

Texas Commission on Environmental Quality

Interoffice Memorandum

To: Commissioners **Date:** January 23, 2026

Thru: Kelly Keel, Executive Director *KK*
Steven Schar, Deputy Executive Director *SS*

From: *KMJ* Kristi Mills-Jurach, P.E., Director
Office of Compliance and Enforcement

AS Amy Settemeyer, Deputy Director
Enforcement Division

Subject: Expedited Compliance Order and Proposed Implementation

Request:

The Office of Compliance and Enforcement, Enforcement Division (Division), requests approval to implement a new process for handling certain enforcement cases which includes a streamlined order, expedited compliance order (ECO), with established penalties for specified violations. Currently, the time and resources to process simple orders are not significantly less than more complex orders. To ensure sustained success of overall workload management, the Division needs a process to handle simple orders in which compliance may be achieved quickly. This ECO is expected to achieve faster compliance and will improve agency efficiency by reducing the time and staff resources necessary to process these enforcement actions. The new process will set reduced penalties, as described below, in recognition of entities being required to return to compliance more quickly than typical orders. The streamlined process includes generating standardized orders in significantly less time than typical orders. These orders will require respondents to agree to expedited compliance, within 60 days from the date of the agency's settlement offer.

The Division will initiate this process within programs under TCEQ jurisdiction that have well-established patterns of violation types. In the future, the Division plans to request expansion to other programs, such as programs that currently utilize field citations.

Legal Authority:

Texas Water Code (TWC) § 7.002 (Enforcement Authority)¹ provides Commission authority to issue administrative orders which may include penalties and corrective measures to compel compliance. The Commission may delegate to the Executive Director the authority to issue administrative orders in certain situations. The statutory requirements as to what must be in an administrative order are limited (*e.g.*, findings of fact are not required, but an uncontested finding that the Commission has jurisdiction is. TWC § 7.070). The ECO fully conforms to these statutory requirements.

TWC § 7.053 requires the consideration of specific statutory factors in determining a penalty amount; however, a penalty calculation worksheet (PCW) is not statutorily required. Penalties must be within the statutory range.

¹ <https://statutes.capitol.texas.gov/?tab=1&code=WA&chapter=WA.7&artSec=7.002>

The process for issuing an ECO and hearing process mirrors that of a traditional agreed order and follows the same statutory and rule requirements, including public participation requirements that proposed orders be published in the Texas Register.

In accordance with TWC § 7.006, ECO (as a specific enforcement policy) would need to be publicly adopted at an Agenda or Work Session. However, additional rules are not needed, nor is it statutorily required that the Penalty Policy be updated if ECOs to be issued.

ECO Description:

The ECO process will use established penalties for each violation rather than creating a PCW. Penalty amounts will be derived by taking the base penalty amount for a given violation as established by statute and reducing it by 50%.

Attachment A includes an example of the ECO. The proposed 50% penalty reduction incorporates the existing 20% expedited settlement deferral, plus an additional 30% reduction to prevent situations in which a respondent could receive a lower penalty through the traditional calculation of a penalty. In comparison, Field Citations offer a 30% reduction from the base penalty amount which incorporates the 20% deferral offered for expedited settlement and an additional 10% to incentivize settlement. The additional penalty reduction for the ECOs will further incentivize settlement and expedited compliance.

Attachment B includes the initial list of violations and penalties applicable to the ECO order. OCE may adjust the list of violations to account for any additional or parallel rule or statute citations needed to substantiate the violation or for changes over time, such as the passing of new statutes or adoption of new rules. Additionally, some citations or violations may be combined in certain common situations (e.g., combining tank and piping release detection violations for the Petroleum Storage Tank program).

Stakeholder Process

The Division held three informational meetings in December. These meetings were held in Houston, Austin, and Fort Worth. The Austin meeting was a hybrid meeting. Across all meetings, attendees were generally supportive of the new order.

ECO Process:

During the Division's Enforcement Action Referral (EAR) screening process, referrals that meet the eligibility criteria will be considered for an ECO.

The following criteria will be evaluated during initial EAR screening:

1. All violations in the EAR must be listed in the approved violation list (Attachment B).
2. All violations must be correctable within 60 days from the date of the settlement offer.
3. Violations cannot include a suspected or confirmed release, spill, or require remediation.

4. The enforcement case does not meet Findings Agreed Order criteria under 30 Texas Administrative Code (TAC) § 70.11.
5. The respondent does not have an active/pending Office of Attorney General (OAG) case or meet the referral criteria under TWC § 7.105(b). A pending or active administrative enforcement case for another facility/site/regulated entity, not affiliated with an active/pending OAG case, will not make the entity ineligible for ECO.
6. The respondent does not have an active or pending default order, shutdown order, and/or revocation proceeding at any facility/site/regulated entity.
7. The respondent does not have any outstanding delinquent penalties from previous enforcement actions or outstanding fees.
8. Within the past two years, the respondent, at the same facility/site/regulated entity, has not been offered an ECO for the same violation(s) documented during the current investigation.
9. The respondent does not have a Customer Compliance History classification of Unsatisfactory Performer and/or does not meet the Repeat Violator criteria.

The development of the case will follow the current Division Standard Operating Procedures (SOPs) except for the development of documents, including the PCW. If during case development, it is determined that a case is no longer eligible for the ECO, the Enforcement Coordinator will continue to develop the case using established SOPs for the standard process which includes the development of a PCW.

Implementation:

The Division is prepared to immediately implement the ECO process upon approval.

To maximize utilization of the new process, payment plans would be available to the respondents, as well as the ability to contribute to third-party, pre-approved Supplemental Environmental Projects. In addition, respondents who claim financial inability to pay would be eligible for ECO process if they can timely achieve compliance with adjusted timeframes.

OCE will review the qualifying list of violations when there are statute or rule changes that alter violation citations or when new violations are identified that could effectively be handled through the ECO process.

For cases that only include violations on the list in Attachment B, the ECO option will be discussed with the respondent early in the process so that Supplemental Environmental Projects and payment plans may be incorporated into the ECO in one submittal and negate the need for revised orders and additional processing time. The Division will finalize and initiate the ECO this process for EARs received upon approval from the Commission. We will evaluate the effectiveness of the program (e.g., whether the Division gained timely settlement/compliance and gained process efficiencies) throughout the remainder of Fiscal Year 2026.

Texas Commission on Environmental Quality

Interoffice Memorandum

To: Kelly Keel, Executive Director ^{KK}
Steven Schar, Deputy Executive Director ^{SS} **Date:** January 22, 2026

Thru: ^{KMJ} Kristi Mills-Jurach, P.E., Director
Office of Compliance and Enforcement

From: ^{AS} Amy Settemeyer, Deputy Director
Enforcement Division

Subject: Expedited Compliance Order and Proposed Implementation

Request:

The Office of Compliance and Enforcement, Enforcement Division (Division), requests approval to implement a new process for handling certain enforcement cases which includes a streamlined order, expedited compliance order (ECO), with established penalties for specified violations. Currently, the time and resources to process simple orders are not significantly less than more complex orders. To ensure sustained success of overall workload management, the Division needs a process to handle simple orders in which compliance may be achieved quickly. This ECO is expected to achieve faster compliance and will improve agency efficiency by reducing the time and staff resources necessary to process these enforcement actions. The new process will set reduced penalties, as described below, in recognition of entities being required to return to compliance more quickly than typical orders. The streamlined process includes generating standardized orders in significantly less time than typical orders. These orders will require respondents to agree to expedited compliance, within 60 days from the date of the agency's settlement offer.

The Division will initiate this process within programs under TCEQ jurisdiction that have well-established patterns of violation types. In the future, the Division plans to request expansion to other programs, such as programs that currently utilize field citations.

Legal Authority:

Texas Water Code (TWC) § 7.002 (Enforcement Authority)¹ provides Commission authority to issue administrative orders which may include penalties and corrective measures to compel compliance. The Commission may delegate to the Executive Director the authority to issue administrative orders in certain situations. The statutory requirements as to what must be in an administrative order are limited (*e.g.*, findings of fact are not required, but an uncontested finding that the Commission has jurisdiction is. TWC § 7.070). The ECO fully conforms to these statutory requirements.

TWC § 7.053 requires the consideration of specific statutory factors in determining a penalty amount; however, a penalty calculation worksheet (PCW) is not statutorily required. Penalties must be within the statutory range.

¹ <https://statutes.capitol.texas.gov/?tab=1&code=WA&chapter=WA.7&artSec=7.002>

The process for issuing an ECO and hearing process mirrors that of a traditional agreed order and follows the same statutory and rule requirements, including public participation requirements that proposed orders be published in the Texas Register.

In accordance with TWC § 7.006, ECO (as a specific enforcement policy) would need to be publicly adopted at an Agenda or Work Session. However, additional rules are not needed, nor is it statutorily required that the Penalty Policy be updated if ECOs to be issued.

ECO Description:

The ECO process will use established penalties for each violation rather than creating a PCW. Penalty amounts will be derived by taking the base penalty amount for a given violation as established by statute and reducing it by 50%.

Attachment A includes an example of the ECO. The proposed 50% penalty reduction incorporates the existing 20% expedited settlement deferral, plus an additional 30% reduction to prevent situations in which a respondent could receive a lower penalty through the traditional calculation of a penalty. In comparison, Field Citations offer a 30% reduction from the base penalty amount which incorporates the 20% deferral offered for expedited settlement and an additional 10% to incentivize settlement. The additional penalty reduction for the ECOs will further incentivize settlement and expedited compliance.

Attachment B includes the initial list of violations and penalties applicable to the ECO order. OCE may adjust the list of violations to account for any additional or parallel rule or statute citations needed to substantiate the violation or for changes over time, such as the passing of new statutes or adoption of new rules. Additionally, some citations or violations may be combined in certain common situations (e.g., combining tank and piping release detection violations for the Petroleum Storage Tank program).

Stakeholder Process

The Division held three informational meetings in December. These meetings were held in Houston, Austin, and Fort Worth. The Austin meeting was a hybrid meeting. Across all meetings, attendees were generally supportive of the new order.

ECO Process

During the Division's Enforcement Action Referral (EAR) screening process, referrals that meet the eligibility criteria will be considered for an ECO.

The following criteria will be evaluated during initial EAR screening:

1. All violations in the EAR must be listed in the approved violation list (Attachment B).
2. All violations must be correctable within 60 days from the date of the settlement offer.
3. Violations cannot include a suspected or confirmed release, spill, or require remediation.

4. The enforcement case does not meet Findings Agreed Order criteria under 30 Texas Administrative Code (TAC) § 70.11.
5. The respondent does not have an active/pending Office of Attorney General (OAG) case or meet the referral criteria under TWC § 7.105(b). A pending or active administrative enforcement case for another facility/site/regulated entity, not affiliated with an active/pending OAG case, will not make the entity ineligible for ECO.
6. The respondent does not have an active or pending default order, shutdown order, and/or revocation proceeding at any facility/site/regulated entity.
7. The respondent does not have any outstanding delinquent penalties from previous enforcement actions or outstanding fees.
8. Within the past two years, the respondent, at the same facility/site/regulated entity, has not been offered an ECO for the same violation(s) documented during the current investigation.
9. The respondent does not have a Customer Compliance History classification of Unsatisfactory Performer and/or does not meet the Repeat Violator criteria.

The development of the case will follow the current Division Standard Operating Procedures (SOPs) except for the development of documents, including the PCW. If during case development, it is determined that a case is no longer eligible for the ECO, the Enforcement Coordinator will continue to develop the case using established SOPs for the standard process which includes the development of a PCW.

Implementation:

The Division is prepared to immediately implement the ECO process upon approval.

To maximize utilization of the ECO process, payment plans will be available to the respondents, as well as the ability to contribute to third-party, pre-approved Supplemental Environmental Projects. In addition, respondents who claim financial inability to pay would be eligible for the ECO process if they can timely achieve compliance with adjusted timeframes.

The Division will initiate this ECO process for EARs received upon approval from the Commission. We will evaluate the effectiveness of the ECO order (i.e., whether the Division gained timely settlement/compliance and gained process efficiencies) throughout the remainder of Fiscal Year 2026.

OCE will review the qualifying list of violations when there are statute or rule changes that alter violation citations or when new violations are identified that could effectively be handled through the ECO process.

Attachment A

TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY



IN THE MATTER OF AN
ENFORCEMENT ACTION
CONCERNING

[Click or tap here to enter text.](#)
[Click or tap here to enter text.](#)

§
§
§
§
§

BEFORE THE
TEXAS COMMISSION ON
ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

EXPEDITED COMPLIANCE ORDER DOCKET NO. [Click or tap here to enter text.](#)

I. JURISDICTION AND STIPULATIONS

On _____, the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality ("the Commission" or "TCEQ") considered this agreement of the parties, resolving an enforcement action regarding [Click or tap here to enter text.](#) (the "Respondent") under the authority of TEX. HEALTH & SAFETY CODE ch. 341. "Respondent," in the singular, is used in this Order, to refer collectively to all respondents that are parties to this Order. The Executive Director of the TCEQ, through the Enforcement Division, and the Respondent together stipulate that:

1. The Respondent owns and/or operates a public water supply located at [Click or tap here to enter text.](#) (the "Facility"). The Facility provides water for human consumption, has approximately [Click or tap here to enter text.](#) service connections, and serves at least 25 people per day for at least 60 days per year. As such, the Facility is a public water system as defined in 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 290.38.
2. The Executive Director and the Respondent agree that the TCEQ has jurisdiction to enter this Order pursuant to TEX. WATER CODE § 7.002 and TEX. HEALTH & SAFETY CODE § 341.049, and that the Respondent is subject to TCEQ's jurisdiction. The TCEQ has jurisdiction in this matter pursuant to TEX. WATER CODE § 5.013 and TEX. HEALTH & SAFETY CODE § 341.031.
3. An administrative penalty in the amount of [Click or tap here to enter text.](#) is assessed by the Commission to settle the violations alleged in Section II ("Violations and Penalties"). [Click or tap here to enter text.](#)
4. The Executive Director and the Respondent agree to settle the matters alleged in this enforcement action, subject to final approval in accordance with 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 70.10(a). Any notice and procedures, which might otherwise be authorized or required in this action, are waived in the interest of a more timely resolution of the matter.
5. This Order represents the complete and fully-integrated agreement of the parties. The provisions of this Order are deemed severable and, if a court of competent jurisdiction or other appropriate authority deems any provision of this Order unenforceable, the remaining provisions shall be valid and enforceable.
6. This Order shall terminate five years from its effective date or upon compliance with all the terms and conditions set forth in this Order, whichever is later.

Click or tap here to enter text.

DOCKET NO. Click or tap here to enter text.

Page 2

7. The Respondent certifies—under penalty of law—that it understands the Executive Director’s allegations herein and has resolved the violations described in this Order. The Executive Director has materially relied on this certification, and agreement to settle this matter with this Order is contingent upon the Respondent’s compliance. The Respondent understands that there are significant penalties for submitting false information or certification to TCEQ, including the possibility of fines and imprisonment for knowing violations.

II. VIOLATIONS AND PENALTIES

On and around Click or tap here to enter text., an investigation was conducted at the Facility. The following violation(s) and penalties are alleged against the Respondent:

Violation	Penalty
Click or tap here to enter text.	Click or tap here to enter text.
Total	Click or tap here to enter text.

III. DENIALS

The Respondent generally denies each alleged violation in Section II ("Violations and Penalties").

IV. ORDERING PROVISIONS

NOW, THEREFORE, THE TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY ORDERS that:

1. The Respondent is assessed a penalty as set forth in Section I, Paragraph No. 3. Issuance of and compliance with this Order resolve only the alleged violations in Section II, and the Commission can still require corrective action or penalties for other violations. Penalty payments may be submitted via ePay,¹ TCEQ’s secure online payment system, or via check. Any check shall be made payable to "TCEQ" and shall be sent with the notation "Re: Click or tap here to enter text., Click or tap here to enter text." to:

Financial Administration Division, Revenue Operations Section
Attention: Cashier's Office, MC 214
Texas Commission on Environmental Quality
P.O. Box 13088
Austin, Texas 78711-3088

2. All relief not expressly granted in this Order is denied.

¹ <https://www3.tceq.texas.gov/epay/index.cfm>.

Click or tap here to enter text.

Click or tap here to enter text.

DOCKET NO. **Click or tap here to enter text.**

Page 3

3. The duties and provisions imposed by this Order shall apply to and be binding upon the Respondent. The Respondent is ordered to give notice of this Order to personnel who maintain day-to-day control over the Facility operations referenced in this Order.
4. The effective date of this Order is the date it is signed by the Commission or their authorized representative. A copy of this fully executed Order shall be provided to each of the parties.

Click or tap here to enter text.

Click or tap here to enter text.

DOCKET NO. Click or tap here to enter text.

Page 4

SIGNATURE PAGE

TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

For the Commission

Date

For the Executive Director

Date

I, the undersigned, have read and understand the attached Order. My signature below certifies that all the violations alleged in this Order have been corrected. I am authorized to agree to the attached Order, and I do agree to the terms and conditions specified therein. I further acknowledge that the TCEQ, in accepting payment for the penalty amount, is materially relying on such representation.

I also understand that failure to comply with the Ordering Provisions, if any, in this Order and/or failure to timely pay the penalty amount, may result in:

- A negative impact on compliance history;
- Greater scrutiny of any permit applications submitted;
- Referral of this case to the Attorney General's Office for contempt, injunctive relief, additional penalties, and/or attorney fees, or to a collection agency;
- Increased penalties in any future enforcement actions;
- Automatic referral to the Attorney General's Office of any future enforcement actions; and
- TCEQ seeking other relief as authorized by law.

In addition, any falsification of any compliance documents may result in criminal prosecution.

Signature

Date

Name (Printed or typed)
Authorized Representative of
Click or tap here to enter text.

Title

If mailing address has changed, please check this box and provide the new address below:

Instructions: Send the original, signed Order with penalty payment to the Financial Administration Division, Revenue Operations Section at the address in Ordering Provision 1 of this Order.

Attachment B

Attachment B: Expedited Compliance Order Violations and Penalties

CITATION	ALLEGATION	PENALTY MAJOR SOURCE	Major at 50% for SPO	PENALTY SOURCE MINOR	Minor at 50% for SPO	PENALTY POLICY MATRIX POSITION	PROGRAM
111.201	Caused, suffered, allowed, or permitted outdoor burning within the State of Texas, in violation of 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 111.201 and TEX. HEALTH & SAFETY CODE § 382.085(b).	\$7,500	\$3,750	\$3,750	\$1,875	Actual Minor	AIR
101.201(a)(1)(B)	Failed to submit an initial notification for a reportable emissions event no later than 24 hours after the discovery of an emissions event (Incident No. (enter incident no.)), in violation of 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 101.201(a)(1)(B) and TEX. HEALTH & SAFETY CODE § 382.085(b).	\$5,000	\$2,500	\$2,500	\$1,250	Programmatic Major	AIR
122.143(4) and 122.146(1) and (2)	Failed to certify compliance with the terms and conditions of the permit for at least each 12-month period following initial permit issuance and failed to submit a Permit Compliance Certification ("PCC") within 30 days of any certification period, in violation of 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE §§ 122.143(4) and 122.146(1) and (2), Federal Operating Permit ("FOP") No. (enter permit no.), General Terms and Conditions ("GTC") and Special Terms and Conditions ("STC") No. (enter GTC and STCs), TEX. HEALTH & SAFETY CODE § 382.085(b). Specifically, the PCC for the (date) through (date) certification period was due by (date), but was not submitted.	\$5,000	\$2,500	\$2,500	\$1,250	Programmatic Major	AIR
122.143(4) and 122.146(2)	Failed to submit a Permit Compliance Certification ("PCC") within 30 days of any certification period, in violation of 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE §§ 122.143(4) and 122.146(2), Federal Operating Permit ("FOP") No. (enter permit no.), General Terms and Conditions ("GTC") and Special Terms and Conditions ("STC") No. (enter GTC and STCs), TEX. HEALTH & SAFETY CODE § 382.085(b). Specifically, the PCC for the (date) through (date) certification period was due by (date) but was not submitted until (date).	\$5,000	\$2,500	\$2,500	\$1,250	Programmatic Major	AIR
213.4(a)(1)-Contributing Zone	Failed to obtain approval of an Edwards Aquifer Protection Plan prior to commencing a regulated activity over the Edwards Aquifer Contributing Zone, in violation of 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 213.4(a)(1).	\$5,000	\$2,500	\$2,500	\$1,250	Programmatic Major	Edwards Aquifer
213.4(a)(1)-Recharge Zone	Failed to obtain approval of an Edwards Aquifer Protection Plan prior to commencing a regulated activity over the Edwards Aquifer Recharge Zone, in violation of 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 213.4(a)(1).	\$5,000	\$2,500	\$2,500	\$1,250	Programmatic Major	Edwards Aquifer
213.4(a)(1)-Transition Zone	Failed to obtain approval of an Edwards Aquifer Protection Plan prior to commencing a regulated activity over the Edwards Aquifer Transition Zone, in violation of 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 213.4(a)(1).	\$5,000	\$2,500	\$2,500	\$1,250	Programmatic Major	Edwards Aquifer
30.5(a)- Irrigator License	Failed to hold an irrigator license prior to selling, designing, installing, maintaining, altering, repairing, serving, providing consulting services relating to an irrigation system, or connecting an irrigation system to any water supply, in violation of 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE §§ 30.5(a), TEX. WATER CODE § 37.003, and TEX. OCC. CODE § 1903.251.	\$1,000	\$500	\$500	\$250	Programmatic Major	Licensing
30.5(b)	Failed to refrain from advertising or representing to the public that it can perform services for which a license is required unless it holds a current license, or unless it employs an individual who holds a current license, in violation of 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 30.5(b) and TEX. WATER CODE § 37.003.	\$0	\$0	\$500	\$250	Programmatic Major	Licensing
330.15(a) and (c)-Actual Minor Only	Caused, suffered, allowed, or permitted the unauthorized disposal of municipal solid waste, in violation of 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 330.15(a) and (c).	\$7,500	\$3,750	\$3,750	\$1,875	Actual Minor	MSW
285.3(a) and (b)(1)	Failure to obtain authorization prior to constructing, altering, repairing, extending, or operating an On-site Sewage Facility (OSSF), in violation of 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE §§ 285.3(a) and (b)(1) and TEX. HEALTH & SAFETY CODE §§ 366.004 and 366.051(a).	\$0	\$0	\$500	\$250	Programmatic Major	OSSF
334.49(a)(1) and 334.54(b)(3)	Failed to provide adequate corrosion protection for the temporarily-out-of-service UST system, in violation of 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE §§ 334.49(a)(1) and 334.54(b)(3) and TEX. WATER CODE § 26.3475(d).	\$7,500	\$3,750	\$3,750	\$1,875	Potential Major	PST
334.50(b)(1)(A) and (b)(2)	Failed to monitor the USTs in a manner which will detect a release at a frequency of at least once every 30 days, in violation of 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 334.50(b)(1)(A) and TEX. WATER CODE § 26.3475(c)(1). Also, failed to provide release detection for the piping associated with the USTs, in violation of 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 334.50(b)(2) and TEX. WATER CODE § 26.3475(a).	\$7,500	\$3,750	\$3,750	\$1,875	Potential Major	PST
115.225	Failed to comply with annual Stage I vapor recovery testing requirements, in violation of 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 115.225 and TEX. HEALTH & SAFETY CODE § 382.085(b).	\$3,750	\$1,875	\$1,250	\$625	Potential Moderate	PST
334.10(b)(2)-Programmatic Major	Failed to assure that all UST record keeping requirements are met, in violation of 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 334.10(b)(2).	\$5,000	\$2,500	\$2,500	\$1,250	Programmatic Major	PST
334.10(b)(2)-Programmatic Minor	Failed to assure that all UST record keeping requirements are met, in violation of 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 334.10(b)(2).	\$250	\$125	\$250	\$125	Programmatic Minor	PST
334.10(b)(2)-Programmatic Moderate	Failed to assure that all UST record keeping requirements are met, in violation of 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 334.10(b)(2).	\$1,750	\$875	\$625	\$313	Programmatic Moderate	PST
334.127(a)(1)	Failed to register all ASTs, in violation of 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 334.127(a)(1) and TEX. WATER CODE § 26.346(a).	\$5,000	\$2,500	\$2,500	\$1,250	Programmatic Major	PST
334.127(d)	Failed to provide an amended AST registration form for any change or additional information to the agency within 30 days of the occurrence of the change or within 30 days from the date on which the owner or operator first became aware of the change or addition, in violation of 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 334.127(d).	\$5,000	\$2,500	\$2,500	\$1,250	Programmatic Major	PST
334.45(c)(3)(A)	Failed to securely anchor emergency shutoff valves (also called shear or impact valves) at the base of all dispensers, in violation of 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 334.45(c)(3)(A).	\$3,750	\$1,875	\$1,250	\$625	Potential Moderate	PST

NOTE: OCE may make adjustments to the list of violations to account for any additional or parallel rule or statute citations needed to substantiate the violation or for changes over time, such as the passing of new statutes or rules. Additionally, some citations or violations may be combined to address the specific situation.

Attachment B: Expedited Compliance Order Violations and Penalties

334.47(a)(2)	Failed to permanently remove from service, no later than 60 days after the prescribed upgrade implementation date, a UST system for which any applicable component of the system is not brought into timely compliance with the upgrade requirements, in violation of 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 334.47(a)(2).	\$7,500	\$3,750	\$3,750	\$1,875	Potential Major	PST
334.48(e)	Failed to ensure that all release detection equipment is maintained in good operating condition and functioning in accordance with agency practices and manufacturer's specification, in violation of 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 334.48(e) and TEX. WATER CODE § 26.346(c)(1).	\$7,500	\$3,750	\$3,750	\$1,875	Potential Major	PST
334.48(e)(1)-Piping	Failed to conduct a test of the proper operation of the release detection equipment at least annually, in violation of 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 334.48(e)(1) and TEX. WATER CODE § 26.3475(b).	\$7,500	\$3,750	\$3,750	\$1,875	Potential Major	PST
334.48(e)(1)-Tanks	Failed to conduct a test of the proper operation of the release detection equipment at least annually, in violation of 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 334.48(e)(1) and TEX. WATER CODE § 26.3475(c)(1).	\$7,500	\$3,750	\$3,750	\$1,875	Potential Major	PST
334.48(g)(1)(A)(ii)	Failed to test the spill prevention equipment and containment sumps used for interstitial monitoring of piping at least once every three years to ensure the equipment is liquid tight, in violation of 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 334.48(g)(1)(A)(ii) and TEX. WATER CODE § 26.3475(c)(2).	\$3,750	\$1,875	\$1,250	\$625	Potential Moderate	PST
334.48(g)(1)(B)	Failed to inspect overflow prevention equipment for operability at least once every three years to ensure the equipment is set to activate at the correct level and will activate when a regulated substance reaches that level, in violation of 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 334.48(g)(1)(B) and TEX. WATER CODE § 26.3475(c)(2).	\$3,750	\$1,875	\$1,250	\$625	Potential Moderate	PST
334.48(g)(2)(A)(ii)	Failed to conduct the initial spill prevention equipment testing by January 1, 2021 for USTs brought into service before September 1, 2018, in violation of 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 334.48(g)(2)(A)(ii).	\$3,750	\$1,875	\$1,250	\$625	Potential Moderate	PST
334.48(h)(1)(A)(i)	Failed to conduct walkthrough inspections of the spill prevention equipment at least once every 30 days, in violation of 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 334.48(h)(1)(A)(i) and TEX. WATER CODE § 26.3475(c)(2).	\$3,750	\$1,875	\$1,250	\$625	Potential Moderate	PST
334.48(h)(1)(A)(ii)	Failed to conduct a walkthrough inspection of the release detection equipment at least once every 30 days, in violation of 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 334.48(h)(1)(A)(ii) and TEX. WATER CODE § 26.3475(c)(1).	\$3,750	\$1,875	\$1,250	\$625	Potential Moderate	PST
334.48(h)(1)(B)(i)-installed after 1/1/2009 and any sump used for IM	Failed to conduct the annual walkthrough inspection of the containment sumps, in violation of 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 334.48(h)(1)(B)(i) and TEX. WATER CODE § 26.3475(c)(2).	\$3,750	\$1,875	\$1,250	\$625	Potential Moderate	PST
334.48(h)(1)(B)(ii)-installed prior to 1/1/2009 and not used for IM	Failed to conduct the annual walkthrough inspection of the containment sumps, in violation of 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 334.48(h)(1)(B)(ii) and TEX. WATER CODE § 26.3475(c)(2).	\$3,750	\$1,875	\$1,250	\$625	Potential Moderate	PST
334.49(a)(1)	Failed to provide corrosion protection for the UST system, in violation of 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 334.49(a)(1) and TEX. WATER CODE § 26.3475(d).	\$7,500	\$3,750	\$3,750	\$1,875	Potential Major	PST
334.49(a)(2)	Failed to ensure that the UST corrosion protection system is operated and maintained in a manner that will provide continuous corrosion protection to all underground metal components of the UST system, in violation of 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODES 334.49(a)(2) and TEX. WATER CODES 26.3475(d).	\$7,500	\$3,750	\$3,750	\$1,875	Potential Major	PST
334.49(a)(4)	Failed to provide corrosion protection to all metal components of the UST system designed or used to convey, contain, or store regulated substances, in violation of 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 334.49(a)(4) and TEX. WATER CODE § 26.3475(d).	\$7,500	\$3,750	\$3,750	\$1,875	Potential Major	PST
334.49(c)(2)(C)	Failed to inspect the impressed current corrosion protection system at least once every 60 days to ensure the rectifier and other system components are operating properly, in violation of 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 334.49(c)(2)(C) and TEX. WATER CODE § 26.3475(d).	\$7,500	\$3,750	\$3,750	\$1,875	Potential Major	PST
334.49(c)(2)(C) and 334.54(b)(3)-TOOS	Failed to inspect the impressed current corrosion protection system at least once every 60 days to ensure the rectifier and other system components are operating properly, in violation of 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE §§ 334.49(c)(2)(C) and 334.54(b)(3) and TEX. WATER CODE § 26.3475(d).	\$7,500	\$3,750	\$3,750	\$1,875	Potential Major	PST
334.49(c)(4)(C)	Failed to test the corrosion protection system for operability and adequacy of protection at a frequency of at least once every three years, in violation of 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE §§ 334.49(c)(4)(C) and TEX. WATER CODE § 26.3475(d).	\$7,500	\$3,750	\$3,750	\$1,875	Potential Major	PST
334.49(c)(4)(C) and 334.54(b)(3)-TOOS	Failed to test the corrosion protection system for operability and adequacy of protection at a frequency of at least once every three years, in violation of 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE §§ 334.49(c)(4)(C) and 334.54(b)(3) and TEX. WATER CODE § 26.3475(d).	\$7,500	\$3,750	\$3,750	\$1,875	Potential Major	PST
334.49(e)(1) and (e)(2)	Failed to maintain records adequate to demonstrate compliance with all corrosion protection requirements, in violation of 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODES 334.49(e)(1) and (e)(2) and TEX. WATER CODES 26.3475(d). Specifically, the Respondent did not provide documentation verifying the material construction of the UST system and whether or not further corrosion protection is needed.	\$5,000	\$2,500	\$2,500	\$1,250	Programmatic Major	PST
334.5(b)(1)(A)-1 event	Respondent deposited a regulated substance into a regulated UST system that was not covered by a valid, current TCEQ delivery certificate, in violation of 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 334.5(b)(1)(A) and TEX. WATER CODE § 26.3467(d).	\$5,000	\$2,500	\$2,500	\$1,250	Programmatic Major	PST
334.5(b)(1)(A)-2 events	Respondent deposited a regulated substance into a regulated UST system that was not covered by a valid, current TCEQ delivery certificate, in violation of 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 334.5(b)(1)(A) and TEX. WATER CODE § 26.3467(d).	\$10,000	\$5,000	\$5,000	\$2,500	Programmatic Major	PST

Attachment B: Expedited Compliance Order Violations and Penalties

334.5(b)(1)(A)-3 events	Respondent deposited a regulated substance into a regulated UST system that was not covered by a valid, current TCEQ delivery certificate, in violation of 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 334.5(b)(1)(A) and TEX. WATER CODE § 26.3467(d).	\$15,000	\$7,500	\$7,500	\$3,750	Programmatic Major	PST
334.5(b)(1)(A)-4 events	Respondent deposited a regulated substance into a regulated UST system that was not covered by a valid, current TCEQ delivery certificate, in violation of 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 334.5(b)(1)(A) and TEX. WATER CODE § 26.3467(d).	\$20,000	\$10,000	\$10,000	\$5,000	Programmatic Major	PST
334.50(b)(1)(A)	Failed to monitor the USTs in a manner which will detect a release at a frequency of at least once every 30 days, in violation of 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 334.50(b)(1)(A) and TEX. WATER CODE § 26.3475(c)(1).	\$7,500	\$3,750	\$3,750	\$1,875	Potential Major	PST
334.50(b)(1)(A) and (d)(9)(A)(iii)	Failed to monitor the USTs in a manner which will detect a release at a frequency of at least once every 30 days by taking appropriate steps to ensure that a SIR analysis report is received from the vendor in no more than 15 calendar days following the last day of the 30-day period for which the SIR analysis is performed, in violation of 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 334.50(b)(1)(A) and (d)(9)(A)(iii) and TEX. WATER CODE § 26.3475(c)(1).	\$7,500	\$3,750	\$3,750	\$1,875	Potential Major	PST
334.50(b)(1)(B)	Failed to monitor the USTs installed on or after January 1, 2009 in a manner which will detect a release at a frequency of at least once every 30 days by using interstitial monitoring, in violation of 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 334.50(b)(1)(B) and TEX. WATER CODE § 26.3475(c)(1).	\$7,500	\$3,750	\$3,750	\$1,875	Potential Major	PST
334.50(b)(1)(B) and (b)(2)(A)(iii)	Failed to monitor the USTs and associated pressurized piping installed on or after January 1, 2009 in a manner which will detect a release at a frequency of at least once every 30 days by using interstitial monitoring, in violation of 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 334.50(b)(1)(B) and (b)(2)(A)(iii) and TEX. WATER CODE § 26.3475(a) and (c)(1).	\$7,500	\$3,750	\$3,750	\$1,875	Potential Major	PST
334.50(b)(2)	Failed to provide release detection for the pressurized piping associated with the UST system, in violation of 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 334.50(b)(2) and TEX. WATER CODE § 26.3475(a).	\$7,500	\$3,750	\$3,750	\$1,875	Potential Major	PST
334.50(b)(2)(A)	Failed to provide release detection for the pressurized piping associated with the UST system, in violation of 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 334.50(b)(2)(A) and TEX. WATER CODE § 26.3475(a).	\$7,500	\$3,750	\$3,750	\$1,875	Potential Major	PST
334.50(b)(2)(A)(i)	Failed to equip each separate pressurized line with an automatic line leak detector, in violation of 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 334.50(b)(2)(A)(i) and TEX. WATER CODE § 26.3467(d).	\$7,500	\$3,750	\$3,750	\$1,875	Potential Major	PST
334.50(b)(2)(A)(i)(I)	Failed to provide release detection for the pressurized piping associated with the USTs, in violation of 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 334.50(b)(2)(A)(i)(I) and TEX. WATER CODE § 26.3475(a). Specifically, Respondent did not conduct the annual piping tightness test.	\$7,500	\$3,750	\$3,750	\$1,875	Potential Major	PST
334.50(b)(2)(A)(i)(III)	Failed to test the line leak detector for performance and operational reliability at least once per year, in violation of 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 334.50(b)(2)(A)(i)(III) and TEX. WATER CODE § 26.3475(a).	\$7,500	\$3,750	\$3,750	\$1,875	Potential Major	PST
334.50(b)(2)(A)(iii)	Failed to monitor the pressurized piping installed on or after January 1, 2009 in a manner which will detect a release at a frequency of at least once every 30 days by using interstitial monitoring, in violation of 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 334.50 (b)(2)(A)(iii) and TEX. WATER CODE § 26.3475 (c)(1).	\$7,500	\$3,750	\$3,750	\$1,875	Potential Major	PST
334.50(b)(2)(B)(i)	Failed to provide release detection for the suction piping associated with the UST system, in violation of 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 334.50(b)(2)(B)(i) and TEX. WATER CODE § 26.3475(b).	\$7,500	\$3,750	\$3,750	\$1,875	Potential Major	PST
334.50(b)(2)(B)(ii)	Failed to provide release detection for the suction piping associated with the UST system, in violation of 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 334.50(b)(2)(B)(ii) and TEX. WATER CODE § 26.3475(b).	\$7,500	\$3,750	\$3,750	\$1,875	Potential Major	PST
334.50(d)(1)(B)	Failed to conduct effective manual or automatic inventory control procedures for all USTs involved in the retail sale of petroleum substances used as motor fuel, in violation of 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 334.50(d)(1)(B) and TEX. WATER CODE § 26.3475 (c)(1).	\$7,500	\$3,750	\$3,750	\$1,875	Potential Major	PST
334.50(d)(1)(B)(ii)	Failed to conduct reconciliation of detailed inventory control records at least once every 30 days, in a manner sufficiently accurate to detect a release as small as the sum of 1.0% of the total substance flow-through for the 30-day period plus 130 gallons, in violation of 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 334.50(d)(1)(B)(ii) and TEX. WATER CODE § 26.3475 (c)(1).	\$7,500	\$3,750	\$3,750	\$1,875	Potential Major	PST
334.50(d)(4)(A)(ii)(II)	Failed to ensure that the ATG equipment is capable of performing an automatic test for substance loss that can detect a release of 0.2 gallons per hour from any portion of the tank which contains regulated substances, in violation of 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 334.50(d)(4)(A)(ii)(II) and TEX. WATER CODE § 26.3475(c)(1).	\$7,500	\$3,750	\$3,750	\$1,875	Potential Major	PST
334.51(a)(6)	Failed to ensure that all installed spill and overfill prevention devices are maintained in good operating condition, in violation of 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 334.51(a)(6) and TEX. WATER CODE § 26.3475(c)(2).	\$3,750	\$1,875	\$1,250	\$625	Potential Moderate	PST

Attachment B: Expedited Compliance Order Violations and Penalties

334.51(b)(2)(B)(i) and 334.51(a)(6)	Failed to ensure that all installed spill and overfill prevention devices are maintained in good operating condition, in violation of 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 334.51(a)(6) and (b)(2)(B)(i) and TEX. WATER CODE § 26.3475(c)(2).	\$3,750	\$1,875	\$1,250	\$625	Potential Moderate	PST
334.51(b)(2)(B)(ii)	Failed to equip the spill and overfill prevention equipment with a liquid-tight lid or cover designed to minimize the entrance of any surface water, groundwater, or other foreign substances into the container, in violation of 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 334.51(b)(2)(B)(ii)a and TEX. WATER CODE § 26.3475(c)(2).	\$3,750	\$1,875	\$1,250	\$625	Potential Moderate	PST
334.51(b)(2)(C)	Failure to equip the UST system with overfill prevention equipment, in violation of 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE 334.51(b)(2)(c) and TEX. WATER CODE § 26.3475(c)(2).	\$3,750	\$1,875	\$1,250	\$625	Potential Moderate	PST
334.54(b)(1)	Failure to assure that the vent lines are kept open and functioning, in violation of 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE 334.54(b)(1).	\$7,500	\$3,750	\$3,750	\$1,875	Potential Major	PST
334.54(b)(2)	Failed to maintain all piping, pumps, manways, tank access points, and ancillary equipment in a capped, plugged, locked, and/or otherwise secured manner, in violation of 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE 334.54(b)(2) and TEX. WATER CODE § 26.3475(c)(2)	\$7,500	\$3,750	\$3,750	\$1,875	Potential Major	PST
334.54(b)(3)	Failed to provide adequate corrosion protection for a temporarily out-of-service UST system, in violation of 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE 334.54(b)(3) and TEX. WATER CODE § 26.3475(d).	\$7,500	\$3,750	\$3,750	\$1,875	Potential Major	PST
334.54(d)(2)(B)	Failed to ensure that any residue from stored regulated substances which remain in the temporarily out-of-service underground storage tanks ("USTs") did not exceed a depth of 2.5 centimeters at the deepest point and did not exceed 0.3% by weight of the system at full capacity, in violation of 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 334.54(d)(2)(B).	\$7,500	\$3,750	\$3,750	\$1,875	Potential Major	PST
334.6(b)(2)	Failed to file a written notification with the TCEQ within at least 30 days prior to initiating construction activity, in violation of 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 334.6(b)(2).	\$5,000	\$2,500	\$2,500	\$1,250	Programmatic Major	PST
334.602(a)	Failed to designate, train, and certify at least one named individual for each class of operator – Class A, Class B, and Class C – for the Facility, in violation of 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 334.602(a).	\$3,750	\$1,875	\$1,250	\$625	Potential Moderate	PST
334.602(a)(4)	Failure to ensure that at least one certified operator is present at facility during hours of operation, in violation of 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 334.602(a)(4).	\$3,750	\$1,875	\$1,250	\$625	Potential Moderate	PST
334.602(a)(4)-Unmanned Facility	Failed to post weather-resistant signage with basic safety procedures and emergency contact information on the dispensers for an unmanned UST Facility, in violation of 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 334.602(a)(4).	\$3,750	\$1,875	\$1,250	\$625	Potential Moderate	PST
334.605(a)	Failed to re-train the certified Class A and Class B operator within three years of the last training date, in violation of 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 334.605(a).	\$1,750	\$875	\$250	\$125	Potential Minor	PST
334.606	to maintain required operator training certification documentation on-site and make it available for inspection upon request by agency personnel, in violation of 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 334.606.	\$5,000	\$2,500	\$2,500	\$1,250	Programmatic Major	PST
334.7(a)(1)	Failed to register a UST in existence on or after September 1, 1987, with the agency on authorized agency forms, in violation of 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 334.7(a)(1).	\$5,000	\$2,500	\$2,500	\$1,250	Programmatic Major	PST
334.7(d)(1)(A) and (d)(3)-owner/operator	Failed to provide an amended registration for any change or additional information to the agency regarding the UST system within thirty days from the date of the occurrence of the change or addition. In violation of, 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 334.7(d)(1)(A) and (d)(3).	\$5,000	\$2,500	\$2,500	\$1,250	Programmatic Major	PST
334.7(d)(1)(A), (d)(1)(B) and (d)(3)-owner/operator and operational status	Failed to provide an amended registration for any change or additional information to the agency regarding the UST system within thirty days from the date of the occurrence of the change or addition. In violation of, 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 334.7(d)(1)(A), (d)(1)(B) and (d)(3).	\$5,000	\$2,500	\$2,500	\$1,250	Programmatic Major	PST
334.7(d)(1)(B) and (d)(3) and 334.54(e)(2)	Failure to amend the registration and self-certification within 30 days of an ownership change and/or a change in the operational status of the underground storage tank (UST), in violation of 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 334.7(d)(1)(B) and (d)(3) and 334.54(e)(2).	\$5,000	\$2,500	\$2,500	\$1,250	Programmatic Major	PST
334.7(d)(1)(B) and (d)(3)-operational status	Failed to provide an amended registration for any change or additional information to the agency regarding the UST system within thirty days from the date of the occurrence of the change or addition. In violation of, 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 334.7(d)(1)(B) and (d)(3).	\$5,000	\$2,500	\$2,500	\$1,250	Programmatic Major	PST
334.7(d)(3) and (e)(2)	Failed to notify the agency of any change or additional information regarding the UST system within 30 days of the occurrence of the change or addition, in violation of 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 334.7(d)(3) and (e)(2).	\$5,000	\$2,500	\$2,500	\$1,250	Programmatic Major	PST
334.8(c)(4)(A)(vii) and (c)(5)(B)(ii)	Failed to renew a previously-issued UST delivery certificate by submitting a properly completed UST registration and self-certification form at least 30 days before the expiration date, in violation of 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 334.8(c)(4)(A)(vii) and (c)(5)(B)(ii).	\$5,000	\$2,500	\$2,500	\$1,250	Programmatic Major	PST
334.8(c)(5)(A)(i)- 1 event	Failed to make available to a common carrier a valid, current TCEQ delivery certificate before accepting delivery of a regulated substance into the regulated UST, in violation of 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 334.8(c)(5)(A)(i) and TEX. WATER CODE § 26.3467(a).	\$5,000	\$2,500	\$2,500	\$1,250	Programmatic Major	PST

Attachment B: Expedited Compliance Order Violations and Penalties

334.8(c)(5)(A)(i)- 2 events	Failed to make available to a common carrier a valid, current TCEQ delivery certificate before accepting delivery of a regulated substance into the regulated UST, in violation of 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 334.8(c)(5)(A)(i) and TEX. WATER CODE § 26.3467(a).	\$10,000	\$5,000	\$5,000	\$2,500	Programmatic Major	PST
334.8(c)(5)(A)(i)- 3 events	Failed to make available to a common carrier a valid, current TCEQ delivery certificate before accepting delivery of a regulated substance into the regulated UST, in violation of 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 334.8(c)(5)(A)(i) and TEX. WATER CODE § 26.3467(a).	\$15,000	\$7,500	\$7,500	\$3,750	Programmatic Major	PST
334.8(c)(5)(A)(i)- 4 events	Failed to make available to a common carrier a valid, current TCEQ delivery certificate before accepting delivery of a regulated substance into the regulated UST, in violation of 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 334.8(c)(5)(A)(i) and TEX. WATER CODE § 26.3467(a).	\$20,000	\$10,000	\$10,000	\$5,000	Programmatic Major	PST
334.8(c)(5)(A)(iii)	Failed to have a valid, current TCEQ delivery certificate posted at the Facility in a location where the document is clearly visible at all times, in violation of 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 334.8(c)(5)(A)(iii).	\$5,000	\$2,500	\$2,500	\$1,250	Programmatic Major	PST
37.815(a) and (b)	Failed to demonstrate acceptable financial assurance for taking corrective action and for compensating third parties for bodily injury and property damage caused by accidental releases arising from the operation of a petroleum UST, in violation of 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 37.815(a) and (b).	\$7,500	\$3,750	\$3,750	\$1,875	Potential Major	PST
288.20(c)	Failed to review and update the drought contingency plan at least every five years, in violation of 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 288.20(c).	\$1,000	\$500	\$500	\$250	Programmatic Major	PWS
290.106(c) and (e) and 290.107(c) and (e)	Failed to collect and report the results of (enter violation specifics) sampling to the Executive Director for the (enter monitoring period dates) monitoring period, in violation of 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 290.106(c) and (e), and 290.107(c) and (e).	\$1,500	\$750	\$750	\$375	Potential Major	PWS
290.106(e)	Failed to provide the results of (enter violation specifics) sampling to the Executive Director for the (monitoring period start date) through (monitoring period end date) monitoring period, in violation of 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 290.106(e).	\$1,000	\$500	\$500	\$250	Programmatic Major	PWS
290.109(c)(3)(C)(i)	Failed to conduct a Level 1 Assessment within 30 days after exceeding a level 1 treatment technique trigger, in violation of 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 290.109(c)(3)(C)(i).	\$1,000	\$500	\$500	\$250	Programmatic Major	PWS
290.109(c)(3)(D)(i)	Failed to conduct a Level 2 Assessment within 30 days after exceeding a level 2 treatment technique trigger, in violation of 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 290.109(c)(3)(D)(i).	\$1,000	\$500	\$500	\$250	Programmatic Major	PWS
290.109(d)(1)(A)	Failed to collect routine distribution coliform samples at a customer's premise, dedicated sampling station, or other designated compliance sampling location at active service connections which are representative of water quality throughout the distribution system, in violation of 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 290.109(d)(1)(A).	\$750	\$375	\$250	\$125	Potential Moderate	PWS
290.109(d)(3) and (f) and (g)	Failed to collect and report to the Executive Director the results of repeat monitoring samples for total coliform, fecal coliform, and Escherichia coli (or other approved fecal indicator) at the required sample sites where the total-coliform routine sample(s) was/were collected on (enter collections date(s)), in violation of 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 290.109(d)(3), (f), and (g).	\$1,500	\$750	\$750	\$375	Potential Major	PWS
290.109(d)(4)(B)	Failed to collect, within 24 hours of notification of the routine distribution total coliform-positive samples on (enter date(s) of positive samples), at least one raw groundwater source Escherichia coli (or other approved fecal indicator) sample from each active groundwater source in use at the time the distribution coliform-positive samples were collected, in violation of 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 290.109(d)(4)(B).	\$1,500	\$750	\$750	\$375	Potential Major	PWS
290.109(d)(6)	Failed to develop and maintain an up-to-date Sample Siting Plan that includes the routine and repeat microbial sampling sites and a sample collection schedule representative of water throughout the distribution system, all ground water sources and any associated sampling points, distribution system maps, and part of the public water system's monitoring plan as defined in 30. Tex. Admin Code § 290.121, in violation of 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 290.109(d)(6).	\$1,000	\$500	\$500	\$250	Programmatic Major	PWS
290.109(g)(1)(A)	Failed to comply with the maximum contaminant level ("MCL") for Escherichia coli, in violation of 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 290.109(g)(1)(A). Specifically, (enter violation specifics).	\$5,000	\$2,500	\$2,500	\$1,250	Actual Major	PWS
290.110(c)(4)(A)-Potential Major	Failed to monitor the disinfectant residual at representative locations throughout the distribution system at least once every seven days, in violation of 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 290.110(c)(4)(A).	\$1,500	\$750	\$750	\$375	Potential Major	PWS
290.110(c)(4)(A)-Potential Minor	Failed to monitor the disinfectant residual at representative locations throughout the distribution system at least once every seven days, in violation of 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 290.110(c)(4)(A).	\$350	\$175	\$150	\$75	Potential Minor	PWS
290.110(c)(4)(A)-Potential Moderate	Failed to monitor the disinfectant residual at representative locations throughout the distribution system at least once every seven days, in violation of 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 290.110(c)(4)(A).	\$750	\$375	\$250	\$125	Potential Moderate	PWS
290.110(c)(4)(B)-Potential Major	Failed to monitor the disinfectant residual at representative locations in the distribution system at least once per day, in violation of 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 290.110(c)(4)(B).	\$1,500	\$750	\$750	\$375	Potential Major	PWS
290.110(c)(4)(B)-Potential Minor	Failed to monitor the disinfectant residual at representative locations in the distribution system at least once per day, in violation of 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 290.110(c)(4)(B).	\$350	\$175	\$150	\$75	Potential Minor	PWS
290.110(c)(4)(B)-Potential Moderate	Failed to monitor the disinfectant residual at representative locations in the distribution system at least once per day, in violation of 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 290.110(c)(4)(B).	\$750	\$375	\$250	\$125	Potential Moderate	PWS

Attachment B: Expedited Compliance Order Violations and Penalties

290.110(f)(1)(A)	Failed to include all samples collected at sites designated in the monitoring plan as microbiological and residual monitoring sites in the compliance determination calculations, in violation of 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 290.110(f)(1)(A).	\$750	\$375	\$250	\$125	Potential Moderate	PWS
290.111(e)(1)(A)	Failed to achieve a turbidity level of CFE that is less than 1.0 NTU, in violation of 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 290.111(e)(1)(A) and TEX. HEALTH & SAFETY CODE § 341.0315(c). Specifically, (enter violation specifics).	\$750	\$375	\$250	\$125	Potential Moderate	PWS
290.111(e)(1)(B)	Failed to achieve a turbidity level of CFE that is less than 0.3 NTU in at least 95% of the samples tested during the months of (enter months), in violation of 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 290.111(e)(1)(B) and TEX. HEALTH & SAFETY CODE § 341.0315(c).	\$1,500	\$750	\$750	\$375	Potential Major	PWS
290.111(e)(3)(B)	Failed to properly monitor the turbidity level of the combined filter effluent ("CFE") at least every four hours that the system serves water to the public, in violation of 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 290.111(e)(3)(B). Specifically, (enter violation specifics).	\$1,500	\$750	\$750	\$375	Potential Major	PWS
290.111(h)	Failed to properly complete the Surface Water Monthly Operating Reports ("SWMORs") submitted to the Commission, in violation of 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 290.111(h).	\$50	\$50	\$50	\$50	Programmatic Minor	PWS
290.115(e)(2)	Failed to conduct an operation evaluation and submit a written operation evaluation report to the ED within 90 days after being notified of the analytical results that caused an exceedance of the operational evaluation level for total trihalomethanes ("TTHM") and haloacetic acids ("HAA5") for Stage 2 Disinfection Byproducts ("DBP2") at (enter Site and quarter specifics), in violation of 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 290.115(e)(2).	\$1,000	\$500	\$500	\$250	Programmatic Major	PWS
290.117(d)(2)(A) and (h) and (i)(2)	Failed to collect one lead and copper sample from the Facility's one entry point no later than 180 days after the end of the (enter monitoring period dates) monitoring period during which the lead action level was exceeded, have the samples analyzed, and report the results to the Executive Director, in violation of 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 290.117(d)(2)(A), (h), and (i)(2).	\$1,500	\$750	\$750	\$375	Potential Major	PWS
290.117(i)(6) and (j)	Failed to provide a consumer notification of lead tap water monitoring results to persons served at the sites (taps) that were tested, and failed to mail a copy of the consumer notification of tap results to the Executive Director along with certification that the consumer notification has been distributed in a manner consistent with TCEQ requirements for the (enter monitoring period dates) monitoring period, in violation of 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 290.117(i)(6) and (j).	\$1,000	\$500	\$500	\$250	Programmatic Major	PWS
290.121(a) and (b)-Programmatic Major	Failed to maintain an up-to-date chemical and microbiological monitoring plan that identifies all sampling locations, describes the sampling frequency, and specifies the analytical procedures and laboratories that the Facility will use to comply with the monitoring requirements, in violation of 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 290.121(a) and (b).	\$1,000	\$500	\$500	\$250	Programmatic Major	PWS
290.121(a) and (b)-Programmatic Minor	Failed to maintain an up-to-date chemical and microbiological monitoring plan that identifies all sampling locations, describes the sampling frequency, and specifies the analytical procedures and laboratories that the Facility will use to comply with the monitoring requirements, in violation of 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 290.121(a) and (b).	\$50	\$50	\$50	\$50	Programmatic Minor	PWS
290.121(a) and (b)-Programmatic Moderate	Failed to maintain an up-to-date chemical and microbiological monitoring plan that identifies all sampling locations, describes the sampling frequency, and specifies the analytical procedures and laboratories that the Facility will use to comply with the monitoring requirements, in violation of 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 290.121(a) and (b).	\$350	\$175	\$125	\$63	Programmatic Moderate	PWS
290.122(c)(2)(A) and (f)	Failed to provide public notification, accompanied with a signed Certificate of Delivery, to the Executive Director regarding the failure to (enter violation specifics), in violation of 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 290.122(c)(2)(A) and (f).	\$1,000	\$500	\$500	\$250	Programmatic Major	PWS
290.271(b) and 290.274(a) and (c)	Failed to mail or directly deliver one copy of the Consumer Confidence Report ("CCR") to each bill paying customer by July 1 for each year, and failed to submit to the TCEQ by July 1 for each year a copy of the annual CCR and certification that the CCR has been distributed for the customers of the Facility that the information on the CCR is correct and consistent with compliance monitoring data, in violation of 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 290.271(b) and 290.274(a) and (c).	\$1,000	\$500	\$500	\$250	Programmatic Major	PWS
290.272 and 290.274(a)-Programmatic Major	Failed to meet the adequacy, availability, and/or content requirements for the CCR for the year, in violation of 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE §§ 290.272 and 290.274(a).	\$1,000	\$500	\$500	\$250	Programmatic Major	PWS
290.272 and 290.274(a)-Programmatic Minor	Failed to meet the adequacy, availability, and/or content requirements for the CCR for the year, in violation of 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE §§ 290.272 and 290.274(a).	\$50	\$50	\$50	\$50	Programmatic Minor	PWS
290.272 and 290.274(a)-Programmatic Moderate	Failed to meet the adequacy, availability, and/or content requirements for the CCR for the year, in violation of 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE §§ 290.272 and 290.274(a).	\$350	\$175	\$125	\$63	Programmatic Moderate	PWS
290.39(o)(3) and 290.45(h)(1)- Programmatic Major	Failed to adopt and submit to the Executive Director a complete Emergency Preparedness Plan ("EPP") that demonstrates the Facility's ability to provide emergency operations, in violation of 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE §§ 290.39(o)(3) and 290.45(h)(1).	\$1,000	\$500	\$500	\$250	Programmatic Major	PWS
290.39(o)(3) and 290.45(h)(1)-Programmatic Minor	Failed to adopt and submit to the Executive Director a complete Emergency Preparedness Plan ("EPP") that demonstrates the Facility's ability to provide emergency operations, in violation of 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE §§ 290.39(o)(3) and 290.45(h)(1).	\$50	\$50	\$50	\$50	Programmatic Minor	PWS

Attachment B: Expedited Compliance Order Violations and Penalties

290.39(o)(3) and 290.45(h)(1)-Programmatic Moderate	Failed to adopt and submit to the Executive Director a complete Emergency Preparedness Plan ("EPP") that demonstrates the Facility's ability to provide emergency operations, in violation of 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE §§ 290.39(o)(3) and 290.45(h)(1).	\$350	\$175	\$125	\$63	Programmatic Moderate	PWS
290.41(c)(3)(B)-Potential Major	Failed to provide a well casing a minimum of 18 inches above the elevation of the finished floor of the pump house or natural ground surface, in violation of 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE §290.41(c)(3)(B).	\$1,500	\$750	\$750	\$375	Potential Major	PWS
290.41(c)(3)(B)-Potential Moderate	Failed to provide a well casing a minimum of 18 inches above the elevation of the finished floor of the pump house or natural ground surface, in violation of 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 290.41(c)(3)(B).	\$750	\$375	\$250	\$125	Potential Moderate	PWS
290.41(c)(3)(K)-Potential Minor	Failure to seal the wellhead by a gasket or sealing compound and provide a well casing vent for the well that is covered with 16-mesh or finer corrosion-resistant screen, facing downward, elevated and located so as to minimize the drawing of contaminants into the well, in violation of 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 290.41(c)(3)(K).	\$350	\$175	\$150	\$75	Potential Minor	PWS
290.41(c)(3)(K)-Potential Moderate	Failure to seal the wellhead by a gasket or sealing compound and provide a well casing vent for the well that is covered with 16-mesh or finer corrosion-resistant screen, facing downward, elevated and located so as to minimize the drawing of contaminants into the well, in violation of 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 290.41(c)(3)(K).	\$750	\$375	\$250	\$125	Potential Moderate	PWS
290.41(c)(3)(M)	Failed to provide suitable sampling cocks on the discharge pipe prior to any treatment, in violation of 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 290.41(c)(3)(M).	\$350	\$175	\$150	\$75	Potential Minor	PWS
290.41(c)(3)(N)	Failed to provide a flow measuring device for each well to measure production yields and provide for the accumulation of water production data, in violation of 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 290.41(c)(3)(N).	\$1,500	\$750	\$750	\$375	Potential Major	PWS
290.42(b)(1) and (e)(3)-Potential Major	Failed to provide disinfection facilities for the groundwater supply for the purpose of microbiological control and distribution protection, in violation of 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 290.42(b)(1) and (e)(3).	\$1,500	\$750	\$750	\$375	Potential Major	PWS
290.42(b)(1) and (e)(3)-Potential Moderate	Failed to provide disinfection facilities for the groundwater supply for the purpose of microbiological control and distribution protection, in violation of 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 290.42(b)(1) and (e)(3).	\$750	\$375	\$250	\$125	Potential Moderate	PWS
290.42(d)(2)(A)	Failed to provide vacuum breakers on each hose bibb within the plant facility, in violation of 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE §290.42(d)(2)(A).	\$1,500	\$750	\$750	\$375	Potential Major	PWS
290.42(d)(5)	Failed to provide a flow-measuring device to measure the raw water supplied to the plant, the recycled decant water, the treated water used to backwash the filters, and the treatment water discharged from the plant, in violation of 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 290.42(d)(5).	\$750	\$375	\$250	\$125	Potential Moderate	PWS
290.42(e)(2)	Failed to disinfect all groundwater prior to distribution with a point of application ahead of the Facility's ground storage tank ("GST"), in violation of 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 290.42(e)(2).	\$1,500	\$750	\$750	\$375	Potential Major	PWS
290.42(e)(3)(D)	Failed to provide facilities for determining the amount of disinfectant used daily and the amount remaining for use, in violation of 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 290.42(e)(3)(D).	\$750	\$375	\$250	\$125	Potential Moderate	PWS
290.42(e)(4)(A)	Failed to provide a full-face self-contained breathing apparatus ("SCBA") or supplied air respirator that meets Occupational Safety and Health Administration ("OSHA") standards for construction and operation, and a small bottle of fresh ammonia solution (or approved equal) for testing for chlorine leakage that is readily available to the operator in the event of an emergency, in violation of 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 290.42(e)(4)(A).	\$1,500	\$750	\$750	\$375	Potential Major	PWS
290.42(e)(4)(C)	Failed to provide forced air ventilation, which includes the both high level and floor level screened and louvered vents, a fan which is located and draws air in through the top bent and discharges to the outside atmosphere through the floor level vent, and a fan switch located outside, for enclosures containing more than one operating 150-pound cylinder of chlorine, in violation of 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 290.42(e)(4)(C).	\$1,500	\$750	\$750	\$375	Potential Major	PWS
290.42(j)	Failed to use an approved chemical or media for the disinfection of potable water that conforms to the American National Standards Institute/National Sanitation Foundation ("ANSI/NSF") Standard 60 for Drinking Water Chemicals, in violation of 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 290.42(j).	\$350	\$175	\$150	\$75	Potential Minor	PWS
290.42(l)-Programmatic Major	Failed to compile and maintain a thorough and up-to-date plant operations manual for operators review and reference, in violation of 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 290.42(l).	\$1,000	\$500	\$500	\$250	Programmatic Major	PWS
290.42(l)-Programmatic Minor	Failed to compile and maintain a thorough and up-to-date plant operations manual for operators review and reference, in violation of 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 290.42(l).	\$50	\$50	\$50	\$50	Programmatic Minor	PWS
290.42(l)-Programmatic Moderate	Failed to compile and maintain a thorough and up-to-date plant operations manual for operators review and reference, in violation of 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 290.42(l).	\$350	\$175	\$125	\$63	Programmatic Moderate	PWS
290.43(c)	Failed to ensure that all potable water storage facilities are covered and designed, fabricated, erected, tested and disinfected in strict accordance with current American Water Works ("AWWA") standards, in violation of 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 290.43(c).	\$750	\$375	\$250	\$125	Potential Moderate	PWS

Attachment B: Expedited Compliance Order Violations and Penalties

290.43(c)(1)	Failed to provide the ground storage tank ("GST") with a gooseneck roof vent or a roof ventilator designed by an engineer and installed in strict accordance with American Water Works Association standards and equipped with a corrosion-resistant 16-mesh or finer screen, in violation of 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 290.43(c)(1).	\$750	\$375	\$250	\$125	Potential Moderate	PWS
290.43(c)(2)	Failed to ensure that the Facility's ground storage tank ("GST") hatch remains locked except during inspections and maintenance, in violation of 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 290.43(c)(2).	\$750	\$375	\$250	\$125	Potential Moderate	PWS
290.43(c)(3)	Failed to maintain the Facility's storage tanks in strict accordance with current American Water Works Association ("AWWA") standards with an overflow pipe that terminates downward with a gravity-hinged and weighted cover tightly fitted with no gap over 1/16 inch, in violation of 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 290.43(c)(3).	\$750	\$375	\$250	\$125	Potential Moderate	PWS
290.43(c)(4)	Failed to provide all ground storage tanks ("GSTs") with a liquid level indicator, in violation of 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 290.43(c)(4).	\$350	\$175	\$150	\$75	Potential Minor	PWS
290.43(d)(6)	Failed to provide all dead-end mains with acceptable flash valves and discharge piping, in violation of 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 290.44(d)(6).	\$750	\$375	\$250	\$125	Potential Moderate	PWS
290.44(d) and 290.46(r)-Potential Major	Failed to provide a minimum pressure of 35 pounds per square inch ("psi") throughout the distribution system under normal operating conditions and 20 psi during emergencies such as firefighting, in violation of 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE §§ 290.44(d) and 290.46(r).	\$1,500	\$750	\$750	\$375	Potential Major	PWS
290.44(d) and 290.46(r)-Potential Moderate	Failed to provide a minimum pressure of 35 pounds per square inch ("psi") throughout the distribution system under normal operating conditions and 20 psi during emergencies such as firefighting, in violation of 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE §§ 290.44(d) and 290.46(r).	\$750	\$375	\$250	\$125	Potential Moderate	PWS
290.44(h)(1)(A)-Potential Major	Failed to ensure additional protection was provided at all residences or establishments where an actual or potential contamination hazard exists in the form of an air gap or a backflow prevention assembly ("BPA"), as identified in 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 290.47(f), in violation of 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 290.44(h)(1)(A).	\$1,500	\$750	\$750	\$375	Potential Major	PWS
290.44(h)(1)(A)-Potential Moderate	Failed to ensure additional protection was provided at all residences or establishments where an actual or potential contamination hazard exists in the form of an air gap or a backflow prevention assembly ("BPA"), as identified in 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 290.47(f), in violation of 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 290.44(h)(1)(A).	\$750	\$375	\$250	\$125	Potential Moderate	PWS
290.44(h)(3)	Failed to provide an air gap between the filling outlet hose and the receiving tank at the overhead bulk water dispensing station, in violation of 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 290.44(h)(3).	\$1,500	\$750	\$750	\$375	Potential Major	PWS
290.44(h)(4)	Failed to have all BPAs tested upon installation and on an annual basis by a recognized backflow assembly tester and certified that they are operating within specifications, in violation of 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 290.44(h)(4).	\$1,500	\$750	\$750	\$375	Potential Major	PWS
290.45(h)(1)	Failed to provide sufficient power to meet the capacity requirements in accordance with the affected utility's approved Emergency Preparedness Plan ("EPP"), in violation of 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 290.45(h)(1).	\$50	\$50	\$50	\$50	Programmatic Minor	PWS
290.46(d) and 290.110(b)(2) and (b)(4)-Potential Major	Failed to maintain a disinfectant residual of at least 0.2 milligrams per liter ("mg/L") of free chlorine throughout the distribution system and in the water entering the distribution system at all times, in violation of 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE §§ 290.46(d) and 290.110(b)(2) and (b)(4) and TEX. HEALTH & SAFETY CODE § 341.0315(c).	\$1,500	\$750	\$750	\$375	Potential Major	PWS
290.46(d) and 290.110(b)(2) and (b)(4)-Potential Moderate	Failed to maintain a disinfectant residual of at least 0.2 milligrams per liter ("mg/L") of free chlorine throughout the distribution system and in the water entering the distribution system at all times, in violation of 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE §§ 290.46(d) and 290.110(b)(2) and (b)(4) and TEX. HEALTH & SAFETY CODE § 341.0315(c).	\$750	\$375	\$250	\$125	Potential Moderate	PWS
290.46(e)	Failed to use a water works operator who holds an applicable, valid license issued by the Executive Director, in violation of 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 290.46(e) and TEX. HEALTH & SAFETY CODE § 341.033(a).	\$750	\$375	\$250	\$125	Potential Moderate	PWS
290.46(f)(2)-Programmatic Major	Failed to maintain water works operation and maintenance records and make them readily available for review by the Executive Director upon request, in violation of 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 290.46(f)(2).	\$1,000	\$500	\$500	\$250	Programmatic Major	PWS
290.46(f)(2)-Programmatic Minor	Failed to maintain water works operation and maintenance records and make them readily available for review by the Executive Director upon request, in violation of 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 290.46(f)(2).	\$50	\$50	\$50	\$50	Programmatic Minor	PWS
290.46(f)(2)-Programmatic Moderate	Failed to maintain water works operation and maintenance records and make them readily available for review by the Executive Director upon request, in violation of 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 290.46(f)(2).	\$350	\$175	\$125	\$63	Programmatic Moderate	PWS
290.46(j)(1)(A) and (B)	Failed to have all customer service inspections conducted by an individual that is a Plumber Inspector or Water Supply Protection Specialist licensed by the State Board of Plumbing Examiners or by a Customer Service Inspector who has completed a Commission approved course, passed an examination administered by the Executive Director, and holds a current professional certificate or endorsement as a Customer Service Inspector, in violation of 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 290.46(j)(1)(A) and (B).	\$750	\$375	\$250	\$125	Potential Moderate	PWS

Attachment B: Expedited Compliance Order Violations and Penalties

290.46(j)-Potential Major	Failed to complete a Customer Service Inspection ("CSI") certificate prior to providing continuous service to new construction, on any existing service when the water purveyor has reason to believe cross-connections or other potential contamination hazards exist, or after any material improvement, correction, or addition to the private water distribution facilities, in violation of 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 290.46(j).	\$1,500	\$750	\$750	\$375	Potential Major	PWS
290.46(j)-Programmatic Major	Failed to get approval from the Executive Director prior to using a CSI certificate which varies from the format found in Form TCEQ-20699, in violation of 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 290.46(j).	\$1,000	\$500	\$500	\$250	Programmatic Major	PWS
290.46(l)-Potential Minor	Failed to flush all dead-end mains at monthly intervals if water quality complaints are received from water customers or if disinfectant residuals fall below acceptable levels, in violation of 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 290.46(l).	\$350	\$175	\$150	\$75	Potential Minor	PWS
290.46(l)-Potential Moderate	Failed to flush all dead-end mains at monthly intervals, in violation of 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 290.46(l).	\$750	\$375	\$250	\$125	Potential Moderate	PWS
290.46(m)(1)(A)	Failed to inspect the Facility's (enter violation specifics) annually, in violation of 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 290.46(m)(1)(A).	\$750	\$375	\$250	\$125	Potential Moderate	PWS
290.46(m)(1)(B)	Failed to inspect the interior of the Facility's pressure tank at least once every five years, in violation of 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 290.46(m)(1)(B).	\$1,500	\$750	\$750	\$375	Potential Major	PWS
290.46(m)(4)	Failed to maintain all water treatment units, storage and pressure maintenance facilities, distribution system lines, and related appurtenances in a water tight condition and free of excessive solids, in violation of 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 290.46(m)(4).	\$750	\$375	\$250	\$125	Potential Moderate	PWS
290.46(m)(6)	Failed to maintain all pumps, motors, valves, and other mechanical devices in good working condition, in violation of 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 290.46(m)(6).	\$750	\$375	\$250	\$125	Potential Moderate	PWS
290.46(m)-Potential Minor	Failed to initiate maintenance and housekeeping practices to ensure the good working condition and general appearance of the system's facilities and equipment, in violation of 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 290.46(m).	\$350	\$175	\$150	\$75	Potential Minor	PWS
290.46(m)-Potential Moderate	Failed to initiate maintenance and housekeeping practices to ensure the good working condition and general appearance of the system's facilities and equipment, in violation of 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 290.46(m).	\$750	\$375	\$250	\$125	Potential Moderate	PWS
290.46(n)(2)-Programmatic Major	Failed to make available an accurate and up-to-date map of the distribution system so that valves and mains can be easily located during emergencies, in violation of 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 290.46(n)(2).	\$1,000	\$500	\$500	\$250	Programmatic Major	PWS
290.46(n)(2)-Programmatic Minor	Failed to make available an accurate and up-to-date map of the distribution system so that valves and mains can be easily located during emergencies, in violation of 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 290.46(n)(2).	\$50	\$50	\$50	\$50	Programmatic Minor	PWS
290.46(n)(2)-Programmatic Moderate	Failed to make available an accurate and up-to-date map of the distribution system so that valves and mains can be easily located during emergencies, in violation of 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 290.46(n)(2).	\$350	\$175	\$125	\$63	Programmatic Moderate	PWS
290.46(q)	Failed to institute special precautions, protective measures, and Boil Water Notices ("BWNs") within 24 hours in the event of low distribution pressures or of becoming aware of conditions which indicate that the potability of the drinking water supply has been compromised, in violation of 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 290.46(q).	\$1,500	\$750	\$750	\$375	Potential Major	PWS
290.46(s)(1)-Potential Minor	Failed to calibrate the Facility's (#) well meter(s) at least once every three years, in violation of 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 290.46(s)(1).	\$350	\$175	\$150	\$75	Potential Minor	PWS
290.46(s)(1)-Potential Moderate	Failed to calibrate the Facility's (#) well meter(s) at least once every three years, in violation of 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 290.46(s)(1).	\$750	\$375	\$250	\$125	Potential Moderate	PWS
290.46(s)(2)(A)	Failed to calibrate the Facility's pH meter according to manufacturer specifications at least once each day and check with at least one buffer each time a series of samples is run, in violation of 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 290.46(s)(2)(A).	\$750	\$375	\$250	\$125	Potential Moderate	PWS
290.46(s)(2)(B)(i) and (s)(2)(B)(ii)	Failed to calibrate the Facility's benchtop turbidimeter with primary standards at least once every 90 days and check the calibration with secondary standards each time a series of samples is tested, in violation of 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 290.46(s)(2)(B)(i) and (s)(2)(B)(ii).	\$750	\$375	\$250	\$125	Potential Moderate	PWS
290.46(s)(2)(C)(i)	Failed to verify the accuracy of the manual disinfectant residual analyzer at least once every 90 days using chlorine solutions of known concentrations, in violation of 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 290.46(s)(2)(C)(i).	\$750	\$375	\$250	\$125	Potential Moderate	PWS
290.46(s)(2)(D)	Failed to verify the accuracy of the analyzer used to determine the effectiveness of chloramination in accordance with the manufacturer's recommendations every 90 days, in violation of 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 290.46(s)(2)(D).	\$350	\$175	\$150	\$75	Potential Minor	PWS
290.46(t)-Programmatic Major	Failed to post a legible sign at the Facility's production, treatment, and storage facilities that contains the name of the Facility and an emergency telephone number where a responsible official can be contacted, in violation of 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 290.46(t).	\$50	\$50	\$50	\$50	Programmatic Minor	PWS
290.46(t)-Programmatic Minor	Failed to post a legible sign at the Facility's production, treatment, and storage facilities that contains the name of the Facility and an emergency telephone number where a responsible official can be contacted, in violation of 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 290.46(t).	\$1,000	\$500	\$500	\$250	Programmatic Major	PWS
290.46(t)-Programmatic Moderate	Failed to post a legible sign at the Facility's production, treatment, and storage facilities that contains the name of the Facility and an emergency telephone number where a responsible official can be contacted, in violation of 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 290.46(t).	\$350	\$175	\$125	\$63	Programmatic Moderate	PWS

Attachment B: Expedited Compliance Order Violations and Penalties

290.46(v)	Failed to ensure that the electrical wiring is securely installed in compliance with a local or national electrical code, in violation of 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 290.46(v).	\$1,500	\$750	\$750	\$375	Potential Major	PWS
290.46(z)	Failed to create a nitrification action plan for all systems distributing chloraminated water, in violation of 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 290.46(z).	\$1,000	\$500	\$500	\$250	Programmatic Major	PWS
30.5(a)- individual holds the wrong permit	Failed to have a current, valid water system operator's license prior to performing process duties in production or distribution of public drinking water, in violation of 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE §§ 30.5(a) and 30.381(b) and TEX. HEALTH & SAFETY CODE § 341.034(b).	\$750	\$375	\$250	\$125	Potential Moderate	PWS
30.5(a)- individual never had a license	Failed to have a current, valid water system operator's license prior to performing process duties in production or distribution of public drinking water, in violation of 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE §§ 30.5(a) and 30.381(b) and TEX. HEALTH & SAFETY CODE § 341.034(b).	\$1,500	\$750	\$750	\$375	Potential Major	PWS
30.5(a)- individual's permit expired	Failed to have a current, valid water system operator's license prior to performing process duties in production or distribution of public drinking water, in violation of 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE §§ 30.5(a) and 30.381(b) and TEX. HEALTH & SAFETY CODE § 341.034(b).	\$1,000	\$500	\$500	\$250	Programmatic Major	PWS
30.5(b)	Failed to refrain from advertising or representing to the public that it can perform services for which a license is required, in violation of 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 30.5(b) and TEX. WATER CODE § 37.003.	\$1,000	\$500	\$500	\$250	Programmatic Major	PWS
297.11-Diverting	Failed to obtain authorization prior to diverting, impounding, storing, taking, or using state water, in violation of 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 297.11 and TEX. WATER CODE §§ 11.081 and 11.121.	\$1,000	\$500	\$500	\$250	Programmatic Major	Water Rights
297.11-Impoundment	Failed to obtain authorization prior to diverting, impounding, storing, taking, or using state water, in violation of 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 297.11 and TEX. WATER CODE § 11.121.	\$1,000	\$500	\$500	\$250	Programmatic Major	Water Rights
281.25(a)(4)	Failed to obtain authorization to discharge stormwater associated with construction activities, in violation of 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 281.25(a)(4), TEX. WATER CODE § 26.121, and 40 CODE OF FEDERAL REGULATIONS § 122.26(c).	\$0	\$0	\$2,500	\$1,250	Programmatic Major	WQ
305.125(1) and (17) and 319.7(d) Programmatic Minor	Failed to timely submit effluent monitoring results at intervals specified in the permit, in violation of 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE §§ 305.125(1) and (17) and 319.7(d), and TPDES Permit No. (enter permit no.), Monitoring and Reporting Requirements (enter requirement provision nos.).	\$250	\$125	\$250	\$125	Programmatic Minor	WQ
305.125(1) and (17) and 319.7(d)- Programmatic Major	Failed to submit effluent monitoring results at intervals specified in the permit, in violation of 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE §§ 305.125(1) and (17) and 319.7(d), and TPDES Permit No. (enter permit no.), Monitoring and Reporting Requirements (enter requirement provision nos.).	\$5,000	\$2,500	\$2,500	\$1,250	Programmatic Major	WQ
			\$0		\$0		
			\$0		\$0		
			\$0		\$0		