDGE ST 30 LUCILLE DR 919 CONGRESS AVE HOUSTON TX 77009-7421 CLEVELAND TX 77328-7992 AUSTIN TX 78701-2102 SUMRALL, ELIZABETH SUMRALL, EVELYN SUMRALL, TIMOTHY 1411 JAYHAWKER RD 21486 FOREST COLONY DR 1411 JAYHAWKER RD CLEVELAND TX 77328-7983 PORTER TX 77365-5954 CLEVELAND TX 77328-7983 SUMRALL, TIMOTHY SUNDERMAN, CARL SURI, ANUJ 30 LUCILLE DR 2618 BEVIS ST 3787 BELLAIRE BLVD CLEVELAND TX 77328-7992 HOUSTON TX 77008-1754 HOUSTON TX 77025-1206 SUTTERWHITE, ERIN SUTTON ANN D SVACINA, NATALIE 1537 CASTLE CT APT 209 1219 AUTREY ST HOUSTON TX 77006-5783 HOUSTON TX 77006-6059 8221 KINGSBROOK RD HOUSTON TX 77024-3380 SVOBODA, ALAN SWANSON, VICTORIA SWEETEN, ALICE APT 100 11106 SAGE LINDA LN 290 N MOODY LN HOUSTON TX 77089-3637 CLEVELAND TX 77328-5587 808 CHARLES BARKER AVE CLEVELAND TX 77327-5600 SWEETEN, JOE SWORD, CAROLYN SYED, BARKAT 11323 METTS RD APT 304 APT 1022 CONROE TX 77306-7507 3415 HAVENBROOK DR 7500 KIRBY DR KINGWOOD TX 77339-2617 HOUSTON TX 77030-4300 SYLVESTER, MICHAEL TADGHIGHI, ARASH TAEGTMEYER, PO BOX 105 711 REINICKE ST 6315 BELMONT ST SHEPHERD TX 77371-0105 HOUSTON TX 77007-5162 HOUSTON TX 77005-3401 TAKEDD, M TANIGUCHI, BAT TANSEY, MELISSA APT 2415 700 W CAVALCADE ST 5711 WOODLAND CREEK DR

HOUSTON TX 77009-2051

KINGWOOD TX 77345-1459

3131 TIMMONS LN

HOUSTON TX 77027-5922

TAO, DANNA TARDO , JOHN TATE, AMY 2000 TAYLOR ST 601 HILDRED AVE 1509 ASHLAND ST HOUSTON TX 77007-4645 CONROE TX 77303-1715 HOUSTON TX 77008-4131 TAYLOR, ALAN TATE, MARTIN ALAN TATE, MEGAN 11660 FM 1725 RD 11660 FM 1725 RD 21483 W HAMMOND DR CLEVELAND TX 77328-5404 CLEVELAND TX 77328-5404 PORTER TX 77365-4629 TAYLOR, DEAN TAYLOR, BETSY TAYLOR, BRIAN 2056 DRYDEN RD 5311 HOBART ST 5431 HEARTH DR HOUSTON TX 77030-1206 SPRING TX 77389-3820 HOUSTON TX 77054 TAYLOR, DOUG TAYLOR, JAY TAYLOR, KAMA 11111 GILMORE RD 10609 GREENRIDGE RD 3106 ALBANS RD CLEVELAND TX 77328-6836 HOUSTON TX 77005-2148 CONROE TX 77303-4211 TAYLOR, KAMA TAYLOR, LAUREN TAYLOR, ROCKY 11323 METTS RD 33 ROLLINGWOOD DR 2342 FOSTORIA TRAM RD CONROE TX 77306-7507 HOUSTON TX 77080-7617 CLEVELAND TX 77328-7925 TAYLOR, SAMANTHAT TAYLOR JR, SCOTT TEINERT, LUCIA 2342 FOSTORIA TRAM RD 10611 ROYAL STERLING DR APT 114 CONROE TX 77303-2868 CLEVELAND TX 77328-7925 2323 POLK ST HOUSTON TX 77003-4405 TERRY, ELIZABETH THAN, MS CATHERINE THEIS, JALANE 1017 HIGHLAND ST 118 E 24TH ST 5362 FAIRDALE LN HOUSTON TX 77008-2518 HOUSTON TX 77056-6605 HOUSTON TX 77009-6514 THOMAS, ANGELA THOMAS, TERRI THOMPSON, BOBBY APT 530 6 RAINS WAY 261 WANDERING WAY 2900 W DALLAS ST HOUSTON TX 77007-7099 COLDSPRING TX 77331-4780 HOUSTON TX 77019-4296 THOMPSON , LAUREN THOMPSON, SUE CAROL THORNBURG, COURTNEY UNIT C 821 W 26TH ST 3240 FOSTORIA TRAM RD 874 WAKEFIELD DR HOUSTON TX 77008-1743 CLEVELAND TX 77328-7952 HOUSTON TX 77018-6300 THORNBURG, DALE-RAYMOND WELLINGTON THURMAN, BEVERLY TIDWELL, TRACY

703 SALERNO ST

SUGAR LAND TX 77478-3353

154 COUNTY ROAD 22705

CLEVELAND TX 77327

3240 FOSTORIA TRAM RD

CLEVELAND TX 77328-7952

TIPTON, CRISTINAY TISCHHAUSER, JESSICA TOCH, BRENDAN 421 MALLARD DR 450 WESTLAKE LNDG 3646 CHAPEL SQUARE DR COLDSPRING TX 77331-7009 SPRING TX 77388-5012 CONROE TX 77304-3120 TODD, GRETCHEN TONEY, URSULA TORO, DEB 238 W 19TH ST PO BOX 174 3230 LAS PALMAS ST HOUSTON TX 77008-4064 COLDSPRING TX 77331-0174 HOUSTON TX 77027-5725 TOTZ , ALBERTA TORRES, NANCY TORRES, RAMON 830 OAK WEST DR 2112 TURNER DR 6410 VANDERBILT ST HOUSTON TX 77073-5369 HOUSTON TX 77093-6139 HOUSTON TX 77005-3821 TOUPS, MYRIAH TOUPS, NANNETTE TOWNSEND, DENISE 2417 ROSAMOND ST 2417 ROSAMOND ST 1310 SWEET GUM LN HOUSTON TX 77098-1315 HOUSTON TX 77098-1315 KINGWOOD TX 77339-3249 TRACHTMAN, LAURA TREBUS, SCOTT TRIMM, BRITTNEE 846 HERKIMER ST 13630 FONDREN RD 602 ROCK CREEK DR HOUSTON TX 77007-1433 HOUSTON TX 77085-2012 WILLIS TX 77378-2326 TRUONG, CHAN TRYON, BEN TURNER, DEBORAH 11014 AYRSHIRE PARK LN 13236 RIDGEWATER WAY 251 SMITH LN CLEVELAND TX 77328-4539 HOUSTON TX 77043-7408 CONROE TX 77302-3469 TURNER , DONNA R TURNER, DOROTHY A TURNER, DR. JAMIE L 61 BLACK BRANCH LN 211 SMITH LN LSC COLDSPRING TX 77331-8653 CLEVELAND TX 77328-4539 3614 VILLAGE PINE DR KINGWOOD TX 77339-1913 TURNER, LYDIA TYLER , ALISON E TYLER, CHARLES A 111 SMITH LN APT 100 21174 GRANT LAKE CIR CLEVELAND TX 77328-4537 10220 MEMORIAL DR CLEVELAND TX 77328-8836 HOUSTON TX 77024-3244 TYLER, RUSSELL TYNER, SANDRA UPHAM-DEMERS, JAMIE 1944 S DUCK CREEK RD 31 CAMPFIRE CIR 104 SHAWNA LN CLEVELAND TX 77328-6690 COLDSPRING TX 77331-4747 BUTTE MT 59701-7401  $URTEAGA\,,\,CHRISTIAN$ UTHMAN, SUZETTE VALENCIA, FABIOLA

78 S WARBLER BEND CIR

THE WOODLANDS TX 77382-2614

3106 WHITE OAK DR

HOUSTON TX 77007-2722

7903 CHEYENNE CT

BAYTOWN TX 77521-8390

VALENTIN, MONICA VALENTIN, SAMANTHA VAN DER WALT, MEGAN 9626 CLEVELAND BAY CT 9626 CLEVELAND BAY CT 10917 CANNES MEMORIAL DR HOUSTON TX 77065-4460 HOUSTON TX 77065-4460 HOUSTON TX 77043-3268 VANDERBURG, R L VANDERGAAG, MRS ELIZABETH VANN, MARY 8515 DISCUS DR 208 COPPERY CT 2651 FOSTORIA TRAM RD MONTGOMERY TX 77316-1954 CLEVELAND TX 77328-8208 HUMBLE TX 77346-6056 VANWOERDEN, CAS VANWOERDEN, GITA VARWIG, SARAH 16723 SYCAMORE RD 16723 SYCAMORE RD 701 STATE HIGHWAY 150 W CAT SPRING TX 78933-5010 CAT SPRING TX 78933-5010 WILLIS TX 77378-2579 VASSILAKIDIS, SOPHIA VELA, MANUEL VELASCO, JENN 2744 BRIARHURST DR 2919 SACKETT ST 1760 W 23RD ST HOUSTON TX 77057-5339 HOUSTON TX 77098-1127 HOUSTON TX 77008-1406 VENUTURUPALLI, NEEHARIKA VERES-SCHRECENGOST, JENNIFER VIARHEICHYK, ALENA 12819 KINGSBRIDGE LN 12955 MEMORIAL DR 138 PHANTURN LN HOUSTON TX 77077-2258 HOUSTON TX 77079-7302 BELLAIRE TX 77401-2607 VILLEGAS, MARCO VO, NGUYET VOLKER, KAT 1730 CRESCENT GREEN DR 21238 PEACH BND 10410 WILLOWISP DR HOUSTON TX 77035-3432 HOUSTON TX 77094-2980 CLEVELAND TX 77328-8872 VONBORSTEL, AUDREY WAGNER, SARAH WAINWRIGHT, LAUREN 12434 PIPING ROCK DR 7500 KIRBY DR 341 WARE RD HOUSTON TX 77077-5830 HOUSTON TX 77030-4300 CLEVELAND TX 77328-4575 WALKER, BIRGIT WALKER, MR GARY L WALKER, JULIA PO BOX 130584 12380 FM 1725 RD 12380 FM 1725 RD HOUSTON TX 77219-0584 CLEVELAND TX 77328-5416 CLEVELAND TX 77328-5416 WALKER, STEPHEN WALKER, MICHAEL EARL WALKER, ROBIN 355 JAYHAWKER RD 7918 SINFONIA DR 21166 BLUE TEAL CLEVELAND TX 77328-7971 HOUSTON TX 77040-2592 CLEVELAND TX 77328-8812

WALRATH , HOLLYWALTER , SAMANTHAWALTER , WILLIAM BRET2384 GEMINI ST2101 WINBERN ST21195 BLUE TEALHOUSTON TX 77058-2037HOUSTON TX 77004-4339CLEVELAND TX 77328-8813

WALTERS, MRS DEBORAH D WALTERS, MR THEODORE T WARD, SHELLY 4661 LEE TURNER RD 4661 LEE TURNER RD 1069 SHADOW GLENN DR CLEVELAND TX 77328-5987 CLEVELAND TX 77328-5987 CONROE TX 77301-2256 WARHOL, KAY WARNER, JOHN WARREN , NANCY E 1723 MARSHALL ST 17971 FM 1484 RD 481 BIG BUCK DR CLEVELAND TX 77328-5010 HOUSTON TX 77098-2801 CONROE TX 77303-4739 WARREN SR, TIMOTHY WARREN , RICHARD B WARREN, SHASTA 26568 DALLIE SUE ST 12991 ROYAL CREEK RD 481 BIG BUCK DR SPLENDORA TX 77372-4532 CONROE TX 77303-2811 CLEVELAND TX 77328-5010 WARREN, TIMOTHY SCOTT WASHINGTON, CHINIQUA WASSERMAN, KATE 481 BIG BUCK DR APT 18311 14215 FLEETWELL DR CLEVELAND TX 77328-5010 5510 S RICE AVE HOUSTON TX 77045-5643 HOUSTON TX 77081-2131 WATHEN, ANGELA TALLANT WAWRO, ALLISON WEAVER, MICHAEL ROBERT 7622 WHITE FIR DR 3718 INVERNESS DR 7 MEADOW ROSE PL HOUSTON TX 77088-4415 HOUSTON TX 77019-1104 THE WOODLANDS TX 77382-1298 WEBBER, DAVID WEDGEWOOD, MRS MELINDA WELCH, DAVID 5114 CHERRY BLOSSOM DR 20644 GINGER MEADOW LN 4812 AUDUBON DR LEAGUE CITY TX 77573-3786 ARLINGTON TX 76018-1218 CLEVELAND TX 77328-2951 WELCH, DINA WELCH, SHERINA WELDON, DAVID VAN 574 DODGE OAKHURST RD 13278 AUTUMN ASH DR 270 PINE VALLEY RD HUNTSVILLE TX 77320-5610 CONROE TX 77302-3143 CLEVELAND TX 77328-4510 WELDON, HALEY WELKER, EMILIE WELLER, SUSAN 10212 WOOD THRUSH DR 303 KELLEY ST 6524 WAKEFOREST AVE NEW MARKET MD 21774-6534 HOUSTON TX 77009-1343 HOUSTON TX 77005-3954 WELLS, REBECCA J WEST, JOYCE WHEELER, CHRISTINA 3007 LAUREL MIST CT 10 HIDEAWAY TRL 304 MAGNOLIA RD KINGWOOD TX 77345-4945 COLDSPRING TX 77331-5169 NEW CANEY TX 77357-2810 WHISENANT, MARY

WHELAN, WENDY

LAKE CHARLES LA 70601-5803

910 MCADAMS VANN RD

CLEVELAND TX 77328-5661

1509 ALVIN ST

WHEELER, TRACEY

1647 HAWTHORNE ST

HOUSTON TX 77006-3715

WHITE, BRIDGETTE WHITE, DENNY WHITE, MS LORRI 7910 EMPERORS PASS 170 J J ESTATES RD 709 E 7TH 1/2 ST MISSOURI CITY TX 77459-4678 HOUSTON TX 77007-1707 CLEVELAND TX 77328-7988 WHITFORD, SHEILA WHITTEN, JILL WHITE, NANCY 100 CLEARWATER CIR 800 TEETSHORN ST 402 BYRNE ST COLDSPRING TX 77331-3387 HOUSTON TX 77009-7123 HOUSTON TX 77009-7210 WICK-BENNETT, JACQUELYNN WILKINSON, MISSOURI WILKE, LACEE 1935 RUNNING SPRINGS DR 1717 PARK ST 2120 EL PASEO ST KINGWOOD TX 77339-3152 HOUSTON TX 77019-5768 HOUSTON TX 77054-3241 WILLIAMS, JENNIFER WILLIAMSON, HOLLY WILLIAMSON, KAY H 3914 KARRYWOOD CT 3829 OLYMPIA DR 3753 PLUMB ST PEARLAND TX 77584-5925 HOUSTON TX 77019-3031 HOUSTON TX 77005-2809 WILLIAMSON, MARY WILLIS, JOHNNY SHANE WILSON, BRAD & ROBIN APT C18 2313 WINECUP LN 4202 CROSS CUT 2600 BELLEFONTAINE ST LEAGUE CITY TX 77573-7297 CLEVELAND TX 77328-8826 HOUSTON TX 77025-1664 WILSON, CLAIRE WINGATE, MICHAEL SHANE WITTEN, JERALD W APT 824 701 PAULINE RD WINDMILLHOMES CLEVELAND TX 77328-4505 2870 FOSTORIA TRAM RD 2477 FM 1488 RD CONROE TX 77384-4396 CLEVELAND TX 77328-7948 WOLF, KIMBERLY WOOD, MARK WOODFILL, MATT APT 91 101 S COUNTS RD 7 SWITCHBUD PL 335 E SAN AUGUSTINE ST POINT BLANK TX 77364 SPRING TX 77380-3700 DEER PARK TX 77536-4185 WOODS, CHRISTEL WOYCHESIN, JACQUELINE ANN WOZNY, MARK 524 ALTO DR 7627 GREENWOOD DR UNIT 7D CONROE TX 77301-6248 CLEVELAND TX 77328-9423 5000 MONTROSE BLVD HOUSTON TX 77006-6571 WRIGHT, BRITTANY WRIGHT, KENDRIC WRIGHT, SHELBY LYNN 3118 NOTTINGHAM ST 125 PINE VALLEY RD 125 PINE VALLEY RD HOUSTON TX 77005-2330 CLEVELAND TX 77328-4509 CLEVELAND TX 77328-4509

YANG, KATY

15838 HILLSIDE FALLS TRL

HOUSTON TX 77062-4792

YARGO, MRS ANTONETTE

CLEVELAND TX 77328-3805

301 WHISKEY CREEK LN

WYATT, JENNIFER

3139 SOARING PINES TRL

CONROE TX 77301-2073

YILMOT , SEBRAM
APT 251
4508 GRAUSTARK ST
HOUSTON TX 77006-5835

YOHO , LISA 1208 RIPPLE CREEK DR HOUSTON TX 77057-1763 YORK , SUZANNE 325 LAKEVIEW DRIVE LOOP COLDSPRING TX 77331-5817

YOUNGMARK , MARY UNIT 2 397 COUNTY ROAD 379 CLEVELAND TX 77328-7420 ZAJICEK , DAVID
DKZ RANCH
PO BOX 518
COLDSPRING TX 77331-0518

ZAMBERK , DENISE 4808 SPRUCE ST BELLAIRE TX 77401-4024

ZAMORA , GRECIA 3903 TREVOR HILL DR HOUSTON TX 77066-4546 ZAPATA , HELEN 50 S WHITE PEBBLE CT THE WOODLANDS TX 77380-2848 ZARE , ASHLYN 5280 CAROLINE ST HOUSTON TX 77004-5882

ZARE , VIDA 3109 LUELLA AVE DEER PARK TX 77536-5215 ZENDE , TED
7238 LAGUNA VILLAS
HOUSTON TX 77036-4393

ZEPEDA , AMELIA 1329B NICHOLSON ST HOUSTON TX 77008-4103

ZIEMIALKOWSKI , GEORGE APT 5407 9889 CYPRESSWOOD DR HOUSTON TX 77070-3962 ZIERAU , ANDREAS 1057 CHESHIRE LN HOUSTON TX 77018-2027 ZIETZ , HALLIE 4525 HOLT ST BELLAIRE TX 77401-5806

ZIETZ , MAGGIE 1300 S PLEASANT VALLEY RD AUSTIN TX 78741-1827 ZOELLER , ELEANOR H 138 WATERWOOD HUNTSVILLE TX 77320-9645

### TCEQ MUNICIPAL SOLID WASTE NO. 2406

PERMIT APPLICATION BY	§	BEFORE THE
PC-II, LLC	§	TEXAS COMMISSION
FOR NEW MUNICIPAL SOLID	§	TEAA3 COMMISSION
WASTE PERMIT NO. 2406	§	ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

#### EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR'S RESPONSE TO PUBLIC COMMENTS

The Executive Director of the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality (the Commission or TCEQ) files this Response to Public Comments (Response) on an application received from PC-II, LLC (Applicant) for new Municipal Solid Waste (MSW) Permit No. 2406. As required by 30 Texas Administrative Code (TAC), Section (§) 55.156, before an application is approved, the Executive Director prepares a response to all timely, relevant, and material, or significant comments, whether withdrawn or not withdrawn. The Office of Chief Clerk received timely written comments from the persons listed in Attachments 1 through 35 and the individuals referenced in this Response.

This Response addresses all timely public comments received, whether withdrawn or not withdrawn, regarding the application. All comments by David Van Weldon, David Van Weldon on behalf of Wood Duck Farm, and Bryan French, representing Wood Duck Farm and/or David Van Weldon, have been withdrawn. If you need more information about the MSW permitting process, please call the TCEQ Public Education Program at 1-800-687-4040. General information about TCEO can be found on TCEO's website at <a href="https://www.tceq.texas.gov">www.tceq.texas.gov</a>.

### I. DESCRIPTION OF FACILITY

The Applicant has applied to TCEQ for a permit to authorize the proposed Peach Creek Environmental Park (proposed facility), a Type I MSW landfill. The proposed facility will be located approximately seven miles northwest of the intersection of US 59 and SH 105 in San Jacinto County, Texas. Please refer to drawings included in Parts I and II of the application (including Drawing C 0.01, Facility Location Map) for the entire proposed landfill site.

The application, if granted, would include 595 acres within the proposed permit boundary; approximately 115 acres will be used for waste disposal. Authorized waste may be accepted at an initial rate of approximately 1,300 tons per day and may increase to a maximum of 1,970 tons per day. The estimated site life is approximately 21.4 years. The proposed facility would be located outside of the territorial and extraterritorial limits of any city, and there is no zoning at the proposed facility.

The Executive Director has prepared a draft permit that would authorize the Applicant to dispose of household waste, yard waste, commercial waste, Class 2 and 3 non-hazardous industrial solid waste, construction-demolition waste, and approved special waste. The Applicant would be prohibited from accepting or knowingly disposing of the wastes listed in 30 TAC § 330.15(e), subject to the provisions therein.

### II. PROCEDURAL BACKGROUND

The application for a land use compatibility determination on a permit to authorize a new MSW Type I Landfill (Parts I and II of the permit application) was received on August 28, 2019, and declared administratively complete on October 28, 2019. The Notice of Receipt of Application for Land Use Compatibility Determination for a Municipal Solid Waste Permit was published on November 21, 2019, in the *San Jacinto News Times*. Parts III and IV of the application were received on September 16, 2020, and declared administratively complete on October 23, 2020. The Notice of Receipt of Application and Intent to Obtain MSW Permit (NORI) was published on November 26, 2020, in the *San Jacinto News Times*. A virtual public

meeting was held on September 28, 2021, and notice of the public meeting was published on September 9, 2021, September 16, 2021, and September 23, 2021 in the *San Jacinto News Times*.

The Executive Director completed the technical review of the application on December 9, 2021, and prepared a draft permit. The Notice of Application and Preliminary Decision (NAPD) was published on December 16, 2021, in the *San Jacinto News Times*. A revised NAPD was published on February 24, 2022, in the *San Jacinto News Times*. A second virtual public meeting was held on March 22, 2022, and notice of the public meeting was published on March 3, 2022, March 10, 2022, and March 17, 2022, in the *San Jacinto News Times*. The public comment period ended on March 28, 2022.

This application has been significantly revised since the notice of preliminary decision was published on February 24, 2022. On August 31, 2023 and February 23, 2024, the applicant revised the application. The revisions include a reduction in the proposed facility acreage, relocation of the private access road that connects the proposed facility to the public road, and addition of a slurry wall surrounding the waste disposal unit. The Executive Director completed the technical review of the revised application on May 21, 2024, and prepared a draft permit. The NAPD was published on May 30, 2024, in the *San Jacinto News Times*.

This application was filed on or after September 1, 2015; therefore, this application is subject to the procedural requirements adopted pursuant to House Bill 801, 76th Legislature (1999) and Senate Bill 709, 84th Legislature (2015), both implemented by the Commission in its rules in 30 TAC Chapters 39, 50, and 55.

### III. ACCESS TO RULES AND LAWS

Rules and Laws applicable to this application are accessible at the following URLs:

- TCEQ rules in Title 30 of the Texas Administrative Code are available at: www.sos.state.tx.us/tac/;
- Texas statutes are available at: www.statutes.legis.state.tx.us; and
- Federal rules in Title 40 of the Code of Federal Regulations are available at the EPA's public webpage at: <a href="https://www.epa.gov/laws-regulations/regulations">www.epa.gov/laws-regulations/regulations</a>.

Commission records for this application and the draft permit are available for viewing and copying in the Office of the Chief Clerk at the TCEQ's main office in Austin at 12100 Park 35 Circle, Building F, 1st Floor. Some documents located in the Office of the Chief Clerk may also be located on the Commissioner's Integrated Database at: https://www14.tceq.texas.gov/epic/eCID/.

# IV. COMMENTS AND RESPONSES

#### A. GENERAL COMMENTS

**Comment 1 General opposition:** The persons listed in Attachment 1 expressed their general opposition to the proposed facility

**Response 1:** The Executive Director acknowledges the comments made in opposition to the proposed facility.

Comment 2 Quality of Life: City of Cleveland, Charles Branch, San Jacinto County Commissioner David Brandon, Pat Burkett, Caylie Carnline, Jason P. Chadwick, Kathy Cook, Mandy Cook, Jordan Combs, Jillian Barnes, Marlana Bush, Margaret Collins, Jeffrey Egli, Connie Egli, Verondia Goddard, Jessica Grilliot, President of Peach Creek Plantation/Estates POA Robert Hill, Shane Hindman, William Lambard, Nirakorn Luangkhot, Wayne Mabry, Steven Malkey, Patricia Ann Mathes, Claude David Mathes, John David McDonald, Dana Moody, Mindy Morgan,

Jennifer Mundy, Darren Peltier, Melissa Peterson, Jesse Picard, Jeff Santos, Danial Semar, Brandt Mansion, on behalf of Sierra Club (Sierra Club), Ruth Ann Skaff, Kathryn Smith, Linda Stegenga, Elizabeth Sumrall, Christina Wheeler, and Courtney Thornburg expressed concern that operations at the proposed landfill will decrease quality of life and well-being of residents in the area.

**Response 2:** The Texas Solid Waste Disposal Act (TSWDA) in Chapter 361 of the Texas Health and Safety Code (THSC) and 30 TAC Chapter 330 were promulgated to protect human health and the environment. The role of the TCEQ is to ensure that authorized facilities are designed, constructed, and operated according to applicable rules that protect human health and the environment.

In accordance with 30 TAC § 330.407 (relating to Detection Monitoring Program for Type I Landfills) and 30 TAC § 330.409 (relating to Assessment Monitoring Program), an owner or operator of a MSW landfill facility must regularly monitor groundwater during the active life of the facility, as well as during its closure and the post-closure care period. Generally, the post-closure care period extends 30 years after a facility is closed (30 TAC § 330.463(b)(1)).

Under 30 TAC § 330.371 (relating to Landfill Gas Management), owners or operators of a MSW facility must also regularly monitor landfill gas levels generated at a facility and its boundary and, should gas levels exceed specified limits, provide notice and take necessary response steps to protect human health.

The technically complete application contains a groundwater sampling and analysis plan and a landfill gas management plan prepared in accordance with the requirements of 30 TAC §330.63. Refer to responses Nos. 24 and 25 for more information on groundwater and response. Refer to response No. 31 for more information on landfill gas and groundwater.

These groundwater monitoring and landfill gas management systems are implemented to continually evaluate the performance of the proposed facility for potential impacts to human health and environmental media. As part of their permit application, an applicant for a permit to authorize a MSW facility is required to submit for approval a groundwater sampling and analysis plan and landfill gas management plan to implement these systems (30 TAC § 330.63).

Furthermore, the issuance of a permit does not authorize any injury to persons or property, an invasion of other property rights, or any infringement of state or local law or regulations in accordance with 30 TAC § 305.122(d). TCEQ rules also generally prohibit operation of an MSW landfill in a manner that causes, suffers, allows, or contributes to the creation or maintenance of a nuisance according to 30 TAC § 330.15(a)(2). The Executive Director has reviewed the application and preliminarily determined that, if the proposed facility is constructed and operated in accordance with the rules and the terms and conditions of the draft permit and application, the facility would adequately protect human health and the environment and prevent adverse health and environmental impacts.

Comment 3 Property Values and Local Economy: Dana Moody commented that the area has had an influx of residents recently and the population studies do not reflect the population change. Carol King commented that the population is growing. The persons listed in Attachment 2 expressed property value-related concerns. Sierra Club commented that the Applicant should be required to provide an analysis of the devaluation of surrounding properties.

Carson Combs, Jeffrey Egli, and Connie Egli commented that the community would not see economic benefit from the proposed landfill. Ashley Musil commented that the proposed landfill would discourage continued residential growth and would drive away current residents. Sierra Club commented that the proposed landfill would make development less likely.

Jordan Combs and Ashley Musil commented that their families' livelihoods would be affected. Mandy Cook commented that the proposed landfill may affect her livelihood and may affect the

livelihoods of small business owners in the area. Hon. Richard Boyett (Mayor of City of Cleveland), Jeffrey Egli, Connie Egli, Tava Foret, Jodi L. Garrett, Mirna Hernandez, John David McDonald, Dana Moody, Trevor Stubblefield, Ursula Toney, Timothy Scott Warren, Margaret Lane, Will Cook, Bryan French, representing Wood Duck Farm, and Jordan Combs commented that the livelihoods of local farmers, small business owners, and property owners may be affected.

**Response 3:** The current surrounding conditions and the growth trend analysis around the proposed site are included in Section 7 of Parts I and II of the application. The Applicant provided information in accordance with applicable TCEQ rules. Please also see Response to Comment No. 42.

TCEQ's jurisdiction is established by the Legislature and is limited to the issues set forth in statute and rules. Accordingly, TCEQ does not have jurisdiction to consider property values or other economic impacts when determining whether to approve or deny a permit application. However, the issuance of a permit does not authorize injury to persons or property, invasion of other property rights, or infringement of state or local law or regulation in accord with 30 TAC § 305.122(d).

The Executive Director has preliminarily determined that the required information concerning land use was submitted in the application. Please see Response to Comment No. 42.

**Comment 4 Health and Public Safety:** The persons listed in Attachment 3 expressed health concerns. Jennifer Lee, on behalf of Houston San Jacinto Ranch, LLC, commented that Part II, Section 7 of the application and supporting documentation do not demonstrate that the landfill would not cause landowners and members of the surrounding community to suffer adverse health effects or that the landfill would not negatively impact public safety. Mandy Cook referenced several articles related to health risks posed by landfills. Eleanor Zoeller commented that public health of residents in the area would be affected.

Response 4: The Executive Director has preliminarily determined that the proposed landfill complies with the TSWDA, Chapter 361 of the THSC, and 30 TAC Chapter 330, which were promulgated to protect human health and the environment. Landfill performance and potential impacts on environmental media are evaluated by monitoring programs put in place to monitor groundwater quality and landfill gas migration at the landfill boundary. If the permit is approved, the Applicant will be required to monitor groundwater and landfill gas while the landfill is active, during closure, and during the post-closure care period, which is 30 years from closure, unless specified otherwise. If the landfill is operated in accordance with the permit provisions and all applicable rules and requirements, human health and the environment would be adequately protected and prevent adverse health and environmental impacts.

**Comment 5:** The persons listed in Attachment 4 questioned the need for this facility since there are already several active landfill facilities in the area. Jackie Woychesin asserted that the Applicant is attempting to circumvent House Bill 1053 (codified in THSC § 361.123), which limits the location of certain MSW landfills. Linda Kay Stegenga commented that a portion of the east side of the landfill property is in Montgomery County.

**Response 5:** TCEQ's jurisdiction is established by the Legislature and is limited to the issues set forth in statute and rules. Accordingly, TCEQ does not have jurisdiction to consider local need in deciding whether to issue an MSW landfill permit. In addition, TCEQ cannot restrict the area a landfill serves and does not have authority to consider the service area in deciding whether to issue a permit.

Part II, Appendix B of the application includes documentation that Parts I and II of the application were submitted to the Deep East Texas Council of Governments (DETCOG) for

review. The documentation is a letter dated August 19, 2019, from the Applicant's engineer to DETCOG. This documentation meets the requirements of 30 TAC § 330.61(p).

The application does not concern House Bill 1053 (later codified in THSC § 361.123) because the proposed facility is located in San Jacinto County, Texas, and San Jacinto County is not adjacent to a county with a population of more than 3.3 million.

Drawings included in Parts I and II of the application (including Drawing C 0.01, Facility Location Map), show that the entire proposed landfill site is within the limits of San Jacinto County. Per Drawing C 0.01, which is based on the Texas Department of Transportation (TxDOT) map and was signed and sealed by a Texas licensed engineer, the closest distance from the site (the west corner) to Montgomery County is more than 2 miles.

**Comment 6 Landfill Size:** Sierra Club commented that TCEQ should require the Applicant to provide the maximum landfill storage site footprint in acres, cubic feet, or tons for transparency.

**Response 6:** The proposed landfill site will consist of a total area within the permit boundary of 595 acres and a waste disposal footprint of 115 acres. The landfill's disposal capacity will be 16.3 million cubic yards. The capacity information is specified in the Facility Description in Section 1.2, Part III, Attachment A of the application; the same information is also listed in other portions of the application. The Executive Director has reviewed the application and preliminarily determined that the application complies with the applicable rules.

**Comment 7 Environmental Impact Statement:** Sierra Club and Olive Hershey asked that an environmental impact statement be completed.

**Response 7:** TCEQ does not require an environmental impact statement in permitting MSW facilities. The National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) requires federal agencies to integrate environmental values into their decision-making processes by considering the environmental impacts of their proposed actions and reasonable alternatives to those actions. To meet this requirement, federal agencies must prepare detailed statements known as an Environmental Assessment, Finding of No Significant Impact, or Environmental Impact Statement. TCEQ rules do not require an applicant for an MSW permit to submit an Environmental Impact Statement.

The Executive Director has reviewed the application and preliminarily determined that, if the proposed facility is constructed and operated in accordance with the rules and the terms and conditions of the draft permit and application, the facility should not adversely impact human health or the environment.

Comment 8 Recycling and Composting: Drinda Baily and David Zajicek commented that trash should be recycled or reclaimed rather than opening the proposed landfill. Roger A. Dietrich recommended waste avoidance, reduction, and diversion. Roger A. Diedrich also commented that TCEQ should ask that the landfill users present plans to lower their per-capita disposal rates over time as a condition of access to the landfill and that TCEQ should remove yard waste and other organics from the list of acceptable materials so that they may be composted instead. Kristin Lucas commented that non-recyclable packaging should be outlawed. Kate Wasserman commented that conservation and reduction of consumption should be considered. Mandy Cook asserted that a recycling program should be included in the application.

**Response 8:** TCEQ actively encourages waste diversion and minimization efforts. TCEQ has established rules and public outreach programs to support the diversion of materials from solid waste streams, to promote the economic recovery and reuse of materials, and to support the development of markets for recycled products or services in a sustainable manner that protects the environment, public health, and safety. TCEQ does not require alternative waste management activities as part of a permit application for a landfill. TCEQ's jurisdiction is established by the Legislature and is limited to the issues set forth in statute. Accordingly,

TCEQ does not have jurisdiction to consider efforts to reduce waste in an area when determining whether to approve or deny a permit application.

**Comment 9 Application Review Process:** Jordan Combs expressed concern that the Applicant received numerous Notices of Deficiencies (NOD) during the permitting process and thus would not be able to effectively operate the proposed landfill. Jordan Combs commented that TCEQ should ensure the Applicant provides correct information in the application. Dana Moody, Curtis Moody, Ethan Moody, Miranda Moody, Madison Moody, Chase Moody, Vance Moody, and Allee Moody commented that the NOD responses have caused confusion.

Karen Weber Cullen commented that technical reviews must be vetted in a transparent way by researchers with no vested interest in the project. Dana Moody commented that third parties should be able to provide technical suggestions.

Venus Price expressed concern that minimal protections would be included in the permit, recommended additional, modern protections in the permit, and recommended general reevaluating and modernizing of protections for these types of permits. Patrick Rightmyer and Mike Thomas Farrell commented that the best technology should be used. Dana Moody and Melisa Peterson asked that more than minimum requirements be considered.

Heidiq Lensky asked why the proposed facility would be called an "environmental park" instead of a landfill.

Patricia Burkett asked whether the proposed landfill is already open. James Cole and Robert Harris asked about the status of the draft permit.

Response 9: TCEQ rules do not limit the number of NODs that may be issued in the review of a landfill application. During technical review of an MSW application, the Executive Director's staff identifies deficiencies in technical information submitted that must be corrected in accordance with 30 TAC §§ 281.19 and 330.57(d). The MSW application must meet all applicable rules in 30 TAC Chapter 330. An applicant is required to submit requested additional technical information as replacement pages to an application, in accordance with 30 TAC § 330.57(g)(6). The Executive Director's technical summary, draft permit, and preliminary decision issued in connection with an MSW application are based on an application that the Executive Director has preliminarily determined technically complete after all identified deficiencies have been addressed in accordance with 30 TAC §§ 281.19 and 281.21. The Executive Director has reviewed the application and preliminarily determined that it satisfies the regulatory requirements.

The TSWDA in Texas Health & Safety Code Chapter 361 and TCEQ's rules in 30 TAC Chapter 330 were enacted and promulgated, respectively, to protect human health and the environment and are the basis of TCEQ's jurisdiction over municipal solid waste facilities. The role of the Executive Director in the technical review process of a permit application is to evaluate the contents of the application, verify its compliance with all the statutory and regulatory requirements, and verify that information has been provided to ensure that the design, construction, and operation of the proposed facility will be protective of human health and the environment.

The rule requirements and operational standards in the application for this Type I MSW Landfill were found to be protective of human health and the environment. The Executive Director has reviewed the application and preliminarily determined that the landfill design, development, and operation described in the application meets the MSW regulatory requirements.

The Executive Director acknowledges the comment regarding the facility name; however, review of the proposed name of a landfill is outside the scope of the evaluation of the application.

The TCEQ MSW permit for the proposed landfill has not been issued. The proposed landfill may not be constructed and opened until the permit is issued. Please also see Responses to

Comment Nos. 49 and 50, regarding public participation in the permitting process, and the Procedural Background section pertaining to the permit review process.

**Comment 10 Landfill Operator:** Bryan French, representing Wood Duck Farm, and Dana Moody commented that the operator that would be in charge of operations at the proposed landfill is unknown and has not been vetted. Linda Kay Stegenga commented that more information should be requested to determine if the operator will be capable of operating a landfill and asked where that information could be found in the draft permit.

**Response 10:** In accordance with 30 TAC § 330.59(f), the applicant must list:

- All Texas solid waste sites that the applicant has owned or operated within the last ten years;
- all solid waste sites in all states, territories, or countries in which the applicant has a direct financial interest:
- that a licensed solid waste facility supervisor shall be employed before commencing facility operation;
- the names of the principals and supervisors of the owner's or operator's organizations together with previous affiliations with other organizations engaged in solid waste activities;
- show landfilling and earthmoving experience, and other pertinent experience or licenses possessed by key personnel; and
- the number and size of each type of equipment to be dedicated to facility operation.

Section 16 in Parts I and II of the application provides the evidence of competency as required by TCEQ rules. The Applicant states in Section 16.2 that a properly licensed solid waste facility supervisor will be hired prior to commencing the operation of the facility. Requirements regarding facility personnel are set forth in Section IV.N. of the draft permit. TCEQ rules do not require an applicant to have previously owned or operated an MSW facility.

Comment 11 Out-of-State Applicant: Sierra Club, Charles Branch, Caylie Carnline, Drew A. Dylewski, Tava S. Foret, Beth Grijalva, Olive Hershey, Shane and Wendy Hindman, Julie C. Jones, Richard Humphreys, Julie Koch, William Lambard, Steven Malkey, John David McDonald, Dana Moody, Mindy Morgan, Darren Peltier, Kathryn Smith, Courtney Thornburg, and Christina Wheeler commented that the Applicant is from out-of-state and is not concerned with the community. Tava S. Foret, Dana Moody, Curtis Moody, Ethan Moody, Miranda Moody, Madison Moody, Chase Moody, Vance Moody, and Allee Moody commented that TCEQ should not prioritize an out-of-state business over local individuals.

**Response 11:** In accordance with 30 TAC § 330.59(e), TCEQ rules require an applicant to verify its legal status. This may be done by submitting a one-page certificate of incorporation issued by the Texas Secretary of State. The Applicant provided a Certification of Filing from the Texas Secretary of State, which is contained in Appendix J of Parts I and II of the application. The Executive Director has preliminarily determined that the Applicant has sufficiently demonstrated its authorization to conduct business in Texas.

Comment 12 Environmental Justice: San Jacinto County Commissioner David Brandon (Commissioner Brandon), Jerald Witten, Seth Larsen, Cathy Hill, Martha Charrey, William Cluff, Mandy Cook, Jodi Garrett, Gannon Rust, David Van Weldon, Dana Moody, Curtis Moody, Vance Moody, Allee Moody, Madison Moody, Chase Moody, Ethan Moody, and Miranda Moody stated that the area where the proposed landfill would be located, including San Jacinto County, is economically disadvantaged and expressed concern with the burden of the proposed landfill on

an economically disadvantaged area. Dana Moody additionally commented that the county is culturally diverse. Linda Stegenga expressed environmental justice concerns generally.

Response 12: TCEQ and the United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) coordinate on the rules and policies of both agencies, and the EPA has primary jurisdiction over Title VI and environmental justice concerns. EPA's webpage, Environmental Justice | US EPA, notes that environmental justice is the fair treatment and meaningful involvement of all people regardless of race, color, national origin, or income with respect to the development, implementation, and enforcement of environmental laws, regulations, and policies. Although there are no TCEQ rules addressing the location of permitted facilities in areas with low-income populations, TCEQ has made a strong policy commitment to address environmental justice through access to and public participation in the permitting process.

TCEQ is committed to protecting human health and the environment for all Texans throughout the state. When evaluating permits that would authorize landfill facilities, TCEQ considers the surrounding community without regard to its socioeconomic or racial status. The Office of the Chief Clerk works to help citizens and neighborhood groups participate in the regulatory process to ensure that agency programs that may affect human health or the environment operate without discrimination and to make sure that citizens' concerns are considered thoroughly and are handled in a way that is fair to all.

For more information on environmental justice, individuals may contact the Office of Chief Clerk at 512-239-3300 or visit TCEQ's webpage, Title VI Compliance at TCEQ at <a href="https://www.tceq.texas.gov/agency/decisions/participation/title-vi-compliance">www.tceq.texas.gov/agency/decisions/participation/title-vi-compliance</a>.

**Comment 13 Compensation:** Dana Moody asked why landowners are not compensated for violations. Linda Kay Stegenga provided comments about a deed granting ownership to the owner of Wood Duck Farm and asked if Wood Duck Farm would be compensated for damages. Danial Semar asked whether property owners would be compensated.

**Response 13:** TCEQ's jurisdiction is established by the Legislature and is limited to the issues set forth in statute. Accordingly, TCEQ does not have jurisdiction overcompensation to third parties and cannot comment on agreements between other parties. In addition, 30 TAC § 305.122(d) provides that the issuance of a permit does not authorize any injury to persons or property or an invasion of other property rights, or any infringement of state or local law or regulation. The Executive Director's review of a permit application considers whether the proposed facility meets the requirements of 30 TAC Chapter 330.

**Comment 14 Fires:** The persons listed in Attachment 5 expressed general concerns about the risk of potential fires at the proposed facility. Patricia Burkett raised concerns that the amount of trash will lead to a higher probability of wildfires which will strain local firefighting resources. Will Cook on behalf of Ms. Pat Burkett commented that flammable materials received by the proposed facility may cause forest fires. Dana Moody expressed concern with the availability of fire departments. Jordan Combs commented that landfills must have a constant fire going to burn off gas emitted by trash and asked how TCEQ will ensure the safety of local residents and the Sam Houston National Forest from fires with regard to local burn bans. Sierra Club commented that the proposed facility will cause wildfires to spread due to its nature and operations. Sierra Club also raised general concerns regarding the impact fires at the proposed facility will have on air quality. Bryan French, representing Wood Duck Farm (Wood Duck Farm), commented that the application does not adequately ensure against the danger of fire for adjoining properties. Specifically, Wood Duck Farm commented that the fire protection plan is inadequate because it lacks details of "triggering mechanisms" and information regarding landfill employee training, including training on the exclusion of flammable materials and the extinguishing of fires.

**Response 14:** In accordance with 30 TAC § 330.129 (relating to Fire Protection), an application for a MSW landfill facility is required to include a site operating plan that contains a fire

protection plan. The fire protection plan specifies fire protection procedures to be implemented at the facility. If a fire is detected at the facility, then an operator of the MSW landfill must initiate the procedures in the fire protection plan.

The fire protection plan required by 30 TAC § 330.129 for the landfill is included in Part IV, Section 7 of the application. The fire protection plan contains fire prevention procedures, including clearing dead trees, brush, or vegetation adjacent to the active working face to avoid grass, brush, or forest fires. The fire prevention procedures also include prohibiting open burning at the landfill, not allowing smoking at fire sensitive areas, and maintaining a stockpile of soil next to the working face of the landfill that is enough to cover the working face within one hour for smothering any fire. The application also represents that at a minimum the gatehouse, maintenance building, citizen's convenience center, and heavy equipment will be equipped with fire extinguishers.

Part IV, Section 3 and 7.4 of the application regarding training state that landfill personnel will be trained in fire protection procedures applicable in the event of a fire at the facility. Landfill personnel will also be trained in the use of firefighting equipment.

Additionally, the application states that information will be provided to the local fire department regarding waste disposal operations, fire sources, and firefighting techniques related to landfills. The fire protection plan also includes a list of specific firefighting measures. If possible, personnel will take steps to safely extinguish or contain the fire according to procedures included in the fire protection plan. The General Rules for Fires in the fire protection plan require the landfill personnel to call the local fire department if they cannot safely extinguish or contain the fire. As required by 30 TAC § 330.129, if a fire occurs that is not extinguished within ten minutes of detection, the commission's regional office must be contacted immediately after detection, but no later than four hours by telephone, and in writing within 14 days with a description of the fire and the resulting response.

The Executive Director has reviewed the application and preliminarily determined that it satisfies the rule requirements regarding fire protection. Air quality is discussed in Response to Comment No. 66.

Comment 15 Location Details: Rebecca Bridges and Mandy Cook commented that the coordinates of the proposed landfill provided in the application are inaccurate. Mandy Cook commented that if the coordinates are accurate, the proposed landfill would be located in a floodplain, and she provided a map. Stephen Walker asserted the permit boundary is landlocked. Jordan Combs commented that TCEQ should not accept outdated maps and inaccurate statements from the Applicant and expressed concern that the Applicant is providing inaccurate information.

James Cole asked if topography had been reviewed and commented that it might be affected by the recent InFRM information.

**Response 15:** The coordinates for the proposed site and the relevant maps are contained in Parts I and II of the application (including but not limited to, Drawings C0.01 and C0.02), and they are provided in accordance with the requirements of 30 TAC Chapter 330. The coordinates correlate well with the locations shown on the maps, which are based on the official maps from Texas Department of Transportation and U.S. Geological Survey. In addition, the site location checked using the TCEQ internal mapping system also confirmed that the location determined based on the coordinates is consistent with the base map. Please also see Response to Comment No. 39.

Regarding the concern that the facility's permit boundary is landlocked, please note that the access road to be built on the land owned by the permittee will connect the facility site to Fostoria Tram Road, a public road. For further information on the private access road, see Response to Comment No. 16.

The proposed landfill site meets all location restriction conditions of 30 TAC Chapter 330, Subchapter M, which do not require a landfill site to be located directly on or near public roads. Consistent with 30 TAC 330.59(d)(2)(C), the applicant acknowledges that the facility owner or operator and the State of Texas shall have access to the property during the active life and post-closure care period, if required, after closure for the purpose of inspection and maintenance. The access road to be built on the applicant's property will provide access by the TCEQ to the landfill.

Regarding the concerns with review of topography considering impact of the recent InFRM information, Section 11.1 of Parts I and II of the application states that the current effective FEMA 100-year flood map is used in the application; and a general topography of the site is based on the USGS Bear Creek 7.5-minute topo and adjacent topo maps (2016). The site's topography and contours are shown in maps C0.02 and C0.05 of parts I and II of the application, which are based on published sources, such as the U.S. Geological Survey and TNRIS START Map. For more information on floodplain determination, refer to Response to Comment 39.

### B. ROADS, LITTER, AESTHETICS, AND VECTORS

Comment 16 Road Conditions and Traffic: The persons listed in Attachment 6 expressed road-related concerns. Specific concerns include large trucks traveling through residential areas; parked trucks blocking access to homes; safety, particularly that of resident children; road conditions including weight limits, width, and blind turns; road damage; the need to improve the roads; and insufficient county funds to repair the roads.

Additionally, Commissioner Brandon commented that San Jacinto County does not have the financial means to provide infrastructure to support the proposed facility and commented that Rajak Road will require extensive expansion, stabilization, and asphalting to meet the standards to support the proposed traffic. Commissioner Brandon, Sierra Club, Bryan French, representing Wood Duck Farm (Wood Duck Farm), Jennifer Lee, on behalf of Houston San Jacinto Ranch LLC (Houston San Jacinto Ranch), and John David McDonald commented that the Applicant mischaracterized road materials, width, conditions, and location. Wood Duck Farm, John David McDonald, and Cathy McAdams asked who is responsible for the maintenance of the roads. Houston San Jacinto Ranch commented that the Applicant has failed to demonstrate coordination with local and state officials regarding adequacy of roadways or roadway maintenance. Dana Moody commented that having only one road for entry and exit is dangerous in cases of emergency and that the Applicant should consider TxDOT roads rather than County roads. Ms. Moody also asked how they timbered the land before this application.

Most commenters also raised concerns related to increased traffic. Specific concerns include that the traffic studies were inaccurate; the data used from 2016 does not reflect current traffic conditions; a third-party traffic study should be conducted; and increased traffic will increase roadkill and CO<sub>2</sub> emissions. Jordan Combs also stated that the Applicant changed the number of cars on every draft permit, and Ms. Moody asked whether the traffic studies were redone every time that page 18, section 8.1 of the application was revised. Wood Duck Farms also commented that leachate trucks should be included in the traffic calculations.

Ed Rinehart commented that school buses must share the roads around the facility with garbage trucks and that children would be boarding those school buses as facility-associated trucks were driving the same roads.

**Response 16:** In accordance with 30 TAC § 330.61(i), an application for an MSW landfill permit must include data on access roads for the proposed facility. This includes data regarding the availability and adequacy of roads that the landfill will use to access the site and data regarding the traffic volume that a facility is expected to generate on access roads located with one mile of the facility (30 TAC § 330.61(i)). A traffic impact analysis supplying the required traffic data, including proposed road improvements, is provided in Part II, Appendix L of the application.

Parts I/II, Section 8.1 of the application states that the primary access route to the landfill would be from I-69 northbound to Business SH 150 west, to FM 1725 north, to Fostoria Tram Road south, and to the site access road. The site access road will be built on the property owned by the Applicant. All the public and private roads are all-weather roads.

Regarding specific traffic concerns, the proposed facility is expected to contribute approximately 168 vehicles per day in the first year of operation to about 270 vehicles per day towards the end of the facility life. Vehicles accessing the landfill include trucks, employee vehicles, visitors' vehicles, and other vehicles. The trips generated by leachate trucks will be small compared to waste hauling trucks and were not separately listed in the total traffic estimates. Please refer to the traffic impact analysis contained in Appendix L of Parts I and II of the application, in which a category of Other Trucks is included in the total traffic estimates. The public access roads evaluated in the final report were consistent with Section 8.1. The traffic impact analysis concludes the existing roadways are considered to be adequate to handle the site-generated traffic. One improvement was identified in the analysis: the need for sight distance improvements at intersections of Fostoria Tram Road/FM 1725, Jayhawker Road/Fostoria Tram Road, and Rajak Road/Jayhawker Road.

When reviewing permit applications, the Executive Director defers to TxDOT recommendations on transportation and traffic issues regarding the traffic impacts and adequacy of statemaintained roadways and to recommendations by local authorities on transportation and traffic issues regarding the traffic impacts and adequacy of locally-maintained roadways. Coordination documents with TxDOT, required under 30 TAC § 330.61(i)(4), are provided in Part II, Appendix B of the application. A letter dated November 12, 2020, from TxDOT states that TxDOT has no issues or concerns regarding FM 1725. Another TxDOT letter, dated September 10, 2019, indicates that "in regards to your request for traffic or location restrictions," the Texas Department of Motor Vehicles (TDMV) website lists limits on vehicle size and weight on FM 1725. Section 8.1 of Part II of the application indicates that vehicles using the facility will all be within the standard size and weight limits (80,000 lbs) listed on the TDMV website.

To address the public concerns related to access roads beyond the regulatory requirements, the Applicant in August 2023 revised the site access route to relocate the private access road to connect to Fostoria Tram Road and will not use Jayhawker Road and Rajak Road. Appendix B of the Part II of the application has been updated to include the recent correspondence with TxDOT's Lufkin District. Section 8.1 of Part II of the application has been revised to show that the San Jacinto County Commissioner's Court has approved the connection of the PC II site access driveway and Fostoria Tram Road. Due to the relocation of the private access road and its connection to the public road, the site's traffic will not use Jayhawker Road or Rajak Road.

For concerns about emergency access, please refer to Response to Comment No. 17.

The Executive Director has preliminarily determined that the application has demonstrated compliance with applicable TCEQ requirements related to public and private access roads.

Comment 17 Emergency Response Near the Facility: San Jacinto County and Sierra Club commented that there is not enough law enforcement to control or respond to the increased accidents as a result of increased traffic. Martha Charrey commented that the increased traffic will cause an increase in the need for law enforcement which will put a financial strain on the community. Dana Moody commented that the county does not have locally staffed fire departments or hazmat staff. Dana Moody commented the emergency response system for the area is not up to date and sufficient staff is not in place.

**Response 17:** TCEQ's jurisdiction is established by the Legislature and is limited to the issues set forth in statute and rules. Accordingly, TCEQ does not have jurisdiction to consider law enforcement, fire department, or hazmat response staffing concerns. Please see Response to

Comment No. 15 regarding traffic concerns, Response to Comment No. 14 regarding fire concerns, and Response to Comment No. 45 regarding concerns about hazardous waste.

Comment 18 On-site Access Roads: The persons listed in Attachment 7 commented that the full access road needs to be included in the application and/or permit. Sierra Club commented that the Applicant should be required to explain the access easement that goes through Wood Duck Farm. Sierra Club commented that impacts on vehicle access should be considered, preferably in an environmental impact statement. Ken Kramer commented that either access road (the right of way through Wood Duck Farm or 201 through the Sam Houston National Forest) is unacceptable. David Van Weldon commented that the application does not include the entire length of the access road and that road construction may not meet engineering requirements to achieve all weather transportation needs.

**Response 18:** The application was revised in August 2023 to relocate the site access route to a private access road to connect to Fostoria Tram Road, avoiding Jayhawker Road and Rajak Road. Section 8.1 of Parts I and II of the application identify all access roads (except for the new access road) as existing public roads. The new access road within the Applicant's property will be built to connect the proposed facility to the public access road (Fostoria Tram Road) and construction and use of this access road on the Applicant's property will be required to comply with all applicable rules. Pursuant to 30 TAC § 330.153, all-weather roads are required from the public access road into the facility and within the facility to the unloading areas. The new access road will be an all-weather asphalt road. According to the application, the access road would be constructed within the Applicant's property, thus not requiring an easement.

The Executive Director has preliminarily determined that the application has demonstrated compliance with applicable TCEQ requirements related to public and private access roads.

Please see Response to Comment No. 7 for information on an environmental impact statement. Please see Response to Comment No. 16 for general traffic concerns.

**Comment 19 On-Site Road Maintenance:** John David McDonald commented that the poor conditions of the road will create a bumpy drive and cause leakage from the trucks. John David McDonald expressed concern about excessive dust and dirt on the roads. Sierra Club commented that truck leachate is not mentioned by the Applicant, but that TCEQ should require the Applicant to be responsible for truck leaks as well as the owner/operator of the trucks. Sierra Club commented that the Applicant should be required to transport waste in fully enclosed vehicles. Sierra Club also commented that the Applicant should be required to remove tracked mud and associated debris from public roadways within two miles of the landfill three or more times per day and should be required to spray the landfill entrance and perimeter roads once per hour. Sierra Club further commented that the Applicant should be required to grade the roads three times per week to ensure nuisance mud and dust is reduced and that the Applicant should clearly state what dust reduction controls will be used, how often, and the appropriate maintenance to ensure the roads are kept in good working condition. Bryan French, representing Wood Duck Farm (Wood Duck Farm) commented that according to 30 TAC § 330.153(a) and (b), the roads must be all-weather and that dust may not be a nuisance. Wood Duck Farm also asked what will be done with the leachate ponds when the trucks cannot access them in times of flood, who will operate the leachate trucks, and where they will be marshalled on the property.

**Response 19:** All public roads used by vehicles for transporting wastes to this facility are all-weather roads and are maintained by TxDOT and/or the county. All internal roads (the access road, entrance road and perimeter roads) will be all-weather surface roads. The landfill personnel will be responsible for maintaining the internal roads and picking up waste spills on the public access roads. Sections 8.8 and 8.12 of Part IV of the application states the landfill personnel will maintain all internal roadways in a clean and safe condition; pick up litter and windblown debris at least daily; and re-grade roadways to minimize depressions, ruts, and

potholes. A Truck Wheel Wash will be used to reduce amount of mud and debris on vehicle tires leaving the site. Dust on the internal roads will be controlled by water spraying, sweeping, and other measures identified in Section 8.12. Dedicated equipment includes a rotary broom for sweeping and cleaning roads; a water truck for dust control and mud removal assistance; a motor grader for scraping mud leveling ruts and potholes; a tractor to move dirt, stone and litter; and a pick-up truck for collecting litter and waste. Trucked mud and waste spilled from waste hauling vehicles on public roadways within two miles of the landfill will be removed at least once per day. Consistent with 30 TAC § 330.145, the landfill operator will consult with the TxDOT, county, and/or local governments with maintenance authority over the roads concerning cleanup of public access roads. For more information on the public access roads, please see Response to Comment No. 16.

In accordance with 30 TAC Chapter 330, Subchapter C, prevention, containment, and removal of leakage and spills from waste transportation trucks along the route is the responsibility of the transporter, while spillage caused by the collection operation is the responsibility of the person operating the collection system. 30 TAC §§ 330.145 and 330.153 require management of materials, debris, and dust along the route and site access roads.

Additionally, the site would be operated in accordance with the TPDES Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan (SWP3) spill and overflow measures. Please also see Response to Comment No. 32.

The Executive Director has preliminarily determined that the application meets the regulatory requirements.

Comment 20 Windblown Waste: The persons listed in Attachment 8 expressed general concerns that operations at the proposed facility will cause litter or windblown trash on neighboring properties and along the roads leading to the proposed facility. Specifically, Chris Helms expressed concern about litter polluting surface water in the area and flowing downstream into Lake Houston. Roy Mandell raised concerns that waste could spill while in transit to the proposed facility. Bryan French, representing Wood Duck Farm, stated that the application fails to adequately address windblown debris. Sierra Club commented that local wildlife will be harmed by eating or being caught by litter in and around the proposed facility, surcharges are not sufficient to deter litter violations, the owner and/or operator of the proposed facility should be required to turn away any vehicle hauling waste if the vehicle is not enclosed or securing its load, and the owner and/or operator of the proposed facility should "report habitual offenders."

**Response 20:** 30 TAC § 330.139 requires that windblown materials and litter are properly managed to control unhealthy, unsafe, or unsightly conditions. 30 TAC § 330.145 requires that the landfill operator takes necessary measures to prevent and manage waste spills from the waste hauling vehicles on the public access roads. The measures described below are contained in the application for complying with the requirements of 30 TAC § 330.139 and 30 TAC § 330.145. Engineering method (litter fences) and other measures will be used to prevent and catch windblown litter at the working face. Litter scattered throughout the site, along fences and access roads, and at the gate must be picked up once a day and properly managed on the days the proposed facility is in operation. The landfill operator will take steps to encourage vehicles hauling waste to the facility to be enclosed or provided with a tarpaulin, net, or other means to prevent waste from blowing or spilling from waste transport vehicles. The steps will include posting signs, reporting offenders to proper law enforcement officers, adding surcharges, or similar measures. The adequacy of the covers or containment will be checked at the gatehouse. Additionally, when the proposed facility is in operation, the landfill operator will at least once per day clean up waste materials spilled along and within the right-of-way of public access roads serving the facility for two miles in either direction from any entrances used for the delivery of waste to the facility. Adjacent property owners will be contacted for

litter clean-up in instances where litter escapes facility control measures and enters private property.

The TCEQ Regional Office inspects Type I MSW landfills on a regular basis. Additionally, TCEQ encourages members of the public to report any observed violations at a facility to the Regional Office. Complaints regarding the facility may be made by contacting the TCEQ Region 10 Office at 409-898-3838 or the toll-free Environment Violation Hotline at 1-888-777-3186. Complaints may also be filed on-line at <a href="https://www.tceq.texas.gov/compliance/complaints">https://www.tceq.texas.gov/compliance/complaints</a>. If the facility violates a term of the permit or TCEQ's regulations, the permittee may be subject to an enforcement action.

For additional information, please see Response to Comment No. 16.

The Executive Director has reviewed the application and preliminarily determined that it satisfies the rule requirements regarding the control of windblown material and litter.

Comment 21 Aesthetics: The persons listed in Attachment 9 expressed general concerns that the facility would adversely impact the environment, particularly the aesthetics of the surrounding area. Bryan French, representing Wood Duck Farm (Wood Duck Farm), stated that the application fails to adequately describe the proposed facility's impact on the surrounding area as required by 30 TAC § 330.61. Specifically, Wood Duck Farm contended the application fails to account for and describe the proposed facility's impact on "sites with exceptional aesthetic quality, etc." Sierra Club expressed concern that the proposed facility would lower the quality of life for residents by detracting "from the beautification of the neighborhood."

Bryan French, representing Wood Duck Farm, stated that the height of the landfill will "[tower] 179 feet above the landscape . . . [and degrade] the natural aesthetics of the area . . ." and any barriers to obscure the proposed facility will be insufficient. Additionally, Sierra Club asserted that the height of the proposed facility would degrade the aesthetic and scenic qualities of the area.

Pat D. Burkett commented that timber would be wasted. Peter Calenzo commented that removing trees would negatively impact the environment. Sadie Charley expressed concern that trees would be cut down.

Response 21: TCEQ promulgated rules for the management of MSW pursuant to statutory mandates, general authority, and jurisdiction over solid waste granted to TCEO by the Texas Legislature in accordance with TCEQ's mission statement: TCEQ strives to protect our state's human and natural resources consistent with sustainable economic development. TCEO's goal is clean air, clean water, and the safe management of waste. TCEO's rules are designed to be protective of human health and the environment. For MSW facilities, TCEO protects human health and the environment through the enforcement of 30 TAC Chapter 330 and other applicable regulations. The Executive Director has authority to consider the impact of the proposed facility on the surrounding area, including sites having exceptional aesthetic quality within one mile of the facility pursuant to 30 TAC § 330.61(h). The Executive Director has reviewed the application, evaluated its contents, and preliminarily determined that if the proposed facility is constructed and operated as described in the application, the facility should not adversely impact human health or the environment. Moreover, the Executive Director evaluated the information in the application regarding the impact of the proposed facility on the surrounding area and preliminarily determined that the proposed site complies with all applicable rules and regulations.

The rules governing MSW facilities generally do not provide direct and specific limitations on the height of a facility. Pursuant to 30 TAC § 330.175, visual screening of deposited waste materials at a municipal solid waste facility "must be provided by the owner or operator for the facility where the executive director determines that screening is necessary or as required by the permit." Part IV, Sec. 8.22 of the application states that visual screening of the deposited wastes will be provided by daily cover, natural vegetation/forest, fencing, constructed berms,

planted vegetation, and existing topography. The Executive Director evaluated the information in the application regarding the proposed facility's height and preliminarily determined that the proposed facility complies with all applicable rules and regulations regarding the construction, design, and structure of the proposed facility. In addition, the Executive Director has preliminarily determined that the visual screening measures in Part IV, Section 8.22 of the application are sufficient.

Regarding the concerns with trees being removed from the proposed landfill site, the site is located outside of the national forest limits; and the applicant has the responsibility to follow any applicable rules or ordinances when removing the trees. Beneficial use of the removed trees is encouraged, however, TCEQ MSW rules do not have requirements for this activity. If the removed trees are to be discarded, they must be managed in compliance with applicable rules. Please also see Response to Comment 32 for surface water drainage concerns.

Please also see Response to Comment No. 2 regarding quality-of-life concerns.

**Comment 22 Vector Control:** The persons listed in Attachment 10 expressed concerns that the proposed facility will attract wildlife, vectors, vermin, and other nuisance animals, including rodents, mosquitos, feral hogs, and native and non-native birds, which would harm nearby agricultural operations, the Sam Houston National Forest, and the normal ecology of the area. The persons listed in Attachment 10 asked how the Applicant would mitigate wild animal scavenging and what type of disease vector plan would be implemented. In addition, the persons listed in Attachment 10 raised concerns about the impact of seagull droppings on adjacent agricultural lands and how the extra nitrogen and phosphorus would impact the Sam Houston National Forest, Bryan French, representing Wood Duck Farm (Wood Duck Farm), and Jennifer Lee, on behalf of Houston San Jacinto Ranch LLC, contended that the application's vector and vermin control plan is deficient, and that the application fails to adequately address the impact of vermin and nuisance animals on adjacent properties, including the Sam Houston National Forest. Sierra Club recommended TCEO require that the facility operator conduct an active feral hog removal program and contact adjacent and nearby property owners about an area/regional feral hog control program. Sierra Club also recommended that TCEO require that the facility operator begin to remediate areas that have been affected or may be conducive to feral hogs within 24 hours of being found. In addition, Sierra Club recommended TCEO require the Applicant to state how site operations will minimize ponding water and require the facility operator to begin filling depressions within 24 hours and complete within 3 days. Sierra Club also recommended that TCEQ should require the Applicant to document its process in determining whether ponded water is contaminated. In addition, Sierra Club commented that the increase in feral hogs to the area may result in a decrease in area water quality. Sierra Club recommended that TCEO should contact and work with the Houston-Galveston Council Bacteria Implementation Group and Animals and Agriculture Work Group to determine what effects the proposed facility could have on the East Fork of the San Jacinto River Watershed and implement appropriate mitigation measures.

Response 22: A vector is defined under 30 TAC § 330.3(175) as an agent, such as an insect, snake, rodent, bird, or other animal capable of transferring a pathogen from one organism to another. 30 TAC § 330.151 requires applicants for MSW landfills to identify measures and procedures for controlling on-site populations of disease vectors, such as compaction and daily cover. Furthermore, in accordance with 30 TAC § 330.167, the ponding of water over waste on a landfill must be prevented and the Applicant must provide a ponding prevention plan in the Site Operating Plan (SOP). In accordance with 30 TAC § 330.167, the ponding prevention plan must identify techniques to be used at the landfill to prevent the ponding of water over waste, an inspection schedule to identify potential ponding sites, corrective actions to remove ponded water, and general instructions to manage water that has been in contact with waste.

Part IV, Section 8.11 of the application describes procedures for the control of on-site populations of disease vectors including proper waste compaction, timely application of cover soil or approved daily cover, minimizing the size of the working face, and control of ponded

water. Part IV, Section 8.19 of the application describes the water ponding prevention plan. Part IV, Section 8.11 of the application states that should these measures not control disease vectors, other methods approved by TCEQ will be used to ensure adequate control.

As noted previously, the TCEQ Regional Office inspects Type I MSW landfills on a regular basis. Additionally, TCEQ encourages members of the public to report any observed violations at a facility to the Regional Office. Complaints regarding the facility may be made by contacting the TCEQ Region 10 Office at 409-898-3838 or the toll-free Environment Violation Hotline at 1-888-777-3186. Complaints may also be filed on-line at <a href="https://www.tceq.texas.gov/compliance/complaints">https://www.tceq.texas.gov/compliance/complaints</a>. If the facility violates a term of the permit or TCEQ's regulations, the permittee may be subject to an enforcement action.

The impact of seagull droppings on adjacent agricultural lands and how extra nitrogen and phosphorus would impact the Sam Houston National Forest is outside the scope of TCEQ's jurisdiction to evaluate MSW applications.

The Executive Director acknowledges Sierra Club's recommendations and finds that the application's proposed plan to prevent the ponding of water and control of vectors, including proposed feral hog control measures, complies with all applicable rules and regulations. Please see vector controls, generally, and Response to Comment No. 33.

Please also see Responses to Comment Nos. 23 and 32 through 36 for a more detailed discussion on contamination and surface water quality.

The Executive Director acknowledges Sierra Club's recommendation for TCEQ to contact the Houston-Galveston Council Bacteria Implementation Group and Animals and Agriculture Work Group; however, it is outside of the scope of review for the application.

The Executive Director has preliminarily determined that the application meets the requirements for vector control and ponding of water.

## C. WATER, GEOLOGY, AND SOIL

**Comment 23 General Concern of Water Quality:** The persons listed in Attachment 11 expressed general concern about water quality and water contamination.

**Response 23:** Responses to concerns about groundwater pollution, contamination of well water, aquifers, surface water, including Peach Creek, Jayhawker Creek, and Lake Houston are found in Responses to Comment Nos. 24 through 26, 29 through 32, 34, and 35.

**Comment 24 Groundwater:** The persons listed in Attachments 12 expressed concern about groundwater pollution and potential contamination of well water. David Van Weldon Frances Elaine Damon, and Roy Salazar raised concerns of contamination to well water. The Sierra Club commented that the Applicant should be required to conduct a thorough search for abandoned wells and ensure they are capped and plugged, and that this information be reported to the public.

Dana Moody provided a copy of the Joint Groundwater Monitoring and Contamination Report and information about other regulated entities, and she, Mandy Cook, and Shane Hindman asserted that the proposed landfill would cause groundwater contamination, would lead to long-term financial impacts, and would lead to groundwater contamination, respectively. Mandy Cook provided a document which discusses the long-term impacts, including financial impacts, of monitoring at landfills and commented that the application did not address how groundwater in the area would be protected. Mandy Cook, Jordan Combs, Andrea Marcogliese, Dana Moody, Carolyn Klein, and Wendy Hindman commented that contamination of water could contaminate food produced in the area.

Margaret Beck, Carla Cox, Rebecca Bridges, Jordan Combs, Linda J. Field, Anne Goode, Joan M. McKirachan, Aaryn Silva, Michelle Dechene, Rebecca Ojeda, Lauren Parrish, Jon Pitts, Lad A.

Rack, Pascale Schmidt, Carol Suzanne Sellner, Linda Kay Stegenga, Peter Calenzo, and Randall Stewart commented that activity from the proposed landfill would pollute aquifers, including the Evangeline Aquifer that supplies water to Houston. Randall Stewart commented that water will become contaminated and seepage to groundwater will occur to all underlying aquifers.

Senator Robert Nichols and Representative Ernest Bailes expressed concerns about the Evangeline water aquifer when requesting a public meeting. Theodore T. Walters expressed concerns about contamination of the Edwards water table. Abigail Gilson commented that the project site is located on several aquifer recharge areas that flow toward heavily populated areas.

Response 24: TCEQ's rules provide for protection of groundwater resources through a combination of construction requirements, including protective liners and leachate collection systems, construction of a groundwater monitoring system and monitoring of the uppermost groundwater leaving the site, and operational requirements such as separation of water that comes in contact with wastes from water that is unimpacted by waste. The Applicant provided information on current and abandoned oil and water wells in Section 7.3 of Parts I and II of the application as required by 30 TAC § 330.61(l) which showed one abandoned water well from 1964 that could not be located onsite. Section 8.16 of Part IV of the application also contains information related to abandoned wells if they are discovered during construction/operation. In accordance with 30 TAC § 330.161, should abandoned wells be discovered during development, the permittee must report to TCEQ and have the wells properly capped, plugged, and closed. The application was revised to add a slurry wall around the waste disposal area that is designed to further prevent any potential impact to groundwater which is discussed further in Response 25.

The protective liner system must be designed and constructed to meet the requirements of 30 TAC Chapter 330, Subchapter H; details about the liner design and construction are provided in Part III, Attachment D7 of the application. The landfill will be constructed with a composite liner (a 60-mil high-density polyethylene HDPE geomembrane and 24 inches of re-compacted soil) overlaid by two feet of protective soil cover and a leachate collection system (a geocomposite leachate collection layer, trenches and piping, sumps and pumps, and pump risers).

Part III, Attachment D6 of the application contains the leachate collection system design and provides information about leachate storage and off-site disposal. Leachate and any contaminated water, including stormwater that has contacted waste, will be properly stored and transported offsite for disposal at a Publicly Owned Treatment Works (POTW) or other TCEQ-approved treatment and disposal facility.

Please also see the Response to Comment No. 25, which contains a discussion of the Groundwater Monitoring System and the Groundwater Sampling and Analysis Plan (GWSAP) requirements.

Please also see the Response to Comment No. 32, which contains a discussion about requirements for unimpacted stormwater run-on and runoff control and serves to address concerns with landfill runoff that would seep into groundwater.

The Executive Director has preliminarily determined that the proposed liner, slurry wall, and leachate collection system meets the rule requirements and will therefore sufficiently protect human health and the environment.

**Comment 25 Groundwater Monitoring:** Several commenters asked questions relating to groundwater monitoring system design.

### • Gravel beds and well spacing

Dr. H.C. Clark, Kristen Schlemmer of Bayou City Waterkeeper (Bayou City Waterkeeper), and Mandy Cook expressed concerns that the gravel zones under the site would control the hydrogeology of the uppermost aquifer at the site and would present problems with sufficient groundwater monitoring. Dr. Clark proposed alternate values for hydraulic conductivity and permeabilities at the site and predicted that water will move through the gravel at greater velocities than the application provided and that a monitoring well spacing of less than 600 feet should be required. Bryan French, on behalf of Wood Duck Farm (Wood Duck Farm), and Bayou City Waterkeeper identified concerns about leachate contaminating groundwater and surface waters and commented that leachate leakage in gravel spreads 10 to 20 feet by the time it reaches the point of compliance and thus would possibly subvert the groundwater monitoring wells that are proposed to be spaced 600 feet apart, and, therefore, a closer well spacing should be required. Dr. Craig Nazor, on behalf of the Sierra Club, expressed similar concerns about water-created gravel beds and aquifer recharge zones creating high water contamination risk. Jennifer Lee, on behalf of Houston San Jacinto Ranch, LLC (Houston San Jacinto Ranch), commented that the soil in the area is not conducive to the proposed landfill and referenced Dr. Clark's comments about concerns with groundwater monitoring and hydrogeology due to gravel at the proposed location. Jason Roesner, District Ranger with the Sam Houston National Forest, United States Forest Service, United States Department of Agriculture (Forest Service) commented that the geology and soil type at the proposed location render it inappropriate for the proposed landfill and requested information about the design criteria and mitigation methods that will be used to avoid negative effects from the proposed landfill on water quality, health, wildlife, and recreation opportunities.

## • Interconnection of aquifer and surface waters

Dr. Clark expressed concerns that the aquifer water table appears to join the neighboring creek outside the permit boundary. Sierra Club described and provided information about land type phases, vegetation, topography, types of soil in the area, and the characteristics of groundwater and surface water connections, and commented that streams and aquifers recharge each other in the area where the proposed landfill will be located. Dr. Nazor (Sierra Club) and Wood Duck Farm expressed similar concerns. Dr. Clark, Wood Duck Farm, and Bayou City Waterkeeper expressed concerns that use of unlined stormwater detention ponds above the uppermost aquifer would lead to dilution of groundwater samples, thereby preventing detection of contaminants and commented that dewatering would violate 30 TAC § 330.331(a)(1) because of dilution of contaminants. They stated that monitoring wells nos. 11, 10, 6, 3, 4, and 5 are adjacent to unlined detention ponds that will recharge Stratum I immediately and move quickly to the uppermost aquifer, diluting any contaminants after a rainfall event and asked how dilution would be avoided.

## • Dewatering concerns

Dr. H.C. Clark, Dr. Ken Kramer, Bayou City Waterkeeper, Wood Duck Farm, and the persons listed in Attachment 13 expressed concern that the water table will be affected due to the pumping during dewatering and that it would affect the monitoring system for the landfill, drawing water into the landfill rather than allowing it to move through the groundwater monitoring system. Dr. Nazor (Sierra Club) referenced Dr. Clark's comments and stated that his analysis when combined with reports of land types and soil types demonstrates that dewatering may have water quality and monitoring impacts.

# • Monitoring frequency and requirements

Dustin Darkenwald and Shane Maberry expressed concern with how frequently groundwater would be monitored for contamination, and Mr. Maberry expressed concerns about E. coli and chloroform contaminating groundwater. Sierra Club commented that the Applicant must be required to monitor for leaks and groundwater contamination and must have a spill response,

long-term monitoring, a wider well monitoring system, and water cleanup plan from cradle to grave. John David McDonald commented that monitoring is not enough.

**Response 25:** In accordance with 30 TAC Chapter 330, Subchapter B (relating to Contents of Part III of the application), an owner or operator of a Type I MSW landfill facility is required to assess the geology and hydrogeology beneath the site. An application for a permit to authorize a MSW facility must include a geology report for a facility area that is prepared by a qualified groundwater scientist and contains soil and groundwater investigation results regarding subsurface conditions, as well as a description of aquifers near a facility per 30 TAC § 330.63(e)(3) and (4). An application for a permit to authorize a MSW facility must also include a description of all known water wells located within 500 feet of the proposed permit boundary in accordance with 30 TAC § 330.61(h)(5).

TCEQ rules specify a groundwater monitoring system must be designed to meet 30 TAC Chapter 330, Subchapter J requirements. The proposed landfill must include a groundwater monitoring system based on site-specific technical information to detect any contamination from the facility prior to migration off site. The proposed system must consist of a sufficient number of wells, installed at appropriate locations and depths, to yield representative groundwater samples from the uppermost aquifer, pursuant to 30 TAC § 330.403. An owner or operator must implement a system for groundwater monitoring, which must be conducted according to an approved GWSAP as required under 30 TAC § 330.405 (relating to Groundwater Sampling and Analysis Requirements). The owner or operator must also annually submit a sampling and analysis report to the Executive Director pursuant to 30 TAC § 330.407 with the results of the groundwater monitoring.

The proposed groundwater monitoring system will be based on site-specific hydrogeologic characteristics details in Part III, Attachment E of the permit application. The system will consist of 22 wells, installed at appropriate locations and depths. The 22 monitoring wells will be installed surrounding the landfill unit and the spacing of the wells will be no greater than 600 feet; and the wells will monitor groundwater of the uppermost aquifer in Stratum II. The groundwater monitoring wells will be sampled and analyzed in accordance with the GWSAP included as Part III, Attachment F of the permit application. Eight background groundwater samples will be taken from each well at approximately three-month (quarterly) intervals. After background sampling is completed, the detection monitoring program will consist of groundwater sampling on a semiannual basis.

The addition of a slurry wall constructed around the perimeter of the waste disposal area, on the outside of the monitoring well network, is designed to isolate the groundwater inside the disposal area from the groundwater outside the facility, which will provide additional protection to off-site groundwater resources. The slurry wall is a below-ground feature that will be constructed of a soil/bentonite mix at least 30 inches wide and installed down to a depth at least 18 inches into the Stratum III confining geologic layer. The slurry wall will severely retard groundwater flow from leaving the waste disposal area and therefore increase protectiveness of offsite groundwater resources.

Responses to specific groundwater monitoring questions are as follows:

### Gravel beds and well spacing

The gravel layers are shown on the boring logs and cross-sections, however TCEQ rules do not require modifying the monitoring network based on the types of lithology, but only require that the wells be constructed to be able to collect representative groundwater samples of the uppermost aquifer. There are no provisions for requiring a well spacing less than 600 feet, per 30 TAC § 330.403(a)(2). Additionally, the slurry wall is designed to prevent subsurface preferential flowpaths due to gravel zones that could exist.

# • Interconnection of aquifer and surface waters

The purpose of the groundwater monitoring network is to detect contamination if it travels outside of the permitted waste disposal area. If groundwater contamination were determined to be sourcing from the permitted disposal area, the applicant would be required to remediate groundwater to prevent surface waters from being impacted above allowable levels. Additionally, the slurry wall is designed to prevent groundwater from inside the waste disposal area from potentially migrating to surface waters bodies. There are no restrictions relating to the position of stormwater detention ponds and the groundwater monitoring well locations in TCEQ rules. The wells are required to be constructed according to the State of Texas water well drillers requirements, which includes an annular seal around the well casing and surface pad to prevent infiltration of surface water into the well. Additionally, the detention ponds only contain water for short periods until it can be discharged according to the proposed facility's required Texas Pollution Discharge Elimination System (TPDES) permit, which would reduce any chances of percolation of surface water to the subsurface at quantities that would impact groundwater samples through dilution.

### Dewatering concerns

The dewatering of the aquifer in the area of the landfill waste footprint is temporary and will occur during construction only. The groundwater point of compliance is determined by the groundwater flow directions both at the time of application and later in the life of the landfill, and can potentially be revised if flow directions change during the landfill life. As stated above, the purpose of the groundwater monitoring system is to detect releases leaving the permitted boundary once the facility is constructed, and therefore after dewatering.

## Monitoring frequency and requirements

The groundwater monitoring is required to be conducted semiannually after the initial background sampling is completed. The constituents required for monitoring are specified in 30 TAC § 330.419. The applicants GWSAP complies with the requirements of 30 TAC § 330 Subchapter J.

**Comment 26 Geologic Assessment:** Several commenters asked questions relating to geologic investigation/characterization of the site.

# • Position of landfill bottom compared to aquifer

Dr. Clark asked whether the application, permit, or SOP states that the base of the liner system will be sufficiently above the base of the uppermost aquifer to allow for groundwater monitoring. Patrick Rightmyer, Dr. Nazor, and Jeff Egli expressed concern that the proposed landfill will be deep enough to enter the water table.

# • Insufficient geologic assessment concerns

Houston San Jacinto Ranch expressed concern that the landfill liner will not be adequate because the subsurface geology and landfill design have not been characterized adequately. Specifically, she commented that the application included 19 boring logs and asserted that the information about the number, proximity, and location of the boreholes provided in the application does not demonstrate sufficient establishment of subsurface stratigraphy across the proposed waste management units and that the boring logs showed a stratigraphic complexity that does not demonstrate that applicable subsurface siting requirements have been met and whether sufficient soil borings and piezometer wells were installed. Houston San Jacinto Ranch also commented that the piezometer completion logs do not show the total depth of each boring, do not provide sufficient surface completion details, and conflict with data in the Driller's Well Reports available through the Texas Water Development Board.

 David Van Weldon submitted comments with an enclosure of a review by Dr. Clark and maps and analysis of floodplains, soils, geological formations, and wetlands provided by Dr. Matthew Berg. Jordan Combs provided a link to a soil survey in the area and commented that the soil in the county is considered severe for landfill use and will not filter out toxins. **Other concerns** 

Wood Duck Farm commented that the Executive Director must consider the factors in 30 TAC § 330.331(c).

Wood Duck Farm commented that the application uses an outdated model (Hydrologic Evaluation of Landfill Performance or HELP) to evaluate hydraulics at the proposed landfill; commented that assumptions in the application should be revised to take the gravel layer into account; and stated that groundwater contamination would negatively affect Wood Duck Farm and other neighboring landowners. Bayou City Waterkeeper also commented that the application uses an outdated HELP model, should use the Environmental Protection Agency's (EPA) Stormwater Management Model (SWMM) model, and should use a permeability value with an assumption of gravel not sand.

**Response 26:** To characterize the soils and geology at the site, the Applicant conducted a subsurface investigation following preapproval of a soil boring plan that met the requirements of 30 TAC  $\S$  330.63(e)(4)(A) regarding the number of borings to assess the geology underneath the proposed facility. The soil boring plan also met requirements as to the depth of borings to identify the uppermost aquifer and deeper interconnected aquifers in accordance with 30 TAC  $\S$  330.63(e)(4)(B).

Part III, Attachment E of the application includes a geology report prepared by a licensed professional geoscientist that provides geologic and hydrogeologic assessment of the area proposed for the facility. The geology report includes a description of nearby aquifers and states that the uppermost aquifer is part of the Chicot Aquifer. In Parts I/II, Section 7.2 and Appendix E of Parts I/II of the application, the Applicant has identified the results of the required oil, gas, and water well searches.

## • Position of landfill bottom compared to aquifer

TCEQ rules do not require that the bottom of a landfill be located above the seasonal highwater table, only that the applicant provide methods to prevent groundwater infiltration into the waste cells. The methods proposed at the Peach Creek landfill include proper construction of the liner system and dewatering/ballast specifications as detailed in the Site Development Plan.

## • Insufficient geologic assessment concerns

The soil boring plan requirements of 30 TAC § 330.63(e)(4)(A) were met for the number and depths required to assess the geology beneath the site. The uppermost aquifer and the lower confining layer under the uppermost aquifer were identified. TCEQ rules in Chapter 330 do not require use of soil to filter contaminants.

### Other concerns

The requirements of 30 TAC § 330.331(c) only apply to landfills that are using design criteria specified in 30 TAC § 330.331(a)(1) instead of 30 TAC § 330.331(b).

Regarding the concern of whether an outdated HELP model was used in this application, Appendix D6-B of Part III of the application indicates that the current HELP model version 4.0 was used in the leachate generation modeling. Information on the gravel layer is not required in the leachate generation modeling.

The Executive Director has reviewed the application and preliminarily determined that it meets the regulatory requirements regarding the protection of groundwater beneath the site, and that the proposed facility, operated according to 30 TAC Chapter 330 and the draft permit, would be protective of human health and the environment.

**Comment 27 Faulting, Subsidence, and Unstable Conditions:** Jennifer Lee, representing Houston San Jacinto Ranch, LLC (Houston San Jacinto Ranch), expressed concern with potential

faulting and subsurface instability at the location of the proposed landfill and commented that Part II, Sections 9.4 and 9.6 of the application, and other application materials demonstrate subsurface movement, possibly associated with faulting, subsidence, or otherwise unstable areas. Houston San Jacinto Ranch also commented that the area near the proposed landfill has experienced crude oil, natural gas, and groundwater withdrawal.

Stephen Richard Huberty expressed concern that contamination would occur because the proposed landfill is not in an elevated area and is in an area with clay.

**Response 27:** The Geotechnical Engineering Study found in Part III, Attachment D5 of the application contains information on the investigation of the subsurface conditions and evaluation of the landfill unit. The Geology Report found in Part III, Attachment E of the application includes discussions, evaluations, and figures that provide the information required by 30 TAC § 330.63(e). Part II, Section 9.6 (Unstable Areas) of the application states that poor foundation conditions and other unstable areas specified in 30 TAC § 330.559 do not exist at or immediately adjacent to the facility. Sections 9.4 and 9.5 of Part II of the application discuss compliance with requirements of 30 TAC §§ 330.555 (Fault Areas) and 330.557 (Seismic Impact Zones). Attachment A, Section 5 of Part III of the application concludes that the geology report confirms that the geology and soil conditions are suitable for operations as a municipal solid waste disposal facility. The application proposes to use a composite liner that meets requirements in 30 TAC §§ 330.331(a)(2) and 330.331(b). The required composite liner consists of a geomembrane and a re-compacted soil layer, the specifications and construction of which will meet the requirements contained in 30 TAC Chapter 330, Subchapter H, and Part III, Attachment D7 of the application. The liner system will help prevent infiltration and release. There are no requirements for landfills to be constructed in elevated areas or areas without clay.

Please also see Responses to Comment Nos. 25, 26, and 38.

Comment 28 Landfill Liner: Sierra Club and Dr. Craig Nazor, Kristen Schlemmer of Bayou City Waterkeeper, Andrew Dreher, Wendy Hindman, Dana Moody, Darelle Robbins, Joy Shipman, Holly Walrath, and Peter Calenzo additionally commented that all landfills leak, and Sierra Club provided information and articles about the topic, commented that liner leaks are often undetected for years, and stated that the Applicant could not know the status of landfill leaks from all Texas landfills. Linda R. Stead, P.E., and Cheryl Huffman expressed concerns about leaks in the landfill liner. Joshua Holley expressed concerns about fires causing leaks through landfill liners. Dana Moody and the persons listed in Attachment 14 expressed concern that volatile organic compounds could permeate through the landfill liner and contaminate groundwater. Dana Moody provided an article about landfill liners and asked what type of technology, such as digital leak detection technology, will be used to protect groundwater. Mandy Cook commented that landfill liners deteriorate over time and monitoring systems are faulty and provided a document that includes information about landfill liners. Elizabeth Martha House stated that use of phyto-remediation plantings should be investigated as an alternative to liners.

Dana Moody asked about the protectiveness of liners, requested clarification about page D-6-B-1 of the draft permit, and commented that the page states that defects may occur at ½ hole per acre and 1 seam failure per acre upon good liner installation techniques.

Abigail Gilson provided specific comments about the proposed liner design and expressed concern with certain portions of the application, including that according to Part 2.2.6 of Attachment D6 of the application, 48 inches of head is allowed in the sump area of the proposed landfill, but the federal guidelines only allow 12 inches. Ms. Gilson stated concerns that any leak in the bottom lining system would immediately impact the groundwater directly below the landfill because the sumps would be located in the areas of lowest elevation of the landfill, closest to groundwater, and that, according to Part 3.3 of Attachment D7 of the application, the liner would be built below the highest measured groundwater elevations.

**Response 28:** The Executive Director has preliminarily determined that the liner system design and the construction procedures in the application are compliant with the regulatory requirements in 30 TAC Chapter 330, Subchapter H. The Liner Quality Control Plan in Part III, Attachment D7 of the application specifies quality control measures for the installation of the liner system. Attachment D7 also includes product quality control criteria performed by the geomembrane liner manufacturers.

Regarding the concern about the assumed defects in the installed liner, the assumed number of defects included in Attachment D6-B (Leachate Generation Model) is consistent with the EPA's guidance and is in line with generally accepted engineering practice.

The leachate collection and removal system is described in Attachment D6 (pages D6-2 and D6-4) of Part III of the application. The system is designed to maintain leachate depths over the liner and the sump bottom at no greater than 30 cm (12 inches) and 36 inches, respectively. These leachate depth specifications are consistent with the generally accepted design and operating requirements. In addition, the sumps are designed to have one additional geomembrane liner for additional protection.

TCEQ rules in Chapter 330 do not require exploring the use of phyto-remediation as an alternative to the required landfill liner system. The application does not propose any alternatives to the required landfill liner system.

The Executive Director has reviewed the application and preliminarily determined that it meets the regulatory requirements.

Comment 29 Monitoring Recommendation: Abigail Gilson commented that federal guidelines may not be protective of groundwater because newer technologies, such as Electrical Leak Location (ELL), were developed after the regulations. Ms. Gilson described ELL as having the ability to detect leaks in installed geomembranes using electricity and recommended that ELL be used, even if on a voluntary basis. Patrick Rightmyer expressed concern that the proposed landfill would use a single barrier rather than a dual barrier with ELL technologies.

**Response 29:** The Executive Director has preliminarily determined that the liner quality control measures, including defects detection and repairing, in Attachment D7 of Part III of this application are consistent with the rules in 30 TAC Chapter 330, Subchapter H and TCEQ guidance document RG-534. Please also see Response to Comment No. 24.

**Comment 30 Monitoring Private Wells:** Sierra Club recommended that TCEQ monitor private wells.

**Response 30:** TCEQ rules in Chapter 330 do not require monitoring of private wells for MSW Type I landfill permitting.

**Comment 31 Landfill Gas and Groundwater:** Teresa Calenzo, Jason P. Chadwick, Sarah Lynskey, and the persons listed in Attachment 15 asserted that gasses from the landfill would contaminate groundwater.

**Response 31:** The landfill gas management measures and landfill liner system contained in Attachments D and G of Part III of the application are designed to prevent or reduce the probability of groundwater being contaminated by the landfill gas. The groundwater monitoring procedures described in Attachment F of Part III of the application are designed to detect groundwater contamination regardless of contaminant sources. The Executive Director has reviewed the application and preliminarily determined that it meets the regulatory requirements.

**Comment 32 Surface Water Drainage Analysis:** The persons listed in Attachments 16 raised concerns about the effect of the proposed activity on surface waters, including concerns that the proposed landfill would produce runoff that would pollute surface waters such as Peach

Creek, Jayhawker Creek, and other water bodies in the surrounding areas, potentially reaching Lake Houston. Venus Price expressed concern that the proposed landfill would be within the City of Houston's source water footprint. Will Cook commented that discharge of contaminated water to surface water would contaminate soil through leaching or run-off. Craig Gordon commented that his land has a pond and creek fed from the area where the proposed landfill will be located. Jesse Reynolds commented that a creek runs from the site of the proposed landfill to his backyard. Debra Rodriguez commented that toxic overflow from the landfill would pollute her pond. David Van Weldon stated that he uses surface water to irrigate his crops, stated concerns that livestock drink directly from surface waters, and expressed concern that natural drainage may be altered because of road construction to the landfill. Douglas Cole Grijalva expressed concerns that stormwater could affect Wood Duck Farm. Linda R. Stead expressed concerns that the proposed landfill is near an unconfined aquifer, which is the primary source of base flow in Peach Creek. Shane Hindman commented that water would be contaminated at some point, if not right away.

The persons listed in Attachment 18 raised general concerns regarding flooding, including the increased risk of downstream contamination during flooding events, and whether the location of the proposed facility is prone to flooding. The persons in Attachment 19 expressed several concerns that stormwater overflow, leachate, and volatile organic compounds (VOCs) will make the floodplain a conduit for contamination and negatively impact downstream populations and resources. Jennifer Lee, on behalf of Houston San Jacinto Ranch, LLC (Houston San Jacinto Ranch), commented that the application does not adequately demonstrate that water contaminated after encountering waste will not negatively impact nearby surface water quality.

Dana Moody asked in what situation contaminated waters may be released into surface waters. Eric Anderson asked what would be done to ensure overflow from water tanks would not contaminate drinking water. Linda Kay Stegenga asked whether the permit would allow the transport of contaminated water to a POTW for treatment and disposal.

Victoria Swanson expressed concern about the existing shortage of water.

Mandy Cook commented that the application does not address stormwater or surface water contamination during all phases of the landfill and does not address the possibility of water seeping into the landfill during or after operation and referenced an article. Mandy Cook further commented that San Jacinto County does not have the resources to address potential contamination or repair infrastructure if Jayhawker Creek overflows and drains into other creeks or bodies of water.

Jennifer Lee, on behalf of Houston San Jacinto Ranch, LLC, (Houston San Jacinto Ranch) commented that the application does not address impacts of construction and operation on neighboring properties on drainage and surface water. Eric Anderson commented that the standing water in the area outside of the proposed facility is not managed by the county and does not reflect the changes in the level of rain and potential flooding that will occur in the current environment. Houston San Jacinto Ranch commented that the application does not adequately demonstrate management of stormwater contact with reusable materials and stockpiles that would be used in the proposed landfill.

Kristen Schlemmer, on behalf of Bayou City Waterkeeper, (Bayou City Waterkeeper) and Wood Duck Farm commented that one foot of freeboard for detention ponds is not adequate due to recent rainfall levels.

Linda Stegenga commented that Big Buck Lake Dam has been authorized for construction in the area, asked whether the dam would collect storm water, expressed concern that water contamination and flooding would occur if the dam broke during a severe weather event, and referenced the 2017 Conroe Dam release.

Wood Duck Farm and Bayou City Waterkeeper commented that surface water flows into a creek that is within a floodplain, that the rainfall data considered in the application is incorrect, and that the flooding surface water drainage requirement would not be met.

**Response 32:** In accordance with 30 TAC §§ 330.63(c), 330.303, 330.305, and 330.307, an applicant must provide a Surface Water Drainage Report regarding the management of run-on and runoff at the landfill. The Surface Water Drainage Report for the proposed facility is contained in Part III, Attachment C of the application.

30 TAC § 330.305 requires design, construction, and maintenance of a run-on control system capable of preventing flow onto the active portion of the landfill during the peak discharge from at least a 25-year rainfall event (found in Part III, Attachment C1), assessment of existing and proposed drainage characteristics of the facility (found in Part III, Attachment C1), and a runoff management system from the active portion of the landfill to collect and control at least the water volume resulting from a 24-hour, 25-year storm (found in Part III, Attachment C1, App C1-A). Additionally, existing drainage patterns must not be adversely altered, and the landfill design must provide effective erosional stability to top dome surfaces and external embankment side slopes during all phases of landfill operation, closure, and post-closure care (found in Part III, Attachment C3). Dikes, embankments, drainage structures, or diversion channels sized and graded to handle the design runoff must be provided (found in Part III, Attachment C).

The Facility Surface Water Drainage Report found in Part III, Attachment C of the application, provides discussions and detailed designs, calculations, and operational considerations for the collection, control, and discharge of storm water from the landfill as required by the rules. The drainage system described in the application consists of various drainage structures, for example, perimeter channels, detention ponds, swales, and down chutes, including temporary berms constructed to minimize the amount of surface water that comes into contact with waste. The Facility Surface Water Drainage Report indicates that the drainage analysis was performed consistent with TCEQ rules and guidance and the TxDOT Hydraulic Design Manual (2019). The proposed landfill is designed to prevent discharge of pollutants into waters of the state or waters of the United States, as defined by the Texas Water Code and the Federal Clean Water Act, respectively. The application contains a certification statement in Part II, Section 10.2 indicating that the Applicant will obtain the appropriate TPDES coverage, as required by Section 402 of the Federal Clean Water Act, for the proposed facility to assure that storm water discharges are in compliance with applicable regulations.

Uncontaminated run-off from the landfill structure will be collected and conveyed through the perimeter channels to four detention ponds. The four detention ponds discharge into Jayhawker Creek. The system is designed to convey the 25-year peak flow rate from the top dome surfaces and external embankment side slopes consistent with TCEQ regulations. The comparisons of existing and post-development surface drainage conditions at four discharge points on the permit boundary indicate no adverse offsite impact due to the development of the landfill. The demonstration that existing permitted drainage patterns will not be adversely altered is provided under Part III, Attachment C1 of the application, as required. Contaminated water that has come in contact with waste will be addressed as provided in the Leachate and Contaminated Water Management Plan of the permit application.

Regarding the comment about minimum freeboard, the applicable sections of Chapter 330 of TCEQ rules do not specify the minimum freeboard for detention ponds. It is the design engineer's responsibility to ensure sufficient freeboard. Regarding concerns about minimum freeboard and dams, the surface drainage system proposed for this site was designed to have sufficient capacity to manage the surface runoff from 25-year 24-hour storm events as required by Chapter 330, Subchapter G, of TCEO rules.

As discussed in more detail in Response to Comment No. 28, contaminated water will be collected and managed in accordance with the Leachate and Contaminated Water Management

Plan found in Part III, Attachment D-6 of the application. Contaminated water will not be placed in or on the landfill; rather, it will be transported offsite, by an authorized wastewater transporter, to an authorized facility for treatment and disposal.

Stormwater run-on/runoff at the reusable material areas are discussed in Attachment B, Section 2 of Part III and Section 8.25.2 of Part IV of the application. Stormwater runoff from piles of non-inert materials will be collected in berms and managed as contaminated water. In addition, stormwater management will be in accordance with the TPDES permits. Please also see Responses to Comment No. 33 and 34.

In accordance with 30 TAC §§ 330.63(c), 330.303, and 330.305, an applicant must provide a Surface Water Drainage Report regarding the management of run-on and runoff at the landfill. The Surface Water Drainage Report for the proposed facility is contained in Part III, Attachment C of the application.

An owner or operator of an MSW facility is required to: manage all liquids resulting from operation of the facility in a manner that will not cause surface water or groundwater pollution; provide for the treatment of wastewaters resulting from waste management activities, cleaning, and washing; and ensure that storm water and wastewater management comply with 30 TAC § 330.55 (relating to Other Authorizations). The application addresses these requirements in Attachment D6 and other relevant portions of the application and by being committed to obtain a TPDES permit.

The proposed facility's location in relation to a 100-year floodplain is discussed also in Response to Comment No. 39, below. The Executive Director has preliminarily determined that the application has demonstrated compliance with the requirements regarding the 100-year floodplain, surface drainage, waste spill clean-up, and prevention of contaminated water discharge. Please see Response to Comment No. 32 for more discussion.

Regarding the concern about tank overflow, the leachate storage tanks are equipped with a secondary containment system that has capacity to contain the volume of leachate from a worst-case scenario spill plus the volume for the stormwater from a 25-year 24-hour precipitation event. The calculations for the secondary containment system are included in Attachment D6-C of Part III of the application. The tanks will be operated per the site's Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan (SWP3) spill and overflow measures.

Regarding the comment concerning an existing water shortage, according to Section 7 of Part IV of the application, the primary firefighting method is using soil with water as one of the supplemental means; and water for firefighting will be from available sources at the site including on-site water storage tank. It is the permittee's responsibility to make water available for the on-site activities. Drinking water shortages are outside of the scope of the evaluation of the application.

The Executive Director has preliminarily determined that the application complies with all applicable requirements regarding stormwater and contaminated water management.

Please see Response to Comment No. 25 for further discussion on groundwater seepage. Please also see Responses to Comment Nos. 33 and 39 about flooding. Details about the Floodplain Determination are found in Attachment C4 of the application.

**Comment 33 Flooding:** Bryan French, representing Wood Duck Farm (Wood Duck Farm), asserted that the Applicant failed to consider upstream alterations and water velocity as required by 30 TAC § 330.305(a), the Applicant's drainage analysis is flawed because the analysis solely focuses on downstream drainage impacts and ignores upstream drainage impacts not meeting the requirements of 30 TAC §§ 330.63 and 330.303, and without including the access road in the Permit Boundary would "controvert" 30 TAC § 330.305. The commenter was concerned that the creek that will receive discharges is within the FEMA 100-year floodplain and that removing trees will increase the water velocity in the area.

Wood Duck Farm and the persons listed in Attachment 17 commented that the proposed access road would leave little to no room for detention ponds or other actions to mitigate flooding because the access road is 100 feet wide and that the removal of trees for the access road within the floodplain may increase flood water velocity.

Wood Duck Farm commented that the application lacks provisions to mitigate the impoundment of surface water. Specifically, Wood Duck Farm asserted the application lacks a sheet flow analysis to show drainage patterns across the affected area, including areas off the permit boundaries where water may be impounded by facility activities.

The persons listed in Attachment 20 also expressed concern that roads in and around the proposed facility are susceptible to flooding, and some commenters submitted photographs. Bryan French, representing Wood Duck Farm, (Wood Duck Farm) commented that further study is necessary to prevent flooding given the location of the proposed access road. John David McDonald recommended that TCEQ consult with David Brandon, San Jacinto County Commissioner for Precinct 3, for his input as to flooding and road conditions. Mandy Cook expressed concerns that the proposed facility would not comply with San Jacinto County Development plans which provide that any commercial or private development that is being built in a potential flood area is required to have their first floor raised above ground level.

Timothy Sumrall raised concerns with area surface water drainage by providing photos and a video depicting water over a road at the corner of Jayhawker Road and Lucille Drive. Delores Strange raised concerns that the flooding county roads would not withstand the facility traffic expected. Ed Rinehart commented that this area is subject to flooding and regarding the impounding of surface water turns roads "into lakes." Dana Moody included information about a Harvey Buyout Program and asked how the program would apply to flooding considerations when preparing the draft permit.

**Response 33:** The comparisons of surface drainage conditions between the pre-development and post-development scenarios are presented in Drawing C1.8 and Table C1-3 of Part III, Attachment C of the application. The four comparison points are located both up and downstream of the proposed landfill site. The comparisons show that there are no differences at Comparison Points 1-3 and very small changes at Comparison Point 4. Table Cl-3 shows that peak velocities at the four comparison points remain almost the same between the predevelopment and post-development scenarios. The Applicant has demonstrated there is no significant change in the velocities.

Regarding localized sheet flows or possible localized surface water ponding, it should be noted that the proposed facility will maintain a buffer zone of no less than 125-feet wide. In addition, the application proposes no changes to the existing contours along the east side of the permit boundary.

The Executive Director acknowledges John David McDonald's recommendation.

A Harvey Buyout Program is outside of the scope of the evaluation of the application for an MSW permit.

The Executive Director has preliminarily determined that the surface drainage analysis in the application demonstrates compliance with the applicable requirements in 30 TAC Chapter 330.

For concerns regarding the access road, please see Responses to Comment Nos. 16, 18, and 19. Please see Response to Comment No. 32 about altering current drainage conditions.

For concerns related to water over the public access roads, please see Response to Comment No. 16. In response to public concerns regarding access roads, the application was revised to relocate the site access road to a private road connecting to Fostoria Tram Road. Jayhawker Road and Rajak Road will not be primary access routes.

**Comment 34 Water Permits:** Sierra Club recommended that the Clean Water Act, Section 401 program be considered. Bryan French, representing Wood Duck Farm, and Kristen Schlemmer of Bayou City Waterkeeper recommended that the TPDES permit should require that downstream surface water be tested, including for biochemical oxygen demand.

Charles Branch, Caylie Carnline, Julie C. Jones, Steven Malkey, Mindy Morgan, Kathryn Smith, Darren Peltier, Christina Wheeler, and William Lambard expressed concerns about the proposed landfill applying for a NPDES or water discharge permit.

Sierra Club recommended that TCEQ coordinate with the Houston-Galveston Council about its Watershed Protection Plan and Total Maximum Daily Load Implementation Plan for the East Fork of the San Jacinto River Watershed.

**Response 34:** Authorization to discharge storm water or treated effluent from the proposed landfill is subject to authorization under the commission's TPDES program and are therefore outside of the scope of this MSW landfill permit application. Section 10.2 of Parts I and II of the application states that the landfill will obtain coverage under the TPDES permits prior to construction and commencement of landfill operation. The application does not propose offsite discharge of contaminated water.

The Executive Director acknowledges the recommendation regarding coordination with the Houston-Galveston Council.

**Comment 35 Leachate Management:** Sierra Club commented that the permit should require leachate monitoring through cameras and should be updated every three years to the latest technology. Sierra Club commented, regarding section 8.25.6 of Part IV of the application, that the permit should only allow a maximum of 100,000 gallons of leachate storage for a maximum of 30 days to reduce the likelihood of leachate spills.

Response 35: The suggested measures are not required by the applicable sections of Chapter 330 of TCEQ rules. The leachate collection and removal system is described in Attachment D6 (pages D6-2 and D6-4) of Part III of the application. The system is designed to maintain leachate depths over the liner and the sump bottom at no greater than 30 cm (12 inches) and 36 inches, respectively. Leachate stored in the tanks will be periodically transported offsite for disposal at an authorized facility for treatment/disposal. Leachate will be removed from the storage tanks at a frequency such that the tanks will have sufficient remaining capacity to receive leachate from the sumps. See Response to Comment 28 for limitations on leachate depths. See Response 32 for more information on prevention of surface water contamination. The Executive Director has preliminarily determined that the application complies with applicable sections of Chapter 330 of TCEO rules.

**Comment 36 Erosion Control:** Mandy Cook expressed concern that erosion would remain an issue during the life of the proposed landfill and asked how often erosion would be checked for compliance. Mandy Cook additionally stated that the application did not contain information about how erosion would affect water flow and the ecosystem, when considered with flooding issues. Sierra Club recommended the most stringent side slope erosion control measures including complete vegetation coverage.

**Response 36:** Inspection for erosion control is discussed in Section 8.26 of Part IV of the application. In accordance with the requirements of TCEQ rules found in Chapter 330, Subchapter G, erosion control measures are included in Attachment C3, Erosion and Sediment Control of Part III of the application. Attachment C3 specifies that the grass coverage on the intermediate and final covers of the landfill will be at least 95 percent along with limitations on the drainage swale spacing. With the erosion control measures implemented, the soil loss from the landfill slopes is calculated to be within the allowable limits. Section VIII. F of the draft permit requires periodic inspection and maintenance on the drainage system. In addition, the site will be subject to surface drainage measures included in the TPDES permit. The Executive

Director has reviewed the application and preliminarily determined that it complies with the applicable regulatory requirements.

**Comment 37 Wetlands:** Commentors questioned if the wetland determination was done following correct processes and using current data. Kristen Schlemmer of Bayou City Waterkeeper and Bryan French, representing Wood Duck Farm (Wood Duck Farm), expressed concerns with the federal nexus between the proposed landfill and Jayhawker Creek. Wood Duck Farm commented that the application relies on an outdated legal interpretation that restricted wetlands from the definition of "waters of the United States," and under the current interpretation a 404 permit would likely be required. Kristen Schlemmer of Bayou City Waterkeeper commented that the approved jurisdictional determination obtained by the Applicant in 2020 depended on the Navigable Waters Protection Rule, which has been vacated by a federal court. Thus, she recommends a reconsideration of the wetlands determination using the Rapanos significant nexus test and the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers' policy of finding jurisdiction over many wetlands in the 100-year floodplain. Kristen Schlemmer and Ayesha Durrani, both of Bayou City Waterkeeper, and Jennifer Lee, on behalf of Houston San Jacinto Ranch, LLC, also commented that the application does not adequately consider the impact of the proposed landfill on wetlands based on nearby water bodies and as required by 30 TAC § 330.553. Sierra Club recommended that the Clean Water Act Section 404 program be considered. Jackie Woychesin, Dana Moody, and Mandy Cook expressed concern about the proposed landfill's effects on wetlands.

Kristen Schlemmer and Ayesha Durrani, both of Bayou City Waterkeeper, commented that TCEQ should complete an antidegradation review under 30 TAC § 307.5(a) to determine whether the proposed landfill would degrade downstream waters such as Peach Creek, Caney Creek, and Lake Houston, and should include consideration of how floodplains and wetlands may affect water quality. Sierra Club commented that an anti-degradation assessment should be considered and that TCEQ should coordinate with water programs.

Response 37: In accordance with 30 TAC § 330.61(m), the Applicant is required to include a wetlands determination under applicable federal law in the application. TCEQ relies on the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) to make jurisdictional determinations regarding waters of the United States. Section 11.2 of Parts I and II of the application states that on December 15, 2020, the USACE made a preliminary jurisdictional determination that 1.58 acres of the site are jurisdictional waters of the United States, and the Applicant is not proposing any disturbance or activities in those areas. Thus, the USACE found no impacts to jurisdictional waters consistent with 30 TAC §§ 330.61 and 330.553. Additional information may be found in the correspondence contained in Appendix G of Parts I and II of the application. The Executive Director has reviewed the application and preliminarily determined that it satisfies the rule requirements regarding wetlands.

Regarding antidegradation reviews, the application does not propose discharge of contaminated water into the offsite water system or activities that would require a wetland permit from the USACE. The surface drainage system has been designed considering the possible impact on the existing drainage patterns consistent with the MSW regulatory requirements. Please also see Responses to Comment Nos. 32 and 33. An antidegradation review is outside of the scope of the evaluation of the application. The Executive Director has preliminarily determined that the application meets the applicable regulatory requirements.

**Comment 38 Soil Contamination:** City of Cleveland, Vanessa Luna, Sarah Lynskey, Dana Moody, Melisa Peterson, and Roy Mandell expressed concern that the proposed landfill will contaminate soil.

**Response 38:** The Texas Solid Waste Disposal Act (TSWDA) found at Texas Health & Safety Code Chapter 361 and TCEQ's rules found at 30 TAC Chapter 330 were promulgated to protect human health and the environment and are the basis of TCEQ's jurisdiction over municipal

solid waste. The role of the Executive Director in the technical review process of a permit application is to evaluate the contents of the application, verify its compliance with all the statutory and regulatory requirements, and verify that information has been provided to ensure that the design, construction, and operation of the proposed facility will be protective of human health and the environment. See Responses to Comments 26 and 32.

The Executive Director has preliminarily determined that the application complies with applicable sections of 30 TAC Chapter 330, which were promulgated to protect human health and the environment. If the proposed landfill is operated in accordance with the permit provisions and all applicable rules and requirements, no adverse health impacts are expected, which would include soil contamination.

**Comment 39 Floodplain:** The persons listed in Attachment 19 expressed several concerns regarding the proposed facility's proximity to a Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) 100-year floodplain. The persons listed in Attachment 21 commented that the floodplain maps contained in the application are outdated and inaccurate, and, as a result, the facility is in a 100-year floodplain, Jennifer Lee, on behalf of Houston San Jacinto Ranch, LLC, and Mandy Cook commented that the application did not properly address whether the proposed facility is located on a floodplain. Bryan French, representing Wood Duck Farm (Wood Duck Farm), commented that the Applicant has not shown compliance with TCEQ mandates related to floodplain and wetland impacts. Wood Duck Farm commented that TCEQ should consider the well-known increases in rainfall events and the near certain expansion of the FEMA floodplain as the current application fails to adequately address hazards posed by potential flooding and alterations to area wetlands, Iason Roesner, District Ranger with the Sam Houston National Forest, United States Forest Service United States Department of Agriculture (Forest Service) commented that the delineation of the 100-year floodplain in the application is inconsistent with the Forest Service's assessment. The Forest Service recommended a review of the delineation of the 100-year floodplain as well as the development of additional design criteria for the facility to prevent flooding and additional mitigation measures in the event flooding occurs. The persons listed in Attachment 22 recommended that the Applicant provide updated floodplain maps.

**Response 39:** 30 TAC § 330.61(m) requires an owner or operator of a proposed MSW facility to submit a Floodplains and Wetlands Statement that includes information concerning the location of floodplains. 30 TAC § 330.63(c)(2) requires an applicant to identify in the Surface Water Drainage Report whether the site of the proposed MSW facility is located within a 100-year floodplain, and FEMA maps are prima facie evidence of floodplain locations. 30 TAC § 330.547(a) provides that no solid waste disposal operations shall be permitted in a 100-year floodway as defined by the FEMA, and 30 TAC § 330.547(b) provides restrictions and requirements for new MSW units located in 100-year floodplains. Pursuant to 30 TAC § 330.547(c), storage and processing facilities are required to be located outside of the 100-year floodplain unless the owner or operator can demonstrate that the facility is designed and will operate to prevent washout during a 100-year storm event or obtains a conditional letter of map amendment from the FEMA administrator. Section 11.1 of Parts I and II of the application states that the current effective FEMA 100-year flood map is used in the application. This section also states that the waste disposal footprint, storage and processing areas, and all construction will be located outside the limits of the 100-year floodplain. The applicable Floodplains and Wetlands Statement in the application indicates that, according to the Flood Insurance Rate Map, no portions of the proposed waste management areas are within the 100year floodplain. The Applicant provided adequate information to show that the proposed facility will comply with 30 TAC § 330.547 and § 330.63(c)(2) related to the 100-year floodplain determination and restrictions. Use of the current effective FEMA map for the 100-year floodplain determination in this application is consistent with the TCEQ rule.

Concerning those comments regarding contamination and runoff, the Executive Director has preliminarily determined that the application has demonstrated compliance with requirements

on 100-year floodplain (No. 39), surface drainage (Nos. 32 and 33), waste spill clean-up (Nos. 16 and 19), and prevention of contaminated water discharge (Nos. 32, 35, and 19). Please see Responses to the above referenced Comments for further discussion.

Concerning the Forest Service's comment that the delineation of the 100-year flood plain in the application is inconsistent with their own assessment and recommendation for a review of the delineation of the 100-year floodplain as well as the development of additional design criteria, the Executive Director acknowledges the recommendations and has preliminarily determined that the application complies with all applicable regulatory requirements.

The surface drainage analysis and control measures contained in the application demonstrated compliance with the regulatory requirements of 30 TAC Chapter 330.

Regarding the concern that the access road is within the floodplain, please see Response to Comment No. 15. To address the public concerns related to access roads, the Applicant in August 2023 revised the site access route to relocate the private access road to connect to Fostoria Tram Road. The site access road relocation will eliminate any site traffic traveling through floodplain and residential areas on Javhawker Road and Rajak Road.

### D. ENDANGERED SPECIES AND WILDLIFE

**Comment 40 Threatened or Endangered Species:** The persons listed in Attachment 23 expressed concern that the proposed landfill would negatively affect endangered and threatened species in the area, and some expressed concern with specific species, such as the Red-cockaded woodpecker, Timber rattlesnake, Louisiana pine snake, White-tailed deer, and bald eagle.

Sierra Club commented that the biological assessment should be revised because the Redcockaded woodpecker has a designated habitat within about a quarter- to a half-mile of the proposed landfill site, and the application states that no area near the proposed landfill site has been designated as a critical habitat.

Rachel Lange, on behalf of Texas Parks and Wildlife Department (TPWD), commented regarding several federally and/or state-listed species that could potentially be found in the proposed facility area. TPWD commented that the Applicant should review the TPWD lists and determine what species are in the area with negative observation and submit data about additional species found to the Texas Natural Diversity Database. Bryan French, representing Wood Duck Farm (Wood Duck Farm), Kristen Schlemmer on behalf of Bayou City Waterkeeper (Bayou City Waterkeeper), and Houston San Jacinto Ranch, LLC commented that the application did not provide enough information demonstrating that the proposed landfill would not adversely impact endangered species and their habitats. Mandy Cook provided a document with information about the effect of landfills on endangered species and their habitats and commented that the application did not adequately identify potential threatened or endangered species. Wood Duck Farm commented that the Applicant did not provide a required habitat conservation plan and that some correspondence with TPWD was not included in the application. Bayou City Waterkeeper commented that the Applicant does not have a sufficient plan to mitigate impacts to species. Wood Duck Farm and Bayou City Waterkeeper additionally commented that a field study should have been completed and that other mitigation strategies beyond removal should be considered.

Eleanor Zoeller commented regarding effects on wildlife habitats in the vicinity of the proposed facility.

**Response 40:** Provisions 30 TAC § 330.61(n), § 330.63(b)(5), and § 330.157 address impact of solid waste disposal facilities on threatened or endangered species. In accordance with 30 TAC § 330.551(a) (relating to Endangered or Threatened Specifies), "a facility and the operation of a facility shall not result in the destruction or adverse modification of the critical habitat of

endangered or threatened species, or cause or contribute to the taking of any endangered or threatened species."

According to 30 TAC § 330.61(n)(2), an applicant must demonstrate compliance with the Endangered Species Act under state and federal laws and determine whether the proposed facility will be in the range of endangered or threatened species. This includes a biological assessment and coordination with USFWS and TPWD for locations and specific data relating to endangered and threatened species in Texas.

The application includes the appropriate letters of coordination with the USFWS and the TPWD, which are included in Appendix B of Parts I and II of the application. As part of the review of this permit application, a biological assessment, found in Appendix H of Parts I and II of the application, was prepared to evaluate potential impacts to endangered and threatened species and to provide measures for protection of such species. The biological assessment determined that no area on or near the proposed landfill site has been identified as a critical habitat for any threatened or endangered species, and Section 12 of Parts I and II of the application conclude that no adverse impact to endangered species or any critical habitat is anticipated because of construction and operation of the proposed landfill. A species protection plan has been developed and included as Appendix IVC in Part IV of the application.

The Species Protection Plan in Appendix IV C of the application provides for protective measures regarding the following species because the biological assessment indicated they could be present at site: (1) Bachman's Sparrow (state list); (2) Red-cockaded woodpecker (state and federal lists); (3) Timber rattlesnake (state list); (4) Rafinesque big-eared bat; (5) alligator snapping turtle; and (6) Louisiana pine snake. Personnel at the proposed facility will be provided with species identification materials for the endangered or threatened species referenced in the biological assessment and with instructions in the event such species are encountered. Personnel will be "directed not to annoy, pursue, hunt, wound, trap, capture or collect" any such species, except for capturing for purposes of relocation as authorized by the plan and a permit.

The Timber rattlesnake is a state-listed endangered/threatened species. The Species Protection Plan provides that facility personnel will be advised to avoid contact with and impacts to the Timber rattlesnake. If observed during construction, Timber rattlesnakes will be allowed to safely leave the facility or be relocated by a person permitted through TPWD Wildlife Permits Office to a nearby area to the minimum distance possible with similar habitat that would not be disturbed during construction.

The Red-cockaded woodpecker is a federally-listed endangered/threatened species. The Species Protection Plan provides that a qualified biologist will conduct pre-construction surveys for the red-cockaded woodpecker if disturbance to mature, open pine forests required by the Red-cockaded woodpecker is anticipated. If the Red-cockaded woodpecker or their habitat would be impacted by the proposed activity, or if the Red-cockaded woodpecker is observed, the owner/operator would coordinate with TPWD and USFWS as appropriate to determine strategies for avoidance, minimization, or mitigation. If the Red-cockaded woodpecker is observed, the owner/operator would additionally coordinate with the agencies for guidance and survey protocols.

The Executive Director has preliminarily determined that the information submitted in the application satisfies the statutory and regulatory requirements concerning protected wildlife and wildlife habitats.

Please also see Response to Comment No. 41.

**Comment 41 Wildlife:** The persons listed in Attachment 24 expressed concern over the proposed landfill's effect on wildlife and livestock in the area. Senator Robert Nichols and Representative Ernest Bailes requested a public meeting due to concerns about wildlife. Jason Roesner, District Ranger with the Sam Houston National Forest, United States Forest Service,

United States Department of Agriculture (Forest Service) commented that impacts on wildlife and their habitats potentially would be directly impacted by the proposed landfill and requested information about how these potential impacts would be mitigated. Bryan French, representing Wood Duck Farm, and Kristen Schlemmer of Bayou City Waterkeeper additionally asserted that some of the TPWD's recommended actions have not been incorporated in the draft permit. Rachel Lange, on behalf of TPWD, provided general recommendations to minimize adverse impacts to the state's fish and wildlife resources in the construction of the proposed facility.

Sierra Club expressed concern about the effect of lighting on wildlife. Sierra Club and Joy Shipman commented that the proposed landfill may affect aquatic life.

Response 41: Under 30 TAC § 330.63(b)(1), an applicant must describe how access will be controlled for the proposed facility, such as the type and location of fences or other suitable means of access control to prevent the entry of livestock. As described in Response to Comment No. 40, 30 TAC § 330.61(n) requires the Applicant to consider the impact of the proposed MSW facility on endangered and threatened species. MSW rules do not address other wildlife or livestock or facility lighting; however, if the facility is constructed and operated in accordance with the draft permit, the TPDES permit, and 30 TAC Chapter 330, local wildlife, aquatic life, and livestock will be adequately protected.

The Applicant provided TCEQ with a response letter addressed to TPWD that addressed TPWD's recommendations. Correspondence between the Applicant and TPWD is contained in Appendix B of Parts I and II of the application, and Appendix IVC (Species Protection Plan) was created in response to the TPWD recommendations.

#### E. LOCATION OF LANDFILL

Comment 42 Land Use Compatibility: The persons listed in Attachment 25 expressed concern that the location of the proposed facility is incompatible with surrounding land uses, specifically to adjacent residential neighborhoods. Martha Charrey, Rachel Lange, on behalf of Texas Parks and Wildlife, and Bryan French, representing Wood Duck Farm, commented that the application did not provide adequate information about surrounding land uses and its compatibility with the proposed landfill. John David McDonald commented that he has a water well that is not in state records and has not been considered.

The persons listed in Attachment 26 expressed concerns about the effects of the proposed landfill on Wood Duck Farm. Commenters expressed concern for Wood Duck Farm's financial livelihood as well as health concerns regarding consuming crops that have been contaminated due to the soil and water. The persons listed in Attachment 27 expressed concern for farms and farmers in the area, generally.

**Response 42:** In accordance with 30 TAC § 330.61(h), an owner or operator must provide information regarding the likely impacts of the proposed facility on cities, communities, groups of property owners, or individuals by analyzing the land uses, zoning in the vicinity, community growth patterns, water wells, and other factors associated with the public interest.

As required in 30 TAC § 330.61(h), Part II, Section 7 of the application provided the following: information about the character of the surrounding land uses within one mile of the proposed facility; information about growth trends within five miles of the proposed landfill with directions of major development; proximity to residences, business establishments, and other uses within one mile, such as schools, churches, cemeteries, historic structures and sites, archaeologically significant sites, and sites having exceptional aesthetic quality; and information regarding all known wells within 500 feet of the site. Pursuant to Section 7 of the application, 97.7 percent of the surrounding land within one mile of the proposed facility is classified as Open, consisting of silviculture, forest land, agriculture (small farms and pastures), vacant, and floodplain. Residential land within one mile of the proposed facility consists of rural, single-family residential homes. As of January 2019, there are 90 residences (estimated

90 acres) within one mile of the proposed facility. Residential land is rural, single-family residential homes comprising an estimated 1.6 percent of the land area within one mile of the proposed facility. The Texas Historic Sites Atlas of the Texas Historical Commission did not identify any historic sites or structures or any archaeological sites within one mile of the proposed facility boundary. There are no churches, daycare centers, schools, recreational areas, cemeteries, or sites having exceptional aesthetic quality located within one mile of the proposed facility. In addition, there are no buildings or structures located within 500 feet of the proposed facility.

Pursuant to Section 7 of the application, the proposed facility is in an unincorporated area of San Jacinto County, not subject to municipal zoning requirements. Moreover, the proposed facility is more than 4 miles from any incorporated city. The proposed facility is not within the extraterritorial jurisdiction of any incorporated city and thus not subject to the subdivision regulations of any city. The proposed facility does not require approval as a nonconforming use or a special permit from any local government. As of the date of the application, San Jacinto County has not adopted any order or ordinance prohibiting solid waste disposal or processing. The area within 4 miles of the landfill site is not zoned. Section 7.2 of the application describes the water well search within one mile of the proposed facility permit boundary.

Apart from the land use compatibility requirements and the location restrictions in the rules referenced above, TCEQ does not have the authority to specify the location of the proposed facility, determine zoning ordinances, or to suggest an alternative location. The Executive Director is only permitted to review the application as submitted by the Applicant for compliance with all applicable rules and requirements. The Executive Director has reviewed the application and preliminarily determined that it meets the rule requirements regarding land use compatibility, including the proposed facility's proximity to residential neighborhoods.

The Executive Director has preliminarily determined that the application complies with all applicable land use requirements.

For comments concerning effects on farms, please also see the responses in Section C of this Response that addresses concerns about soil and water. Health concerns are addressed in Response to Comment No. 4, and concerns about livelihood are addressed in Response to Comment No. 3.

**Comment 43 Alternative Land Use:** Kyel Allmaras and Stephen Richard Huberty commented that the area where the proposed landfill is to be located could be put to a better use than land disposal. Darelle Robbins commented that the Applicant should withdraw its application and consider more sustainable options and other uses for the land.

**Response 43:** The rule requirements and operational standards for a Type I MSW Landfill were promulgated to be protective of human health and the environment. If a site meets the applicable statutory and regulatory requirements, TCEQ does not have the authority to mandate a different location for a facility. The Executive Director has reviewed the application and preliminarily determined that the application complies with the applicable rules.

Comment 44 Recreational Impacts: The persons listed in Attachment 28 expressed concern about the effect of the proposed landfill on recreational activities and forests/parks in the area given their proximity to the site of the proposed landfill. Jason Roesner, District Ranger with the Sam Houston National Forest, United States Forest Service, United States Department of Agriculture (Forest Service) identified flooding as a potential impact on the Sam Houston National Forest and asked for additional information regarding design criteria proposed to mitigate the potential impacts of flooding. Daniel Semar commented that the main hiking trail in the forest begins about 2 miles from the proposed landfill. David Zajicek commented that tourists travel to the National Forest to enjoy hiking, camping, swimming, fishing, and the outdoors and that odor would negatively affect the forest. Jordan Combs expressed concerns about fires in the National Forest. Brandt Mannchen and Dr. Craig Nazor, both with Sierra Club,

Frank Blake, Kristen Schlemmer on behalf of Bayou City Waterkeeper, Linda R. Shead, P.E. of Shead Conservation Solutions, Linda Kay Stegenga, and Dr. Ken Kramer commented that the National Forest is important for recreation, biodiversity, and wildlife, and as a recharge zone and watershed protecting regional water quality and quantity. Sierra Club and Holly Walrath raised concerns that the proposed facility would disrupt the flood reducing functions of the National Forest. Sierra Club and Holly Walrath commented that the Forest Service and TCEO should work together to prevent the proposed landfill. Dr. Ken Kramer commented that an access road through the National Forest should not be used. James "Jamie" Hannan, Jr., Olive Hershey, Ph.D., Andrew Leslie, Regina Levoy, Brenda Lee Sherwood, Lara Alves, Kate Wasserman, and Mohamed Magdy Kandil commented that they enjoy recreation in the National Forest. The persons listed in Attachment 29 and Peter Calenzo, Regina Levoy, Dana Moody, Susan Nichols, Terri Thomas, Miguel A. Sosa, Cristina Y. Tipton, and Linda Field commented that the National Forest acts as a natural filtration system, and some commenters provided a link to more information. Dana Moody commented that the proposed landfill would disrupt the National Forest's ecosystem and referenced the Multiple-Use Sustained-Yield Act and United States Department of Agriculture objectives. Mandy Cook expressed concern with invasive species in the National Forest.

Amy Grizzaffi expressed concern about the effect of the proposed landfill on Piney Woods. Shane Maberry asked what consideration was given to the impact on the Winter's Bayou Protected Area and the Lone Star Trail. Tommy Douglas expressed concerns about the proposed landfill's effect on recreation at the Lake Houston Wilderness Park. Eleanor Zoeller commented on the loss of recreational opportunities in the area due to the location of the facility. Trisha Beck, Patrick Cox, Sierra Club, Janna Richmond, Linda R. Stead, and Bryan French, representing Wood Duck Farm, (Wood Duck Farm) expressed concern about recreation in bodies of water.

**Response 44:** Please see Responses to Comment Nos. 31, 32, 34, 40, 41, and 42. for discussions regarding contaminated water management, general siting requirements, flooding, and wildlife. The proposed landfill site is not subject to the location restrictions of Texas Health & Safety Code § 361.123 and the TCEQ rules with respect to national forests.

The Facility Surface Water Drainage Report found in Part III, Attachment C of the application, provides discussions and detailed designs, calculations, and operational considerations for the collection, control, and discharge of storm water from the landfill as required by the rules. The drainage system described in the application consists of various drainage structures, for example, perimeter channels, detention ponds, swales, and down chutes, including temporary berms constructed to minimize the amount of surface water that comes into contact with waste. The Facility Surface Water Drainage Report indicates that the drainage analysis was performed consistent with TCEQ rules and guidance and the TxDOT Hydraulic Design Manual (2019). The proposed landfill is designed to prevent discharge of pollutants into waters of the state or waters of the United States, as defined by the Texas Water Code and the Federal Clean Water Act, respectively.

The Executive Director reviewed the application, and Parts I and II demonstrated coordination with all relevant government agencies as required by the MSW rules. The Executive Director has reviewed the application and preliminarily determined that it complies with applicable sections of 30 TAC Chapter 330, which were promulgated to protect human health and the environment.

# F. WASTE CONCERNS

Comment 45 Types of Waste: Special Waste - Senator Robert Nichols and Representative Ernest Bailes expressed concern that the proposed landfill would accept special waste, when requesting a public meeting. Dana Moody asked what types of waste will be accepted at the proposed landfill, asked for clarification about industrial waste and special waste, and attached application materials and correspondence. Jackie Woychesin asked for clarification about what types of waste will be accepted and what types of waste are included in "special waste" and

expressed concerns about these types of wastes. Mandy Cook expressed concern that animal carcasses would be disposed of at the proposed landfill.

Rebecca Bridges and Sierra Club expressed concern with acceptance of special waste, animal carcasses (special waste), asbestos (when non-friable, a special waste), contaminated soil (special waste, if contaminated with petroleum products, crude oils, or chemicals in concentrations of greater than 1,500 milligrams per kilogram total petroleum hydrocarbons; or contaminated by constituents of concern that exceed the concentrations listed in Table 1 of §335.521(a)(1) of TAC Tile 30 (relating to Appendices), municipal hazardous waste (special waste), sludge (special waste), pesticide containers (special waste), waste from oil, gas, and geothermal activities (special waste), medical waste (special waste, when untreated), x-ray waste (X-ray machines made before July 1979 may contain a toxic substance called polychlorinated biphenyls or PCBs, in the transformer oil; x-ray film isn't generally considered a type of hazardous waste as the amount of silver in one is very small; and/or X-ray chemicals do class as hazardous waste as they are a type of chemical waste), and electronic waste (special waste, if from household).

Hazardous Waste - Candice Bonn commented that she refuses to be subject to hazardous waste. Michelle Clark, Tabitha Price, and Carol King commented that the landfill is hazardous. Mandy Cook, Wendy Hindman, and Jordan Combs commented that there is no guarantee that the Applicant will not dump hazardous materials at the proposed facility. Jacquelynn Wick-Bennet commented that something that was not thought to be hazardous, but is, will end up in the facility and leak. Mandy Cook expressed concern about asbestos in the proposed facility. Sierra Club commented that any spills of leachate from trucks are hazardous.

Class I Waste - H.C. Clark and the persons listed in Attachment 29 asked whether the draft permit explicitly states that Class I waste will never be accepted, and Bryan French, representing Wood Duck Farm, Sierra Club, and Kristen Schlemmer of Bayou City Waterkeeper echoed this concern that hazardous or Class 1 waste should never be allowed at this proposed landfill. Jennifer Lee, representing Houston San Jacinto Ranch, LLC, commented that if Class 1 waste with asbestos content will be accepted, the liner should meet the standards of industrial solid waste and municipal hazardous waste facilities. Marco Villegas expressed concern with hazardous material leakage. William B. Walter commented that a landfill that accepts industrial waste is not needed. Michael Robert Weaver commented that acceptance of waste from outside of Texas should not be allowed.

Michael Robert Weaver expressed concern about the extensive list of proposed allowable waste and types of waste that would be accepted. Sierra Club commented that TCEQ should require the Applicant to state how it will monitor that pesticide containers have been triple-washed and that the 1,500-ppm petroleum hydrocarbon waste limit is not exceeded for empty containers.

Sierra Club commented that TCEQ should provide information about what type of waste could be stored in the proposed landfill and about what type of long-term contamination issues could occur. Dana Moody expressed concern that additional types of waste may be allowed at a later date.

Roger A. Dietrich commented that TCEQ should remove yard waste and other organics from the list of materials that will be accepted.

Mandy Cook, Jodi Garrett, Gannon Rust, Dana Moody, Curtis Moody, Vance Moody, Allee Moody, Madison Moody, Chase Moody, Ethan Moody, and Miranda Moody provided documents and information about per- and polyfluoroalkyl substances (PFAS), expressed concerns that PFAS cause harm and are not accounted for in the draft permit, noted that EPA is considering a hazardous material designation for PFAS, and recommended that permitting of the proposed landfill be postponed until regulation of PFAS occurs. Dana Moody commented that new guidelines on PFAS and PFOS should be considered in connection with the Application.

Sierra Club commented that TCEQ should require the Applicant to state how it will monitor that pesticide containers have been triple-washed and that the 1,500-ppm petroleum hydrocarbon waste limit is not exceeded for empty containers.

Jennifer Lee, representing Houston San Jacinto Ranch, LLC, Veronica Ramos, and Frank Blake expressed concern about waste screening for unauthorized materials. Julie Johnson-Pitts and Cathy Hill commented that medicines and chemicals would be disposed of in the proposed landfill. Cathy Elaine Davis, Jackie Woychesin, and Dr. Rebecca LeBlanc commented that unauthorized materials, such as batteries and paint, would be disposed of in the proposed landfill. Sierra Club commented that TCEQ should require the Applicant to state how it would dispose of material received that is unauthorized.

Response 45: The Waste Acceptance Plan found in Section 2.2 of Parts I and II of the application indicates the waste streams proposed for acceptance and disposal. The major classifications of wastes to be accepted include household waste, yard waste, commercial waste, Class 2 and 3 non-hazardous industrial wastes, construction-demolition waste, and select special wastes. The landfill will not accept hazardous or Class 1 non-hazardous industrial waste as described in Section 2.2. All the waste streams proposed for acceptance and disposal are those typically authorized for disposal at Type I MSW landfills. As indicated in Section 2.2 of Parts I and II and Section 8.20 of Part IV of the application, many waste streams that are normally disposed of at Type I landfills are excluded from acceptance and disposal at this landfill, for example, but not limited to, dead animals and hazardous waste from conditionally exempt small quantity generators.

TCEQ evaluates applications for MSW landfills based on the information provided in the application and the rules in effect at the time the application was received by TCEQ. The Executive Director has preliminarily determined that the application complies with requirements in 30 TAC Chapter 330, including requirements regarding contaminated water management and groundwater protection in Subchapters G, H, and J. For additional information, please see Responses to Comment Nos. 24 and 32, which address groundwater and surface water concerns, respectively.

On April 8, 2024, the EPA released an Interim Guidance on the Destruction and Disposal of Perfluoroalkyl and Polyfluoroalkyl Substances and Materials Containing Perfluoroalkyl and Polyfluoroalkyl Substances—Version 2 (2024). This interim guidance does not include requirements or restrictions related to disposal of PFAS and PFOS in MSW landfills.

The SOP contains measures that the site personnel will perform in deciding acceptance of special waste shipments, including checking shipping documents. When assessing for acceptance of triple-rinsed containers, 1,500 ppm of petroleum hydrocarbon is not a criterium pursuant to  $30 \text{ TAC } \S 330.171(c)(5)$ .

Only authorized wastes may be accepted and disposed of at the proposed landfill. The measures for screening and handling of unauthorized waste are contained in Sections 5, 8.2, and 8.20 of the SOP. The screening and handling measures (detection and prevention program) to be implemented at this landfill include random inspection of incoming loads; records of all inspections; monitoring of waste loads at the gatehouse and waste unloading at the working face; remediation of incidents; identification and sampling; personnel training; and notification to TCEQ non-compliance incidents. Landfills in Texas can accept waste from other states. Chapter 330 rules, including collection, transporting, and disposal, apply to MSW and special waste from out of state. Waste generated outside of Texas must meet the acceptance criteria for the receiving facility and is subject to the same screening process of in-state generated waste. The Executive Director has preliminarily determined that the application complies with requirements for waste screening including 30 TAC § 330.127.

**Comment 46 Alternative Daily Cover:** Dana Moody asked how contaminated soil as an alternative cover would protect the environment, if used.

**Response 46:** Consistent with 30 TAC § 330.165(a), the daily cover to be used at this landfill will be six inches of well-compacted soils that have not previously mixed with wastes. 30 TAC § 330.165(d)(4) allows use of contaminated soil as an alternative daily cover, if the use is approved by the TCEQ. The application does not apply for use of contaminated soil as an alternative daily cover. As indicated in Section 8.18.4 of the SOP, the Applicant did not apply for use of any alternative cover. The draft permit does not contain a clause authorizing use of any alternative daily cover. If in the future, the permittee decides to use an alternative daily cover, the permittee must first apply for a temporary authorization per 30 TAC § 305.62(k). If the performance of the alternative daily cover is satisfactory during the trial uses, the permittee may apply for use of the alternative daily cover on a permanent basis through a permit modification requiring public notice under 30 TAC § 305.70(k)(1).

Comment 47 Long-term Care: Sierra Club, Jennifer Lee, on behalf of Houston San Jacinto Ranch, LLC, and Melisa Peterson commented that the permit must include requirements for closure, including financial assurance, and that the Applicant must be responsible for long-term contamination. The persons listed in Attachment 30 stated concerns about management of potential future contamination if the facility owner/operator encounters financial challenges or bankruptcy. Peter Calenzo expressed concern that taxpayers would have to pay for cleanup of water contamination caused by the proposed landfill. Dr. Craig Nazor, on behalf of Sierra Club, Mandy Cook, Shane Hindman, and Douglas Cole Grijalva expressed concern with cleanup of long-term contamination. Sierra Club commented that companies are allowed to walk away from indefinite monitoring of water for contamination.

Sierra Club commented that the Applicant should manage surface water for as long as the landfill exists, even in inactive status. Carson Combs asked who would be liable for water contamination.

**Response 47:** Closure and post-closure care requirements for MSW landfills are established in 30 TAC Chapter 330, Subchapter K. In accordance with 30 TAC § 330.503(b), the owner or operator of any MSW unit must establish financial assurance for closure of the unit in accordance with 30 TAC Chapter 37, Subchapter R. In accordance with 30 TAC § 330.507(b), financial assurance must also address post-closure care costs. In an unlikely case when the permittee is unable to operate the landfill according to the permit conditions, the TCEQ will assume the responsibility of closing the landfill, by hiring a third party and using the fund provided by the financial assurance.

The closure measures in Attachment H of the application include final cover system; closure procedures; closure schedule; and closure cost estimate. The post-closure care provisions of Attachment J of the application cover activities, such as monitoring and maintenance, to be performed during the post-closure care period; persons responsible for post-closure care activities; post-closure land use; and the post-closure care cost estimate. This application does not propose any land use during the post-closure care period. During the 30-year post-closure care period, the permittee will be required to conduct required activities such as groundwater monitoring/corrective action, landfill gas monitoring/remediation, landfill final cover maintenance, and leachate management. Attachment J specifies the amount of financial assurance the permittee is required to maintain for completing the required activities during closure and post-closure care period. The Executive Director has preliminarily determined that these requirements have been adequately addressed by the application in Part III, Attachments H, I, and J. Part V of the Final Draft Permit states that authorization to operate the facility is contingent upon maintenance of financial assurance. Within 60 days prior to the initial receipt of waste, a permittee must provide financial assurance instrument(s) for demonstration of closure and post-closure care. A facility may not begin accepting waste without providing adequate financial assurance.

The permittee, if the permit is issued, will be responsible for managing surface drainage in accordance with the permit conditions during the active life of the site and during the post-

closure care period. Generally, permittees, owners, and operators may be responsible for water contamination. The TCEQ Regional Office inspects Type I MSW landfills on a regular basis. Additionally, TCEQ encourages members of the public to report any observed violations at a facility to the Regional Office. Complaints regarding the facility may be made by contacting the TCEQ Region 10 Office at 409-898-3838 or the toll-free Environment Violation Hotline at 1-888-777-3186. Complaints may also be filed on-line at <a href="https://www.tceq.texas.gov/compliance/complaints">https://www.tceq.texas.gov/compliance/complaints</a>. If the facility violates a term of the permit or TCEQ's regulations, the permittee may be subject to an enforcement action.

#### G. PUBLIC PARTICIPATION

Comment 48 Public Notice: Peter Calenzo, Jamie Corbett, Ruby Kelley, Maxine McAdams, John David McDonald. Jesse Reynolds, Alice Sweeten, and Martin Tate commented that no one was notified of the proposed facility and that they found out by word of mouth. William Cluff and Jessica Parker commented that there was no vote or question to the public about the proposed facility. Wendy Hindman, Mandy Cook, and Jordan Combs commented that the Applicant did not mail notice to the county wide mailing list. Mandy Cook further commented that the newspaper tear sheet was not on the TCEQ application update for several months. Taya Foret commented that San Jacinto and Liberty do not have a solid print media source and that the newspaper requirement is antiquated. Jordan Combs commented that Texas Health & Safety Code § 361.083 requires mailed notice which was not provided, that the notice was published in a newspaper that is not circulated in the area, and that the permit can be denied because of misleading information in the application about notice. Will Cook commented that he owns two parcels of land on Jayhawker Road, and he was not notified by mail as required. Melisa Peterson commented that her property appears to adjoin the Applicant's, and she was not notified by mail. Rebecca Bridges commented that many families were not notified by mail as required. Bryan French, representing Wood Duck Farm, Representative Penny Morales Shaw, Rhonda Bax, Jordan Combs, Mary Mayer, Kathy Preston, Sandra Smith, Cynthia Kizer, Dina Welch, and Eleanor Zoeller commented that the Applicant failed to post the draft permit at a public library as required by 30 TAC § 39.405(g). David Van Weldon commented that the newspaper that published the first public meeting is 20 miles away from the proposed landfill and is not circulated in the area, and the online version doesn't offer free usage. David Van Weldon further commented that the Shepherd Library is 20 miles away and that the Cleveland Library would have been better as it is only 7 miles away. David Van Weldon commented that the newspaper and library used would not reach those who would be affected by the proposed landfill. Dana Moody commented that the community is not local to Shepherd Library and has no local newspaper delivery. Rachel Lange, on behalf of Texas Parks and Wildlife Department. commented that she has been unable to locate the Biological Assessment (reported by Applicant in Revision 5, Part IV, Section 8.14 to be located in Part II, Appendix H) and the Species Protection plan (reported by Applicant in Revision 5, Part IV, Section 8.14 to be located in Appendix IVC). Bryan French, representing Wood Duck Farm, commented that the application is presented on the Applicant's website in a scattershot manner.

Dana Moody commented that TCEQ should consider making changes to future application public notice requirements in order to reflect unique local conditions to lessen the burden on the local residents.

**Response 48:** Pursuant to 30 TAC § 39.405(f)(2), when published notice is required, the Applicant shall publish notice in the newspaper of largest general circulation that is published in the county where the facility is proposed to be located. The Applicant published notice in the San Jacinto News Times which is the newspaper of largest general circulation that is published in San Jacinto County, Texas. Pursuant to 30 TAC § 39.405(g), the Applicant shall also make a copy of the application, including any subsequent revisions, and the Executive Director's preliminary decision available for review and copying at a public place in the county in which the facility is proposed to be located. In addition to maintaining a physical copy in a public place, the Applicant must also post a courtesy copy of the application and revisions online, for

informational purposes only, in accordance with 30 TAC § 330.57(i)(1). Additionally, notice signs were posted at the entrance to the facility in compliance with 30 TAC § 330.69.

The Applicant was required to publish five notices. The Notice of Receipt of Application for Land Use Compatibility Determination for a Municipal Solid Waste Permit was published on November 21, 2019, in the *San Jacinto News Times*. The Notice of Receipt of Application and Intent to Obtain MSW Permit (NORI) was published on November 26, 2020, in the *San Jacinto News Times*. The Notice of Application and Preliminary Decision (NAPD) was published on December 16, 2021, in the *San Jacinto News Times*. Notice of the first public meeting was published on September 9, 2021, September 16, 2021, and September 23, 2021, in the *San Jacinto News Times*. Notice of the second public meeting was published on March 3, 2022, March 10, 2022, and March 17, 2022, in the *San Jacinto News Times*. All publication requirements were met. However, due to document availability concerns, the comment period was extended to allow additional time for the public to review documents as well as to allow for a second public meeting, and a revised NAPD was published on February 24, 2022, in the *San Jacinto News Times*.

Additionally, pursuant to 30 TAC § 39.413, TCEQ's Office of the Chief Clerk (OCC) is required to mail notice to the landowners named on the application map or supplemental map, or the sheet attached to the application map or supplemental map, and to those on mailing lists, including the county-wide mailing list, kept pursuant to 30 TAC § 39.407. Under 30 TAC § 330.59(c)(3), an applicant for an MSW landfill must provide a landowner map and accompanying list that includes all property owners with 1/4 mile of the facility. Property owners' names and addresses derived from real property appraisal records as of the date of the application filing meet the requirements of 30 TAC § 330.59(c)(3)(B). Section 5 of Parts 1 and 2 of the application provides information about landowners as required by TCEQ rules. The OCC uses the landowner map and list supplied by an applicant to provide mailed notice of the application under 30 TAC § 39.413. A list of those required to receive mailed notice are provided in 30 TAC § 39.413. School districts are not required to receive mailed notice by rule.

When an Applicant submits a permit application to the TCEQ, no local vote or stakeholder consent or meeting is required prior to applying. While an application is not placed on local ballot cards, there are several opportunities for public participation. First, the public is encouraged to submit public comments on pending permit applications, which are considered and replied to in this Response to Comments. In this instance, two public meetings were also held during the comment period on September 28, 2021, and March 22, 2022. Once this Response to Comments is mailed, there is a 30-day period for the public to request the Executive Director's reconsideration of the permit or request a contested case hearing.

TCEQ records for this application and the draft permit are available for viewing and copying in the Office of the Chief Clerk at the TCEQ's main office in Austin at 12100 Park 35 Circle, Building F, 1st Floor. Some documents located in the Office of the Chief Clerk may also be located on the Commissioner's Integrated Database at:

https://www14.tceq.texas.gov/epic/eCID/. The technically complete application, draft permit, and Executive Director's preliminary decision were made available for review and copying at Shepherd Public Library, 30 North Liberty Street, Shepherd, Texas 77371. In addition, a courtesy copy of the application was made available online at: https://peachcreekep.com/resources/.

Please also see Responses to Comment No. 49.

The Executive Director acknowledges Dana Moody's comment regarding future changes to public notice requirements; however, future changes to public notice requirements are outside the scope of the evaluation of the application.

### H. SITE OPERATIONS

**Comment 49 Access Control:** Sierra Club expressed concern about security at the proposed landfill, specifically trespassers, security lights, and fences.

**Response 49:** 30 TAC § 330.131 sets forth access control requirements for MSW facilities. The access control measures contained in the application in Section 8.1 of Part IV of the SOP include measures such as perimeter fencing and a staffed entrance gate. The access control measures in the application are consistent with the requirements of 30 TAC Chapter 330. The Executive Director reviewed the application and preliminarily determined it meets the applicable regulatory requirements.

**Comment 50 Site Layout:** Sierra Club commented that the Applicant should be required to show where facilities for large item storage, reusable materials staging, citizens' convenience center, used/scrap tire storage, and wood waste processing would be allowed.

Sierra Club commented that the Applicant should have to reduce by half the amount of reusable materials from the staging area with respect to intake and maximum storage of inert materials and intake and maximum storage of non-inert materials.

**Response 50:** Information about locations of the waste processing areas commented on above are provided in the application in Part III, Section 2 of Attachment B, General Facility Design and the drawings under Appendix B1.

TCEQ rules do not require specific percentage reductions of reusable materials from the staging areas. The Executive Director has reviewed the application and preliminarily determined that the proposed measures related to reusable materials in Part IV of the application are consistent with the requirements of 30 TAC Chapter 330, Subchapter D.

**Comment 51 Easements and Buffer Zones:** Sierra Club requested that the Applicant be required to provide information about an access easement on the Wood Duck Farm property; be required to have a 25-foot buffer from the utility and pipeline easement boundary; and be required to have a 125-foot buffer zone between the permit boundary and where solid waste is at the facility.

Jennifer Lee, on behalf of Houston San Jacinto Ranch, LLC, expressed concern that the citizen's collection center and truck wheel wash area may encroach on the 125-foot buffer zone along the eastern side of the proposed facility, and the sump that would contain contaminated water associated with the truck wheel wash is within 125 feet of the facility boundary.

**Response 51:** 30 TAC § 330.141(b) requires establishment and maintenance of buffer zones between waste management activities and the facility permit boundary. Requirements for easements and buffer zones are found in 30 TAC § 330.543 and, as required by this section, a 125-foot buffer zone is provided in the SOP in Section 8.6.2 of Part IV of the application. According to the application, the entrance road would be constructed within the Applicant's property. The only easement within the permit boundary is a gas pipeline easement in the far northwest corner and outside of the proposed waste footprint. Section 2.3.1 in Parts I and II of the application includes easement protection measures for this pipeline easement. Please also see Response to Comment No. 16.

According to the application, the drop-off containers at the citizen's convenience center will be located outside of the 125-foot buffer zone. The truck wheel wash may be located within the 125-foot buffer zone as it is not a waste management activity. The Executive Director has reviewed the application and preliminarily determined that it is consistent with applicable TCEO rules.

**Comment 52 Management of Large Items:** Sierra Club commented that the Applicant should dismantle large items received for disposal so that they do not disrupt the surface or subsurface of the proposed landfill.

Sierra Club commented that, with regard to the large storage item area, the Applicant should only be allowed to store items for 30 days and, with regard to the large storage item area and citizens' convenience center, should be required to cover containers with tarps.

Response 52: 30 TAC § 330.147 provides requirements for management of large items at MSW landfills. Large, heavy, or bulky items that cannot be incorporated in the regular spreading, compaction, and covering operations at landfills should be recycled. A special area should be established to collect these items. This special collection area must be designated as a large-item salvage area. The Applicant as owner or operator shall remove the items from the site often enough to prevent these items from becoming a nuisance and to preclude the discharge of any pollutants from the area. Items that can be classified as large, heavy, or bulky can include, but are not limited to, white goods (household appliances), air conditioner units, metal tanks, large metal pieces, and automobiles, except refrigerators, freezers, air conditioners, and any other items containing chlorinated fluorocarbon (CFC). The relevant measures that address management of large items are specified in the Disposal of Large Items in Section 8.9 of Part IV of the SOP.

Please see Response to Comment No. 57 regarding large item storage duration. In accordance with Sections 8.25.1 and 8.25.3 of Part IV of the application, both the large item containers and the containers at the citizen's convenience center are covered by tarps during a rainfall event.

The Executive Director has reviewed the application and preliminarily determined that it meets the regulatory requirements.

**Comment 53 Recycling Timeframes:** Sierra Club commented that the Applicant should be required to recycle items within 60 days.

**Response 53:** 30 TAC §§ 330.147 and 330.155 provide that an owner or operator shall remove salvaged items and items that will be recycled from the site often enough to prevent these items from becoming a nuisance and to preclude the discharge of any pollutants from the area. Section 8.9 of Part IV of the SOP limits the maximum storage time to 180 days for large recyclable items and specifies that the recyclable materials will be recycled to prevent a nuisance and preclude discharge of fluids. Section 8.25 of the SOP specifies procedures for storage of recyclable materials in the large item storage area and citizen's convenience center. The Executive Director has preliminarily determined that these measures meet the regulatory requirements.

**Comment 54 Chlorofluorocarbons:** Sierra Club commented that the Applicant should be required to state its procedures for managing chlorofluorocarbons (CFCs).

**Response 54:** Sections 5.5 and 8.9 of Part IV of the SOP contain procedures for preventing acceptance or disposal of CFC-containing items, including load inspections and requirements for written certification that CFCs have been removed from items like refrigerators and AC units prior to waste acceptance.

Comment 55 Daily and Intermediate Covers: Sierra Club commented that the Applicant should be required to use a daily cover of one foot of compacted soil instead of six inches of non-compacted soil to reduce rainfall infiltration and vector problems, that the daily cover should be made of clay, and that the Applicant should not be allowed to strip its compaction protection prior to additional waste placement. Sierra Club also commented that the Applicant should use a compactor that is comparable to a bulldozer in terms of force. Sierra Club commented that regarding intermediate cover, the Applicant should only be allowed 30 days of inactivity, rather than 180 days, before cover of compacted material is required of at least 12 inches and commented that TCEQ should require the Applicant to state how it will determine intermediate cover soils are not saturated and to define what significant accumulations of leachate are. Sierra Club commented that the Applicant should explain publicly what alternative daily cover it will use, including the chemicals and health and environmental effects.

**Response 55:** The daily cover and intermediate cover related measures included in Section 8.18 of the SOP are consistent with regulatory requirements in 30 TAC § 330.165 regarding landfill covers and in 30 TAC § 330.163 regarding compaction. As indicated in Section 8.18.4, the

proposed landfill has not applied for use of an alternative cover. The Executive Director has reviewed the application and preliminarily determined that it meets the regulatory requirements.

**Comment 56 Stockpiles:** Sierra Club commented that the Applicant should be required to have stockpiled materials that have similar permeabilities and porosities for compaction.

**Response 56:** Relevant soil properties for the soils used for various landfill cover types are discussed in Sections 8.18.2, 8.18.3 and 8.18.6 of Part IV of the SOP. The facility will be required to maintain sufficient clean soil cover material stockpiles to provide at least one day's application of six inches of soil cover over the working face during operation. The Executive Director has reviewed the application and preliminarily determined that the measures included in the cited sections are compliant with TCEQ MSW rules.

**Comment 57 Accumulation of Material:** Sierra Club commented that, with regard to the 8.13 Salvaging and Scavenging, Page IV-30, the Applicant should be required to state what "excessive accumulation of material" is and reduce the 180-day storage period to 30-day storage to reduce the risk of spills.

**Response 57:** Excessive accumulation of material is included in the rule language in 30 TAC § 330.155, which states that an "owner or operator shall remove the salvaged items from the facility often enough to prevent the items from becoming a nuisance, to preclude the discharge of any pollutants from the area, and to prevent an excessive accumulation of the material at the facility." The Executive Director has reviewed the application and preliminarily determined that the measures contained in Section 8.13 of the application meet the requirements of 30 TAC § 330.155 regarding Salvaging and Scavenging.

**Comment 58 Climate Change:** Sierra Club commented that TCEQ should not allow a temporary waiver due to extreme climate conditions and should require the Applicant to plan for climate change as part of its normal operations. Bryan French, representing Wood Duck Farm, commented that the application does not account for climate change. John David McDonald raised general concerns that this area will experience more frequent flooding because of climate change and provided an article.

Sierra Club recommended that TCEQ should address climate change with a "climate change analysis" that includes an analysis of impacts to the proposed facility such as more extensive flooding in floodplains within 100 feet of the 100-year floodplain and within the existing 500-year floodplain, an analysis of air pollution from vehicles, and an analysis of air pollutants. Sierra Club recommended TCEQ prepare a climate change ecological resilience and resistance plan (CCERRP) because the CCERRP would assess the biological and ecological elements of climate change in the Sam Houston National Forest and the surrounding area where the facility would be located.

**Response 58:** Any future requests for temporary waiver for the daily cover or intermediate cover will be reviewed in accordance with TCEQ rules. Whether or not to grant a temporary waiver and climate change considerations are not within the scope of the MSW permit application review process.

The Executive Director acknowledges Sierra Club's recommendation about a climate change analysis. Such an analysis is outside the scope of the evaluation of the application. The surface water drainage system analysis and design are consistent with the requirements regarding 100-year floodplain and 25-year, 24-hour storm events contained in 30 TAC Chapter 330. 30 TAC Chapter 330 does not require a CCERRP as a part of the MSW landfill application and its review.

**Comment 59 Final Cover and Final Cover Inspections:** Sierra Club commented that TCEQ should be onsite to monitor placement of final cover and should require repair of final cover to begin within 24 hours and to be completed within three days.

Sierra Club commented that cover final inspection records should be available onsite and online.

Response 59: In accordance with 30 TAC § 330.63(h), a Closure Plan is included in Part III, Attachment H of the application. The Closure Plan contains the final cover specifications and construction requirements. A Final Cover Quality Control Plan (FCQCP) has been prepared to meet the final cover quality control demonstration requirements under 30 TAC § 330.457. The FCQCP, included as Attachment D8 of Part III of the application, contains measures related to installation, quality control, and reporting of the final cover system, Under this FCOCP, a geotechnical professional (a licensed Professional Engineer in the State of Texas) and construction quality assurance monitors (qualified representatives of the geotechnical professional), are responsible for all construction quality assurance activities during the final cover installation process. The geotechnical professional and the monitors will be on site during the final cover construction, performing supervision, sampling, testing, recording, and other activities required by the FCQCP. After construction of the final cover system, the geotechnical professional will prepare and submit a Final Cover Evaluation Report to the TCEO on behalf of the permittee. The purpose of the Final Cover Evaluation Report is to document that the final cover system has been constructed consistent with the FCOCP. The TCEO will review the Final Cover Evaluation Report.

As required by 30 TAC § 330.463 and 30 TAC § 330.165(g), the landfill personnel will perform periodic inspection and maintenance/repair of the installed final cover.

30 TAC § 330.125 provides for recordkeeping requirements for MSW landfills. In accordance with this rule, records, including cover inspection records, must be maintained at the site. 30 TAC § 330.165(h) provides that a cover application and inspection record must be kept on site. The recordkeeping measures are listed in Section 2 and Section 8.18.8 of Part IV of the SOP.

The Executive Director has reviewed the application and preliminarily determined that the measures related to final cover installation, inspection, maintenance/repair, and recordkeeping comply with the regulatory requirements.

**Comment 60 Location of Benchmark:** Mandy Cook commented that the proposed facility's permanent benchmark site being used on the application is a different city, county, and terrain over 11 miles away south, southeast from the proposed physical land location of the proposed landfill.

**Response 60:** The Executive Director has reviewed the application and has preliminarily determined that the benchmark information provided in Section 8.7 of Part IV of the application meets TCEQ requirements of 30 TAC § 330.143(8).

**Comment 61 Scrap Tires:** Sierra Club commented that fewer scrap tires should be allowed to be stored; all tires should be locked up and drained; and there should be a vector reduction plan for tire storage.

**Response 61:** Sections 5.5 and 8.25.4 of Part IV of the application include specifics on proper scrap tire management, such as restrictions of 500 tires on the ground or 2,000 tires in containers and a maximum storage time of 90 days. Vector controls onsite include inspections of tire storage areas every two weeks. The Executive Director has reviewed the application and preliminarily determined that the tire management measures included are consistent with applicable TCEQ rules.

**Comment 62 Wood Waste:** Sierra Club commented that an exact requirement should be put in place for the amount of wood waste that may be received, rather than using the term "approximately", and that wood waste should only be stored for 30 days.

**Response 62:** MSW rules do not have specific requirements specifying the amount of wood waste allowed or limiting wood waste storage to 30 days. The application states the expected

storage time will be 30 days. The Executive Director has reviewed the application and preliminarily determined that the wood waste management measures in Section 8.25.5 of Part IV of the application are consistent with applicable TCEQ rules, including 30 TAC § 330.209.

**Comment 63 Sediment Storage:** Sierra Club commented that sediment storage time should be reduced to 30 days.

**Response 63:** MSW rules do not have specific requirements regarding how often the sediment at the truck wheel wash system has to be cleared. The permittee is required to operate the landfill site in ways not to cause pollution or create nuisance conditions. The landfill operations, including the wheel wash station, will also be subject to applicable requirements of other TCEQ rules and authorizations (for example, air and TPDES requirements).

**Comment 64 Quality Control:** Bryan French, representing Wood Duck Farm, commented that the SOP has deficiencies. Dale-Raymond Wellington Thornburg expressed concern with daily quality control by landfill staff and expressed concern that quality control would decline over time.

**Response 64:** The Executive Director has reviewed the SOP and preliminarily determined that it is consistent with applicable rules in 30 TAC Chapter 330, including Subchapter D.

As previously mentioned, the TCEQ Regional Office inspects Type I MSW landfills on a regular basis. Additionally, TCEQ encourages members of the public to report any observed violations at a facility to the Regional Office. Complaints regarding the facility may be made by contacting the TCEQ Region 10 Office at 409-898-3838 or the toll-free Environment Violation Hotline at 1-888-777-3186. Complaints may also be filed on-line at

https://www.tceq.texas.gov/compliance/complaints. If the facility violates a term of the permit or TCEQ's regulations, the permittee may be subject to an enforcement action.

#### I. AIR QUALITY

Comment 65 Air Quality: The persons listed in Attachment 33 expressed concern about air pollution and the effects on air quality, and resulting health effects, from the proposed landfill's operations. Patricia Doris Burkett commented that the proposed landfill will create toxic chemical fumes. Cheryl Huffman commented that greenhouse gases and particulate matter would affect Wood Duck Farm. Rebecca Bridges, Cheryl Huffman, and Dana Moody expressed concern about volatile organic compounds (VOCs) as a result of landfill activity. Bryan French, representing Wood Duck Farm (Wood Duck Farm), expressed concern about potential nuisance violations and the health effects of methane gas and hydrogen sulfide gas. Jennifer Lee, on behalf of Houston San Jacinto Ranch, LLC (Houston San Jacinto Ranch), expressed concern that the proposed landfill will produce elevated levels of landfill gas, including methane, and that appropriate monitoring provisions are not included in the draft permit. Sierra Club and Sarah Lynskey expressed concern about explosions from methane. Sarah Lynskey expressed concern about severe health impacts from hydrogen sulfide. Sierra Club, Cheryl Huffman, Roger A. Dietrich, Cassondra Lucas, and Theodore T. Walters expressed concerns about methane pollution and the adequacy of methane collection systems.

Sierra Club commented that over time gasses are released despite methane gas systems and asked if there would be a pipeline to take methane to a clean-up facility for burning as natural gas; if there will be a flare onsite to burn-off the methane; and whether any of these systems would impact the environment. Sierra Club also commented that a methane reduction plan should be required for climate change mitigation.

Wood Duck Farm and Houston San Jacinto Ranch commented that the application provided inadequate information about the measures to control landfill gas at the proposed landfill. Mandy Cook expressed concern with the financial burden of landfills due to the need to monitor gas from the storage site.

Sierra Club commented that the permit should require a 100-foot placement of gas monitoring probes and that gas monitoring records be maintained for the life of the proposed facility and afterward in case groundwater is contaminated and not discovered. Sierra Club asked about the ability of a gas monitoring probe to "monitor the soil strata above the lowest planned future elevation of waste within 1,000 feet of the probe." Sierra Club commented that the permit should require gas monitoring through cameras and sensors and should be updated every 3 years to the latest technology. Sierra Club additionally recommended that the permit should require a gas removal, treatment, and destruction control system to prevent methane from being released and to avoid health effects and greenhouse gas air pollution. Sierra Club recommended that gas monitoring, control equipment capture, treatment, and destruction must be done for air pollutants and methane and that gas and air pollutants should be put through an air pollution control device before venting. Sierra Club recommended that a catalytic incinerator or condensing unit can be used to reduce volatile hydrocarbon air pollution. Sierra Club commented that an air quality analysis, assessment, and evaluation, and an air quality control mitigation plan should be used to reduce air pollution. Sierra Club also recommended that the Applicant should be required to state what happens to gas extracted through wells.

**Response 65:** As required under 30 TAC § 330.55(a), the construction and operation of waste management facilities must comply with 30 TAC Chapter 330, Subchapter U and other approved air authorizations. The Applicant is required to obtain authorization from the Air Permits Division, when necessary.

Furthermore, as indicated in Response to Comment No. 67, the proposed facility's SOP includes an odor management plan and a gas management plan that address the control of odors and landfill gas. Subsurface gas migration and surface emissions at the proposed facility will be controlled by containment systems (liners and covers). Landfill gas will be monitored at the perimeter and in site structures. Gas migration in the subsurface will be monitored using a system of gas monitoring probes installed along the perimeter of the site to intercept potential gas migration pathways in the subsurface. The designs for the perimeter gas monitoring system are detailed in the Landfill Gas Management Plan in Attachment G to Part III of the application. The Executive Director has preliminarily determined that the provisions and procedures for landfill gas management specified in the Landfill Gas Management Plan meet the requirements of 30 TAC §§ 330.63(g) and 330.371 and are expected to control releases of gas and odors from the landfill.

According to TCEQ MSW rules, a landfill gas collection and control system (GCCS) or similar system is not a condition that has to be met before a landfill can be authorized for operation commencement. A GCCS will be installed and operated if required by a landfill gas remediation plan implemented to address gas exceedance detected in the gas probes. A GCCS may also be required when the landfill triggers the requirements under the TCEQ Air program. A flare may be used as the control method for the collected landfill gas. Installation and operation of the GCCS will be in compliance with all applicable TCEQ rules.

TCEQ rules in 30 TAC § 330.371 require the owner or operator of a landfill to implement a methane monitoring program to ensure that the concentration of methane gas generated by the facility does not exceed 1.25 percent by volume of methane in facility structures and 5 percent by volume at the facility boundary. If the methane gas is detected above the action levels as specified in the rule, the facility must take actions specified in the Landfill Gas Management Plan in Part III, Attachment G of the application to control and remedy the landfill gas issue. Specifically, if methane gas levels exceeding the limits specified in 30 TAC § 330.371(a) are detected, the owner or operator shall immediately take all necessary steps to ensure protection of human health and notify the Executive Director, including the TCEQ Region 10 Office, local and county officials, emergency officials, and the public. There will be a system of 20 permanent gas monitoring probes around the perimeter of the landfill to detect the migration

of methane gas, which is the primary explosive gas in landfill gas. Landfill gas monitoring at onsite buildings is also required.

As previously mentioned, the TCEQ Regional Office inspects Type I MSW landfills on a regular basis. Additionally, TCEQ encourages members of the public to report any observed violations at a facility to the Regional Office. Complaints regarding the facility may be made by contacting the TCEQ Region 10 Office at 409-898-3838 or the toll-free Environment Violation Hotline at 1-888-777-3186. Complaints may also be filed on-line at <a href="https://www.tceq.texas.gov/compliance/complaints">https://www.tceq.texas.gov/compliance/complaints</a>. If the facility violates a term of the permit or TCEQ's regulations, the permittee may be subject to an enforcement action.

#### I. OTHER COMMENTS

**Comment 66 Nuisances:** Bryan French, representing Wood Duck Farm, commented that facility operations will create nuisance conditions.

The persons listed in Attachment 34 expressed concern over noise from the proposed facility. Shane Maberry expressed concern that noise from the proposed facility would affect the Winters Bayou protected area. Jason Roesner, District Ranger with the Sam Houston National Forest, United States Forest Service, United States Department of Agriculture (Forest Service) requested additional information about any planned methods and additional criteria to mitigate noise at the proposed facility.

The persons listed in Attachment 35 expressed concerns over odor from the proposed facility. Jason Roesner, District Ranger with the Sam Houston National Forest, United States Forest Service, United States Department of Agriculture (Forest Service) commented that additional design criteria to mitigate odor should be implemented. Jennifer Lee, on behalf of Houston San Jacinto Ranch, LLC, commented that Part II, Attachment D, Sections 2 and 3 of the application and supporting documents do not demonstrate that the landfill would not produce nuisance odors or that the SOP indicates adequate odor control measures.

Response 66: 30 TAC § 330.15(a)(2) prohibits the creation and maintenance of nuisance conditions. Issuance of a landfill permit does not exempt an owner or operator from compliance with this requirement nor does it prevent the Executive Director from enforcing its general nuisance prohibition. As previously mentioned, the TCEQ Regional Office inspects Type I MSW landfills on a regular basis. Additionally, TCEQ encourages members of the public to report any observed violations at a facility to the Regional Office. Complaints regarding the facility may be made by contacting the TCEQ Region 10 Office at 409-898-3838 or the toll-free Environment Violation Hotline at 1-888-777-3186. Complaints may also be filed on-line at <a href="https://www.tceq.texas.gov/compliance/complaints">https://www.tceq.texas.gov/compliance/complaints</a>. If the facility violates a term of the permit or TCEQ's regulations, the permittee may be subject to an enforcement action.

Regarding noise concerns, TCEQ rules do not place specific limits on facility-generated noise; however, 30 TAC § 330.15(a)(2) prohibits the creation and maintenance of nuisance conditions. Issuance of a landfill permit does not exempt an owner or operator from compliance with this requirement nor does it prevent the Executive Director from enforcing its general nuisance prohibition.

Regarding odor concerns, as indicated in Response to Comment No. 75, the proposed facility is subject to TCEQ rules concerning air pollution control. The owner or operator must ensure that the facility does not violate applicable requirements of the approved state implementation plan developed under the Federal Clean Air Act. Furthermore, the proposed facility's SOP in Part IV of the application includes an odor management plan in Section 8.10, in accordance with 30 TAC § 330.149, and the application includes a landfill gas management plan in Attachment G of Part III; these address the control of odors and landfill gas.

**Comment 67 County Building Requirements:** Mandy Cook commented that the proposed landfill would violate county building requirements.

**Response 67:** TCEQ rules regarding Type I MSW landfills do not address county ordinances or requirements, except when a county lawfully implements a permitting program for MSW landfills under § 330.25. San Jacinto County is not known to have such a program in place. The permit, if issued, would not preclude the applicant from securing any permits from the county.

**Comment 68 Compliance and Enforcement:** Will Cook asked who he should contact if compliance issues arise at the landfill. Sierra Club commented that TCEQ should have talked about compliance and enforcement programs during the public meeting.

**Response 68:** The TCEQ Regional Office inspects Type I MSW landfills on a regular basis. Additionally, TCEQ encourages members of the public to report any observed violations at a facility to the Regional Office. Complaints regarding the facility may be made by contacting the TCEQ Region 10 Office at 409-898-3838 or the toll-free Environment Violation Hotline at 1-888-777-3186. Complaints may also be filed on-line at <a href="https://www.tceq.texas.gov/compliance/complaints">https://www.tceq.texas.gov/compliance/complaints</a>. If the facility violates a term of the permit or TCEQ's regulations, the permittee may be subject to an enforcement action.

**Comment 69 Future Expansions:** Bryan French, representing Wood Duck Farm, Sierra Club, Robert Harris, and Dana Moody expressed concern that the proposed landfill could expand in the future.

**Response 69:** The proposed facility could apply in the future to expand; however, under MSW procedural rules in 30 TAC § 305.62(j)(1), certain expansions must be authorized through a major amendment. An application for a major amendment includes submission of Parts I-IV, review by TCEQ, and an opportunity for public participation. A major amendment would be subject to public notice and public participation. Any application for an amendment would be required to meet TCEQ rules.

**Comment 70 Sewage Systems:** Jessica Grilliot expressed concern with the effect of the proposed landfill on sewage systems.

**Response 70:** The application has proposed to transport leachate for treatment/disposal at an offsite POTW. On-site sanitation and sewage systems would be installed and operated in accordance with TCEQ and other applicable rules.

**Comment 71 Economic Feasibility:** Sierra Club commented that the Applicant has not done an economic study. Melisa Peterson commented that the Applicant should be required to demonstrate economic feasibility.

**Response 71:** MSW rules do not require an economic feasibility study. As required by 30 TAC § 330.61(b), Section 2.2.1 of Parts I and II of the application contain information on the intended service areas and estimated volume of waste to be accepted at the proposed landfill.

TCEQ's jurisdiction is established by the Legislature and is limited to the issues set forth in statute and rules. Accordingly, TCEQ does not have jurisdiction to consider economic feasibility when determining whether to approve or deny a permit application. The scope of the Executive Director's review of an application for a municipal solid waste permit includes whether an application includes the requisite submittals and demonstrations in accordance with the applicable regulations.

**Comment 72 County Funds:** Linda Kay Stegenga commented that the county would receive funds for the landfill.

**Response 72:** The Executive Director acknowledges the comment.

**Comment 73 Violations:** Alicia R. Rairden expressed concern that TCEQ has allowed air and water violations for large corporations.

**Response 73:** Air and water violations for other regulated entities are outside of the scope of the evaluation of this application for an MSW permit.

**Comment 74 TCEQ Access:** David Van Weldon asked how TCEQ would access the landfill if the Applicant went bankrupt.

**Response 74:** Section 14 of Parts I and II of the application contains the property owner's affidavit that guarantees TCEQ's access to the landfill, in accordance with 30 TAC § 330.59(d)(2)(C).

**Comment 75 Power Outages:** David Van Weldon commented that power outages are a concern in the area.

**Response 75:** The Executive Director acknowledges the comment. The Executive Director has preliminarily determined that the proposed landfill's development and operation as described in the application are in compliance with TCEQ MSW rules.

**Comment 76 Legal Actions:** Linda K. Stegenga asked what type of legal action third parties could take if they believe the proposed landfill will harm them.

**Response 76:** The Executive Director cannot comment on legal actions to which she is not a party. However, the issuance of a draft permit does not prevent a property owner from seeking private actions. Affected persons may request a contested case hearing; please see Response to Comment No. 48.

## V. CONCLUSION

The Executive Director has reviewed the application and preliminarily determined that it meets the regulatory and statutory requirements.

#### VI. CHANGES MADE TO THE DRAFT PERMIT IN RESPONSE TO COMMENTS

The Draft Permit has been revised to reflect the changes made to the application. The changes include the reduction in the proposed facility acreage, the relocation of the private access road that connects the proposed facility to the public road, and the addition of a slurry wall surrounding the waste disposal unit. The changes are made partially in response to comments received.

Respectfully submitted,

Texas Commission on Environmental Quality

Kelly Keel Executive Director

Phillip Ledbetter, Director Office of Legal Services

Charmaine Backens, Deputy Director Environmental Law Division

Anthony Tatu, Staff Attorney

Anthony Tatu, Staff Attorney Environmental Law Division Anthony.Tatu@tceq.texas.gov State Bar No. 00792869 P.O. Box 13087, MC 173 Austin, Texas 78711-3087 Phone: (512) 239-5778

Fax: (512) 239-0606

Cacher

Caroline Catchings, Staff Attorney Environmental Law Division Caroline.Catchings@tceq.texas.gov State Bar No. 24010966 P.O. Box 13087, MC 173 Austin, TX 78711-3087

Phone: 512-239-5930 Fax: (512) 239-0606

REPRESENTING THE EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR OF THE TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY