

MCELROY, SULLIVAN, MILLER & WEBER, LLP

500 W. 5th Street, Suite 1375 Austin, TX 78701 | www.msmtx.com

MAILING ADDRESS: P.O. Box 12127, Austin, TX 78711 | T. 512.327.8111 F. 512.350.2681

September 16, 2024

### <u>Via FTP Upload</u>

Ms. Ellie Guerra Office of the Chief Clerk Texas Commission on Environmental Quality 12100 Park 35 Circle, Building F Austin, Texas 78753

> **RE:** Application for Proposed Permit No. WQ0005417000 (EPA I.D. TX 0143600) To be Issued to Leprino Foods Company CN605980739, RN111422333

Dear Ms. Guerra,

The TCEQ Commissioners issued an Interim Order in the above-referenced matter on September 6, 2024. Pursuant to 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 80.118(d), Applicant Leprino Foods Company submits the following documents (*See* **LEPRINO\_00001-000378**) which are the original application and revisions to the application for inclusion in the Administrative Record:

- Application for a New Individual Permit to Discharge Industrial Wastewater for Leprino Foods Company located in Lubbock, Texas (September 23, 2022);
- TCEQ Notice of Deficiency Letter to Leprino Food Company (October 6, 2022);
- Leprino Foods Company Response to TCEQ's Notice of Deficiency Letter (**October** 10, 2022); *and*
- Revised Application Comments to Draft Permit Package (March 23, 2023).

Leprino Foods Company has retained McElroy, Sullivan, Miller & Weber, L.L.P. to represent it in the above-referenced matter. The undersigned respectfully requests addition to the service list and to be provided with any future notices or correspondence.

If you should require any further from the Applicant at this time, please do not hesitate to let us know.

Sincerely,

<u>/s/ Adam Friedman</u> Adam Friedman <u>afriedman@msmtx.com</u> State Bar No. 24059783 MCELROY, SULLIVAN, MILLER & WEBER, L.L.P. ATTORNEY FOR LEPRINO FOODS COMPANY



September 23, 2022

Applications Review and Processing Team (MC 148) Water Quality Division Texas Commission of Environmental Quality P.O. Box 13087 Austin, Texas 77651

#### RE: Application for a New Individual Permit to Discharge Industrial Wastewater for Leprino Foods Company located in Lubbock, Texas CN605980739 RN111422333

To Whom It May Concern:

On behalf of Leprino Foods Company (Leprino), please find the enclosed permit application for a new Individual Permit to discharge industrial wastewater into "waters of the state". Enclosed you will find one original and three complete copies for your consideration. The application fee has been paid through TCEQ ePay and a copy of the receipt is provided in Attachment A.

Additional Information

- 1. The Plain Language Summary (PLS) in English and Spanish, are provided in the Industrial Administrative Report 1.0.
- 2. Water Quality Assessment Team preliminary modeling for seasonal limits at various flows are provided in Section 1 Agency Communications.

If you have any questions concerning this application, please contact me at (409) 504-6933. We look forward to working with you in processing this application. Thank you for your consideration. Sincerely,

TRINITY CONSULTANTS

Allen Rienstra Senior Staff Scientist

Attachments

Cc. Hannah Bradish – Environmental Compliance Engineer – Leprino Foods Company Joe Herrud – Senior Director of Environmental Engineering – Leprino Foods Company Katie Jeziorski – Managing Consultant – Trinity Consultants



# TEXAS POLLUTANT DISCHARGE ELIMINATION SYSTEM APPLICATION FOR A NEW INDUSTRIAL WASTEWATER PERMIT

CN605980739 RN111422333

### Leprino Foods Company Lubbock, Texas

**Prepared By:** 

Katie Jeziorski – Managing Consultant Allen Rienstra – Consultant

### TRINITY CONSULTANTS

12700 Park Central Drive, Suite 2100 Dallas, Texas 75251 (972) 661-8100

September 2022

Project 214401.0274



- **1. AGENCY COMMUNICATION**
- 2. INDUSTRIAL ADMINISTRATIVE REPORT 1.0
- 3. INDUSTRIAL ADMINISTRATIVE REPORT 1.1
- 4. SUPPLEMENTAL PERMIT INFORMATION FORM
- 5. INDUSTRIAL TECHNICAL REPORT 1.0
- 6. WORKSHEET 1.0 EPA EFFLUENT GUIDELINES
- 7. WORKSHEET 2.0 POLLUTANT ANALYSIS REQUIREMENTS
- 8. WORKSHEET 3.1 SURFACE LAND APPLICATION AND EVAPORATION
- 9. WORKSHEET 4.0 RECEIVING WATERS
- **10. WORKSHEET 7.0 STORMWATER DISCHARGES**
- ATTACHMENT A. COPY OF FEE SUBMITTAL
- **ATTACHMENT B. CORE DATA FORM**
- **ATTACHMENT C. PROPERTY OWNERSHIP**
- **ATTACHMENT D. USGS MAP**
- **ATTACHMENT E. DISCHARGE ROUTE DESCRIPTION**
- ATTACHMENT F. ADJACENT LANDOWNER INFORMATION
- ATTACHMENT G. ORIGINAL PHOTOGRAPHS AND MAPS OF PHOTO LOCATIONS
- **ATTACHMENT H. SPIF INFORMATION**
- **ATTACHMENT I. FACILITY DESCRIPTION**
- **ATTACHMENT J. RAW MATERIALS**
- **ATTACHMENT K. FACILITY SITE DRAWINGS**
- ATTACHMENT L. FLOW SCHEMATIC DIAGRAM
- **ATTACHMENT M. SDS**
- **ATTACHMENT N. IMPOUNDMENTS**
- ATTACHMENT O. PRELIMINARY MODELING
- ATTACHMENT P. WASTEWATER TREATMENT PLANT DETAILED PROCESS DESCRIPTION

**1. AGENCY COMMUNICATION** 



From:	James Michalk
To:	Hannah Bradish; Joseph Herrud
Cc:	Kelly Hawkins; Chris Lewis; Katie Jeziorski; James Frazier; Allen Rienstra
Subject:	RE: Meeting for Leprino Foods proposed limits
Date:	Thursday, September 8, 2022 2:12:33 PM
Attachments:	image001.png

Good afternoon all,

I have completed the additional seasonal effluent limit analysis for a discharge flow of 2.0 MGD.

One thing to please note -- in my earlier emails regarding the model results (summer and seasonal) and potential effluent limit combinations, I said that the effluent limits would include  $\underline{C}BOD_5$ , ammonia-nitrogen (NH<sub>3</sub>-N), and minimum effluent dissolved oxygen (DO), since for *Municipal* permits we typically recommend  $CBOD_5$  limits (rather than  $BOD_5$  limits) when the permit also includes an NH<sub>3</sub>-N limit. However, as an *Industrial* permit, it is my understanding that this permit would need to include a **BOD<sub>5</sub> limit** (not a  $CBOD_5$  limit), since the 40 CFR categorical limits actually require a  $BOD_5$  limit for this type of facility/discharge. My model results and the various potential effluent set combinations are unchanged from what I provided previously (although see below for an additional potential monthly grouping for the 1.5 MGD seasonal limit scenario), but I just wanted to clarify that issue.

You can check with the Permitting Section if it would be possible to *request* that the permit include a  $CBOD_5$  limit instead of  $BOD_5$  (since the permit would also include an  $NH_3$ -N limit), but again it's my understanding that a  $BOD_5$  limit is required in this case.

#### Modeling Results:

Possible combinations of effluent limits predicted to be adequate to ensure that DO levels will be maintained above the criterion applicable to the lake are as follow:

- For 2.0 MGD, the 'summertime' effluent set (from the analysis performed back in May) of 5 mg/L BOD<sub>5</sub>, 1.0 mg/L NH<sub>3</sub>-N, and 6 mg/L minimum effluent DO (5/1.0/6) would most likely be applied for (at least) the months of April September (depending how you wanted to group the other months, per the additional options described below). The predicted necessary limits for April, May, and September aren't (in my opinion) less stringent enough to be worth including those months in the 'cooler' monthly grouping, since we will only allow two groupings of effluent limits for seasonal limits (i.e. some set of 'warmer' months and some set of 'cooler' months).
- For 2.0 MGD for the 'cooler' monthly grouping, the following options were all predicted to be adequate:
  - October March at any of the following effluent sets (BOD<sub>5</sub> / NH<sub>3</sub>-N / DO):
    - 8/2/6
    - 7/2/5
    - 6/2/4
    - **5/3/6**
  - November March at any of the following effluent sets (BOD<sub>5</sub> / NH<sub>3</sub>-N / DO):
    - 9/2/6
    - 8/2/5
    - 7/2/4
    - 6/3/6
  - November February at any of the following effluent sets (BOD<sub>5</sub> / NH<sub>3</sub>-N / DO):
    - 12/2/4
    - 13/2/5
    - 14/2/6
    - 11/3/6
    - 8/4/6
- I don't think I looked specifically at the **Nov Feb** potential monthly grouping for the earlier **1.5 MGD** seasonal effluent limit analysis. Since predicted necessary effluent limits were considerably less stringent at 2.0 MGD for Nov Feb than they were for Nov Mar, I also performed some new modeling specifically for the Nov Feb period at 1.5 MGD, in case you want to consider that alternative monthly grouping of seasonal effluent limits for a possible additional option.
  - For 1.5 MGD, November February at any of the following effluent sets (BOD<sub>5</sub> / NH<sub>3</sub>-N / DO):
    - 15/2/4

- 16/2/5
- 17/2/6
- 13/3/4
- 14/3/6
- 12/4/6
- 11/4/5
- **1**0/4/4

Other monthly groupings and effluent set combinations may also be adequate and can be evaluated upon request.

As we have discussed previously, these are only the effluent limits that are related to potential direct dissolved oxygen impacts. The Standards Implementation Team may recommend other effluent limits including for nutrients (phosphorus and/or forms of nitrogen other than ammonia-nitrogen), TDS/sulfate/chloride, and possibly other constituents. I don't know what all other types of limits or requirements may be included in the permit by the Permitting Section for this specific type of facility or discharge.

Please let me know if you have any questions about the DO modeling results or these potential seasonal effluent limit combinations. Thank you again.

Jim Michalk Water Quality Assessment Team

From: Hannah Bradish <hbradish@leprinofoods.com>
Sent: Friday, July 29, 2022 8:16 AM
To: James Michalk <james.michalk@tceq.texas.gov>; Joseph Herrud <jherrud@leprinofoods.com>
Cc: Kelly Hawkins <khawkins@leprinofoods.com>; Chris Lewis <CLewis@probstgroup.com>; Katie Jeziorski
<KJeziorski@trinityconsultants.com>; James Frazier <jfrazier@leprinofoods.com>; Allen Rienstra
<allen.rienstra@trinityconsultants.com>
Subject: RE: Meeting for Leprino Foods proposed limits

Hi Jim,

I wanted to check back in with you to see if you have had a chance to run the seasonal limits at the 2.0 MGD flow rate?

Thanks!

Hannah Bradish Environmental Compliance Engineer Leprino Foods Company O: (303) 480-7726 C: (303) 548-8718 (preferred) hbradish@leprinofoods.com

 From: James Michalk <james.michalk@tceq.texas.gov>

 Sent: Friday, July 8, 2022 12:15 PM

 To: Hannah Bradish <<u>hbradish@leprinofoods.com</u>>; Joseph Herrud@leprinofoods.com>

 Cc: Kelly Hawkins <<u>khawkins@leprinofoods.com</u>>; Chris Lewis <<u>CLewis@probstgroup.com</u>>; Katie Jeziorski

 <<u>KJeziorski@trinityconsultants.com</u>>; James Frazier <<u>jfrazier@leprinofoods.com</u>>; Allen Rienstra

 <allen.rienstra@trinityconsultants.com>

 Subject: RE: Meeting for Leprino Foods proposed limits

Good afternoon Hannah,

I can, but it might be a little while. I'm working on several other priority projects and permits right now, with another hearing coming up July 20-22.

But remind me if you don't hear back from me by the end of the month.

Jim Michalk

Water Quality Assessment Team

 From: Hannah Bradish <<u>hbradish@leprinofoods.com</u>>

 Sent: Friday, July 8, 2022 12:26 PM

 To: James Michalk <<u>james.michalk@tceq.texas.gov</u>>; Joseph Herrud <<u>jherrud@leprinofoods.com</u>>

 Cc: Kelly Hawkins <<u>khawkins@leprinofoods.com</u>>; Chris Lewis <<u>CLewis@probstgroup.com</u>>; Katie Jeziorski

 <KJeziorski@trinityconsultants.com>; James Frazier <<u>jfrazier@leprinofoods.com</u>>; Allen Rienstra

 <allen.rienstra@trinityconsultants.com>

 Subject: RE: Meeting for Leprino Foods proposed limits

Hi Jim,

Would it be possible to run the model for seasonal limits at the flow of 2.0 MGD? I know we had originally just requested the 1.5 MGD seasonal analysis but are hoping to see what the 2.0 MGD seasonal limits would look like.

Thanks!

Hannah Bradish Environmental Compliance Engineer Leprino Foods Company O: (303) 480-7726 C: (303) 548-8718 (preferred) hbradish@leprinofoods.com

 From: James Michalk <james.michalk@tceq.texas.gov>

 Sent: Thursday, June 16, 2022 7:42 AM

 To: Hannah Bradish <hbradish@leprinofoods.com>; Joseph Herrud <jherrud@leprinofoods.com>

 Cc: Kelly Hawkins <khawkins@leprinofoods.com>; Chris Lewis <CLewis@probstgroup.com>; Katie Jeziorski

 <KJeziorski@trinityconsultants.com>; James Frazier <jfrazier@leprinofoods.com>; Allen Rienstra

 <allen.rienstra@trinityconsultants.com>

 Subject: RE: Meeting for Leprino Foods proposed limits

Reading back over my emails from yesterday, I somehow neglected to include that those seasonal effluent set options were all for **1.5 MGD** model runs, per Hannah's email, so just wanted to clarify that. Have a good day!

Jim Michalk Water Quality Assessment Team

From: James Michalk

Sent: Wednesday, June 15, 2022 6:46 PM

To: Hannah Bradish <<u>hbradish@leprinofoods.com</u>>; Joseph Herrud <<u>jherrud@leprinofoods.com</u>> Cc: Kelly Hawkins <<u>khawkins@leprinofoods.com</u>>; Chris Lewis <<u>CLewis@probstgroup.com</u>>; Katie Jeziorski <<u>KJeziorski@trinityconsultants.com</u>>; James Frazier <<u>jfrazier@leprinofoods.com</u>>; Allen Rienstra <<u>allen.rienstra@trinityconsultants.com</u>>

Subject: RE: Meeting for Leprino Foods proposed limits

And just to be clear, it would be up to you to choose which of those options worked best for you, not that we'd write a permit that included various/multiple different effluent set combination options.

I don't think there's really a place in the permit application forms for an Industrial permit applicant to request specific CBOD<sub>5</sub>, ammonia-nitrogen, and minimum effluent DO limits (let alone different seasonal limits of those constituents), so I'd probably recommend including some of the email record of our correspondence on this subject in the application with a written request for specific limits, per our preliminary analysis discussions, especially in case I'm not the DO modeler who ends up getting assigned to the permit application. I have my preliminary analysis modeling review files saved where any of the modelers can access them, if they know to look.

Thanks again,

Jim Michalk Water Quality Assessment Team

From: James Michalk

Sent: Wednesday, June 15, 2022 6:10 PM

To: Hannah Bradish <<u>hbradish@leprinofoods.com</u>>; Joseph Herrud <<u>jherrud@leprinofoods.com</u>> Cc: Kelly Hawkins <<u>khawkins@leprinofoods.com</u>>; Chris Lewis <<u>CLewis@probstgroup.com</u>>; Katie Jeziorski <<u>KJeziorski@trinityconsultants.com</u>>; James Frazier <<u>jfrazier@leprinofoods.com</u>>; Allen Rienstra <<u>allen.rienstra@trinityconsultants.com</u>> Subject: PE: Mosting for Lepring Foods proposed limits

Subject: RE: Meeting for Leprino Foods proposed limits

Good evening all,

I ran a number of different seasonal effluent limit scenarios.

The City of Lubbock's permit (WQ0010353002), Outfall 007, has a seasonal effluent limit split of April - October for the more stringent warmer-weather effluent limits, with less stringent limits in the cooler months of November - March. For Municipal permits, we have what we refer to as an 'effluent set hierarchy' composed of different specific 'sets' of effluent limit combinations (for CBOD<sub>5</sub>, ammonia-nitrogen, and minimum effluent DO) that are typically applicable to different types of municipal WWTP treatment systems. Without getting too much into the details of that subject, suffice to say that such an approach is not necessarily applicable to Industrial permits, so Industrial permits can have more combinations of those 3 constituents (as effluent limits) than would typically be considered for a Municipal WWTP permit. Which means potentially lots more model runs for possible effluent limit combinations, in order to allow some flexibility to a permittee depending on which of those three constituents you may want to have a little higher limit on. But here's what I've come up with.

Be aware that we would limit seasonal effluent limits to **two** different monthly groupings. If the same monthly grouping is used for the Leprino Foods permit as is currently applicable to Outfall 007 in the City of Lubbock permit, that would result in seasonal effluent limits of:

- 5 mg/L CBOD<sub>5</sub>, 1.5 mg/L NH<sub>3</sub>-N, and 6 mg/L minimum effluent DO (5/1.5/6) for the months of Apr Oct, and
- either 10/2/4; 9/3/6; or 8/3/4 for Nov Mar;

Or, using a different monthly grouping:

- 5/1.5/6 for May Sep, and
- either 8/2/5 or 7/2/4 for Oct Apr

Other effluent set combinations or monthly groupings may also be adequate and can be evaluated upon request.

Please let me know if you have any questions or need any additional information.

Jim Michalk Water Quality Assessment Team

From: James Michalk
Sent: Wednesday, June 15, 2022 1:53 PM
To: Hannah Bradish <<u>hbradish@leprinofoods.com</u>>; Joseph Herrud <<u>jherrud@leprinofoods.com</u>>
Cc: Kelly Hawkins <<u>khawkins@leprinofoods.com</u>>; Chris Lewis <<u>CLewis@probstgroup.com</u>>; Katie Jeziorski
<KJeziorski@trinityconsultants.com>; James Frazier <<u>jfrazier@leprinofoods.com</u>>; Allen Rienstra
<allen.rienstra@trinityconsultants.com>
Subject: PE: Mosting for Lepring Ecode proposed limits

Subject: RE: Meeting for Leprino Foods proposed limits

Ok, will do.

Jim Michalk Water Quality Assessment Team

From: Hannah Bradish <<u>hbradish@leprinofoods.com</u>>
Sent: Wednesday, June 15, 2022 1:07 PM
To: James Michalk <<u>james.michalk@tceq.texas.gov</u>>; Joseph Herrud <<u>jherrud@leprinofoods.com</u>>
Cc: Kelly Hawkins <<u>khawkins@leprinofoods.com</u>>; Chris Lewis <<u>CLewis@probstgroup.com</u>>; Katie Jeziorski
<<u>KJeziorski@trinityconsultants.com</u>>; James Frazier <<u>jfrazier@leprinofoods.com</u>>; Allen Rienstra
<allen.rienstra@trinityconsultants.com>
Subject: RE: Meeting for Leprino Foods proposed limits

Hi Jim,

Please only do the analysis for seasonal limits for the flow rate of 1.5 MGD.

Thanks!

Hannah Bradish Environmental Compliance Engineer Leprino Foods Company O: (303) 480-7726 C: (303) 548-8718 (preferred) hbradish@leprinofoods.com

From: James Michalk <james.michalk@tceq.texas.gov>
Sent: Wednesday, June 15, 2022 10:41 AM
To: Joseph Herrud <jherrud@leprinofoods.com>
Cc: Kelly Hawkins <khawkins@leprinofoods.com>; Chris Lewis <CLewis@probstgroup.com>; Katie Jeziorski
<KJeziorski@trinityconsultants.com>; James Frazier <jfrazier@leprinofoods.com>; Hannah Bradish
<hbradish@leprinofoods.com>; Allen Rienstra <allen.rienstra@trinityconsultants.com>
Subject: RE: Meeting for Leprino Foods proposed limits

Good morning all,

Do you want me to take a look at seasonal limits just at either 1.5 MGD or 2.0 MGD, or both? Both is no problem if that helps your decision process.

Jim Michalk Water Quality Assessment Team

From: James Michalk
Sent: Tuesday, June 14, 2022 8:42 AM
To: Joseph Herrud <<u>jherrud@leprinofoods.com</u>>
Cc: Kelly Hawkins <<u>khawkins@leprinofoods.com</u>>; Chris Lewis <<u>CLewis@probstgroup.com</u>>; Katie Jeziorski
<<u>KJeziorski@trinityconsultants.com</u>>; James Frazier <<u>jfrazier@leprinofoods.com</u>>; Hannah Bradish
<<u>hbradish@leprinofoods.com</u>>; Allen Rienstra <<u>allen.rienstra@trinityconsultants.com</u>>
Subject: RE: Meeting for Leprino Foods proposed limits

Seasonal limits (CBOD5, ammonia-nitrogen, and/or minimum effluent DO) may be an option but will increase the complexity of this analysis and I will probably not be able to conduct that analysis for you for several weeks as I have depositions, public meetings, prefiled testimony for hearings, and review of judges' decisions for completed hearings starting up again.

Jim Michalk Water Quality Assessment Team

**From:** Joseph Herrud <<u>iherrud@leprinofoods.com</u>>

Sent: Monday, June 13, 2022 10:11 AM

To: James Michalk <james.michalk@tceq.texas.gov>

**Cc:** Kelly Hawkins <<u>khawkins@leprinofoods.com</u>>; Chris Lewis <<u>CLewis@probstgroup.com</u>>; Katie Jeziorski <<u>KJeziorski@trinityconsultants.com</u>>; James Frazier <<u>ifrazier@leprinofoods.com</u>>; Hannah Bradish

<<u>hbradish@leprinofoods.com</u>>; Allen Rienstra <<u>allen.rienstra@trinityconsultants.com</u>>

Subject: RE: Meeting for Leprino Foods proposed limits

Jim, can you give us any indication of seasonal variations for any of the parameters? We've used the City's permits as a guideline and theirs has seasonal limit differences.

Thanks! Joe

Joe Herrud, P.E. Senior Director of Environmental Engineering Technical Services Leprino Foods Company jherrud@leprinofoods.com 303-480-2894 Office 303-859-8923 Cell

From: James Michalk <james.michalk@tceq.texas.gov>
Sent: Friday, June 10, 2022 12:57 PM
To: Hannah Bradish <<u>hbradish@leprinofoods.com</u>>
Cc: Joseph Herrud <<u>jherrud@leprinofoods.com</u>>; Kelly Hawkins <<u>khawkins@leprinofoods.com</u>>; Chris Lewis
<<u>CLewis@probstgroup.com</u>>; Katie Jeziorski <<u>KJeziorski@trinityconsultants.com</u>>; James Frazier <<u>jfrazier@leprinofoods.com</u>>
Subject: RE: Meeting for Leprino Foods proposed limits

Good afternoon Hannah,

I completed the updated dissolved oxygen modeling analysis for a reduced flow of 1.5 MGD. I'm afraid that didn't make a whole lot of difference.

Effluent limits of **5 mg/L CBOD<sub>5</sub> and 6 mg/L minimum effluent DO** are still predicted to be necessary, just with an **ammonianitrogen of 1.5 mg/L** instead of 1.0 mg/L.

Let me know if you have any questions or would like for me to take a look at anything else.

Jim Michalk Water Quality Assessment Team

 From: James Michalk

 Sent: Tuesday, June 7, 2022 8:27 AM

 To: Hannah Bradish < hbradish@leprinofoods.com</td>

 Cc: Joseph Herrud < jherrud@leprinofoods.com</td>

 ; Kelly Hawkins < khawkins@leprinofoods.com</td>

 ; Clewis@probstgroup.com

 ; Katie Jeziorski < KJeziorski@trinityconsultants.com</td>

 ; Subject: RE: Meeting for Leprino Foods proposed limits

Good morning Hannah,

I will take a look.

Jim Michalk Water Quality Assessment Team

From: Hannah Bradish <<u>hbradish@leprinofoods.com</u>>

Sent: Thursday, June 2, 2022 4:56 PM

To: James Michalk <james.michalk@tceq.texas.gov>
 Cc: Joseph Herrud <jherrud@leprinofoods.com>; Kelly Hawkins <khawkins@leprinofoods.com>; Chris Lewis



<<u>CLewis@probstgroup.com</u>>; Katie Jeziorski <<u>KJeziorski@trinityconsultants.com</u>>; James Frazier <<u>jfrazier@leprinofoods.com</u>> **Subject:** RE: Meeting for Leprino Foods proposed limits

Hi Jim,

Would it be possible to get updated values if for a maximum flowrate of 1.5 MGD? How would this impact the CBOD, DO and Ammonia limits you provided previously?

Thanks!

Hannah Bradish Environmental Compliance Engineer Leprino Foods Company O: (303) 480-7726 C: (303) 548-8718 (preferred) hbradish@leprinofoods.com

From: James Michalk <james.michalk@tceq.texas.gov>
Sent: Wednesday, May 11, 2022 2:48 PM
To: Hannah Bradish <<u>hbradish@leprinofoods.com</u>>
Cc: Joseph Herrud <<u>jherrud@leprinofoods.com</u>>; Kelly Hawkins <<u>khawkins@leprinofoods.com</u>>; Chris Lewis
<<u>CLewis@probstgroup.com</u>>; Katie Jeziorski <<u>KJeziorski@trinityconsultants.com</u>>
Subject: RE: Meeting for Leprino Foods proposed limits

Good afternoon Hannah,

I have completed the dissolved oxygen (DO) preliminary modeling analysis for the proposed Leprino Foods project. For a discharge of **2.0 MGD** directly into Canyon Lake Number 6, an effluent set of **5 mg/L CBOD<sub>5</sub>**, **1.0 mg/L ammonia-nitrogen**, **and 6 mg/L minimum effluent DO** is predicted to be necessary. These effluent limits are stringent, but are comparable to those recommended for municipal wastewater discharge permits of comparable size discharging directly into small and narrow reservoirs similar to this one. Based on the road encircling the lake, the public parking areas along it, and several docks along the shoreline, this lake appears to have a significant public recreation use, so stringent effluent limits like this may help to moderate potential public concern about a 2 MGD wastewater discharge of any sort going into this lake. But that potential for public concern (including the possibility of a public meeting and a contested case hearing if the permit application is protested) is something to keep in mind regardless of the stringency of these effluent limit recommendations.

Just so you're aware, I needed to do the following for my modeling analysis. Upon closer inspection of the survey schematic provided, I noticed that the distance out into the lake shown on the schematic (from shoreline out to the outfall structure) was only about the same distance as the width of Canyon Lake Drive and the "slick bore under Canyon Lake Drive" that lies beneath the road, as shown on the other side of the survey schematic. In other words, only a distance of about 35 to 40 feet out into the lake, whereas the lake is over 700 feet wide at this point. So I had to use elevation information from the USGS topographic map as well (which predated construction/impoundment of the lake) to develop depth estimates for entire lake cross-sections, which seemed consistent with the steady decrease in elevation shown on the survey schematic for the area near the shoreline. This resulted in significantly deeper maximum (roughly mid-lake) depths, though I estimated the *average cross-sectional depth* (shoreline-to-opposite-shoreline) in the vicinity of the proposed discharge location still at around 6 feet deep (getting gradually a little deeper going downstream toward the dam, but the most critical portion of the model was the initial 10-acre area in the immediate vicinity of the discharge). I think the modeling is protective but not overly conservative.

If you'd like to explore other potential options to help reduce the amount of oxygen-demanding constituents (and other components that may be of concern in the discharge) by the time the discharge enters the lake, such as constructed wetlands or effluent polishing ponds between a revised discharge location and entry into the lake, let us know, but you would need to develop the details of those wetlands or ponds for us to take into consideration for an updated analysis.

Also be aware that the North Fork Double Mountain Fork Brazos River (Segment ID 1241A) is listed as impaired on the current (2020) Clean Water Act Section 303(d) list for elevated bacteria levels in water (recreation use) and that the impaired portion of the river includes the section that has been impounded to create Canyon Lake Number 6. The Double Mountain Fork Brazos River (classified Segment No. 1241) farther downstream is also considered impaired for elevated bacteria levels in water (recreation use). These impairments are unchanged on the Draft 2022 303(d) list, which has not yet been approved. There are

no completed or underway Total Maximum Daily Load (TMDL) projects applicable to the proposed discharge route.

Please let me know if you have any additional questions. Thank you, and sorry again for the delay in completing this review.

Jim Michalk Water Quality Assessment Team

From: Hannah Bradish <<u>hbradish@leprinofoods.com</u>>
Sent: Monday, May 9, 2022 9:52 PM
To: James Michalk <<u>james.michalk@tceq.texas.gov</u>>
Cc: Joseph Herrud <<u>jherrud@leprinofoods.com</u>>; Kelly Hawkins <<u>khawkins@leprinofoods.com</u>>; Chris Lewis
<<u>CLewis@probstgroup.com</u>>; Katie Jeziorski <<u>KJeziorski@trinityconsultants.com</u>>
Subject: RE: Meeting for Leprino Foods proposed limits

Hi Jim,

I wanted to check back in on this to see if you have some preliminary permit limits?

We have approached the phase of the project where we need to know if our design is adequate to confirm our budget and are in much need of the estimated permit limits to confirm this.

Thanks!

Hannah Bradish Environmental Compliance Engineer Leprino Foods Company O: (303) 480-7726 C: (303) 548-8718 (preferred) hbradish@leprinofoods.com

From: James Michalk <james.michalk@tceq.texas.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, April 26, 2022 8:18 AM
To: Hannah Bradish <hbradish@leprinofoods.com>
Cc: Joseph Herrud <jherrud@leprinofoods.com>; Kelly Hawkins <khawkins@leprinofoods.com>; Chris Lewis
<CLewis@probstgroup.com>; Katie Jeziorski <KJeziorski@trinityconsultants.com>
Subject: RE: Meeting for Leprino Foods proposed limits

Good morning Hannah,

No, I've been totally swamped with 5 different hearings (and their pre- and post-hearing procedures), especially throughout March and April, but the Replies to Closing Arguments for one filed last week, and for another one files this week, and Discovery for the last of the 5 also files this week. I should have a little break after this week before the 3 that haven't gone to hearing yet really get going again (hearings scheduled for May, June, and July, but with prefiled testimony, depositions, etc. before those dates). But in the meantime, I have multiple permits I need to finish reviewing this week in order to get them into a quarterly update that goes out for public comment and to EPA for review, if possible.

So I won't be able to get back to this project until at least next week sometime, but I'm also behind on a lot of additional permit reviews and other projects because of all these hearings.

Jim Michalk Water Quality Assessment Team

From: Hannah Bradish <<u>hbradish@leprinofoods.com</u>>

Sent: Monday, April 25, 2022 4:01 PM

To: James Michalk <james.michalk@tceq.texas.gov>

**Cc:** Joseph Herrud <<u>iherrud@leprinofoods.com</u>>; Kelly Hawkins <<u>khawkins@leprinofoods.com</u>>; Chris Lewis <<u>CLewis@probstgroup.com</u>>; Katie Jeziorski <<u>KJeziorski@trinityconsultants.com</u>>



#### Subject: RE: Meeting for Leprino Foods proposed limits

Hi Jim,

I wanted to check in with you to see if you have had a chance to evaluate the water body and determine some preliminary expected permit limits for our proposed discharge?

Thanks!

Hannah Bradish Environmental Compliance Engineer Leprino Foods Company O: (303) 480-7726 C: (303) 548-8718 (preferred) hbradish@leprinofoods.com

From: James Michalk <james.michalk@tceq.texas.gov> Sent: Thursday, March 31, 2022 4:01 PM To: Hannah Bradish <<u>hbradish@leprinofoods.com</u>> Subject: RE: Meeting for Leprino Foods proposed limits

Ok, thank you very much.

Jim Michalk Water Quality Assessment Team

From: Hannah Bradish <<u>hbradish@leprinofoods.com</u>>
Sent: Thursday, March 31, 2022 4:47 PM
To: James Michalk <<u>james.michalk@tceq.texas.gov</u>>
Subject: RE: Meeting for Leprino Foods proposed limits

Of course. I did confirm this is the updated version with accurate discharge location. I also confirmed that the average operating depth of the lake is that 3131.5 elevation line.

Thanks!

Hannah Bradish Environmental Compliance Engineer Leprino Foods Company O: (303) 480-7726 C: (303) 548-8718 (preferred) hbradish@leprinofoods.com

From: James Michalk <james.michalk@tceq.texas.gov>
Sent: Thursday, March 31, 2022 3:05 PM
To: Hannah Bradish <<u>hbradish@leprinofoods.com</u>>
Subject: RE: Meeting for Leprino Foods proposed limits

Perfect, thanks Hannah.

Jim

Jim Michalk Water Quality Assessment Team

From: Hannah Bradish <<u>hbradish@leprinofoods.com</u>>
Sent: Thursday, March 31, 2022 3:49 PM
To: James Michalk <<u>james.michalk@tceg.texas.gov</u>>

Hannah Bradish Environmental Compliance Engineer Leprino Foods Company O: (303) 480-7726 C: (303) 548-8718 (preferred) hbradish@leprinofoods.com

From: James Michalk <james.michalk@tceq.texas.gov>
Sent: Thursday, March 31, 2022 2:42 PM
To: Hannah Bradish <<u>hbradish@leprinofoods.com</u>>
Subject: RE: Meeting for Leprino Foods proposed limits

Just finished. Still time?

From: Hannah Bradish <<u>hbradish@leprinofoods.com</u>>
Sent: Thursday, March 31, 2022 3:13 PM
To: James Michalk <<u>james.michalk@tceq.texas.gov</u>>
Subject: RE: Meeting for Leprino Foods proposed limits

Sounds good. Feel free to call my cell when you are ready.

Thanks!

Hannah Bradish Environmental Compliance Engineer Leprino Foods Company O: (303) 480-7726 C: (303) 548-8718 (preferred) hbradish@leprinofoods.com

From: James Michalk <james.michalk@tceq.texas.gov>
Sent: Thursday, March 31, 2022 1:47 PM
To: Hannah Bradish <<u>hbradish@leprinofoods.com</u>>
Subject: RE: Meeting for Leprino Foods proposed limits

Probably just 30, it's with my team leader.

From: Hannah Bradish <<u>hbradish@leprinofoods.com</u>>
Sent: Thursday, March 31, 2022 2:46 PM
To: James Michalk <<u>james.michalk@tceq.texas.gov</u>>
Subject: RE: Meeting for Leprino Foods proposed limits

I have a meeting that starts at 4 central. Is your meeting 30 minutes or an hour?

Thanks!

Hannah Bradish Environmental Compliance Engineer Leprino Foods Company O: (303) 480-7726 C: (303) 548-8718 (preferred) hbradish@leprinofoods.com

From: James Michalk <james.michalk@tceq.texas.gov>
Sent: Thursday, March 31, 2022 1:44 PM

To: Hannah Bradish <<u>hbradish@leprinofoods.com</u>>
 Cc: Joseph Herrud <<u>jherrud@leprinofoods.com</u>>; Kelly Hawkins <<u>khawkins@leprinofoods.com</u>>; Chris Lewis
 <<u>CLewis@probstgroup.com</u>>; Lynn Morrison <<u>LMorrison@probstgroup.com</u>>
 Subject: RE: Meeting for Leprino Foods proposed limits

Hannah,

I have a meeting at 3:00, how about after that?

Jim Michalk Water Quality Assessment Team

From: Hannah Bradish <<u>hbradish@leprinofoods.com</u>>
Sent: Thursday, March 31, 2022 2:36 PM
To: James Michalk <<u>james.michalk@tceq.texas.gov</u>>
Cc: Joseph Herrud <<u>jherrud@leprinofoods.com</u>>; Kelly Hawkins <<u>khawkins@leprinofoods.com</u>>; Chris Lewis
<<u>CLewis@probstgroup.com</u>>; Sarah Johnson <<u>Sarah.Johnson@Tceq.Texas.Gov</u>>; Lynn Morrison
<<u>LMorrison@probstgroup.com</u>>
Subject: RE: Meeting for Leprino Foods proposed limits

Hi Jim,

Yes, that is where Sarah and I landed on BOD concentration.

It may be easiest to explain what you are looking at on the PDF over the phone. Would you have some time for me to call you?

Thanks!

Hannah Bradish Environmental Compliance Engineer Leprino Foods Company O: (303) 480-7726 C: (303) 548-8718 (preferred) hbradish@leprinofoods.com

 From: James Michalk <james.michalk@tceq.texas.gov>

 Sent: Thursday, March 31, 2022 12:37 PM

 To: Hannah Bradish <<u>hbradish@leprinofoods.com></u>

 Cc: Joseph Herrud <jherrud@leprinofoods.com>; Kelly Hawkins <<u>khawkins@leprinofoods.com</u>>; Chris Lewis

 <CLewis@probstgroup.com>; Sarah Johnson <<u>Sarah Johnson@Tceq.Texas.Gov</u>>; Lynn Morrison

 <LMorrison@probstgroup.com>

 Subject: RE: Meeting for Leprino Foods proposed limits

Good afternoon Hannah,

I believe the last emails between you and Sarah were indicating that I should use a  $BOD_5$  concentration of 31.12 mg/L as the starting point for my dissolved oxygen modeling analysis.

I can't tell what I'm looking at on the pdf you provided. Can you help me out?

Jim Michalk Water Quality Assessment Team

From: Hannah Bradish <<u>hbradish@leprinofoods.com</u>>

Sent: Thursday, March 31, 2022 10:28 AM

To: James Michalk <<u>james.michalk@tceq.texas.gov</u>>

Cc: Joseph Herrud <<u>iherrud@leprinofoods.com</u>>; Kelly Hawkins <<u>khawkins@leprinofoods.com</u>>; Chris Lewis



<<u>CLewis@probstgroup.com</u>>; Sarah Johnson <<u>Sarah.Johnson@Tceq.Texas.Gov</u>>; Lynn Morrison <<u>LMorrison@probstgroup.com</u>> Subject: RE: Meeting for Leprino Foods proposed limits

Hi Jim,

I wanted to circle back with you to send you the updated lake survey and check to confirm you have received what you need from the BOD and TSS effluent limits. Please let me know if you need anything else from me in order to start the waterbody DO modeling.

Thanks!

Hannah Bradish Environmental Compliance Engineer Leprino Foods Company O: (303) 480-7726 C: (303) 548-8718 (preferred) hbradish@leprinofoods.com

From: Hannah Bradish
Sent: Tuesday, March 22, 2022 9:21 AM
To: Sarah Johnson <<u>Sarah.Johnson@tceq.texas.gov</u>>
Cc: James Michalk <<u>james.michalk@tceq.texas.gov</u>>; Joseph Herrud <<u>jherrud@leprinofoods.com</u>>; Kelly Hawkins
<<u>khawkins@leprinofoods.com</u>>; Chris Lewis <<u>CLewis@probstgroup.com</u>>; Lynn Morrison <<u>LMorrison@probstgroup.com</u>>
Subject: Re: Meeting for Leprino Foods proposed limits

Hi Sarah,

I have reviewed the guidance you provided and no revisions are necessary. We have considered all materials.

Thanks!

Hannah Bradish

Sent from my iPhone

On Mar 17, 2022, at 1:52 PM, Sarah Johnson <<u>Sarah.Johnson@tceq.texas.gov</u>> wrote:

Good afternoon-

Thank you for the information. The spreadsheet appears to apply the federal effluent limit guidelines correctly. I also reviewed the <u>40 CFR Part 405 development document</u>, which on page 3 ("Method of Application") states that all other (non-dairy) materials such as sugar must also be considered. Please confirm if any such additional materials/ingredients will be used and update the calculations accordingly if needed (see Table 9, page 41 of the document for common material compositions).

If the spreadsheet is correct as is and no revisions are needed, then the technology-based effluent limit of **31.12 mg/L BOD<sub>5</sub> daily average** will be used as the starting point in the dissolved oxygen model. Jim Michalk and I will confer and we'll reach out to you if a meeting is needed.

**LEPRINO\_000016** 

Regards,

Sarah A. Johnson, Ph. D.

**Environmental Permit Specialist** 

Water Quality Division Texas Commission on Environmental Quality 12100 Park 35 Circle, Bldg. F, Room 2101 Austin, TX 78753 Office Phone: 512-239-4649



Customer Satisfaction Survey

From: Hannah Bradish <<u>hbradish@leprinofoods.com</u>>
Sent: Thursday, March 17, 2022 2:50 PM
To: Sarah Johnson <<u>Sarah.Johnson@Tceq.Texas.Gov</u>>
Cc: James Michalk <<u>james.michalk@tceq.texas.gov</u>>; Joseph Herrud <<u>jherrud@leprinofoods.com</u>>; Kelly Hawkins
<<u>khawkins@leprinofoods.com</u>>; Chris Lewis <<u>CLewis@probstgroup.com</u>>; Lynn Morrison
<<u>LMorrison@probstgroup.com</u>>
Subject: RE: Meeting for Leprino Foods proposed limits

Hi Sarah,

We have the finalized BOD input and Effluent Limit numbers sorted out. I have attached the calculation spreadsheet along with a process flow diagram that corresponds to the BOD loading calculations. Please note that the information in these spreadsheets is confidential.

EFFLUENT LIMITS IN CONCENTRATION FOR ULTIMATE BUILDOUT **Average Flowrate** Max Flow rate 2.94 2.31 Monthly Average Daily Max Monthly Average Daily Max BOD<sub>5</sub> (mg/L) 31.12 24 49 62 TSS (mg/L) 38.91 78 31 62 pH s.u 6.5-9 s.u

With this calculation, we now show the following categorical limits:

Thanks!

Hannah Bradish Environmental Compliance Engineer Leprino Foods Company O: (303) 480-7726 C: (303) 548-8718 (preferred) hbradish@leprinofoods.com

From: Sarah Johnson <<u>Sarah.Johnson@Tceq.Texas.Gov</u>>
Sent: Friday, February 4, 2022 7:41 AM
To: Hannah Bradish <<u>hbradish@leprinofoods.com</u>>
Cc: James Michalk <<u>james.michalk@tceq.texas.gov</u>>
Subject: RE: Meeting for Leprino Foods proposed limits

#### Good morning-

That works for me. I look forward to hearing from you.

Sarah A. Johnson, Ph. D.

Environmental Permit Specialist Water Quality Division Texas Commission on Environmental Quality 12100 Park 35 Circle, Bldg. F, Room 2101 Austin, TX 78753 Office Phone: 512-239-4649



Customer Satisfaction Survey

From: Hannah Bradish <<u>hbradish@leprinofoods.com</u>>
Sent: Thursday, February 3, 2022 4:34 PM
To: Sarah Johnson <<u>Sarah.Johnson@Tceq.Texas.Gov</u>>
Cc: James Michalk <<u>james.michalk@tceq.texas.gov</u>>
Subject: RE: Meeting for Leprino Foods proposed limits

Hi Sarah,

We are updating our calculations and preparing a spreadsheet to send to you. Once that is ready to go, I was hoping to review it with you to make sure everything makes sense. I want to have the calculations done next week and then we can set up a meeting time if that works for you?

Thanks!

Hannah Bradish Environmental Compliance Engineer Leprino Foods Company O: (303) 480-7726 C: (303) 548-8718 hbradish@leprinofoods.com

From: Sarah Johnson <<u>Sarah.Johnson@Tceq.Texas.Gov</u>>
Sent: Wednesday, February 2, 2022 8:53 AM
To: Hannah Bradish <<u>hbradish@leprinofoods.com</u>>
Cc: James Michalk <<u>james.michalk@tceq.texas.gov</u>>
Subject: Meeting for Leprino Foods proposed limits

Good morning-

Jim passed along your request to meet regarding calculation of the technology-based effluent limits (TBELs) per the effluent limit guidelines (ELGs) in 40 CFR Part 405. I'm available Friday, but given the winter storm predicted it's probably best not to schedule then in case things shut down. The next earliest I could meet would be Monday morning (Feb. 7), from 8:30-12:00, Tuesday morning from 9:00-12:00, or Wednesday afternoon from 1:30-4:00. Please let me know which time works best and any specific items you wish to discuss so I can prepare accordingly.



#### Regards,

Sarah A. Johnson, Ph. D.

Environmental Permit Specialist Water Quality Division Texas Commission on Environmental Quality 12100 Park 35 Circle, Bldg. F, Room 2101 Austin, TX 78753 Office Phone: 512-239-4649



Customer Satisfaction Survey

#### [EXTERNAL EMAIL]

WARNING: This email originated outside of Leprino. Even if this looks like an internal Leprino email, it is not. DO NOT provide your username, password, or any other personal information in response to this or any other email. LEPRINO WILL NEVER ask you for your username or password via email. DO NOT CLICK links or attachments unless you are positive the content is safe. IF IN DOUBT about the safety of this message, use the Report Phishing drop-down.

#### [EXTERNAL EMAIL]

WARNING: This email originated outside of Leprino. Even if this looks like an internal Leprino email, it is not.
DO NOT provide your username, password, or any other personal information in response to this or any other email.
LEPRINO WILL NEVER ask you for your username or password via email.
DO NOT CLICK links or attachments unless you are positive the content is safe.
IF IN DOUBT about the safety of this message, use the Report Phishing drop-down.

#### [EXTERNAL EMAIL]

WARNING: This email originated outside of Leprino. Even if this looks like an internal Leprino email, it is not.
DO NOT provide your username, password, or any other personal information in response to this or any other email.
LEPRINO WILL NEVER ask you for your username or password via email.
DO NOT CLICK links or attachments unless you are positive the content is safe.
IF IN DOUBT about the safety of this message, use the Report Phishing drop-down.

#### [EXTERNAL EMAIL]

WARNING: This email originated outside of Leprino. Even if this looks like an internal Leprino email, it is not. DO NOT provide your username, password, or any other personal information in response to this or any other email. LEPRINO WILL NEVER ask you for your username or password via email. DO NOT CLICK links or attachments unless you are positive the content is safe. IF IN DOUBT about the safety of this message, use the Report Phishing drop-down.

#### [EXTERNAL EMAIL]

WARNING: This email originated outside of Leprino. Even if this looks like an internal Leprino email, it is not.
DO NOT provide your username, password, or any other personal information in response to this or any other email.
LEPRINO WILL NEVER ask you for your username or password via email.
DO NOT CLICK links or attachments unless you are positive the content is safe.
IF IN DOUBT about the safety of this message, use the Report Phishing drop-down.

#### [EXTERNAL EMAIL]

WARNING: This email originated outside of Leprino. Even if this looks like an internal Leprino email, it is not. DO NOT provide your username, password, or any other personal information in response to this or any other email. LEPRINO WILL NEVER ask you for your username or password via email. 2. INDUSTRIAL ADMINISTRATIVE REPORT 1.0



### TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

TCEQ INDUSTRIAL WASTEWATER PERMIT APPLICATION

# **INDUSTRIAL ADMINISTRATIVE REPORT 1.0**

This report is required for all applications for TPDES permits and TLAPs. Contact the Applications Review and Processing Team at 512-239-4671 with any questions about completing this report

### Item 1. Application Information and Fees (Instructions, Page 26)

- a. Complete each field with the requested information, if applicable.
   Applicant Name: Leprino Foods Company
   EPA ID No.: <u>TX0 New Permit</u>
   Permit No.: <u>WQ000 New Permit</u>
   Expiration Date: <u>New Permit</u>
- b. Check the box next to the appropriate authorization type.
  - Industrial Wastewater (wastewater and stormwater)
  - □ Industrial Stormwater (stormwater only)
- c. Check the box next to the appropriate facility status.

 $\Box$  Active  $\boxtimes$  Inactive

d. Check the box next to the appropriate permit type.

- e. Check the box next to the appropriate application type.
  - 🛛 New
  - Renewal with changes
  - □ Major amendment with renewal
- Major amendment without renewal

□ Renewal without changes

- □ Minor amendment without renewal □ Minor modification without renewal
- f. If applying for an amendment or modification, describe the request: N/A
- g. Application Fee

EPA Classification	New	Major Amend. (with or without renewal)	Renewal (with or without changes)	Minor Amend. / Minor Mod. (without renewal)
Minor facility not subject to EPA categorical effluent guidelines (40 CFR Parts 400-471)	\$350	350 \$350 \$315		\$150
Minor facility subject to EPA categorical effluent guidelines (40 CFR Parts 400-471)	⊠ \$1,250	\$1,250	\$1,215	\$150
Major facility	N/A 1	\$2,050	\$2,015	\$450

#### For TCEQ Use Only

Segment Number	County
Expiration Date	Region

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> All facilities are designated as minors until formally classified as a major by EPA.

TCEQ-10411 (05/20/2022) Industrial Wastewater Application Administrative Report

#### Permit Number \_\_\_

#### h. Payment Information

### Mailed

Check or money order No.: <u>Click to enter text.</u> Check or money order amt.: <u>Click to enter text.</u> Named printed on check or money order: <u>Click to enter text.</u>

Ерау

Voucher number: <u>Click to enter text.</u> Copy of voucher attachment: <u>Click to enter text.</u>

### Item 2. Applicant Information (Instructions, Pages 26)

a. Customer Number, if applicant is an existing customer: <u>CN605980739</u>

Note: Locate the customer number using the <u>TCEQ's Central Registry Customer Search</u><sup>2</sup>.

b. Legal name of the entity (applicant) applying for this permit: <u>Leprino Foods Company</u>

**Note:** The owner of the facility must apply for the permit. The legal name must be spelled exactly as filed with the TX SOS, Texas Comptroller of Public Accounts, County, or in the legal documents forming the entity.

c. Name and title of the person signing the application. (**Note:** The person must be an executive official that meets signatory requirements in 30 TAC § 305.44.)

⊠ Mr. □ Ms. First/Last Name: <u>Steve Fritzler</u>

Title: <u>Vice President Eastern Region</u>

Credential: Click to enter text.

d. Will the applicant have overall financial responsibility for the facility?

🖾 Yes 🛛 No

Note: The entity with overall financial responsibility for the facility must apply as a co-applicant, if not the facility owner.

#### Item 3. Co-applicant Information (Instructions, Page 27)

Check this box if there is no co-applicant.; otherwise, complete the below questions.

a. Legal name of the entity (co-applicant) applying for this permit: N/A

**Note:** The legal name must be spelled exactly as filed with the TX SOS, Texas Comptroller of Public Accounts, County, or in the legal documents forming the entity.

b. Customer Number (if applicant is an existing customer): CN 6059800739

Note: Locate the customer number using the TCEQ's Central Registry Customer Search.

c. Name and title of the person signing the application. (**Note:** The person must be an executive official that meets signatory requirements in 30 TAC § 305.44.)

 $\Box$  Mr.  $\Box$  Ms. First/Last Name: <u>N/A</u>

Title: <u>N/A</u>

Credential: <u>N/A</u>

d. Will the co-applicant have overall financial responsibility for the facility?

🗆 Yes 🗆 No

Page 2 of 20

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> <u>https://www15.tceq.texas.gov/crpub/index.cfm?fuseaction=cust.CustSearch</u>

TCEQ-10411 (05/20/2022) Industrial Wastewater Application Administrative Report

Note: The entity with overall financial responsibility for the facility must apply as a co-applicant, if not the facility owner.

#### Item 4. Core Data Form (Instructions, Pages 27)

a. Complete one Core Data Form (TCEQ Form 10400) for each customer (applicant and coapplicant(s)) and include as an attachment. If the customer type selected on the Core Data Form is Individual, complete Attachment 1 of the Administrative Report. Attachment: <u>B</u>

### Item 5. Application Contact Information (Instructions, Page 27)

Provide names of two individuals who can be contact for additional information about this application. Indicate if the individual can be contact about administrative or technical information, or both.

Administrative Contact . 

Technical Contact a. □ Mr. ⊠ Ms. Full Name (First and Last): <u>Hannah Bradish</u> Title: Environmental Compliance Engineer Credential: N/A Organization Name: Leprino Foods Company Mailing Address: 1830 W. 38th Ave. City: Denver State: Colorado Zip Code: 80211 Email: hbradish@leprinofoods.com Phone No: 303-548-8718 Fax No: N/A b. 🛛 Administrative Contact . 🛛 Technical Contact Mr. 🗆 Ms. Full Name (First and Last): <u>Allen Rienstra</u> Title: Consultant Credential: Click to enter text. Organization Name: Trinity Consultants Mailing Address: 6150 Clifton Street City: Beaumont Zip Code: 77708 State: Texas

 Phone No: <u>409-504-6933</u>
 Fax No: <u>N/A</u>
 Email:

 <u>allen.rienstra@trinityconsultants.com</u>

Attachment: <u>N/A</u>

### Item 6. Permit Contact Information (Instructions, Pages 28)

Provide two names of individuals that can be contacted throughout the permit term.

a. 🔲 Mr. 🗵 Ms. Full Name (First and Last): <u>Hannah Bradish</u>

Title: Environmental Compliance Engine	er Credential: <u>Click to enter</u>	text.
Organization Name: Leprino Foods Con	<u>pany</u>	
Mailing Address: <u>1830 W. 38th Ave.</u>		
City: <u>Denver</u> State: <u>Colorado</u>	Zip Code:	<u>80211</u>
Phone No: <u>303-548-8718</u> Fax No	<u>N/A</u> Email: <u>hbra</u>	adish@leprinofoods.com

b. 🖂 Mr. 🗖 Ms. Full Name (First and Last): <u>Allen Rienstra</u>

Title: ConsultantCredential: Click to enter text.Organization Name: Trinity ConsultantMailing Address: 6150 Clifton Street

TCEQ-10411 (05/20/2022) Industrial Wastewater Application Administrative Report

Page 3 of 20

City: <u>Beaumont</u> State: <u>Texas</u>

Phone No: <u>409-504-6933</u> Fax No: <u>N/A</u> <u>allen.rienstra@trinityconsultants.com</u> Zip Code: <u>77708</u> Email:

Attachment: N/A

Page **4** of **20** 



#### Item 7. Billing Contact Information (Instructions, Page 28)

The permittee is responsible for paying the annual fee. The annual fee will be assessed for permits **in effect on September 1 of each year**. The TCEQ will send a bill to the address provided in this section. The permittee is responsible for terminating the permit when it is no longer needed (form TCEQ-20029).

Provide the complete mailing address where the annual fee invoice should be mailed and the name and phone number of the permittee's representative responsible for payment of the invoice.

🗆 Mr. 🖂 Ms. Full Name (First and Last): <u>Hannah Bradish</u>				
Title: Environmental Compliance Engineer	Credential: Click to enter text.			
Organization Name: <u>Leprino Foods Company</u>				
Mailing Address: <u>1830 W. 38th Ave.</u>				
City: <u>Denver</u> State: <u>Colorado</u>	Zip Code: <u>80211</u>			
Phone No: <u>303-548-8718</u> Fax No: <u>N/A</u>	Email: <u>hbradish@leprinofoods.com</u>			

#### Item 8. DMR/MER Contact Information (Instructions, Page 28)

Provide the name and mailing address of the person delegated to receive and submit DMRs or MERs. **Note:** DMR data must be submitted through the NetDMR system. An electronic reporting account can be established once the facility has obtained the permit number.

⊠ Mr. □ Ms. Full Name (First and Last): James Frazier

Title: Environmental Scientist II Credential: Click to enter text.

Organization Name: <u>Leprino Foods Company</u>

Mailing Address: 1830 W. 38th Ave.

City: <u>Denver</u> State: <u>Colorado</u>

Phone No: <u>303-264-5372</u> Fax No: <u>N/A</u>

#### Item 9. NOTICE INFORMATION (Instructions, Pages 28

a. Individual Publishing the Notices

□ Mr. 🗵 Ms. Full Name (First and Last): <u>Hannah Bradish</u>

 Title: Environmental Compliance Engineer
 Credential: Click to enter text.

Organization Name: Leprino Foods Company

Mailing Address: <u>1830 W. 38th Ave.</u>

City: <u>Denver</u> State: <u>Colorado</u>

Phone No: <u>303-548-8718</u> Fax No: <u>N/A</u>

Zip Code: <u>80211</u>

Zip Code: 80211

Email: <u>hbradish@leprinofoods.com</u>

Email: jfrazier@leprinofoods.com

b. Method for Receiving Notice of Receipt and Intent to Obtain a Water Quality Permit Package (only for NORI, NAPD will be sent via regular mail)

E-mail: <u>allen.rienstra@trinityconsultants.com; hbradish@leprinofoods.com</u>

□ Fax: <u>N/A</u>

⊠ Regular Mail (USPS)

Mailing Address: 1830 W. 38th Ave.

City: <u>Denver</u> State: <u>Colorado</u>

Zip Code: <u>80211</u>

Page 5 of 20



#### c. Contact in the Notice

□ Mr. 🗵 Ms Full Name (First and Last): <u>Kim DeVigil</u>

Title: Director of CommunicationsCredential: Click to enter text.

Organization Name: <u>Leprino Foods Company</u>

Phone No: <u>303-264-5336</u> Fax No: <u>N/A</u>

Email: kdevigil@leprinofoods.com

d. Public Viewing Location Information

**Note:** If the facility or outfall is located in more than one county, provide a public viewing place for each county.

Public building name: <u>TCEQ – Region 2</u> Location within the building: <u>Reception Area</u>

Physical Address of Building: 5012 50th St #100

City: <u>Lubbock</u> County: <u>Lubbock</u>

e. Bilingual Notice Requirements

This information is required for new, major amendment, and renewal applications. It is not required for minor amendment or minor modification applications.

This section of the application is only used to determine if alternative language notices will be needed. Complete instructions on publishing the alternative language notices will be in your public notice package.

Please call the bilingual/ESL coordinator at the nearest elementary and middle schools and obtain the following information to determine whether an alternative language notices are required.

1. Is a bilingual education program required by the Texas Education Code at the elementary or middle school nearest to the facility or proposed facility?

🛛 Yes 🔲 No 🔲 N/A (Minor amendment or modification)

If no, publication of an alternative language notice is not required; skip to Item 8 (Regulated Entity and Permitted Site Information.)

2. Are the students who attend either the elementary school or the middle school enrolled in a bilingual education program at that school?

⊠ Yes □ No □ N/A (Minor amendment or modification)

3. Do the students at these schools attend a bilingual education program at another location?

□ Yes 🖾 No 🗆 N/A (Minor amendment or modification)

4. Would the school be required to provide a bilingual education program, but the school has waived out of this requirement under 19 TAC §89.1205(g)?

□ Yes 🖾 No 🗆 N/A (Minor amendment or modification)

- 5. If the answer is yes to question 1, 2, 3, or 4, public notices in an alternative language are required. Which language is required by the bilingual program? <u>Spanish</u>
- f. Plain Language Summary Template Complete the Plain Language Summary at the end of this application.

### Item 10. Regulated Entity and Permitted Site Information (Instructions Pages 29-30)

a. TCEQ issued Regulated Entity Number (RN), if available: <u>RN 111422333</u>

**Note:** If your business site is part of a larger business site, a Regulated Entity Number (RN) may already be assigned for the larger site. Use the RN assigned for the larger site. Search the TCEQ's



Central Registry to determine the RN or to see if the larger site may already be registered as a Regulated Entity. If the site is found, provide the assigned RN.

- b. Name of project or site (the name known by the community where located): Leprino Foods Lubbock Manufacturing Facility
- c. Is the location address of the facility in the existing permit the same?

 $\Box$  Yes  $\Box$  No  $\boxtimes$  N/A (new permit)

Note: If the facility is located in Bexar, Comal, Hays, Kinney, Medina, Travis, Uvalde, or Williamson County, additional information concerning protection of the Edwards Aquifer may be required.

f two at mont fo ailit  $\cap$ d

d.	Owner of treatment f	acility:			
	□ Mr. □ Ms. Full Name (First and Last): <u>N/A</u>				
	or Organization Name: Leprino Foods Company				
	Mailing Address: <u>1830 W. 38th Ave.</u>				
	City: <u>Denver</u>	State: <u>Colora</u>	ado	Zip Code: <u>802</u>	<u>11</u>
	Phone No: <u>970-347-5</u>	<u>115</u> Fa	x No: <u>N/A</u>	Email: <u>jherrud</u>	@leprinofoods.com
e.	Ownership of facility	: 🗆 Public	⊠ Private	🗆 Both	Federal
f.	Owner of land where	treatment fac	cility is or will be: <u>Leprino l</u>	Foods Company	
	🗆 Mr. 🗆 Ms. Full Na	ame (First and	l Last): <u>N/A</u>		
	or Organization Nam	e: <u>Leprino Foc</u>	ods Company		
	Mailing Address: <u>183</u>	<u>0 W. 38th Ave</u>	2.		
	City: <u>Denver</u>	State: <u>Colora</u>	ado	Zip Code: <u>802</u>	<u>11</u>
	Phone No: <u>970-347-5</u>	<u>115</u> Fa	x No: <u>N/A</u>	Email: <u>jherrud</u>	@leprinofoods.com
	<b>Note:</b> If not the same six years (In some cas	as the facility ses, a lease m	y owner, attach a long-term ay not suffice - see instruc	n lease agreemen tions). Attachme	it in effect for at least nt: <u>N/A</u>
g.	Owner of effluent TL	AP disposal si	ite (if applicable): <u>N/A</u>		
	🗆 Mr. 🗆 Ms. Full Na	ame (First and	l Last): <u>N/A</u>		
	or Organization Name: <u>N/A</u>				
	Mailing Address: N/A	<u> </u>			
	City: <u>N/A</u>	State: <u>N/A</u>		Zip Code: <u>N/A</u>	
	Phone No: <u>N/A</u>	Fax No: <u>N/A</u>		Email: <u>N/A</u>	
<b>Note:</b> If not the same as the facility owner, attach a long-term lease agreement in effect six years. Attachment: $\underline{N/A}$					it in effect for at least
h.	h. Owner of sewage sludge disposal site (if applicable):				
	□ Mr. □ Ms.	Full Name (F	first and Last): <u>N/A</u>		
	or Organization Nam	e: <u>N/A</u>			
	Mailing Address: <u>N/A</u>	4			
	City: <u>N/A</u>	State: <u>N/A</u>		Zip Code: <u>N/A</u>	l
	Phone No: <u>N/A</u>	Fax No: <u>N/A</u>		Email: N/A	



**Note:** If not the same as the facility owner, attach a long-term lease agreement in effect for at least six years. Attachment: N/A

#### Item 11. TDPES Discharge/TLAP Disposal Information (Instructions, Pages 31-32)

a. Is the facility located on or does the treated effluent cross Native American Land?

🗆 Yes 🖾 No

- b. Attach an original full size USGS Topographic Map (or an 8.5"×11" reproduced portion for renewal or amendment applications) with all required information. Check the box next to each item below to confirm it has been included on the map.
  - $\boxtimes$  One-mile radius  $\boxtimes$  Three-miles downstream information
  - Applicant's property boundaries I Treatment facility boundaries
  - $\boxtimes$  Labeled point(s) of discharge  $\boxtimes$  Highlighted discharge route(s)
  - Effluent disposal site boundaries
- ⊠ New and future construction

⊠ All wastewater ponds

Attachment: <u>D</u>

c. Is the location of the sewage sludge disposal site in the existing permit accurate?

🗆 Yes 🖾 No or New Permit

□ Sewage sludge disposal site

If no, or a new application, provide an accurate location description: <u>N/A</u>

d. Are the point(s) of discharge in the existing permit correct?

🗆 Yes 🗵 No or New Permit

If no, or a new application, provide an accurate location description: <u>Outfall 001 discharges into</u> <u>Canyon Lake #6 – Classified Segment 1241A</u>

e. Are the discharge route(s) in the existing permit correct?

🗆 Yes 🗵 No or New Permit

If no, or a new permit, provide an accurate description of the discharge route: <u>Discharges to</u> <u>multiple energy dissipation controls will be pumped from the facility via a 14" force main</u> <u>approximately 2.7 miles to energy dissipation structures, then to a 24" HDPE line to the outfall</u> <u>structure located in Canyon Lake #6.</u>

- f. City nearest the outfall(s): <u>Lubbock</u>
- g. County in which the outfalls(s) is/are located: Lubbock
- h. Is or will the treated wastewater discharge to a city, county, or state highway right-of-way, or a flood control district drainage ditch?

🗆 Yes 🖾 No

If yes, indicate by a check mark if: 🗆 Authorization granted 👘 🗖 Authorization pending

For new and amendment applications, attach copies of letters that show proof of contact and provide the approval letter upon receipt. Attachment: N/A

For all applications involving an average daily discharge of 5 MGD or more, provide the names of all counties located within 100 statute miles downstream of the point(s) of discharge: N/A

i. For TLAPs, is the location of the effluent disposal site in the existing permit accurate?

TCEQ-10411 (05/20/2022) Industrial Wastewater Application Administrative Report

Page 8 of 20

🗆 Yes 🖾 No or New Permit

If no, or a new application, provide an accurate location description:  $\underline{N/A}$ 

- j. City nearest the disposal site: N/A
- k. County in which the disposal site is located: N/A
- l. Disposal Site Latitude: <u>N/A</u> Longitude: <u>N/A</u>
- m. For TLAPs, describe how effluent is/will be routed from the treatment facility to the disposal site: N/A
- n. For TLAPs, identify the nearest watercourse to the disposal site to which rainfall runoff might flow if not contained:  $\underline{N/A}$

### Item 12. MISCELLANEOUS INFORMATION (Instructions, Page 33)

a. Did any person formerly employed by the TCEQ represent your company and get paid for service regarding this application?

🗆 Yes 🖾 No

If yes, list each person: N/A

b. Do you owe any fees to the TCEQ?

🗆 Yes 🖾 No

If yes, provide the account no.: N/A and total amount due: N/A

- c. Do you owe any penalties to the TCEQ?
  - 🗆 Yes 🛛 No

If yes, provide the enforcement order no.: N/A and amount due: N/A



### Item 13. SIGNATURE PAGE (Instructions, Pages 33-34)

Permit No: WQ000 New Permit

Applicant Name: Joseph Herrud

Certification: I, <u>Joseph Herrud</u>, certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gather and evaluate the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations.

I further certify that I am authorized under 30 Texas Administrative Code §305.44 to sign and submit this document and can provide documentation in proof of such authorization upon request.

Signatory name (typed or printed): Joseph Herrud

Signatory title: Senior Director of Environmental Engineering

Signature:		Date:	
(Use blue ink)			
Subscribed and Sworn to before me by the said			
on this	day of	, 2	0
My commission expires on the	day of	, 2	0

Notary Public

[SEAL]

County, Texas

**Note:** *If co-applicants are necessary, each entity must submit an original, separate signature page.* 



# ATTACHMENT 1

# INDIVIDUAL INFORMATION

### Item 1. Individual information (Instructions, Page 38)

Complete this attachment if the facility applicant or co-applicant is an individual. Make additional copies of this attachment if both are individuals.

Prefix (Mr., Ms., or Miss): <u>N/A</u>

Full legal name (first, middle, and last): <u>N/A</u>

Driver's License or State Identification Number: N/A

Date of Birth: <u>N/A</u>

Mailing Address: <u>N/A</u>

City, State, and Zip Code: <u>N/A</u>

Phone No.: N/A N/A

Fax No.: <u>N/A</u>

E-mail Address: N/A

CN: <u>N/A</u>





## Plain Language Summary for Texas Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (TPDES) and Texas Land Application (TLAP) Permit Applications

Leprino Foods Company (CN605980739) proposes to operate Leprino Foods Lubbock Manufacturing Facility (RN111422333) a cheese (except cottage cheese) manufacturing facility. The facility will be located approximately 0.5 miles east of East Loop 289, north of E 19<sup>th</sup> St and south of E 4<sup>th</sup> St, in Lubbock, Lubbock County, Texas 76403. The new application to discharge 2,000,000 gallons per day of treated wastewater, composing of food manufacturing process water, and cooling water for discharge to Outfall 001. With the exception of brine water, process wastewater and cooling water will treated by an onsite wastewater treatment plant. Wastewater and cooling water discharge will be continuous. All flows will be pumped from the facility through a 14" force main to an energy dissipation structure thence to a 24" HDPE line to the outfall structure (001) located in Canyon Lake #6 classified segment 1241A. Process and cooling water will be supplied by Lubbock Public Water System owned and operated by the City of Lubbock (CN600130736, RN101248722). The facility will be equipped with four lagoons for purpose of wastewater management. Brine will be kept separate from the wastewater streams in production and have dedicated lift stations to send to two evaporation lagoons. Two additional lagoons will be utilized for effluent storage in the event of corrective action measures of emergency conditions. Solids collected in the evaporation lagoons will be removed on a two-year cycle by registered waste haulers. Domestic sewage will be routed to the Southeast Water Reclamation Plant Wastewater Treatment Facility WQ0010353002.



# PLANTILLA EN ESPAÑOL PARA SOLICITUDES NUEVAS/RENOVACIONES/ENMIENDAS DE TPDES o TLAP

### AGUAS RESIDUALES INDUSTRIALES/AGUAS PLUVIALES

*El siguiente resumen se proporciona para esta solicitud de permiso de calidad del agua pendiente que está siendo revisada por la Comisión de Calidad Ambiental de Texas según lo requerido por el Capítulo 39 del Código Administrativo de Texas 30. La información proporcionada en este resumen puede cambiar durante la revisión técnica de la solicitud y no son representaciones federales exigibles de la solicitud de permiso.* 

Leprino Foods Company (CN605980739) propone operar Leprino Foods Lubbock Manufacturing Facility (RN111422333) una instalación de fabricación de gueso (excepto queso cottage). La instalación estará ubicada aproximadamente a 0,5 millas al este de East Loop 289, al norte de E 19th St y al sur de E 4th St, en Lubbock, Condado de Lubbock, Texas 76403. La nueva solicitud de vertido de 2.000.000 de galones diarios de aguas residuales tratadas, compuestas por agua de proceso de fabricación de alimentos y agua de refrigeración para su vertido en el emisor 001. Con la excepción del agua de salmuera, las aguas residuales de proceso y el agua de refrigeración serán tratadas por una planta de tratamiento de aguas residuales in situ. El vertido de aguas residuales y de refrigeración será continuo. Todos los flujos se bombearán desde la instalación a través de una tubería de impulsión de 14" hasta una estructura de disipación de energía y de ahí a una línea de HDPE de 24" hasta la estructura de vertido (001) situada en el lago Canvon #6 - segmento clasificado 1241A. El agua de proceso y de refrigeración será suministrada por el sistema público de agua de Lubbock, propiedad de la ciudad de Lubbock y operado por ella (CN600130736, RN101248722). La instalación estará equipada con cuatro lagunas para la gestión de las aguas residuales. La salmuera se mantendrá separada de los flujos de aguas residuales en la producción y tendrá estaciones de bombeo específicas para enviarla a dos lagunas de evaporación. Otras dos lagunas se utilizarán para el almacenamiento de efluentes en caso de que se adopten medidas correctoras en situaciones de emergencia. Los sólidos recogidos en las lagunas de evaporación serán retirados en un ciclo de dos años por transportistas de residuos registrados. Las aguas residuales domésticas se dirigirán a la planta de tratamiento de aguas residuales del sureste WO0010353002.



3. INDUSTRIAL ADMINISTRATIVE REPORT 1.1



# **INDUSTRIAL ADMINISTRATIVE REPORT 1.1**

The following information is required for new and amendment applications.

#### Item 1. AFFECTED LANDOWNER INFORMATION (Instructions, Pages 35-36)

- a. Attach a landowner map or drawing, with scale, as applicable. Check the box next to each item to confirm it has been provided.
  - $\boxtimes$  The applicant's property boundaries.
  - ☑ The facility site boundaries within the applicant's property boundaries.
  - The distance the buffer zone falls into adjacent properties and the property boundaries of the landowners located within the buffer zone.
  - ☑ The property boundaries of all landowners surrounding the applicant's property. (Note: if the application is a major amendment for a lignite mine, the map must include the property boundaries of all landowners adjacent to the new facility (ponds).)
  - The point(s) of discharge and highlighted discharge route(s) clearly shown for one mile downstream.
  - The property boundaries of the landowners located on both sides of the discharge route for one full stream mile downstream of the point of discharge.
  - The property boundaries of the landowners along the watercourse for a one-half mile radius from the point of discharge if the point of discharge is into a lake, bay, estuary, or affected by tides.
  - The boundaries of the effluent disposal site (e.g., irrigation area or subsurface drainfield site) and all evaporation/holding ponds within the applicant's property.
  - The property boundaries of all landowners surrounding the applicant's property boundaries where the effluent disposal site is located.
  - □ The boundaries of the sludge land application site (for land application of sewage sludge for beneficial use) and the property boundaries of landowners within one-quarter mile of the applicant's property boundaries where the sewage sludge land application site is located.
  - □ The property boundaries of landowners within one-half mile in all directions from the applicant's property boundaries where the sewage sludge disposal site (e.g., sludge surface disposal site or sludge monofil) is located.

Attachment: F

b. Check the box next to the format of the landowners list:

 $\boxtimes$  Readable/Writeable CD  $\square$  Four sets of labels

Attachment: <u>F</u>

- d. Provide the source of the landowners' names and mailing addresses: <u>Lubbock County Appraisal</u> <u>District</u>
- e. As required by Texas Water Code § 5.115, is any permanent school fund land affected by this application?

🗆 Yes 🖾 No

If yes, provide the location and foreseeable impacts and effects this application has on the land(s):  $\underline{N/A}$
#### Item 2. ORIGINAL PHOTOGRAPHS (Instructions, Page 37)

Provide original ground level photographs. Check the box next to each of the following items to indicate it is included.

- At least one original photograph of the new or expanded treatment unit location.
- At least two photographs of the existing/proposed point of discharge and as much area downstream (photo 1) and upstream (photo 2) as can be captured. If the discharge is to an open water body (e.g., lake, bay), the point of discharge should be in the right or left edge of each photograph showing the open water and with as much area on each respective side of the discharge as can be captured.
- At least one photograph of the existing/proposed effluent disposal site.
- A plot plan or map showing the location and direction of each photograph.

Attachment: G



4. SUPPLEMENTAL PERMIT INFORMATION FORM



## TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

# SUPPLEMENTAL PERMIT INFORMATION FORM (SPIF)

# FOR AGENCIES REVIEWING INDUSTRIAL TPDES WASTEWATER PERMIT APPLICATIONS

TCEQ USE ONLY:	
Application type:RenewalMajor Am	endmentNinor AmendmentNew
County:	_ Segment Number:
Admin Complete Date:	-
Agency Receiving SPIF:	
Texas Historical Commission	U.S. Fish and Wildlife
Texas Parks and Wildlife Department	U.S. Army Corps of Engineers

This form applies to TPDES permit applications only. (Instructions, Page 37)

The SPIF must be completed as a separate document. The TCEQ will mail a copy of the SPIF to each agency as required by the TCEQ agreement with EPA. If any of the items are not completely addressed or further information is needed, you will be contacted to provide the information before the permit is issued. Each item must be completely addressed.

Do not refer to a response of any item in the permit application form. Each attachment must be provided with this form separately from the administrative report of the application. The application will not be declared administratively complete without this form being completed in its entirety including all attachments.

The following applies to all applications:

- 1. Permittee Name: Leprino Foods Company
- 2. Permit No.: WQ000 New Permit EPA ID No.: TX0 New Permit
- 3. Address of the project (location description that includes street/highway, city/vicinity, and county): <u>The Production Plant street address is 4301 East 19th Street, Lubbock, TX. The Wastewater</u> <u>Treatment Plant street address is 4502 East 4th Street, Lubbock, TX 79403. The Production Plant</u> <u>and the Treatment Plant are located on two contiguous tracts owned by Leprino Foods Company.</u> <u>The property is located approximately 0.5 miles to the east of Texas 289 Loop Frontage and</u> <u>bordered on the south by E 19th St, and on the north by E 4th Street,</u>
- 4. Provide the name, address, phone and fax number, and email address of an individual that can be contacted to answer specific questions about the property.

Full Name (First and Last): Hannah BradishOrganization Name: Leprino Foods Company Mailing Address: 1830 W. 38th Ave.City: DenverState: ColoradoPhone No: 303-548-8718Fax No: N/AEmail: hbradish@leprinofoods.com

5. List the county in which the facility is located: <u>Lubbock</u>

TCEQ-10411 (05/20/2022) Industrial Wastewater Application Administrative Report

Page 13 of 20

LEPRINO\_000038

- 6. If the property is publicly owned and the owner is different than the permittee/applicant, please list the owner of the property: N/A
- 7. Provide a description of the effluent discharge route. The discharge route must follow the flow of effluent from the point of discharge to the nearest major watercourse (from the point of discharge to a classified segment as defined in 30 TAC Chapter 307). If known, please identify the classified segment number: Discharges will be pumped from the facility via a 14" force main approximately 2.7 miles to two energy dissipation structures, then to a 24" HDPE line to a subsurface outfall structure located in Canyon Lake #6.
- 8. Please provide a separate 7.5-minute USGS quadrangle map with the project boundaries plotted and a general location map showing the project area. Please highlight the discharge route from the point of discharge for a distance of one mile downstream. (This map is required in addition to the map in the administrative report.) Attachment: <u>H</u>
- 9. Provide original photographs of any structures 50 years or older on the property. Attachment: N/A
- 10. Does your project involve any of the following? Check all that apply.
  - Proposed access roads, utility lines, construction easements
  - Visual effects that could damage or detract from a historic property's integrity
  - □ Vibration effects during construction or as a result of project design
  - □ Additional phases of development that are planned for the future
  - □ Sealing caves, fractures, sinkholes, other karst features
  - Disturbance of vegetation or wetlands
- 11. List proposed construction impact (surface acres to be impacted, depth of excavation, sealing of caves, or other karst features): <u>Surface area to be disturbed is approximately 45 acres. Structural fill will be added for site preparation. No caves or karst features are expected to be present within the construction area.</u>
- 12. Describe existing disturbances, vegetation, and land use: <u>Construction activities have started and</u> <u>are covered under a Stormwater General Permit for Construction Activities (held by Whiting</u> <u>Turner).</u>

THE FOLLOWING ITEMS APPLY ONLY TO APPLICATIONS FOR NEW TPDES PERMITS AND MAJOR AMENDMENTS TO TPDES PERMITS

- 13. List construction dates of all buildings and structures on the property:  $\frac{11/22}{2022}$
- 14. Provide a brief history of the property, and name of the architect/builder, if known: <u>Property has</u> <u>been used for agricultural farming and is undeveloped</u>. The Probst Group is the engineering firm of record for designing the facility layout and treatment facility.



5. INDUSTRIAL TECHNICAL REPORT 1.0



# TECHNICAL REPORT 1.0 INDUSTRIAL

The following information **is required** for all applications for a TLAP or an individual TPDES discharge permit.

For additional information or clarification on the requested information, refer to the <u>Instructions for</u> <u>Completing the Industrial Wastewater Permit Application</u><sup>1</sup> available on the TCEQ website.

If more than one outfall is included in the application, provide applicable information for each individual outfall. **If an item does not apply to the facility, enter N/A** to indicate that the item has been considered. Include separate reports or additional sheets as **clearly cross-referenced attachments** and provide the attachment number in the space provided for the item the attachment addresses.

**NOTE:** This application is for an industrial wastewater permit only. Additional authorizations from the TCEQ Waste Permits Division or the TCEQ Air Permits Division may be needed.

# 1. FACILITY/SITE INFORMATION (Instructions, Pages 39-40)

a. Describe the general nature of the business and type(s) of industrial and commercial activities. Include all applicable SIC codes (up to 4).

Leprino Foods Company proposes to construct a new mozzarella cheese and nutrition manufacturing facility in Lubbock, Texas. The manufactured dairy-based cheese will be for commercial food operators for public consumption. The Facility will be operating under the Primary Standard Industry Code: 2022 (Natural, Processed, and Imitation Cheese) and NAICS Code: 311513. See Attachment I for more details.

b. Describe all wastewater-generating processes at the facility.

Raw fluid milk is brought into the facility as the main ingredient to the cheese make process. The milk is reacted to coagulate the proteins and form cheese curds and liquid whey. The liquid whey is separated from the cheese and processed into nutrition products through separation and drying processes which removes the associated water. The associated water (COW water) and potable water used for daily sanitation is discharged to the onsite wastewater treatment plant and then discharged to Outfall 001. The brine used to cool and add salt to the cheese is managed in a separate evaporation system. Cooling water discharges, boiler water, and reverse osmosis reject water will also be sent to the wastewater treatment facility and then discharged to Outfall 001. See Attachment I for more details.



<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> <u>https://www.tceq.texas.gov/permitting/wastewater/industrial/TPDES\_industrial\_wastewater\_steps.html</u>

c. Provide a list of raw materials, major intermediates, and final products handled at the facility.

Raw Materials	Intermediate Products	Final Products
Milk	Permeate	Mozzarella Cheese
Nonfat dry milk	Liquid Whey	Whey Protein Powder
Salt	Cream	Sweet Whey Powder
Cellulose	Skim Milk	Permeate Powder
		Cream

#### Attachment: N/A

- d. Attach a facility map (drawn to scale) with the following information:
  - Production areas, maintenance areas, materials-handling areas, waste-disposal areas, and water intake structures.
  - The location of each unit of the WWTP including the location of wastewater collection sumps, impoundments, outfalls, and sampling points, if significantly different from outfall locations.

#### Attachment: K

- e. Is this a new permit application for an existing facility?
  - $\Box$  Yes  $\boxtimes$  No

If **yes**, provide background discussion: N/A

f. Is/will the treatment facility/disposal site be located above the 100-year frequency flood level.

🖾 Yes 🗆 No

List source(s) used to determine 100-year frequency flood plain: FEMA FIRM 48303CO310E

If **no**, provide the elevation of the 100-year frequency flood plain and describe what protective measures are used/proposed to prevent flooding (including tail water and rainfall run-on controls) of the treatment facility and disposal area: N/A

#### Attachment: N/A

- g. For **new** or **major amendment** permit applications, will any construction operations result in a discharge of fill material into a water in the state?
  - $\boxtimes$  Yes  $\square$  No  $\square$  N/A (renewal only)
- h. If yes to Item 1.g, has the applicant applied for a USACE CWA Chapter 404 Dredge and Fill permit?
  - $\Box$  Yes  $\boxtimes$  No

If yes, provide the permit number: <u>NWP-58</u>

If **no**, provide an approximate date of application submittal to the USACE: N/A

## 2. TREATMENT SYSTEM (Instructions, Page 40)

a. List any physical, chemical, or biological treatment process(es) used/proposed to treat wastewater at this facility. Include a description of each treatment process, starting with initial treatment and finishing with the outfall/point of disposal.

The wastewater treatment plant (WWTP) will use a combination of anaerobic and aerobic activated sludge systems to treat high strength wastewater (HSW) and low strength wastewater (LSW) generated in production. The outfall point of disposal will be Canyon Lake #6. For wastewater treatment details please refer to Memo: Detailed Process Description for Project Armadillo found in Attachment P.

b. Attach a flow schematic **with a water balance** showing all sources of water and wastewater flow into the facility, wastewater flow into and from each treatment unit, and wastewater flow to each outfall/point of disposal.

#### Attachment: L

## 3. IMPOUNDMENTS (Instructions, Pages 40-42)

Does the facility use or plan to use any wastewater impoundments (e.g., lagoons or ponds?)

 $\boxtimes$  Yes  $\Box$  No

If **no**, proceed to Item 4. If **yes**, complete **Item 3.a** for **existing** impoundments and **Items 3.a - 3.e** for **new or proposed** impoundments. **NOTE:** See instructions, Pages 40-42, for additional information on the attachments required by Items 3.a – 3.e.

a. Complete the table with the following information for each existing, new, or proposed impoundment:

**Use Designation:** Indicate the use designation for each impoundment as Treatment (**T**), Disposal (**D**), Containment (**C**), or Evaporation (**E**).

Associated Outfall Number: Provide an outfall number if a discharge occurs or will occur.

**Liner Type:** Indicate the liner type as Compacted clay liner (**C**), In-situ clay liner (**I**), Synthetic/plastic/rubber liner (**S**), or Alternate liner (**A**). **NOTE:** See instructions for further detail on liner specifications. If an alternate liner (A) is selected, include an attachment that provides a description of the alternate liner and any additional technical information necessary for an evaluation.

**Leak Detection System:** If any leak detection systems are in place/planned, enter **Y** for yes. Otherwise, enter **N** for no.

**Groundwater Monitoring Wells and Data:** If groundwater monitoring wells are in place/planned, enter **Y** for yes. Otherwise, enter **N** for no. Attach any existing groundwater monitoring data.

**Dimensions:** Provide the dimensions, freeboard, surface area, storage capacity of the impoundments, and the maximum depth (not including freeboard). For impoundments with irregular shapes, submit surface area instead of length and width.

**Compliance with 40 CFR Part 257, Subpart D:** If the impoundment is required to be in compliance with 40 CFR Part 257, Subpart D, enter **Y** for yes. Otherwise, enter **N** for no.

**Date of Construction:** Enter the date construction of the impoundment commenced (mm/dd/yy).

## **Impoundment Information**

Parameter	Evaporation Lagoon	Evaporation Lagoon	Non- Compliant Effluent Lagoon	Calamity Lagoon
Use Designation: (T) (D) (C) or (E)	Е	Е	С	Т
Associated Outfall Number	N/A	N/A	001	001
Liner Type (C) (I) (S) or (A)	S	S	S	S
Alt. Liner Attachment Reference	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Leak Detection System, Y/N	Y	Y	Y	Y
Groundwater Monitoring Wells, Y/N	N	N	Ν	Ν
Groundwater Monitoring Data Attachment	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν
Pond Bottom Located Above The Seasonal High-Water Table, Y/N	Y	Y	Y	Y
Length (ft)	635	635	590	Irregular
Width (ft)	635	635	320	Irregular
Max Depth From Water Surface (ft), Not Including Freeboard	5.5	5.5	10.5	6.5
Freeboard (ft)	18"	18"	18"	18"
Surface Area (acres)	9.25	9.25	3.25	0.8
Storage Capacity (gallons)	12,500,000	12,500,000	10,500,000	1,500,000
40 CFR Part 257, Subpart D, Y/N	N	N	N	N
Date of Construction (estimated)	09/01/2022	09/01/2022	09/01/2022	09/01/2022

### **Impoundment Information**

Parameter	Pond # N/A	Pond # N/A	Pond # N/A	Pond # N/A
Use Designation: (T) (D) (C) or (E)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Associated Outfall Number	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Liner Type (C) (I) (S) or (A)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Alt. Liner Attachment Reference	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Leak Detection System, Y/N	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Groundwater Monitoring Wells, Y/N	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Groundwater Monitoring Data Attachment	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Pond Bottom Located Above The Seasonal High-Water Table, Y/N	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Length (ft)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Width (ft)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Max Depth From Water Surface (ft), not including freeboard	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Freeboard (ft)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Surface Area (acres)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Storage Capacity (gallons)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

TCEQ-10055 (05/20/2022) Industrial Wastewater Application Technical Report

Page 4 of 81
LEPRINO\_000044

Parameter	Pond # N/A	Pond # N/A	Pond # N/A	Pond # N/A
40 CFR Part 257, Subpart D, Y/N	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Date of Construction	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

## Attachment: N

The following information (Items 3.b – 3.e) is required only for **new or proposed** impoundments.

- b. For new or proposed impoundments, attach any available information on the following items. If attached, check **yes** in the appropriate box. Otherwise, check **no** or **not yet designed**.
- i. Liner data
  - $\Box$  Yes  $\Box$  No  $\boxtimes$  Not yet designed
- ii. Leak detection system or groundwater monitoring data
  - $\Box$  Yes  $\Box$  No  $\boxtimes$  Not yet designed
- iii. Groundwater impacts
  - $\Box$  Yes  $\boxtimes$  No  $\Box$  Not yet designed

**NOTE:** Item b.iii is required if the bottom of the pond is not above the seasonal high-water table in the shallowest water-bearing zone.

#### Attachment: N

#### For TLAP applications: Items 3.c – 3.e are not required, continue to Item 4.

c. Attach a USGS map or a color copy of original quality and scale which accurately locates and identifies all known water supply wells and monitor wells within <sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub>-mile of the impoundments.

#### Attachment: N

d. Attach copies of State Water Well Reports (e.g., driller's logs, completion data, etc.), and data on depths to groundwater for all known water supply wells including a description of how the depths to groundwater were obtained.

#### Attachment: N

e. Attach information pertaining to the groundwater, soils, geology, pond liner, etc. used to assess the potential for migration of wastes from the impoundments or the potential for contamination of groundwater or surface water.

#### Attachment: N

# 4. OUTFALL/DISPOSAL METHOD INFORMATION (Instructions, Pages 42-43)

Complete the following tables to describe the location and wastewater discharge or disposal operations for each outfall for discharge operations, and for each point of disposal for TLAP operations.

If there are more outfalls/points of disposal at the facility than the spaces provided, copies of pages 6 and/or numbered accordingly (i.e., page 6a, 6b, etc.) may be used to provide information on the additional outfalls.

**For TLAP applications:** Indicate the disposal method and each individual irrigation area **I**, evaporation pond **E**, or subsurface drainage system **S** by providing the appropriate letter designation for the disposal

method followed by a numerical designation for each disposal area in the space provided for **Outfall** number (e.g. **E1** for evaporation pond 1, **I2** for irrigation area No. 2, etc.).



#### Outfall Latitude and Longitude

Outfall Number	Latitude-decimal degrees	Longitude-decimal degrees
001	33.576301	-101.812924

#### **Outfall Location Description**

Outfall	Location
Number	Description
001	At Canyon Lake #6 approximately 30 from the edge of water on the bank (water surface elevation=3131.50) and approximately 3' from the top of the outfall box to the water surface.

### Description of Sampling Points (if different from Outfall location)

Outfall	Description of
Number	Sampling Point
001	Sampling point will be collected in the main WWTP just downstream after treatment from the effluent pumps.

### **Outfall Flow Information – Permitted and Proposed**

Outfall Number	Permitted Daily Avg Flow (MGD)	Permitted Daily Max Flow (MGD)	Proposed Daily Avg Flow (MGD)	Proposed Daily Max Flow (MGD)	Anticipated Discharge Date (mm/dd/yy)
001	N/A	N/A	2.0	2.5	10/01/2024

### **Outfall Discharge – Method and Measurement**

Outfall	Pumped Discharge?	Gravity Discharge?	Type of Flow Measurement
Number	Y/N	Y/N	Device Used
001	Y	Ν	Electro-magnetic flowmeter

## **Outfall Discharge – Flow Characteristics**

Outfall Number	Intermittent Discharge? Y/N	Continuous Discharge? Y/N	Seasonal Discharge? Y/N	Discharge Duration (hrs/day)	Discharge Duration (days/mo)	Discharge Duration (mo/yr)
001	Ν	Y	Ν	24	31	12
N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

TCEQ-10055 (05/20/2022) Industrial Wastewater Application Technical Report

Page **7** of **81** 

LEPRINO\_000047

Outfall Number	Intermittent Discharge? Y/N	Continuous Discharge? Y/N	Seasonal Discharge? Y/N	Discharge Duration (hrs/day)	Discharge Duration (days/mo)	Discharge Duration (mo/yr)
N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

## Wastestream Contributions

#### Outfall No.: 001

Contributing Wastestreams	Volume (MGD)	% of Total Flow
Milk Receiving	0.14	7.18
Cheese	0.64	32.82
Processing	0.05	2.56
Nutrition	0.56	28.72
Boilers/Utilities	0.56	2.56
Cooling Tower/Evap. Condenser Blowdown	0.25	12.82
Potential RO Reject	0.26	13.33

## Outfall No.: <u>N/A</u>

Contributing Wastestreams	Volume (MGD)	% of Total Flow

#### Outfall No.: <u>N/A</u>

Contributing Wastestreams	Volume (MGD)	% of Total Flow

Page 8 of 81
LEPRINO\_000048

Contributing Wastestreams	Volume (MGD)	% of Total Flow

Attachment:  $\underline{L}$ 



## 5. BLOWDOWN AND ONCE-THROUGH COOLING WATER DISCHARGES (Instructions, Page 44)

a. Does the facility use/propose to use any cooling towers which discharge blowdown or other wastestreams to the outfall(s)?

🛛 Yes 🗆 No

NOTE: If the facility uses or plans to use cooling towers, Item 12 is required.

b. Does the facility use or plan to use any boilers that discharge blowdown or other wastestreams to the outfall(s)?

🛛 Yes 🗆 No

c. Does or will the facility discharge once-through cooling water to the outfall(s)?

🗆 Yes 🖾 No

NOTE: If the facility uses or plans to use once-through cooling water, Item 12 is required.

- d. If **yes** to Items 5.a, 5.b, **or** 5.c, attach the SDS with the following information for each chemical additive.
  - Manufacturers Product Identification Number
  - Product use (e.g., biocide, fungicide, corrosion inhibitor, etc.)
  - Chemical composition including CASRN for each ingredient
  - Classify product as non-persistent, persistent, or bioaccumulative
  - Product or active ingredient half-life
  - Frequency of product use (e.g., 2 hours/day once every two weeks)
  - Product toxicity data specific to fish and aquatic invertebrate organisms
  - Concentration of whole product or active ingredient, as appropriate, in wastestream.

Attach a summary of this information in addition to the submittal of the SDS for each specific wastestream and the associated chemical additives and specify which outfalls are affected.

## Attachment: M

e. Cooling Towers and Boilers

If **yes** to either Item 5.a **or** 5.b, complete the following table.

#### **Cooling Towers and Boilers**

Type of Unit	Number of Units	Dly Avg Blowdown (gallons/day)	Dly Max Blowdown (gallons/day)
Cooling Towers (1 unit) /Evaporative Condensers (6 units)	7	250,000	275,000
Boilers	5	35,000	50,000

# 6. STORMWATER MANAGEMENT (Instructions, Page 44)

Are there any existing/proposed outfalls which discharge stormwater associated with industrial activities, as defined at *40 CFR § 122.26(b)(14)*, commingled with any other wastestream?

 $\Box$  Yes  $\boxtimes$  No

TCEQ-10055 (05/20/2022) Industrial Wastewater Application Technical Report

If **yes**, briefly describe the industrial processes and activities that occur outdoors or in some manner which may result in exposure of the activities or materials to stormwater: N/A

## 7. DOMESTIC SEWAGE, SEWAGE SLUDGE, AND SEPTAGE MANAGEMENT AND DISPOSAL (Instructions, Page 45)

**Domestic Sewage** - Waste and wastewater from humans or household operations that is discharged to a wastewater collection system or otherwise enters a treatment works.

- a. Check the box next to the appropriate method of domestic sewage and domestic sewage sludge treatment or disposal. Complete Worksheet 5.0 or Item 7.b if directed to do so.
  - Domestic sewage is routed (i.e., connected to or transported to) to a WWTP permitted to receive domestic sewage for treatment, disposal, or both. **Complete Item 7.b**.
  - Domestic sewage disposed of by an on-site septic tank and drainfield system. **Complete Item 7.b**.
  - Domestic and industrial treatment sludge **ARE commingled** prior to use or disposal.
  - Industrial wastewater and domestic sewage are treated separately, and the respective sludge IS NOT commingled prior to sludge use or disposal. Complete Worksheet 5.0.
  - □ Facility is a POTW. **Complete Worksheet 5.0**.
  - Domestic sewage is not generated on-site.
  - $\Box$  Other (e.g., portable toilets), specify and **Complete Item 7.b**: <u>N/A</u>
- b. Provide the name and TCEQ, NPDES, or TPDES Permit No. of the waste-disposal facility which receives the domestic sewage/septage. If hauled by motorized vehicle, provide the name and TCEQ Registration No. of the hauler.

#### Domestic Sewage Plant/Hauler Name

Plant/Hauler Name	Permit/Registration No.
Southeast Water Reclamation Plant Wastewater Treatment Facility	WQ0010353002
Septic System Hauler - TBD	TBD

## 8. IMPROVEMENTS OR COMPLIANCE/ENFORCEMENT REQUIREMENTS (Instructions, Page 45)

- a. Is the permittee currently required to meet any implementation schedule for compliance or enforcement?
  - 🗆 Yes 🖂 No
- b. Has the permittee completed or planned for any improvements or construction projects?

🗆 Yes 🖾 No

c. If yes to either 8.a or 8.b, provide a brief summary of the requirements and a status update: N/A

## 9. TOXICITY TESTING (Instructions, Page 45)

Have any biological tests for acute or chronic toxicity been made on any of the discharges or on a receiving water in relation to the discharge within the last three years?

🗆 Yes 🖾 No

If yes, identify the tests and describe their purposes: N/A

TCEQ-10055 (05/20/2022) Industrial Wastewater Application Technical Report

Page 11 of 81
LEPRINO\_000051

Additionally, attach a copy of all tests performed which have not been submitted to the TCEQ or EPA.

### Attachment: <u>N/A</u>

## 10. OFF-SITE/THIRD PARTY WASTES (Instructions, Page 45)

a. Does or will the facility receive wastes from off-site sources for treatment at the facility, disposal on-site via land application, or discharge via a permitted outfall?

🗆 Yes 🖾 No

If **yes**, provide responses to Items 10.b through 10.d below.

If **no**, proceed to Item 11.

- b. Attach the following information to the application:
  - List of wastes received (including volumes, characterization, and capability with on-site wastes).
  - Identify the sources of wastes received (including the legal name and addresses of the generators).
  - Description of the relationship of waste source(s) with the facility's activities.

Attachment:

c. Is or will wastewater from another TCEQ, NPDES, or TPDES permitted facility commingled with this facility's wastewater after final treatment and prior to discharge via the final outfall/point of disposal?

🗆 Yes 🗆 No

If **yes**, provide the name, address, and TCEQ, NPDES, or TPDES permit number of the contributing facility and a copy of any agreements or contracts relating to this activity.

#### Attachment:

- d. Is this facility a POTW that accepts/will accept process wastewater from any SIU and has/is required to have an approved pretreatment program under the NPDES/TPDES program?
  - □ Yes □ No

If yes, Worksheet 6.0 of this application is required.

## 11. RADIOACTIVE MATERIALS (Instructions, Pages 46)

- a. Are/will radioactive materials be mined, used, stored, or processed at this facility?
  - 🗆 Yes 🖾 No

If **yes**, use the following table to provide the results of one analysis of the effluent for all radioactive materials that may be present. Provide results in pCi/L.

#### Radioactive Materials Mined, Used, Stored, or Processed

Radioactive Material	Concentration (pCi/L)
N/A	N/A

- b. Does the applicant or anyone at the facility have any knowledge or reason to believe that radioactive materials may be present in the discharge, including naturally occurring radioactive materials in the source waters or on the facility property?
  - 🗆 Yes 🖾 No

If **yes**, use the following table to provide the results of one analysis of the effluent for all radioactive materials that may be present. Provide results in pCi/L. Do not include information provided in response to Item 11.a.

#### **Radioactive Materials Present in the Discharge**

Radioactive Material	Concentration (pCi/L)
N/A	N/A

## 12. COOLING WATER (Instructions, Pages 46-47)

- a. Does the facility use or propose to use water for cooling purposes?
  - $\boxtimes$  Yes  $\square$  No

If **no**, stop here. If **yes**, complete Items 12.b thru 12.f.

- b. Cooling water is/will be obtained from a groundwater source (e.g., on-site well).
  - 🗆 Yes 🖾 No

If **yes**, stop here. If **no**, continue.

c. Cooling Water Supplier

i. Provide the name of the owner(s) and operator(s) for the CWIS that supplies or will supply water for cooling purposes to the facility.

#### Cooling Water Intake Structure(s) Owner(s) and Operator(s)

CWIS ID	LUBBOCK PUBLIC WATER SYSTEM		
Owner	City of Lubbock		
Operator	City of Lubbock		

ii. Cooling water is/will be obtained from a Public Water Supplier (PWS)

 $\boxtimes$  Yes  $\square$  No

If no, continue. If yes, provide the PWS Registration No. and stop here: PWS No. 1520002

- iii. Cooling water is/will be obtained from a reclaimed water source?
  - 🗆 Yes 🗆 No

If **no**, continue. If **yes**, provide the Reuse Authorization No. and stop here: <u>N/A</u>

Page 13 of 81 LEPRINO 000053 iv. Cooling water is/will be obtained from an Independent Supplier

🗆 Yes 🗆 No

If **yes**, provide the actual intake flow of the Independent Supplier's CWIS that is/will be used to provide water for cooling purposes to the facility and proceed: N/A

If **no**, proceed to Item 12.d.

d. 316(b) General Criteria

i. The CWIS(s) used to provide water for cooling purposes to the facility has or will have a cumulative design intake flow of 2 MGD or greater.

🗆 Yes 🗆 No

ii. At least 25% of the total water withdrawn by the CWIS is/will be used at the facility exclusively for cooling purposes on an annual average basis.

□ Yes □ No

iii. The CWIS(s) withdraw(s)/propose(s) to withdraw water for cooling purposes from surface waters that meet the definition of Waters of the United States in *40 CFR § 122.2*.

🗆 Yes 🗆 No

If **no**, provide an explanation of how the waterbody does not meet the definition of Waters of the United States in *40 CFR § 122.2*:

If **yes** to all three questions in Item 12.d, the facility **meets** the minimum criteria to be subject to the full requirements of Section 316(b) of the CWA. Proceed to **Item 12.f**.

If **no** to any of the questions in Item 12.d, the facility **does not meet** the minimum criteria to be subject to the full requirements of Section 316(b) of the CWA; however, a determination is required based upon BPJ. Proceed to **Item 12.e**.

e. The facility does not meet the minimum requirements to be subject to the fill requirements of Section 316(b) **and uses/proposes to use cooling towers**.

🗆 Yes 🗆 No

If **yes**, stop here. If **no**, complete Worksheet 11.0, Items 1(a), 1(b)(i-iii) and (vi), 2(b)(i), and 3(a) to allow for a determination based upon BPJ.

- f. Oil and Gas Exploration and Production
- i. The facility is subject to requirements at 40 CFR Part 435, Subparts A or D.

□ Yes □ No

If **yes**, continue. If **no**, skip to Item 12.g.

ii. The facility is an existing facility as defined at 40 CFR § 125.92(k) or a new unit at an existing facility as defined at 40 CFR § 125.92(u).

🗆 Yes 🗆 No

If **yes**, complete Worksheet 11.0, Items 1(a), 1(b)(i-iii) and (vi), 2(b)(i), and 3(a) to allow for a determination based upon BPJ. If **no**, skip to Item 12.g.iii.

- g. Compliance Phase and Track Selection
- i. Phase I New facility subject to 40 CFR Part 125, Subpart I

🗆 Yes 🗆 No

If **yes**, check the box next to the facility's compliance track selection, attach the requested information, and complete Worksheet 11.0, Items 2 and 3, and Worksheet 11.2.

- Track I AIF greater than 2 MGD, but less than 10 MGD
  - Attach information required by *40 CFR §§ 125.86(b)(2)-(4)*.
- $\Box$  Track I AIF greater than 10 MGD
  - Attach information required by 40 CFR § 125.86(b).
- □ Track II
  - Attach information required by 40 CFR § 125.86(c).
- Attachment:
- ii. Phase II Existing facility subject to 40 CFR Part 125, Subpart J
  - □ Yes □ No

If **yes**, complete Worksheets 11.0 through 11.3, as applicable.

iii. Phase III – New facility subject to 40 CFR Part 125, Subpart N

□ Yes □ No

If **yes**, check the box next to the facility's compliance track selection and provide the requested information.

- □ Track I Fixed facility
  - Attach information required by 40 CFR § 125.136(b) and complete Worksheet 11.0, Items 2 and 3, and Worksheet 11.2.
- □ Track I Not a fixed facility
  - Attach information required by 40 CFR § 125.136(b) and complete Worksheet 11.0, Item 2 (except the CWIS latitude and longitude under Item 2.a).

 $\Box$  Track II – Fixed facility

• Attach information required by 40 CFR § 125.136(c) and complete Worksheet 11.0, Items 2 and 3.

Attachment:	
Attachment:	

NOTE: Item 13 is required only for existing permitted facilities.

## 13. PERMIT CHANGE REQUESTS (Instructions, Pages 49-50)

a. Is the facility requesting a **major amendment** of an existing permit?

🗆 Yes 🛛 No

If **yes**, list each request individually and provide the following information: 1) detailed information regarding the scope of each request and 2) a justification for each request. Attach any supplemental information or additional data to support each request.

b. Is the facility requesting any **minor amendments** to the permit?

🗆 Yes 🖂 No

If **yes**, list and discuss the requested changes.

<u>N/A</u>

N/A

c. Is the facility requesting any **minor modifications** to the permit?

🗆 Yes 🖾 No

If **yes**, list and discuss the requested changes.

<u>N/A</u>

6. WORKSHEET 1.0 EPA EFFLUENT GUIDELINES



# WORKSHEET 1.0 EPA CATEGORICAL EFFLUENT GUIDELINES

This worksheet **is required** for all applications for TPDES permits for discharges of wastewaters subject to EPA categorical effluent limitation guidelines (ELGs).

## 1. CATEGORICAL INDUSTRIES (Instructions, Pages 50-52)

Is this facility subject to any of the 40 CFR categorical ELGs outlined on page 53 of the instructions?

🖾 Yes 🗆 No

If **no**, this worksheet is not required. If **yes**, provide the appropriate information in the table below.

#### **40 CFR Effluent Guidelines**

Industry	40 CFR Part
Dairy Products Processing	405

## 2. PRODUCTION/PROCESS DATA (Instructions, Page 54)

**NOTE:** For all TPDES permit applications requesting individual permit coverage for discharges of oil and gas exploration and production wastewater (discharges into or adjacent to water in the state, falling under the Oil and Gas Extraction Effluent Guidelines – 40 CFR Part 435), see Worksheet 12.0, Item 2 instead.

#### a. Production Data

Provide the appropriate data for effluent guidelines with production-based effluent limitations.

#### **Production Data**

Subcategory	Actual Quantity/Day	Design Quantity/Day	Units
405.65 Subpart F	Please refer to Attachment O – Categorical Effluent Limits Analysis	Please refer to Attachment O – Categorical Effluent Limits Analysis	Pounds per 100 lb of BOD5 input

Subcategory	Actual Quantity/Day	Design Quantity/Day	Units

#### b. Organic Chemicals, Plastics, and Synthetic Fibers Manufacturing Data (40 CFR Part 414)

Provide each applicable subpart and the percent of total production. Provide data for metal-bearing and cyanide-bearing wastestreams, as required by *40 CFR Part 414, Appendices A and B*.

#### **Percentages of Total Production**

Subcategory	Percent of Total Production	Appendix A and B - Metal	Appendix A – Cyanide
N/A			

#### c. Refineries (40 CFR Part 419)

Provide the applicable subcategory and a brief justification.

<u>N/A</u>

# 3. PROCESS/NON-PROCESS WASTEWATER FLOWS (Instructions, Page 54)

Provide a breakdown of wastewater flow(s) generated by the facility, including both process and nonprocess wastewater flow(s). Specify which wastewater flows are to be authorized for discharge under this permit and the disposal practices for wastewater flows, excluding domestic, which are not to be authorized for discharge under this permit. Raw fluid milk is brought into the facility as the main ingredient to the cheesemake process. The milk is reacted to coagulate the proteins and form cheese curds and liquid whey. The liquid whey is separated from the cheese and processed into nutrition products through separation and drying processes which removes the associated water. The associated water (COW water) and potable water used for daily sanitation is discharged to the onsite wastewater treatment plant and then discharged to Outfall 001. The brine used to cool and add salt to the cheese is managed in a separate evaporation system. Cooling water discharges, boiler water, and reverse osmosis reject water will also be sent to the wastewater treatment facility and then discharged to Outfall 001. See Attachment I for more details.

## 4. NEW SOURCE DETERMINATION (Instructions, Page 54)

Provide a list of all wastewater-generating processes subject to EPA categorical ELGs, identify the appropriate guideline Part and Subpart, and provide the date the process/construction commenced.

Process	EPA Guideline: Part	EPA Guideline: Subpart	Date Process/ Construction Commenced
Manufacture of Mozzarella Cheese	405	F	10-01-2024/9-01-2022

#### Wastewater-generating Processes Subject to Effluent Guidelines



7. WORKSHEET 2.0 POLLUTANT ANALYSIS REQUIREMENTS



# WORKSHEET 2.0 POLLUTANT ANALYSES REQUIREMENTS

Worksheet 2.0 **is required** for all applications submitted for a TPDES permit. Worksheet 2.0 is not required for applications for a permit to dispose of all wastewater by land disposal or for discharges solely of stormwater associated with industrial activities.

## 1. LABORATORY ACCREDITATION (Instructions, Page 56)

Effective July 1, 2008, all laboratory tests performed must meet the requirements of *30 TAC Chapter 25*, *Environmental Testing Laboratory Accreditation and Certification* with the following general exemptions:

- a. The laboratory is an in-house laboratory and is:
  - i. periodically inspected by the TCEQ; or
- ii. located in another state and is accredited or inspected by that state; or
- iii. performing work for another company with a unit located in the same site; or
- iv. performing pro bono work for a governmental agency or charitable organization.
- b. The laboratory is accredited under federal law.
- c. The data are needed for emergency-response activities, and a laboratory accredited under the Texas Laboratory Accreditation Program is not available.
- d. The laboratory supplies data for which the TCEQ does not offer accreditation.

Review *30 TAC Chapter 25* for specific requirements. The following certification statement shall be signed and submitted with every application. See Instructions, Page 34, for a list of approved signatories.

I, certify that all laboratory tests submitted with this application meet the requirements of *30 TAC Chapter 25, Environmental Testing Laboratory Accreditation and Certification.* 

(Signature)

## 2. GENERAL TESTING REQUIREMENTS (Instructions, Pages 56-58)

- a. Provide the date range of all sampling events conducted to obtain the analytical data submitted with this application (e.g., 05/01/2018-05/30/2018):
- b. Check the box to confirm all samples were collected no more than 12 months prior to the date of application submittal.
- c. Read the general testing requirements in the instructions for important information about sampling, test methods, and MALs. If a contact laboratory was used, attach a list which includes the name, contact information, and pollutants analyzed for each laboratory/firm. **Attachment:**

## 3. SPECIFIC TESTING REQUIREMENTS (Instructions, Pages 58-69)

Attach correspondence from TCEQ approving submittal of less than the required number of samples, if applicable. **Attachment:** 

## TABLE 1 and TABLE 2 (Instructions, Page 58)

**Completion** of Tables 1 and 2 is required for all external outfalls for all TPDES permit applications.

TCEQ-10055 (05/20/2022) Industrial Wastewater Application Technical Report

Page 20 of 81

# LEPRINO\_000062

Pollutant	Sample 1 (mg/I)	Sample 2 (mg/I)	Sample 2 (mg/I)	Sample 4 (mg/I)
Tonutant	Sample I (mg/L)	Sample 2 (mg/L)	Sample 5 (mg/L)	Sample 4 (mg/L)
BOD (5-day)	< 5 mg/L	No Data	No Data	No Data
CBOD (5-day)	<5 mg/L	No Data	No Data	No Data
Chemical oxygen demand	< 50 mg/L	No Data	No Data	No Data
Total organic carbon	<100 mg/L	No Data	No Data	No Data
Dissolved oxygen	6 mg/L	No Data	No Data	No Data
Ammonia nitrogen	< 1 mg/L	No Data	No Data	No Data
Total suspended solids	< 10 mg/L	No Data	No Data	No Data
Nitrate nitrogen	< 100 mg/L	No Data	No Data	No Data
Total organic nitrogen	< 10 mg/L	No Data	No Data	No Data
Total phosphorus	< 1.5 mg/L	No Data	No Data	No Data
Oil and grease	< 10 mg/L	No Data	No Data	No Data
Total residual chlorine	< 0.1 mg/L	No Data	No Data	No Data
Total dissolved solids	< 2,500 mg/L	No Data	No Data	No Data
Sulfate	No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data
Chloride	< 500 mg/L	No Data	No Data	No Data
Fluoride	No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data
Total alkalinity (mg/L as CaCO3)	< 2,000 mg/L	No Data	No Data	No Data
Temperature (°F)	<85 deg F	No Data	No Data	No Data
pH (standard units)	6.8 to 7.8 s.u	No Data	No Data	No Data

# Table 1 for Outfall No.: <u>001 – Proxy Data Sourced From Process Engineering</u> Samples are (check one): Composite Grab

### Table 2 for Outfall No.: 001 – Proxy Data Sourced From Process Engineering

Samples are (check one):	Composites	Grabs	S
Pollutant	Sample 1 (µg/L)	Sample 2 (µg/L)	Sample 3 (µg/L)
Aluminum, total	No Data	No Data	No Data
Antimony total	No Data	No Data	No Doto

Aluminum, total	No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	2.5
Antimony, total	No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	5
Arsenic, total	No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	0.5
Barium, total	No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	3
Beryllium, total	No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	0.5
Cadmium, total	No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	1
Chromium, total	No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	3
Chromium, hexavalent	No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	3
Chromium, trivalent	No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	N/A
Copper, total	<20	No Data	No Data	No Data	2
Cyanide, available	No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	2/10
Lead, total	No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	0.5
Mercury, total	No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	0.005/0.0005
Nickel, total	No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	2
Selenium, total	No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	5
Silver, total	No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	0.5
Thallium, total	No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	0.5
Zinc, total	No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	5.0

TCEQ-10055 (05/20/2022) Industrial Wastewater Application Technical Report

Page 21 of 81

Sample 4

(µg/L)

MAL (µg/L)

## TABLE 3 (Instructions, Page 58)

**Completion** of Table 3 is required for all external outfalls which discharge process wastewater.

**Partial completion** of Table 3 **is required** for all **external outfalls** which discharge non-process wastewater and stormwater associated with industrial activities commingled with other wastestreams (see instructions for additional guidance).

### Table 3 for Outfall No.: 001 - Proxy Data Sourced From Process Engineering

Samples are (check one):	les are (check one):  Composites Grabs				S	
<b>D</b> II		Sample 1	Sample 2	Sample 3	Sample 4	MAL
Pollutant		(µg/L)*	(µg/L)*	(µg/L)*	(µg/L)*	(µg/L)*
Acrylonitrile		No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	50
Anthracene		No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	10
Benzene		No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	10
Benzidine		No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	50
Benzo(a)anthracene		No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	5
Benzo(a)pyrene		No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	5
Bis(2-chloroethyl)ether		No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	10
Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate		No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	10
Bromodichloromethane [Dichlorobromomethane]		No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	10
Bromoform		No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	10
Carbon tetrachloride		No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	2
Chlorobenzene		No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	10
Chlorodibromomethane [Dibromochloromethane]		No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	10
Chloroform		No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	10
Chrysene		No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	5
m-Cresol [3-Methylphenol]		No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	10
o-Cresol [2-Methylphenol]		No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	10
p-Cresol [4-Methylphenol]		No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	10
1,2-Dibromoethane		No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	10
m-Dichlorobenzene [1,3-Dichlorobenzene]		No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	10
o-Dichlorobenzene [1,2-Dichlorobenzene]		No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	10
p-Dichlorobenzene [1,4-Dichlorobenzene]		No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	10
3,3'-Dichlorobenzidine		No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	5
1,2-Dichloroethane		No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	10
1,1-Dichloroethene [1,1-Dichloroethylene]		No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	10
Dichloromethane [Methylene chloride]		No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	20
1,2-Dichloropropane		No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	10

Page 22 of 81
LEPRINO\_000064

	Sample 1	Sample 2	Sample 3	Sample 4	MAL
Pollutant	(µg/L)*	(µg/L)*	(µg/L)*	(µg/L)*	(µg/L)*
1,3-Dichloropropene [1,3-Dichloropropylene]	No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	10
2,4-Dimethylphenol	No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	10
Di-n-Butyl phthalate	No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	10
Ethylbenzene	No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	10
Fluoride	No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	500
Hexachlorobenzene	No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	5
Hexachlorobutadiene	No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	10
Hexachlorocyclopentadiene	No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	10
Hexachloroethane	No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	20
Methyl ethyl ketone	No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	50
Nitrobenzene	No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	10
N-Nitrosodiethylamine	No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	20
N-Nitroso-di-n-butylamine	No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	20
Nonylphenol	No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	333
Pentachlorobenzene	No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	20
Pentachlorophenol	No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	5
Phenanthrene	No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	10
Polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs) (**)	No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	0.2
Pyridine	No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	20
1,2,4,5-Tetrachlorobenzene	No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	20
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	10
Tetrachloroethene [Tetrachloroethylene]	No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	10
Toluene	No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	10
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	10
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	10
Trichloroethene [Trichloroethylene]	No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	10
2,4,5-Trichlorophenol	No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	50
TTHM (Total trihalomethanes)	No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	10
Vinyl chloride	No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	10

(\*) Indicate units if different from μg/L.
 (\*\*) Total of detects for PCB-1242, PCB-1254, PCB-1221, PCB-1232, PCB-1248, PCB-1260, and PCB-1016. If all non-detects, enter the highest non-detect preceded by a "<".</li>

## TABLE 4 (Instructions, Pages 58-59)

Partial completion of Table 4 is required for each external outfall based on the conditions below.

### a. Tributyltin

Is this facility an industrial/commercial facility which currently or proposes to directly dispose of wastewater from the types of operations listed below or a domestic facility which currently or proposes to receive wastewater from the types of industrial/commercial operations listed below?

🗆 Yes 🖂 No

If **yes**, check the box next to each of the following criteria which apply and provide the appropriate testing results in Table 4 below (check all that apply).

- □ Manufacturers and formulators of tributyltin or related compounds.
- □ Painting of ships, boats and marine structures.
- □ Ship and boat building and repairing.
- □ Ship and boat cleaning, salvage, wrecking and scaling.
- Operation and maintenance of marine cargo handling facilities and marinas.
- □ Facilities engaged in wood preserving.
- Any other industrial/commercial facility for which tributyltin is known to be present, or for which there is any reason to believe that tributyltin may be present in the effluent.

## b. Enterococci (discharge to saltwater)

- i. This facility discharges/proposes to discharge directly into saltwater receiving waters **and** Enterococci bacteria are expected to be present in the discharge based on facility processes.
  - 🗆 Yes 🖾 No
- ii. Domestic wastewater is/will be discharged.
  - 🗆 Yes 🖾 No

If yes to either question, provide the appropriate testing results in Table 4 below.

#### c. E. coli (discharge to freshwater)

- i. This facility discharges/proposes to discharge directly into freshwater receiving waters **and** *E. coli* bacteria are expected to be present in the discharge based on facility processes.
  - 🗆 Yes 🖾 No
- ii. Domestic wastewater is/will be discharged.

🗆 Yes 🖾 No

If **yes to either** question, provide the appropriate testing results in Table 4 below.

samples are (eneck one).								
Pollutant	Sample 1	Sample 2	Sample 3	Sample 4	MAL			
Tributyltin (µg/L)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0.010			
Enterococci (cfu or MPN/100 mL)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A			
<i>E. coli</i> (cfu or MPN/100 mL)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A			

Crahe

# Table 4 for Outfall No.: <u>N/A</u>

## TABLE 5 (Instructions, Page 59)

Completion of Table 5 is required for all external outfalls which discharge process wastewater from a facility which manufactures or formulates pesticides or herbicides or other wastewaters which may contain pesticides or herbicides.

Crabe

If this facility does not/will not manufacture or formulate pesticides or herbicides and does not/will not discharge other wastewaters which may contain pesticides or herbicides, check N/A.

 $\boxtimes$ N/A

Samples are (check one).				Sample 4	
Pollutant	Sample 1 (µg/L)*	Sample 2 (µg/L)*	Sample 3 (µg/L)*	ug/L)*	MAL (µg/L)*
Aldrin	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0.01
Carbaryl	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	5
Chlordane	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0.2
Chlorpyrifos	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0.05
4,4'-DDD	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0.1
4,4'-DDE	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0.1
4,4'-DDT	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0.02
2,4-D	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0.7
Danitol [Fenpropathrin]	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	_
Demeton	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0.20
Diazinon	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0.5/0.1
Dicofol [Kelthane]	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	1
Dieldrin	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0.02
Diuron	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0.090
Endosulfan I ( <i>alpha</i> )	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0.01
Endosulfan II ( <i>beta</i> )	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0.02
Endosulfan sulfate	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0.1
Endrin	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0.02
Guthion [Azinphos methyl]	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0.1
Heptachlor	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0.01
Heptachlor epoxide	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0.01
Hexachlorocyclohexane (alpha)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0.05
Hexachlorocyclohexane (beta)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0.05
Hexachlorocyclohexane (gamma) [Lindane]	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0.05
Hexachlorophene	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	10
Malathion	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0.1
Methoxychlor	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	2.0
Mirex	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0.02
Parathion (ethyl)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0.1
Toxaphene	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0.3
2,4,5-TP [Silvex]	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0.3

#### Table 5 for Outfall No.: N/A

TCEQ-10055 (05/20/2022) Industrial Wastewater Application Technical Report

Page 25 of 81 **LEPRINO\_000067**  \* Indicate units if different from  $\mu$ g/L.

## TABLE 6 (Instructions, Page 59)

Completion of Table 6 is required for all external outfalls.

## Table 6 for Outfall No.: 001

Samples are (check one): 
Composites 
Grabs

Pollutants	Believed Present	Believed Absent	Sample 1 (mg/L)	Sample 2 (mg/L)	Sample 3 (mg/L)	Sample 4 (mg/L)	MAL (µg/L)*
Bromide		$\boxtimes$					400
Color (PCU)		$\boxtimes$					
Nitrate-Nitrite (as N)	$\boxtimes$						_
Sulfide (as S)		$\boxtimes$					_
Sulfite (as SO3)		$\boxtimes$					
Surfactants	$\boxtimes$						_
Boron, total		$\boxtimes$					20
Cobalt, total		$\boxtimes$					0.3
Iron, total		$\boxtimes$					7
Magnesium, total		$\boxtimes$					20
Manganese, total		$\boxtimes$					0.5
Molybdenum, total		$\boxtimes$					1
Tin, total		$\boxtimes$					5
Titanium, total							30

\* Indicate units if different from  $\mu$ g/L.



## TABLE 7 (Instructions, Page 60)

Check the box next to any of the industrial categories applicable to this facility. If no categories are applicable, check N/A. If GC/MS testing is required, check the box provided to confirm the testing results for the appropriate parameters are provided with the application.

## 🖂 N/A

#### Table 7 for Applicable Industrial Categories

Industrial Category		40 CFR	Volatiles	Acids	Bases/Neutrals	Pesticides
		Part	Table 8	Table 9	Table 10	Table 11
	Adhesives and Sealants		□ Yes	□ Yes	□ Yes	No
	Aluminum Forming	467	□ Yes	□ Yes	□ Yes	No
	Auto and Other Laundries		□ Yes	□ Yes	□ Yes	□ Yes
	Battery Manufacturing	461	□ Yes	No	□ Yes	No
	Coal Mining	434	No	No	No	No
	Coil Coating	465	□ Yes	□ Yes	□ Yes	No
	Copper Forming	468	□ Yes	□ Yes	□ Yes	No
	Electric and Electronic Components	469	□ Yes	□ Yes	□ Yes	□ Yes
	Electroplating	413	□ Yes	□ Yes	□ Yes	No
	Explosives Manufacturing	457	No	□ Yes	□ Yes	No
	Foundries		□ Yes	□ Yes	□ Yes	No
	Gum and Wood Chemicals - Subparts A,B,C,E	454	□ Yes	□ Yes	No	No
	Gum and Wood Chemicals - Subparts D,F	454	□ Yes	□ Yes	□ Yes	No
	Inorganic Chemicals Manufacturing	415	□ Yes	□ Yes	□ Yes	No
	Iron and Steel Manufacturing	420	□ Yes	□ Yes	□ Yes	No
	Leather Tanning and Finishing	425	□ Yes	□ Yes	□ Yes	No
	Mechanical Products Manufacturing		□ Yes	□ Yes	□ Yes	No
	Nonferrous Metals Manufacturing	421,471	□ Yes	□ Yes	□ Yes	□ Yes
	Oil and Gas Extraction - Subparts A, D, E, F, G, H	435	□ Yes	□ Yes	□ Yes	No
	Ore Mining - Subpart B	440	No	□ Yes	No	No
	Organic Chemicals Manufacturing	414	□ Yes	□ Yes	□ Yes	□ Yes
	Paint and Ink Formulation	446,447	□ Yes	□ Yes	□ Yes	No
	Pesticides	455	□ Yes	□ Yes	□ Yes	□ Yes
	Petroleum Refining	419	□ Yes	No	No	No
	Pharmaceutical Preparations	439	□ Yes	□ Yes	□ Yes	No
	Photographic Equipment and Supplies	459	□ Yes	□ Yes	□ Yes	No
	Plastic and Synthetic Materials Manufacturing	414	□ Yes	□ Yes	□ Yes	□ Yes
	Plastic Processing	463	□ Yes	No	No	No
	Porcelain Enameling	466	No	No	No	No
	Printing and Publishing		□ Yes	□ Yes	□ Yes	□ Yes
	Pulp and Paperboard Mills - Subpart C	430	• *	□ Yes	□ *	□ Yes
	Pulp and Paperboard Mills - Subparts F, K	430	□ *	□ Yes		□ *
	Pulp and Paperboard Mills - Subparts A, B, D, G, H	430	□ Yes	□ Yes	□ *	□ *
	Pulp and Paperboard Mills - Subparts I, J, L	430	□ Yes	□ Yes	□ *	□ Yes
	Pulp and Paperboard Mills - Subpart E	430	□ Yes	□ Yes	□ Yes	□ *
	Rubber Processing	428	□ Yes	□ Yes	□ Yes	No
	Soap and Detergent Manufacturing	417	□ Yes	□ Yes	□ Yes	No
	Steam Electric Power Plants	423	🗆 Yes	□ Yes	No	No
	Textile Mills (Not Subpart C)	410	□ Yes	□ Yes	□ Yes	No
	Timber Products Processing	429	□ Yes	□ Yes	□ Yes	□ Yes

\* Test if believed present.

TCEQ-10055 (05/20/2022) Industrial Wastewater Application Technical Report

Page 27 of 81

## TABLES 8, 9, 10, and 11 (Instructions, Page 60)

Completion of Tables 8, 9, 10, and 11 **is required** as specified in Table 7 for all **external outfalls** that contain process wastewater.

Completion of Tables 8, 9, 10, and 11 **may be required** for types of industry not specified in Table 7 for specific parameters that are believed to be present in the wastewater.

	Sample 1	Sample 2	Sample 3	Sample 4	MAL
Pollutant	(μg/L)*	(μg/L)*	(µg/L)*	(μg/L)*	(µg/L)
Acrolein	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	50
Acrylonitrile	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	50
Benzene	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	10
Bromoform	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	10
Carbon tetrachloride	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	2
Chlorobenzene	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	10
Chlorodibromomethane	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	10
Chloroethane	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	50
2-Chloroethylvinyl ether	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	10
Chloroform	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	10
Dichlorobromomethane [Bromodichloromethane]	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	10
1,1-Dichloroethane	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	10
1,2-Dichloroethane	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	10
1,1-Dichloroethylene [1,1-Dichloroethene]	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	10
1,2-Dichloropropane	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	10
1,3-Dichloropropylene [1,3-Dichloropropene]	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	10
Ethylbenzene	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	10
Methyl bromide [Bromomethane]	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	50
Methyl chloride [Chloromethane]	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	50
Methylene chloride [Dichloromethane]	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	20
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	10
Tetrachloroethylene [Tetrachloroethene]	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	10
Toluene	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	10
1,2-Trans-dichloroethylene [1,2-Trans-dichloroethene]	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	10
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	10
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	10
Trichloroethylene [ Trichloroethene]	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	10
Vinyl chloride	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	10

# Table 8 for Outfall No.: <u>N/A</u> : Volatile Compounds Samples are (check one): Composites

\* Indicate units if different from  $\mu$ g/L.

# Table 9 for Outfall No.: N/A : Acid Compounds Samples are (check one): Composites

Samples are (check one): 🔲 Composites 🔲 Grabs						
Pollutant	Sample 1 (µg/L)*	Sample 2 (µg/L)*	Sample 3 (µg/L)*	Sample 4 (µg/L)*	MAL (µg/L)	
2-Chlorophenol	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	10	
2,4-Dichlorophenol	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	10	
2,4-Dimethylphenol	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	10	
4,6-Dinitro-o-cresol	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	50	
2,4-Dinitrophenol	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	50	
2-Nitrophenol	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	20	
4-Nitrophenol	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	50	
p-Chloro-m-cresol	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	10	
Pentachlorophenol	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	5	
Phenol	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	10	
2,4,6-Trichlorophenol	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	10	

\* Indicate units if different from  $\mu$ g/L.

# Table 10 for Outfall No.: N/A : Base/Neutral Compounds Samples are (check one): Composites

	Sample 1	Sample 2	Sample 3	Sample 4	MAL
Pollutant	(µg/L)*	(µg/L)*	(µg/L)*	(µg/L)*	(µg/L)
Acenaphthene	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	10
Acenaphthylene	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	10
Anthracene	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	10
Benzidine	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	50
Benzo(a)anthracene	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	5
Benzo(a)pyrene	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	5
3,4-Benzofluoranthene [Benzo(b)fluoranthene]	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	10
Benzo(ghi)perylene	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	20
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	5
Bis(2-chloroethoxy)methane	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	10
Bis(2-chloroethyl)ether	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	10
Bis(2-chloroisopropyl)ether	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	10
Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	10
4-Bromophenyl phenyl ether	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	10
Butylbenzyl phthalate	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	10
2-Chloronaphthalene	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	10
4-Chlorophenyl phenyl ether	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	10
Chrysene	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	5
Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	5
1,2-Dichlorobenzene [o-Dichlorobenzene]	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	10
1,3-Dichlorobenzene [m-Dichlorobenzene]	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	10
1,4-Dichlorobenzene [p-Dichlorobenzene]	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	10
3,3'-Dichlorobenzidine					5

TCEQ-10055 (05/20/2022) Industrial Wastewater Application Technical Report

Page **29** of **81** 

# LEPRINO\_000071
	Sample 1	Sample 2	Sample 3	Sample 4	MAL
Pollutant	(µg/L)*	(µg/L)*	(µg/L)*	(µg/L)*	(µg/L)
Diethyl phthalate	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	10
Dimethyl phthalate	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	10
Di-n-butyl phthalate	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	10
2,4-Dinitrotoluene	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	10
2,6-Dinitrotoluene	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	10
Di-n-octyl phthalate	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	10
1,2-Diphenylhydrazine (as Azobenzene)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	20
Fluoranthene	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	10
Fluorene	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	10
Hexachlorobenzene	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	5
Hexachlorobutadiene	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	10
Hexachlorocyclopentadiene	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	10
Hexachloroethane	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	20
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	5
Isophorone	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	10
Naphthalene	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	10
Nitrobenzene	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	10
N-Nitrosodimethylamine	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	50
N-Nitrosodi-n-propylamine	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	20
N-Nitrosodiphenylamine	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	20
Phenanthrene	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	10
Pyrene	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	10
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	10

\* Indicate units if different from  $\mu$ g/L.

#### Table 11 for Outfall No.: N/A : Pesticides Samplas ama (ahaalt ana), 🧴 🗖 . Com - -**:**+

Samples are (check one): 🔲 Composites 🔲 Grabs							
Pollutant	Sample 1 (µg/L)*	Sample 2 (µg/L)*	Sample 3 (µg/L)*	Sample 4 (µg/L)*	MAL (µg/L)		
Aldrin	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0.01		
alpha-BHC [alpha-Hexachlorocyclohexane]	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0.05		
beta-BHC [beta-Hexachlorocyclohexane]	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0.05		
gamma-BHC [gamma-Hexachlorocyclohexane]	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0.05		
delta-BHC [delta-Hexachlorocyclohexane]	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0.05		
Chlordane	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0.2		
4,4'-DDT	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0.02		
4,4'-DDE	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0.1		
4,4'-DDD	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0.1		
Dieldrin	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0.02		
Endosulfan I (alpha)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0.01		
Endosulfan II (beta)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0.02		

TCEQ-10055 (05/20/2022) Industrial Wastewater Application Technical Report

Page  $\mathbf{30}$  of  $\mathbf{81}$ LEPRINO\_000072

Dellutent	Sample 1	Sample 2	Sample 3	Sample 4	MAL
Ponutant	(µg/L)*	(µg/L)*	(µg/L)*	(µg/L)*	(µg/L)
Endosulfan sulfate	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0.1
Endrin	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0.02
Endrin aldehyde	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0.1
Heptachlor	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0.01
Heptachlor epoxide	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0.01
PCB 1242	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0.2
PCB 1254	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0.2
PCB 1221	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0.2
PCB 1232	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0.2
PCB 1248	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0.2
PCB 1260	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0.2
PCB 1016	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0.2
Toxaphene	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0.3

\* Indicate units if different from µg/L.

### Attachment: N/A

# TABLE 12 (DIOXINS/FURAN COMPOUNDS)

Complete of Table 12 is required for external outfalls, as directed below. (Instructions, Pages 60-61)

a. Indicate which compound(s) are manufactured or used at the facility and provide a brief description of the conditions of its/their presence at the facility (check all that apply).

	2,4,5-trichlorophenoxy acetic acid (2,4,5-T)	CASRN 93-76-5
	2-(2,4,5-trichlorophenoxy) propanoic acid (Silvex, 2,4,5-TP)	CASRN 93-72-1
	2-(2,4,5-trichlorophenoxy) ethyl 2,2-dichloropropionate (Erbon)	CASRN 136-25-4
	0,0-dimethyl 0-(2,4,5-trichlorophenyl) phosphorothioate (Ronnel)	CASRN 299-84-3
	2,4,5-trichlorophenol (TCP)	CASRN 95-95-4
	hexachlorophene (HCP)	CASRN 70-30-4
$\square$	None of the above	

None of the above

Description: N/A

b. Does the applicant or anyone at the facility know or have any reason to believe that 2,3,7,8tetrachlorodibenzo-p-dioxin (TCDD) or any congeners of TCDD may be present in the effluent proposed for discharge?

 $\Box$  Yes  $\boxtimes$  No

Description: N/A

If **yes** to either Items a **or** b, complete Table 12 as instructed.

Samples are (chec	kone): 🛛	Composites	□ Grabs			
Compound	Toxicity Equivalent Factors	Wastewater Concentration (ppq)	Wastewater Toxicity Equivalents (ppq)	Sludge Concentration (ppt)	Sludge Toxicity Equivalents (ppt)	MAL (ppq)
2,3,7,8-TCDD	1	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	10
1,2,3,7,8-PeCDD	1.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	50
2,3,7,8-HxCDDs	0.1	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	50
1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD	0.01	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	50
2,3,7,8-TCDF	0.1	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	10
1,2,3,7,8-PeCDF	0.03	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	50
2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF	0.3	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	50
2,3,7,8-HxCDFs	0.1	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	50
2,3,4,7,8-HpCDFs	0.01	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	50
OCDD	0.0003	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	100
OCDF	0.0003	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	100
PCB 77	0.0001	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	500
PCB 81	0.0003	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	500
PCB 126	0.1	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	500
PCB 169	0.03	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	500
Total		N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	

# Table 12 for Outfall No.: N/A

# TABLE 13 (HAZARDOUS SUBSTANCES)

Complete Table 13 is required for all external outfalls as directed below. (Instructions, Page 61)

a. Are there any pollutants listed in the instructions (pages 55-62) believed present in the discharge?

🗆 Yes 🖾 No

- b. Are there pollutants listed in Item 1.c. of Technical Report 1.0 which are believed present in the discharge and have not been analytically quantified elsewhere in this application?
  - Yes 🛛 No

If **yes** to either Items a **or** b, complete Table 13 as instructed.

Commonitor

# Table 13 for Outfall No.: N/A

Samples are (check one):			Grabs			
Pollutant	CASRN	Sample 1 (µg/L)	Sample 2 (µg/L)	Sample 3 (µg/L)	Sample 4 (µg/L)	Analytical Method
N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

Creaba

Page **32** of **81** 

Pollutant	CASRN	Sample 1 (µg/L)	Sample 2 (µg/L)	Sample 3 (µg/L)	Sample 4 (µg/L)	Analytical Method
N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A



8. WORKSHEET 3.1 SURFACE LAND APPLICATION AND EVAPORATION



# WORKSHEET 3.1 SURFACE LAND APPLICATION AND EVAPORATION

This worksheet **is required** for all applications for a permit to dispose of wastewater by surface land application or evaporation.

# 1. EDWARDS AQUIFER (Instructions, Page 74)

a. Is the facility subject to 30 TAC Chapter 213, Edwards Aquifer Rules?

🗆 Yes 🖾 No

If no, proceed to Item 2. If yes, complete Items 1.b and 1.c.

- b. Check the box next to the subchapter applicable to the facility.
  - □ 30 TAC Chapter 213, Subchapter A
  - □ 30 TAC Chapter 213, Subchapter B
- c. If *30 TAC Chapter 213, Subchapter A* applies, attach **either**: 1) a Geologic Assessment (if conducted in accordance with *30 TAC § 213.5*) **or** 2) a report that contains the following information:
  - A description of the surface geological units within the proposed land application site and wastewater pond area.
  - The location and extent of any sensitive recharge features in the land application site and wastewater pond area
  - A list of any proposed BMPs to protect the recharge features.

### Attachment: N/A

# 2. SURFACE SPRAY/IRRIGATION (Instructions, Pages 74-75)

a. Provide the following information on the irrigation operations:

Area under irrigation (acres): N/A Design application rate (acre-ft/acre/yr): N/A Design application frequency (hours/day): N/A Design application frequency (days/week): N/A Design total nitrogen loading rate (lbs nitrogen/acre/year): N/A Average slope of the application area (percent): N/A Maximum slope of the application area (percent): N/A Irrigation efficiency (percent): N/A Effluent conductivity (mmhos/cm): N/A Soil conductivity (mmhos/cm): N/A Curve number: N/A

b. Attach a detailed engineering report which includes a water balance, storage volume calculations, and a nitrogen balance.

### Attachment: N/A

Page **40** of **81** 

# 3. EVAPORATION PONDS (Instructions, Page 75)

- a. Daily average effluent flow into ponds: 80,000 gallons per day
- b. Attach a separate engineering report of evaporation calculations for average long-term and worst-case critical conditions.

#### Attachment: N

# 4. EVAPOTRANSPIRATION BEDS (Instructions, Page 75)

a. Provide the following information on the evapotranspiration beds:

Number of beds: <u>N/A</u> Area of bed(s) (acres): N/A Depth of bed(s) (feet): N/A Void ratio of soil in the beds: N/A Storage volume within the beds (include units): N/A Description of any lining to protect groundwater: N/A

b. Attach a certification by a licensed Texas professional engineer that the liner meets TCEQ requirements.

### Attachment: N/A

c. Attach a separate engineering report with water balance, storage volume calculations, and description of the liner.

### Attachment: N/A

# 5. OVERLAND FLOW (Instructions, Page 75)

- a. Provide the following information on the overland flow: Area used for application (acres): N/A Slopes for application area (percent): N/A Design application rate (gpm/foot of slope width): N/A Slope length (feet): N/A Design BOD<sub>5</sub> loading rate (lbs BOD<sub>5</sub>/acre/day): N/A Design application frequency (hours/day): N/A Design application frequency (days/week): N/A
- b. Attach a separate engineering report with the method of application and design requirements according to *30 TAC § 217.212*.

Attachment: N/A

9. WORKSHEET 4.0 RECEIVING WATERS

# LEPRINO\_000079

# WORKSHEET 4.0 RECEIVING WATERS

This worksheet **is required** for all TPDES permit applications.

## 1. DOMESTIC DRINKING WATER SUPPLY (Instructions, Page 81)

- a. There is a surface water intake for domestic drinking water supply located within 5 (five) miles downstream from the point/proposed point of discharge.
  - 🗆 Yes 🖂 No

If **no**, stop here and proceed to Item 2. If **yes**, provide the following information:

- i. The legal name of the owner of the drinking water supply intake:
- v. The distance and direction from the outfall to the drinking water supply intake:
- b. Locate and identify the intake on the USGS 7.5-minute topographic map provided for Administrative Report 1.0.
  - Check this box to confirm the above requested information is provided.

# 2. DISCHARGE INTO TIDALLY INFLUENCED WATERS (Instructions, Page 81)

If the discharge is to tidally influenced waters, complete this section. Otherwise, proceed to Item 3.

- a. Width of the receiving water at the outfall: feet
- b. Are there oyster reefs in the vicinity of the discharge?
  - 🗆 Yes 🗆 No

If **yes**, provide the distance and direction from the outfall(s) to the oyster reefs:

c. Are there sea grasses within the vicinity of the point of discharge?

🗆 Yes 🗆 No

If **yes**, provide the distance and direction from the outfall(s) to the grasses:

# 3. CLASSIFIED SEGMENT (Instructions, Page 81)

The discharge is/will be directly into (or within 300 feet of) a classified segment.

🛛 Yes 🗆 No

If **yes**, stop here. It is not necessary to complete Items 4 and 5 of this worksheet or Worksheet 4.1. If **no**, complete Items 4 and 5 and Worksheet 4.1 may be required.

# 4. DESCRIPTION OF IMMEDIATE RECEIVING WATERS (Instructions, Page 82)

- a. Name of the immediate receiving waters:
- b. Check the appropriate description of the immediate receiving waters:
  - □ Lake or Pond
    - Surface area (acres):
    - Average depth of the entire water body (feet):
    - Average depth of water body within a 500foot radius of the discharge point (feet):
- □ Man-Made Channel or Ditch
- □ Stream or Creek
- □ Freshwater Swamp or Marsh
- □ Tidal Stream, Bayou, or Marsh
- Open Bay
- $\Box$  Other, specify:

If **Man-Made Channel or Ditch** or **Stream or Creek** were selected above, provide responses to Items 4.c – 4.g below:

c. For **existing discharges**, check the description below that best characterizes the area **upstream** of the discharge.

For **new discharges**, check the description below that best characterizes the area **downstream** of the discharge.

- □ Intermittent (dry for at least one week during most years)
- Intermittent with Perennial Pools (enduring pools containing habitat to maintain aquatic life uses)
- □ Perennial (normally flowing)

Check the source(s) of the information used to characterize the area upstream (existing discharge) or downstream (new discharge):

- $\Box$  USGS flow records
- □ personal observation
- historical observation by adjacent landowner(s)
- $\Box$  other, specify:
- d. List the names of all perennial streams that join the receiving water within three miles downstream of the discharge point:
- e. The receiving water characteristics change within three miles downstream of the discharge (e.g., natural or man-made dams, ponds, reservoirs, etc.).

🗆 Yes 🗆 No

- If **yes**, describe how:
- f. General observations of the water body during normal dry weather conditions:

Date and time of observation:

- g. The water body was influenced by stormwater runoff during observations.
  - 🗆 Yes 🗆 No

If **yes**, describe how:

# 5. GENERAL CHARACTERISTICS OF WATER BODY (Instructions, Page 82)

a.	Is the receiving water upstream of the existing discharge or proposed discharge site influenced by any
	of the following (check all that apply):

		oil field activities agricultural runoff upstream discharges		urban runoff septic tanks other, specify:		
b.	Uses	of water body observed or evid livestock watering non-contact recreation domestic water supply contact recreation	lence	of such uses (check all that apply) fishing industrial water supply irrigation withdrawal navigation	): □	picnic/park activities other, specify:

- c. Description which best describes the aesthetics of the receiving water and the surrounding area (check only one):
  - □ Wilderness: outstanding natural beauty; usually wooded or un-pastured area: water clarity exceptional
  - □ **Natural Area:** trees or native vegetation common; some development evident (from fields, pastures, dwellings); water clarity discolored
  - **Common Setting:** not offensive, developed but uncluttered; water may be colored or turbid
  - □ **Offensive:** stream does not enhance aesthetics; cluttered; highly developed; dumping areas; water discolored



**10. WORKSHEET 7.0 STORMWATER DISCHARGES** 



# WORKSHEET 7.0 STORMWATER DISCHARGES ASSOCIATED WITH INDUSTRIAL ACTIVITIES

This worksheet **is required** for all TPDES permit applications requesting individual permit coverage for discharges consisting of **either**: 1) solely of stormwater discharges associated with industrial activities, as defined in *40 CFR § 122.26(b)(14)(i-xi)*, **or** 2) stormwater discharges associated with industrial activities and any of the listed allowable non-stormwater discharges, as defined in the MSGP (TXR05000), Part II, Section A, Item 6.

Discharges of stormwater as defined in 40 *CFR* § 122.26 (*b*)(13) are not required to obtain authorization under a TPDES permit (see exceptions at 40 *CFR* §§ 122.26(*a*)(1) and (9)). Authorization for discharge may be required from a local municipal separate storm sewer system.

# 1. APPLICABILITY (Instructions, Page 90)

Do discharges from any of the existing/proposed outfalls consist either 1) solely of stormwater discharges associated with industrial activities **or** 2) stormwater discharges associated with industrial activities and any of the allowable non-stormwater discharges?

🛛 Yes 🗆 No

If no, stop here. If yes, proceed as directed.

# 2. STORMWATER OUTFALL COVERAGE (Instructions, Page 91)

List each existing/proposed stormwater outfall at the facility and indicate which type of authorization covers or is proposed to cover discharges.

Outfall	Authorized Under MSGP	Authorized Under Individual Permit
TBD		

#### Authorization coverage

If **all** existing/proposed outfalls which discharge stormwater associated with industrial activities (and any of the allowable non-stormwater discharges) are **authorized under the MSGP**, **stop** here.

If **seeking authorization** for any outfalls which discharge stormwater associated with industrial activities (and any of the allowable non-stormwater discharges) **under an individual permit**, **proceed**.

NOTE: The following information is required for each existing/proposed stormwater outfall for which the facility is seeking individual permit authorization under this application.



# 3. SITE MAP (Instructions, Page 91)

Attach a site map or maps (drawn to scale) of the entire facility with the following information.

- the location of each stormwater outfall to be covered by the permit
- an outline of the drainage area that is within the facility's boundary and that contributes stormwater to each outfall to be covered by the permit
- connections or discharge points to municipal separate storm sewer systems
- locations of all structures (e.g. buildings, garages, storage tanks)
- structural control devices that are designed to reduce pollution in discharges of stormwater associated with industrial activities
- process wastewater treatment units (including ponds)
- bag house and other air treatment units exposed to stormwater (stormwater runoff, snow melt runoff, and surface runoff and drainage)
- landfills; scrapyards; surface water bodies (including wetlands)
- vehicle and equipment maintenance areas
- physical features of the site that may influence discharges of stormwater associated with industrial activities or contribute a dry weather flow
- locations where spills or leaks of reportable quality (as defined in *30 TAC § 327.4*) have occurred during the three years before this application was submitted to obtain coverage under an individual permit
- processing areas, storage areas, material loading/unloading areas, and other locations where significant materials are exposed to stormwater (stormwater runoff, snow melt runoff, and surface runoff and drainage)
- Check the box to confirm all the above information was provided on the facility site map(s).

Attachment: FACILITY/SITE INFORMATION (Instructions, Pages 91-92)

a. Provide the area of impervious surface and the total area drained by each stormwater outfall requested for authorization by this permit application.

Outfall	Area of Impervious Surface (include units)	Total Area Drained (include units)
N/A		

#### **Impervious Surfaces**

b. Provide the following local area rainfall information and the source of the information.

Wettest month:

Average rainfall for wettest month (total inches):

TCEQ-10055 (05/20/2022) Industrial Wastewater Application Technical Report

Page **59** of **81** 

25-year, 24-hour rainfall (inches):

Source:

- c. Attach an inventory, or list, of materials currently handled at the facility that may be exposed to precipitation. **Attachment:**
- d. Attach narrative descriptions of the industrial processes and activities involving the materials in the above-listed inventory that occur outdoors or in some manner that may result in exposure of the materials to precipitation or runoff (see instructions for guidance). **Attachment:**
- e. Describe any BMPs and controls the facility uses/proposes to prevent or effectively reduce pollution in stormwater discharges from the facility:

# 4. LABORATORY ACCREDITATION CERTIFICATION (Instructions, Page 92)

Effective July 1, 2008, all laboratory tests performed must meet the requirements of *30 TAC Chapter 25, Environmental Testing Laboratory Accreditation and Certification* with the following general exemptions:

- a. The laboratory is an in-house laboratory and is:
  - i. periodically inspected by the TCEQ; or
  - ii. located in another state and is accredited or inspected by that state; or
  - iii. performing work for another company with a unit located in the same site; or
- vi. performing pro bono work for a governmental agency or charitable organization.
- b. The laboratory is accredited under federal law.
- c. The data are needed for emergency-response activities, and a laboratory accredited under the Texas Laboratory Accreditation Program is not available.
- d. The laboratory supplies data for which the TCEQ does not offer accreditation.

Review *30 TAC Chapter 25* for specific requirements. The following certification statement shall be signed and submitted with every application. See Instructions, Page 32, for a list of approved signatories.

I, certify that all laboratory tests submitted with this application meet the requirements of *30 TAC Chapter 25*, *Environmental Testing Laboratory Accreditation and Certification*.

#### (Signature)

# 5. POLLUTANT ANALYSIS (Instructions, Pages 92-93)

- a. Provide the date range of all sampling events conducted to obtain the analytical data submitted with this application (e.g., 05/01/2018-05/30/2018):
- b. Check the box to confirm all samples were collected no more than 12 months prior to the date of application submittal.
- c. Complete Table 17 as directed on page 92 of the Instructions.

## Table 17 Pollutant Analysis for Outfall No.: $\underline{N/A}$

Pollutant	Grab Sample* Maximum (mg/L)	Composite Sample** Maximum (mg/L)	Grab Sample* Average (mg/L)	Composite Sample** Average (mg/L)	Number of Storm Events Sampled	MAL (mg/L)
pH (standard units)	(max)	—	(min)	—		—
Total suspended solids						—
Chemical oxygen demand						—
Total organic carbon						—
Oil and grease						—
Arsenic, total						0.0005
Barium, total						0.003
Cadmium, total						0.001
Chromium, total						0.003
Chromium, trivalent						_
Chromium, hexavalent						0.003
Copper, total						0.002
Lead, total						0.0005
Mercury, total						0.000005
Nickel, total						0.002
Selenium, total						0.005
Silver, total						0.0005
Zinc, total						0.005

\* Taken during first 30 minutes of storm event

\*\* Flow-weighted composite sample

#### d. Complete Table 18 as directed on pages 92-94 of the Instructions.

### Table 18 Pollutant Analysis for Outfall No.: <u>N/A</u>

Pollutant	Grab Sample* Maximum (mg/L)	Composite Sample** Maximum (mg/L)	Grab Sample* Average (mg/L)	Composite Sample** Average (mg/L)	Number of Storm Events Sampled

\* Taken during first 30 minutes of storm event \*\* Flow-weighted composite sample

# 6. STORM EVENT DATA (Instructions, Page 94)

Provide the following data for the storm event(s) which resulted in the maximum values for the analytical data submitted:

Date of storm event:  $\underline{N/A}$ 

Duration of storm event (minutes): N/A

Total rainfall during storm event (inches): N/A

Number of hours the between beginning of the storm measured and the end of the previous measurable storm event (hours):  $\underline{N/A}$ 

Maximum flow rate during rain event (gallons/minute): N/A

Total stormwater flow from rain event (gallons): N/A

Provide a description of the method of flow measurement or estimate: N/A



# **ATTACHMENT A. COPY OF FEE SUBMITTAL**

Admin Report 1.0 Pg. 2, 1.e.



# WATER QUALITY PERMIT PAYMENT SUBMITTAL FORM

#### Use this form to submit the Application Fee, if mailing the payment. (Instructions, Page 37)

- Complete items 1 through 5 below.
- Staple the check or money order in the space provided at the bottom of this document.
- Do not mail this form with the application form.
- Do not mail this form to the same address as the application.
- Do not submit a copy of the application with this form as it could cause duplicate permit entries.

#### Mail this form and the check or money order to:

BY REGULAR U.S. MAIL	BY OVERNIGHT/EXPRESS MAIL
Texas Commission on Environmental Quality	Texas Commission on Environmental Quality
Financial Administration Division	Financial Administration Division
Cashier's Office, MC-214	Cashier's Office, MC-214
P.O. Box 13088	12100 Park 35 Circle
Austin, Texas 78711-3088	Austin, Texas 78753

#### Fee Code: WQP Permit No: WQ000New Permit

- 1. Check or Money Order Number: <u>Click to enter text.</u>
- 2. Check or Money Order Amount: Click to enter text.
- 3. Date of Check or Money Order: Click to enter text.
- 4. Name on Check or Money Order: Click to enter text.
- 5. APPLICATION INFORMATION

Name of Project or Site: Click to enter text.

Physical Address of Project or Site: Click to enter text.

If the check is for more than one application, attach a list which includes the name of each Project or Site (RE) and Physical Address, exactly as provided on the application. Attachment: <u>Click to enter text.</u>

#### Staple Check or Money Order in This Space



# **ATTACHMENT B. CORE DATA FORM**

Admin Report 1.0 Pg. 3, 2.c





# **TCEQ Core Data Form**

For detailed instructions regarding completion of this form, please read the Core Data Form Instructions or call 512-239-5175.

#### **SECTION I: General Information**

	1000		Interoit									
1. Reason fo	r Submiss	sion (If other is c	hecked please	e desci	ribe in sj	pace p	orovide	ed.)				
🛛 New Per	mit, Regist	tration or Authori	zation (Core I	Data Fo	orm sho	uld be	subm	itted w	ith the p	orogram applicatio	n.)	
🗌 Renewa	(Core Dat	ta Form should b	e submitted w	vith the	renewa	l form	)	C Other				
2. Customer	Reference	e Number <i>(if i</i> ss	ued)	Follow this link to search 3. Rec			gulated	Entity Reference	e Number <i>(i</i>	f issued)		
CN 6059	80739			for CN <u>C</u> e	<u>N or RN r</u> entral Re	number gistry**	r <u>s in</u> *	RN	RN 111422333			
ECTION	II: Cus	stomer Info	ormation									
4. General Co	ustomer In	oformation	5. Effective	Date	for Cust	tomer	Infor	matior	n Updat	es (mm/dd/yyyy)	1/31/2	.022
New Customer			ا 🗌 h the Texas S	Update ecreta	e to Cust ry of Sta	tomer ate or <sup>-</sup>	Inform Texas	nation Comp	troller of	Change in Dublic Accounts)	Regulated E	ntity Ownership
The Custo	mer Nam	ne submitted	here may b	be up	dated	auto	matic	cally l	based	on what is cu	rrent and	active with the
Texas Sec	retary of	State (SOS)	or Texas C	compt	troller	of Pu	ıblic	Acco	unts (	CPA).		
6. Customer Legal Name (If an individual, print last name first; eq: Doe, John) If new Customer, enter previous Customer below:												
Leprino Fo	ods Co	mpany										
7. TX SOS/CI	PA Filing N	Number	8. TX State	e Tax ID (11 digits)		9			10. DUNS	S Number (if applicable)		
080405340	05		3207909	92204		8	4-050	0292	00-707	-0004		
11. Type of C	ustomer:	🖂 Corporati	on			ndivid	ual	Partnership:  General  Limited				
Government:	City C	County 🗌 Federal [	] State 🗌 Other	Sole Proprietorship Other:								
12. Number of	of Employ	ees		13. Independently Owned and Operated?			ted?					
0-20	_ 21-100	101-250	251-500	$\square$	501 and	d high	er		⊴ Yes	∐ No		
14. Custome	r Role (Pro	posed or Actual) -	- as it relates to	the Re	gulated E	Entity li	sted or	n this fo	rm. Plea	se check one of the	following	
Owner		Operat	tor		⊠ Ow	vner &	Opera	ator				
	nal License	e 🗌 Respo	nsible Party			luntary	y Clea	inup Ap	oplicant	UOther:		
	1830 V	V 38 <sup>th</sup> Ave										
15. Mailing												
AMI 6991	City	Denver		S	State	CO		ZIP	802	11	ZIP + 4	
16. Country I	Mailing Inf	ormation (if outsi	de USA)				17. E	E-Mail	Addres	S (if applicable)		
	•						sfrit	tzler(	@lepri	nofoods.com		
18. Telephon	e Number	i i		19. E	xtensio	on or C	Code			20. Fax Numbe	<b>r</b> (if applicab	ole)
(970)34	7-5115									( )	-	

#### **SECTION III: Regulated Entity Information**

**21. General Regulated Entity Information** (If 'New Regulated Entity" is selected below this form should be accompanied by a permit application)

 New Regulated Entity
 Update to Regulated Entity Name

 Update to Regulated Entity Information

The Regulated Entity Name submitted may be updated in order to meet TCEQ Agency Data Standards (removal of organizational endings such as Inc, LP, or LLC).

22. Regulated Entity Name (Enter name of the site where the regulated action is taking place.)

Leprino Foods Lubbock Manufacturing Facility

# LEPRINO\_000092 of 2

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·										
23. Street Address of	4301 E	ast 19th Stree	et							
the Regulated Entity:										
<u>(No PO Boxes)</u>	City	Lubbock	State	T	X	ZIP	79403	3	ZIP + 4	
24. County										
	E	Enter Physical	Location Desc	ription if	no stree	et addres	s is provi	ded.		
25. Description to Physical Location:										
26. Nearest City State Nearest ZIP Code										
27. Latitude (N) In Decim	nal:	33.58019	2°		28. Loi	ngitude (	W) In Deci	imal:	-101.777	402°
Degrees	Minutes		Seconds		Degrees		M	inutes		Seconds
33		34	48.69	)		101		2	16	38.65
29. Primary SIC Code (4 o	digits) <b>30</b>	. Secondary SI	C Code (4 digits)	<b>31.</b> (5 c	Primary or 6 digits)	NAICS (	Code	<b>32. S</b> (5 or 6	econdary NA digits)	NCS Code
2022	20	)23		31	1513			3115	514	
33. What is the Primary	Business o	of this entity?	(Do not repeat th	e SIC or NA	NCS descri	ption.)				
Cheese (except cott	age chee	ese) manufac	cturing							
					1830 W	V 38 <sup>th</sup> Av	e			
34. Mailing										
Address:	City	Denver	State	e	со	ZIP	80	211	ZIP + 4	
35. E-Mail Address:				ht	oradish@	leprinof	oods.com			
36. Telepho	one Numbe	r	37. Exte	ension or	Code		38.	Fax Nu	mber <i>(if app</i>	licable)
( 303 ) 5	48-8718							(	) -	
9. TCEQ Programs and ID prm. See the Core Data Form in	Numbers	Check all Prograr or additional guida	ns and write in th ance.	ne permits/	registratio	on number	s that will be	affected	by the update	s submitted on this
Dam Safety	Distric	ts	Edwards	Aquifer		Emiss	ions Invento	ory Air	Industri	al Hazardous Waste

Municipal Solid Waste	New Source Review Air	OSSF 0	Petroleum Storage Tank	PWS
Sludge	Storm Water	🔲 Title V Air	Tires	Used Oil
Voluntary Cleanup	Waste Water	Wastewater Agriculture	U Water Rights	Other:

# **SECTION IV: Preparer Information**

40. Name:	Hannah Bra	adish		41. Title: Environmental Compliance Engine			
42. Tele	phone Number	43. Ext./Code	44. Fax Number	45. E-Mail	Address		
(303)	548-8718		() -	hbradish	@leprinofoods.com		

# **SECTION V:** Authorized Signature

**46.** By my signature below, I certify, to the best of my knowledge, that the information provided in this form is true and complete, and that I have signature authority to submit this form on behalf of the entity specified in Section II, Field 6 and/or as required for the updates to the ID numbers identified in field 39.

Company:	Leprino Foods Company	Job Title:	Senior Director of Environmental Engineering		
Name (In Print):	Joseph Herrud			Phone:	( 303 ) 480- <b>2894</b>
Signature:				Date:	

# LEPRINO\_000093 of 2

# **ATTACHMENT C. PROPERTY OWNERSHIP**

Admin Report 1.0 Pg. 6, 8.f



LUBBOCK	CENTRAL AP	PRAISAL DISTRICT				
Property R318755	<mark>Owner</mark> LEPRINO	FOODS COMPANY	Property Address E 4TH ST, LUBBOCK, TX 79403	Tax Year 2022  ✔ CERTIFIED	2022 Asse \$252,1(	ssed Value )5
2022 (	GENERAL I	NFORMATION		2022 VALUE INFOR	MATION	
Prop	erty Status	Active		Improvement Homes	site Value	\$0
Pro	perty Type	Commercial and Indust	rial Vacant Land	Improvement Non-I	Homesite	\$0
Legal [	Description	BLK O SEC 6 AB 1407 TF	R 1C & RR1C AC: 157.311		value	
Neig	ghborhood	0504 - City Of Lubbock		Total Improvemer	nt Market Value	\$0
	Account	AC56006-91407-30000-	000			
Related	Properties	R136696, R343830		Land Homes	site Value	\$0
Ma	ap Number	596		Land Non-Homes	site Value	\$252,105
2022 (	OWNER IN	FORMATION		Land Agricultural Mar	ket Value	\$0
0	wner Name	LEPRINO FOODS COM	PANY	 Total Land Mar	ket Value	\$252,105
	Owner ID					
	Exemptions			Total Mar	ket Value	\$252,105
Percent	Ownership	100%		Agricul	ltural Use	\$0
Mail	ing Address	1830 W 38TH AVE DEN	VER, CO 80211-2225	Tir	mber Use	\$0
	Agent	-		Total Apprais	sed Value	\$252,105
				Homestead	Cap Loss	-\$0
				Total Assess	sed Value	\$252,105

#### 2022 ENTITIES & EXEMPTIONS

TAXING ENTITY	EXEMPTIONS	EXEMPTIONS AMOUNT		TAXABLE VALUE	TAX RATE PER 100	TAX CEILING
CLB- City Of Lubbock			\$0	\$176,819	0.52323	0
GLB- Lubbock County			\$0	\$176,819	0.35999	0
HSP- Lubb Cnty Hospital			\$0	\$176,819	0.103164	0
SRS- Roosevelt ISD			\$0	\$176,819	1.2679	0
WHP- Hi Plains Water			\$0	\$176,819	0.0051	0
TOTALS					2.259384	

#### 2022 LAND SEGMENTS

LAND SEGMENT TYPE	STATE CODE	HOMESITE	MARKET VALUE	AG USE	TIM USE	LAND SIZE
1 - Irr Crop	C1 - Real Property: Vacant Lots and Tracts	No	\$230,000	\$0	\$0	115.000000 acres
2 - Irr Crop	C1 - Real Property: Vacant Lots and Tracts	No	\$0	\$0	\$0	4.970000 acres
3 - Dry Crop	C1 - Real Property: Vacant Lots and Tracts	No	\$20,881	\$0	\$0	25.341000 acres
4 - Dry Crop	C1 - Real Property: Vacant Lots and Tracts	No	\$1,224	\$0	\$0	12.000000 acres
TOTALS						6,852,467 Sq. ft / 157.311000 acres

#### VALUE HISTORY

YEAF	R IMPROVEMENT	LAND	MARKET	AG MARKET	AG USE	APPRAISED	HS CAP LOSS	ASSESSED
2021	\$0	\$346,509	\$346,509	\$0	\$0	\$346,509	\$0	\$346,509
2020	\$0	\$500	\$500	\$199,473	\$72,111	\$72,611	\$0	\$72,611
2019	\$0	\$500	\$500	\$199,473	\$83,687	\$84,187	\$0	\$84,187
2018	\$0	\$500	\$500	\$199,473	\$90,766	\$91,266	\$0	\$91,266
2017	\$0	\$500	\$500	\$199,473	\$53,346	\$53,846	\$0	\$53,846

https://lubbockcad.org/Property-Detail?PropertyQuickRefID=R318755

#### 8/16/22, 10:05 AM

#### Public Access > Property Detail

SALES HISTO	DRY			
DEED DATE	SELLER	BUYER	INSTR #	VOLUME/PAGE
4/19/2022	LUBBOCK ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT ALLIANCE INC	LEPRINO FOODS COMPANY	2022- 18637	
12/29/2020	CARLTON, WILLIAM H, Jr	LUBBOCK ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT ALLIANCE INC	2020- 59091	
1/25/2010	MR W FIREWORKS INC	CARLTON, WILLIAM H, Jr	2010- 2205	

#### DISCLAIMER

Every effort has been made to offer the most current and correct information possible on these pages. The information included on these pages has been compiled by District staff from a variety of sources, and is subject to change without notice. The Lubbock Central Appraisal District makes no warranties or representations whatsoever regarding the quality, content, completeness, accuracy or adequacy of such information and data. The Lubbock Central Appraisal District reserves the right to make changes at any time without notice. Original records may differ from the information on these pages. Verification of information on source documents is recommended. By using this application, you assume all risks arising out of or associated with access to these pages, including but not limited to risks of damage to your computer, peripherals, software and data from any virus, software, file or other cause associated with access to this application. The Lubbock Central Appraisal District shall not be liable for any damages whatsoever arising out of any cause relating to use of this application, including but not limited to mistakes, omissions, deletions, errors, or defects in any information contained in these pages, or any failure to receive or delay in receiving information said or implied.

# ATTACHMENT D. USGS MAP

Admin Report 1.0 Pg. 7, 9b





#### U.S. DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR **U.S. GEOLOGICAL SURVEY**



#### LUBBOCK EAST QUADRANGLE **TEXAS - LUBBOCK COUNTY** 7.5-MINUTE SERIES







LEPRINO\_000098

# **ATTACHMENT E. DISCHARGE ROUTE DESCRIPTION**

Admin Report 1.0 Pg. 7, 9.d

The proposed discharge route is as follows:

Treated effluent will pumped from the facility through a 14" force main approximately 2.7 miles to an energy dissipation structure thence to a 24" HDPE line to a subsurface outfall structure located in Canyon Lake #6 – classified segment 1241A.



# **ATTACHMENT F. ADJACENT LANDOWNER INFORMATION**

Admin Report 1.1 Pg. 10, 1a, & c



DRAWN BY:	L WILSON	SCALE:	PROJ. NO.	TPDES 2022
CHECKED BY:	A RIENSTRA	AS NOTED	FILE NO.	Project.mxd
APPROVED BY:	A RIENSTRA	DATE PRINTED:	EL	
DATE:	August 2022	8/23/2022	EI.	GURE 2

CEPTRINO\_00010

MAP ID	OWNER NAME	ADDRESS	СІТҮ	STATE	ZIP CODE
1	606 HAROLD LLC	PO BOX 573036	HOUSTON	тх	77257
2	ADAMS C POWELL & CAROL	7317 E FM 40	LUBBOCK	тх	79403
3	ADEBANJO ADESOJI & JEROME ADEBANJO	924 E EMORY ST APT 3104	LUBBOCK	тх	79403
4	ALONSO ANDRES C & MACRUZ A	1107 46TH ST	LUBBOCK	тх	79412
5	ATMOS ENERGY CORPORATION	PO BOX 650205	DALLAS	ТХ	75265-0205
6	BAIGEN, MICHELLE	325 PRIVATE ROAD 2760	LUBBOCK	тх	79403-8244
7	BASF CORPORATION	100 PARK AVE	FLORHAM PARK	NJ	07932-1089
8	BNSF RAILWAY COMPANY	PO BOX 961089	FORT WORTH	ТХ	76161-0089
9	BRAXTON, OLA JEAN R	12083 APPLEBURY CT	RNCHO CORDOVA	CA	95742-8112
10	BROWN, BILL W	ADDRESS UNKNOWN			
11	BUTLER, REGINA	4013 47TH ST	LUBBOCK	ТХ	79413
12	CARRIZALES STEVEN GABRIEL	1523 E MAIN ST	LUBBOCK	тх	79403-5207
13	CARTER, BRYCE CYRLOYD	4013 47TH ST	LUBBOCK	тх	79413
14	CERDA JOSE L & GUADALUPE REYES & ZETA RODRIGUEZ	2902 2ND PL	LUBBOCK	ТХ	79415
15	CHAVEZ JOANN O & JOEL JR & MARIA O RHODES	4703 E 4TH ST	LUBBOCK	ТХ	79403-5007
16	COLE DG LUBBOCK FM 40 TX LLC	PO BOX 460369	HOUSTON	ТХ	77056
17	CRBBB PARTNERSHIP LP	5201 19TH ST	LUBBOCK	ТХ	79407
18	DAVIS, WILLIAM E	HC 2 BOX 1170	CAMP WOOD	ТХ	78833-9602
19	FULL ARMOR MINISTRIES	PO BOX 1574	LUBBOCK	ТХ	79408
20	GAONA ABEL & EVA	4435 E FM 40	LUBBOCK	ТХ	79403
21	GARCIA ANGEL & EMERY	2506 58TH ST	LUBBOCK	ТХ	79413-5632
22	GIL, LUIS JESUS	PO BOX 348	SMYER	ТХ	79367-0348
23	GREEN, TINA	3406 E BROADWAY	LUBBOCK	ТХ	79403
24	GUTIERREZ RAYMOND Z & RACHAEL GARCIA	808 E 76TH ST	LUBBOCK	ТХ	79404-6417
25	HARTFIELD, ROBERT	3605 N COUNTY ROAD 2775	LUBBOCK	тх	79403
26	HERRERA, RAMONA (TOD)	2211 E 19TH ST	LUBBOCK	ТХ	79403
27	JOHNSON JOSEPH ESTATE OF & ESTATE OF RUBY JOHNSON	3413 E 19TH ST	LUBBOCK	ТХ	79403
28	JOHNSON, TREVA S	3413 E 19TH ST	LUBBOCK	ТХ	79403
29	KASTMAN INTERESTS LTD	PO BOX 5930	LUBBOCK	ТХ	79408-5930
30	KEYS CINDY KIM HOLEMAN	108 HELTON	GRANBURY	ТХ	76049-1335
31	LOS CARNALES MC	4022 WOODROW RD	LUBBOCK	ТХ	79423
32	LUBBOCK CITY OF	PO BOX 2000	LUBBOCK	тх	79457-0001
33	LUBBOCK ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT ALLIANCE INC	1500 BROADWAY STE 600	LUBBOCK	ТХ	79401-3167
34	LUBBOCK REGIONAL MENTAL HEALTH & MENTAL RETARDATIO	PO BOX 2828	LUBBOCK	ТХ	79408-2828
35	MC LANE FOOD SERVICE	PO BOX 5550	LUBBOCK	ТХ	79408-5550
36	MR W FIREWORKS INC	PO BOX 114	SOMERSET	ТХ	78069-0114
37	NUNEZ ANTONIO & REYNA	6210 E COUNTY ROAD 6450	LUBBOCK	тх	79403
38	ODDIE FREDDRICK II & DARAVION J BONNER JR	904 W LAUREL ST	COMPTON	CA	90220-2907
39	PITTS, ELAINE	140 CR 3051	DECATUR	ТХ	76234-4610
40	PUCKETT, WILEY ESTATE	ADDRESS UNKNOWN			
41	QUIGLEY, CEDRIC	2636 E CORNELL	LUBBOCK	ТХ	79403
42	RAMOS, OLGA H	4517 E 4TH ST	LUBBOCK	тх	79403-4829
43	REYES RAMIRO & DEBRA	15916 N COUNTY ROAD 2500	ABERNATHY	тх	79311-5333
44	RICHARDSON LACARL & BERNARD & DALE A & LAFRANCES R A	2939 E COLGATE ST	LUBBOCK	ТХ	79403
45	RIOS, PEDRO ALFONSO ROJO	1301 FM 40	RALLS	ТХ	79357
46	RODRIGUEZ AMBER L & JOROMEO J MADDOX	3405 E 19TH ST	LUBBOCK	ТХ	79403
47	RODRIGUEZ ROGER & MARIA ELENA	8710 GOLDEN PT	SAN ANTONIO	ТХ	78239
48	RRW LEASING INC	303 30TH ST	LUBBOCK	ТХ	79404
49	SAMUDIO, CARLOS	4414 82ND ST STE 212	LUBBOCK	тх	79424-3359
50	SCHILLING WAYNE & MARY	16912 COUNTY ROAD 3000	SLATON	тх	79364-7750
51	SIEBER TRUST, E HAYES Attn: PROSPERITY BANK TRUST	1401 AVE Q	LUBBOCK	ТХ	79401-3819
52	SUMODA REAL ESTATE INVESTMENTS LLC	3604 RAVENHILL LN	ARLINGTON	ТХ	76016-4831
53	TAYLOR, EDWINA GETAY	3021 E 19TH ST	LUBBOCK	ТХ	79403-6040
54	THOMPSON JAMES E ET AL	2609 E 19TH ST	LUBBOCK	ТХ	79403-5829
55	TREVINO, GUADALUPE TIRSO	320 PRIVATE ROAD 2760	LUBBOCK	ТХ	79403
56	TRIGGS BOYD & ELIZABETH BRAZIEL	4711 E 4TH ST	LUBBOCK	ТХ	79401
57	VASQUEZ MARK & MERCEDES	10602 DETROIT AVE	LUBBOCK	ТХ	79423
58	WALTON BONNIE & 'TESSIE ESTATE	ADDRESS UNKNOWN			
59	WYNNE, WILLIE	ADDRESS UNKNOWN			

#### LUBBOCK CENTRAL APPRAISAL DISTRICT

Property	Owner	Property Address	Tax Year	2022 A
R58691	LUBBOCK ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT ALLIANCE INC	4003 19TH ST, LUBBOCK, TX	K 79410 2022 👤	CERTIFIED \$3

#### 2022 GENERAL INFORMATION

## **2022 VALUE INFORMATION**

Property Status	Active	Improvement Homesite Value
Property Type	Commercial and Industrial Vacant Land	Improvement Non-Homesite Value
Legal Description	BLK O SEC 5 AB 21 TR G2 AC: .396	Total Improvement Market Value
Neighborhood	0504 - City Of Lubbock	
Account	AC56005-90021-00175-000	Land Homesite Value
Map Number	594	Land Non-Homesite Value
2022 OWNER IN	IFORMATION	Land Agricultural Market Value
Owner Name	LUBBOCK ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT ALLIANCE INC	Total Land Market Value
Owner ID		
Exemptions	Prorated Full Exemption	Total Market Value
Percent Ownership	100%	Agricultural Use
Mailing Address	1500 BROADWAY #STE 600 LUBBOCK, TX 79401-3167	Timber Use
Agent		Total Appraised Value
		Homestead Cap Loss

Total Assessed Value

#### **2022 ENTITIES & EXEMPTIONS**

#### Special Exemptions PRO - Prorated Full Exemption

TAXING ENTITY	EXEMPTIONS	EXEMPTIONS AMOUNT	TAXABLE VALUE	TAX RATE PER 100	TAX CEILIN
CLB- City Of Lubbock		\$0	\$1,619	0.52323	0
GLB- Lubbock County		\$0	\$1,619	0.35999	0
HSP- Lubb Cnty Hospital		\$0	\$1,619	0.103164	0
SLB- Lubbock ISD		\$0	\$1,619	1.1355	0
WHP- Hi Plains Water		\$0	\$1,619	0.0051	0
TOTALS				2 126984	

#### 2022 LAND SEGMENTS

TOTALS						17,280 Sq. ft / 0.396694
1 - Commercial	C1 - Real Property: Vacant Lots and Tracts	No	\$3,456	\$0	\$0	17,280 Sq. ft
LAND SEGMENT TYPE	STATE CODE	HOMESITE	MARKET VALUE	AG USE	TIM USE	LAND SIZE

#### VALUE HISTORY

YEAR	IMPROVEMENT	LAND	MARKET	AG MARKET	AG USE	APPRAISED	HS CAP LOSS	ASSESSED
2021	\$0	\$3,456	\$3,456	\$0	\$0	\$3,456	\$0	\$3
2020	\$0	\$3,456	\$3,456	\$0	\$0	\$3,456	\$0	\$3
2019	\$0	\$3,456	\$3,456	\$0	\$0	\$3,456	\$0	\$3
2018	\$0	\$3,456	\$3,456	\$0	\$0	\$3,456	\$0	\$3
2017	\$0	\$3,456	\$3,456	\$0	\$0	\$3,456	\$0	\$3

#### SALES HISTORY

DEED DATE	SELLER	BUYER	INSTR #	VOLUME/PA
7/12/2022	LUBBOCK ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT ALLIANCE INC	-	2022- 33840	
6/21/2022	BROWN, BILL W	LUBBOCK ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT ALLIANCE	2022- 30337	

# LEPRINO\_000103

acres

#### DISCLAIMER

Every effort has been made to offer the most current and correct information possible on these pages. The information included on these pages has compiled by District staff from a variety of sources, and is subject to change without notice. The Lubbock Central Appraisal District makes no warrant representations whatsoever regarding the quality, content, completeness, accuracy or adequacy of such information and data. The Lubbock C Appraisal District reserves the right to make changes at any time without notice. Original records may differ from the information on these p Verification of information on source documents is recommended. By using this application, you assume all risks arising out of or associated with acc these pages, including but not limited to risks of damage to your computer, peripherals, software and data from any virus, software, file or other associated with access to this application. The Lubbock Central Appraisal District shall not be liable for any damages whatsoever arising out of any relating to use of this application, including but not limited to mistakes, omissions, deletions, errors, or defects in any information contained in pages, or any failure to receive or delay in receiving information said or implied.

LEPRINO\_000104

# ATTACHMENT G. ORIGINAL PHOTOGRAPHS AND MAPS OF PHOTO LOCATIONS

Admin Report 1.1 Pg. 11, 2.

Photos will be provided upon access to discharge point. As soon as they become available, they will be submitted.



# **ATTACHMENT H. SPIF INFORMATION**

SPIF Pg. 13, 8.

# LEPRINO\_000106


Tech Report 1.0 Pg. 1, 1.a &b Tech Report 1.0 Pg. 3, 2.a Tech Report 1.0 Pg. 8, 6.

Leprino Foods Company proposes to construct a new mozzarella cheese and nutrition manufacturing facility in Lubbock, Texas. The facility is located on undeveloped farmland located in Lubbock, Lubbock County, Texas. The facility is located just east of East Loop 289 and is bordered on the north by E. 4th Street, and by E. 19th Street to the south.

The manufactured dairy-based cheese will be for commercial food operators for public consumption. The Facility will be operating under the Primary Standard Industry Code: 2022 (Natural, Processed, and Imitation Cheese) and NAICS Code: 311513. The facility will receive raw potable water supplied by connection to a Lubbock Public Water System owned and operated by the City of Lubbock. The primary use of the water will be for the manufacturing process and make up for the cooling water.

Raw fluid milk is brought into the facility as the main ingredient to the cheesemake process. The milk is reacted to coagulate the proteins and form cheese curds and liquid whey. The liquid whey is separated from the cheese and processed into nutrition products through separation and drying processes which removes the associated water. The associated water (COW water) and potable water used for daily sanitation is discharged to the onsite wastewater treatment plant and then discharged to Outfall 001. The brine used to cool and add salt to the cheese is managed in a separate evaporation system. Cooling water discharges, boiler water, and reverse osmosis reject water will also be sent to the wastewater treatment facility and then discharged to Outfall 001. See Appendix J for more details.

Domestic sewage will be routed via connection to the Southeast Water Reclamation Plant Wastewater Treatment Facility in Lubbock Texas. Domestic sewage will be disposed of by an on-site septic tank and serviced by a registered hauler.

The discharge via pipe to Outfall 001 will consist primarily of process wastewater from the manufacturing process and cooling water blowdown. All waste streams discharged from the facility will be from the wastewater treatment plant located onsite.

Wastewater treatment will use a combination of anaerobic and aerobic activated sludge systems to treat all high strength wastewater (HSW) and low strength wastewater (LSW) generated in production. A divert flow system has been designed to mitigate potential non-compliance waste streams that will allow a high degree of control over wastewater divert to Outfall 001.

Brine water will be kept separate from the LSW and HSW streams and have a dedicated lift station to send brine to two onsite evaporation lagoons. Wastewater will be allowed to evaporate while dissolved solids are deposited on the bottom of the lagoons. Removal of solids from the lagoons is anticipated every two years and will be transported by a certified waste hauler. To assist with evaporation, the lagoons will be equipped with splashers.

At the south end of the property there will be a valve manhole that can control whether effluent goes to direct discharge into Lake #6 or if it goes to the City of Lubbock. In the event of an upset in the system,

# LEPRINO\_000108

water could be temporarily sent to a 10.5-million-gallon non-compliant lagoon. This volume allows for noncompliant effluent to be contained for seven days operating at full capacity. The plant will also be equipped with an aerated 1.5-million-gallon calamity lagoon to serve as storage should any process units need to be taken out of service.

RO reject water will be treated at the wastewater treatment facility, located onsite, and discharged to Outfall 001.

Process wastewater and cooling water discharge will be continuous. All flows will be pumped from the facility through a 14" force main to an energy dissipation structure thence to a 24" HDPE line to the outfall structure (001) located in Canyon Lake #6 – classified segment 1241A.



# **ATTACHMENT J. RAW MATERIALS**

Tech Report 1.0 Pg. 2, 1.c

Raw Materials	Intermediates	Products
Milk	Permeate	Mozzarella Cheese
Nonfat dry milk	Liquid Whey	Whey Protein Powder
Salt	Cream	Sweet Whey Powder
Cellulose	Skim Milk	Permeate Powder
		Cream



# ATTACHMENT K. FACILITY SITE DRAWINGS

Tech Report 1.0 Pg. 2, 1.d





# **Leprino Treated Effluent Line**

Lubbock Economic Development Alliance 1500 Broadway, Suite 600 Lubbock, TX 79401



## Lake Survey

Issue: Review Date: March 2022 Project No: 01.5609.21 LSEPRINO\_000113 1 of 1



# Parkhill Leprino New Effluent Outfall Line

Parkhill.com

Lubbock Economical Development Alliance 1500 Broadway Street, Suite #6 Lubbock, Texas 79401

# Energy Dissipation Detail - Option No. 1 Issue: TECHNICAL MEMO

 Date:
 DEC 2021

 Project No:
 PENDING

 SEPRINO
 000114
 3 OF 9

# ATTACHMENT L. FLOW SCHEMATIC DIAGRAM

Tech Report 1.0 Pg. 3, 2.b



# LEPRINO\_000116





Tech Report 1.0 Pg. 8, 5.d

Cooling tower additives have not yet been selected. Once the additives are known, SDS for those products will be submitted.



# **ATTACHMENT N. IMPOUNDMENTS**

Tech Report 1.0 Pg. 5, b.i – b.iii, 3.c – 3.e

- Impoundment Data
- USGS Map Water Supply and Monitor Wells
   State Water Wells and Geotechnical Report
- ► Waste Migration Assessment
- Evaporation Calculations



# **Impoundments Data**

Not yet designed. Engineering data will be submitted when available.



# **USGS Map – Water Supply and Monitor Wells**

# LEPRINO\_000122



#### U.S. DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR **U.S. GEOLOGICAL SURVEY**



### LUBBOCK EAST QUADRANGLE **TEXAS - LUBBOCK COUNTY** 7.5-MINUTE SERIES





Wells

Domestic

Legend

Property Boundary

Wastewater Treatment Plant

LEPRINO\_000123

**State Water Well and Geotechnical Reports** 



STATE OF TEXAS WELL REPORT for Tracking #220497				
Owner:	One Builder for Life	Owner Well #:	No Data	
Address:	PO Box 53493 Lubbock, TX  79453	Grid #:	23-26-3	
Well Location:	4615 E. 4th St.	Latitude:	33° 35' 34" N	
	Lubbock, TX 79403	Longitude:	101° 46' 16" W	
Well County:	Lubbock	Elevation:	No Data	
Type of Work:	New Well	Proposed Use:	Domestic	

Drilling Start Date: 6/23/2010 Drilling End Date: 6/23/2010

	Diameter	(in.)	Top Depth (ft.)	Bottom Dep	th (ft.)
Borehole:	8		0	148	
Drilling Method:	Mud (Hydraulic) Rotary				
Borehole Completion:	Filter Packed				
	Top Depth (ft.)	Bottom Depth (ft.)	Filter	Material	Size
Filter Pack Intervals:	95	148	Gr	avel	8/16
	Top Depth (ft.)	Bottom Depth (ft.)		Description (number of sacks & material)	
Annular Seal Data:	2	4		cement	
	4	95		bentonite	
Seal Method: SI	urry		Distance to P	Property Line (ft.): 1	15
Sealed By: Dr	riller		Distance to Sep concentrated co	tic Field or other ontamination (ft.):	100
			Distance to	Septic Tank (ft.):	No Data
			Metho	od of Verification: 1	Гаре
Surface Completion:	Pitless Adapte	r Used			
Water Level:	No Data				
Packers:	No Data				

Type of Pump: No Data

Well Tests: No Test Data Specified



	Strata Depth (ft.)	Water Type		
Water Quality:	118-130	Fresh		
		Chemical Analysis M	lade: <b>No</b>	
	Did the driller	knowingly penetrate any strata w contained injurious constitue	hich nts?: <b>No</b>	
Certification Data:	The driller certified th driller's direct supervi correct. The driller u he report(s) being re	at the driller drilled this well (or th ision) and that each and all of the nderstood that failure to complete turned for completion and resubn	e well was drille statements here the required ite nittal.	ed under the ein are true and ems will result in
Company Information:	Estill Drilling			
	PO Box 64491 Lubbock, TX  7946	54		
Driller Name:	Geoffrey S. Spenc	er Lice	nse Number:	58601
Comments:	No Data			

#### Lithology: DESCRIPTION & COLOR OF FORMATION MATERIAL

Top (ft.)	Bottom (ft.)	Description
0	4	Top Soil
4	25	Brown Sandy Clay
25	90	Silty Tan Clay/ light layers of Sandstone
90	112	Sand and Gravel
112	118	Tan Clay
118	130	Sand and Gravel
130	141	Silty Sandy Clay/ Sand
141	148	Blue Limestone

Casing: BLANK PIPE & WELL SCREEN DATA

Dia. (in.) New/Used Type Setting From/To (ft.)

5 New PVC surface to 108

#### 5 New PVC Screen 108-148 .035

#### IMPORTANT NOTICE FOR PERSONS HAVING WELLS DRILLED CONCERNING CONFIDENTIALITY

TEX. OCC. CODE Title 12, Chapter 1901.251, authorizes the owner (owner or the person for whom the well was drilled) to keep information in Well Reports confidential. The Department shall hold the contents of the well log confidential and not a matter of public record if it receives, by certified mail, a written request to do so from the owner.

Please include the report's Tracking Number on your written request.

Texas Department of Licensing and Regulation P.O. Box 12157 Austin, TX 78711 (512) 334-5540



STATE OF TEXAS WELL REPORT for Tracking #284529					
Owner:	City Of Lubbock	Owner Well #:	SBL-01		
Address:	402 Municipal Drive	Grid #:	23-26-3		
Well Location:	City Of Lubback Land Application	Latitude:	33° 35' 07" N		
wen Location.	Site Lubbock, TX	Longitude:	101° 46' 25" W		
			No Data		
weir County.	LUDDOCK	**Plugged With	in 48 Hours**		
**This well has been plugged** Plugging Report Tracking #135655					
Type of Work:	New Well	Proposed Use:	Environmental Soil Boring		
Type of Work.	IACM AACH	Fioposed Ose.			

Drilling Start Date: 3/28/2012 Drilling End Date: 3/28/2012

	Diameter (in.)	Top Depth (ft.)	Bottom Dep	th (ft.)
Borehole:	7.875	0	55	
Drilling Method:	Air Rotary			
Borehole Completion:	Unknown			
Annular Seal Data:	No Data			
Seal Method: No	ot Applicable	Distance to P	roperty Line (ft.):	No Data
Sealed By: Ur	nknown	Distance to Sept concentrated co	ic Field or other ntamination (ft.): <sup> </sup>	No Data
		Distance to	Septic Tank (ft.): I	No Data
		Metho	d of Verification: I	No Data
Surface Completion:	Unknown			
Water Level:	No Data			
Packers:	No Data			
Type of Pump:	No Data			
Well Tests:	No Test Data Specified			
	Description (number	er of sacks & material)	Top Depth (ft.)	Bottom Depth (ft.)
Plug Information:	55-6 Be	ent HP 22		
	6-2 C	ement 1		
	2-	D Fill		
8/12/2022 4:04:55 PM	Well Rej	port Tracking Number 28 Submitted on: 4/23/2012	4529 LE	PRINO_00

		Strata Depth (ft.)	Water Type		
Wat	er Quality:	No Data	No Data		
			Chemical Analysis	Made: <b>No</b>	
		Did the driller	knowingly penetrate any strata v contained injurious constitue	which ents?: <b>No</b>	
Cer	tification Data: 7 c c t	The driller certified th driller's direct supervi correct. The driller u he report(s) being re	at the driller drilled this well (or t ision) and that each and all of th nderstood that failure to complet turned for completion and resub	the well was drille e statements here te the required ite omittal.	ed under the ein are true and ems will result in
Con	npany Information:	Straub Corporatio	n		
		P O Box 192 Stanton, TX 79782	2		
Drill	er Name:	Raymond Straub	Jr Lic	ense Number:	4456
Con	nments:	No Data			

#### Lithology: DESCRIPTION & COLOR OF FORMATION MATERIAL

Top (ft.)	Bottom (ft.)	Description
0	3.5	Dark Brown Clayey Silt
3.5	8	Dark Brown Silty Clay
8	17	Gray/Green Clay
17	30.5	Calcareous Silty Sand
30.5	39	Tan Silicious Sandstone
39	45	Red Silty Sandy Clay
45	55	Red/Brown Clayey Sand

#### Casing: BLANK PIPE & WELL SCREEN DATA

Dia. (in.) New/Used Type Setting From/To (ft.)

No Data

#### IMPORTANT NOTICE FOR PERSONS HAVING WELLS DRILLED CONCERNING CONFIDENTIALITY

TEX. OCC. CODE Title 12, Chapter 1901.251, authorizes the owner (owner or the person for whom the well was drilled) to keep information in Well Reports confidential. The Department shall hold the contents of the well log confidential and not a matter of public record if it receives, by certified mail, a written request to do so from the owner.

Please include the report's Tracking Number on your written request.

Texas Department of Licensing and Regulation P.O. Box 12157 Austin, TX 78711 (512) 334-5540



STATE OF TEXAS WELL REPORT for Tracking #379718					
Owner:	BAYER CROP SCIENCE	Owner Well #:	9680		
Address:	1301 EAST 50TH STREET	Grid #:	23-26-6		
Well Location:	No Data	Latitude:	33° 34' 54" N		
		Longitude:	101° 46' 46" W		
Well County:	Lubbock	Elevation:	No Data		
Type of Work:	New Well	Proposed Use:	Irrigation		

Drilling Start Date: 10/1/2014 Drilli

Drilling End Date: 10/2/2014

	Diameter (in.) Top Depth (f		Top Depth (ft.)	Bottom Dep	oth (ft.)	
Borehole:	18		0	160		
Drilling Method:	Mud (Hydrauli	ud (Hydraulic) Rotary				
Borehole Completion:	Filter Packed	er Packed				
	Top Depth (ft.)	Bottom Depth (ft.)	Filter	Material	Size	
Filter Pack Intervals:	10	160	G	ravel	3/8"	
	Top Depth (ft.)	Bottom Dept	h (ft.)	Description (number of s	cription (number of sacks & material)	
Annular Seal Data:	-1	10		CEMENT		
Seal Method: Ur	nknown		Distance to F	Property Line (ft.):	No Data	
Sealed By: Driller			Distance to Sep concentrated c	otic Field or other ontamination (ft.): I	No Data	
			Distance to	Septic Tank (ft.):	No Data	
			Meth	od of Verification: I	No Data	
Surface Completion:	Surface Slab Ir	nstalled				
Water Level:	No Data					
Packers:	No Data					
Type of Pump:	No Data					
Well Tests:	No Test Data	Specified				



	Strata Depth (ft.)	Water Type		
Water Quality:	No Data	No Data		
		Chemical Analysis Made:	No	
	Did the driller k	nowingly penetrate any strata which contained injurious constituents?:	No	
Certification Data:	The driller certified tha driller's direct supervis correct. The driller un the report(s) being ret	at the driller drilled this well (or the we sion) and that each and all of the state derstood that failure to complete the urned for completion and resubmittal	ell was drille ements her required ite	ed under the rein are true and ems will result in
Company Information:	HI PLAINS DRILLIN	IG, INC.		
	P. O. BOX 730 ABERNATHY, TX  7	/9311		
Driller Name:	LEEROY TREVINO	License	Number:	54667
Comments:	No Data			

#### Lithology: DESCRIPTION & COLOR OF FORMATION MATERIAL

Top (ft.)	Bottom (ft.)	Description
0	4	TOP SOIL
4	17	CALICHE AND CLAY
17	40	SANDY CLAY, SAND, SNDSTONE
40	45	HARD CAPROCK
45	80	SANDY CLAY, SAND, SANDSTONE
80	144	COARSE SAND, GRVL
144	155	HARD LIMEROCK
155	160	RED

Casing: BLANK PIPE & WELL SCREEN DATA

Setting From/To (ft.)

12 3/4 N BLANK STEEL +1 - 100 .188

Dia. (in.) New/Used Type

#### 12 3/4 N MILLSLOT PERF 48 SPF 100 - 160 .188

#### IMPORTANT NOTICE FOR PERSONS HAVING WELLS DRILLED CONCERNING CONFIDENTIALITY

TEX. OCC. CODE Title 12, Chapter 1901.251, authorizes the owner (owner or the person for whom the well was drilled) to keep information in Well Reports confidential. The Department shall hold the contents of the well log confidential and not a matter of public record if it receives, by certified mail, a written request to do so from the owner.

Please include the report's Tracking Number on your written request.

#### Texas Department of Licensing and Regulation P.O. Box 12157 Austin, TX 78711 (512) 334-5540





# Draft Geotechnical Engineering Report

## **Armadillo Food Manufacturing Facility**

Lubbock, Texas February 24, 2022 Terracon Project No. AR215097

## **Prepared for:**

E.A. Bonelli + Associates, Inc. Oakland, CA

## **Prepared by:**

Terracon Consultants, Inc. Lubbock, Texas

Materials



February 24, 2022

**Terracon** GeoReport.

E.A. Bonelli + Associates, Inc. 8450 Edes Avenue Oakland, CA 94621

- Attn: Ms. Lesley S. Marshall, P.E., Vice President P: (415) 760.0360 E: Lesley.marshall@eabonelli.com
- Re: Draft Geotechnical Engineering Report Armadillo Food Manufacturing Facility E County Road 6700 Lubbock, Texas Terracon Project No. AR215097

Dear Ms. Marshall:

We are pleased to submit this Geotechnical Engineering report for the above referenced project. This study was performed in general accordance with Terracon Proposal No. PAR215097 dated December 8, 2021 and E.A. Bonelli's CQC No. 21053-TRCN-001. This report presents the findings of the subsurface exploration and provides geotechnical recommendations concerning earthwork and the design and construction of foundations and pavements for the proposed project.

We appreciate the opportunity to work with you on this project and look forward to contributing to the ongoing success of this project by providing Materials Testing services during construction. If you have any questions concerning this report, or if we may be of further service, please contact us.

Sincerely,

Terracon Consultants, Inc. (Firm Registration No. F3272)

Maverick Rubin Geotechnical Field Engineer Jerry T. Sayson, P.E. Geotechnical Department Manager

Reviewed by:

Greg J. Klein, P.E. Principal

> Terracon Consultants, Inc. 5847 50<sup>th</sup> Street Lubbock, Texas 79424 P (806) 300 0140 F (806) 797 0947 terracon.com



Materials

# **REPORT TOPICS**

INTRODUCTION	1
SITE CONDITIONS	1
PROJECT DESCRIPTION	2
GEOTECHNICAL OVERVIEW	2
CORROSIVITY	4
EARTHWORK	5
SHALLOW FOUNDATIONS	9
DEEP FOUNDATIONS	
FLOOR SLABS	
LATERAL EARTH PRESSURE	
SEISMIC CONSIDERATIONS	
PAVEMENTS	
GENERAL COMMENTS	

**Note:** This report was originally delivered in a web-based format. **Orange Bold** text in the report indicates a referenced section heading. The PDF version also includes hyperlinks which direct the reader to that section and clicking on the *GeoReport* logo will bring you back to this page. For more interactive features, please view your project online at <u>client.terracon.com</u>.

# **ATTACHMENTS**

EXPLORATION AND TESTING PROCEDURES SITE LOCATION AND EXPLORATION PLANS EXPLORATION RESULTS SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Note: Refer to each individual Attachment for a listing of contents.

# **Draft Geotechnical Engineering Report**

Armadillo Food Manufacturing Facility E County Road 6700 Lubbock, Texas Terracon Project No. AR215097 February 24, 2022

## **INTRODUCTION**

This report presents the results of our subsurface exploration and geotechnical engineering services performed for the Armadillo Food Manufacturing Facility to be located approximately one-half mile west of CR 2800, north of and E County Road 6700 in Lubbock, Texas. The purposes of these services are to provide information and geotechnical engineering recommendations relative to:

- Subsurface soil conditions
- Site preparation and earthwork
- Floor slab design and construction
- Pavement design and construction
- Groundwater conditions
- Foundation design and construction
- Seismic site classification per IBC

Maps showing the site and boring locations are shown in the **Site Location** and **Exploration Plans** sections, respectively. The results of the laboratory testing performed on soil samples obtained from the site during the field exploration are included on the boring logs in the **Exploration Results** section.

# SITE CONDITIONS

The following description of site conditions is derived from our site visit in association with the field exploration and our review of publicly available geologic and topographic maps.

Item	Description
	The main building is located 0.45 miles east and 0.25 miles north of the intersection of Loop 289 and E County Road 6700. The water treatment plant is located at the southwest corner of E County Road 6600 and Wood avenue.
Parcel Information	The coordinates of the approximate center of the proposed building area are 33.5818N, 101.7794W.
	The coordinates of the approximate center of the proposed water treatment plant and detention pond are 33.5889N, 101.7708W.
	See Site Location.

#### Draft Geotechnical Engineering Report

Armadillo Food Manufacturing Facility 
Lubbock, Texas
February 24, 2022 
Terracon Project No. AR215097



Item	Description	
Existing Improvements	The project site has been predominately developed as agricultural fields with small portions of the southwest corner of the northeast parcel uncultivated. Structures include small equipment sheds and tanks associated near the center of each parcel associated with the field irrigation systems. Travelled roads through the site are unsurfaced dirt paths.	
Current Ground Cover	Exposed soils and vegetation.	

# **PROJECT DESCRIPTION**

Our understanding of the project conditions are as follows:

ltem	Description		
Project Description	<ul> <li>The proposed project is a food manufacturing facility.:</li> <li>Main processing building will be single story precast buildi approximately 40 feet high with anticipated column loads of 350 500 kip, wall loads of 12 to 15 kip/ft and floor loads of 800 psf</li> <li>Warehouse area and office building with anticipated column loads 50 to 100 kip and wall loads of 5 to 10 kip/ft</li> <li>Dryer equipment area is anticipated to be precast structure about 1 feet to 150 feet high from finish grade. The anticipated column load are 1500 to 1800 kip and wall load of 18 kip/ft</li> <li>Silo area is anticipated to have capacities of 20,000 to 75,000 gallo</li> <li>Loading docks</li> <li>Associated pavement areas.</li> <li>Water treatment plant will be a single-story building with detenti ponds</li> </ul>		
Site Topography	Gently sloping from the southwest to the northeast.		
Pavements	Pavement will consist of hot mix asphaltic concrete for pavement subject to regular passenger car traffic and portland cement concrete pavement will be used for pavement subject to heavy-duty traffic. Heavy duty traffic loads were provided by the Client as 200 tractor-trailers per day, 6 days operation per week and 20-year design life.		
Finished Floor Elevation	Elevation information is not available at the time of this report.		

# **GEOTECHNICAL CHARACTERIZATION**

We have developed a general characterization of the subsurface conditions based upon our review of the subsurface exploration, laboratory data, geologic setting and our understanding of the project. This characterization, termed GeoModel, forms the basis of our geotechnical calculations and evaluation of site preparation and foundation options. Conditions encountered at



each exploration point are indicated on the individual logs. The individual logs can be found in the **Exploration Results** section and the GeoModel can be found in the **Figures** section of this report.

As part of our analyses, we identified the following model layers within the subsurface profile. For a more detailed view of the model layer depths at each boring location, refer to the GeoModel.

Model Layer	Layer Name	General Description
1	Upper Sandy Lean Clay	Medium stiff to hard
2	Upper Clayey Sand	Loose to very dense
3	Lower Sandy Lean Clay	Medium stiff to hard
4	Fat Clay	Hard
5	Lower Clayey Sand	Dense to very dense

The borings were advanced in the dry using an air rotary drilling technique that allow short term groundwater observations to be made while drilling. Groundwater seepage was not encountered within the maximum drilling depth at the time of our field exploration. Groundwater conditions may be different at the time of construction. Groundwater conditions may change because of seasonal variations in rainfall, runoff and other conditions not apparent at the time of drilling.

# **GEOTECHNICAL OVERVIEW**

The near surface clay soils could become unstable with typical earthwork and construction traffic, especially after precipitation events. Effective drainage should be completed early in the construction sequence and maintained after construction to avoid potential issues. If possible, the grading should be performed during the warmer and drier times of the year. If grading is performed during the winter months, an increased risk for possible undercutting and replacement of unstable subgrade will persist.

The warehouse building, office building, silos and the wastewater treatment plant building may be supported by shallow foundations provided the foundation areas are prepared in accordance with the requirements in the **Earthwork** section. Refer to **Shallow Foundations** section for detailed recommendations. Shallow foundations for the dryer equipment area and the main processing building will require ground improvement in order to improve load-settlement relationships for the higher structure loadings associated with these features.

Alternatively, drilled pier foundations can be considered for the processing and dryer equipment area or other features where bearing capacity concerns lead to oversized foundations. Refer to **Deep Foundations** section for detailed recommendations.

The **Floor Slabs** section includes recommendations for subgrade preparation associated with performance expectations of our assumed slab loads.



Hot mix asphaltic concrete (HMAC) or portland cement concrete (PCC) pavement sections are provided herein for light-duty and heavy-duty roadways, respectively. The **Pavements** section provides additional recommendations for the design of new pavement systems.

# CORROSIVITY

Tests for pH, chloride content, sulfate content, sulfide content, total salts, red-ox potential, and laboratory electrical resistivity were performed on two samples from the borings to provide an indication of the corrosion potential of the on-site materials. This limited testing program should not be interpreted as a comprehensive assessment of the site, but only provides an indication of conditions at the sampled location.

Laboratory resisitivity test results were performed using a Miller Box. The results of the resistivity tests and pH tests are summarized in the following table:

pH and Electrical Resistivity Test Results				
Boring Number	umber Sample Depth <sup>1</sup> Electrical Resistivity pH (feet) (Ω-cm)			
BP6	2.5	1,859	7.70	
BP7	5	1,239	7.38	
1. Approximate depth below existing grade at the time of our field program.				

Mapping by the Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS) indicates that the potential for corrosion of steel predominantly varies from "moderate" to "high" at the site. Borings BP6 and BP7 from which the samples were selected for resistivity are mapped in an area of "moderate" corrosion potential. Numerous publications exist that assess corrosion potential with varying qualitative descriptions for the measured results. Values of electrical resistivity less than 2000 ohm-cm are commonly noted as having increased corrosion potential.

Additional chemical analyses testing results are tabulated in the following table.

Chemical Test Results						
Boring Number	Sample Depth <sup>1</sup> (feet)	Chlorides (mg/kg)	Water-Soluble Sulfate (SO4) in Soil (%)	Water Soluble Sulfides	Total Salts (mg/kg)	Red-Ox (mV)
BP6	2.5	50	0.005	Nil	410	+447
BP7	5	50	0.025	Nil	603	+441

1. Approximate depth below existing grade at the time of our field program.

LEPRINO\_000137



The NRCS mapping indicates "low" concrete corrosion for the entire site. ACI 318-14 assigns Exposure Class S0 where water soluble sulfates in soil are less than 0.2 percent.

## EARTHWORK

#### **Site Preparation**

Remove existing vegetation, brush, and other deleterious materials from proposed building/structure and pavement areas. Exposed surfaces should be free of mounds and depressions which could prevent uniform compaction. The stripped materials consisting of vegetation and organic materials should be wasted from the site or used to revegetate landscaped areas or exposed slopes after completion of grading operations. Building pad preparation should be conducted as described in the section below. In the remaining areas, excessively wet or dry material should either be removed, or moisture conditioned and recompacted. After removal of deleterious materials and performing required cuts, the subgrade should be proof-rolled where possible to aid in locating loose or soft areas. Proof-rolling can be performed with a 20-ton roller or fully loaded dump truck. Soils that are observed to rut or deflect excessively (typically greater than 1-inch) under the moving load should be undercut and replaced with properly compacted onsite soils. The proof-rolling and undercutting activities should be witnessed by a representative of the geotechnical engineer and should be performed during a period of dry weather.

### **Demolition Considerations**

The project site is partially developed with an existing irrigation system. As a result, these irrigation systems may be present within the footprint area of the building area and planned pavements. The irrigation system and associated backfill and granular bedding material (if used) can provide avenues for groundwater to enter under the pavement subgrade. We recommend that all irrigation lines be completely removed from the proposed structure areas. Abandoned pipes which remain underground should be grouted.

Structures removed during demolition can create subsurface voids. It is important that all subsurface voids formed from the removal of the foundation system be backfilled completely with moisture conditioned, compacted, on-site soils/structural fill as described in this report. It is our experience that improperly backfilled excavations can cause significant differential settlement under and around the proposed structure. As an alternative to compact soil backfill, a flowable fill material may be considered. Flowable fill, or slurry, when properly designed provides a competent subgrade and can still be readily excavated if the utilities require repair or maintenance. In addition, flowable fill does not need to be placed in lifts, compacted, or tested for as-placed density.



### **Anticipated Borrow Areas**

Borings SB25, P1 and P2 were explored in the areas where excavations are anticipated to evaluate the on-site materials as structural fill. The materials encountered in these borings are clayey sand to sandy lean with liquid limit in the range of 23 to 34 and plasticity index in the range of 10 to 18. It is our opinion that these materials are acceptable as structural fill material.

## Fill Material Types

Structural fill is material used below, within 5 feet of structures, or for constructed slopes. Earthen materials used as structural fill material and on-site soils should meet the following material property requirements:

Soil Type <sup>1</sup>	USCS Classification	Required Parameters (for Structural Fill)
Structural Fill	CL, SC	Structural Building Pads and Pavement Locations: Clean soil (free of deleterious material and debris) without rock greater than 4 inches in maximum dimension and with liquid limits (LL) less than 35, plasticity index (PI) less than 20. Site materials encountered in the borings generally meet these requirements.
<ol> <li>Soils should consist of approved materials free of organic matter, debris and rocks greater than 4 inches in maximum dimensions. Frozen material should not be used, and fill should not be placed on a frozen subgrade. The sample of structural fill type should be submitted to the Geotechnical Engineer for evaluation prior to use on this site.</li> </ol>		

## **Fill Compaction Requirements**

The recommended compaction criteria are presented in the following table. We recommend that all fills be tested for moisture content and compaction during placement. Should the results of the in-place density tests indicate the specified moisture or compaction limits have not been met, the area represented by the test should be reworked and retested as required until the specified moisture and compaction requirements are achieved.

Item	Compaction Criteria	
Subgrade preparation to receive fill	Surface scarified to a minimum depth of 6 inches and compacted to criteria below	
Lift thickness	Loose lift thickness of 9-inch or less	
Building and Pavement Areas: On- site soils or Structural Fill	A minimum of 95% of the maximum standard Proctor dry density (ASTM D 698) and within 2 percent of optimum moisture content.	
Asphaltic Concrete Pavement Locations: Aggregate Base	A minimum of 95% of the maximum standard Proctor dry density (ASTM D 698) and within 2 percent of optimum moisture content.	

6



#### **Building Pad Area Subgrade Preparation**

We recommend that onsite soils or approved structural fill materials are utilized and processed in accordance with **Fill Compaction Requirements** section. The following subgrade preparation recommendations should be performed for the proposed structures prior to foundation construction:

- After completing stripping operations, excavate at least 1 foot of the on-site soil below existing grades within the building areas. Organic and inorganic soils should be stockpiled separately for potential reuse. The building area is defined as the area that extends at least 5 feet (horizontal) beyond the perimeter of the foundation.
- After removing 1 foot of on-site soil, the exposed subgrade should be proof-rolled with a minimum 20-ton roller to evidence any weak yielding zones. A Terracon geotechnical engineer or their representative should be present to observe proofrolling operations.
- Over-excavate any confirmed weak yielding zones, both vertically and horizontally, to expose competent soil. The upper 12 inches of the exposed competent soil should be moisture conditioned within 2 percentage points of the optimum moisture content and then compacted to at least 95 percent of the maximum dry density determined in accordance with ASTM D 698.
- Moisture condition and compact the on-site soils or structural fill material to achieve design grade within building pad areas.

#### **Grading and Drainage**

All grades must provide effective drainage away from the structures during and after construction and should be maintained throughout the life of the structure. The roof should have gutters/drains with downspouts that discharge into stormwater collection system or onto splash blocks at a distance of at least 10 feet from the structures.

Exposed ground should be sloped and maintained at a minimum 5% away from the structures for at least 10 feet beyond the perimeter of the structures. Locally, flatter grades may be necessary to transition ADA access requirements for flatwork. After structures construction and landscaping have been completed, final grades should be verified to document effective drainage has been achieved. Grades around the structure should also be periodically inspected and adjusted, as necessary, as part of the structure's maintenance program. Where flatwork abuts the structure, a maintenance program should be established to effectively seal and maintain joints and prevent surface water infiltration.



Planters located adjacent to the structure should preferably be self-contained, or at least designed to drain away from the building. Sprinkler mains should be located a minimum of 5 feet away from the building lines. If heads must be located adjacent to the structure, then service lines off the main should be provided. Roof drains should discharge on pavement or be extended away from the structure.

Care should be taken that utility trenches are not left open for extended periods and they are properly backfilled. Backfilling should be accomplished with properly compacted on-site soils, rather than granular materials. A positive cut-off at the building line is recommended to help prevent water from migrating in the utility trench backfill.

#### **Earthwork Construction Considerations**

It is anticipated that excavations in the overburden soils for the proposed construction can be accomplished with conventional earthmoving equipment. Based upon the subsurface conditions determined from the geotechnical exploration, subgrade soils exposed during construction are anticipated to be relatively stable. However, the stability of the subgrade may be affected by precipitation, repetitive construction traffic, closeness to the groundwater seepage or other factors. If unstable conditions develop, workability may be improved by scarifying and drying.

As a minimum, all temporary excavations should be sloped or braced as required by Occupational Health and Safety Administration (OSHA) regulations to provide stability and safe working conditions. Temporary excavations will probably be required during grading operations. The grading contractor, by his contract, is responsible for designing and constructing stable, temporary excavations and should shore, slope or bench the sides of the excavations as required, to maintain stability of both the excavation sides and bottom. All excavations should comply with applicable local, state and federal safety regulations, including the current OSHA Excavation and Trench Safety Standards.

Upon completion of filling and grading, care should be taken to maintain the subgrade moisture content prior to construction of floor slabs and pavements. Construction traffic over the completed subgrade should be avoided to the extent practical. The site should also be graded to prevent ponding of surface water on the prepared subgrades or in excavations. If the subgrade should become frozen, desiccated, saturated, or disturbed, the affected material should be removed, or these materials should be scarified, moisture conditioned, and compacted again prior to floor slab and pavement construction.

The geotechnical engineer or a representative should be retained during the construction phase of the project to observe earthwork and to perform necessary tests and observations during subgrade preparation; proof-rolling; placement and compaction of controlled compacted fills; backfilling of excavations into the completed subgrade, and just prior to construction of building floor slabs.



## **Construction Observation and Testing**

The earthwork efforts should be monitored under the direction of the Geotechnical Engineer. Monitoring should include documentation of adequate removal of vegetation and topsoil, proofrolling, and mitigation of areas delineated by the proof-roll to require mitigation.

Each lift of compacted fill should be tested, evaluated, and reworked as necessary until approved by the Geotechnical Engineer prior to placement of additional lifts. Each lift of fill should be tested for density and water content at a frequency of at least one test for every 2,500 square feet of compacted fill in the building areas and 5,000 square feet in pavement areas. One density and water content test for every 50 linear feet of compacted utility trench backfill.

In areas of foundation excavations, the bearing subgrade should be evaluated under the direction of the Geotechnical Engineer. In the event that unanticipated conditions are encountered, the Geotechnical Engineer should prescribe mitigation options.

In addition to the documentation of the essential parameters necessary for construction, the continuation of the Geotechnical Engineer into the construction phase of the project provides the continuity to maintain the Geotechnical Engineer's evaluation of subsurface conditions, including assessing variations and associated design changes.

# SHALLOW FOUNDATIONS

If the site has been prepared in accordance with the requirements specified in **Earthwork**, section, the following design parameters are applicable for the proposed project.

### **Design Parameters – Compressive Loads**

Item	Description
Maximum Net Allowable Bearing pressure <sup>1, 2</sup>	2,000 psf
Minimum Foundation Dimensions	Columns: 30 inches Continuous: 18 inches
Ultimate Coefficient of Sliding Friction	0.35
Minimum Embedment Below Finished Grade $^3$	24 inches
Estimated Total Movements from Structural Loads <sup>2</sup>	1 inch or less
Ultimate Passive Pressure <sup>4</sup>	275 psf/ft (triangular distribution)

#### **Draft Geotechnical Engineering Report**

Armadillo Food Manufacturing Facility Lubbock, Texas February 24, 2022 Terracon Project No. AR215097



Item	Description
<ol> <li>The maximum net allowable bearing pressure is the proverburden pressure at the footing base elevation. An approximate that exterior grades are no steeper than 20% with</li> </ol>	ressure in excess of the minimum surrounding propriate factor of safety has been applied. Values hin 10 feet of structure.

- 2. Values provided are for maximum 100-kips column load or 10 kip per linear foot continuous footing load.
- 3. Unsuitable or soft soils should be over-excavated and replaced per the recommendations presented in the Earthwork.
- 4. The sides of the excavation for the spread footings must be nearly vertical and the concrete should be placed neat against these vertical faces for the passive earth pressure values to be valid. If the loaded side is sloped or benched, and then backfilled, the allowable passive pressure will be reduced. Passive resistance in the upper 2 feet of the soil profile should be neglected.

#### **Ground Improvement**

In order to mobilize higher bearing capacity and maintain settlement to acceptable levels, use of ground improvement methods may be considered. Design of ground improvement system is typically proprietary to a specialty design-build contractor to provide a cost-effective foundation solution to support settlement sensitive structures while providing increased allowable bearing. Design of the RAP system should be performed by the specialty contractor using the soils information collected during the course of this investigation. The use of ground improvement systems can commonly increase bearing capacity to above 5,000 psf.

#### **Uplift Considerations**

Uplift resistance of spread footings can be developed from the effective weight of the footing and the overlying soils. The effective weight of the soil prism defined by diagonal planes extending up from the top of the perimeter of the foundation to the ground surface at an angle of 20 degrees from the vertical can be included in uplift resistance. The maximum allowable uplift capacity should be taken as a sum of the effective weight of soil plus the dead weight of the foundation, divided by an appropriate factor of safety. A maximum total unit weight of 120 pcf could be used for compacted granular backfill at this site, respectively. This unit weight should be reduced to 55 pcf for portions of the backfill or natural soils below the design groundwater elevation (although not anticipated for this project).

Foundations subjected to overturning loads should be proportioned such that the resultant eccentricity is maintained in the center-third of the foundation (e.g., e < b/6). This requirement is intended to keep the entire foundation area in compression during the extreme lateral/overturning load event. Foundation oversizing could be necessary to satisfy this requirement.

#### **Foundation Construction Considerations**

As noted in **Earthwork**, the footing excavations should be evaluated under the direction of the Geotechnical Engineer. The base of all foundation excavations should be free of water and loose soil prior to placing concrete. Concrete should be placed soon after excavating to reduce bearing


soil disturbance. Care should be taken to prevent wetting or drying of the bearing materials during construction. Excessively wet or dry material or any loose/disturbed material in the bottom of the footing excavations represent unsuitable conditions and should be corrected before foundation concrete is placed.

If unsuitable bearing soils are encountered at the base of the planned footing excavation, the excavation should be extended deeper to suitable soils, and the footings could bear directly on these soils at the lower level or on lean concrete backfill placed in the excavations. This is illustrated on the sketch below. Lean concrete used as excavation replacement material should not be included as part of the foundation mass to estimate eccentricity/overturning resistance.



Over-excavation for structural fill placement below footings should be conducted as shown below. The over-excavation should be backfilled up to the footing base elevation, with approved on-site soils or imported fill, as recommended in the **Earthwork** section.



## Draft Geotechnical Engineering Report

Armadillo Food Manufacturing Facility Lubbock, Texas February 24, 2022 Terracon Project No. AR215097



# **DEEP FOUNDATIONS**

## **Drilled Shaft Design Parameters**

As an alternative to shallow foundations bearing on rammed aggregate piers, the main processing and dryer building structures can be supported by drilled straight shafts. The drilled, straight-shaft foundation system should be designed to resist both horizontal and vertical forces. Vertical downward forces can be resisted by the allowable end bearing pressure of the soils at the bottom of the drilled straight shaft. Vertical uplift forces can be resisted by the skin resistance, dead weight of the structure and its foundation. When foundation concrete is cast in direct contact with excavation sides, an allowable side friction value can also be used to resist vertical loads.

The allowable design criteria for utilization of a drilled straight-shaft foundation system for the proposed structure is presented in the tables below. The tables include the effective soil unit weights, the shear strength parameters, allowable end bearing pressure, and side friction values. Care should be exercised to utilize an appropriate loading condition in the analyses. The design parameters presented in the table below are applicable for the natural undisturbed soils. The capacities within the upper 4 feet of the on-site soils should be disregarded to account for surface effects and anticipated disturbance during foundation installation. Drilled straight-shaft foundations should extend at least 2 feet or one-half the shaft diameter, whichever is greater, into the desired bearing stratum in order to use the recommended allowable end bearing pressures. Drilled shafts should extend a minimum 10 feet.

Long-term settlement of the drilled straight-shaft foundation system, designed and constructed in accordance with the recommendations presented in this report, should be about one-half inch or less.

Table 1. Drilled Shaft Axial Design Summary for Dryer Building <sup>1</sup>						
Depth Below Existing Grade, ft Allowable Side Friction, psf <sup>2</sup> Net Allowable End Bearing, ks						
0-4	Ignore	Ignore				
4-15	560	5				
15-30	720	13				
30-55	1,500	20				
55-75	1,500	25				
75-100	1,500	30				

1. Design capacities are dependent upon the method of installation, and quality control parameters. The values provided are estimates and should be verified when installation protocols have been finalized.

2. Applicable for compressive loading only. Reduce to 2/3 of values shown for uplift loading. Effective weight of shaft can be added to uplift load capacity. The allowable skin friction values contain a safety factor of 2.

3. Shafts should extend at least one diameter into the bearing stratum for end bearing to be considered. The allowable end bearing pressure values contain a safety factor of 3.

12



Table 2. Drilled Shaft Axial Design Summary for Main Processing Building <sup>1</sup>					
Depth Below Existing Grade, ftAllowable Side Friction, psf 2Net Allowable End Bearing, ksf 3					
0-4	Ignore	Ignore			
4-10	520	4.4			
10-20	680	8			
20-35	1,300	20			

1. Design capacities are dependent upon the method of installation, and quality control parameters. The values provided are estimates and should be verified when installation protocols have been finalized.

2. Applicable for compressive loading only. Reduce to 2/3 of values shown for uplift loading. Effective weight of shaft can be added to uplift load capacity. The allowable skin friction values contain a safety factor of 2.

3. Shafts should extend at least one diameter into the bearing stratum for end bearing to be considered. The allowable end bearing pressure values contain a safety factor of 3.

## **Drilled Shaft Lateral Loading**

L-Pile parameters for lateral load analysis are shown in the following table. Default values of Strain Factor E50 and modulus, k can be used; our Geotechnical Engineer can provide these inputs if older versions of the analysis program are used by the design team.

Table 3. Drilled Shaft Lateral Design Summary for Dryer Building						
Depth Below Existing Grade, ft	Layer Description <sup>1</sup>	L-Pile Soil Model	L-Pile Soil Model Effective Unit Weight, psf pcf <sup>2</sup> Cohesion,		Angle of Internal Friction, degrees	
0-4	Lean Clay	Stiff Clay w/o free water	120	2,000	-	
4-15	Lean Clay	Stiff Clay w/o free water	125	2,400	-	
15-30	Lean Clay	Stiff Clay w/o free water	130	4,000	-	
30-55	Clayey Sand	Sand	130	-	36	
55-75	Clayey Sand	Sand	130	-	38	
75-100	Clayey Sand	Sand	130	-	38	

1. See Subsurface Profile in Geotechnical Characterization for more details on Layer Description.

2. Groundwater was not observed during our exploration and the effective unit weights provided are equal to the total unit weight.

#### Table 4. Drilled Shaft Lateral Design Summary for Main Processing Building

## **Draft Geotechnical Engineering Report**



Armadillo Food Manufacturing Facility 
Lubbock, Texas
February 24, 2022 
Terracon Project No. AR215097

Depth Below Existing Grade, ft	Layer Description <sup>1</sup>	L-Pile Soil Model	Effective Unit Weight, pcf <sup>2</sup>	Cohesion, psf	Angle of Internal Friction, degrees
0-4	Lean Clay	Stiff Clay w/o free water	120	2,000	-
4-10	Lean Clay	Stiff Clay w/o free water	120	2,400	-
10-20	Lean Clay	Stiff Clay w/o free water	130	4,000	-
20-35	Clayey Sand	Sand	130	-	38

1. See **Subsurface Profile** in **Geotechnical Characterization** for more details on Layer Description.

2. Groundwater was not observed during our exploration and the effective unit weights provided are equal to the total unit weight.

# **Drilled Shaft Construction Considerations**

The drilling contractor should be experienced in the subsurface conditions observed at the site, and the excavations should be performed with equipment capable of providing a clean bearing surface. The drilled straight-shaft foundation system should be installed in general accordance with the procedures presented in "Drilled Shafts: Construction Procedures and Design Methods," by Reese, L. C. and O'Neill, M. W., FHA Publication No. FHWA-IF-99-025, 1999 and "Standard Specification for the Construction of Drilled Piers", ACI Publication No. 336.1-01, 2001.

Drilling to design depths should be possible with a conventional heavy-duty single flight power auger. Temporary steel casing or drilling slurry may be required to properly drill and clean piers due to the granular nature of the subsurface soils. Drilled shaft concrete should be placed soon after completion of drilling and cleaning. Due to potential sloughing and raveling, foundation concrete quantities may exceed calculated geometric volumes. If casing is used for shaft construction, it should be withdrawn in a slow continuous manner maintaining a sufficient head of concrete to prevent the creation of voids in shaft concrete.

The successful completion of the drilled straight shafts will depend to a large extent on the suitability of the equipment and the operator's skills. The operation sequence should be scheduled so that the shaft excavation can be completed, reinforcing steel placed, and the concrete poured in a continuous, rapid, and orderly manner to minimize the time the excavation is open. Concrete should be placed as soon as practical and in all instances should be placed within the same day in order to use the side friction values recommended in this report.

The drilled shaft installation process should be performed under the direction of the Geotechnical Engineer. The Geotechnical Engineer should document the shaft installation process including soil and groundwater conditions encountered, consistency with expected conditions, and details of the installed shaft.



# FLOOR SLABS

For the light slab loads assumed, the slab performance will largely be a function of the onsite soils or fill materials quality, and the modulus of subgrade reaction is provided in the table below for materials selected and compacted as noted in **Earthwork** section. Slab deflection can be estimated based on the load. If anticipated loads result in excess settlement that are not tolerable, our Engineer can provide recommendations for improvement to the uppermost portion of the site subgrade or more strict control of site grading fill materials and compaction requirements.

# Floor Slab Design Parameters

Floor Slab Support <sup>1</sup>	Estimated Modulus of Subgrade Reaction <sup>2</sup>
Pad prepared with a minimum of 12 inch of approved on-site or imported soils placed and compacted in accordance with <b>Earthwork</b> section.	100 pounds per square inch per inch (psi/in) for point loads
Pad Prepared with a minimum of 3 feet of properly compacted structural fill and 8 inches of aggregate base	150 pounds per square inch per inch (psi/in) for point loads

1. Floor slabs should be structurally independent of building footings or walls to reduce the possibility of floor slab cracking caused by differential movements between the slab and foundation.

2. Modulus of subgrade reaction is an estimated value based upon our experience with the subgrade condition, the requirements noted in Earthwork section and the floor slab support as noted in this table. It is provided for point loads. For distributed slab loads on the clay soils at this site, the modulus of subgrade reaction can be estimates as:

k=150/b with units of psi/in, where b is the width of the loaded area measured in feet. Our engineer can provide refined estimates of k if provided the dimensions of the loaded area to calculate settlement.

The use of a vapor retarder should be considered beneath concrete slabs on grade covered with wood, tile, carpet, or other moisture sensitive or impervious coverings, when the project has humidity controlled areas, or when the slab will support equipment sensitive to moisture. When conditions warrant the use of a vapor retarder, the slab designer should refer to ACI 302 and/or ACI 360 for procedures and cautions regarding the use and placement of a vapor retarder.

Where floor slabs are tied to perimeter walls or turn-down slabs to meet structural or other construction objectives, our experience indicates differential movement between the walls and slabs will likely be observed in adjacent slab expansion joints or floor slab cracks beyond the length of the structural dowels. The Structural Engineer should account for potential differential settlement through use of sufficient control joints, appropriate reinforcing or other means.

# Floor Slab Construction Considerations

Finished subgrade, within and at least 5 feet beyond the floor slab, should be protected from traffic, rutting, or other disturbance and maintained in a relatively moist condition until floor slabs are

**Draft Geotechnical Engineering Report** Armadillo Food Manufacturing Facility Lubbock, Texas February 24, 2022 Terracon Project No. AR215097



constructed. If the subgrade should become damaged or desiccated prior to construction of floor slabs, the affected material should be removed, and structural fill should be added to replace the resulting excavation. Final conditioning of the finished subgrade should be performed immediately prior to placement of the floor slab support course.

The Geotechnical Engineer should approve the condition of the floor slab subgrades immediately prior to placement of the floor slab support course, reinforcing steel, and concrete. Attention should be paid to high traffic areas that were rutted and disturbed earlier, and to areas where backfilled trenches are located.

# LATERAL EARTH PRESSURE

Structures with unbalanced backfill levels on opposite sides should be designed for earth pressures at least equal to values indicated in the following table. Earth pressures will be influenced by structural design of the walls, conditions of wall restraint, methods of construction and/or compaction and the strength of the materials being restrained. Two wall restraint conditions are shown. Active earth pressure is commonly used for design of free-standing cantilever retaining walls and assumes wall movement. The "at-rest" condition assumes no wall movement and is commonly used for basement walls, loading dock walls, or other walls restrained at the top. The recommended design lateral earth pressures do not include a factor of safety and do not provide for possible hydrostatic pressure on the walls (unless stated).



Lateral Earth Pressure Design Parameters				
Earth Pressure	Coefficient for	Surcharge	Effective Fluid Pressures (psf) <sup>2, 4, 5</sup>	
Condition <sup>1</sup>	Backfill Type <sup>2</sup>	pressure p₁ (psf)	Unsaturated <sup>6</sup>	Submerged <sup>6</sup>
Active (Ka)	Granular - 0.31	(0.31)S	(40)H	(80)H
	Fine Grained - 0.42	(0.42)S	(50)H	(85)H
At-Rest (Ko)	Granular - 0.47	(0.47)S	(55)H	(90)H
	Fine Grained - 0.58	(0.58)S	(70)H	(95)H

## Draft Geotechnical Engineering Report



Armadillo Food Manufacturing Facility 
Lubbock, Texas
February 24, 2022 
Terracon Project No. AR215097

Lateral Earth Pressure Design Parameters					
Earth Pressure	Earth Pressure Coefficient for Surcharge		Effective Fluid Pressures (psf) <sup>2, 4, 5</sup>		
Condition <sup>1</sup>	Backfill Type <sup>2</sup>	pressure p₁ (psf)	Unsaturated <sup>6</sup>	Submerged <sup>6</sup>	
	Granular - 3.25		(390)H	(250)H	
Passive (Kp)	Fine Grained - 2.29		(275)H	(205)H	
<ol> <li>For active earth pressure, wall must rotate about base, with top lateral movements 0.002 H to 0.004 H, where H is wall height. For passive earth pressure, wall must move horizontally to mobilize resistance.</li> </ol>					
<ol> <li>Uniform, horizontal backfill, compacted to at least 95 percent of the ASTM D 698 maximum dry density, rendering a maximum unit weight of 120 pcf.</li> </ol>					
3. Uniform surcharge, where S is surcharge pressure.					
1 Looding fr	1 Loading from books composition againment is not included				

- 4. Loading from heavy compaction equipment is not included.
- 5. No safety factor is included in these values.

 In order to achieve "Unsaturated" conditions, follow guidelines in Subsurface Drainage for Below Grade Walls below. "Submerged" conditions are recommended when drainage behind walls is not incorporated into the design.

Backfill placed against structures should consist of granular soils or low plasticity cohesive soils. For the granular values to be valid, the granular backfill must extend out and up from the base of the wall at an angle of at least 45 and 60 degrees from vertical for the active and passive cases, respectively.

# Subsurface Drainage for Below Grade Walls

A perforated rigid plastic drain line installed behind the base of walls and extends below adjacent grade is recommended to prevent hydrostatic loading on the walls. The invert of a drain line around a below-grade building area or exterior retaining wall should be placed near foundation bearing level. The drain line should be sloped to provide positive gravity drainage to daylight or to a sump pit and pump. The drain line should be surrounded by clean, free-draining granular material having less than 5 percent passing the No. 200 sieve, such as No. 57 aggregate (ASTM C33). The free-draining aggregate should be encapsulated in a filter fabric. The granular fill should extend to within 2 feet of final grade, where it should be capped with compacted cohesive fill to reduce infiltration of surface water into the drain system.

## **Draft Geotechnical Engineering Report**

**Terracon** GeoReport

Armadillo Food Manufacturing Facility - Lubbock, Texas February 24, 2022 - Terracon Project No. AR215097



As an alternative to free-draining granular fill, a pre-fabricated drainage structure may be used. A pre-fabricated drainage structure is a plastic drainage core or mesh which is covered with filter fabric to prevent soil intrusion and is fastened to the wall prior to placing backfill.

# SEISMIC CONSIDERATIONS

The seismic design requirements for buildings and other structures are based on Seismic Design Category. Site Classification is required to determine the Seismic Design Category for a structure. The Site Classification is based on the upper 100 feet of the site profile defined by a weighted average value of either shear wave velocity, standard penetration resistance, or undrained shear strength in accordance with Section 20.4 of ASCE 7 and the International Building Code (IBC). Based on the soil properties encountered at the site and as described on the exploration logs and results, it is our professional opinion that the **Seismic Site Classification is D**. Subsurface explorations at this site were extended to a maximum depth of 100 feet.

# **PAVEMENTS**

Both concrete and asphalt pavement design sections are anticipated to be required for the proposed project. Pavement thickness design is dependent upon:

- the anticipated traffic conditions during the life of the pavement
- subgrade and paving material characteristics

# **Pavement Subgrades**

Pavement subgrade should be prepared in accordance with the requirements in the **Earthwork** section. A soil sample from boring BP15 was collected from 0.5 to 1.5 feet below ground surface and tested for the CBR-Value. Results indicated that the CBR-Value of the tested sample is 3.1.

18



This value corresponds to a subgrade Resilient Modulus (Mr) of about 5,275 psi (pounds per square inch) for use for flexible pavement design and k value of 110 pci for use in the rigid pavement design.

Site grading is generally accomplished early in the construction phase. However, as construction proceeds, the subgrade may be disturbed due to utility excavations, construction traffic, desiccation, or rainfall. As a result, the pavement subgrade may not be suitable for pavement construction and corrective action will be required. The subgrade should be carefully evaluated at the time of pavement construction for signs of disturbance or excessive rutting. If disturbance has occurred, pavement subgrade areas should be reworked, moisture conditioned, and properly compacted to the recommendations in this report immediately prior to paving.

If actual subgrade conditions differ from the soil conditions and characteristics described herein, we should be contacted to assess the construction conditions and review the pavement design recommendations.

# Design Traffic

The "Light-Duty" pavement section noted below is intended for passenger car parking area. The section labeled "Pavements Subjected to Occasional Truck Traffic" should be used in fire lanes.

Traffic levels provided by the client were converted into flexible AASHTO pavement 18-kip equivalent single axle loads (ESALs) for use in Portland Cement Concrete (PCC) design using AASHTO procedures. Traffic estimates were not provided for lower volume roadways; our analysis has assumed traffic levels associated with passenger vehicles and limited truck traffic. These traffic assumptions should be verified by the design team.

# **Pavement Section Thicknesses**

Hot Mix Asphaltic Concrete Design				
	Minimum Thickness (inches)			
Layer	Light Duty (30,000 ESALs)	Pavements Subjected to Occasional Truck Traffic (50,000 ESALs)		
Hot Mix Asphaltic Concrete 1,2	3	4		
Aggregate Base Course1,386		6		
Subgrade or Structural Fill 31212				

The following tables provide options for HMAC and PCC Sections:

1. All materials should meet the TxDOT 2014 Standard Specifications for Highway Construction. Aggregate base should meet Grade 1, 2 or 3, Type A, B or C Item 247 specifications.

2. A minimum 2-inch surface course should be used on HMAC pavements.

## **Draft Geotechnical Engineering Report**

Armadillo Food Manufacturing Facility 
Lubbock, Texas
February 24, 2022 
Terracon Project No. AR215097



Hot Mix Asphaltic Concrete Design				
Minimum Thickness (inches)				
Layer Light Duty		Pavements Subjected to Occasional		
(30,000 ESALs) Truck Traffic (50,000 ESALs)				
3. Aggregate base, subgrade and structural fill should be prepared in accordance with the requirements in the				

3. Aggregate base, subgrade and structural fill should be prepared in accordance with the requirements in th Earthwork section.

Portland Cement Concrete Design			
Minimum Thickness (inches)			
Layer	Heavy Duty (5.3 Million ESALs)		
Portland Cement Concrete <sup>1</sup>	10		
Subgrade or Structural Fill <sup>2</sup> 12			

1. All materials should meet the TxDOT 2014 Standard Specifications for Highway Construction.

2. Subgrade or structural fill should be prepared in accordance with the requirements in the Earthwork section.

Edge restraints (i.e. concrete curbs or aggregate shoulders) should be planned along curves and areas of maneuvering vehicles. A maintenance program including surface sealing, joint cleaning and sealing, and timely repair of cracks and deteriorated areas will increase the pavement's service life. As an option, thicker sections could be constructed to decrease future maintenance.

Openings in pavements, such as decorative landscaped areas, are sources for water infiltration into surrounding pavement systems. Water can collect in the islands and migrate into the surrounding subgrade soils thereby degrading support of the pavement. This is especially applicable for islands with raised concrete curbs, irrigated foliage, and low permeability near-surface soils. The civil design for the pavements with these conditions should include features to restrict or collect and discharge excess water from the islands. Examples of features are edge drains connected to the storm water collection system, longitudinal subdrains, or other suitable outlets and impermeable barriers preventing lateral migration of water such as a cutoff wall installed to a depth below the pavement structure.

Dishing in parking lots surfaced with HMAC is usually observed in frequently-used parking stalls (such as near the front of buildings) and occurs under the wheel footprint in these stalls. The use of higher-grade asphaltic cement, or surfacing these areas with PCC, should be considered. The dishing is exacerbated by factors such as irrigated islands or planter areas, sheet surface drainage to the front of structures, and placing the HMAC directly on a compacted clay subgrade.

PCC pavement details for joint spacing, joint reinforcement, and joint sealing should be prepared in accordance with ACI 330 and ACI 325. PCC pavements should be provided with mechanically reinforced joints in accordance with ACI 330.



# **Pavement Drainage**

Pavements should be sloped to provide rapid drainage of surface water. Water allowed to pond on or adjacent to the pavements could saturate the subgrade and contribute to premature pavement deterioration. In addition, the pavement subgrade should be graded to provide positive drainage within the granular base section. Appropriate sub-drainage or connection to a suitable daylight outlet should be provided to remove water from the granular subbase.

Based on the possibility of shallow and/or perched groundwater, we recommend installing a pavement subdrain system to control groundwater, improve stability, and improve long-term pavement performance.

## **Pavement Maintenance**

The pavement sections represent minimum recommended thicknesses and, as such, periodic maintenance should be anticipated. Therefore, preventive maintenance should be planned and provided for through an on-going pavement management program. Maintenance activities are intended to slow the rate of pavement deterioration and to preserve the pavement investment. Maintenance consists of both localized maintenance (e.g., crack and joint sealing and patching) and global maintenance (e.g., surface sealing). Preventive maintenance is usually the priority when implementing a pavement maintenance program. Additional engineering observation is recommended to determine the type and extent of a cost-effective program. Even with periodic maintenance, some movements and related cracking may still occur, and repairs may be required.

Pavement performance is affected by its surroundings. In addition to providing preventive maintenance, the civil engineer should consider the following recommendations in the design and layout of pavements:

- Final grade adjacent to paved areas should slope down from the edges at a minimum 2%.
- Subgrade and pavement surfaces should have a minimum 2% slope to promote proper surface drainage.
- Install below pavement drainage systems surrounding areas anticipated for frequent wetting.
- Install joint sealant and seal cracks immediately.
- Seal all landscaped areas in or adjacent to pavements to reduce moisture migration to subgrade soils.
- Place compacted, low permeability backfill against the exterior side of curb and gutter.
- Place curb, gutter and/or sidewalk directly on clay subgrade soils rather than on unbound granular base course materials.

## **Draft Geotechnical Engineering Report**

Armadillo Food Manufacturing Facility 
Lubbock, Texas
February 24, 2022 
Terracon Project No. AR215097



# **GENERAL COMMENTS**

Our analysis and opinions are based upon our understanding of the project, the geotechnical conditions in the area and the data obtained from our site exploration. Natural variations will occur between exploration point locations or due to the modifying effects of construction or weather. The nature and extent of such variations may not become evident until during or after construction. Terracon should be retained as the Geotechnical Engineer, where noted in this report, to provide observation and testing services during pertinent construction phases. If variations appear, we can provide further evaluation and supplemental recommendations. If variations are noted in the absence of our observation and testing services on-site, we should be immediately notified so that we can provide evaluation and supplemental recommendations.

Our Scope of Services does not include either specifically or by implication any environmental or biological (e.g., mold, fungi, bacteria) assessment of the site or identification or prevention of pollutants, hazardous materials or conditions. If the owner is concerned about the potential for such contamination or pollution, other studies should be undertaken.

Our services and any correspondence or collaboration through this system are intended for the sole benefit and exclusive use of our client for specific application to the project discussed and are accomplished in accordance with generally accepted geotechnical engineering practices with no third-party beneficiaries intended. Any third-party access to services or correspondence is solely for information purposes to support the services provided by Terracon to our client. Reliance upon the services and any work product is limited to our client and is not intended for third parties. Any use or reliance of the provided information by third parties is done solely at their own risk. No warranties, either express or implied, are intended or made. Site characteristics as provided are for design purposes and not to estimate excavation cost. Any use of our report in that regard is done at the sole risk of the excavating cost estimator as there may be variations on the site that are not apparent in the data that could significantly impact excavation cost. Any parties charged with estimating excavation costs should seek their own site characterization for specific purposes to obtain the specific level of detail necessary for costing. Site safety and cost estimating including excavation support and dewatering requirements/design are the responsibility of others. If changes in the nature, design, or location of the project are planned, our conclusions and recommendations shall not be considered valid unless we review the changes and either verify or modify our conclusions in writing.

# ATTACHMENTS

Responsive Resourceful Reliable



# **EXPLORATION AND TESTING PROCEDURES**

Number of Borings	Boring Depth (feet) <sup>1</sup>	Location
2	98.5	Proposed Dryer Area
7	34 to 34.5	Proposed Warehouse, Office, and Processing Areas
4	33.5 to 34.5	Proposed Silos Area
4	34 to 35	Proposed Wastewater Treatment Plant Area
1	34	Proposed Lift Station Area
6	10.5 to 11.5	Proposed Pavement areas
3	10 to 11.5	Proposed Detention Pond Area
7	21.5	Proposed Pavement Area
1. Below ground su	urface.	

# **Field Exploration**

**Boring Layout:** Unless otherwise noted, Terracon personnel provided the boring layout. Coordinates were obtained with a handheld GPS unit (estimated horizontal accuracy of about ±10 feet).

**Subsurface Exploration Procedures:** Our soil sampling was conducted in general accordance with the Standard Method for Penetration Test and Split-Barrel Sampling of Soils (ASTM D1586). In the split-barrel sampling procedure, a standard, 2-inch O.D., split-barrel sampling spoon is driven into the boring with a 140-pound automatic SPT (Standard Penetration Test) hammer falling 30 inches. Our field personnel recorded the number of hammer blows required to advance the sampling spoon the last 12 inches of an 18-inch sampling interval as the SPT N-value. The N-values are recorded on the field boring logs. The soil samples obtained from the split-barrel sampler were visually classified and packaged for transportation to our laboratory. A 3-inch O.D. thin-walled tube was also used for sampling in various depth and boring locations as indicated in the boring logs.

The sampling depths and other sampling information was recorded on the field boring logs. The samples were placed in appropriate containers and taken to our soil laboratory for testing and classification by a Geotechnical Engineer. The exploration team prepared field boring logs as part of the drilling operations. These field logs included visual classifications of the materials encountered during drilling and our interpretation of the subsurface conditions between samples. Final boring logs were prepared from the field logs. The final boring logs represent the Geotechnical Engineer's interpretation of the field logs and include modifications based on observations and tests of the samples in our laboratory.

# Laboratory Testing

Samples retrieved during the field exploration were taken to the laboratory for further observation by the project geotechnical engineer and were classified in accordance with the Unified Soil Classification System (USCS). At that time, the field descriptions were confirmed or modified as necessary and an applicable laboratory testing program was formulated to determine engineering properties of the subsurface materials.

## SITE LOCATION

Armadillo Food Manufacturing Facility Lubbock, Texas February 24, 2022 Terracon Project No. AR215097



Laboratory tests were conducted on selected soil samples and the test results are presented in the attachments. The laboratory test results were used for the development of foundation and earthwork recommendations. Laboratory tests were performed in general accordance with the applicable ASTM, local or other accepted standards.

Selected soil samples obtained from the site were tested for the following engineering properties:

- Water content
- Atterberg limits
- Grain size analysis
- Standard Proctor
- California Bearing Value (CBR)
- Corrosivity Analyses

Final boring logs that were prepared represented the Geotechnical Engineer's interpretation of the field logs and include modifications based on observations and tests of the samples in our laboratory.

### SITE LOCATION

Armadillo Food Manufacturing Facility Lubbock, Texas February 24, 2022 Terracon Project No. AR215097





DIAGRAM IS FOR GENERAL LOCATION ONLY, AND IS NOT INTENDED FOR CONSTRUCTION PURPOSES

MAP PROVIDED BY MICROSOFT BING MAPS

# **EXPLORATION RESULTS**

# **Contents:**

Boring Logs

Note: All attachments are one page unless noted above.

# SUPPORTING INFORMATION

## **Contents:**

Unified Soil Classification System General Notes to Log Terms

Note: All attachments are one page unless noted above.

## UNIFIED SOIL CLASSIFICATION SYSTEM

# Terracon GeoReport

				5	Soil Classification	
Criteria for Assigning Group Symbols and Group Names Using Laboratory Tests A					Group Name <sup>B</sup>	
		Clean Gravels:	Cu $\geq$ 4 and 1 $\leq$ Cc $\leq$ 3 <sup>E</sup>	GW	Well-graded gravel F	
	Gravels: More than 50% of	Less than 5% fines <sup>C</sup>	Cu < 4 and/or [Cc<1 or Cc>3.0] <sup>E</sup>	GP	Poorly graded gravel <sup>F</sup>	
	coarse fraction	Gravels with Fines:	Fines classify as ML or MH	GM	Silty gravel <sup>F, G, H</sup>	
Coarse-Grained Soils:		More than 12% fines <sup>C</sup>	Fines classify as CL or CH	GC	Clayey gravel <sup>F, G, H</sup>	
on No. 200 sieve		Clean Sands:	$Cu \ge 6$ and $1 \le Cc \le 3^{E}$	SW	Well-graded sand	
	Sands: 50% or more of coarse fraction passes No. 4 sieve	Less than 5% fines D	Cu < 6 and/or [Cc<1 or Cc>3.0] <sup>E</sup>	SP	Poorly graded sand <sup>I</sup>	
		Sands with Fines: More than 12% fines D	Fines classify as ML or MH	SM	Silty sand <sup>G, H, I</sup>	
			Fines classify as CL or CH	SC	Clayey sand <sup>G, H, I</sup>	
	<b>Silts and Clays:</b> Liquid limit less than 50	Inorgania	PI > 7 and plots on or above "A"	CL	Lean clay <sup>K, L, M</sup>	
		inorganic:	PI < 4 or plots below "A" line J	ML	Silt <sup>K</sup> , L, M	
		Organic:	Liquid limit - oven dried		Organic clay <sup>K, L, M, N</sup>	
Fine-Grained Soils:			Liquid limit - not dried	0L	Organic silt <sup>K</sup> , L, M, O	
No. 200 sieve		Inorganic	PI plots on or above "A" line	СН	Fat clay <sup>K, L, M</sup>	
	Silts and Clays:	norganic.	PI plots below "A" line	МН	Elastic Silt <sup>K, L, M</sup>	
	Liquid limit 50 or more	Organia	Liquid limit - oven dried	ОН	Organic clay <sup>K, L, M, P</sup>	
		organio.	Liquid limit - not dried	011	Organic silt <sup>K</sup> , L, M, Q	
Highly organic soils:	Primarily	organic matter, dark in co	olor, and organic odor	PT	Peat	

A Based on the material passing the 3-inch (75-mm) sieve.

<sup>B</sup> If field sample contained cobbles or boulders, or both, add "with cobbles or boulders, or both" to group name.

- <sup>C</sup> Gravels with 5 to 12% fines require dual symbols: GW-GM well-graded gravel with silt, GW-GC well-graded gravel with clay, GP-GM poorly graded gravel with silt, GP-GC poorly graded gravel with clay.
- <sup>D</sup> Sands with 5 to 12% fines require dual symbols: SW-SM well-graded sand with silt, SW-SC well-graded sand with clay, SP-SM poorly graded sand with silt, SP-SC poorly graded sand with clay.

$$Cc = \frac{\left(D_{30}\right)^2}{D \times D}$$

 $E Cu = D_{60}/D_{10}$ 

D<sub>10</sub> x D<sub>60</sub>

F If soil contains  $\geq$  15% sand, add "with sand" to group name.

<sup>G</sup> If fines classify as CL-ML, use dual symbol GC-GM, or SC-SM.

- <sup>H</sup> If fines are organic, add "with organic fines" to group name.
- If soil contains  $\geq$  15% gravel, add "with gravel" to group name.
- <sup>J</sup> If Atterberg limits plot in shaded area, soil is a CL-ML, silty clay.
- <sup>K</sup> If soil contains 15 to 29% plus No. 200, add "with sand" or "with gravel," whichever is predominant.
- L If soil contains ≥ 30% plus No. 200 predominantly sand, add "sandy" to group name.
- <sup>M</sup>If soil contains  $\geq$  30% plus No. 200, predominantly gravel, add "gravelly" to group name.
- $^{N}$  PI  $\geq$  4 and plots on or above "A" line.
- <sup>O</sup> PI < 4 or plots below "A" line.
- P PI plots on or above "A" line.
- <sup>Q</sup>PI plots below "A" line.



## **GENERAL NOTES TO LOG TERMS**



SAMPLING	WATER LEVEL		FIELD TESTS
Auger Cuttings       Rock Core         Image: Cuttings       Shelby Tube         Image: Category       Texas Cone Penetration         Image: Category       Texas Cone Penetrometer         Image: Category       Category         Image: Category       Category	<ul> <li>Water Initially Encountered</li> <li>Water Level After a Specified Period of Time</li> <li>Water Level After a Specified Period of Time</li> <li>Water levels indicated on the soil boring logs are the levels measured in the borehole at the times indicated.</li> <li>Groundwater level variations will occur over time. In low permeability soils, accurate determination for groundwater levels is not possible with short term water level observations.</li> </ul>	N (HP)	Standard Penetration Test Resistance (Blows/Ft) Hand Penetrometer
	DESCRIPTIVE SOIL CLASSIFICATION		

Soil classification is based on the Unified Soil Classification System. Coarse Grained Soils have more than 50% of their dry weight retained on a #200 sieve; their principal descriptors are: boulders, cobbles, gravel, or sand. Fine Grained Soils have less than 50% of their dry weight retained on a #200 sieve; they are principally described as clays if they are plastic, and silts if they are slightly plastic or non-plastic. Major constituents may be added as modifiers and minor constituents may be added according to the relative proportions based on grain size. In addition to gradation, coarse-grained soils are defined on the basis of their in-place relative density and fine-grained soils on the basis of their consistency.

#### LOCATION AND ELEVATION NOTES

Unless otherwise noted, Latitude and Longitude are approximately determined using a hand-held GPS device. The accuracy of such devices is variable. Surface elevation data annotated with +/- indicates that no actual topographical survey was conducted to confirm the surface elevation. Instead, the surface elevation was approximately determined from topographic maps of the area.

	STRENGTH TERMS											
RELATIVE DENSITY OF C	COARSE-GRAINED SOILS		CONSISTENCY OF FINE-GRAINED SOILS									
				(50% or more passing the No	o. 200 sie	eve.)						
(More than 50% retair	ned on No. 200 sieve.)	Consistency	y deter	rmined by laboratory shear stre	ength tes	ting, field visual-manual						
Density determined by Stand	dard Penetration Resistance		procedures or standard penetration resistance									
Descriptive Term	Standard Penetration or	Descriptive Te	erm	Unconfined Compressive Strengt		Standard Penetration or						
(Density)	N-value	(Consistency	v)	Qu. (tsf)	longin	N-value						
(Denety)	Blows/Ft.	(conclosed)	,	Qu, (101)		Blows/Ft.						
Very Loose	0 - 3	Very Soft		Less than 0.25		0 – 1						
Loose	4 - 9	Soft		0.25 to 0.5		2 – 4						
Medium Dense	10 – 29	Medium Stiff	f	0.5 to 1.00		4 – 8						
Dense	30 - 50	Stiff		1.00 to 2.00		8 – 15						
Very Dense	>50	Very Stiff		2.00 to 4.00		15 – 30						
		Hard		>4.00		>30						
RELATIVE PROPO	RTIONS OF SAND AND G	RAVEL	AVEL RELATIVE PROPORTIONS OF FINES									
Descriptive Term(s) of	Percent o	f	I	Descriptive Term(s) of		Percent of						
other constituents	Dry Weigh	t		other constituents		Dry Weight						
Trace	<15			Trace		<5						
With	15 – 29			With		5 – 12						
Modifier	>30			Modifier		>12						
GRAIN	I SIZE TERMINOLOGY			PLASTICITY	DESCRI	PTION						
Major Component of Samp	le Particle Siz	ze 🛛		Term		Plasticity Index						
Boulders	Over 12 in. (300	) mm)		Non-plastic		0						
Cobbles	12 in. to 3 in. (300 mm	n to 75 mm)		Low		1 – 10						
Gravel	3 in. to #4 sieve (75mn	n to 4.75mm)		Medium		11 – 30						
Sand	#4 to #200 sieve (4.75m)	m to 0.075mm)		High		>30						
Silt or Clay	Passing #200 sieve	(0.075mm)										

# **Waste Migration Assessment**

## Geologic Setting

The Leprino Foods processing facility impoundments are in Lubbock, Lubbock County, Texas, in the High Plain's Level III Ecoregion. The climate in these areas is characterized by dry, arid conditions with a mean average temperature of 57 to 63 degrees F and mean annual precipitation of 16 to 21 inches. The project will be developed on an agricultural field composed primarily of Lofton clay loam. The parental material makeup of Lofton is clayey lacustrine deposits profiled with moderately well drained clay loams, clays and silty clays.

Surface elevations are approximately 3175 above sea level and the topographic gradient is to the north, northwest.

## Groundwater

A review of the two closest groundwater well drilling logs (#379718 and #220497) provided by the Texas Water Development Board (TWDB, 2022) indicated the uppermost water bearing unit is the Ogallala Formation approximately 80 feet below ground level. Upper lithology indicated approximately 4 feet of topsoil underlaid with caliche and clay 4 to 17 feet, sandy clay-sand-sandstone 17 to 40 feet. Hard caprock was encountered 40 to 45 feet presenting an additional restrictive layer before reaching the water bearing unit.

Migration of Wastes and Contamination Potential

The evaporation lagoons are lined with proposed 60 mil HDPE. A geomembrane is proposed below the liner to protect the liner from underlaying soils and rocks. An earthen berm has been constructed along the perimeter of the lagoons and a leak detection system has been installed. If there is a loss of containment of effluent wastewater and an impact on native soil, the upper 4 feet of topsoil coupled with the caliche and clay layers underneath, would drastically slow downward migration of effluent. Since the nearest surface water body is Canyon Lake #6, approximately 2 miles to the southwest it is unlikely that a release of effluent from the lagoons would reach this area.

Block 23-26-6

## Citations

Texas Commission on Environmental Quality. Water Well Report Viewer. Accessed on 08/12/2022 at the following website:

https://tceq.maps.arcgis.com/apps/webappviewer/index.html?id=aed10178f0434f2781daff19eb326fe2

Texas Water Development Board. Plotted Water Wells. Accessed on 08/12/2022 at the following website: <u>https://gisweb.tceq.texas.gov/waterwellpublicAGO/search.html?type=LR&wellGrid=23-26-3#</u>

**LEPRINO 000164** 

# **Evaporation Calculations**

Tech Report, Worksheet 3.1, 3a-3b, p. 40



Technology-Driven Wastewater Solutions. Your Partner. Today and Tomorrow.



www.probstgroup.com info@probstgroup.com



17035 W. Wisconsin Ave., Suite 120 Brookfield, WI 53005

# Leprino Foods Project Armadillo -Evaporation Pond Sizing

Leprino Foods Company (LFC) is planning to construct a dairy products processing plant in Lubbock, TX. As part of the production process, brine process wastewater is anticipated to be generated. Based on the proposed production volume, 80,000 gallons per day (GPD) of brine flow is anticipated on average.

LFC plans to send the brine flow to two (2) evaporation lagoons, where the water will be evaporated off leaving the salts behind as a solid. Two brine lagoons are planned for the site, each with the surface area and volume as shown below.

## Table 1.

		<u>Brine Ev</u>	aporation	Lagoon (EA	<u>CH)</u>	
			Volume		Cumulative	Cumulative
Stage	Elevation	Area (sf)	(cy)	Volume (gal)	Volume (CY)	Volume (gal)
1	3171	344,568	0	0	0	0
2	3172	354,025	9,431,006	2,577,369	9,431,006	2,577,369
3	3173	363,609	9,688,059	2,648,107	19,119,065	5,225,476
4	3174	373,321	9,948,555	2,719,795	29,067,620	7,945,271
5	3175	383,161	10,212,507	2,792,441	39,280,127	10,737,712
6	3176	393,129	10,479,915	2,866,044	49,760,042	13,603,756
7	3177	403,225	10,750,779	2,978,364	60,510,821	16,582,120

# Lagoon Sizing Information

In addition to natural evaporation, each lagoon will be equipped with one downdraft mechanical evaporator. These units can evaporate 13 gallons/minute (GPM) on average. While during parts of the year the evaporation may be more or less, for design purposes it was assumed that the average evaporation was achieved.

Based on these criteria, the lagoons were evaluated for evaporation capacity and storage in an average and critical scenario. Evaporation data was obtained from the Texas Water Development Board database, for section 406 which contains Lubbock.





# Table 2.

# Critical Sizing Evaluation

		CR	ITICAL EVAF	PORATION E	VALUATION			
Marsh	#	Average Daily Flow	Monthly Flow	Min Monthly Natural Evaporatio	Min Monthly Natural Evaporatio	Min Monthly Mechanical Evaporatio	Monthly Net Storage Required	Accumulate d Storage
IAN	# OF Days	(Galions) 80.000	2 480 000			386 880	2 093 1 20	2 480 000
FEB	28	80.000	2.240.000	0.27	135.726	349,440	1.754.834	4.234.834
MAR	31	80,000	2,480,000	1.24	623,332	386,880	1,469,788	5,704,622
APR	30	80,000	2,400,000	2.04	1,025,482	374,400	1,000,118	6,704,741
MAY	31	80,000	2,480,000	-0.08	-40,215	386,880	2,133,335	8,838,076
JUN	30	80,000	2,400,000	1.61	809,326	374,400	1,216,274	10,054,349
JUL	31	80,000	2,480,000	3.31	1,663,895	386,880	429,225	10,483,575
AUG	31	80,000	2,480,000	2.59	1,301,960	386,880	791,160	11,274,735
SEP	30	80,000	2,400,000	0.07	35,188	374,400	1,990,412	13,265,147
OCT	31	80,000	2,480,000	-0.85	-427,284	386,880	2,520,404	15,785,551
NOV	30	80,000	2,400,000	0.54	271,451	374,400	1,754,149	17,539,700
DEC	31	80,000	2,480,000	0.18	90,484	386,880	2,002,636	19,542,336
TOTAL				10.92			19,155,456	
				Requir	ed Storage (g	gallons)		19,542,336
Mechanical ae	eration assumes	8 hours per/d	ay operation					
				St	torage Provide	ed		33,164,240

The critical calculations show that in the event of a "wet" year, there is adequate storage capacity (13 million gallons) to handle the annual flow.





# Table 3.

# Average Sizing Evaluation

		AVERAGE EV	APORATION	EVALUATIO	DN .		
				٨٧٣	٨٧٣	Ava	Monthly
				Monthly	Monthly	Monthly	Not
		Average	Monthly	Natural	Natural	Mechanical	Storage
		Daily Flow	Flow	Evaporatio	Evaporatio	Evaporatio	Required
Month	# of Days	(Gallons)	(Gallons)	n (in)	n (Gallons)	n (Gallons)	(Gallons)
JAN	31	80,000	2,480,000	1.66	834,461	580,320	1,065,219
FEB	28	80,000	2,240,000	2.11	1,060,670	524,160	655,170
MAR	31	80,000	2,480,000	3.92	1,970,534	580,320	(70,854)
APR	30	80,000	2,400,000	4.9	2,463,167	561,600	(624,767)
MAY	31	80,000	2,480,000	3.83	1,925,292	580,320	(25,612)
JUN	30	80,000	2,400,000	5.26	2,644,134	561,600	(805,734)
JUL	31	80,000	2,480,000	6.49	3,262,440	580,320	(1,362,760)
AUG	31	80,000	2,480,000	5.73	2,880,397	580,320	(980,717)
SEP	30	80,000	2,400,000	3.61	1,814,701	561,600	23,699
ОСТ	31	80,000	2,480,000	3.39	1,704,109	580,320	195,571
NOV	30	80,000	2,400,000	2.75	1,382,390	561,600	456,010
DEC	31	80,000	2,480,000	1.83	919,918	580,320	979,762
TOTAL			29,200,000	45.48	22,862,212	6,832,800	-495,012
Average annual evap	oration is less	than zero, mea	ning there is	enough evapo	oration capaci	ty	
Mechanical aeration	assumes 12 hc	ours per/day or	peration				

The average design calculations show that there is enough surface area and mechanical evaporation capacity to evaporate the yearly average annual flow.





# PittBoss™ Composite

# **Electrical Specifications**

7.5 HP Motor
Operational on 60hz or 50Hz
3 Phase and Single Phase Options Available
1160 RPM
Variable Frequency Drive Control
65dB at 8 feet

# **Material Construction**

Down Draft Assembly = 304 Stainless Steel Inlet bell = Composite Impeller = Composite and Aluminum Frame = Composite Floats = High Density Polyethylene OSHA Compliant Fan Guards = Stainless Steel

# Weight and Dimensions

Evaporator Length = 154 Inches (392 Centimeters) Evaporator Width = 154 Inches (392 Centimeters) Evaporator Height = 105 Inches (267 Centimeters) Evaporator Weight (Dry) = 760lbs (344 Kilograms)

The Composite PittBoss evaporates 13 gallons per minute of water in the environment of 70°F ambient air 25% relative humidity, 50,000 total dissolved solids, and 55°F liquid temperature



No pump or water delivery system needed

	precip_mea	precip_med	procip min	nracin may	procip 10%	procip 00%	gross_evap	gross_evap	gross_evap	gross_evap	gross_evap	gross_evap	net_evap_	net_evap_	net_evap_	net_evap_	net_evap_1	net_evap_9
	n	ian	precip_min	precip_max	precip_10%	precip_90%	_mean	_median	_min	_max	_10%	_90%	mean	median	min	max	0%	0%
JAN	1.06	1.1	0	5.44	0.07	2.07	2.67	2.47	1.13	5.88	1.63	4.08	1.66	1.32	-2.02	5.87	0	3.74
FEB	1.13	0.94	0.01	4.16	0.19	2.23	3.2	3.19	1.67	5.45	2.04	4.76	2.11	2.1	-0.69	5.36	0.26	4.14
MAR	1.29	1.09	0.05	5.09	0.24	2.56	5.23	5.33	2.11	7.16	3.37	6.58	3.92	4.38	-1.07	6.89	1.2	6.06
APR	1.68	1.45	0	6.4	0.3	3.3	6.53	6.52	3.03	11.31	4.94	8.14	4.9	5.14	-1.47	11.31	1.99	7.79
MAY	3.04	2.89	0.26	10.84	1.02	5.02	6.8	6.62	2.56	10.65	5.14	9.03	3.83	3.98	-4.92	10.38	0.14	7.94
JUN	2.85	2.58	0.29	6.31	1.08	5.25	8.27	8.37	3.25	14.11	6.48	10.41	5.27	5.49	-2.17	13.36	1.59	8.22
JUL	2.45	2.21	0.12	9.97	0.72	4.02	8.93	8.91	4.18	13.98	6.95	10.65	6.5	6.97	-4.34	13.49	3.27	9.3
AUG	2.19	1.9	0.09	6.49	0.8	4.01	7.98	7.77	5.28	13.37	6.53	9.8	5.74	5.88	0.05	13.02	2.59	8.84
SEP	2.67	2.44	0.01	7.59	0.54	5.42	6.29	6.19	3.78	9.72	4.66	8.11	3.61	3.47	-2.29	9.17	-0.03	7.35
OCT	2.06	1.36	0	7.84	0.32	4.9	5.38	5.5	2.54	7.9	3.67	7.04	3.4	4.42	-2.71	6.81	-0.86	6.48
NOV	1.19	0.9	0	6.74	0.17	2.37	3.93	3.99	2.04	6.6	2.84	5.04	2.76	2.58	-3.83	6.49	0.51	4.92
DEC	1.08	0.89	0	3.25	0.18	2.22	2.89	2.89	0	6.2	1.83	4.19	1.83	1.88	-1.02	6.17	0.17	3.75

UNIT FOR ALL NUMBERS SHOWN IS INCHES

# **ATTACHMENT O. PRELIMINARY MODELING**

Tech Report, Worksheet 1.0, 2(a)

- ► TEXTOX Menu 4
- Screening Calculations for TDS, Chloride, and Sulfate
   Categorical Effluent Limits Analysis



#### **TEXTOX MENU #4 - LAKE OR RESERVOIR**

The water quality-based effluent limitations developed below are calculated using:

Table 1, 2014 Texas Surface Water Quality Standards (30 TAC 307) for Freshwater Aquatic Life Table 2, 2018 Texas Surface Water Quality Standards for Human Health "Procedures to Implement the Texas Surface Water Quality Standards," TCEQ, June 2010

#### PERMIT INFORMATION

Permittee Name:	Leprino Foods
TPDES Permit No:	New
Outfall No:	001
Prepared by:	L. Tischler
Date:	5/24/2022

#### DISCHARGE INFORMATION

Receiving Waterbody:	Canyon Lake - North Double Mountain Fork Brazos River
Segment No.:	1241A
TSS (mg/L):	9.9
pH (Standard Units):	7.7
Hardness (mg/L as CaCO₃):	473
Chloride (mg/L):	1400
Effluent Flow for Aquatic Life (MGD):	2
% Effluent for Chronic Aquatic Life (Mixing Zone):	15
% Effluent for Acute Aquatic Life (ZID):	60
Effluent Flow for Human Health (MGD):	2
% Effluent for Human Health:	8
Human Health Criterion (select: PWS, FISH, or INC)	PWS

#### CALCULATE DISSOLVED FRACTION (AND ENTER WATER EFFECT RATIO IF APPLICABLE):

				Partition	Dissolved		Water	
	Intercept			Coefficient	Fraction		Effect Ratio	
Lake/Reservoir Metal	(b)	Slope	(m)	(Кр)	(Cd/Ct)	Source	(WER)	Source
Aluminum	N/A		N/A	N/A	1.00	Assumed	1.00	Assumed
Arsenic	5.68	1	-0.73	89781.39	0.529		1.00	Assumed
Cadmium	6.55	1	-0.92	430542.10	0.190		1.00	Assumed
Chromium (total)	6.34		-0.27	1178090.08	0.079		1.00	Assumed
Chromium (trivalent)	6.34		-0.27	1178090.08	0.079		1.00	Assumed
Chromium (hexavalent)	N/A		N/A	N/A	1.00	Assumed	1.00	Assumed
Copper	6.45		-0.90	358037.34	0.220		1.00	Assumed
Lead	6.31		-0.53	605777.79	0.143		1.00	Assumed
Mercury	N/A		N/A	N/A	1.00	Assumed	1.00	Assumed
Nickel	6.34		-0.76	383104.50	0.209		1.00	Assumed
Selenium	N/A		N/A	N/A	1.00	Assumed	1.00	Assumed
Silver	6.38		-1.03	226201.64	0.309		1.00	Assumed
Zinc	6.52		-0.68	696575.30	0.127		1.00	Assumed

#### AQUATIC LIFE

CALCULATE DAILY AVERAGE AND DAILY MAXIMUM EFFLUENT LIMITATIONS:

	FW Acute Criterion	FW Chronic Criterion	WLAa	WLAc	LTAa	LTAc	Daily Avg.	Daily Max.
Parameter	(µg/L)	(µg/L)	(µg/L)	(µg/L)	(µg/L)	(µg/L)	(µg/L)	(µg/L)
Aldrin	3.0	N/A	5.00	N/A	1.60	N/A	2.35	4.97
Aluminum	991	N/A	1652	N/A	529	N/A	776	1643
Arsenic	340	150	1070	1889	343	1152	503	1065
Cadmium	38.79	0.722	340	25.3	109	15.5	22.7	48.0
Carbaryl	2.0	N/A	3.33	N/A	1.07	N/A	1.56	3.31
Chlordane	2.4	0.004	4.00	0.0267	1.28	0.0163	0.0239	0.0505
Chlorpyrifos	0.083	0.041	0.138	0.273	0.0443	0.167	0.0650	0.137
Chromium (trivalent)	2034	264.6	42933	22339	13739	13627	20031	42379
Chromium (hexavalent)	15.7	10.6	26.2	70.7	8.37	43.1	12.3	26.0
Copper	61.40	35.72	465	1082	149	660	218	462
Cyanide (free)	45.8	10.7	76.3	71.3	24.4	43.5	35.9	75.9
4,4'-DDT	1.1	0.001	1.83	0.00667	0.587	0.00407	0.00597	0.0126
Demeton	N/A	0.1	N/A	0.667	N/A	0.407	0.597	1.26
Diazinon	0.17	0.17	0.283	1.13	0.0907	0.691	0.133	0.281
Dicofol [Kelthane]	59.3	19.8	98.8	132	31.6	80.5	46.4	98.3

Dieldrin	0.24	0.002	0.400	0.0133	0.128	0.00813	0.0119	0.0252
Diuron	210	70	350	467	112	285	164	348
Endosulfan I ( <i>alpha</i> )	0.22	0.056	0.367	0.373	0.117	0.228	0.172	0.364
Endosulfan II (beta )	0.22	0.056	0.367	0.373	0.117	0.228	0.172	0.364
Endosulfan sulfate	0.22	0.056	0.367	0.373	0.117	0.228	0.172	0.364
Endrin	0.086	0.002	0.143	0.0133	0.0459	0.00813	0.0119	0.0252
Guthion [Azinphos Methyl]	N/A	0.01	N/A	0.0667	N/A	0.0407	0.0597	0.126
Heptachlor	0.52	0.004	0.867	0.0267	0.277	0.0163	0.0239	0.0505
Hexachlorocyclohexane (gamma ) [Lindane]	1.126	0.08	1.88	0.533	0.601	0.325	0.478	1.01
Lead	333.2	12.99	3886	606	1244	370	543	1149
Malathion	N/A	0.01	N/A	0.0667	N/A	0.0407	0.0597	0.126
Mercury	2.4	1.3	4.00	8.67	1.28	5.29	1.88	3.98
Methoxychlor	N/A	0.03	N/A	0.200	N/A	0.122	0.179	0.379
Mirex	N/A	0.001	N/A	0.00667	N/A	0.00407	0.00597	0.0126
Nickel	1743	193.6	13926	6187	4456	3774	5547	11737
Nonylphenol	28	6.6	46.7	44.0	14.9	26.8	21.9	46.4
Parathion (ethyl)	0.065	0.013	0.108	0.0867	0.0347	0.0529	0.0509	0.107
Pentachlorophenol	17.6	13.52	29.4	90.2	9.40	55.0	13.8	29.2
Phenanthrene	30	30	50.0	200	16.0	122	23.5	49.7
Polychlorinated Biphenyls [PCBs]	2.0	0.014	3.33	0.0933	1.07	0.0569	0.0836	0.177
Selenium	20	5	33.3	33.3	10.7	20.3	15.6	33.1
Silver	0.8	N/A	48.1	N/A	15.4	N/A	22.6	47.8
Toxaphene	0.78	0.0002	1.30	0.00133	0.416	0.000813	0.00119	0.00252
Tributyltin [TBT]	0.13	0.024	0.217	0.160	0.0693	0.0976	0.101	0.215
2,4,5 Trichlorophenol	136	64	227	427	72.5	260	106	225
Zinc	437.2	440.8	5753	23202	1841	14153	2706	5725

#### HUMAN HEALTH

CALCULATE DAILY AVERAGE AND DAILY MAXIMUM EFFLUENT LIMITATIONS:

	Water and	Fish Only	Incidental				
	Fish Criterion	Criterion	Fish Criterion	WLAh	LTAh	Daily Avg.	Daily Max.
Parameter	(µg/L)	(µg/L)	(µg/L)	(µg/L)	(µg/L)	(µg/L)	(µg/L)
Acrylonitrile	1.0	115	1150	12.5	11.6	17.0	36.1
Aldrin	1.146E-05	1.147E-05	1.147E-04	0.000143	0.000133	0.000195	0.000414
Anthracene	1109	1317	13170	13863	12892	18951	40094
Antimony	6	1071	10710	75.0	69.8	102	216
Arsenic	10	N/A	N/A	236	220	322	682
Barium	2000	N/A	N/A	25000	23250	34177	72307
Benzene	5	581	5810	62.5	58.1	85.4	180
Benzidine	0.0015	0.107	1.07	0.0188	0.0174	0.0256	0.0542
Benzo(a)anthracene	0.024	0.025	0.25	0.300	0.279	0.410	0.867
Benzo(a)pyrene	0.0025	0.0025	0.025	0.0313	0.0291	0.0427	0.0903
Bis(chloromethyl)ether	0.0024	0.2745	2.745	0.0300	0.0279	0.0410	0.0867
Bis(2-chloroethyl)ether	0.60	42.83	428.3	7.50	6.98	10.2	21.6
Bis(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate [Di(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate]	6	7.55	75.5	75.0	69.8	102	216
Bromodichloromethane [Dichlorobromomethane]	10.2	275	2750	128	119	174	368
Bromoform [Tribromomethane]	66.9	1060	10600	836	778	1143	2418
Cadmium	5	N/A	N/A	329	306	449	951
Carbon Tetrachloride	4.5	46	460	56.3	52.3	76.8	162
Chlordane	0.0025	0.0025	0.025	0.0313	0.0291	0.0427	0.0903
Chlorobenzene	100	2737	27370	1250	1163	1708	3615
Chlorodibromomethane [Dibromochloromethane]	7.5	183	1830	93.8	87.2	128	271
Chloroform [Trichloromethane]	70	7697	76970	875	814	1196	2530
Chromium (hexavalent)	62	502	5020	775	721	1059	2241
Chrysene	2.45	2.52	25.2	30.6	28.5	41.8	88.5
Cresols [Methylphenols]	1041	9301	93010	13013	12102	17789	37636
Cyanide (free)	200	N/A	N/A	2500	2325	3417	7230
4,4'-DDD	0.002	0.002	0.02	0.0250	0.0233	0.0341	0.0723
4,4'-DDE	0.00013	0.00013	0.0013	0.00163	0.00151	0.00222	0.00469
4,4'-DDT	0.0004	0.0004	0.004	0.00500	0.00465	0.00683	0.0144
2,4'-D	70	N/A	N/A	875	814	1196	2530
Danitol [Fenpropathrin]	262	473	4730	3275	3046	4477	9472
1,2-Dibromoethane [Ethylene Dibromide]	0.17	4.24	42.4	2.13	1.98	2.90	6.14
<i>m</i> -Dichlorobenzene [1,3-Dichlorobenzene]	322	595	5950	4025	3743	5502	11641
o-Dichlorobenzene [1,2-Dichlorobenzene]	600	3299	32990	7500	6975	10253	21692
<i>p</i> -Dichlorobenzene [1,4-Dichlorobenzene]	75	N/A	N/A	938	872	1281	2711

3,3'-Dichlorobenzidine	0.79	2.24	22.4	9.88	9.18	13.5	28.5
1,2-Dichloroethane	5	364	3640	62.5	58.1	85.4	180
1,1-Dichloroethylene [1,1-Dichloroethene]	7	55114	551140	87.5	81.4	119	253
Dichloromethane [Methylene Chloride]	5	13333	133330	62.5	58.1	85.4	180
1,2-Dichloropropane	5	259	2590	62.5	58.1	85.4	180
1,3-Dichloropropene [1,3-Dichloropropylene]	2.8	119	1190	35.0	32.6	47.8	101
Dicofol [Kelthane]	0.30	0.30	3	3.75	3.49	5.12	10.8
Dieldrin	2.0E-05	2.0E-05	2.0E-04	0.000250	0.000233	0.000341	0.000723
2,4-Dimethylphenol	444	8436	84360	5550	5162	7587	16052
Di-n -Butyl Phthalate	88.9	92.4	924	1111	1033	1519	3214
Dioxins/Furans [TCDD Equivalents]	7.80E-08	7.97E-08	7.97E-07	9.75E-07	9.07E-07	0.0000013	0.0000028
Endrin	0.02	0.02	0.2	0.250	0.233	0.341	0.723
Epichlorohydrin	53.5	2013	20130	669	622	914	1934
Ethylbenzene	700	1867	18670	8750	8138	11962	25307
Ethylene Glycol	46744	1.68E+07	1.68E+08	584300	543399	798796	1689970
Fluoride	4000	N/A	N/A	50000	46500	68355	144615
Heptachlor	8.0E-05	0.0001	0.001	0.00100	0.000930	0.00136	0.00289
Heptachlor Epoxide	0.00029	0.00029	0.0029	0.00363	0.00337	0.00495	0.0104
Hexachlorobenzene	0.00068	0.00068	0.0068	0.00850	0.00791	0.0116	0.0245
Hexachlorobutadiene	0.21	0.22	2.2	2.63	2.44	3.58	7.59
Hexachlorocyclohexane (alpha)	0.0078	0.0084	0.084	0.0975	0.0907	0.133	0.281
Hexachlorocyclohexane (beta)	0.15	0.26	2.6	1.88	1.74	2.56	5.42
Hexachlorocyclohexane (gamma) [Lindane]	0.2	0.341	3.41	2.50	2.33	3.41	7.23
Hexachlorocyclopentadiene	10.7	11.6	116	134	124	182	386
Hexachloroethane	1.84	2.33	23.3	23.0	21.4	31.4	66.5
Hexachlorophene	2.05	2.90	29	25.6	23.8	35.0	74.1
4,4'-Isopropylidenediphenol [Bisphenol A]	1092	15982	159820	13650	12695	18660	39479
Lead	1.15	3.83	38.3	101	93.5	137	290
Mercury	0.0122	0.0122	0.122	0.153	0.142	0.208	0.441
Methoxychlor	2.92	3.0	30	36.5	33.9	49.8	105
Methyl Ethyl Ketone	13865	9.92E+05	9.92E+06	173313	161181	236935	501271
Methyl <i>tert</i> -butyl ether [MTBE]	15	10482	104820	188	174	256	542
Nickel	332	1140	11400	19890	18498	27191	57527
Nitrate-Nitrogen (as Total Nitrogen)	10000	N/A	N/A	125000	116250	170887	361537
Nitrobenzene	45.7	1873	18730	571	531	780	1652
N-Nitrosodiethylamine	0.0037	2.1	21	0.0463	0.0430	0.0632	0.133
N-Nitroso-di-n -Butylamine	0.119	4.2	42	1.49	1.38	2.03	4.30
Pentachlorobenzene	0.348	0.355	3.55	4.35	4.05	5.94	12.5
Pentachlorophenol	0.22	0.29	2.9	2.75	2.56	3.75	7.95
Polychlorinated Biphenyls [PCBs]	6.4E-04	6.4E-04	6.40E-03	0.00800	0.00744	0.0109	0.0231
Pyridine	23	947	9470	288	267	393	831
Selenium	50	N/A	N/A	625	581	854	1807
1,2,4,5-Tetrachlorobenzene	0.23	0.24	2.4	2.88	2.67	3.93	8.31
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	1.64	26.35	263.5	20.5	19.1	28.0	59.2
Tetrachloroethylene [Tetrachloroethylene]	5	280	2800	62.5	58.1	85.4	180
Thallium	0.12	0.23	2.3	1.50	1.40	2.05	4.33
Toluene	1000	N/A	N/A	12500	11625	17088	36153
Toxaphene	0.011	0.011	0.11	0.138	0.128	0.187	0.397
2.4.5-TP [Silvex]	50	369	3690	625	581	854	1807
1.1.1-Trichloroethane	200	784354	7843540	2500	2325	3417	7230
1.1.2-Trichloroethane	5	166	1660	62.5	58.1	85.4	180
Trichloroethylene [Trichloroethene]	5	71.9	719	62.5	58.1	85.4	180
2.4.5-Trichlorophenol	1039	1867	18670	12988	12078	17755	37563
TTHM [Sum of Total Trihalomethanes]	80	N/A	N/A	1000	930	1367	2,200
Vinvl Chloride	0.23	16 5	165	2 88	2 67	2 92	2002 8 31
	0.23	10.5	103	2.00	2.07	5.55	0.31

CALCULATE 70% AND 85% OF DAILY AVERAGE EFFLUENT LIMITATIONS:

	70% of	85% of
Aquatic Life	Daily Avg.	Daily Avg.
Parameter	(μg/L)	(µg/L)
Aldrin	1.64	1.99
Aluminum	543	660
Arsenic	352	427
Cadmium	15.9	19.3
Carbaryl	1.09	1.33

Chlordane	0.0167	0.0203
Chlorpyrifos	0.0455	0.0553
Chromium (trivalent)	14021	17026
Chromium (hexavalent)	8.61	10.4
Copper	153	185
Cyanide (free)	25.1	30.5
4,4'-DDT	0.00418	0.00508
Demeton	0.418	0.508
Diazinon	0.0932	0.113
Dicofol [Kelthane]	32.5	39.5
Dieldrin	0.00836	0.0101
Diuron	115	139
Endosulfan I ( <i>alpha</i> )	0.120	0.146
Endosulfan II ( <i>beta</i> )	0.120	0.146
Endosulfan sulfate	0.120	0.146
Endrin	0.00836	0.0101
Guthion [Azinphos Methyl]	0.0418	0.0508
Heptachlor	0.0167	0.0203
Hexachlorocyclohexane (gamma ) [Lindane]	0.334	0.406
Lead	380	461
Malathion	0.0418	0.0508
Mercury	1.31	1.59
Methoxychlor	0.125	0.152
Mirex	0.00418	0.00508
Nickel	3883	4715
Nonylphenol	15.3	18.6
Parathion (ethyl)	0.0356	0.0433
Pentachlorophenol	9.67	11.7
Phenanthrene	16.4	19.9
Polychlorinated Biphenyls [PCBs]	0.0585	0.0711
Selenium	10.9	13.3
Silver	15.8	19.2
Toxaphene	0.000836	0.00101
Tributyltin [TBT]	0.0713	0.0866
Tributyltin [TBT] 2,4,5 Trichlorophenol	0.0713 74.6	0.0866 90.6
Zinc	0.0713 74.6 1894	0.0866 90.6 2300
Zinc	0.0713 74.6 1894	0.0866 90.6 2300
Tributyltin [TBT] 2,4,5 Trichlorophenol Zinc	0.0713 74.6 1894 70% of	0.0866 90.6 2300 85% of
Tributyltin [TBT] 2,4,5 Trichlorophenol Zinc Human Health	0.0713 74.6 1894 70% of Daily Avg.	0.0866 90.6 2300 <b>85% of</b> Daily Avg.
Tributyltin [TBT] 2,4,5 Trichlorophenol Zinc Human Health Parameter	0.0713 74.6 1894 70% of Daily Avg. (μg/L)	0.0866 90.6 2300 85% of Daily Avg. (μg/L)
Tributyltin [TBT] 2,4,5 Trichlorophenol Zinc Human Health Parameter Acrylonitrile	0.0713 74.6 1894 70% of Daily Avg. (μg/L) 11.9	0.0866 90.6 2300 <b>85% of</b> Daily Avg. (μg/L) 14.5
Tributyltin [TBT] 2,4,5 Trichlorophenol Zinc Human Health Parameter Acrylonitrile Aldrin	0.0713 74.6 1894 70% of Daily Avg. (μg/L) 11.9 0.000137	0.0866 90.6 2300 <b>85% of</b> Daily Avg. (μg/L) 14.5 0.000166
Tributyltin [TBT] 2,4,5 Trichlorophenol Zinc Human Health Parameter Acrylonitrile Aldrin Anthracene	0.0713 74.6 1894 70% of Daily Avg. (μg/L) 11.9 0.000137 13265	0.0866 90.6 2300 <b>85% of</b> Daily Avg. (µg/L) 14.5 0.000166 16108
Tributyltin [TBT] 2,4,5 Trichlorophenol Zinc Human Health Parameter Acrylonitrile Aldrin Anthracene Antimony	0.0713 74.6 1894 70% of Daily Avg. (μg/L) 11.9 0.000137 13265 71.7	0.0866 90.6 2300 <b>85% of</b> Daily Avg. (µg/L) 14.5 0.000166 16108 87.1
Tributyltin [TBT]         2,4,5 Trichlorophenol         Zinc         Human Health         Parameter         Acrylonitrile         Aldrin         Anthracene         Antimony         Arsenic	0.0713 74.6 1894 70% of Daily Avg. (μg/L) 11.9 0.000137 13265 71.7 225	0.0866 90.6 2300 <b>85% of</b> Daily Avg. (µg/L) 14.5 0.000166 16108 87.1 274
Tributyltin [TBT]         2,4,5 Trichlorophenol         Zinc         Human Health         Parameter         Acrylonitrile         Aldrin         Anthracene         Antimony         Arsenic         Barium	0.0713 74.6 1894 70% of Daily Avg. (µg/L) 11.9 0.000137 13265 71.7 225 23924	0.0866 90.6 2300 <b>85% of</b> Daily Avg. (µg/L) 14.5 0.000166 16108 87.1 274 29050
Tributyltin [TBT]         2,4,5 Trichlorophenol         Zinc         Human Health         Parameter         Acrylonitrile         Aldrin         Anthracene         Antimony         Arsenic         Barium         Benzene	0.0713 74.6 1894 70% of Daily Avg. (μg/L) 11.9 0.000137 13265 71.7 225 23924 59.8	0.0866 90.6 2300 <b>85% of</b> Daily Avg. (µg/L) 14.5 0.000166 16108 87.1 274 29050 72.6
Tributyltin [TBT]         2,4,5 Trichlorophenol         Zinc         Human Health         Parameter         Acrylonitrile         Aldrin         Anthracene         Antimony         Arsenic         Barium         Benzene         Benzidine	0.0713 74.6 1894 70% of Daily Avg. (μg/L) 11.9 0.000137 13265 71.7 225 23924 59.8 0.0179	0.0866 90.6 2300 <b>85% of</b> Daily Avg. (µg/L) 14.5 0.000166 16108 87.1 274 29050 72.6 0.0217
Tributyltin [TBT]         2,4,5 Trichlorophenol         Zinc         Human Health         Parameter         Acrylonitrile         Aldrin         Anthracene         Antimony         Arsenic         Barium         Benzene         Benzidine         Benzo(a) anthracene	0.0713 74.6 1894 70% of Daily Avg. (μg/L) 11.9 0.000137 13265 71.7 225 23924 59.8 0.0179 0.287	0.0866 90.6 2300 <b>85% of</b> Daily Avg. (µg/L) 14.5 0.000166 16108 87.1 274 29050 72.6 0.0217 0.348
Tributyltin [TBT]         2,4,5 Trichlorophenol         Zinc         Human Health         Parameter         Acrylonitrile         Aldrin         Anthracene         Antimony         Arsenic         Barium         Benzene         Benzidine         Benzo(a) anthracene         Benzo(a) pyrene	0.0713 74.6 1894 70% of Daily Avg. (μg/L) 11.9 0.000137 13265 71.7 225 23924 59.8 0.0179 0.287 0.0299	0.0866 90.6 2300 <b>85% of</b> Daily Avg. (µg/L) 14.5 0.000166 16108 87.1 274 29050 72.6 0.0217 0.348 0.0363
Tributyltin [TBT]         2,4,5 Trichlorophenol         Zinc         Human Health         Parameter         Acrylonitrile         Aldrin         Anthracene         Anthracene         Barium         Benzene         Benzene         Benzo(a) anthracene         Bis(chloromethyl)ether	0.0713 74.6 1894 70% of Daily Avg. (μg/L) 11.9 0.000137 13265 71.7 225 23924 59.8 0.0179 0.287 0.0299 0.0287	0.0866 90.6 2300 <b>85% of</b> Daily Avg. (µg/L) 14.5 0.000166 16108 87.1 274 29050 72.6 0.0217 0.348 0.0363 0.0348
Tributyltin [TBT]         2,4,5 Trichlorophenol         Zinc         Human Health         Parameter         Acrylonitrile         Aldrin         Anthracene         Anthracene         Barium         Benzene         Benzene         Benzola (a) anthracene         Bis(chloromethyl)ether         Bis(2-chloroethyl)ether	0.0713 74.6 1894 70% of Daily Avg. (μg/L) 11.9 0.000137 13265 71.7 225 23924 59.8 0.0179 0.287 0.0299 0.0287 7.17	0.0866 90.6 2300 <b>85% of</b> Daily Avg. (µg/L) 14.5 0.000166 16108 87.1 2774 29050 72.6 0.0217 0.348 0.0363 0.0348 8.71
Tributyltin [TBT]         2,4,5 Trichlorophenol         Zinc         Human Health         Parameter         Acrylonitrile         Aldrin         Anthracene         Anthracene         Barium         Benzene         Benzola         Benzola         Bis(chloromethyl)ether         Bis(2-chloroethyl)phthalate [Di(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate]	0.0713 74.6 1894 70% of Daily Avg. (μg/L) 11.9 0.000137 13265 71.7 225 23924 59.8 0.0179 0.287 0.287 0.0299 0.0287 7.17	0.0866 90.6 2300 <b>85% of</b> Daily Avg. (µg/L) 14.5 0.000166 16108 87.1 274 29050 72.6 0.0217 0.348 0.0363 0.0348 8.71 87.1
Tributyltin [TBT]         2,4,5 Trichlorophenol         Zinc         Human Health         Parameter         Acrylonitrile         Aldrin         Anthracene         Antimony         Arsenic         Barium         Benzene         Benzola         Benzola         Bis(chloromethyl)ether         Bis(2-chloroethyl)phthalate [Di(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate]         Bromodichloromethane [Dichlorobromomethane]	0.0713 74.6 1894 70% of Daily Avg. (μg/L) 11.9 0.000137 13265 71.7 225 23924 59.8 0.0179 0.287 0.0299 0.0287 7.17 71.7 71.7	0.0866 90.6 2300 <b>85% of</b> Daily Avg. (µg/L) 14.5 0.000166 16108 87.1 274 29050 72.6 0.0217 0.348 0.0363 0.0348 8.71 8.71 8.7.1
Tributyltin [TBT]         2,4,5 Trichlorophenol         Zinc         Human Health         Parameter         Acrylonitrile         Aldrin         Anthracene         Antimony         Arsenic         Barium         Benzene         Benzo(a) anthracene         Benzo(a) pyrene         Bis(2-chloroethyl)ether         Bis(2-chloroethyl)pithalate [Di(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate]         Bromodichloromethane [Dichlorobromomethane]	0.0713 74.6 1894 70% of Daily Avg. (μg/L) 11.9 0.000137 13265 71.7 225 23924 59.8 0.0179 0.287 0.0299 0.0287 7.17 7.17 71.7 122 800	0.0866 90.6 2300 <b>85% of</b> Daily Avg. (µg/L) 14.5 0.000166 16108 87.1 274 29050 72.6 0.0217 0.348 0.0363 0.0348 8.71 8.71 8.71 148 971
Tributyltin [TBT]         2,4,5 Trichlorophenol         Zinc         Human Health         Parameter         Acrylonitrile         Aldrin         Anthracene         Antimony         Arsenic         Barium         Benzene         Benzo(a) anthracene         Benzo(a) opyrene         Bis(2-chloroethyl)ether         Bis(2-chloroethyl)pithalate [Di(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate]         Bromodichloromethane [Dichlorobromomethane]         Bromoform [Tribromomethane]	0.0713 74.6 1894 70% of Daily Avg. (μg/L) 11.9 0.000137 13265 71.7 225 23924 59.8 0.0179 0.287 0.0299 0.0287 7.17 71.7 71.7 71.7 122 800 314	0.0866 90.6 2300 <b>85% of</b> Daily Avg. (µg/L) 14.5 0.000166 16108 87.1 274 29050 72.6 0.0217 0.348 0.0363 0.0363 0.0348 8.71 87.1 87.1 148 971 382
Tributyltin [TBT]         2,4,5 Trichlorophenol         Zinc         Human Health         Parameter         Acrylonitrile         Aldrin         Anthracene         Antimony         Arsenic         Barium         Benzene         Benzo(a) anthracene         Benzo(a) pyrene         Bis(chloromethyl)ether         Bis(2-chloroethyl)ether         Bis(2-chloromethane [Dichlorobromomethane]         Bromoform [Tribromomethane]         Cadmium         Carbon Tetrachloride	0.0713 74.6 1894 70% of Daily Avg. (μg/L) 11.9 0.000137 13265 71.7 225 23924 59.8 0.0179 0.287 0.0299 0.0287 7.17 7.17 7.17 71.7 122 800 314 53.8	0.0866 90.6 2300 <b>85% of</b> Daily Avg. (µg/L) 14.5 0.000166 16108 87.1 274 29050 72.6 0.0217 0.217 0.348 0.0363 0.0348 8.71 8.71 8.71 148 9.71 3.82 6.5.3
Tributyltin [TBT]         2,4,5 Trichlorophenol         Zinc         Human Health         Parameter         Acrylonitrile         Aldrin         Anthracene         Antimony         Arsenic         Barium         Benzene         Benzo(a) anthracene         Bis(chloromethyl)ether         Bis(2-chloroethyl)ether         Bis(2-chloromethane]         Bromodichloromethane]         Cadmium         Carbon Tetrachloride         Chlordane	0.0713 74.6 1894 70% of Daily Avg. (μg/L) 11.9 0.000137 13265 71.7 225 23924 59.8 0.0179 0.287 0.0299 0.0287 7.17 71.7 71.7 1.22 800 314 53.8	0.0866 90.6 2300 <b>85% of</b> Daily Avg. (µg/L) 14.5 0.000166 16108 87.1 274 29050 72.6 0.0217 72.6 0.0217 0.348 0.0363 8.71 8.71 8.71 148 9.71 148 9.71 1382 65.3 0.0363
Tributyltin [TBT]         2,4,5 Trichlorophenol         Zinc         Human Health         Parameter         Acrylonitrile         Aldrin         Anthracene         Antimony         Arsenic         Barium         Benzene         Benzo(a) anthracene         Bis(chloromethyl)ether         Bis(2-chloroethyl)ether         Bis(2-chloromethane]         Bromodichloromethane]         Cadmium         Carbon Tetrachloride         Chlorobenzene	0.0713 74.6 1894 70% of Daily Avg. (μg/L) 11.9 0.000137 13265 71.7 225 23924 59.8 0.0179 0.287 0.0299 0.0287 7.17 71.7 71.7 71.7 122 800 314 53.8 0.0299	0.0866 90.6 2300 <b>85% of</b> Daily Avg. (µg/L) 14.5 0.000166 16108 87.1 274 29050 72.6 0.0217 0.348 0.0363 0.0348 8.71 8.71 148 971 148 971 382 65.3 0.0363
Tributyltin [TBT]         2,4,5 Trichlorophenol         Zinc         Human Health         Parameter         Acrylonitrile         Aldrin         Anthracene         Antimony         Arsenic         Barium         Benzene         Benzo(a) anthracene         Bis(chloromethyl)ether         Bis(2-chloroethyl)ether         Bis(2-chloroethyl)phthalate [Di(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate]         Bromodichloromethane [Dichlorobromomethane]         Cadmium         Carbon Tetrachloride         Chlorobenzene         Chlorobenzene         Chlorodibrommethane [Dibromochloromethane]	0.0713 74.6 1894 70% of Daily Avg. (μg/L) 11.9 0.000137 13265 71.7 225 23924 59.8 0.0179 0.287 0.0299 0.0287 7.17 7.17 7.17 7.17 2.22 800 314 53.8 0.0299 1196 89.7	0.0866 90.6 2300 <b>85% of</b> Daily Avg. (µg/L) 14.5 0.000166 16108 87.1 274 29050 72.6 0.0217 0.348 0.0363 0.0348 8.71 8.71 148 971 148 971 382 65.3 0.0363 1452 108
Tributyltin [TBT]         2,4,5 Trichlorophenol         Zinc         Human Health         Parameter         Acrylonitrile         Aldrin         Anthracene         Antimony         Arsenic         Barium         Benzene         Benzo(a) anthracene         Benzo(a) anthracene         Bis(chloromethyl)ether         Bis(2-chloroethyl)ether         Bis(2-chloroethyl)phthalate [Di(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate]         Bromodichloromethane [Dichlorobromomethane]         Cadmium         Carbon Tetrachloride         Chlorobenzene         Chlorobenzene         Chlorodibrommethane [Dibromochloromethane]         Chloroform [Trichloromethane]	0.0713 74.6 1894 70% of Daily Avg. (μg/L) 11.9 0.000137 13265 71.7 225 23924 59.8 0.0179 0.287 0.0299 0.0287 7.17 71.7 71.7 71.7 71.7 122 800 314 53.8 0.0299 1196 89.7	0.0866 90.6 2300 <b>85% of</b> Daily Avg. (µg/L) 14.5 0.000166 16108 87.1 274 29050 72.6 0.0217 0.348 0.0363 0.0348 0.0363 0.0348 8.71 8.7.1 148 971 382 65.3 0.0363 1452 108
Tributyltin [TBT]         2,4,5 Trichlorophenol         Zinc         Human Health         Parameter         Acrylonitrile         Aldrin         Anthracene         Antimony         Arsenic         Barium         Benzene         Benzo(a) anthracene         Bis(chloromethyl)ether         Bis(2-chloroethyl)ether         Bis(2-chloroethyl)phthalate [Di(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate]         Bromodichloromethane [Dichlorobromomethane]         Cadmium         Carbon Tetrachloride         Chlorobenzene         Chlorobenzene         Chloroform [Trichloromethane]         Chloroform [Trichloromethane]         Chloroform [Trichloromethane]	0.0713 74.6 1894 70% of Daily Avg. (µg/L) 11.9 0.000137 13265 71.7 225 23924 59.8 0.0179 0.287 0.0299 0.0287 7.17 71.7 71.7 71.7 71.7 122 800 314 53.8 0.0299 1196 89.7 837 837	0.0866 90.6 2300 <b>85% of</b> Daily Avg. (µg/L) 14.5 0.000166 16108 87.1 274 29050 72.6 0.0217 0.348 0.0363 0.0348 8.71 8.7.1 8.7.1 148 971 382 65.3 0.0363 1452 108 1016 900
Tributyltin [TBT]         2,4,5 Trichlorophenol         Zinc         Human Health         Parameter         Acrylonitrile         Aldrin         Anthracene         Antimony         Arsenic         Barium         Benzene         Benzo(a) anthracene         Bis(chloromethyl)ether         Bis(2-chloroethyl)ether         Bis(2-chloroethyl)ether         Bis(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate [Di(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate]         Bromodichloromethane [Dichlorobromomethane]         Cadmium         Carbon Tetrachloride         Chlorobenzene         Chlorobenzene         Chloroform [Trichloromethane]         Chloroform [Trichloromethane]         Chromium (hexavalent)         Chromium (hexavalent)	0.0713 74.6 1894 70% of Daily Avg. (µg/L) 11.9 0.000137 13265 71.7 225 23924 59.8 0.0179 0.287 0.0299 0.0287 0.0299 0.0287 7.17 71.7 71.7 71.7 71.7 122 800 314 53.8 0.0299 1196 89.7 837 741 29.3	0.0866 90.6 2300 <b>85% of</b> Daily Avg. (µg/L) 14.5 0.000166 16108 87.1 274 29050 72.6 0.0217 0.348 0.0363 0.0348 8.71 8.71 8.71 8.71 148 9.71 382 6.5.3 0.0363 1452 108 1016 900 35.5
Tributyltin [TBT]         2,4,5 Trichlorophenol         Zinc         Human Health         Parameter         Acrylonitrile         Aldrin         Anthracene         Antimony         Arsenic         Barium         Benzene         Benzene         Bis(chloromethyl)ether         Bis(2-chloroethyl)ether         Bis(2-chloroethyl)ether         Bis(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate [Di(l2-ethylhexyl) phthalate]         Bromodichloromethane [Dichlorobromomethane]         Carbon Tetrachloride         Chlorobenzene         Chlorobenzene         Chloroform [Trichloromethane]         Choroform [Trichloromethane]         Chloroform [Trichloromethane]         Chromium (hexavalent)         Chrysene         Cresols [Methylphenols]	0.0713 74.6 1894 70% of Daily Avg. (μg/L) 11.9 0.000137 13265 71.7 225 23924 59.8 0.0179 0.287 0.0299 0.0287 0.0299 0.0287 7.17 71.7 71.7 71.7 71.7 71.7 71.7 800 314 53.8 0.0299 1196 89.7 837 741 29.3 12452	0.0866 90.6 2300 <b>85% of</b> Daily Avg. (µg/L) 14.5 0.000166 16108 87.1 274 29050 72.6 0.0217 0.348 0.0363 0.0348 8.71 87.1 87.1 87.1 148 8.71 148 971 382 65.3 0.0363 1452 108 1016 900 35.5 15120
Tributyltin [TBT]         2,4,5 Trichlorophenol         Zinc         Human Health         Parameter         Acrylonitrile         Aldrin         Anthracene         Anthracene         Barium         Benzene         Benzoldine         Benzoldine         Benzoldine         Benzoldine         Bis(chloromethyl)ether         Bis(2-chloroethyl)ether         Bis(2-chloromethane [Dichlorobromomethane]         Bromodichloromethane         Carbon Tetrachloride         Chlorodibromomethane [Dibromochloromethane]         Chlorodibromothane         Chlorodibromothane]         Chlorodibromothane]         Chlorodibromothane]         Chlorodibromothane]         Chlorodibromothane]         Chlorodibromothane]         Chlorodibromothane]         Chlorodibromothane]         Chlorodibromothane]         Chromium (hexavalent)         Chrysene         Cresols [Methylphenols]         Cyanide (free)	0.0713 74.6 1894 70% of Daily Avg. (μg/L) 11.9 0.000137 13265 71.7 225 23924 59.8 0.0179 0.287 0.0299 0.0287 0.0299 0.0287 7.17 71.7 122 800 314 53.8 0.0299 1196 89.7 83.7 741 29.3 741 29.3	0.0866 90.6 2300 <b>85% of</b> Daily Avg. (µg/L) 14.5 0.000166 16108 87.1 274 29050 72.6 0.0217 72.6 0.0217 72.6 0.0363 72.6 0.0363 0.0363 0.0363 0.0363 0.0363 0.0363 0.0363 1452 108 1016 900 35.5 15120

4,4'-DDE	0.00155	0.00188
4,4'-DDT	0.00478	0.00581
2,4'-D	837	1016
Danitol [Fenpropathrin]	3134	3805
1,2-Dibromoethane [Ethylene Dibromide]	2.03	2.46
<i>m</i> -Dichlorobenzene [1,3-Dichlorobenzene]	3851	4677
o -Dichlorobenzene [1,2-Dichlorobenzene]	7177	8715
<i>p</i> -Dichlorobenzene [1,4-Dichlorobenzene]	897	1089
3,3'-Dichlorobenzidine	9.45	11.4
1,2-Dichloroethane	59.8	72.6
1,1-Dichloroethylene [1,1-Dichloroethene]	83.7	101
Dichloromethane [Methylene Chloride]	59.8	72.6
1,2-Dichloropropane	59.8	72.6
1,3-Dichloropropene [1,3-Dichloropropylene]	33.4	40.6
Dicofol [Kelthane]	3.58	4.35
Dieldrin	0.000239	0.000290
2,4-Dimethylphenol	5311	6449
Di-n -Butyl Phthalate	1063	1291
Dioxins/Furans [TCDD Equivalents]	9.33E-07	0.0000011
Endrin	0.239	0.290
Epichlorohydrin	639	777
Ethylbenzene	8373	10167
Ethylene Glycol	559157	678977
Eluoride	47848	58101
Heptachlor	0.000956	0.00116
Heptachlor Epoxide	0.00346	0.00421
Hexachlorobenzene	0.00813	0.00987
Hexachlorobutadiene	2.51	3.05
Hexachlorocyclobexane ( <i>alpha</i> )	0.0933	0.113
Hexachlorocyclohexane ( <i>beta</i> )	1.79	2.17
Hexachlorocyclohexane ( <i>agmmg</i> ) [Lindane]	2.75	2.90
Hexachlorocyclopentadiene	127	155
Hexachloroethane	22.0	26.7
Hexachlorophene	22.0	20.7
4 4'-Isopropylidenediphenol [Bisphenol A]	13062	15861
Lead	96.2	13001
Mercury	0 145	0 177
Methoxychlor	34.9	42.4
Methyl Ethyl Ketone	165854	201395
Methyl tert - butyl ether [MTBE]	179	217
Nickel	19033	23112
Nitrate-Nitrogen (as Total Nitrogen)	119621	145254
Nitrobenzene	546	663
N-Nitrosodiethylamine	0 0442	0.0537
N-Nitroso-di-n-Butylamine	1.42	1 72
Pentachlorohenzene	1.42	5.05
Pentachlorophenol	7.10	3 10
Polychlorinated Binhenyls [PCBs]	0.00765	0 00020
Puridine	0.00705	224
Solonium	E09	726
	2 75	2 2/
1,2,4,5-Tetrachloroothano	10.6	3.34
1,1,2,2-1 ett activito betilane	19.0	23.0
	59.8	1 74
	11062	1/4
Touche	11962	14525
	0.131	0.159
2,4,5-1r [SIIVEX]	598	/26
1,1,1-IIICNIOFOETNANE	2392	2905
1,1,2-irichloroethane	59.8	/2.6
Inchoroethylene [Inchoroethene]	59.8	/2.6
2,4,5-iricnioropnenol	12428	15091
I I HIVI [Sum of Total Trihalomethanes]	956	1162
Vinyl Chloride	2.75	3.34

#### Screening Calculations for Total Dissolved Solids, Chloride, and Sulfate Menu 4 - Discharge to a Lake

Applicant Name:	Leprino Foods					
Permit Number, Outfall:	New					
Segment Number:	1241A					
Enter values needed for screening:		Data Source (edit if different)				
EF - Effluent fraction at edge of human health MZ	0.08 decimal	Critical conditions memo				
	fraction					
CA - TDS - ambient segment concentration	4325 mg/L	2010 IP, Appendix D				
CA - chloride - ambient segment concentration	1400 mg/L	2010 IP, Appendix D				
CA - sulfate - ambient segment concentration	1340 mg/L	2010 IP, Appendix D				
CC - TDS - segment criterion	5500 mg/L	2010 TSWQS, Appendix A				
CC - chloride - segment criterion	2630 mg/L	2010 TSWQS, Appendix A				
CC - sulfate - segment criterion	2400 mg/L	2010 TSWQS, Appendix A				
CE - TDS - average effluent concentration	6000 mg/L	Permit application				
CE - chloride - average effluent concentration	4000 mg/L	Permit application				
CE - sulfate - average effluent concentration	4000 mg/L	Permit application				

#### Screening Equation

 $CC \ge (EF)(CE)+(1-EF)(CA)$ 

Preliminary Calculations	Effluent	Load	New	% Change	% Change
	Load	in Lake	Concentration	in	in Assim.
Parameter	(EF)(CE)	(1-EF)(CA)	Equation 3	Ambient	Capacity
TDS	480	3979	4459.00	3.1	11.4
Chloride	320	1288	1608.00	14.9	16.9
Sulfate	320	1232.8	1552.80	15.9	20.1

#### Permit Limit Calculations

TDS					
Calculate the WLA	WLA= [CC -	(1-EF)(CA)]	/EF	19012.50	
Calculate the LTA	LTA = WLA	* 0.93		17681.63	
Calculate the daily average	Daily Avg. =	LTA * 1.47		25991.99	
Calculate the daily maximum	Daily Max.	= LTA * 3.11	1	54989.85	
Calculate 70% of the daily average	70% of Dail	y Avg. =	= 18194.39		
Calculate 85% of the daily average	85% of Daily Avg. =				
No permit limitations needed if:	6000	≤	18194.39		
Reporting needed if:	6000	>	18194.39	but ≤	22093.19
Permit limits may be needed if:	6000	>	22093.19		

## No permit limitations needed for TDS

Chloride					
Calculate the WLA	WLA= [CC - (	1-EF)(CA)	]/EF	16775.00	
Calculate the LTA	LTA = WLA *	0.93		15600.75	
Calculate the daily average	Daily Avg. = I	LTA * 1.47	,	22933.10	
Calculate the daily maximum	Daily Max. =	LTA * 3.1	1	48518.33	
Calculate 70% of the daily average	70% of Daily	Avg. =		16053.17	
Calculate 85% of the daily average	85% of Daily	Avg. =		19493.14	
No permit limitations needed if:	4000	≤	16053.17		
Reporting needed if:	4000	>	16053.17	but ≤	19493.14
Permit limits may be needed if:	4000	>	19493.14		

#### No permit limitations needed for chloride

Sulfate						
Calculate the WLA	WLA= [CC -	(1-EF)(CA)	]/EF	14590.00		
Calculate the LTA	LTA = WLA	* 0.93		13568.70		
Calculate the daily average	Daily Avg. =	= LTA * 1.47	7	19945.99		
Calculate the daily maximum	Daily Max.	= LTA * 3.1	1	42198.66		
Calculate 70% of the daily average	70% of Dail	y Avg. =		13962.19		
Calculate 85% of the daily average	85% of Dail	y Avg. =	16954.09			
No permit limitations needed if:	4000	≤	13962.19			
Reporting needed if:	4000	>	but ≤	16954.09		
Permit limits may be needed if:	4000	>	16954.09			

No permit limitations needed for sulfate

#### LEPRINO FOODS COMPANY LUBBOCK WASTEWATER TREATMENT PLANT DETAILED PROCESS PRODUCTION ANALYSIS FOR FINAL EFFLUENT LIMITS ESTIMATION - 8.911 MPPD OF MILK

FEDERAL FACTORS USED						
FATS	0.89					
PROTEIN	1.031					
CARBOHYDRATES	0.691					

RAW MATERIAL	FAT %	PROTEIN %	CARBOHYDRATES %	RAW MATERIAL QUANTITY PROCESSED (Ibs)	PRODUCT PRODUCED	BOD 5 Input         Factor           (Ibs of         BOD 5 Input         Federal Effluent Standards           BOD 5 / 100         (Ibs of BOD 5 / (40 CFR 405.65/405.125))           Ibs of Raw         day)         (Ibs/100 Ibs of BOD 5)           Material         Processed			Federal Effluent Standards (40 CFR 405:65/405:125) (lbs/100 lbs of 80D <sub>5</sub> )				Discharge I (Ibs/day	Limit 1)	
								Mont BOD-	hly Average TSS	BOD-	aily Max TSS	Mont BOD-	hly Average TSS	Daily N BOD-	TSS
MILK	4.2	3.25	4.9	8,911,000	SKIM, CREAM	10.47	933,396	0.037	0.046	0.074	0.093	345	429	691	868
Ρ2	6	30	15	95,409	CHEESE	46.64	44,494	0.008	0.01	0.016	0.02	4	4	7	9
GRD	20.5	21	4	79,507	CHEESE	42.66	33,918	0.008	0.01	0.016	0.02	3	3	5	7
SKIM	1	3.25	4.9	6,161,453	CHEESE	7.63	469,912	0.008	0.01	0.016	0.02	38	47	75	94
SKIM	1	3.25	4.9	2,069,803	MILK UF CONCENTRATED SKIM, MILK UF PERMEATE, CHEESE, CHEESE LIQUID WHEY	7.63	157,857	0.037	0.046	0.074	0.093	58	73	117	147
CREAM	42	3.8	2.85	580,102	CHEESE, CHEESE LIQUID WHEY	43.27	250,993	0.008	0.01	0.016	0.02	20	25	40	50
CREAM	42	3.8	2.85	176,145	PRODUCT PRODUCED										
MILK UF CONCENTRATED SKIM	3	9.75	4.9	689,934	CHEESE, CHEESE LIQUID WHEY	16.11	111,136	0.008	0.01	0.016	0.02	9	11	18	22
MILK UF PERMEATE	0	0.013	4.9	1,380,091	UF PERM RO CONC MILK PERMEATE LACTOSE, WASTEWATER	3.40	46,913	0.011	0.014	0.022	0.028	5	7	10	13
CHEESE	22.7	21	4	1,716,741	PRODUCT PRODUCED										
CHEESE LIQUID WHEY	0.35	1.044	5.1	7,721,382	WHEY RETENTATE, PERMEATE	4.91	379,271	0.011	0.014	0.022	0.028	42	53	83	106
WHEY RETENTATE	0.51	8.3	3.94	507,727	WPC, PERMEATE	11.73	59,575	0.011	0.014	0.022	0.028	7	8	13	17
WPC	0.94	15.24	2.63	275,938	WPC POWDER	18.37	50,680	0.011	0.014	0.022	0.028	6	7	11	14
UF PERM RO CONC MILK PERMEATE LACTOSE	0	0.04	15.73	411,911	CONDENSED PERMEATE, WATER	10.91	44,942	0.011	0.014	0.022	0.028	5	6	10	13
PERMEATE	0	2.31	12.1	2,264,881	CONDENSED PERMEATE, WATER	10.74	243,310	0.011	0.014	0.022	0.028	27	34	54	68
CONDENSED PERMEATE	0	2.19	52.86	756,684	PERMEATE POWDER	38.78	293,474	0.011	0.014	0.022	0.028	32	41	65	82
PERMEATE POWDER	0	2.19	8185	488,691	PRODUCT PRODUCED										
WPC POWDER	4.61	82.35	10.53	56,394	PRODUCT PRODUCED										
	ļ.	1	1						Та	otal	I	600	750	1199	1510

EFFLUENT LIMITS IN CONCENTRATION FOR ULTIMATE BUILDOUT								
	Average Flowrate (MGD) Max Flow rate (MGD)							
	2.3	1	2.94					
	Monthly Average	Daily Max	Monthly Average	Daily Max				
BOD <sub>s</sub> (mg/L)	31.12	62	24	49				
TSS (mg/L)	38.91	78	31	62				
pH s.u		6.5-9 s.u						

# ATTACHMENT P. WASTEWATER TREATMENT PLANT DETAILED PROCESS DESCRIPTION


Technology-Driven Wastewater Solutions. Your Partner. Today and Tomorrow.



www.probstgroup.com info@probstgroup.com



info@probstgroup.com 17035 W. Wisconsin Ave., Suite 120 Brookfield, WI 53005



- To: Hannah Bradish
- From: Chris Lewis
- cc: Kelly Hawkins, Joseph Herrud, James Frazier, Tim Sprengel, Srinath Devaraj
- Date: Monday, July 18, 2022
- Re: Detailed Process Description for Project Armadillo

Hannah:

We have prepared the following process description to assist with your wastewater permit application.

To treat the process wastewater from the Leprino production facility for discharge to surface water, the proposed new wastewater treatment plant (WWTP) will use a combination of anaerobic and aerobic activated sludge systems to treat all high strength wastewater (HSW) and low strength wastewater (LSW) generated in production. This technology was selected to reduce energy and sludge handling costs as compared to other treatment options evaluated.

The treatment process utilizes a HSW divert system at the influent lift station. The divert system segregates the flow into HSW stream and low-strength waste stream. Due to this aspect of the process design, not all process wastewater from production travels through the anaerobic unit processes. A feature specific to this application is that each area of production (raw milk, cheese processing, nutrition) will have a dedicated divert lift station. This will allow a higher degree of control over divert because one area might have concentrated loading while another has clean water going down the drain. It will also allow loss tracking and monitoring from each department.

Brine will be kept separate from LSW and HSW streams in production and have a dedicated lift station to send brine to two evaporation lagoons, each with 9.25 acres of surface area. Water will be allowed to evaporate while dissolved solids are deposited on the bottom of the lagoon. Due to their large size, solids collection from the brine lagoon will not be required for several years. The lagoons will also be equipped with splashers to improve evaporation efficiency.



# The Probst Group I Brookfield, WI Detailed WWTP Process Description – Monday, July 18, 2022



HSW and LSW sent to the WWTP each flow through dedicated influent strainer systems before entering the treatment process. The LSW is strained via two rotary screen drums then allowed to drain directly to the equalization tanks. Diverted HSW flows through an automatic in-line strainer to the HSW equalization tanks. Influent straining is important because it protects downstream process (i.e., slot mix aeration, membranes) from issues with solids such as clogging. It can also remove some particulate organic loading in a relatively simple process.

The design concept for the high-strength equalization tanks is to serve as a place to balance the flow and characteristics of the wastewater prior to sending to downstream treatment processes (in this case the anaerobic reactors). The two equalization tanks can also be operated in a series mode of operation, with second HSW equalization tank acting as a preacidification tank. When operating in this mode, the pre-acidification tank will be used to acidify raw high-strength wastewater to make it easier for digestion.

This system is designed with two anaerobic digester tanks. The primary design concept for anaerobic digestion is to send as much load and as little flow to the digesters as possible. The digesters perform best with a concentrated waste stream. By digesting as much COD in the digester as possible, it creates the most biogas and uses much less energy than all aerobic treatment systems. In preliminary design calculations it was estimated that on average 35,000 lbs/day of COD will be sent to the anaerobic treatment system, which is 70% of total influent COD digested by the anaerobic digesters.

The digester covers will be kept at a slight vacuum, as biogas is extracted from the headspace via a pair of hermetically sealed fans. Recovered biogas will travel through a complete biogas handling system consisting of condensate traps, drip traps, manometers, flame traps, pressure regulating valves, isolation valves, flame checks, and an automatic waste gas burner system. To account for potentially toxic fumes, the design includes a gas scrubber and monitoring system for control of H2S. The biogas generated from anaerobic digestion possesses energy value and will be sent to the boiler/heat exchangers to heat the anaerobic reactor recirculation lines. Excess biogas will simply be flared off.

Tubular crossflow ultrafiltration (UF) membranes will be used to perform solid/liquid separation (SLS) on anaerobic mixed liquor suspended solids (MLSS) from the digesters. The UF membranes will serve as a physical barrier to separate the bacteria cell mass from the stream. This will eliminate the risk of sending wastewater with a high suspended solids concentration to aerobic treatment. The final removal of the biosolids from the treated effluent eliminates the nutrients associated with the bacteria cell mass. The effluent from the anaerobic reactor (membrane permeate) will be sent to the aerobic treatment system for further processing.

The low strength process wastewater and anaerobic effluent will be treated through the activated sludge aerobic treatment process. This aerobic treatment system is designed with two equalization tanks, an anoxic selector tank, a sludge thickening tank, a fermentation tank, two aeration basins, and UF membrane solid-liquid separator. Influent LSW and anaerobic permeate combine in the equalization tanks to balance the flow and characteristics of wastewater sent to





the aerobic treatment processes. Wastewater from the equalization tanks is pumped into the anoxic selector tank.

The biological anoxic selector is designed to remove nitrate (NO3-N) concentration, aid in promoting floc forming bacteria for better settling and, when operated under anaerobic conditions, promote enhanced biological phosphorus removal. The anoxic selector is sized so that nitrate is completely removed in the anoxic basin and organic substrate is completely uptaken. Oxygen reduction potential (ORP) is controlled in the tank to maintain an anoxic environment. ORP control regulates the amount of RAS (return activated sludge) or MLSS, which have residual dissolve oxygen (DO) to maintain an anoxic environment with the raw wastewater. In normal operation the selector tank overflows via gravity into the aeration basins.

The aerobic MBR treatment technology was selected to provide a high amount of certainty related to effluent quality. The aeration basins are mixed and aerated via a slot aeration mixing system, fed by two dedicated blowers for each basin. Maintaining an adequate DO concentration in the basins allows for the biological destruction of COD in the wastewater. The aeration basins are designed with the ability to operate in either parallel or series modes of operation, providing operational flexibility. The aeration basins will also be provided with two surface aerators per basin, mounted on three-post mooring stands. The surface aerators serve three purposes: temperature control, foam control, and supplemental dissolved oxygen.

Waste activated sludge (WAS) from the aerobic process will be thickened in the sludge thickening tank, then sent to the fermentation tank to improve biological phosphorus removal or wasted to the anaerobic treatment process for digestion. The goal of the fermentation process is to boost the production of volatile fatty acids (VFAs). By introducing VFAs back into the waste stream, it supercharges the phosphorous accumulation of the micro-organisms, significantly increasing the % of TP they will uptake, and therefore reducing the reliance on chemical phosphorous removal. Waste anaerobic sludge (WANS) from the anaerobic MBR will be sent to the sludge storage tank before being mechanically dewatered and hauled off site for disposal.

Hybrid flat sheet / hollow-fiber UF membranes will be used to perform solid/liquid separation (SLS) on aerobic MLSS. The membrane will serve as a physical barrier to separate the bacteria cell mass from the stream. Permeate from the aerobic UF membranes will be sent through final ultraviolet (UV) light disinfection process to a post aeration tank which provides supplemental oxygen to the disinfected effluent. Disinfected effluent is pumped from the post aeration tank to the city's force main connection, which carries water directly to Lake #6 for discharge. An automatic sampler will collect flow proportional samples of effluent to ensure compliance with the permit is being maintained.

At the south end of the property there will be a valve manhole that can control whether effluent goes to direct discharge into Lake #6 or if it goes to the City of Lubbock, which would only happen in an emergency. In the event of an upset condition, rather than going to the City of Lubbock and paying the financial penalty, water could temporarily be sent to the





10.5-million-gallon non-compliant lagoon. This volume allows for non-compliant effluent to be contained for seven days operating at full capacity. The plant will also be equipped with an aerated 1.5-million-gallon calamity lagoon to serve as calamity storage should any process units need to be temporarily taken out of service.

Chemical dosing systems necessary for pH adjustment, phosphorous removal, coagulation, and other process controls are included in the design of the WWTP. The list of chemicals to be used on site include citric acid, sanitizer, nitric acid, membrane soak solution, SBS, ferric, micronutrients, and acid. Ferric, caustic, and SBS will be stored in 5,500-gallon bulk tanks. All other chemicals will be kept in totes or drums, with containment skids acting as secondary containment to prevent any spills from reaching the floor drains. The three bulk tanks will each be equipped with secondary containment, leak detection, level sensors, fill catches, and alarm relays.





October 10, 2022

Abesha H. Michael Texas Commission of Environmental Quality Applications Review and Processing Team Water Quality Division, MC 148 PO Box 13087 Austin, Texas 78711

# RE: NOD Response for TPDES Application Proposed Permit No. WQ0005417000 (EPA I.D. No. TX0143600) to be Issued to Leprino Foods Company, Lubbock, Lubbock County, Texas

Dear Ms. Michael:

On behalf of Leprino Foods Company, the following line items were updated in the application as directed by the Notice of Deficiency (NOD) letter dated October 6, 2022. As required, one original and two copies (including cover letter) are included.

**NOD Item 1.** Section III, item 24 and 26 on page 2 of the Core Data Form (CDF): These items were left blank. However, they are required. Please provide the name of the county and the nearest city and submit a revised and signed page 2 of the CDF.

### Response:

• TCEQ CDF / Item 24 and Item 26 were populated with the correct information. The revised CDF was signed and the original with wet signature with two copies are attached.

**NOD Item 2.** Section 1.A on page 11 of administrative report 1.1: Thank you for providing the affected landowners map. However, the map provided is insufficient. It did not delineate the landowner south of property owner 35 and northeast of property owner 8 and east of TX-W89-Loop South. Please submit a revised landowners map showing all the affected landowners, and update the cross-referenced mailing list and labels accordingly.

### **Response**:

• The Affected landowners map was revised to include the landowner south of property owner 35 and northeast of property owner 8 and east of TX-W89-Loop South. The updated map and cross-referenced mailing list and labels are attached.

**NOD Item 3.** Section 1.B on page 11 of administrative report 1.1: The application indicates the readable/writable CD is included with the application. However, we are unable to locate the CD. Please email the affected landowners mailing labels in a Microsoft word format.

### Response:

• A revised copy of the affected landowners mailing labels in Microsoft word format were emailed to Ms. Abesha Michael at <u>abesha.michael@tceq.texas.gov</u>.

Ms. Abesha H. Michael - Page 2 October 10, 2022

**NOD Item 4.** The following is a portion of the Notice of Receipt of Application and Intent to Obtain a Water Quality Permit which contains information relevant to your application. Please read it carefully and indicate if it contains any errors or omissions. The complete notice will be sent to you once the application is declared administratively complete.

**APPLICATION.** Leprino Foods Company, 1830 West 38th Avenue, Denver, Colorado, 80211, which owns a mozzarella cheese and nutrition manufacturing facility, has applied to the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality (TCEQ) for proposed Texas Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (TPDES) Permit No. WQ0005417000 (EPA I.D. No. TX0143600) to authorize the discharge of treated wastewater and stormwater at a volume not to exceed an annual average flow of 2,000,000 gallons per day. The facility is located at 4301 East 19th Street, Lubbock, in Lubbock County, Texas 79403. The discharge route is from the plant site to via pipe to North Fork Double Mountain Fork Brazos River. TCEQ received this application on September 30, 2022. The permit application is available for viewing and copying at Texas Commission on Environmental Quality-Region 2, 5012 50th Street, Suite 100, Lubbock, Texas. This link to an electronic map of the site or facility's general location is provided as a public courtesy and not part of the application or notice. For the exact location, refer to the application. https://tceq.maps.arcgis.com/apps/webappviewer/index.html?id=db5bac44afbc468bbddd360f8168250f &marker=-101.7775%2C33.580277&level=12

Further information may also be obtained from Leprino Foods Company at the address stated above or by calling Ms. Kim DeVigil, Director of Communications, at 303-264-5336.

**Response**: The above portion of the NORI was reviewed, and no errors or omissions are required.

**Plain Language Summary/NORI.** The Plain Language Summary (PLS) in English and Spanish and NORI in Spanish were submitted via email to Ms. Abesha Michael at <u>abesha.michael@tceq.texas.gov</u>.

If you have any questions concerning this application, please contact me at (409) 504-6933. We look forward to working with you in processing this application. Thank you for your consideration. Sincerely,

TRINITY CONSULTANTS

Allen Rienstra Senior Staff Scientist

Attachments: Core Data Form, Affected Landowners Map

Cc. Hannah Bradish – Environmental Compliance Engineer – Leprino Foods Company Joe Herrud – Senior Director of Environmental Engineering – Leprino Foods Company Katie Jeziorski – Managing Consultant – Trinity Consultants Jon Niermann, *Chairman* Emily Lindley, *Commissioner* Bobby Janecka, *Commissioner* Toby Baker, *Executive Director* 



# TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

Protecting Texas by Reducing and Preventing Pollution

October 6, 2022

Ms. Hanna Bradish Environmental Compliance Engineer Leprino Food Company 1830 West 38<sup>th</sup> Avenue Denver, Colorado 80211

Re: Application for Proposed Permit No. WQ0005417000 (EPA I.D TX0143600) To be Issued to Leprino Foods Company CN605980739, RN111422333

Dear Ms. Bradish:

We have received the application for the above referenced permit, and it is currently under review. Your attention to the following items is requested before we can declare the application administratively complete. Please submit one original and two copies (including a cover letter) of the complete response.

- 1. Section III, item 24 and 26 on page 2 of the Core Data Form (CDF): These items were left blank. However, they are required. Please provide the name of the county and the nearest city and submit a revised and signed page 2 of the CDF.
- 2. Section 1.A on page 11 of administrative report 1.1: Thank you for providing the affected landowners map. However, the map provided is insufficient. It did not delineate the landowner south of property owner 35 and northeast of property owner 8 and east of TX-w89-Loop South. Please submit a revised landowners map showing all the affected landowners, and update the cross-referenced mailing list and labels accordingly.
- 3. Section 1.B on page 11 of administrative report 1.1: The application indicates the readable/writable CD is included with the application. However, we are unable to locate the CD. Please email the affected landowners mailing labels in a Microsoft word format.
- 4. The following is a portion of the Notice of Receipt of Application and Intent to Obtain a Water Quality Permit which contains information relevant to your application. Please read it carefully and indicate if it contains any errors or omissions. The complete notice will be sent to you once the application is declared administratively complete.

**APPLICATION.** Leprino Foods Company, 1830 West 38<sup>th</sup> Avenue, Denver, Colorado, 80211, which owns a mozzarella cheese and nutrition manufacturing facility, has applied to the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality (TCEQ) for proposed Texas Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (TPDES) Permit No. WQ0005417000 (EPA I.D. No. TX0143600) to authorize the discharge of treated wastewater and stormwater at a

P.O. Box 13087 • Austin, Texas 78711-3087 • 512-239-1000 • tceq.texas.gov



MCELROY, SULLIVAN, MILLER & WEBER, LLP

500 W. 5th Street, Suite 1375 Austin, TX 78701 | www.msmtx.com

MAILING ADDRESS: P.O. Box 12127, Austin, TX 78711 | T. 512.327.8111 F. 512.350.2681

September 16, 2024

# <u>Via FTP Upload</u>

Ms. Ellie Guerra Office of the Chief Clerk Texas Commission on Environmental Quality 12100 Park 35 Circle, Building F Austin, Texas 78753

> **RE:** Application for Proposed Permit No. WQ0005417000 (EPA I.D. TX 0143600) To be Issued to Leprino Foods Company CN605980739, RN111422333

Dear Ms. Guerra,

The TCEQ Commissioners issued an Interim Order in the above-referenced matter on September 6, 2024. Pursuant to 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 80.118(d), Applicant Leprino Foods Company submits the following documents (*See* **LEPRINO\_00001-000378**) which are the original application and revisions to the application for inclusion in the Administrative Record:

- Application for a New Individual Permit to Discharge Industrial Wastewater for Leprino Foods Company located in Lubbock, Texas (September 23, 2022);
- TCEQ Notice of Deficiency Letter to Leprino Food Company (October 6, 2022);
- Leprino Foods Company Response to TCEQ's Notice of Deficiency Letter (**October** 10, 2022); *and*
- Revised Application Comments to Draft Permit Package (March 23, 2023).

Leprino Foods Company has retained McElroy, Sullivan, Miller & Weber, L.L.P. to represent it in the above-referenced matter. The undersigned respectfully requests addition to the service list and to be provided with any future notices or correspondence.

If you should require any further from the Applicant at this time, please do not hesitate to let us know.

Sincerely,

<u>/s/ Adam Friedman</u> Adam Friedman <u>afriedman@msmtx.com</u> State Bar No. 24059783 MCELROY, SULLIVAN, MILLER & WEBER, L.L.P. ATTORNEY FOR LEPRINO FOODS COMPANY Ms. Hanna Bradish Page 2 October 6, 2022 Permit No. WQ0005417000

volume not to exceed an annual average flow of 2,000,000 gallons per day. The facility is located at 4301 East 19<sup>th</sup> Street, Lubbock, in Lubbock County, Texas 79403. The discharge route is from the plant site to via pipe to North Fork Double Mountain Fork Brazos River. TCEQ received this application on September 30, 2022. The permit application is available for viewing and copying at Texas Commission on Environmental Quality-Region 2, 5012 50<sup>th</sup> Street, Suite 100, Lubbock, Texas. This link to an electronic map of the site or facility's general location is provided as a public courtesy and not part of the application or notice. For the exact location, refer to the application. <a href="https://tceq.maps.arcgis.com/apps/webappviewer/index.html?id=db5bac44afbc468bbd">https://tceq.maps.arcgis.com/apps/webappviewer/index.html?id=db5bac44afbc468bbd</a> <a href="https://tceq.maps.arcgis.com/apps/webappviewer/index.html?id=db5bac44afbc468bbd">https://tceq.maps.arcgis.com/apps/webappviewer/index.html?id=db5bac44afbc468bbd</a> <a href="https://tceq.maps.arcgis.com/apps/webappviewer/index.html?id=db5bac44afbc468bbd">https://tceq.maps.arcgis.com/apps/webappviewer/index.html?id=db5bac44afbc468bbd</a> <a href="https://tceq.maps.arcgis.com/apps/webappviewer/index.html?id=db5bac44afbc468bbd">https://tceq.maps.arcgis.com/apps/webappviewer/index.html?id=db5bac44afbc468bbd</a>

Further information may also be obtained from Leprino Foods Company at the address stated above or by calling Ms. Kim DeVigil, Director of Communications, at 303-264-5336.

New rule requirements under Title 30 Texas Administrative Code (TAC) Chapter 39 relating to public notices have been implemented. The deficiencies listed below are new items that need to be provided to meet the alternative language requirements.

- 1. Please use the attached Plain Language Summary (PLS) Template to provide a plain language summary in English. Please provide the PLS in a Microsoft Word document.
- 2. Section 9, Item E.5 on page 6 of Administrative Report 1.0 indicates that public notices in Spanish are required. Please use the attached PLS Spanish template to translate the plain language summary into Spanish. Please provide the translated Spanish PLS in a Microsoft Word document
- 3. Section 8, Item E.5 on page 6 of Administrative Report 1.0 indicates that public notices in Spanish are required. After confirming the portion of the English NORI contained in item No. 4 of this letter does not contain any errors or omissions, please use the attached template to translate the NORI into Spanish. Only the first and last paragraphs are unique to this application and require translation. Please provide the translated Spanish NORI in a Microsoft Word document.

Please submit the complete response, addressed to my attention by October 20, 2022 If you should have any questions, please do not hesitate to call me at (512) 239-4912.

Sincerely,

Abosha Michael

Abesha H. Michael Applications Review and Processing Team (MC148) Water Quality Division Texas Commission of Environmental Quality

Ms. Hanna Bradish Page 3 October 6, 2022 Permit No. WQ0005417000

Enclosure(s) Attachment 1 – Municipal TPDES and TLAP PLS Form Attachment 2 – Municipal TPDES and TLAP PLS Form (Spanish) Attachment 3 – Municipal Disposal New Spanish NORI

cc: Mr. Allen Rienstra, Consultant, Trinity Consultants, 6150 Clifton Street, Beaumont, Texas. 77708



REVISED March 23, 2023

Dr. Sarah A. Johnson Environmental Permit Specialist Industrial Wastewater Permitting Section – MC 148 Texas Commission of Environmental Quality P.O. Box 13087 Austin, Texas 77651 Sarah.Johnson@Tceq.Texas.Gov

RE: Revised Application – Comments to Draft Permit Package Leprino Foods Company (CN605980739) TPDES Permit No. WQ0005417000 (EPA ID No. TX0143600) Leprino Foods Lubbock Manufacturing Facility (RN111422333)

Dear Dr. Johnson,

On behalf of Leprino Foods Company (Leprino), Trinity Consultants (Trinity) is submitting a revised application in response to the discussion held on March 8, 2023, covering the TPDES Draft Permit Package. This application represents the terminology updates for the changes of "brine" to "high TDS water" where appropriate, and "calamity" lagoon to "multipurpose" lagoon. In addition, Leprino suggests the following definition for the bypass clarification language: A "bypass" does not include the routing or re-routing of waters within the wastewater treatment plant.

Per discussion via telephone conference call on March 23, 2023, there are pending items that need to be discussed internally within the Water Quality Division before they are finalized and the application updated, as needed. Leprino is requesting the following Alternative BPJ for an internal sampling point of Oil & Grease:

- Internal sampling of three separate grab samples for each of the three-unit operations; boiler blowdown, cooling tower blowdown, and evaporative condenser blowdown. Sampling will occur separately for each unit operation prior to comingling with other sources.
- Requested sampling frequency reduction of Oil & Grease from 1/week to, alternatively, 1/month or 1/every two weeks, or permit language allowing an automatic reduction in sampling frequency after a certain length of monitoring at the higher monitoring frequency.

We thank you in advance for your consideration of this review. If you have any questions or comments, please feel free to contact me at <u>kjeziorski@trinityconsultants.com</u> or Ms. Hannah Bradish at 303-548-8718; <u>hbradish@leprinofoods.com</u>.

Dr. Sarah Johnson - Page 2 March 23, 2023

Sincerely,

# TRINITY CONSULTANTS

Hotie Jezioiski

Katie Jeziorski Managing Consultant

Attachments

Cc. Hannah Bradish, Leprino Foods Company Kelly Hawkins, Leprino Foods Company James Frazier, Leprino Foods Company

# TEXAS POLLUTANT DISCHARGE ELIMINATION SYSTEM APPLICATION FOR A NEW INDUSTRIAL WASTEWATER PERMIT

CN605980739 RN111422333

# Leprino Foods Company Lubbock, Texas

**Prepared By:** 

Katie Jeziorski – Managing Consultant Allen Rienstra – Consultant

### TRINITY CONSULTANTS

12700 Park Central Drive, Suite 2100 Dallas, Texas 75251 (972) 661-8100

September 2022

Project 214401.0274



- **1. AGENCY COMMUNICATION**
- 2. INDUSTRIAL ADMINISTRATIVE REPORT 1.0
- 3. INDUSTRIAL ADMINISTRATIVE REPORT 1.1
- 4. SUPPLEMENTAL PERMIT INFORMATION FORM
- 5. INDUSTRIAL TECHNICAL REPORT 1.0
- 6. WORKSHEET 1.0 EPA EFFLUENT GUIDELINES
- 7. WORKSHEET 2.0 POLLUTANT ANALYSIS REQUIREMENTS
- 8. WORKSHEET 3.1 SURFACE LAND APPLICATION AND EVAPORATION
- 9. WORKSHEET 4.0 RECEIVING WATERS
- **10. WORKSHEET 7.0 STORMWATER DISCHARGES**
- ATTACHMENT A. COPY OF FEE SUBMITTAL
- **ATTACHMENT B. CORE DATA FORM**
- **ATTACHMENT C. PROPERTY OWNERSHIP**
- **ATTACHMENT D. USGS MAP**
- **ATTACHMENT E. DISCHARGE ROUTE DESCRIPTION**
- ATTACHMENT F. ADJACENT LANDOWNER INFORMATION
- ATTACHMENT G. ORIGINAL PHOTOGRAPHS AND MAPS OF PHOTO LOCATIONS
- **ATTACHMENT H. SPIF INFORMATION**
- **ATTACHMENT I. FACILITY DESCRIPTION**
- **ATTACHMENT J. RAW MATERIALS**
- **ATTACHMENT K. FACILITY SITE DRAWINGS**
- ATTACHMENT L. FLOW SCHEMATIC DIAGRAM
- **ATTACHMENT M. SDS**
- **ATTACHMENT N. IMPOUNDMENTS**
- ATTACHMENT O. PRELIMINARY MODELING
- ATTACHMENT P. WASTEWATER TREATMENT PLANT DETAILED PROCESS DESCRIPTION

**1. AGENCY COMMUNICATION** 



From:	James Michalk
To:	Hannah Bradish; Joseph Herrud
Cc:	Kelly Hawkins; Chris Lewis; Katie Jeziorski; James Frazier; Allen Rienstra
Subject:	RE: Meeting for Leprino Foods proposed limits
Date:	Thursday, September 8, 2022 2:12:33 PM
Attachments:	image001.png

Good afternoon all,

I have completed the additional seasonal effluent limit analysis for a discharge flow of 2.0 MGD.

One thing to please note -- in my earlier emails regarding the model results (summer and seasonal) and potential effluent limit combinations, I said that the effluent limits would include  $\underline{C}BOD_5$ , ammonia-nitrogen (NH<sub>3</sub>-N), and minimum effluent dissolved oxygen (DO), since for *Municipal* permits we typically recommend  $CBOD_5$  limits (rather than  $BOD_5$  limits) when the permit also includes an NH<sub>3</sub>-N limit. However, as an *Industrial* permit, it is my understanding that this permit would need to include a **BOD<sub>5</sub> limit** (not a  $CBOD_5$  limit), since the 40 CFR categorical limits actually require a  $BOD_5$  limit for this type of facility/discharge. My model results and the various potential effluent set combinations are unchanged from what I provided previously (although see below for an additional potential monthly grouping for the 1.5 MGD seasonal limit scenario), but I just wanted to clarify that issue.

You can check with the Permitting Section if it would be possible to *request* that the permit include a  $CBOD_5$  limit instead of  $BOD_5$  (since the permit would also include an  $NH_3$ -N limit), but again it's my understanding that a  $BOD_5$  limit is required in this case.

#### Modeling Results:

Possible combinations of effluent limits predicted to be adequate to ensure that DO levels will be maintained above the criterion applicable to the lake are as follow:

- For 2.0 MGD, the 'summertime' effluent set (from the analysis performed back in May) of 5 mg/L BOD<sub>5</sub>, 1.0 mg/L NH<sub>3</sub>-N, and 6 mg/L minimum effluent DO (5/1.0/6) would most likely be applied for (at least) the months of April September (depending how you wanted to group the other months, per the additional options described below). The predicted necessary limits for April, May, and September aren't (in my opinion) less stringent enough to be worth including those months in the 'cooler' monthly grouping, since we will only allow two groupings of effluent limits for seasonal limits (i.e. some set of 'warmer' months and some set of 'cooler' months).
- For 2.0 MGD for the 'cooler' monthly grouping, the following options were all predicted to be adequate:
  - **October March** at any of the following effluent sets (BOD<sub>5</sub> / NH<sub>3</sub>-N / DO):
    - 8/2/6
    - 7/2/5
    - 6/2/4
    - **5/3/6**
  - November March at any of the following effluent sets (BOD<sub>5</sub> / NH<sub>3</sub>-N / DO):
    - 9/2/6
    - 8/2/5
    - 7/2/4
    - 6/3/6
  - **November February** at any of the following effluent sets (BOD<sub>5</sub> / NH<sub>3</sub>-N / DO):
    - 12/2/4
    - 13/2/5
    - 14/2/6
    - 11/3/6
    - 8/4/6
- I don't think I looked specifically at the **Nov Feb** potential monthly grouping for the earlier **1.5 MGD** seasonal effluent limit analysis. Since predicted necessary effluent limits were considerably less stringent at 2.0 MGD for Nov Feb than they were for Nov Mar, I also performed some new modeling specifically for the Nov Feb period at 1.5 MGD, in case you want to consider that alternative monthly grouping of seasonal effluent limits for a possible additional option.
  - For 1.5 MGD, November February at any of the following effluent sets (BOD<sub>5</sub> / NH<sub>3</sub>-N / DO):
    - 15/2/4

- 16/2/5
- 17/2/6
- 13/3/4
- 14/3/6
- 12/4/6
- 11/4/5
- **1**0/4/4

Other monthly groupings and effluent set combinations may also be adequate and can be evaluated upon request.

As we have discussed previously, these are only the effluent limits that are related to potential direct dissolved oxygen impacts. The Standards Implementation Team may recommend other effluent limits including for nutrients (phosphorus and/or forms of nitrogen other than ammonia-nitrogen), TDS/sulfate/chloride, and possibly other constituents. I don't know what all other types of limits or requirements may be included in the permit by the Permitting Section for this specific type of facility or discharge.

Please let me know if you have any questions about the DO modeling results or these potential seasonal effluent limit combinations. Thank you again.

Jim Michalk Water Quality Assessment Team

From: Hannah Bradish <hbradish@leprinofoods.com>
Sent: Friday, July 29, 2022 8:16 AM
To: James Michalk <james.michalk@tceq.texas.gov>; Joseph Herrud <jherrud@leprinofoods.com>
Cc: Kelly Hawkins <khawkins@leprinofoods.com>; Chris Lewis <CLewis@probstgroup.com>; Katie Jeziorski
<KJeziorski@trinityconsultants.com>; James Frazier <jfrazier@leprinofoods.com>; Allen Rienstra
<allen.rienstra@trinityconsultants.com>
Subject: RE: Meeting for Leprino Foods proposed limits

Hi Jim,

I wanted to check back in with you to see if you have had a chance to run the seasonal limits at the 2.0 MGD flow rate?

Thanks!

Hannah Bradish Environmental Compliance Engineer Leprino Foods Company O: (303) 480-7726 C: (303) 548-8718 (preferred) hbradish@leprinofoods.com

 From: James Michalk <james.michalk@tceq.texas.gov>

 Sent: Friday, July 8, 2022 12:15 PM

 To: Hannah Bradish <<u>hbradish@leprinofoods.com</u>>; Joseph Herrud <jherrud@leprinofoods.com>

 Cc: Kelly Hawkins <<u>khawkins@leprinofoods.com</u>>; Chris Lewis <<u>CLewis@probstgroup.com</u>>; Katie Jeziorski

 <<u>KJeziorski@trinityconsultants.com</u>>; James Frazier <<u>jfrazier@leprinofoods.com</u>>; Allen Rienstra

 <allen.rienstra@trinityconsultants.com>

 Subject: RE: Meeting for Leprino Foods proposed limits

Good afternoon Hannah,

I can, but it might be a little while. I'm working on several other priority projects and permits right now, with another hearing coming up July 20-22.

But remind me if you don't hear back from me by the end of the month.

Jim Michalk

Water Quality Assessment Team

 From: Hannah Bradish <</td>
 hbradish@leprinofoods.com>

 Sent: Friday, July 8, 2022 12:26 PM

 To: James Michalk <</td>
 james.michalk@tceq.texas.gov>; Joseph Herrud@leprinofoods.com>

 Cc: Kelly Hawkins <</td>
 khawkins@leprinofoods.com>; Chris Lewis <</td>
 Lewis@probstgroup.com>; Katie Jeziorski

 <KJeziorski@trinityconsultants.com>; James Frazier <</td>
 jfrazier@leprinofoods.com>; Allen Rienstra

 <allen.rienstra@trinityconsultants.com>
 Subject: RE: Meeting for Leprino Foods proposed limits

Hi Jim,

Would it be possible to run the model for seasonal limits at the flow of 2.0 MGD? I know we had originally just requested the 1.5 MGD seasonal analysis but are hoping to see what the 2.0 MGD seasonal limits would look like.

Thanks!

Hannah Bradish Environmental Compliance Engineer Leprino Foods Company O: (303) 480-7726 C: (303) 548-8718 (preferred) hbradish@leprinofoods.com

 From: James Michalk <james.michalk@tceq.texas.gov>

 Sent: Thursday, June 16, 2022 7:42 AM

 To: Hannah Bradish <<u>hbradish@leprinofoods.com</u>>; Joseph Herrud <jherrud@leprinofoods.com>

 Cc: Kelly Hawkins <<u>khawkins@leprinofoods.com</u>>; Chris Lewis <<u>CLewis@probstgroup.com</u>>; Katie Jeziorski

 <<u>KJeziorski@trinityconsultants.com</u>>; James Frazier <<u>jfrazier@leprinofoods.com</u>>; Allen Rienstra

 <allen.rienstra@trinityconsultants.com>

 Subject: RE: Meeting for Leprino Foods proposed limits

Reading back over my emails from yesterday, I somehow neglected to include that those seasonal effluent set options were all for **1.5 MGD** model runs, per Hannah's email, so just wanted to clarify that. Have a good day!

Jim Michalk Water Quality Assessment Team

From: James Michalk

Sent: Wednesday, June 15, 2022 6:46 PM

To: Hannah Bradish <<u>hbradish@leprinofoods.com</u>>; Joseph Herrud <<u>jherrud@leprinofoods.com</u>> Cc: Kelly Hawkins <<u>khawkins@leprinofoods.com</u>>; Chris Lewis <<u>CLewis@probstgroup.com</u>>; Katie Jeziorski <<u>KJeziorski@trinityconsultants.com</u>>; James Frazier <<u>jfrazier@leprinofoods.com</u>>; Allen Rienstra <<u>allen.rienstra@trinityconsultants.com</u>>

Subject: RE: Meeting for Leprino Foods proposed limits

And just to be clear, it would be up to you to choose which of those options worked best for you, not that we'd write a permit that included various/multiple different effluent set combination options.

I don't think there's really a place in the permit application forms for an Industrial permit applicant to request specific CBOD<sub>5</sub>, ammonia-nitrogen, and minimum effluent DO limits (let alone different seasonal limits of those constituents), so I'd probably recommend including some of the email record of our correspondence on this subject in the application with a written request for specific limits, per our preliminary analysis discussions, especially in case I'm not the DO modeler who ends up getting assigned to the permit application. I have my preliminary analysis modeling review files saved where any of the modelers can access them, if they know to look.

Thanks again,

Jim Michalk Water Quality Assessment Team

From: James Michalk

Sent: Wednesday, June 15, 2022 6:10 PM

To: Hannah Bradish <<u>hbradish@leprinofoods.com</u>>; Joseph Herrud <<u>jherrud@leprinofoods.com</u>> Cc: Kelly Hawkins <<u>khawkins@leprinofoods.com</u>>; Chris Lewis <<u>CLewis@probstgroup.com</u>>; Katie Jeziorski <<u>KJeziorski@trinityconsultants.com</u>>; James Frazier <<u>jfrazier@leprinofoods.com</u>>; Allen Rienstra <<u>allen.rienstra@trinityconsultants.com</u>> Subject: PE: Mosting for Lepring Foods proposed limits

Subject: RE: Meeting for Leprino Foods proposed limits

Good evening all,

I ran a number of different seasonal effluent limit scenarios.

The City of Lubbock's permit (WQ0010353002), Outfall 007, has a seasonal effluent limit split of April - October for the more stringent warmer-weather effluent limits, with less stringent limits in the cooler months of November - March. For Municipal permits, we have what we refer to as an 'effluent set hierarchy' composed of different specific 'sets' of effluent limit combinations (for CBOD<sub>5</sub>, ammonia-nitrogen, and minimum effluent DO) that are typically applicable to different types of municipal WWTP treatment systems. Without getting too much into the details of that subject, suffice to say that such an approach is not necessarily applicable to Industrial permits, so Industrial permits can have more combinations of those 3 constituents (as effluent limits) than would typically be considered for a Municipal WWTP permit. Which means potentially lots more model runs for possible effluent limit combinations, in order to allow some flexibility to a permittee depending on which of those three constituents you may want to have a little higher limit on. But here's what I've come up with.

Be aware that we would limit seasonal effluent limits to **two** different monthly groupings. If the same monthly grouping is used for the Leprino Foods permit as is currently applicable to Outfall 007 in the City of Lubbock permit, that would result in seasonal effluent limits of:

- 5 mg/L CBOD<sub>5</sub>, 1.5 mg/L NH<sub>3</sub>-N, and 6 mg/L minimum effluent DO (5/1.5/6) for the months of Apr Oct, and
- either 10/2/4; 9/3/6; or 8/3/4 for Nov Mar;

Or, using a different monthly grouping:

- 5/1.5/6 for May Sep, and
- either 8/2/5 or 7/2/4 for Oct Apr

Other effluent set combinations or monthly groupings may also be adequate and can be evaluated upon request.

Please let me know if you have any questions or need any additional information.

Jim Michalk Water Quality Assessment Team

From: James Michalk Sent: Wednesday, June 15, 2022 1:53 PM To: Hannah Bradish <<u>hbradish@leprinofoods.com</u>>; Joseph Herrud <<u>jherrud@leprinofoods.com</u>> Cc: Kelly Hawkins <<u>khawkins@leprinofoods.com</u>>; Chris Lewis <<u>CLewis@probstgroup.com</u>>; Katie Jeziorski <<u>KJeziorski@trinityconsultants.com</u>>; James Frazier <<u>jfrazier@leprinofoods.com</u>>; Allen Rienstra <<u>allen.rienstra@trinityconsultants.com</u>> Subject: PE: Macting for Lapring Eagles proposed limits

Subject: RE: Meeting for Leprino Foods proposed limits

Ok, will do.

Jim Michalk Water Quality Assessment Team

From: Hannah Bradish <<u>hbradish@leprinofoods.com</u>>
Sent: Wednesday, June 15, 2022 1:07 PM
To: James Michalk <<u>james.michalk@tceq.texas.gov</u>>; Joseph Herrud <<u>jherrud@leprinofoods.com</u>>
Cc: Kelly Hawkins <<u>khawkins@leprinofoods.com</u>>; Chris Lewis <<u>CLewis@probstgroup.com</u>>; Katie Jeziorski
<<u>KJeziorski@trinityconsultants.com</u>>; James Frazier <<u>jfrazier@leprinofoods.com</u>>; Allen Rienstra
<allen.rienstra@trinityconsultants.com>
Subject: RE: Meeting for Leprino Foods proposed limits

Hi Jim,

Please only do the analysis for seasonal limits for the flow rate of 1.5 MGD.

Thanks!

Hannah Bradish Environmental Compliance Engineer Leprino Foods Company O: (303) 480-7726 C: (303) 548-8718 (preferred) hbradish@leprinofoods.com

From: James Michalk <james.michalk@tceq.texas.gov>
Sent: Wednesday, June 15, 2022 10:41 AM
To: Joseph Herrud <jherrud@leprinofoods.com>
Cc: Kelly Hawkins <khawkins@leprinofoods.com>; Chris Lewis <CLewis@probstgroup.com>; Katie Jeziorski
<KJeziorski@trinityconsultants.com>; James Frazier <jfrazier@leprinofoods.com>; Hannah Bradish
<hbradish@leprinofoods.com>; Allen Rienstra <allen.rienstra@trinityconsultants.com>
Subject: RE: Meeting for Leprino Foods proposed limits

Good morning all,

Do you want me to take a look at seasonal limits just at either 1.5 MGD or 2.0 MGD, or both? Both is no problem if that helps your decision process.

Jim Michalk Water Quality Assessment Team

From: James Michalk
Sent: Tuesday, June 14, 2022 8:42 AM
To: Joseph Herrud <<u>jherrud@leprinofoods.com</u>>
Cc: Kelly Hawkins <<u>khawkins@leprinofoods.com</u>>; Chris Lewis <<u>CLewis@probstgroup.com</u>>; Katie Jeziorski
<<u>KJeziorski@trinityconsultants.com</u>>; James Frazier <<u>jfrazier@leprinofoods.com</u>>; Hannah Bradish
<<u>hbradish@leprinofoods.com</u>>; Allen Rienstra <<u>allen.rienstra@trinityconsultants.com</u>>
Subject: RE: Meeting for Leprino Foods proposed limits

Seasonal limits (CBOD5, ammonia-nitrogen, and/or minimum effluent DO) may be an option but will increase the complexity of this analysis and I will probably not be able to conduct that analysis for you for several weeks as I have depositions, public meetings, prefiled testimony for hearings, and review of judges' decisions for completed hearings starting up again.

Jim Michalk Water Quality Assessment Team

**From:** Joseph Herrud <<u>iherrud@leprinofoods.com</u>>

Sent: Monday, June 13, 2022 10:11 AM

To: James Michalk <james.michalk@tceq.texas.gov>

**Cc:** Kelly Hawkins <<u>khawkins@leprinofoods.com</u>>; Chris Lewis <<u>CLewis@probstgroup.com</u>>; Katie Jeziorski <<u>KJeziorski@trinityconsultants.com</u>>; James Frazier <<u>ifrazier@leprinofoods.com</u>>; Hannah Bradish

<<u>hbradish@leprinofoods.com</u>>; Allen Rienstra <<u>allen.rienstra@trinityconsultants.com</u>>

Subject: RE: Meeting for Leprino Foods proposed limits

Jim, can you give us any indication of seasonal variations for any of the parameters? We've used the City's permits as a guideline and theirs has seasonal limit differences.

Thanks! Joe

Joe Herrud, P.E. Senior Director of Environmental Engineering Technical Services Leprino Foods Company jherrud@leprinofoods.com 303-480-2894 Office 303-859-8923 Cell

From: James Michalk <james.michalk@tceq.texas.gov>
Sent: Friday, June 10, 2022 12:57 PM
To: Hannah Bradish <<u>hbradish@leprinofoods.com</u>>
Cc: Joseph Herrud <<u>jherrud@leprinofoods.com</u>>; Kelly Hawkins <<u>khawkins@leprinofoods.com</u>>; Chris Lewis
<<u>CLewis@probstgroup.com</u>>; Katie Jeziorski <<u>KJeziorski@trinityconsultants.com</u>>; James Frazier <<u>jfrazier@leprinofoods.com</u>>
Subject: RE: Meeting for Leprino Foods proposed limits

Good afternoon Hannah,

I completed the updated dissolved oxygen modeling analysis for a reduced flow of 1.5 MGD. I'm afraid that didn't make a whole lot of difference.

Effluent limits of **5 mg/L CBOD<sub>5</sub> and 6 mg/L minimum effluent DO** are still predicted to be necessary, just with an **ammonianitrogen of 1.5 mg/L** instead of 1.0 mg/L.

Let me know if you have any questions or would like for me to take a look at anything else.

Jim Michalk Water Quality Assessment Team

 From: James Michalk

 Sent: Tuesday, June 7, 2022 8:27 AM

 To: Hannah Bradish < hbradish@leprinofoods.com</td>

 Cc: Joseph Herrud < jherrud@leprinofoods.com</td>

 ; Kelly Hawkins < khawkins@leprinofoods.com</td>

 ; Clewis@probstgroup.com

 ; Katie Jeziorski < KJeziorski@trinityconsultants.com</td>

 ; Subject: RE: Meeting for Leprino Foods proposed limits

Good morning Hannah,

I will take a look.

Jim Michalk Water Quality Assessment Team

From: Hannah Bradish <<u>hbradish@leprinofoods.com</u>>

Sent: Thursday, June 2, 2022 4:56 PM

To: James Michalk <james.michalk@tceq.texas.gov>
 Cc: Joseph Herrud <jherrud@leprinofoods.com>; Kelly Hawkins <khawkins@leprinofoods.com>; Chris Lewis

<<u>CLewis@probstgroup.com</u>>; Katie Jeziorski <<u>KJeziorski@trinityconsultants.com</u>>; James Frazier <<u>jfrazier@leprinofoods.com</u>> **Subject:** RE: Meeting for Leprino Foods proposed limits

Hi Jim,

Would it be possible to get updated values if for a maximum flowrate of 1.5 MGD? How would this impact the CBOD, DO and Ammonia limits you provided previously?

Thanks!

Hannah Bradish Environmental Compliance Engineer Leprino Foods Company O: (303) 480-7726 C: (303) 548-8718 (preferred) hbradish@leprinofoods.com

From: James Michalk <james.michalk@tceq.texas.gov>
Sent: Wednesday, May 11, 2022 2:48 PM
To: Hannah Bradish <<u>hbradish@leprinofoods.com</u>>
Cc: Joseph Herrud <<u>jherrud@leprinofoods.com</u>>; Kelly Hawkins <<u>khawkins@leprinofoods.com</u>>; Chris Lewis
<<u>CLewis@probstgroup.com</u>>; Katie Jeziorski <<u>KJeziorski@trinityconsultants.com</u>>
Subject: RE: Meeting for Leprino Foods proposed limits

Good afternoon Hannah,

I have completed the dissolved oxygen (DO) preliminary modeling analysis for the proposed Leprino Foods project. For a discharge of **2.0 MGD** directly into Canyon Lake Number 6, an effluent set of **5 mg/L CBOD<sub>5</sub>**, **1.0 mg/L ammonia-nitrogen**, **and 6 mg/L minimum effluent DO** is predicted to be necessary. These effluent limits are stringent, but are comparable to those recommended for municipal wastewater discharge permits of comparable size discharging directly into small and narrow reservoirs similar to this one. Based on the road encircling the lake, the public parking areas along it, and several docks along the shoreline, this lake appears to have a significant public recreation use, so stringent effluent limits like this may help to moderate potential public concern about a 2 MGD wastewater discharge of any sort going into this lake. But that potential for public concern (including the possibility of a public meeting and a contested case hearing if the permit application is protested) is something to keep in mind regardless of the stringency of these effluent limit recommendations.

Just so you're aware, I needed to do the following for my modeling analysis. Upon closer inspection of the survey schematic provided, I noticed that the distance out into the lake shown on the schematic (from shoreline out to the outfall structure) was only about the same distance as the width of Canyon Lake Drive and the "slick bore under Canyon Lake Drive" that lies beneath the road, as shown on the other side of the survey schematic. In other words, only a distance of about 35 to 40 feet out into the lake, whereas the lake is over 700 feet wide at this point. So I had to use elevation information from the USGS topographic map as well (which predated construction/impoundment of the lake) to develop depth estimates for entire lake cross-sections, which seemed consistent with the steady decrease in elevation shown on the survey schematic for the area near the shoreline. This resulted in significantly deeper maximum (roughly mid-lake) depths, though I estimated the *average cross-sectional depth* (shoreline-to-opposite-shoreline) in the vicinity of the proposed discharge location still at around 6 feet deep (getting gradually a little deeper going downstream toward the dam, but the most critical portion of the model was the initial 10-acre area in the immediate vicinity of the discharge). I think the modeling is protective but not overly conservative.

If you'd like to explore other potential options to help reduce the amount of oxygen-demanding constituents (and other components that may be of concern in the discharge) by the time the discharge enters the lake, such as constructed wetlands or effluent polishing ponds between a revised discharge location and entry into the lake, let us know, but you would need to develop the details of those wetlands or ponds for us to take into consideration for an updated analysis.

Also be aware that the North Fork Double Mountain Fork Brazos River (Segment ID 1241A) is listed as impaired on the current (2020) Clean Water Act Section 303(d) list for elevated bacteria levels in water (recreation use) and that the impaired portion of the river includes the section that has been impounded to create Canyon Lake Number 6. The Double Mountain Fork Brazos River (classified Segment No. 1241) farther downstream is also considered impaired for elevated bacteria levels in water (recreation use). These impairments are unchanged on the Draft 2022 303(d) list, which has not yet been approved. There are

no completed or underway Total Maximum Daily Load (TMDL) projects applicable to the proposed discharge route.

Please let me know if you have any additional questions. Thank you, and sorry again for the delay in completing this review.

Jim Michalk Water Quality Assessment Team

From: Hannah Bradish <<u>hbradish@leprinofoods.com</u>>
Sent: Monday, May 9, 2022 9:52 PM
To: James Michalk <<u>james.michalk@tceq.texas.gov</u>>
Cc: Joseph Herrud <<u>jherrud@leprinofoods.com</u>>; Kelly Hawkins <<u>khawkins@leprinofoods.com</u>>; Chris Lewis
<<u>CLewis@probstgroup.com</u>>; Katie Jeziorski <<u>KJeziorski@trinityconsultants.com</u>>
Subject: RE: Meeting for Leprino Foods proposed limits

Hi Jim,

I wanted to check back in on this to see if you have some preliminary permit limits?

We have approached the phase of the project where we need to know if our design is adequate to confirm our budget and are in much need of the estimated permit limits to confirm this.

Thanks!

Hannah Bradish Environmental Compliance Engineer Leprino Foods Company O: (303) 480-7726 C: (303) 548-8718 (preferred) hbradish@leprinofoods.com

From: James Michalk <james.michalk@tceq.texas.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, April 26, 2022 8:18 AM
To: Hannah Bradish <hbradish@leprinofoods.com>
Cc: Joseph Herrud <jherrud@leprinofoods.com>; Kelly Hawkins <khawkins@leprinofoods.com>; Chris Lewis
<CLewis@probstgroup.com>; Katie Jeziorski <KJeziorski@trinityconsultants.com>
Subject: RE: Meeting for Leprino Foods proposed limits

Good morning Hannah,

No, I've been totally swamped with 5 different hearings (and their pre- and post-hearing procedures), especially throughout March and April, but the Replies to Closing Arguments for one filed last week, and for another one files this week, and Discovery for the last of the 5 also files this week. I should have a little break after this week before the 3 that haven't gone to hearing yet really get going again (hearings scheduled for May, June, and July, but with prefiled testimony, depositions, etc. before those dates). But in the meantime, I have multiple permits I need to finish reviewing this week in order to get them into a quarterly update that goes out for public comment and to EPA for review, if possible.

So I won't be able to get back to this project until at least next week sometime, but I'm also behind on a lot of additional permit reviews and other projects because of all these hearings.

Jim Michalk Water Quality Assessment Team

From: Hannah Bradish <<u>hbradish@leprinofoods.com</u>>

Sent: Monday, April 25, 2022 4:01 PM

To: James Michalk <james.michalk@tceq.texas.gov>

**Cc:** Joseph Herrud <<u>iherrud@leprinofoods.com</u>>; Kelly Hawkins <<u>khawkins@leprinofoods.com</u>>; Chris Lewis <<u>CLewis@probstgroup.com</u>>; Katie Jeziorski <<u>KJeziorski@trinityconsultants.com</u>>



#### Subject: RE: Meeting for Leprino Foods proposed limits

Hi Jim,

I wanted to check in with you to see if you have had a chance to evaluate the water body and determine some preliminary expected permit limits for our proposed discharge?

Thanks!

Hannah Bradish Environmental Compliance Engineer Leprino Foods Company O: (303) 480-7726 C: (303) 548-8718 (preferred) hbradish@leprinofoods.com

From: James Michalk <james.michalk@tceq.texas.gov> Sent: Thursday, March 31, 2022 4:01 PM To: Hannah Bradish <<u>hbradish@leprinofoods.com</u>> Subject: RE: Meeting for Leprino Foods proposed limits

Ok, thank you very much.

Jim Michalk Water Quality Assessment Team

From: Hannah Bradish <<u>hbradish@leprinofoods.com</u>>
Sent: Thursday, March 31, 2022 4:47 PM
To: James Michalk <<u>james.michalk@tceq.texas.gov</u>>
Subject: RE: Meeting for Leprino Foods proposed limits

Of course. I did confirm this is the updated version with accurate discharge location. I also confirmed that the average operating depth of the lake is that 3131.5 elevation line.

Thanks!

Hannah Bradish Environmental Compliance Engineer Leprino Foods Company O: (303) 480-7726 C: (303) 548-8718 (preferred) hbradish@leprinofoods.com

From: James Michalk <james.michalk@tceq.texas.gov>
Sent: Thursday, March 31, 2022 3:05 PM
To: Hannah Bradish <<u>hbradish@leprinofoods.com</u>>
Subject: RE: Meeting for Leprino Foods proposed limits

Perfect, thanks Hannah.

Jim

Jim Michalk Water Quality Assessment Team

From: Hannah Bradish <<u>hbradish@leprinofoods.com</u>>
Sent: Thursday, March 31, 2022 3:49 PM
To: James Michalk <<u>james.michalk@tceg.texas.gov</u>>

Hannah Bradish Environmental Compliance Engineer Leprino Foods Company O: (303) 480-7726 C: (303) 548-8718 (preferred) hbradish@leprinofoods.com

From: James Michalk <james.michalk@tceq.texas.gov>
Sent: Thursday, March 31, 2022 2:42 PM
To: Hannah Bradish <<u>hbradish@leprinofoods.com</u>>
Subject: RE: Meeting for Leprino Foods proposed limits

Just finished. Still time?

From: Hannah Bradish <<u>hbradish@leprinofoods.com</u>>
Sent: Thursday, March 31, 2022 3:13 PM
To: James Michalk <<u>james.michalk@tceq.texas.gov</u>>
Subject: RE: Meeting for Leprino Foods proposed limits

Sounds good. Feel free to call my cell when you are ready.

Thanks!

Hannah Bradish Environmental Compliance Engineer Leprino Foods Company O: (303) 480-7726 C: (303) 548-8718 (preferred) hbradish@leprinofoods.com

From: James Michalk <james.michalk@tceq.texas.gov>
Sent: Thursday, March 31, 2022 1:47 PM
To: Hannah Bradish <<u>hbradish@leprinofoods.com</u>>
Subject: RE: Meeting for Leprino Foods proposed limits

Probably just 30, it's with my team leader.

From: Hannah Bradish <<u>hbradish@leprinofoods.com</u>>
Sent: Thursday, March 31, 2022 2:46 PM
To: James Michalk <<u>james.michalk@tceq.texas.gov</u>>
Subject: RE: Meeting for Leprino Foods proposed limits

I have a meeting that starts at 4 central. Is your meeting 30 minutes or an hour?

Thanks!

Hannah Bradish Environmental Compliance Engineer Leprino Foods Company O: (303) 480-7726 C: (303) 548-8718 (preferred) hbradish@leprinofoods.com

From: James Michalk <james.michalk@tceq.texas.gov>
Sent: Thursday, March 31, 2022 1:44 PM

To: Hannah Bradish <<u>hbradish@leprinofoods.com</u>>
 Cc: Joseph Herrud <<u>jherrud@leprinofoods.com</u>>; Kelly Hawkins <<u>khawkins@leprinofoods.com</u>>; Chris Lewis
 <<u>CLewis@probstgroup.com</u>>; Lynn Morrison <<u>LMorrison@probstgroup.com</u>>
 Subject: RE: Meeting for Leprino Foods proposed limits

Hannah,

I have a meeting at 3:00, how about after that?

Jim Michalk Water Quality Assessment Team

From: Hannah Bradish <<u>hbradish@leprinofoods.com</u>>
Sent: Thursday, March 31, 2022 2:36 PM
To: James Michalk <<u>james.michalk@tceq.texas.gov</u>>
Cc: Joseph Herrud <<u>jherrud@leprinofoods.com</u>>; Kelly Hawkins <<u>khawkins@leprinofoods.com</u>>; Chris Lewis
<<u>CLewis@probstgroup.com</u>>; Sarah Johnson <<u>Sarah.Johnson@Tceq.Texas.Gov</u>>; Lynn Morrison
<<u>LMorrison@probstgroup.com</u>>
Subject: RE: Meeting for Leprino Foods proposed limits

Hi Jim,

Yes, that is where Sarah and I landed on BOD concentration.

It may be easiest to explain what you are looking at on the PDF over the phone. Would you have some time for me to call you?

Thanks!

Hannah Bradish Environmental Compliance Engineer Leprino Foods Company O: (303) 480-7726 C: (303) 548-8718 (preferred) hbradish@leprinofoods.com

 From: James Michalk <james.michalk@tceq.texas.gov>

 Sent: Thursday, March 31, 2022 12:37 PM

 To: Hannah Bradish <<u>hbradish@leprinofoods.com></u>

 Cc: Joseph Herrud <jherrud@leprinofoods.com>; Kelly Hawkins <<u>khawkins@leprinofoods.com</u>>; Chris Lewis

 <CLewis@probstgroup.com>; Sarah Johnson <<u>Sarah Johnson@Tceq.Texas.Gov</u>>; Lynn Morrison

 <LMorrison@probstgroup.com>

 Subject: RE: Meeting for Leprino Foods proposed limits

Good afternoon Hannah,

I believe the last emails between you and Sarah were indicating that I should use a  $BOD_5$  concentration of 31.12 mg/L as the starting point for my dissolved oxygen modeling analysis.

I can't tell what I'm looking at on the pdf you provided. Can you help me out?

Jim Michalk Water Quality Assessment Team

From: Hannah Bradish <<u>hbradish@leprinofoods.com</u>>

Sent: Thursday, March 31, 2022 10:28 AM

To: James Michalk <<u>james.michalk@tceq.texas.gov</u>>

Cc: Joseph Herrud <<u>iherrud@leprinofoods.com</u>>; Kelly Hawkins <<u>khawkins@leprinofoods.com</u>>; Chris Lewis



<<u>CLewis@probstgroup.com</u>>; Sarah Johnson <<u>Sarah.Johnson@Tceq.Texas.Gov</u>>; Lynn Morrison <<u>LMorrison@probstgroup.com</u>> Subject: RE: Meeting for Leprino Foods proposed limits

Hi Jim,

I wanted to circle back with you to send you the updated lake survey and check to confirm you have received what you need from the BOD and TSS effluent limits. Please let me know if you need anything else from me in order to start the waterbody DO modeling.

Thanks!

Hannah Bradish Environmental Compliance Engineer Leprino Foods Company O: (303) 480-7726 C: (303) 548-8718 (preferred) hbradish@leprinofoods.com

From: Hannah Bradish
Sent: Tuesday, March 22, 2022 9:21 AM
To: Sarah Johnson <<u>Sarah.Johnson@tceq.texas.gov</u>>
Cc: James Michalk <<u>james.michalk@tceq.texas.gov</u>>; Joseph Herrud <<u>jherrud@leprinofoods.com</u>>; Kelly Hawkins
<<u>khawkins@leprinofoods.com</u>>; Chris Lewis <<u>CLewis@probstgroup.com</u>>; Lynn Morrison <<u>LMorrison@probstgroup.com</u>>
Subject: Re: Meeting for Leprino Foods proposed limits

Hi Sarah,

I have reviewed the guidance you provided and no revisions are necessary. We have considered all materials.

Thanks!

Hannah Bradish

Sent from my iPhone

On Mar 17, 2022, at 1:52 PM, Sarah Johnson <<u>Sarah.Johnson@tceq.texas.gov</u>> wrote:

Good afternoon-

Thank you for the information. The spreadsheet appears to apply the federal effluent limit guidelines correctly. I also reviewed the <u>40 CFR Part 405 development document</u>, which on page 3 ("Method of Application") states that all other (non-dairy) materials such as sugar must also be considered. Please confirm if any such additional materials/ingredients will be used and update the calculations accordingly if needed (see Table 9, page 41 of the document for common material compositions).

If the spreadsheet is correct as is and no revisions are needed, then the technology-based effluent limit of **31.12 mg/L BOD<sub>5</sub> daily average** will be used as the starting point in the dissolved oxygen model. Jim Michalk and I will confer and we'll reach out to you if a meeting is needed.

**LEPRINO\_000205** 

Regards,

Sarah A. Johnson, Ph. D.

**Environmental Permit Specialist** 

Water Quality Division Texas Commission on Environmental Quality 12100 Park 35 Circle, Bldg. F, Room 2101 Austin, TX 78753 Office Phone: 512-239-4649



Customer Satisfaction Survey

From: Hannah Bradish <<u>hbradish@leprinofoods.com</u>>
Sent: Thursday, March 17, 2022 2:50 PM
To: Sarah Johnson <<u>Sarah.Johnson@Tceq.Texas.Gov</u>>
Cc: James Michalk <<u>james.michalk@tceq.texas.gov</u>>; Joseph Herrud <<u>jherrud@leprinofoods.com</u>>; Kelly Hawkins
<<u>khawkins@leprinofoods.com</u>>; Chris Lewis <<u>CLewis@probstgroup.com</u>>; Lynn Morrison
<<u>LMorrison@probstgroup.com</u>>
Subject: RE: Meeting for Leprino Foods proposed limits

Hi Sarah,

We have the finalized BOD input and Effluent Limit numbers sorted out. I have attached the calculation spreadsheet along with a process flow diagram that corresponds to the BOD loading calculations. Please note that the information in these spreadsheets is confidential.

EFFLUENT LIMITS IN CONCENTRATION FOR ULTIMATE BUILDOUT **Average Flowrate** Max Flow rate 2.94 2.31 Monthly Average Daily Max Monthly Average Daily Max BOD<sub>5</sub> (mg/L) 31.12 24 49 62 TSS (mg/L) 38.91 78 31 62 pH s.u 6.5-9 s.u

With this calculation, we now show the following categorical limits:

Thanks!

Hannah Bradish Environmental Compliance Engineer Leprino Foods Company O: (303) 480-7726 C: (303) 548-8718 (preferred) hbradish@leprinofoods.com

From: Sarah Johnson <<u>Sarah.Johnson@Tceq.Texas.Gov</u>>
Sent: Friday, February 4, 2022 7:41 AM
To: Hannah Bradish <<u>hbradish@leprinofoods.com</u>>
Cc: James Michalk <<u>james.michalk@tceq.texas.gov</u>>
Subject: RE: Meeting for Leprino Foods proposed limits

#### Good morning-

That works for me. I look forward to hearing from you.

Sarah A. Johnson, Ph. D.

Environmental Permit Specialist Water Quality Division Texas Commission on Environmental Quality 12100 Park 35 Circle, Bldg. F, Room 2101 Austin, TX 78753 Office Phone: 512-239-4649



Customer Satisfaction Survey

From: Hannah Bradish <<u>hbradish@leprinofoods.com</u>>
Sent: Thursday, February 3, 2022 4:34 PM
To: Sarah Johnson <<u>Sarah.Johnson@Tceq.Texas.Gov</u>>
Cc: James Michalk <<u>james.michalk@tceq.texas.gov</u>>
Subject: RE: Meeting for Leprino Foods proposed limits

Hi Sarah,

We are updating our calculations and preparing a spreadsheet to send to you. Once that is ready to go, I was hoping to review it with you to make sure everything makes sense. I want to have the calculations done next week and then we can set up a meeting time if that works for you?

Thanks!

Hannah Bradish Environmental Compliance Engineer Leprino Foods Company O: (303) 480-7726 C: (303) 548-8718 hbradish@leprinofoods.com

From: Sarah Johnson <<u>Sarah.Johnson@Tceq.Texas.Gov</u>>
Sent: Wednesday, February 2, 2022 8:53 AM
To: Hannah Bradish <<u>hbradish@leprinofoods.com</u>>
Cc: James Michalk <<u>james.michalk@tceq.texas.gov</u>>
Subject: Meeting for Leprino Foods proposed limits

Good morning-

Jim passed along your request to meet regarding calculation of the technology-based effluent limits (TBELs) per the effluent limit guidelines (ELGs) in 40 CFR Part 405. I'm available Friday, but given the winter storm predicted it's probably best not to schedule then in case things shut down. The next earliest I could meet would be Monday morning (Feb. 7), from 8:30-12:00, Tuesday morning from 9:00-12:00, or Wednesday afternoon from 1:30-4:00. Please let me know which time works best and any specific items you wish to discuss so I can prepare accordingly.



#### Regards,

Sarah A. Johnson, Ph. D.

Environmental Permit Specialist Water Quality Division Texas Commission on Environmental Quality 12100 Park 35 Circle, Bldg. F, Room 2101 Austin, TX 78753 Office Phone: 512-239-4649



Customer Satisfaction Survey

#### [EXTERNAL EMAIL]

WARNING: This email originated outside of Leprino. Even if this looks like an internal Leprino email, it is not. DO NOT provide your username, password, or any other personal information in response to this or any other email. LEPRINO WILL NEVER ask you for your username or password via email. DO NOT CLICK links or attachments unless you are positive the content is safe. IF IN DOUBT about the safety of this message, use the Report Phishing drop-down.

#### [EXTERNAL EMAIL]

WARNING: This email originated outside of Leprino. Even if this looks like an internal Leprino email, it is not.
DO NOT provide your username, password, or any other personal information in response to this or any other email.
LEPRINO WILL NEVER ask you for your username or password via email.
DO NOT CLICK links or attachments unless you are positive the content is safe.
IF IN DOUBT about the safety of this message, use the Report Phishing drop-down.

#### [EXTERNAL EMAIL]

WARNING: This email originated outside of Leprino. Even if this looks like an internal Leprino email, it is not.
DO NOT provide your username, password, or any other personal information in response to this or any other email.
LEPRINO WILL NEVER ask you for your username or password via email.
DO NOT CLICK links or attachments unless you are positive the content is safe.
IF IN DOUBT about the safety of this message, use the Report Phishing drop-down.

#### [EXTERNAL EMAIL]

WARNING: This email originated outside of Leprino. Even if this looks like an internal Leprino email, it is not. DO NOT provide your username, password, or any other personal information in response to this or any other email. LEPRINO WILL NEVER ask you for your username or password via email. DO NOT CLICK links or attachments unless you are positive the content is safe. IF IN DOUBT about the safety of this message, use the Report Phishing drop-down.

#### [EXTERNAL EMAIL]

WARNING: This email originated outside of Leprino. Even if this looks like an internal Leprino email, it is not.
DO NOT provide your username, password, or any other personal information in response to this or any other email.
LEPRINO WILL NEVER ask you for your username or password via email.
DO NOT CLICK links or attachments unless you are positive the content is safe.
IF IN DOUBT about the safety of this message, use the Report Phishing drop-down.

#### [EXTERNAL EMAIL]

WARNING: This email originated outside of Leprino. Even if this looks like an internal Leprino email, it is not. DO NOT provide your username, password, or any other personal information in response to this or any other email. LEPRINO WILL NEVER ask you for your username or password via email. 2. INDUSTRIAL ADMINISTRATIVE REPORT 1.0



# TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

TCEQ INDUSTRIAL WASTEWATER PERMIT APPLICATION

# **INDUSTRIAL ADMINISTRATIVE REPORT 1.0**

This report is required for all applications for TPDES permits and TLAPs. Contact the Applications Review and Processing Team at 512-239-4671 with any questions about completing this report

# Item 1. Application Information and Fees (Instructions, Page 26)

- a. Complete each field with the requested information, if applicable.
   Applicant Name: Leprino Foods Company
   EPA ID No.: <u>TX0 New Permit</u>
   Permit No.: <u>WQ000 New Permit</u>
   Expiration Date: <u>New Permit</u>
- b. Check the box next to the appropriate authorization type.
  - Industrial Wastewater (wastewater and stormwater)
  - □ Industrial Stormwater (stormwater only)
- c. Check the box next to the appropriate facility status.

 $\Box$  Active  $\boxtimes$  Inactive

d. Check the box next to the appropriate permit type.

- e. Check the box next to the appropriate application type.
  - 🛛 New
  - Renewal with changes
  - □ Major amendment with renewal
- Major amendment without renewal

□ Renewal without changes

- □ Minor amendment without renewal □ Minor modification without renewal
- f. If applying for an amendment or modification, describe the request: N/A
- g. Application Fee

EPA Classification	New	Major Amend. (with or without renewal)	Renewal (with or without changes)	Minor Amend. / Minor Mod. (without renewal)
Minor facility not subject to EPA categorical effluent guidelines (40 CFR Parts 400-471)	\$350	\$350	\$315	\$150
Minor facility subject to EPA categorical effluent guidelines (40 CFR Parts 400-471)	⊠ \$1,250	\$1,250	\$1,215	\$150
Major facility	N/A 1	\$2,050	\$2,015	\$450

### For TCEQ Use Only

Segment Number	County
Expiration Date	Region

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> All facilities are designated as minors until formally classified as a major by EPA.

TCEQ-10411 (05/20/2022) Industrial Wastewater Application Administrative Report

### Permit Number \_\_\_

### h. Payment Information

### Mailed

Check or money order No.: <u>Click to enter text.</u> Check or money order amt.: <u>Click to enter text.</u> Named printed on check or money order: <u>Click to enter text.</u>

Ерау

Voucher number: <u>Click to enter text.</u> Copy of voucher attachment: <u>Click to enter text.</u>

### Item 2. Applicant Information (Instructions, Pages 26)

a. Customer Number, if applicant is an existing customer: <u>CN605980739</u>

Note: Locate the customer number using the <u>TCEQ's Central Registry Customer Search</u><sup>2</sup>.

b. Legal name of the entity (applicant) applying for this permit: <u>Leprino Foods Company</u>

**Note:** The owner of the facility must apply for the permit. The legal name must be spelled exactly as filed with the TX SOS, Texas Comptroller of Public Accounts, County, or in the legal documents forming the entity.

c. Name and title of the person signing the application. (**Note:** The person must be an executive official that meets signatory requirements in 30 TAC § 305.44.)

⊠ Mr. □ Ms. First/Last Name: <u>Steve Fritzler</u>

Title: <u>Vice President Eastern Region</u>

Credential: Click to enter text.

d. Will the applicant have overall financial responsibility for the facility?

🖾 Yes 🛛 No

Note: The entity with overall financial responsibility for the facility must apply as a co-applicant, if not the facility owner.

### Item 3. Co-applicant Information (Instructions, Page 27)

Check this box if there is no co-applicant.; otherwise, complete the below questions.

a. Legal name of the entity (co-applicant) applying for this permit: N/A

**Note:** The legal name must be spelled exactly as filed with the TX SOS, Texas Comptroller of Public Accounts, County, or in the legal documents forming the entity.

b. Customer Number (if applicant is an existing customer): CN 6059800739

Note: Locate the customer number using the TCEQ's Central Registry Customer Search.

c. Name and title of the person signing the application. (**Note:** The person must be an executive official that meets signatory requirements in 30 TAC § 305.44.)

 $\Box$  Mr.  $\Box$  Ms. First/Last Name: <u>N/A</u>

Title: <u>N/A</u>

Credential: <u>N/A</u>

d. Will the co-applicant have overall financial responsibility for the facility?

🗆 Yes 🗆 No

Page 2 of 20

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> <u>https://www15.tceq.texas.gov/crpub/index.cfm?fuseaction=cust.CustSearch</u>

TCEQ-10411 (05/20/2022) Industrial Wastewater Application Administrative Report

Note: The entity with overall financial responsibility for the facility must apply as a co-applicant, if not the facility owner.

### Item 4. Core Data Form (Instructions, Pages 27)

a. Complete one Core Data Form (TCEQ Form 10400) for each customer (applicant and coapplicant(s)) and include as an attachment. If the customer type selected on the Core Data Form is Individual, complete Attachment 1 of the Administrative Report. Attachment: <u>B</u>

### Item 5. Application Contact Information (Instructions, Page 27)

Provide names of two individuals who can be contact for additional information about this application. Indicate if the individual can be contact about administrative or technical information, or both.

Administrative Contact . 

Technical Contact a. □ Mr. ⊠ Ms. Full Name (First and Last): Hannah Bradish Title: Environmental Compliance Engineer Credential: N/A Organization Name: Leprino Foods Company Mailing Address: 1830 W. 38th Ave. City: Denver State: Colorado Zip Code: 80211 Email: hbradish@leprinofoods.com Phone No: 303-548-8718 Fax No: N/A b. 🛛 Administrative Contact . 🛛 Technical Contact Mr. 🗆 Ms. Full Name (First and Last): <u>Allen Rienstra</u> Title: Consultant Credential: Click to enter text. Organization Name: Trinity Consultants Mailing Address: 6150 Clifton Street City: Beaumont Zip Code: 77708

City: BeaumontState: TexasZip CoPhone No: 409-504-6933Fax No: N/AEmail:allen.rienstra@trinityconsultants.comEmail:

Attachment: <u>N/A</u>

# Item 6. Permit Contact Information (Instructions, Pages 28)

Provide two names of individuals that can be contacted throughout the permit term.

a. 🔲 Mr. 🗵 Ms. Full Name (First and Last): <u>Hannah Bradish</u>

Title: Environmental Compliance Engineer	Credential: <u>Click to enter text.</u>
Organization Name: Leprino Foods Compa	ny
Mailing Address: <u>1830 W. 38th Ave.</u>	
City: <u>Denver</u> State: <u>Colorado</u>	Zip Code: <u>80211</u>
Phone No: <u>303-548-8718</u> Fax No: <u>N</u>	<u>'A</u> Email: <u>hbradish@leprinofoods.com</u>

b. 🖂 Mr. 🗖 Ms. Full Name (First and Last): <u>Allen Rienstra</u>

Title: ConsultantCredential: Click to enter text.Organization Name: Trinity ConsultantMailing Address: 6150 Clifton Street

TCEQ-10411 (05/20/2022) Industrial Wastewater Application Administrative Report

Page 3 of 20

City: <u>Beaumont</u> State: <u>Texas</u>

Phone No: <u>409-504-6933</u> Fax No: <u>N/A</u> <u>allen.rienstra@trinityconsultants.com</u>

Zip Code: <u>77708</u> Email:

Attachment: N/A

Page **4** of **20** 



### Item 7. Billing Contact Information (Instructions, Page 28)

The permittee is responsible for paying the annual fee. The annual fee will be assessed for permits **in effect on September 1 of each year**. The TCEQ will send a bill to the address provided in this section. The permittee is responsible for terminating the permit when it is no longer needed (form TCEQ-20029).

Provide the complete mailing address where the annual fee invoice should be mailed and the name and phone number of the permittee's representative responsible for payment of the invoice.

🗖 Mr. 🖂 Ms. Full Name (First and Last): <u>Hannah Bradish</u>					
Title: Environmental Compliance Engineer	Credential: <u>Click to enter text.</u>				
Organization Name: Leprino Foods Company					
Mailing Address: <u>1830 W. 38th Ave.</u>					
City: <u>Denver</u> State: <u>Colorado</u>	Zip Code: <u>80211</u>				
Phone No: <u>303-548-8718</u> Fax No: <u>N/A</u>	Email: <u>hbradish@leprinofoods.com</u>				

### Item 8. DMR/MER Contact Information (Instructions, Page 28)

Provide the name and mailing address of the person delegated to receive and submit DMRs or MERs. **Note:** DMR data must be submitted through the NetDMR system. An electronic reporting account can be established once the facility has obtained the permit number.

⊠ Mr. □ Ms. Full Name (First and Last): <u>James Frazier</u>

Title: Environmental Scientist II Credential: Click to enter text.

Organization Name: <u>Leprino Foods Company</u>

Mailing Address: 1830 W. 38th Ave.

City: <u>Denver</u> State: <u>Colorado</u>

Phone No: <u>303-264-5372</u> Fax No: <u>N/A</u>

### Item 9. NOTICE INFORMATION (Instructions, Pages 28

a. Individual Publishing the Notices

□ Mr. 🗵 Ms. Full Name (First and Last): <u>Hannah Bradish</u>

 Title: Environmental Compliance Engineer
 Credential: Click to enter text.

Organization Name: Leprino Foods Company

Mailing Address: <u>1830 W. 38th Ave.</u>

City: <u>Denver</u> State: <u>Colorado</u>

Phone No: <u>303-548-8718</u> Fax No: <u>N/A</u>

Zip Code: <u>80211</u>

Zip Code: 80211

Email: <u>hbradish@leprinofoods.com</u>

Email: jfrazier@leprinofoods.com

b. Method for Receiving Notice of Receipt and Intent to Obtain a Water Quality Permit Package (only for NORI, NAPD will be sent via regular mail)

E-mail: <u>allen.rienstra@trinityconsultants.com; hbradish@leprinofoods.com</u>

□ Fax: <u>N/A</u>

⊠ Regular Mail (USPS)

Mailing Address: 1830 W. 38th Ave.

City: <u>Denver</u> State: <u>Colorado</u>

Zip Code: <u>80211</u>

Page 5 of 20


#### c. Contact in the Notice

□ Mr. 🛛 Ms Full Name (First and Last): <u>Kim DeVigil</u>

Title: Director of CommunicationsCredential: Click to enter text.

Organization Name: Leprino Foods Company

Phone No: <u>303-264-5336</u> Fax No: <u>N/A</u>

Email: kdevigil@leprinofoods.com

d. Public Viewing Location Information

**Note:** If the facility or outfall is located in more than one county, provide a public viewing place for each county.

Public building name: <u>TCEQ – Region 2</u> Location within the building: <u>Reception Area</u>

Physical Address of Building: 5012 50th St #100

City: <u>Lubbock</u> County: <u>Lubbock</u>

e. Bilingual Notice Requirements

This information is required for new, major amendment, and renewal applications. It is not required for minor amendment or minor modification applications.

This section of the application is only used to determine if alternative language notices will be needed. Complete instructions on publishing the alternative language notices will be in your public notice package.

Please call the bilingual/ESL coordinator at the nearest elementary and middle schools and obtain the following information to determine whether an alternative language notices are required.

1. Is a bilingual education program required by the Texas Education Code at the elementary or middle school nearest to the facility or proposed facility?

🛛 Yes 🔲 No 🔲 N/A (Minor amendment or modification)

If no, publication of an alternative language notice is not required; skip to Item 8 (Regulated Entity and Permitted Site Information.)

2. Are the students who attend either the elementary school or the middle school enrolled in a bilingual education program at that school?

⊠ Yes □ No □ N/A (Minor amendment or modification)

3. Do the students at these schools attend a bilingual education program at another location?

□ Yes 🖾 No 🗆 N/A (Minor amendment or modification)

4. Would the school be required to provide a bilingual education program, but the school has waived out of this requirement under 19 TAC §89.1205(g)?

□ Yes 🖾 No 🗆 N/A (Minor amendment or modification)

- 5. If the answer is yes to question 1, 2, 3, or 4, public notices in an alternative language are required. Which language is required by the bilingual program? <u>Spanish</u>
- f. Plain Language Summary Template Complete the Plain Language Summary at the end of this application.

#### Item 10. Regulated Entity and Permitted Site Information (Instructions Pages 29-30)

a. TCEQ issued Regulated Entity Number (RN), if available: <u>RN 111422333</u>

**Note:** If your business site is part of a larger business site, a Regulated Entity Number (RN) may already be assigned for the larger site. Use the RN assigned for the larger site. Search the TCEQ's



Central Registry to determine the RN or to see if the larger site may already be registered as a Regulated Entity. If the site is found, provide the assigned RN.

- b. Name of project or site (the name known by the community where located): Leprino Foods Lubbock Manufacturing Facility
- c. Is the location address of the facility in the existing permit the same?

 $\Box$  Yes  $\Box$  No  $\boxtimes$  N/A (new permit)

Note: If the facility is located in Bexar, Comal, Hays, Kinney, Medina, Travis, Uvalde, or Williamson County, additional information concerning protection of the Edwards Aquifer may be required.

f two at mont fo ailit  $\cap$ d

d.	Owner of treatment facility:				
	□ Mr. □ Ms. Full N	ame (First and Las	t): <u>N/A</u>		
	or Organization Nam	ie: <u>Leprino Foods C</u>			
	Mailing Address: <u>183</u>	0 W. 38th Ave.			
	City: <u>Denver</u>	State: <u>Colorado</u>		Zip Code: <u>802</u>	11
	Phone No: <u>970-347-5</u>	<u>115</u> Fax No	: <u>N/A</u>	Email: <u>jherruc</u>	l@leprinofoods.com
e.	Ownership of facility	r: 🗆 Public	🛛 Private	🗆 Both	🗆 Federal
f.	Owner of land where	treatment facility	is or will be: <u>Leprino F</u>	Foods Company	
	🗆 Mr. 🗆 Ms. Full N	ame (First and Las	t): <u>N/A</u>		
	or Organization Nam	ie: <u>Leprino Foods C</u>	<u>Company</u>		
	Mailing Address: <u>183</u>	0 W. 38th Ave.			
	City: <u>Denver</u>	State: <u>Colorado</u>		Zip Code: <u>802</u>	.11
	Phone No: <u>970-347-5</u>	<u>115</u> Fax No	: <u>N/A</u>	Email: <u>jherruc</u>	l@leprinofoods.com
	<b>Note:</b> If not the same six years (In some case	e as the facility own ses, a lease may no	ner, attach a long-term ot suffice - see instruct	lease agreeme ions). Attachme	nt in effect for at least ent: <u>N/A</u>
g.	Owner of effluent TL	AP disposal site (if	f applicable): <u>N/A</u>		
	🗆 Mr. 🗆 Ms. Full N	ame (First and Las	t): <u>N/A</u>		
	or Organization Nam	ie: <mark>N/A</mark>			
	Mailing Address: <u>N/A</u>	X			
	City: <u>N/A</u>	State: <u>N/A</u>		Zip Code: <u>N/A</u>	A
	Phone No: <u>N/A</u>	Fax No: <u>N/A</u>		Email: <u>N/A</u>	
	<b>Note:</b> If not the same six years. Attachmen	e as the facility own t: <u>N/A</u>	ner, attach a long-term	lease agreemei	nt in effect for at least
h.	Owner of sewage slue	dge disposal site (i	f applicable):		
	□ Mr. □ Ms.	Full Name (First a	and Last): <u>N/A</u>		
	or Organization Nam	ie: <u>N/A</u>			
	Mailing Address: <u>N/A</u>	X			
	City: <u>N/A</u>	State: <u>N/A</u>		Zip Code: <u>N/A</u>	A Contraction of the second seco
	Phone No: <u>N/A</u>	Fax No: <u>N/A</u>		Email: <u>N/A</u>	



**Note:** If not the same as the facility owner, attach a long-term lease agreement in effect for at least six years. Attachment: N/A

#### Item 11. TDPES Discharge/TLAP Disposal Information (Instructions, Pages 31-32)

a. Is the facility located on or does the treated effluent cross Native American Land?

🗆 Yes 🖾 No

- b. Attach an original full size USGS Topographic Map (or an 8.5"×11" reproduced portion for renewal or amendment applications) with all required information. Check the box next to each item below to confirm it has been included on the map.
  - $\boxtimes$  One-mile radius  $\boxtimes$  Three-miles downstream information
  - Applicant's property boundaries I Treatment facility boundaries
  - $\boxtimes$  Labeled point(s) of discharge  $\boxtimes$  Highlighted discharge route(s)
  - Effluent disposal site boundaries
- ⊠ New and future construction

⊠ All wastewater ponds

Attachment: <u>D</u>

c. Is the location of the sewage sludge disposal site in the existing permit accurate?

🗆 Yes 🖾 No or New Permit

□ Sewage sludge disposal site

If no, or a new application, provide an accurate location description: <u>N/A</u>

d. Are the point(s) of discharge in the existing permit correct?

🗆 Yes 🗵 No or New Permit

If no, or a new application, provide an accurate location description: <u>Outfall 001 discharges into</u> <u>Canyon Lake #6 – Classified Segment 1241A</u>

e. Are the discharge route(s) in the existing permit correct?

🗆 Yes 🗵 No or New Permit

If no, or a new permit, provide an accurate description of the discharge route: <u>Discharges to</u> <u>multiple energy dissipation controls will be pumped from the facility via a 14" force main</u> <u>approximately 2.7 miles to energy dissipation structures, then to a 24" HDPE line to the outfall</u> <u>structure located in Canyon Lake #6.</u>

- f. City nearest the outfall(s): <u>Lubbock</u>
- g. County in which the outfalls(s) is/are located: Lubbock
- h. Is or will the treated wastewater discharge to a city, county, or state highway right-of-way, or a flood control district drainage ditch?

🗆 Yes 🖾 No

If yes, indicate by a check mark if: 🗆 Authorization granted 👘 🗖 Authorization pending

For new and amendment applications, attach copies of letters that show proof of contact and provide the approval letter upon receipt. Attachment: N/A

For all applications involving an average daily discharge of 5 MGD or more, provide the names of all counties located within 100 statute miles downstream of the point(s) of discharge: N/A

i. For TLAPs, is the location of the effluent disposal site in the existing permit accurate?

TCEQ-10411 (05/20/2022) Industrial Wastewater Application Administrative Report

Page 8 of 20



🗆 Yes 🖾 No or New Permit

If no, or a new application, provide an accurate location description:  $\underline{N/A}$ 

- j. City nearest the disposal site: <u>N/A</u>
- k. County in which the disposal site is located: N/A
- l. Disposal Site Latitude: <u>N/A</u> Longitude: <u>N/A</u>
- m. For TLAPs, describe how effluent is/will be routed from the treatment facility to the disposal site: N/A
- n. For TLAPs, identify the nearest watercourse to the disposal site to which rainfall runoff might flow if not contained:  $\underline{N/A}$

#### Item 12. MISCELLANEOUS INFORMATION (Instructions, Page 33)

a. Did any person formerly employed by the TCEQ represent your company and get paid for service regarding this application?

🗆 Yes 🖾 No

If yes, list each person: <u>N/A</u>

b. Do you owe any fees to the TCEQ?

🗆 Yes 🖾 No

If yes, provide the account no.: N/A and total amount due: N/A

- c. Do you owe any penalties to the TCEQ?
  - 🗆 Yes 🖾 No

If yes, provide the enforcement order no.: <u>N/A</u> and amount due: <u>N/A</u>



#### Item 13. SIGNATURE PAGE (Instructions, Pages 33-34)

Permit No: WQ000 New Permit

Applicant Name: Joseph Herrud

Certification: I, <u>Joseph Herrud</u>, certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gather and evaluate the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations.

I further certify that I am authorized under 30 Texas Administrative Code §305.44 to sign and submit this document and can provide documentation in proof of such authorization upon request.

Signatory name (typed or printed): Joseph Herrud

Signatory title: Senior Director of Environmental Engineering

ignature:	Date:	
(Use blue ink)		
ubscribed and Sworn to before me by t	the said	
n this	day of	, 20
ly commission expires on the	day of	, 20
on this	day of day of	, 20, 20

Notary Public

[SEAL]

County, Texas

**Note:** *If co-applicants are necessary, each entity must submit an original, separate signature page.* 



# ATTACHMENT 1

# INDIVIDUAL INFORMATION

## Item 1. Individual information (Instructions, Page 38)

Complete this attachment if the facility applicant or co-applicant is an individual. Make additional copies of this attachment if both are individuals.

Prefix (Mr., Ms., or Miss): <u>N/A</u>

Full legal name (first, middle, and last): <u>N/A</u>

Driver's License or State Identification Number: N/A

Date of Birth: <u>N/A</u>

Mailing Address: <u>N/A</u>

City, State, and Zip Code: <u>N/A</u>

Phone No.: <u>N/A N/A</u>

Fax No.: <u>N/A</u>

E-mail Address: N/A

CN: <u>N/A</u>





# Plain Language Summary for Texas Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (TPDES) and Texas Land Application (TLAP) Permit Applications

Leprino Foods Company (CN605980739) proposes to operate Leprino Foods Lubbock Manufacturing Facility (RN111422333) a cheese (except cottage cheese) manufacturing facility. The facility will be located approximately 0.5 miles east of East Loop 289, north of E 19<sup>th</sup> St and south of E 4<sup>th</sup> St, in Lubbock, Lubbock County, Texas 76403. The new application to discharge 2,000,000 gallons per day of treated wastewater, composing of food manufacturing process water, and cooling water for discharge to Outfall 001. With the exception of high TDS water, process wastewater and cooling water will treated by an onsite wastewater treatment plant. Wastewater and cooling water discharge will be continuous. All flows will be pumped from the facility through a 14" force main to an energy dissipation structure thence to a 24" HDPE line to the outfall structure (001) located in Canyon Lake #6 classified segment 1241A. Process and cooling water will be supplied by Lubbock Public Water System owned and operated by the City of Lubbock (CN600130736, RN101248722). The facility will be equipped with four lagoons for purpose of wastewater management. Brine will be kept separate from the wastewater streams in production and have dedicated lift stations to send to two evaporation lagoons. Two additional lagoons will be utilized for effluent storage in the event of corrective action measures of emergency conditions. Solids collected in the evaporation lagoons will be removed on a two-year cycle by registered waste haulers. Domestic sewage will be routed to the Southeast Water Reclamation Plant Wastewater Treatment Facility WQ0010353002.

Page 18 of 20



# PLANTILLA EN ESPAÑOL PARA SOLICITUDES NUEVAS/RENOVACIONES/ENMIENDAS DE TPDES o TLAP

## AGUAS RESIDUALES INDUSTRIALES/AGUAS PLUVIALES

*El siguiente resumen se proporciona para esta solicitud de permiso de calidad del agua pendiente que está siendo revisada por la Comisión de Calidad Ambiental de Texas según lo requerido por el Capítulo 39 del Código Administrativo de Texas 30. La información proporcionada en este resumen puede cambiar durante la revisión técnica de la solicitud y no son representaciones federales exigibles de la solicitud de permiso.* 

Leprino Foods Company (CN605980739) propone operar Leprino Foods Lubbock Manufacturing Facility (RN111422333) una instalación de fabricación de gueso (excepto queso cottage). La instalación estará ubicada aproximadamente a 0,5 millas al este de East Loop 289, al norte de E 19th St y al sur de E 4th St, en Lubbock, Condado de Lubbock, Texas 76403. La nueva solicitud de vertido de 2.000.000 de galones diarios de aguas residuales tratadas, compuestas por agua de proceso de fabricación de alimentos y agua de refrigeración para su vertido en el emisor 001. Con la excepción del agua de salmuera, las aguas residuales de proceso y el agua de refrigeración serán tratadas por una planta de tratamiento de aguas residuales in situ. El vertido de aguas residuales y de refrigeración será continuo. Todos los flujos se bombearán desde la instalación a través de una tubería de impulsión de 14" hasta una estructura de disipación de energía y de ahí a una línea de HDPE de 24" hasta la estructura de vertido (001) situada en el lago Canvon #6 - segmento clasificado 1241A. El agua de proceso y de refrigeración será suministrada por el sistema público de agua de Lubbock, propiedad de la ciudad de Lubbock y operado por ella (CN600130736, RN101248722). La instalación estará equipada con cuatro lagunas para la gestión de las aguas residuales. La salmuera se mantendrá separada de los flujos de aguas residuales en la producción y tendrá estaciones de bombeo específicas para enviarla a dos lagunas de evaporación. Otras dos lagunas se utilizarán para el almacenamiento de efluentes en caso de que se adopten medidas correctoras en situaciones de emergencia. Los sólidos recogidos en las lagunas de evaporación serán retirados en un ciclo de dos años por transportistas de residuos registrados. Las aguas residuales domésticas se dirigirán a la planta de tratamiento de aguas residuales del sureste WO0010353002.



3. INDUSTRIAL ADMINISTRATIVE REPORT 1.1



# **INDUSTRIAL ADMINISTRATIVE REPORT 1.1**

The following information is required for new and amendment applications.

#### Item 1. AFFECTED LANDOWNER INFORMATION (Instructions, Pages 35-36)

- a. Attach a landowner map or drawing, with scale, as applicable. Check the box next to each item to confirm it has been provided.
  - $\boxtimes$  The applicant's property boundaries.
  - ☑ The facility site boundaries within the applicant's property boundaries.
  - The distance the buffer zone falls into adjacent properties and the property boundaries of the landowners located within the buffer zone.
  - ☑ The property boundaries of all landowners surrounding the applicant's property. (Note: if the application is a major amendment for a lignite mine, the map must include the property boundaries of all landowners adjacent to the new facility (ponds).)
  - The point(s) of discharge and highlighted discharge route(s) clearly shown for one mile downstream.
  - The property boundaries of the landowners located on both sides of the discharge route for one full stream mile downstream of the point of discharge.
  - The property boundaries of the landowners along the watercourse for a one-half mile radius from the point of discharge if the point of discharge is into a lake, bay, estuary, or affected by tides.
  - The boundaries of the effluent disposal site (e.g., irrigation area or subsurface drainfield site) and all evaporation/holding ponds within the applicant's property.
  - The property boundaries of all landowners surrounding the applicant's property boundaries where the effluent disposal site is located.
  - □ The boundaries of the sludge land application site (for land application of sewage sludge for beneficial use) and the property boundaries of landowners within one-quarter mile of the applicant's property boundaries where the sewage sludge land application site is located.
  - □ The property boundaries of landowners within one-half mile in all directions from the applicant's property boundaries where the sewage sludge disposal site (e.g., sludge surface disposal site or sludge monofil) is located.

Attachment: F

b. Check the box next to the format of the landowners list:

 $\boxtimes$  Readable/Writeable CD  $\square$  Four sets of labels

Attachment: <u>F</u>

- d. Provide the source of the landowners' names and mailing addresses: <u>Lubbock County Appraisal</u> <u>District</u>
- e. As required by Texas Water Code § 5.115, is any permanent school fund land affected by this application?

🗆 Yes 🖾 No

If yes, provide the location and foreseeable impacts and effects this application has on the land(s):  $\underline{N/A}$ 

#### Item 2. ORIGINAL PHOTOGRAPHS (Instructions, Page 37)

Provide original ground level photographs. Check the box next to each of the following items to indicate it is included.

- At least one original photograph of the new or expanded treatment unit location.
- At least two photographs of the existing/proposed point of discharge and as much area downstream (photo 1) and upstream (photo 2) as can be captured. If the discharge is to an open water body (e.g., lake, bay), the point of discharge should be in the right or left edge of each photograph showing the open water and with as much area on each respective side of the discharge as can be captured.
- At least one photograph of the existing/proposed effluent disposal site.
- A plot plan or map showing the location and direction of each photograph.

Attachment: <u>G</u>



4. SUPPLEMENTAL PERMIT INFORMATION FORM



## TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

# SUPPLEMENTAL PERMIT INFORMATION FORM (SPIF)

# FOR AGENCIES REVIEWING INDUSTRIAL TPDES WASTEWATER PERMIT APPLICATIONS

TCEQ USE ONLY:						
Application type:RenewalMajor Am	nendmentNinor AmendmentNew					
County:	_ Segment Number:					
Admin Complete Date:	_					
Agency Receiving SPIF:						
Texas Historical Commission	U.S. Fish and Wildlife					
Texas Parks and Wildlife Department	U.S. Army Corps of Engineers					

This form applies to TPDES permit applications only. (Instructions, Page 37)

The SPIF must be completed as a separate document. The TCEQ will mail a copy of the SPIF to each agency as required by the TCEQ agreement with EPA. If any of the items are not completely addressed or further information is needed, you will be contacted to provide the information before the permit is issued. Each item must be completely addressed.

Do not refer to a response of any item in the permit application form. Each attachment must be provided with this form separately from the administrative report of the application. The application will not be declared administratively complete without this form being completed in its entirety including all attachments.

The following applies to all applications:

- 1. Permittee Name: <u>Leprino Foods Company</u>
- 2. Permit No.: WQ000 New Permit EPA ID No.: TX0 New Permit
- 3. Address of the project (location description that includes street/highway, city/vicinity, and county): <u>The Production Plant street address is 4301 East 19th Street, Lubbock, TX. The Wastewater</u> <u>Treatment Plant street address is 4502 East 4th Street, Lubbock, TX 79403. The Production Plant</u> <u>and the Treatment Plant are located on two contiguous tracts owned by Leprino Foods Company.</u> <u>The property is located approximately 0.5 miles to the east of Texas 289 Loop Frontage and</u> <u>bordered on the south by E 19th St, and on the north by E 4th Street,</u>
- 4. Provide the name, address, phone and fax number, and email address of an individual that can be contacted to answer specific questions about the property.

Full Name (First and Last): Hannah BradishOrganization Name: Leprino Foods Company Mailing Address: 1830 W. 38th Ave.City: DenverState: ColoradoPhone No: 303-548-8718Fax No: N/AEmail: hbradish@leprinofoods.com

5. List the county in which the facility is located: <u>Lubbock</u>

TCEQ-10411 (05/20/2022) Industrial Wastewater Application Administrative Report

Page 13 of 20



- 6. If the property is publicly owned and the owner is different than the permittee/applicant, please list the owner of the property: N/A
- 7. Provide a description of the effluent discharge route. The discharge route must follow the flow of effluent from the point of discharge to the nearest major watercourse (from the point of discharge to a classified segment as defined in 30 TAC Chapter 307). If known, please identify the classified segment number: Discharges will be pumped from the facility via a 14" force main approximately 2.7 miles to two energy dissipation structures, then to a 24" HDPE line to a subsurface outfall structure located in Canyon Lake #6.
- 8. Please provide a separate 7.5-minute USGS quadrangle map with the project boundaries plotted and a general location map showing the project area. Please highlight the discharge route from the point of discharge for a distance of one mile downstream. (This map is required in addition to the map in the administrative report.) Attachment: <u>H</u>
- 9. Provide original photographs of any structures 50 years or older on the property. Attachment: N/A
- 10. Does your project involve any of the following? Check all that apply.
  - Proposed access roads, utility lines, construction easements
  - Uvisual effects that could damage or detract from a historic property's integrity
  - □ Vibration effects during construction or as a result of project design
  - □ Additional phases of development that are planned for the future
  - Sealing caves, fractures, sinkholes, other karst features
  - Disturbance of vegetation or wetlands
- 11. List proposed construction impact (surface acres to be impacted, depth of excavation, sealing of caves, or other karst features): <u>Surface area to be disturbed is approximately 45 acres. Structural fill will be added for site preparation. No caves or karst features are expected to be present within the construction area.</u>
- 12. Describe existing disturbances, vegetation, and land use: <u>Construction activities have started and</u> <u>are covered under a Stormwater General Permit for Construction Activities (held by Whiting</u> <u>Turner).</u>

THE FOLLOWING ITEMS APPLY ONLY TO APPLICATIONS FOR NEW TPDES PERMITS AND MAJOR AMENDMENTS TO TPDES PERMITS

- 13. List construction dates of all buildings and structures on the property:  $\frac{11/22}{2022}$
- 14. Provide a brief history of the property, and name of the architect/builder, if known: <u>Property has</u> <u>been used for agricultural farming and is undeveloped</u>. The Probst Group is the engineering firm of record for designing the facility layout and treatment facility.



5. INDUSTRIAL TECHNICAL REPORT 1.0



# TECHNICAL REPORT 1.0 INDUSTRIAL

The following information **is required** for all applications for a TLAP or an individual TPDES discharge permit.

For additional information or clarification on the requested information, refer to the <u>Instructions for</u> <u>Completing the Industrial Wastewater Permit Application</u><sup>1</sup> available on the TCEQ website.

If more than one outfall is included in the application, provide applicable information for each individual outfall. **If an item does not apply to the facility, enter N/A** to indicate that the item has been considered. Include separate reports or additional sheets as **clearly cross-referenced attachments** and provide the attachment number in the space provided for the item the attachment addresses.

**NOTE:** This application is for an industrial wastewater permit only. Additional authorizations from the TCEQ Waste Permits Division or the TCEQ Air Permits Division may be needed.

## 1. FACILITY/SITE INFORMATION (Instructions, Pages 39-40)

a. Describe the general nature of the business and type(s) of industrial and commercial activities. Include all applicable SIC codes (up to 4).

Leprino Foods Company proposes to construct a new mozzarella cheese and nutrition manufacturing facility in Lubbock, Texas. The manufactured dairy-based cheese will be for commercial food operators for public consumption. The Facility will be operating under the Primary Standard Industry Code: 2022 (Natural, Processed, and Imitation Cheese) and NAICS Code: 311513. See Attachment I for more details.

b. Describe all wastewater-generating processes at the facility.

Raw fluid milk is brought into the facility as the main ingredient to the cheese make process. The milk is reacted to coagulate the proteins and form cheese curds and liquid whey. The liquid whey is separated from the cheese and processed into nutrition products through separation and drying processes which removes the associated water. The associated water (COW water) and potable water used for daily sanitation is discharged to the onsite wastewater treatment plant and then discharged to Outfall 001. The brine used to cool and add salt to the cheese is managed in a separate evaporation system along with other high TDS waters. Cooling water discharges, boiler water, and reverse osmosis reject water will also be sent to the wastewater treatment facility and then discharged to Outfall 001. See Attachment I for more details.



<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> <u>https://www.tceq.texas.gov/permitting/wastewater/industrial/TPDES\_industrial\_wastewater\_steps.html</u>

c. Provide a list of raw materials, major intermediates, and final products handled at the facility.

Raw Materials	Intermediate Products	Final Products	
Milk	Permeate	Mozzarella Cheese	
Nonfat dry milk	Liquid Whey	Whey Protein Powder	
Salt	Cream	Sweet Whey Powder	
Cellulose	Skim Milk	Permeate Powder	
		Cream	

#### Attachment: N/A

- d. Attach a facility map (drawn to scale) with the following information:
  - Production areas, maintenance areas, materials-handling areas, waste-disposal areas, and water intake structures.
  - The location of each unit of the WWTP including the location of wastewater collection sumps, impoundments, outfalls, and sampling points, if significantly different from outfall locations.

#### Attachment: K

- e. Is this a new permit application for an existing facility?
  - $\Box$  Yes  $\boxtimes$  No

If **yes**, provide background discussion: N/A

f. Is/will the treatment facility/disposal site be located above the 100-year frequency flood level.

🖾 Yes 🗆 No

List source(s) used to determine 100-year frequency flood plain: FEMA FIRM 48303CO310E

If **no**, provide the elevation of the 100-year frequency flood plain and describe what protective measures are used/proposed to prevent flooding (including tail water and rainfall run-on controls) of the treatment facility and disposal area: N/A

#### Attachment: N/A

- g. For **new** or **major amendment** permit applications, will any construction operations result in a discharge of fill material into a water in the state?
  - $\boxtimes$  Yes  $\square$  No  $\square$  N/A (renewal only)
- h. If yes to Item 1.g, has the applicant applied for a USACE CWA Chapter 404 Dredge and Fill permit?
  - $\Box$  Yes  $\boxtimes$  No

If yes, provide the permit number: <u>NWP-58</u>

If **no**, provide an approximate date of application submittal to the USACE: N/A

## 2. TREATMENT SYSTEM (Instructions, Page 40)

a. List any physical, chemical, or biological treatment process(es) used/proposed to treat wastewater at this facility. Include a description of each treatment process, starting with initial treatment and finishing with the outfall/point of disposal.

The wastewater treatment plant (WWTP) will use a combination of anaerobic and aerobic activated sludge systems to treat high strength wastewater (HSW) and low strength wastewater (LSW) generated in production. The outfall point of disposal will be Canyon Lake #6. For wastewater treatment details please refer to Memo: Detailed Process Description for Project Armadillo found in Attachment P.

b. Attach a flow schematic **with a water balance** showing all sources of water and wastewater flow into the facility, wastewater flow into and from each treatment unit, and wastewater flow to each outfall/point of disposal.

## Attachment: L

## 3. IMPOUNDMENTS (Instructions, Pages 40-42)

Does the facility use or plan to use any wastewater impoundments (e.g., lagoons or ponds?)

 $\boxtimes$  Yes  $\Box$  No

If **no**, proceed to Item 4. If **yes**, complete **Item 3.a** for **existing** impoundments and **Items 3.a - 3.e** for **new or proposed** impoundments. **NOTE:** See instructions, Pages 40-42, for additional information on the attachments required by Items 3.a – 3.e.

a. Complete the table with the following information for each existing, new, or proposed impoundment:

**Use Designation:** Indicate the use designation for each impoundment as Treatment (**T**), Disposal (**D**), Containment (**C**), or Evaporation (**E**).

Associated Outfall Number: Provide an outfall number if a discharge occurs or will occur.

**Liner Type:** Indicate the liner type as Compacted clay liner (**C**), In-situ clay liner (**I**), Synthetic/plastic/rubber liner (**S**), or Alternate liner (**A**). **NOTE:** See instructions for further detail on liner specifications. If an alternate liner (A) is selected, include an attachment that provides a description of the alternate liner and any additional technical information necessary for an evaluation.

**Leak Detection System:** If any leak detection systems are in place/planned, enter **Y** for yes. Otherwise, enter **N** for no.

**Groundwater Monitoring Wells and Data:** If groundwater monitoring wells are in place/planned, enter **Y** for yes. Otherwise, enter **N** for no. Attach any existing groundwater monitoring data.

**Dimensions:** Provide the dimensions, freeboard, surface area, storage capacity of the impoundments, and the maximum depth (not including freeboard). For impoundments with irregular shapes, submit surface area instead of length and width.

**Compliance with 40 CFR Part 257, Subpart D:** If the impoundment is required to be in compliance with 40 CFR Part 257, Subpart D, enter **Y** for yes. Otherwise, enter **N** for no.

**Date of Construction:** Enter the date construction of the impoundment commenced (mm/dd/yy).

## **Impoundment Information**

Parameter	Evaporation Lagoon	Evaporation Lagoon	Non- Compliant Effluent Lagoon	Calamity Lagoon
Use Designation: (T) (D) (C) or (E)	Е	Е	С	Т
Associated Outfall Number	N/A	N/A	001	001
Liner Type (C) (I) (S) or (A)	S	S	S	S
Alt. Liner Attachment Reference	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Leak Detection System, Y/N	Y	Y	Y	Y
Groundwater Monitoring Wells, Y/N	N	N	Ν	Ν
Groundwater Monitoring Data Attachment	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν
Pond Bottom Located Above The Seasonal High-Water Table, Y/N	Y	Y	Y	Y
Length (ft)	635	635	590	Irregular
Width (ft)	635	635	320	Irregular
Max Depth From Water Surface (ft), Not Including Freeboard	5.5	5.5	10.5	6.5
Freeboard (ft)	18"	18"	18"	18"
Surface Area (acres)	9.25	9.25	3.25	0.8
Storage Capacity (gallons)	12,500,000	12,500,000	10,500,000	1,500,000
40 CFR Part 257, Subpart D, Y/N	N	N	N	N
Date of Construction (estimated)	09/01/2022	09/01/2022	09/01/2022	09/01/2022

## **Impoundment Information**

Parameter	Pond # N/A	Pond # N/A	Pond # N/A	Pond # N/A
Use Designation: (T) (D) (C) or (E)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Associated Outfall Number	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Liner Type (C) (I) (S) or (A)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Alt. Liner Attachment Reference	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Leak Detection System, Y/N	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Groundwater Monitoring Wells, Y/N	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Groundwater Monitoring Data Attachment	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Pond Bottom Located Above The Seasonal High-Water Table, Y/N	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Length (ft)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Width (ft)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Max Depth From Water Surface (ft), not including freeboard	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Freeboard (ft)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Surface Area (acres)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Storage Capacity (gallons)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

TCEQ-10055 (05/20/2022) Industrial Wastewater Application Technical Report

Page 4 of 81
LEPRINO\_000233

Parameter	Pond # N/A	Pond # N/A	Pond # N/A	Pond # N/A
40 CFR Part 257, Subpart D, Y/N	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Date of Construction	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

## Attachment: N

The following information (Items 3.b – 3.e) is required only for **new or proposed** impoundments.

- b. For new or proposed impoundments, attach any available information on the following items. If attached, check **yes** in the appropriate box. Otherwise, check **no** or **not yet designed**.
- i. Liner data
  - $\Box$  Yes  $\Box$  No  $\boxtimes$  Not yet designed
- ii. Leak detection system or groundwater monitoring data
  - $\Box$  Yes  $\Box$  No  $\boxtimes$  Not yet designed
- iii. Groundwater impacts
  - $\Box$  Yes  $\boxtimes$  No  $\Box$  Not yet designed

**NOTE:** Item b.iii is required if the bottom of the pond is not above the seasonal high-water table in the shallowest water-bearing zone.

## Attachment: N

## For TLAP applications: Items 3.c – 3.e are not required, continue to Item 4.

c. Attach a USGS map or a color copy of original quality and scale which accurately locates and identifies all known water supply wells and monitor wells within <sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub>-mile of the impoundments.

## Attachment: N

d. Attach copies of State Water Well Reports (e.g., driller's logs, completion data, etc.), and data on depths to groundwater for all known water supply wells including a description of how the depths to groundwater were obtained.

## Attachment: N

e. Attach information pertaining to the groundwater, soils, geology, pond liner, etc. used to assess the potential for migration of wastes from the impoundments or the potential for contamination of groundwater or surface water.

## Attachment: N

# 4. OUTFALL/DISPOSAL METHOD INFORMATION (Instructions, Pages 42-43)

Complete the following tables to describe the location and wastewater discharge or disposal operations for each outfall for discharge operations, and for each point of disposal for TLAP operations.

If there are more outfalls/points of disposal at the facility than the spaces provided, copies of pages 6 and/or numbered accordingly (i.e., page 6a, 6b, etc.) may be used to provide information on the additional outfalls.

**For TLAP applications:** Indicate the disposal method and each individual irrigation area **I**, evaporation pond **E**, or subsurface drainage system **S** by providing the appropriate letter designation for the disposal



method followed by a numerical designation for each disposal area in the space provided for **Outfall** number (e.g. **E1** for evaporation pond 1, **I2** for irrigation area No. 2, etc.).



#### Outfall Latitude and Longitude

Outfall Number	Latitude-decimal degrees	Longitude-decimal degrees
001	33.581388	-101.812317

## **Outfall Location Description**

Outfall	Location
Number	Description
001	At Canyon Lake #6 approximately 30 from the edge of water on the bank (water surface elevation=3131.50) and approximately 3' from the top of the outfall box to the water surface.

## Description of Sampling Points (if different from Outfall location)

Outfall	Description of
Number	Sampling Point
001	Sampling point will be collected in the main WWTP just downstream after treatment from the effluent pumps.

## **Outfall Flow Information – Permitted and Proposed**

Outfall Number	Permitted Daily Avg Flow (MGD)	Permitted Daily Max Flow (MGD)	Proposed Daily Avg Flow (MGD)	Proposed Daily Max Flow (MGD)	Anticipated Discharge Date (mm/dd/yy)
001	N/A	N/A	2.0	2.5	10/01/2024

## **Outfall Discharge – Method and Measurement**

Outfall	Pumped Discharge?	Gravity Discharge?	Type of Flow Measurement
Number	Y/N	Y/N	Device Used
001	Y	Ν	Electro-magnetic flowmeter

## **Outfall Discharge – Flow Characteristics**

Outfall Number	Intermittent Discharge? Y/N	Continuous Discharge? Y/N	Seasonal Discharge? Y/N	Discharge Duration (hrs/day)	Discharge Duration (days/mo)	Discharge Duration (mo/yr)
001	Ν	Y	Ν	24	31	12
N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

TCEQ-10055 (05/20/2022) Industrial Wastewater Application Technical Report

Page **7** of **81** 

LEPRINO\_000236

Outfall Number	Intermittent Discharge? Y/N	Continuous Discharge? Y/N	Seasonal Discharge? Y/N	Discharge Duration (hrs/day)	Discharge Duration (days/mo)	Discharge Duration (mo/yr)
N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

## Wastestream Contributions

#### Outfall No.: 001

Contributing Wastestreams	Volume (MGD)	% of Total Flow
Milk Receiving	0.14	7.18
Cheese	0.64	32.82
Processing	0.05	2.56
Nutrition	0.56	28.72
Boilers/Utilities	0.56	2.56
Cooling Tower/Evap. Condenser Blowdown	0.25	12.82
Potential RO Reject	0.26	13.33

## Outfall No.: <u>N/A</u>

Contributing Wastestreams	Volume (MGD)	% of Total Flow

## Outfall No.: <u>N/A</u>

Contributing Wastestreams	Volume (MGD)	% of Total Flow

Page 8 of 81
LEPRINO\_000237

Contributing Wastestreams	Volume (MGD)	% of Total Flow

Attachment:  $\underline{L}$ 



## 5. BLOWDOWN AND ONCE-THROUGH COOLING WATER DISCHARGES (Instructions, Page 44)

a. Does the facility use/propose to use any cooling towers which discharge blowdown or other wastestreams to the outfall(s)?

🛛 Yes 🗆 No

NOTE: If the facility uses or plans to use cooling towers, Item 12 is required.

b. Does the facility use or plan to use any boilers that discharge blowdown or other wastestreams to the outfall(s)?

🛛 Yes 🗆 No

c. Does or will the facility discharge once-through cooling water to the outfall(s)?

🗆 Yes 🖾 No

NOTE: If the facility uses or plans to use once-through cooling water, Item 12 is required.

- d. If **yes** to Items 5.a, 5.b, **or** 5.c, attach the SDS with the following information for each chemical additive.
  - Manufacturers Product Identification Number
  - Product use (e.g., biocide, fungicide, corrosion inhibitor, etc.)
  - Chemical composition including CASRN for each ingredient
  - Classify product as non-persistent, persistent, or bioaccumulative
  - Product or active ingredient half-life
  - Frequency of product use (e.g., 2 hours/day once every two weeks)
  - Product toxicity data specific to fish and aquatic invertebrate organisms
  - Concentration of whole product or active ingredient, as appropriate, in wastestream.

Attach a summary of this information in addition to the submittal of the SDS for each specific wastestream and the associated chemical additives and specify which outfalls are affected.

## Attachment: M

e. Cooling Towers and Boilers

If **yes** to either Item 5.a **or** 5.b, complete the following table.

#### **Cooling Towers and Boilers**

Type of Unit	Number of Units	Dly Avg Blowdown (gallons/day)	Dly Max Blowdown (gallons/day)
Cooling Towers (1 unit) /Evaporative Condensers (6 units)	7	250,000	275,000
Boilers	5	35,000	50,000

# 6. STORMWATER MANAGEMENT (Instructions, Page 44)

Are there any existing/proposed outfalls which discharge stormwater associated with industrial activities, as defined at *40 CFR § 122.26(b)(14)*, commingled with any other wastestream?

 $\Box$  Yes  $\boxtimes$  No

TCEQ-10055 (05/20/2022) Industrial Wastewater Application Technical Report

If **yes**, briefly describe the industrial processes and activities that occur outdoors or in some manner which may result in exposure of the activities or materials to stormwater: N/A

## 7. DOMESTIC SEWAGE, SEWAGE SLUDGE, AND SEPTAGE MANAGEMENT AND DISPOSAL (Instructions, Page 45)

**Domestic Sewage** - Waste and wastewater from humans or household operations that is discharged to a wastewater collection system or otherwise enters a treatment works.

- a. Check the box next to the appropriate method of domestic sewage and domestic sewage sludge treatment or disposal. Complete Worksheet 5.0 or Item 7.b if directed to do so.
  - Domestic sewage is routed (i.e., connected to or transported to) to a WWTP permitted to receive domestic sewage for treatment, disposal, or both. **Complete Item 7.b**.
  - Domestic sewage disposed of by an on-site septic tank and drainfield system. **Complete Item 7.b**.
  - Domestic and industrial treatment sludge **ARE commingled** prior to use or disposal.
  - Industrial wastewater and domestic sewage are treated separately, and the respective sludge IS NOT commingled prior to sludge use or disposal. Complete Worksheet 5.0.
  - □ Facility is a POTW. **Complete Worksheet 5.0**.
  - Domestic sewage is not generated on-site.
  - $\Box$  Other (e.g., portable toilets), specify and **Complete Item 7.b**: <u>N/A</u>
- b. Provide the name and TCEQ, NPDES, or TPDES Permit No. of the waste-disposal facility which receives the domestic sewage/septage. If hauled by motorized vehicle, provide the name and TCEQ Registration No. of the hauler.

#### Domestic Sewage Plant/Hauler Name

Plant/Hauler Name	Permit/Registration No.
Southeast Water Reclamation Plant Wastewater Treatment Facility	WQ0010353002
Septic System Hauler - TBD	TBD

## 8. IMPROVEMENTS OR COMPLIANCE/ENFORCEMENT REQUIREMENTS (Instructions, Page 45)

- a. Is the permittee currently required to meet any implementation schedule for compliance or enforcement?
  - 🗆 Yes 🖂 No
- b. Has the permittee completed or planned for any improvements or construction projects?

🗆 Yes 🖾 No

c. If yes to either 8.a or 8.b, provide a brief summary of the requirements and a status update: N/A

## 9. TOXICITY TESTING (Instructions, Page 45)

Have any biological tests for acute or chronic toxicity been made on any of the discharges or on a receiving water in relation to the discharge within the last three years?

🗆 Yes 🖾 No

If yes, identify the tests and describe their purposes: N/A

TCEQ-10055 (05/20/2022) Industrial Wastewater Application Technical Report

Page 11 of 81
LEPRINO\_000240

Additionally, attach a copy of all tests performed which **have not** been submitted to the TCEQ or EPA.

## Attachment: N/A

## 10. OFF-SITE/THIRD PARTY WASTES (Instructions, Page 45)

a. Does or will the facility receive wastes from off-site sources for treatment at the facility, disposal on-site via land application, or discharge via a permitted outfall?

🖾 Yes 🗆 No

If yes, provide responses to Items 10.b through 10.d below.

If **no**, proceed to Item 11.

- b. Attach the following information to the application:
  - List of wastes received (including volumes, characterization, and capability with on-site wastes).
  - Identify the sources of wastes received (including the legal name and addresses of the generators).
  - Description of the relationship of waste source(s) with the facility's activities.

#### Attachment: See end of this section

- c. Is or will wastewater from another TCEQ, NPDES, or TPDES permitted facility commingled with this facility's wastewater after final treatment and prior to discharge via the final outfall/point of disposal?
  - 🗆 Yes 🖂 No

If **yes**, provide the name, address, and TCEQ, NPDES, or TPDES permit number of the contributing facility and a copy of any agreements or contracts relating to this activity.

#### Attachment:

- d. Is this facility a POTW that accepts/will accept process wastewater from any SIU and has/is required to have an approved pretreatment program under the NPDES/TPDES program?
  - 🗆 Yes 🛛 No

If yes, Worksheet 6.0 of this application is required.

## 11. RADIOACTIVE MATERIALS (Instructions, Pages 46)

- a. Are/will radioactive materials be mined, used, stored, or processed at this facility?
  - 🗆 Yes 🖾 No

If **yes**, use the following table to provide the results of one analysis of the effluent for all radioactive materials that may be present. Provide results in pCi/L.

#### **Radioactive Materials Mined, Used, Stored, or Processed**

Radioactive Material	Concentration (pCi/L)
N/A	N/A

- b. Does the applicant or anyone at the facility have any knowledge or reason to believe that radioactive materials may be present in the discharge, including naturally occurring radioactive materials in the source waters or on the facility property?
  - 🗆 Yes 🖾 No

If **yes**, use the following table to provide the results of one analysis of the effluent for all radioactive materials that may be present. Provide results in pCi/L. Do not include information provided in response to Item 11.a.

#### **Radioactive Materials Present in the Discharge**

Radioactive Material	Concentration (pCi/L)
N/A	N/A

## 12. COOLING WATER (Instructions, Pages 46-47)

- a. Does the facility use or propose to use water for cooling purposes?
  - 🖾 Yes 🗆 No

If **no**, stop here. If **yes**, complete Items 12.b thru 12.f.

- b. Cooling water is/will be obtained from a groundwater source (e.g., on-site well).
  - 🗆 Yes 🖾 No

If **yes**, stop here. If **no**, continue.

c. Cooling Water Supplier

i. Provide the name of the owner(s) and operator(s) for the CWIS that supplies or will supply water for cooling purposes to the facility.

#### Cooling Water Intake Structure(s) Owner(s) and Operator(s)

CWIS ID	LUBBOCK PUBLIC WATER SYSTEM		
Owner	City of Lubbock		
Operator	City of Lubbock		

ii. Cooling water is/will be obtained from a Public Water Supplier (PWS)

 $\boxtimes$  Yes  $\square$  No

If no, continue. If yes, provide the PWS Registration No. and stop here: PWS No. 1520002

- iii. Cooling water is/will be obtained from a reclaimed water source?
  - 🗆 Yes 🗆 No

If **no**, continue. If **yes**, provide the Reuse Authorization No. and stop here: <u>N/A</u>

Page 13 of 81 LEPRINO 000242 iv. Cooling water is/will be obtained from an Independent Supplier

🗆 Yes 🗆 No

If **yes**, provide the actual intake flow of the Independent Supplier's CWIS that is/will be used to provide water for cooling purposes to the facility and proceed: N/A

If **no**, proceed to Item 12.d.

d. 316(b) General Criteria

i. The CWIS(s) used to provide water for cooling purposes to the facility has or will have a cumulative design intake flow of 2 MGD or greater.

🗆 Yes 🗆 No

ii. At least 25% of the total water withdrawn by the CWIS is/will be used at the facility exclusively for cooling purposes on an annual average basis.

□ Yes □ No

iii. The CWIS(s) withdraw(s)/propose(s) to withdraw water for cooling purposes from surface waters that meet the definition of Waters of the United States in *40 CFR § 122.2*.

🗆 Yes 🗆 No

If **no**, provide an explanation of how the waterbody does not meet the definition of Waters of the United States in *40 CFR § 122.2*:

If **yes** to all three questions in Item 12.d, the facility **meets** the minimum criteria to be subject to the full requirements of Section 316(b) of the CWA. Proceed to **Item 12.f**.

If **no** to any of the questions in Item 12.d, the facility **does not meet** the minimum criteria to be subject to the full requirements of Section 316(b) of the CWA; however, a determination is required based upon BPJ. Proceed to **Item 12.e**.

e. The facility does not meet the minimum requirements to be subject to the fill requirements of Section 316(b) **and uses/proposes to use cooling towers**.

🗆 Yes 🗆 No

If **yes**, stop here. If **no**, complete Worksheet 11.0, Items 1(a), 1(b)(i-iii) and (vi), 2(b)(i), and 3(a) to allow for a determination based upon BPJ.

- f. Oil and Gas Exploration and Production
- i. The facility is subject to requirements at 40 CFR Part 435, Subparts A or D.

□ Yes □ No

If **yes**, continue. If **no**, skip to Item 12.g.

ii. The facility is an existing facility as defined at 40 CFR § 125.92(k) or a new unit at an existing facility as defined at 40 CFR § 125.92(u).

🗆 Yes 🗆 No

If **yes**, complete Worksheet 11.0, Items 1(a), 1(b)(i-iii) and (vi), 2(b)(i), and 3(a) to allow for a determination based upon BPJ. If **no**, skip to Item 12.g.iii.

- g. Compliance Phase and Track Selection
- i. Phase I New facility subject to 40 CFR Part 125, Subpart I

🗆 Yes 🗆 No

If **yes**, check the box next to the facility's compliance track selection, attach the requested information, and complete Worksheet 11.0, Items 2 and 3, and Worksheet 11.2.

- Track I AIF greater than 2 MGD, but less than 10 MGD
  - Attach information required by *40 CFR §§ 125.86(b)(2)-(4)*.
- $\Box$  Track I AIF greater than 10 MGD
  - Attach information required by 40 CFR § 125.86(b).
- □ Track II
  - Attach information required by 40 CFR § 125.86(c).
- Attachment:
- ii. Phase II Existing facility subject to 40 CFR Part 125, Subpart J
  - □ Yes □ No

If **yes**, complete Worksheets 11.0 through 11.3, as applicable.

iii. Phase III – New facility subject to 40 CFR Part 125, Subpart N

□ Yes □ No

If **yes**, check the box next to the facility's compliance track selection and provide the requested information.

- □ Track I Fixed facility
  - Attach information required by 40 CFR § 125.136(b) and complete Worksheet 11.0, Items 2 and 3, and Worksheet 11.2.
- □ Track I Not a fixed facility
  - Attach information required by 40 CFR § 125.136(b) and complete Worksheet 11.0, Item 2 (except the CWIS latitude and longitude under Item 2.a).

□ Track II – Fixed facility

• Attach information required by 40 CFR § 125.136(c) and complete Worksheet 11.0, Items 2 and 3.

<b>Attachment:</b>	
Attachment:	

**NOTE:** Item 13 is required only for existing permitted facilities.

## 13. PERMIT CHANGE REQUESTS (Instructions, Pages 49-50)

a. Is the facility requesting a **major amendment** of an existing permit?

🗆 Yes 🛛 No

If **yes**, list each request individually and provide the following information: 1) detailed information regarding the scope of each request and 2) a justification for each request. Attach any supplemental information or additional data to support each request.

b. Is the facility requesting any **minor amendments** to the permit?

🗆 Yes 🖂 No

If **yes**, list and discuss the requested changes.

<u>N/A</u>

N/A

c. Is the facility requesting any **minor modifications** to the permit?

🗆 Yes 🖾 No

If **yes**, list and discuss the requested changes.

<u>N/A</u>

#### Attachment for 10b.

- List of wastes received (including volumes, characterization, and capability with on-site wastes). Wastewater identical in quality to the on-site generated wastewater including, process wastewater and high TDS water, will be received. Volumes are unknown, but the facility will only accept volumes that are allowed by the current permit limits to be maintained.
- Identify the sources of wastes received (including the legal name and addresses of the generators). Legal Name: Leprino Foods Company Addresses: All US operations
- Description of the relationship of waste source(s) with the facility's activities. Waste source will be from processes at other Leprino sites in the U.S.

6. WORKSHEET 1.0 EPA EFFLUENT GUIDELINES



# WORKSHEET 1.0 EPA CATEGORICAL EFFLUENT GUIDELINES

This worksheet **is required** for all applications for TPDES permits for discharges of wastewaters subject to EPA categorical effluent limitation guidelines (ELGs).

## 1. CATEGORICAL INDUSTRIES (Instructions, Pages 50-52)

Is this facility subject to any of the 40 CFR categorical ELGs outlined on page 53 of the instructions?

 $\boxtimes$  Yes  $\square$  No

If **no**, this worksheet is not required. If **yes**, provide the appropriate information in the table below.

#### **40 CFR Effluent Guidelines**

Industry	40 CFR Part
Dairy Products Processing	405

## 2. PRODUCTION/PROCESS DATA (Instructions, Page 54)

**NOTE:** For all TPDES permit applications requesting individual permit coverage for discharges of oil and gas exploration and production wastewater (discharges into or adjacent to water in the state, falling under the Oil and Gas Extraction Effluent Guidelines – 40 CFR Part 435), see Worksheet 12.0, Item 2 instead.

#### a. Production Data

Provide the appropriate data for effluent guidelines with production-based effluent limitations.

#### **Production Data**

Subcategory	Actual Quantity/Day	Design Quantity/Day	Units
405.65 Subpart F	Please refer to Attachment O – Categorical Effluent Limits Analysis	Please refer to Attachment O – Categorical Effluent Limits Analysis	Pounds per 100 lb of BOD5 input

Subcategory	Actual Quantity/Day	Design Quantity/Day	Units

#### b. Organic Chemicals, Plastics, and Synthetic Fibers Manufacturing Data (40 CFR Part 414)

Provide each applicable subpart and the percent of total production. Provide data for metal-bearing and cyanide-bearing wastestreams, as required by *40 CFR Part 414, Appendices A and B*.

#### **Percentages of Total Production**

Subcategory	Percent of Total Production	Appendix A and B - Metal	Appendix A – Cyanide
N/A			

#### c. Refineries (40 CFR Part 419)

Provide the applicable subcategory and a brief justification.

<u>N/A</u>

# 3. PROCESS/NON-PROCESS WASTEWATER FLOWS (Instructions, Page 54)

Provide a breakdown of wastewater flow(s) generated by the facility, including both process and nonprocess wastewater flow(s). Specify which wastewater flows are to be authorized for discharge under this permit and the disposal practices for wastewater flows, excluding domestic, which are not to be authorized for discharge under this permit.



Raw fluid milk is brought into the facility as the main ingredient to the cheesemake process. The milk is reacted to coagulate the proteins and form cheese curds and liquid whey. The liquid whey is separated from the cheese and processed into nutrition products through separation and drying processes which removes the associated water. The associated water (COW water) and potable water used for daily sanitation is discharged to the onsite wastewater treatment plant and then discharged to Outfall 001. The brine used to cool and add salt to the cheese is managed in a separate evaporation system along with other high TDS waters. Cooling water discharges, boiler water, and reverse osmosis reject water will also be sent to the wastewater treatment facility and then discharged to Outfall 001. See Attachment I for more details.

## 4. NEW SOURCE DETERMINATION (Instructions, Page 54)

Provide a list of all wastewater-generating processes subject to EPA categorical ELGs, identify the appropriate guideline Part and Subpart, and provide the date the process/construction commenced.

Process	EPA Guideline: Part	EPA Guideline: Subpart	Date Process/ Construction Commenced
Manufacture of Mozzarella Cheese	405	F	10-01-2024/9-01-2022

#### Wastewater-generating Processes Subject to Effluent Guidelines


7. WORKSHEET 2.0 POLLUTANT ANALYSIS REQUIREMENTS



# WORKSHEET 2.0 POLLUTANT ANALYSES REQUIREMENTS

Worksheet 2.0 **is required** for all applications submitted for a TPDES permit. Worksheet 2.0 is not required for applications for a permit to dispose of all wastewater by land disposal or for discharges solely of stormwater associated with industrial activities.

## 1. LABORATORY ACCREDITATION (Instructions, Page 56)

Effective July 1, 2008, all laboratory tests performed must meet the requirements of *30 TAC Chapter 25*, *Environmental Testing Laboratory Accreditation and Certification* with the following general exemptions:

- a. The laboratory is an in-house laboratory and is:
  - i. periodically inspected by the TCEQ; or
- ii. located in another state and is accredited or inspected by that state; or
- iii. performing work for another company with a unit located in the same site; or
- iv. performing pro bono work for a governmental agency or charitable organization.
- b. The laboratory is accredited under federal law.
- c. The data are needed for emergency-response activities, and a laboratory accredited under the Texas Laboratory Accreditation Program is not available.
- d. The laboratory supplies data for which the TCEQ does not offer accreditation.

Review *30 TAC Chapter 25* for specific requirements. The following certification statement shall be signed and submitted with every application. See Instructions, Page 34, for a list of approved signatories.

I, certify that all laboratory tests submitted with this application meet the requirements of *30 TAC Chapter 25, Environmental Testing Laboratory Accreditation and Certification.* 

(Signature)

### 2. GENERAL TESTING REQUIREMENTS (Instructions, Pages 56-58)

- a. Provide the date range of all sampling events conducted to obtain the analytical data submitted with this application (e.g., 05/01/2018-05/30/2018):
- b. Check the box to confirm all samples were collected no more than 12 months prior to the date of application submittal.
- c. Read the general testing requirements in the instructions for important information about sampling, test methods, and MALs. If a contact laboratory was used, attach a list which includes the name, contact information, and pollutants analyzed for each laboratory/firm. **Attachment:**

### 3. SPECIFIC TESTING REQUIREMENTS (Instructions, Pages 58-69)

Attach correspondence from TCEQ approving submittal of less than the required number of samples, if applicable. **Attachment:** 

#### TABLE 1 and TABLE 2 (Instructions, Page 58)

**Completion** of Tables 1 and 2 is required for all external outfalls for all TPDES permit applications.

TCEQ-10055 (05/20/2022) Industrial Wastewater Application Technical Report

Page 20 of 81

## LEPRINO\_000252

Pollutant	Sample 1 (mg/I)	Sample 2 (mg/I)	Sample 2 (mg/I)	Sample 4 (mg/I)
Tonutant	Sample I (mg/L)	Sample 2 (mg/L)	Sample 5 (mg/L)	Sample 4 (mg/L)
BOD (5-day)	< 5 mg/L	No Data	No Data	No Data
CBOD (5-day)	<5 mg/L	No Data	No Data	No Data
Chemical oxygen demand	< 50 mg/L	No Data	No Data	No Data
Total organic carbon	<100 mg/L	No Data	No Data	No Data
Dissolved oxygen	6 mg/L	No Data	No Data	No Data
Ammonia nitrogen	< 1 mg/L	No Data	No Data	No Data
Total suspended solids	< 10 mg/L	No Data	No Data	No Data
Nitrate nitrogen	< 100 mg/L	No Data	No Data	No Data
Total organic nitrogen	< 10 mg/L	No Data	No Data	No Data
Total phosphorus	< 1.5 mg/L	No Data	No Data	No Data
Oil and grease	< 10 mg/L	No Data	No Data	No Data
Total residual chlorine	< 0.1 mg/L	No Data	No Data	No Data
Total dissolved solids	< 2,500 mg/L	No Data	No Data	No Data
Sulfate	No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data
Chloride	< 500 mg/L	No Data	No Data	No Data
Fluoride	No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data
Total alkalinity (mg/L as CaCO3)	< 2,000 mg/L	No Data	No Data	No Data
Temperature (°F)	<85 deg F	No Data	No Data	No Data
pH (standard units)	6.8 to 7.8 s.u	No Data	No Data	No Data

#### Table 1 for Outfall No.: 001 - Proxy Data Sourced From Process Engineering Samples are (check one): Composite Grab

#### Table 2 for Outfall No.: 001 – Proxy Data Sourced From Process Engineering

Samples are (check one):	Composites	Grab	S	
Pollutant	Sample 1 (µg/L)	Sample 2 (µg/L)	Sample 3 (µg/L)	Sample 4 (µg/L)
Aluminum, total	No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data
Antimony, total	No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data
Arsenic, total	No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data

Aluminum, total	No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	2.5
Antimony, total	No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	5
Arsenic, total	No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	0.5
Barium, total	No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	3
Beryllium, total	No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	0.5
Cadmium, total	No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	1
Chromium, total	No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	3
Chromium, hexavalent	No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	3
Chromium, trivalent	No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	N/A
Copper, total	<20	No Data	No Data	No Data	2
Cyanide, available	No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	2/10
Lead, total	No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	0.5
Mercury, total	No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	0.005/0.0005
Nickel, total	No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	2
Selenium, total	No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	5
Silver, total	No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	0.5
Thallium, total	No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	0.5
Zinc, total	No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	5.0

TCEQ-10055 (05/20/2022) Industrial Wastewater Application Technical Report

Page **21** of **81** LEPRINO\_000253

MAL (µg/L)

#### TABLE 3 (Instructions, Page 58)

**Completion** of Table 3 is required for all external outfalls which discharge process wastewater.

**Partial completion** of Table 3 **is required** for all **external outfalls** which discharge non-process wastewater and stormwater associated with industrial activities commingled with other wastestreams (see instructions for additional guidance).

#### Table 3 for Outfall No.: 001 - Proxy Data Sourced From Process Engineering

Samples are (check one):	Composites		🗖 Grab	S	
	Sample 1	Sample 2	Sample 3	Sample 4	MAL
Pollutant	(µg/L)*	(µg/L)*	(µg/L)*	(µg/L)*	(µg/L)*
Acrylonitrile	No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	50
Anthracene	No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	10
Benzene	No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	10
Benzidine	No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	50
Benzo(a)anthracene	No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	5
Benzo(a)pyrene	No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	5
Bis(2-chloroethyl)ether	No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	10
Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate	No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	10
Bromodichloromethane [Dichlorobromomethane]	No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	10
Bromoform	No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	10
Carbon tetrachloride	No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	2
Chlorobenzene	No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	10
Chlorodibromomethane [Dibromochloromethane]	No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	10
Chloroform	No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	10
Chrysene	No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	5
m-Cresol [3-Methylphenol]	No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	10
o-Cresol [2-Methylphenol]	No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	10
p-Cresol [4-Methylphenol]	No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	10
1,2-Dibromoethane	No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	10
m-Dichlorobenzene [1,3-Dichlorobenzene]	No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	10
o-Dichlorobenzene [1,2-Dichlorobenzene]	No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	10
p-Dichlorobenzene [1,4-Dichlorobenzene]	No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	10
3,3'-Dichlorobenzidine	No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	5
1,2-Dichloroethane	No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	10
1,1-Dichloroethene [1,1-Dichloroethylene]	No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	10
Dichloromethane [Methylene chloride]	No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	20
1,2-Dichloropropane	No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	10

Page 22 of 81
LEPRINO\_000254

	Sample 1	Sample 2	Sample 3	Sample 4	MAL
Pollutant	(µg/L)*	(µg/L)*	(µg/L)*	(µg/L)*	(µg/L)*
1,3-Dichloropropene [1,3-Dichloropropylene]	No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	10
2,4-Dimethylphenol	No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	10
Di-n-Butyl phthalate	No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	10
Ethylbenzene	No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	10
Fluoride	No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	500
Hexachlorobenzene	No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	5
Hexachlorobutadiene	No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	10
Hexachlorocyclopentadiene	No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	10
Hexachloroethane	No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	20
Methyl ethyl ketone	No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	50
Nitrobenzene	No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	10
N-Nitrosodiethylamine	No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	20
N-Nitroso-di-n-butylamine	No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	20
Nonylphenol	No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	333
Pentachlorobenzene	No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	20
Pentachlorophenol	No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	5
Phenanthrene	No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	10
Polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs) (**)	No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	0.2
Pyridine	No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	20
1,2,4,5-Tetrachlorobenzene	No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	20
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	10
Tetrachloroethene [Tetrachloroethylene]	No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	10
Toluene	No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	10
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	10
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	10
Trichloroethene [Trichloroethylene]	No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	10
2,4,5-Trichlorophenol	No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	50
TTHM (Total trihalomethanes)	No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	10
Vinyl chloride	No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	10

(\*) Indicate units if different from μg/L.
 (\*\*) Total of detects for PCB-1242, PCB-1254, PCB-1221, PCB-1232, PCB-1248, PCB-1260, and PCB-1016. If all non-detects, enter the highest non-detect preceded by a "<".</li>

#### TABLE 4 (Instructions, Pages 58-59)

Partial completion of Table 4 is required for each external outfall based on the conditions below.

#### a. Tributyltin

Is this facility an industrial/commercial facility which currently or proposes to directly dispose of wastewater from the types of operations listed below or a domestic facility which currently or proposes to receive wastewater from the types of industrial/commercial operations listed below?

🗆 Yes 🖂 No

If **yes**, check the box next to each of the following criteria which apply and provide the appropriate testing results in Table 4 below (check all that apply).

- □ Manufacturers and formulators of tributyltin or related compounds.
- □ Painting of ships, boats and marine structures.
- □ Ship and boat building and repairing.
- □ Ship and boat cleaning, salvage, wrecking and scaling.
- Operation and maintenance of marine cargo handling facilities and marinas.
- □ Facilities engaged in wood preserving.
- Any other industrial/commercial facility for which tributyltin is known to be present, or for which there is any reason to believe that tributyltin may be present in the effluent.

#### b. Enterococci (discharge to saltwater)

- i. This facility discharges/proposes to discharge directly into saltwater receiving waters **and** Enterococci bacteria are expected to be present in the discharge based on facility processes.
  - 🗆 Yes 🖾 No
- ii. Domestic wastewater is/will be discharged.
  - 🗆 Yes 🖾 No

If yes to either question, provide the appropriate testing results in Table 4 below.

#### c. E. coli (discharge to freshwater)

- i. This facility discharges/proposes to discharge directly into freshwater receiving waters **and** *E. coli* bacteria are expected to be present in the discharge based on facility processes.
  - 🗆 Yes 🖾 No
- ii. Domestic wastewater is/will be discharged.

🗆 Yes 🖾 No

If **yes to either** question, provide the appropriate testing results in Table 4 below.

Compositos

Pollutant	Sample 1	Sample 2	Sample 3	Sample 4	MAL			
Tributyltin (µg/L)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0.010			
Enterococci (cfu or MPN/100 mL)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A			
<i>E. coli</i> (cfu or MPN/100 mL)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A			

Crahe

# Table 4 for Outfall No.: <u>N/A</u> Samples are (sheek one):

#### TABLE 5 (Instructions, Page 59)

Completion of Table 5 is required for all external outfalls which discharge process wastewater from a facility which manufactures or formulates pesticides or herbicides or other wastewaters which may contain pesticides or herbicides.

Crabe

If this facility does not/will not manufacture or formulate pesticides or herbicides and does not/will not discharge other wastewaters which may contain pesticides or herbicides, check N/A.

 $\boxtimes$ N/A

Samples are (check one).				Sample 4	
Pollutant	Sample 1 (µg/L)*	Sample 2 (µg/L)*	Sample 3 (µg/L)*	ug/L)*	MAL (µg/L)*
Aldrin	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0.01
Carbaryl	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	5
Chlordane	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0.2
Chlorpyrifos	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0.05
4,4'-DDD	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0.1
4,4'-DDE	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0.1
4,4'-DDT	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0.02
2,4-D	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0.7
Danitol [Fenpropathrin]	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	_
Demeton	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0.20
Diazinon	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0.5/0.1
Dicofol [Kelthane]	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	1
Dieldrin	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0.02
Diuron	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0.090
Endosulfan I ( <i>alpha</i> )	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0.01
Endosulfan II ( <i>beta</i> )	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0.02
Endosulfan sulfate	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0.1
Endrin	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0.02
Guthion [Azinphos methyl]	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0.1
Heptachlor	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0.01
Heptachlor epoxide	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0.01
Hexachlorocyclohexane (alpha)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0.05
Hexachlorocyclohexane (beta)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0.05
Hexachlorocyclohexane (gamma) [Lindane]	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0.05
Hexachlorophene	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	10
Malathion	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0.1
Methoxychlor	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	2.0
Mirex	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0.02
Parathion (ethyl)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0.1
Toxaphene	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0.3
2,4,5-TP [Silvex]	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0.3

#### Table 5 for Outfall No.: N/A

TCEQ-10055 (05/20/2022) Industrial Wastewater Application Technical Report

Page 25 of 81 **LEPRINO\_000257**  \* Indicate units if different from  $\mu$ g/L.

#### TABLE 6 (Instructions, Page 59)

Completion of Table 6 is required for all external outfalls.

## Table 6 for Outfall No.: 001

Samples are (check one): 
Composites 
Grabs

Pollutants	Believed Present	Believed Absent	Sample 1 (mg/L)	Sample 2 (mg/L)	Sample 3 (mg/L)	Sample 4 (mg/L)	MAL (µg/L)*
Bromide		$\boxtimes$					400
Color (PCU)		$\boxtimes$					_
Nitrate-Nitrite (as N)	$\boxtimes$						_
Sulfide (as S)		$\boxtimes$					_
Sulfite (as SO3)		$\boxtimes$					_
Surfactants	$\boxtimes$						_
Boron, total		$\boxtimes$					20
Cobalt, total		$\boxtimes$					0.3
Iron, total		$\boxtimes$					7
Magnesium, total		$\boxtimes$					20
Manganese, total		$\boxtimes$					0.5
Molybdenum, total		$\boxtimes$					1
Tin, total		$\boxtimes$					5
Titanium, total							30

\* Indicate units if different from  $\mu$ g/L.



#### TABLE 7 (Instructions, Page 60)

Check the box next to any of the industrial categories applicable to this facility. If no categories are applicable, check N/A. If GC/MS testing is required, check the box provided to confirm the testing results for the appropriate parameters are provided with the application.

#### 🖂 N/A

#### Table 7 for Applicable Industrial Categories

<b>T</b> 1		40 CFR	Volatiles	Acids	Bases/Neutrals	Pesticides
Indus	trial Category	Part	Table 8	Table 9	Table 10	Table 11
	Adhesives and Sealants		□ Yes	□ Yes	□ Yes	No
	Aluminum Forming	467	□ Yes	□ Yes	□ Yes	No
	Auto and Other Laundries		□ Yes	□ Yes	□ Yes	□ Yes
	Battery Manufacturing	461	□ Yes	No	□ Yes	No
	Coal Mining	434	No	No	No	No
	Coil Coating	465	□ Yes	□ Yes	□ Yes	No
	Copper Forming	468	□ Yes	□ Yes	□ Yes	No
	Electric and Electronic Components	469	□ Yes	□ Yes	□ Yes	□ Yes
	Electroplating	413	□ Yes	□ Yes	□ Yes	No
	Explosives Manufacturing	457	No	□ Yes	□ Yes	No
	Foundries		□ Yes	□ Yes	□ Yes	No
	Gum and Wood Chemicals - Subparts A,B,C,E	454	□ Yes	□ Yes	No	No
	Gum and Wood Chemicals - Subparts D,F	454	□ Yes	□ Yes	□ Yes	No
	Inorganic Chemicals Manufacturing	415	□ Yes	□ Yes	□ Yes	No
	Iron and Steel Manufacturing	420	□ Yes	□ Yes	□ Yes	No
	Leather Tanning and Finishing	425	□ Yes	□ Yes	□ Yes	No
	Mechanical Products Manufacturing		□ Yes	□ Yes	□ Yes	No
	Nonferrous Metals Manufacturing	421,471	□ Yes	□ Yes	□ Yes	□ Yes
	Oil and Gas Extraction - Subparts A, D, E, F, G, H	435	□ Yes	□ Yes	□ Yes	No
	Ore Mining - Subpart B	440	No	□ Yes	No	No
	Organic Chemicals Manufacturing	414	□ Yes	□ Yes	□ Yes	□ Yes
	Paint and Ink Formulation	446,447	□ Yes	□ Yes	□ Yes	No
	Pesticides	455	□ Yes	□ Yes	□ Yes	□ Yes
	Petroleum Refining	419	□ Yes	No	No	No
	Pharmaceutical Preparations	439	□ Yes	□ Yes	□ Yes	No
	Photographic Equipment and Supplies	459	□ Yes	□ Yes	□ Yes	No
	Plastic and Synthetic Materials Manufacturing	414	□ Yes	□ Yes	□ Yes	□ Yes
	Plastic Processing	463	□ Yes	No	No	No
	Porcelain Enameling	466	No	No	No	No
	Printing and Publishing		□ Yes	□ Yes	□ Yes	□ Yes
	Pulp and Paperboard Mills - Subpart C	430	• *	□ Yes	□ *	□ Yes
	Pulp and Paperboard Mills - Subparts F, K	430	□ *	□ Yes	□ *	*
	Pulp and Paperboard Mills - Subparts A, B, D, G, H	430	□ Yes	□ Yes	□ *	*
	Pulp and Paperboard Mills - Subparts I, J, L	430	□ Yes	□ Yes	□ *	□ Yes
	Pulp and Paperboard Mills - Subpart E	430	□ Yes	□ Yes	□ Yes	*
	Rubber Processing	428	□ Yes	□ Yes	□ Yes	No
	Soap and Detergent Manufacturing	417	□ Yes	□ Yes	□ Yes	No
	Steam Electric Power Plants	423	□ Yes	□ Yes	No	No
	Textile Mills (Not Subpart C)	410	□ Yes	□ Yes	□ Yes	No
	Timber Products Processing	429	□ Yes	□ Yes	□ Yes	□ Yes

\* Test if believed present.

TCEQ-10055 (05/20/2022) Industrial Wastewater Application Technical Report

Page 27 of 81
LEPRINO\_000259

#### TABLES 8, 9, 10, and 11 (Instructions, Page 60)

Completion of Tables 8, 9, 10, and 11 **is required** as specified in Table 7 for all **external outfalls** that contain process wastewater.

Completion of Tables 8, 9, 10, and 11 **may be required** for types of industry not specified in Table 7 for specific parameters that are believed to be present in the wastewater.

Delletert	Sample 1	Sample 2	Sample 3	Sample 4	MAL
Pollutant	(µg/L)*	(µg/L)*	(µg/L)*	(µg/L)*	(µg/L)
Acrolein	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	50
Acrylonitrile	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	50
Benzene	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	10
Bromoform	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	10
Carbon tetrachloride	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	2
Chlorobenzene	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	10
Chlorodibromomethane	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	10
Chloroethane	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	50
2-Chloroethylvinyl ether	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	10
Chloroform	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	10
Dichlorobromomethane [Bromodichloromethane]	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	10
1,1-Dichloroethane	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	10
1,2-Dichloroethane	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	10
1,1-Dichloroethylene [1,1-Dichloroethene]	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	10
1,2-Dichloropropane	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	10
1,3-Dichloropropylene [1,3-Dichloropropene]	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	10
Ethylbenzene	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	10
Methyl bromide [Bromomethane]	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	50
Methyl chloride [Chloromethane]	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	50
Methylene chloride [Dichloromethane]	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	20
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	10
Tetrachloroethylene [Tetrachloroethene]	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	10
Toluene	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	10
1,2-Trans-dichloroethylene [1,2-Trans-dichloroethene]	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	10
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	10
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	10
Trichloroethylene [ Trichloroethene]	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	10
Vinyl chloride	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	10

# Table 8 for Outfall No.: <u>N/A</u> : Volatile Compounds Samples are (check one): Composites

\* Indicate units if different from  $\mu$ g/L.

# Table 9 for Outfall No.: N/A : Acid Compounds Samples are (check one): Composites

Samples are (check one): 🔲 Composites 🔲 Grabs									
Pollutant	Sample 1 (µg/L)*	Sample 2 (µg/L)*	Sample 3 (µg/L)*	Sample 4 (µg/L)*	MAL (µg/L)				
2-Chlorophenol	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	10				
2,4-Dichlorophenol	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	10				
2,4-Dimethylphenol	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	10				
4,6-Dinitro-o-cresol	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	50				
2,4-Dinitrophenol	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	50				
2-Nitrophenol	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	20				
4-Nitrophenol	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	50				
p-Chloro-m-cresol	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	10				
Pentachlorophenol	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	5				
Phenol	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	10				
2,4,6-Trichlorophenol	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	10				

\* Indicate units if different from  $\mu$ g/L.

# Table 10 for Outfall No.: N/A : Base/Neutral Compounds Samples are (check one): Composites

	Sample 1	Sample 2	Sample 3	Sample 4	MAL
Pollutant	(µg/L)*	(µg/L)*	(µg/L)*	(µg/L)*	(µg/L)
Acenaphthene	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	10
Acenaphthylene	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	10
Anthracene	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	10
Benzidine	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	50
Benzo(a)anthracene	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	5
Benzo(a)pyrene	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	5
3,4-Benzofluoranthene [Benzo(b)fluoranthene]	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	10
Benzo(ghi)perylene	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	20
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	5
Bis(2-chloroethoxy)methane	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	10
Bis(2-chloroethyl)ether	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	10
Bis(2-chloroisopropyl)ether	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	10
Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	10
4-Bromophenyl phenyl ether	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	10
Butylbenzyl phthalate	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	10
2-Chloronaphthalene	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	10
4-Chlorophenyl phenyl ether	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	10
Chrysene	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	5
Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	5
1,2-Dichlorobenzene [o-Dichlorobenzene]	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	10
1,3-Dichlorobenzene [m-Dichlorobenzene]	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	10
1,4-Dichlorobenzene [p-Dichlorobenzene]	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	10
3,3'-Dichlorobenzidine					5

TCEQ-10055 (05/20/2022) Industrial Wastewater Application Technical Report

Page **29** of **81** 

# LEPRINO\_000261

	Sample 1	Sample 2	Sample 3	Sample 4	MAL
Pollutant	(µg/L)*	(µg/L)*	(µg/L)*	(µg/L)*	(µg/L)
Diethyl phthalate	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	10
Dimethyl phthalate	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	10
Di-n-butyl phthalate	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	10
2,4-Dinitrotoluene	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	10
2,6-Dinitrotoluene	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	10
Di-n-octyl phthalate	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	10
1,2-Diphenylhydrazine (as Azobenzene)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	20
Fluoranthene	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	10
Fluorene	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	10
Hexachlorobenzene	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	5
Hexachlorobutadiene	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	10
Hexachlorocyclopentadiene	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	10
Hexachloroethane	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	20
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	5
Isophorone	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	10
Naphthalene	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	10
Nitrobenzene	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	10
N-Nitrosodimethylamine	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	50
N-Nitrosodi-n-propylamine	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	20
N-Nitrosodiphenylamine	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	20
Phenanthrene	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	10
Pyrene	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	10
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	10

\* Indicate units if different from  $\mu$ g/L.

#### Table 11 for Outfall No.: N/A : Pesticides Samplas ama (ahaalt ana), 🧴 🗖 . Com - -**:**+

Samples are (check one): 🔲 Composites 🔲 Grabs							
Pollutant	Sample 1 (µg/L)*	Sample 2 (µg/L)*	Sample 3 (µg/L)*	Sample 4 (µg/L)*	MAL (µg/L)		
Aldrin	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0.01		
alpha-BHC [alpha-Hexachlorocyclohexane]	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0.05		
beta-BHC [beta-Hexachlorocyclohexane]	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0.05		
gamma-BHC [gamma-Hexachlorocyclohexane]	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0.05		
delta-BHC [delta-Hexachlorocyclohexane]	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0.05		
Chlordane	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0.2		
4,4'-DDT	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0.02		
4,4'-DDE	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0.1		
4,4'-DDD	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0.1		
Dieldrin	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0.02		
Endosulfan I (alpha)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0.01		
Endosulfan II (beta)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0.02		

TCEQ-10055 (05/20/2022) Industrial Wastewater Application Technical Report

Page **30** of **81** LEPRINO\_000262

Dellutent	Sample 1	Sample 2	Sample 3	Sample 4	MAL
Ponutant	(µg/L)*	(µg/L)*	(µg/L)*	(µg/L)*	(µg/L)
Endosulfan sulfate	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0.1
Endrin	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0.02
Endrin aldehyde	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0.1
Heptachlor	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0.01
Heptachlor epoxide	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0.01
PCB 1242	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0.2
PCB 1254	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0.2
PCB 1221	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0.2
PCB 1232	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0.2
PCB 1248	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0.2
PCB 1260	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0.2
PCB 1016	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0.2
Toxaphene	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0.3

\* Indicate units if different from µg/L.

#### Attachment: N/A

#### TABLE 12 (DIOXINS/FURAN COMPOUNDS)

Complete of Table 12 is required for external outfalls, as directed below. (Instructions, Pages 60-61)

a. Indicate which compound(s) are manufactured or used at the facility and provide a brief description of the conditions of its/their presence at the facility (check all that apply).

	2,4,5-trichlorophenoxy acetic acid (2,4,5-T)	CASRN 93-76-5
	2-(2,4,5-trichlorophenoxy) propanoic acid (Silvex, 2,4,5-TP)	CASRN 93-72-1
	2-(2,4,5-trichlorophenoxy) ethyl 2,2-dichloropropionate (Erbon)	CASRN 136-25-4
	0,0-dimethyl 0-(2,4,5-trichlorophenyl) phosphorothioate (Ronnel)	CASRN 299-84-3
	2,4,5-trichlorophenol (TCP)	CASRN 95-95-4
	hexachlorophene (HCP)	CASRN 70-30-4
$\square$	None of the above	

None of the above

Description: N/A

b. Does the applicant or anyone at the facility know or have any reason to believe that 2,3,7,8tetrachlorodibenzo-p-dioxin (TCDD) or any congeners of TCDD may be present in the effluent proposed for discharge?

🗆 Yes 🖾 No

Description: N/A

If **yes** to either Items a **or** b, complete Table 12 as instructed.

Samples are (chec	k one):	Composites	Grabs			
Compound	Toxicity Equivalent Factors	Wastewater Concentration (ppq)	Wastewater Toxicity Equivalents (ppq)	Sludge Concentration (ppt)	Sludge Toxicity Equivalents (ppt)	MAL (ppq)
2,3,7,8-TCDD	1	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	10
1,2,3,7,8-PeCDD	1.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	50
2,3,7,8-HxCDDs	0.1	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	50
1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD	0.01	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	50
2,3,7,8-TCDF	0.1	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	10
1,2,3,7,8-PeCDF	0.03	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	50
2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF	0.3	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	50
2,3,7,8-HxCDFs	0.1	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	50
2,3,4,7,8-HpCDFs	0.01	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	50
OCDD	0.0003	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	100
OCDF	0.0003	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	100
PCB 77	0.0001	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	500
PCB 81	0.0003	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	500
PCB 126	0.1	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	500
PCB 169	0.03	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	500
Total		N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	

#### Table 12 for Outfall No.: N/A

#### TABLE 13 (HAZARDOUS SUBSTANCES)

Complete Table 13 is required for all external outfalls as directed below. (Instructions, Page 61)

a. Are there any pollutants listed in the instructions (pages 55-62) believed present in the discharge?

🗆 Yes 🖾 No

- b. Are there pollutants listed in Item 1.c. of Technical Report 1.0 which are believed present in the discharge and have not been analytically quantified elsewhere in this application?
  - Yes 🛛 No

If **yes** to either Items a **or** b, complete Table 13 as instructed.

Commonitor

# Table 13 for Outfall No.: N/A

Samples are (check one):			Grabs			
Pollutant	CASRN	Sample 1 (µg/L)	Sample 2 (µg/L)	Sample 3 (µg/L)	Sample 4 (µg/L)	Analytical Method
N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

Creaba

Page **32** of **81** 

Pollutant	CASRN	Sample 1 (µg/L)	Sample 2 (µg/L)	Sample 3 (µg/L)	Sample 4 (µg/L)	Analytical Method
N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A



8. WORKSHEET 3.1 SURFACE LAND APPLICATION AND EVAPORATION



## WORKSHEET 3.1 SURFACE LAND APPLICATION AND EVAPORATION

This worksheet **is required** for all applications for a permit to dispose of wastewater by surface land application or evaporation.

#### 1. EDWARDS AQUIFER (Instructions, Page 74)

a. Is the facility subject to 30 TAC Chapter 213, Edwards Aquifer Rules?

🗆 Yes 🖾 No

If no, proceed to Item 2. If yes, complete Items 1.b and 1.c.

- b. Check the box next to the subchapter applicable to the facility.
  - □ 30 TAC Chapter 213, Subchapter A
  - □ 30 TAC Chapter 213, Subchapter B
- c. If *30 TAC Chapter 213, Subchapter A* applies, attach **either**: 1) a Geologic Assessment (if conducted in accordance with *30 TAC § 213.5*) **or** 2) a report that contains the following information:
  - A description of the surface geological units within the proposed land application site and wastewater pond area.
  - The location and extent of any sensitive recharge features in the land application site and wastewater pond area
  - A list of any proposed BMPs to protect the recharge features.

#### Attachment: N/A

### 2. SURFACE SPRAY/IRRIGATION (Instructions, Pages 74-75)

a. Provide the following information on the irrigation operations:

Area under irrigation (acres): N/A Design application rate (acre-ft/acre/yr): N/A Design application frequency (hours/day): N/A Design application frequency (days/week): N/A Design total nitrogen loading rate (lbs nitrogen/acre/year): N/A Average slope of the application area (percent): N/A Maximum slope of the application area (percent): N/A Irrigation efficiency (percent): N/A Effluent conductivity (mmhos/cm): N/A Soil conductivity (mmhos/cm): N/A Curve number: N/A

b. Attach a detailed engineering report which includes a water balance, storage volume calculations, and a nitrogen balance.

#### Attachment: N/A

Page 40 of 81 LEPRINO\_000267

#### 3. EVAPORATION PONDS (Instructions, Page 75)

- a. Daily average effluent flow into ponds: 80,000 gallons per day
- b. Attach a separate engineering report of evaporation calculations for average long-term and worst-case critical conditions.

#### Attachment: N

### 4. EVAPOTRANSPIRATION BEDS (Instructions, Page 75)

a. Provide the following information on the evapotranspiration beds:

Number of beds: <u>N/A</u> Area of bed(s) (acres): N/A Depth of bed(s) (feet): N/A Void ratio of soil in the beds: N/A Storage volume within the beds (include units): N/A Description of any lining to protect groundwater: N/A

b. Attach a certification by a licensed Texas professional engineer that the liner meets TCEQ requirements.

#### Attachment: N/A

c. Attach a separate engineering report with water balance, storage volume calculations, and description of the liner.

#### Attachment: N/A

#### 5. OVERLAND FLOW (Instructions, Page 75)

- a. Provide the following information on the overland flow: Area used for application (acres): N/A Slopes for application area (percent): N/A Design application rate (gpm/foot of slope width): N/A Slope length (feet): N/A Design BOD<sub>5</sub> loading rate (lbs BOD<sub>5</sub>/acre/day): N/A Design application frequency (hours/day): N/A Design application frequency (days/week): N/A
- b. Attach a separate engineering report with the method of application and design requirements according to *30 TAC § 217.212*.

Attachment: N/A

9. WORKSHEET 4.0 RECEIVING WATERS



# WORKSHEET 4.0 RECEIVING WATERS

This worksheet **is required** for all TPDES permit applications.

#### 1. DOMESTIC DRINKING WATER SUPPLY (Instructions, Page 81)

- a. There is a surface water intake for domestic drinking water supply located within 5 (five) miles downstream from the point/proposed point of discharge.
  - 🗆 Yes 🖂 No

If **no**, stop here and proceed to Item 2. If **yes**, provide the following information:

- i. The legal name of the owner of the drinking water supply intake:
- v. The distance and direction from the outfall to the drinking water supply intake:
- b. Locate and identify the intake on the USGS 7.5-minute topographic map provided for Administrative Report 1.0.
  - Check this box to confirm the above requested information is provided.

#### 2. DISCHARGE INTO TIDALLY INFLUENCED WATERS (Instructions, Page 81)

If the discharge is to tidally influenced waters, complete this section. Otherwise, proceed to Item 3.

- a. Width of the receiving water at the outfall: feet
- b. Are there oyster reefs in the vicinity of the discharge?
  - 🗆 Yes 🗆 No

If **yes**, provide the distance and direction from the outfall(s) to the oyster reefs:

c. Are there sea grasses within the vicinity of the point of discharge?

🗆 Yes 🗆 No

If **yes**, provide the distance and direction from the outfall(s) to the grasses:

#### 3. CLASSIFIED SEGMENT (Instructions, Page 81)

The discharge is/will be directly into (or within 300 feet of) a classified segment.

🛛 Yes 🗆 No

If **yes**, stop here. It is not necessary to complete Items 4 and 5 of this worksheet or Worksheet 4.1. If **no**, complete Items 4 and 5 and Worksheet 4.1 may be required.

# 4. DESCRIPTION OF IMMEDIATE RECEIVING WATERS (Instructions, Page 82)

- a. Name of the immediate receiving waters:
- b. Check the appropriate description of the immediate receiving waters:
  - □ Lake or Pond
    - Surface area (acres):
    - Average depth of the entire water body (feet):
    - Average depth of water body within a 500foot radius of the discharge point (feet):
- Man-Made Channel or Ditch
- □ Stream or Creek
- □ Freshwater Swamp or Marsh
- □ Tidal Stream, Bayou, or Marsh
- Open Bay
- $\Box$  Other, specify:

If **Man-Made Channel or Ditch** or **Stream or Creek** were selected above, provide responses to Items 4.c – 4.g below:

c. For **existing discharges**, check the description below that best characterizes the area **upstream** of the discharge.

For **new discharges**, check the description below that best characterizes the area **downstream** of the discharge.

- □ Intermittent (dry for at least one week during most years)
- Intermittent with Perennial Pools (enduring pools containing habitat to maintain aquatic life uses)
- Perennial (normally flowing)

Check the source(s) of the information used to characterize the area upstream (existing discharge) or downstream (new discharge):

- $\Box$  USGS flow records
- □ personal observation
- historical observation by adjacent landowner(s)
- $\Box$  other, specify:
- d. List the names of all perennial streams that join the receiving water within three miles downstream of the discharge point:
- e. The receiving water characteristics change within three miles downstream of the discharge (e.g., natural or man-made dams, ponds, reservoirs, etc.).

🗆 Yes 🗆 No

- If **yes**, describe how:
- f. General observations of the water body during normal dry weather conditions:

Date and time of observation:

- g. The water body was influenced by stormwater runoff during observations.
  - 🗆 Yes 🗆 No

If **yes**, describe how:

#### 5. GENERAL CHARACTERISTICS OF WATER BODY (Instructions, Page 82)

a.	Is the receiving water upstream of the existing discharge or proposed discharge site influenced by any
	of the following (check all that apply):

		oil field activities agricultural runoff upstream discharges		urban runoff septic tanks other, specify:		
b.	Uses	of water body observed or evid livestock watering non-contact recreation domestic water supply contact recreation	lence	of such uses (check all that apply) fishing industrial water supply irrigation withdrawal navigation	): □	picnic/park activities other, specify:

- c. Description which best describes the aesthetics of the receiving water and the surrounding area (check only one):
  - □ Wilderness: outstanding natural beauty; usually wooded or un-pastured area: water clarity exceptional
  - □ **Natural Area:** trees or native vegetation common; some development evident (from fields, pastures, dwellings); water clarity discolored
  - **Common Setting:** not offensive, developed but uncluttered; water may be colored or turbid
  - □ **Offensive:** stream does not enhance aesthetics; cluttered; highly developed; dumping areas; water discolored



**10. WORKSHEET 7.0 STORMWATER DISCHARGES** 



## WORKSHEET 7.0 STORMWATER DISCHARGES ASSOCIATED WITH INDUSTRIAL ACTIVITIES

This worksheet **is required** for all TPDES permit applications requesting individual permit coverage for discharges consisting of **either**: 1) solely of stormwater discharges associated with industrial activities, as defined in *40 CFR § 122.26(b)(14)(i-xi)*, **or** 2) stormwater discharges associated with industrial activities and any of the listed allowable non-stormwater discharges, as defined in the MSGP (TXR05000), Part II, Section A, Item 6.

Discharges of stormwater as defined in 40 *CFR* § 122.26 (*b*)(13) are not required to obtain authorization under a TPDES permit (see exceptions at 40 *CFR* §§ 122.26(*a*)(1) and (9)). Authorization for discharge may be required from a local municipal separate storm sewer system.

#### 1. APPLICABILITY (Instructions, Page 90)

Do discharges from any of the existing/proposed outfalls consist either 1) solely of stormwater discharges associated with industrial activities **or** 2) stormwater discharges associated with industrial activities and any of the allowable non-stormwater discharges?

🛛 Yes 🗆 No

If no, stop here. If yes, proceed as directed.

#### 2. STORMWATER OUTFALL COVERAGE (Instructions, Page 91)

List each existing/proposed stormwater outfall at the facility and indicate which type of authorization covers or is proposed to cover discharges.

Outfall	Authorized Under MSGP	Authorized Under Individual Permit
TBD		

#### Authorization coverage

If **all** existing/proposed outfalls which discharge stormwater associated with industrial activities (and any of the allowable non-stormwater discharges) are **authorized under the MSGP**, **stop** here.

If **seeking authorization** for any outfalls which discharge stormwater associated with industrial activities (and any of the allowable non-stormwater discharges) **under an individual permit**, **proceed**.

NOTE: The following information is required for each existing/proposed stormwater outfall for which the facility is seeking individual permit authorization under this application.



## 3. SITE MAP (Instructions, Page 91)

Attach a site map or maps (drawn to scale) of the entire facility with the following information.

- the location of each stormwater outfall to be covered by the permit
- an outline of the drainage area that is within the facility's boundary and that contributes stormwater to each outfall to be covered by the permit
- connections or discharge points to municipal separate storm sewer systems
- locations of all structures (e.g. buildings, garages, storage tanks)
- structural control devices that are designed to reduce pollution in discharges of stormwater associated with industrial activities
- process wastewater treatment units (including ponds)
- bag house and other air treatment units exposed to stormwater (stormwater runoff, snow melt runoff, and surface runoff and drainage)
- landfills; scrapyards; surface water bodies (including wetlands)
- vehicle and equipment maintenance areas
- physical features of the site that may influence discharges of stormwater associated with industrial activities or contribute a dry weather flow
- locations where spills or leaks of reportable quality (as defined in *30 TAC § 327.4*) have occurred during the three years before this application was submitted to obtain coverage under an individual permit
- processing areas, storage areas, material loading/unloading areas, and other locations where significant materials are exposed to stormwater (stormwater runoff, snow melt runoff, and surface runoff and drainage)
- Check the box to confirm all the above information was provided on the facility site map(s).

Attachment: FACILITY/SITE INFORMATION (Instructions, Pages 91-92)

a. Provide the area of impervious surface and the total area drained by each stormwater outfall requested for authorization by this permit application.

Outfall	Area of Impervious Surface (include units)	Total Area Drained (include units)
N/A		

#### **Impervious Surfaces**

b. Provide the following local area rainfall information and the source of the information.

Wettest month:

Average rainfall for wettest month (total inches):

TCEQ-10055 (05/20/2022) Industrial Wastewater Application Technical Report

Page **59** of **81** LEPRINO\_000275 25-year, 24-hour rainfall (inches):

Source:

- c. Attach an inventory, or list, of materials currently handled at the facility that may be exposed to precipitation. **Attachment:**
- d. Attach narrative descriptions of the industrial processes and activities involving the materials in the above-listed inventory that occur outdoors or in some manner that may result in exposure of the materials to precipitation or runoff (see instructions for guidance). **Attachment:**
- e. Describe any BMPs and controls the facility uses/proposes to prevent or effectively reduce pollution in stormwater discharges from the facility:

# 4. LABORATORY ACCREDITATION CERTIFICATION (Instructions, Page 92)

Effective July 1, 2008, all laboratory tests performed must meet the requirements of *30 TAC Chapter 25, Environmental Testing Laboratory Accreditation and Certification* with the following general exemptions:

- a. The laboratory is an in-house laboratory and is:
  - i. periodically inspected by the TCEQ; or
  - ii. located in another state and is accredited or inspected by that state; or
  - iii. performing work for another company with a unit located in the same site; or
- vi. performing pro bono work for a governmental agency or charitable organization.
- b. The laboratory is accredited under federal law.
- c. The data are needed for emergency-response activities, and a laboratory accredited under the Texas Laboratory Accreditation Program is not available.
- d. The laboratory supplies data for which the TCEQ does not offer accreditation.

Review *30 TAC Chapter 25* for specific requirements. The following certification statement shall be signed and submitted with every application. See Instructions, Page 32, for a list of approved signatories.

I, certify that all laboratory tests submitted with this application meet the requirements of *30 TAC Chapter 25*, *Environmental Testing Laboratory Accreditation and Certification*.

#### (Signature)

## 5. POLLUTANT ANALYSIS (Instructions, Pages 92-93)

- a. Provide the date range of all sampling events conducted to obtain the analytical data submitted with this application (e.g., 05/01/2018-05/30/2018):
- b. Check the box to confirm all samples were collected no more than 12 months prior to the date of application submittal.
- c. Complete Table 17 as directed on page 92 of the Instructions.

#### Table 17 Pollutant Analysis for Outfall No.: $\underline{N/A}$

Pollutant	Grab Sample* Maximum (mg/L)	Composite Sample** Maximum (mg/L)	Grab Sample* Average (mg/L)	Composite Sample** Average (mg/L)	Number of Storm Events Sampled	MAL (mg/L)
pH (standard units)	(max)	—	(min)	—		—
Total suspended solids						—
Chemical oxygen demand						—
Total organic carbon						—
Oil and grease						—
Arsenic, total						0.0005
Barium, total						0.003
Cadmium, total						0.001
Chromium, total						0.003
Chromium, trivalent						_
Chromium, hexavalent						0.003
Copper, total						0.002
Lead, total						0.0005
Mercury, total						0.000005
Nickel, total						0.002
Selenium, total						0.005
Silver, total						0.0005
Zinc, total						0.005

\* Taken during first 30 minutes of storm event

\*\* Flow-weighted composite sample

#### d. Complete Table 18 as directed on pages 92-94 of the Instructions.

#### Table 18 Pollutant Analysis for Outfall No.: <u>N/A</u>

Pollutant	Grab Sample* Maximum (mg/L)	Composite Sample** Maximum (mg/L)	Grab Sample* Average (mg/L)	Composite Sample** Average (mg/L)	Number of Storm Events Sampled

\* Taken during first 30 minutes of storm event \*\* Flow-weighted composite sample

TCEQ-10055 (05/20/2022) Industrial Wastewater Application Technical Report

Page **61** of **81** LEPRINO\_000277

## 6. STORM EVENT DATA (Instructions, Page 94)

Provide the following data for the storm event(s) which resulted in the maximum values for the analytical data submitted:

Date of storm event:  $\underline{N/A}$ 

Duration of storm event (minutes): N/A

Total rainfall during storm event (inches): N/A

Number of hours the between beginning of the storm measured and the end of the previous measurable storm event (hours):  $\underline{N/A}$ 

Maximum flow rate during rain event (gallons/minute): N/A

Total stormwater flow from rain event (gallons): N/A

Provide a description of the method of flow measurement or estimate: N/A



# **ATTACHMENT A. COPY OF FEE SUBMITTAL**

Admin Report 1.0 Pg. 2, 1.e.

# WATER QUALITY PERMIT PAYMENT SUBMITTAL FORM

#### Use this form to submit the Application Fee, if mailing the payment. (Instructions, Page 37)

- Complete items 1 through 5 below.
- Staple the check or money order in the space provided at the bottom of this document.
- Do not mail this form with the application form.
- Do not mail this form to the same address as the application.
- Do not submit a copy of the application with this form as it could cause duplicate permit entries.

#### Mail this form and the check or money order to:

BY REGULAR U.S. MAIL	BY OVERNIGHT/EXPRESS MAIL
Texas Commission on Environmental Quality	Texas Commission on Environmental Quality
Financial Administration Division	Financial Administration Division
Cashier's Office, MC-214	Cashier's Office, MC-214
P.O. Box 13088	12100 Park 35 Circle
Austin, Texas 78711-3088	Austin, Texas 78753

#### Fee Code: WQPPermit No: WQ000New Permit

- 1. Check or Money Order Number: <u>Click to enter text.</u>
- 2. Check or Money Order Amount: Click to enter text.
- 3. Date of Check or Money Order: Click to enter text.
- 4. Name on Check or Money Order: Click to enter text.
- 5. APPLICATION INFORMATION

Name of Project or Site: Click to enter text.

Physical Address of Project or Site: Click to enter text.

If the check is for more than one application, attach a list which includes the name of each Project or Site (RE) and Physical Address, exactly as provided on the application. Attachment: <u>Click to enter text.</u>

#### Staple Check or Money Order in This Space



# **ATTACHMENT B. CORE DATA FORM**

Admin Report 1.0 Pg. 3, 2.c





# **TCEQ** Core Data Form

For detailed instructions regarding completion of this form, please read the Core Data Form Instructions or call 512-239-5175.

#### **SECTION I: General Information**

	1000		Interoit									
1. Reason fo	r Submiss	sion (If other is c	hecked please	e desci	ribe in sj	pace p	orovide	ed.)				
🛛 New Per	mit, Regist	tration or Authori	zation (Core I	Data Fo	orm sho	uld be	subm	itted w	ith the p	orogram applicatio	n.)	
🗌 Renewa	(Core Dat	ta Form should b	e submitted w	vith the	renewa	l form	)		Other			
2. Customer	Reference	e Number <i>(if i</i> ss	ued)	Follov	w this link	k to sea	arch	3. Re	gulated	Entity Reference	e Number <i>(i</i>	f issued)
CN 6059	80739			for CN <u>C</u> e	<u>N or RN r</u> entral Re	number gistry**	r <u>s in</u> *	RN	RN 111422333			
ECTION	II: Cus	stomer Info	ormation									
4. General Co	ustomer In	formation	5. Effective	Date	for Cust	tomer	Infor	matior	n Updat	es (mm/dd/yyyy)	1/31/2	.022
New Cust	omer Legal Nan	ne (Verifiable wit	ا 🗌 h the Texas S	Update ecreta	e to Cust ry of Sta	tomer ate or <sup>-</sup>	Inform Texas	nation Comp	troller of	Change in Dublic Accounts)	Regulated E	ntity Ownership
The Custo	mer Nam	ne submitted	here may b	be up	dated	auto	matic	cally l	based	on what is cu	rrent and	active with the
Texas Sec	retary of	State (SOS)	or Texas C	compt	troller	of Pu	ıblic	Acco	unts (	CPA).		
6. Customer	6. Customer Legal Name (If an individual, print last name first: eg: Doe, John) If new Customer, enter previous Customer below:											
Leprino Fo	ods Co	mpany										
7. TX SOS/CI	PA Filing N	Number	8. TX State	Tax ID	) (11 digits	5)		9	. Federa	al Tax ID (9 digits)	10. DUNS	S Number (if applicable)
080405340	05		3207909	2204				8	84-0500292		-0004	
11. Type of C	ustomer:	🖂 Corporati	on			ndivid	ual		Pa	Partnership: 🔲 General 🗔 Limited		
Government:	City C	County 🗌 Federal [	] State 🗌 Other	r		Sole P	ropriet	torship	nip 🗌 Other:			
12. Number of	of Employ	ees		_				13. Independently Owned and Operated?				
0-20	_ 21-100	101-250	251-500	$\square$	501 and	d high	er		⊴ Yes	∐ No		
14. Custome	r Role (Pro	posed or Actual) -	- as it relates to	the Re	gulated E	Entity li	sted or	n this fo	rm. Plea	se check one of the	following	
Owner		Operat	tor		⊠ Ow	vner &	Opera	ator				
	nal License	e 🗌 Respo	nsible Party			luntary	y Clea	inup Ap	oplicant	UOther:		
	1830 V	V 38 <sup>th</sup> Ave										
15. Mailing												
AMI 6991	City	Denver		S	State	CO		ZIP	802	11	ZIP + 4	
16. Country I	Mailing Inf	ormation (if outsi	de USA)				17. E	E-Mail	Addres	S (if applicable)		
	•						sfrit	tzler(	@lepri	nofoods.com		
18. Telephon	e Number	i i		19. E	xtensio	on or C	Code			20. Fax Numbe	<b>r</b> (if applicab	ole)
(970)34	7-5115									( )	-	

#### **SECTION III: Regulated Entity Information**

**21. General Regulated Entity Information** (If 'New Regulated Entity" is selected below this form should be accompanied by a permit application)

 New Regulated Entity
 Update to Regulated Entity Name

 Update to Regulated Entity Information

The Regulated Entity Name submitted may be updated in order to meet TCEQ Agency Data Standards (removal of organizational endings such as Inc, LP, or LLC).

22. Regulated Entity Name (Enter name of the site where the regulated action is taking place.)

Leprino Foods Lubbock Manufacturing Facility

# LEPRINO\_0002982 of 2

23. Street Address of	4301 E	ast 19 <sup>th</sup> Stree	et								
the Regulated Entity:											
<u>(No PO Boxes)</u>	City	Lubbock	State	T	X	ZIP	79403	3	ZIP +	4	
24. County											
	E	Enter Physical I	Location Descrip	ption if	no stre	et addres	s is provi	ded.			
25. Description to Physical Location:											
26. Nearest City							State			Nea	rest ZIP Code
27. Latitude (N) In Decim	nal:	33.580192	2°		28. Lo	ongitude (	W) In Dec	imal:	-101.7	774	402°
Degrees	Minutes		Seconds		Degree	s	М	inutes			Seconds
33		34	48.69			101		2	46		38.65
29. Primary SIC Code (4	digits) <b>30</b>	. Secondary SI	C Code (4 digits)	<b>31.</b> (5 o	Primary or 6 digits)	Y NAICS C	Code	<b>32. S</b> (5 or 6	<b>econdary</b> digits)	NAI	CS Code
2022	20	)23		31	1513			3115	514		
33. What is the Primary	Business o	of this entity?	(Do not repeat the S	SIC or NA	ICS desci	ription.)					
Cheese (except cott	age chee	ese) manufac	cturing								
					1830 V	N 38 <sup>th</sup> Ave	)				
34. Mailing											
Address:	City	Denver	State		со	ZIP	80	211	ZIP	⊦4	
35. E-Mail Address:			·	hb	radish(	@leprinof	oods.com				
36. Telepho	r	37. Extens	sion or	Code		38.	Fax Nu	mber <i>(if a</i>	ppli	cable)	
( 303 ) 5	48-8718							(	) -		
9. TCEQ Programs and ID orm. See the Core Data Form i	Numbers	Check all Program	ns and write in the ance.	permits/r	registrati	on numbers	s that will be	affected	by the upo	lates	submitted on this
Dam Safety	Distric	ets	Edwards A	quifer		Emiss	ions Invento	ory Air	🗌 Indu	strial	Hazardous Waste

		•		
Municipal Solid Waste	New Source Review Air	OSSF 0	Petroleum Storage Tank	PWS
Sludge	Storm Water	🔲 Title V Air	Tires	Used Oil
Voluntary Cleanup	⊠ Waste Water	Wastewater Agriculture	U Water Rights	Other:
Voluntary Cleanup	Waste Water	Wastewater Agriculture	Water Rights	Other:

### **SECTION IV: Preparer Information**

40. Name:	Hannah Bra	adish		41. Title:	Environmental Compliance Engineer
42. Tele	phone Number	43. Ext./Code	44. Fax Number	45. E-Mail	Address
(303)	(303) 548-8718 ( ) -				@leprinofoods.com

## **SECTION V:** Authorized Signature

**46.** By my signature below, I certify, to the best of my knowledge, that the information provided in this form is true and complete, and that I have signature authority to submit this form on behalf of the entity specified in Section II, Field 6 and/or as required for the updates to the ID numbers identified in field 39.

Company:	Leprino Foods Company	Job Title:	Senior Director of Environmental Engineering			
Name (In Print):	Joseph Herrud			Phone:	( 303 ) 480- <b>2894</b>	
Signature:				Date:		

# LEPRINO\_000263 of 2

# **ATTACHMENT C. PROPERTY OWNERSHIP**

Admin Report 1.0 Pg. 6, 8.f



LUBBOCK CENTRAL AP	PRAISAL DISTRICT			
Property Owner R318755 LEPRINO	FOODS COMPANY	Property Address E 4TH ST, LUBBOCK, TX 79403	Tax Year         2022 Ass           2022 -         CERTIFIED         \$252,1	essed Value 05
2022 GENERAL I	NFORMATION		2022 VALUE INFORMATIO	V
Property Status	Active		Improvement Homesite Value	\$0
Property Type	Commercial and Indust	rial Vacant Land	Improvement Non-Homesite	\$0
Legal Description	BLK O SEC 6 AB 1407 TF	R 1C & RR1C AC: 157.311	Value	
Neighborhood	0504 - City Of Lubbock		Total Improvement Market Value	\$0
Account	AC56006-91407-30000-	000		
Related Properties	R136696, R343830		Land Homesite Value	\$0
Map Number	596		Land Non-Homesite Value	\$252,105
2022 OWNER IN	FORMATION		Land Agricultural Market Value	\$0
Owner Name	LEPRINO FOODS COM	PANY	Total Land Market Value	\$252.105
Owner ID				,,
Exemptions			Total Market Value	\$252,105
Percent Ownership	100%		Agricultural Use	\$0
Mailing Address	1830 W 38TH AVE DEN	VER, CO 80211-2225	Timber Use	\$0
Agent	-		Total Appraised Value	\$252,105
			Homestead Cap Loss	-\$0
			Total Assessed Value	\$252,105

#### 2022 ENTITIES & EXEMPTIONS

TAXING ENTITY	EXEMPTIONS	EXEMPTIONS AMOUNT		TAXABLE VALUE	TAX RATE PER 100	TAX CEILING
CLB- City Of Lubbock			\$0	\$176,819	0.52323	0
GLB- Lubbock County			\$0	\$176,819	0.35999	0
HSP- Lubb Cnty Hospital			\$0	\$176,819	0.103164	0
SRS- Roosevelt ISD			\$0	\$176,819	1.2679	0
WHP- Hi Plains Water			\$0	\$176,819	0.0051	0
TOTALS					2.259384	

#### 2022 LAND SEGMENTS

LAND SEGMENT TYPE	STATE CODE	HOMESITE	MARKET VALUE	AG USE	TIM USE	LAND SIZE
1 - Irr Crop	C1 - Real Property: Vacant Lots and Tracts	No	\$230,000	\$0	\$0	115.000000 acres
2 - Irr Crop	C1 - Real Property: Vacant Lots and Tracts	No	\$0	\$0	\$0	4.970000 acres
3 - Dry Crop	C1 - Real Property: Vacant Lots and Tracts	No	\$20,881	\$0	\$0	25.341000 acres
4 - Dry Crop	C1 - Real Property: Vacant Lots and Tracts	No	\$1,224	\$0	\$0	12.000000 acres
TOTALS						6,852,467 Sq. ft / 157.311000 acres

#### VALUE HISTORY

	YEAR	IMPROVEMENT	LAND	MARKET	AG MARKET	AG USE	APPRAISED	HS CAP LOSS	ASSESSED
	2021	\$0	\$346,509	\$346,509	\$0	\$0	\$346,509	\$0	\$346,509
	2020	\$0	\$500	\$500	\$199,473	\$72,111	\$72,611	\$0	\$72,611
	2019	\$0	\$500	\$500	\$199,473	\$83,687	\$84,187	\$0	\$84,187
	2018	\$0	\$500	\$500	\$199,473	\$90,766	\$91,266	\$0	\$91,266
ĺ	2017	\$0	\$500	\$500	\$199,473	\$53,346	\$53,846	\$0	\$53,846

https://lubbockcad.org/Property-Detail?PropertyQuickRefID=R318755

#### 8/16/22, 10:05 AM

#### Public Access > Property Detail

SALES HISTO	DRY			
DEED DATE	SELLER	BUYER	INSTR #	VOLUME/PAGE
4/19/2022	LUBBOCK ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT ALLIANCE INC	LEPRINO FOODS COMPANY	2022- 18637	
12/29/2020	CARLTON, WILLIAM H, Jr	LUBBOCK ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT ALLIANCE INC	2020- 59091	
1/25/2010	MR W FIREWORKS INC	CARLTON, WILLIAM H, Jr	2010- 2205	

#### DISCLAIMER

Every effort has been made to offer the most current and correct information possible on these pages. The information included on these pages has been compiled by District staff from a variety of sources, and is subject to change without notice. The Lubbock Central Appraisal District makes no warranties or representations whatsoever regarding the quality, content, completeness, accuracy or adequacy of such information and data. The Lubbock Central Appraisal District reserves the right to make changes at any time without notice. Original records may differ from the information on these pages. Verification of information on source documents is recommended. By using this application, you assume all risks arising out of or associated with access to these pages, including but not limited to risks of damage to your computer, peripherals, software and data from any virus, software, file or other cause associated with access to this application. The Lubbock Central Appraisal District shall not be liable for any damages whatsoever arising out of any cause relating to use of this application, including but not limited to mistakes, omissions, deletions, errors, or defects in any information contained in these pages, or any failure to receive or delay in receiving information said or implied.
### ATTACHMENT D. USGS MAP

Admin Report 1.0 Pg. 7, 9b





#### U.S. DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR U.S. GEOLOGICAL SURVEY



### LUBBOCK EAST QUADRANGLE TEXAS - LUBBOCK COUNTY 7.5-MINUTE SERIES



Proposed 14" HDPE Discharge Pipe
 Outfall Location
 Discharge Route
 Downstream Marker
 One Mile Radius
 Industrial
 Irrigation
 Monitor
 Public Supply
 Test Well

Wells

Domestic

Property Boundary

Impoundments

Wastewater Treatment Plant

LEPRINO\_000288

Environmental Soil Boring

### **ATTACHMENT E. DISCHARGE ROUTE DESCRIPTION**

Admin Report 1.0 Pg. 7, 9.d

The proposed discharge route is as follows:

Treated effluent will pumped from the facility through a 14" force main approximately 2.7 miles to an energy dissipation structure thence to a 24" HDPE line to a subsurface outfall structure located in Canyon Lake #6 – classified segment 1241A.



### **ATTACHMENT F. ADJACENT LANDOWNER INFORMATION**

Admin Report 1.1 Pg. 10, 1a, & c





DRAWN BY:	L WILSON	SCALE:	PROJ. NO.	TPDES 2022
CHECKED BY:	A RIENSTRA	AS NOTED	FILE NO.	Project.mxd
APPROVED BY:	A RIENSTRA	DATE PRINTED:	EL	
DATE:	August 2022	8/23/2022	E FI	GURE 2

CEPTRINO\_00029

MAP ID	OWNER NAME	ADDRESS	СІТҮ	STATE	ZIP CODE
1	606 HAROLD LLC	PO BOX 573036	HOUSTON	ТΧ	77257
2	ADAMS C POWELL & CAROL	7317 E FM 40	LUBBOCK	ТΧ	79403
3	ADEBANJO ADESOJI & JEROME ADEBANJO	924 E EMORY ST APT 3104	LUBBOCK	ТΧ	79403
4	ALONSO ANDRES C & MACRUZ A	1107 46TH ST	LUBBOCK	ТΧ	79412
5	ATMOS ENERGY CORPORATION	PO BOX 650205	DALLAS	ТХ	75265-0205
6	BAIGEN, MICHELLE	325 PRIVATE ROAD 2760	LUBBOCK	ТΧ	79403-8244
7	BASF CORPORATION	100 PARK AVE	FLORHAM PARK	NJ	07932-1089
8	BNSF RAILWAY COMPANY	PO BOX 961089	FORT WORTH	ТХ	76161-0089
9	BRAXTON, OLA JEAN R	12083 APPLEBURY CT	RNCHO CORDOVA	CA	95742-8112
10	BROWN, BILL W	ADDRESS UNKNOWN			
11	BUTLER, REGINA	4013 47TH ST	LUBBOCK	ТΧ	79413
12	CARRIZALES STEVEN GABRIEL	1523 E MAIN ST	LUBBOCK	ТХ	79403-5207
13	CARTER, BRYCE CYRLOYD	4013 47TH ST	LUBBOCK	тх	79413
14	CERDA JOSE L & GUADALUPE REYES & ZETA RODRIGUEZ	2902 2ND PL	LUBBOCK	ТХ	79415
15	CHAVEZ JOANN O & JOEL JR & MARIA O RHODES	4703 E 4TH ST	LUBBOCK	ТХ	79403-5007
16	COLE DG LUBBOCK FM 40 TX LLC	PO BOX 460369	HOUSTON	ТХ	77056
17	CRBBB PARTNERSHIP LP	5201 19TH ST	LUBBOCK	ТΧ	79407
18	DAVIS, WILLIAM E	HC 2 BOX 1170	CAMP WOOD	ТΧ	78833-9602
19	FULL ARMOR MINISTRIES	PO BOX 1574	LUBBOCK	ТΧ	79408
20	GAONA ABEL & EVA	4435 E FM 40	LUBBOCK	ТΧ	79403
21	GARCIA ANGEL & EMERY	2506 58TH ST	LUBBOCK	ТХ	79413-5632
22	GIL, LUIS JESUS	PO BOX 348	SMYER	ТΧ	79367-0348
23	GREEN, TINA	3406 E BROADWAY	LUBBOCK	ТΧ	79403
24	GUTIERREZ RAYMOND Z & RACHAEL GARCIA	808 E 76TH ST	LUBBOCK	ТΧ	79404-6417
25	HARTFIELD, ROBERT	3605 N COUNTY ROAD 2775	LUBBOCK	ТХ	79403
26	HERRERA, RAMONA (TOD)	2211 E 19TH ST	LUBBOCK	ТХ	79403
27	JOHNSON JOSEPH ESTATE OF & ESTATE OF RUBY JOHNSON	3413 E 19TH ST	LUBBOCK	ТΧ	79403
28	JOHNSON, TREVA S	3413 E 19TH ST	LUBBOCK	ТΧ	79403
29	KASTMAN INTERESTS LTD	PO BOX 5930	LUBBOCK	ТΧ	79408-5930
30	KEYS CINDY KIM HOLEMAN	108 HELTON	GRANBURY	ТΧ	76049-1335
31	LOS CARNALES MC	4022 WOODROW RD	LUBBOCK	ТΧ	79423
32	LUBBOCK CITY OF	PO BOX 2000	LUBBOCK	ТХ	79457-0001
33	LUBBOCK ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT ALLIANCE INC	1500 BROADWAY STE 600	LUBBOCK	ТΧ	79401-3167
34	LUBBOCK REGIONAL MENTAL HEALTH & MENTAL RETARDATIO	PO BOX 2828	LUBBOCK	ТΧ	79408-2828
35	MC LANE FOOD SERVICE	PO BOX 5550	LUBBOCK	ТХ	79408-5550
36	MR W FIREWORKS INC	PO BOX 114	SOMERSET	ТΧ	78069-0114
37	NUNEZ ANTONIO & REYNA	6210 E COUNTY ROAD 6450	LUBBOCK	ТХ	79403
38	ODDIE FREDDRICK II & DARAVION J BONNER JR	904 W LAUREL ST	COMPTON	CA	90220-2907
39	PITTS, ELAINE	140 CR 3051	DECATUR	ТΧ	76234-4610
40	PUCKETT, WILEY ESTATE	ADDRESS UNKNOWN			
41	QUIGLEY, CEDRIC	2636 E CORNELL	LUBBOCK	ТХ	79403
42	RAMOS, OLGA H	4517 E 4TH ST	LUBBOCK	ТХ	79403-4829
43	REYES RAMIRO & DEBRA	15916 N COUNTY ROAD 2500	ABERNATHY	ТХ	79311-5333
44	RICHARDSON LACARL & BERNARD & DALE A & LAFRANCES R A	2939 E COLGATE ST	LUBBOCK	ТХ	79403
45	RIOS, PEDRO ALFONSO ROJO	1301 FM 40	RALLS	ТХ	79357
46	RODRIGUEZ AMBER L & JOROMEO J MADDOX	3405 E 19TH ST	LUBBOCK	ТХ	79403
47	RODRIGUEZ ROGER & MARIA ELENA	8710 GOLDEN PT	SAN ANTONIO	ТХ	78239
48	RRW LEASING INC	303 30TH ST	LUBBOCK	ТХ	79404
49	SAMUDIO, CARLOS	4414 82ND ST STE 212	LUBBOCK	ТХ	79424-3359
50	SCHILLING WAYNE & MARY	16912 COUNTY ROAD 3000	SLATON	ТХ	79364-7750
51	SIEBER TRUST, E HAYES Attn: PROSPERITY BANK TRUST	1401 AVE Q	LUBBOCK	ТХ	79401-3819
52	SUMODA REAL ESTATE INVESTMENTS LLC	3604 RAVENHILL LN	ARLINGTON	ТХ	76016-4831
53	TAYLOR, EDWINA GETAY	3021 E 19TH ST	LUBBOCK	ТХ	79403-6040
54	THOMPSON JAMES E ET AL	2609 E 19TH ST	LUBBOCK	ТХ	79403-5829
55	TREVINO, GUADALUPE TIRSO	320 PRIVATE ROAD 2760	LUBBOCK	ТХ	79403
56	TRIGGS BOYD & ELIZABETH BRAZIEL	4711 E 4TH ST	LUBBOCK	ТХ	79401
57	VASQUEZ MARK & MERCEDES	10602 DETROIT AVE	LUBBOCK	ТХ	79423
58	WALTON BONNIE & 'TESSIE ESTATE	ADDRESS UNKNOWN			
59	WYNNE, WILLIE	ADDRESS UNKNOWN			

#### LUBBOCK CENTRAL APPRAISAL DISTRICT

Property	Owner	Property Address	Tax Year	2022 A
R58691	LUBBOCK ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT ALLIANCE INC	4003 19TH ST, LUBBOCK, T>	K 79410 2022 👻	CERTIFIED \$3

#### 2022 GENERAL INFORMATION

### **2022 VALUE INFORMATION**

Property Status	Active	Improvement Homesite Value
Property Type	Commercial and Industrial Vacant Land	Improvement Non-Homesite Value
Legal Description	BLK O SEC 5 AB 21 TR G2 AC: .396	Total Improvement Market Value
Neighborhood	0504 - City Of Lubbock	
Account	AC56005-90021-00175-000	Land Homesite Value
Map Number	594	Land Non-Homesite Value
2022 OWNER IN	IFORMATION	Land Agricultural Market Value
Owner Name	LUBBOCK ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT ALLIANCE INC	Total Land Market Value
Owner ID		
Exemptions	Prorated Full Exemption	Total Market Value
Percent Ownership	100%	Agricultural Use
Mailing Address	1500 BROADWAY #STE 600 LUBBOCK, TX 79401-3167	Timber Use
Agent		Total Appraised Value
		Homestead Cap Loss

Total Assessed Value

#### **2022 ENTITIES & EXEMPTIONS**

#### Special Exemptions PRO - Prorated Full Exemption

TAXING ENTITY	EXEMPTIONS	EXEMPTIONS AMOUNT	TAXABLE VALUE	TAX RATE PER 100	TAX CEILIN
CLB- City Of Lubbock		\$0	\$1,619	0.52323	0
GLB- Lubbock County		\$0	\$1,619	0.35999	0
HSP- Lubb Cnty Hospital		\$0	\$1,619	0.103164	0
SLB- Lubbock ISD		\$0	\$1,619	1.1355	0
WHP- Hi Plains Water		\$0	\$1,619	0.0051	0
TOTALS				2 126984	

#### 2022 LAND SEGMENTS

TOTALS						17,280 Sq. ft / 0.396694
1 - Commercial	C1 - Real Property: Vacant Lots and Tracts	No	\$3,456	\$0	\$0	17,280 Sq. ft
LAND SEGMENT TYPE	STATE CODE	HOMESITE	MARKET VALUE	AG USE	TIM USE	LAND SIZE

#### VALUE HISTORY

YEAR	IMPROVEMENT	LAND	MARKET	AG MARKET	AG USE	APPRAISED	HS CAP LOSS	ASSESSED
2021	\$0	\$3,456	\$3,456	\$0	\$0	\$3,456	\$0	\$3
2020	\$0	\$3,456	\$3,456	\$0	\$0	\$3,456	\$0	\$3
2019	\$0	\$3,456	\$3,456	\$0	\$0	\$3,456	\$0	\$3
2018	\$0	\$3,456	\$3,456	\$0	\$0	\$3,456	\$0	\$3
2017	\$0	\$3,456	\$3,456	\$0	\$0	\$3,456	\$0	\$3

#### SALES HISTORY

DEED DATE	SELLER	BUYER	INSTR #	VOLUME/PA
7/12/2022	LUBBOCK ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT ALLIANCE	-	2022- 33840	
6/21/2022	BROWN, BILL W	LUBBOCK ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT ALLIANCE	2022- 30337	

## LEPRINO\_000293

acres

#### DISCLAIMER

Every effort has been made to offer the most current and correct information possible on these pages. The information included on these pages has compiled by District staff from a variety of sources, and is subject to change without notice. The Lubbock Central Appraisal District makes no warrant representations whatsoever regarding the quality, content, completeness, accuracy or adequacy of such information and data. The Lubbock C Appraisal District reserves the right to make changes at any time without notice. Original records may differ from the information on these p Verification of information on source documents is recommended. By using this application, you assume all risks arising out of or associated with acc these pages, including but not limited to risks of damage to your computer, peripherals, software and data from any virus, software, file or other associated with access to this application. The Lubbock Central Appraisal District shall not be liable for any damages whatsoever arising out of any relating to use of this application, including but not limited to mistakes, omissions, deletions, errors, or defects in any information contained in pages, or any failure to receive or delay in receiving information said or implied.

### ATTACHMENT G. ORIGINAL PHOTOGRAPHS AND MAPS OF PHOTO LOCATIONS

Admin Report 1.1 Pg. 11, 2.

Photos will be provided upon access to discharge point. As soon as they become available, they will be submitted.



### **ATTACHMENT H. SPIF INFORMATION**

SPIF Pg. 13, 8.





Tech Report 1.0 Pg. 1, 1.a &b Tech Report 1.0 Pg. 3, 2.a Tech Report 1.0 Pg. 8, 6.

Leprino Foods Company proposes to construct a new mozzarella cheese and nutrition manufacturing facility in Lubbock, Texas. The facility is located on undeveloped farmland located in Lubbock, Lubbock County, Texas. The facility is located just east of East Loop 289 and is bordered on the north by E. 4th Street, and by E. 19th Street to the south.

The manufactured dairy-based cheese will be for commercial food operators for public consumption. The Facility will be operating under the Primary Standard Industry Code: 2022 (Natural, Processed, and Imitation Cheese) and NAICS Code: 311513. The facility will receive raw potable water supplied by connection to a Lubbock Public Water System owned and operated by the City of Lubbock. The primary use of the water will be for the manufacturing process and make up for the cooling water.

Raw fluid milk is brought into the facility as the main ingredient to the cheesemake process. The milk is reacted to coagulate the proteins and form cheese curds and liquid whey. The liquid whey is separated from the cheese and processed into nutrition products through separation and drying processes which removes the associated water. The associated water (COW water) and potable water used for daily sanitation is discharged to the onsite wastewater treatment plant and then discharged to Outfall 001. The brine used to cool and add salt to the cheese is managed in a separate evaporation system along with other high TDS waters. Cooling water discharges, boiler water, and reverse osmosis reject water will also be sent to the wastewater treatment facility and then discharged to Outfall 001. See Appendix J for more details.

Domestic sewage will be routed via connection to the Southeast Water Reclamation Plant Wastewater Treatment Facility in Lubbock Texas. Domestic sewage will be disposed of by an on-site septic tank and serviced by a registered hauler.

The discharge via pipe to Outfall 001 will consist primarily of process wastewater from the manufacturing process and cooling water blowdown. All waste streams discharged from the facility will be from the wastewater treatment plant located onsite.

Wastewater treatment will use a combination of anaerobic and aerobic activated sludge systems to treat all high strength wastewater (HSW) and low strength wastewater (LSW) generated in production. A divert flow system has been designed to mitigate potential non-compliance waste streams that will allow a high degree of control over wastewater divert to Outfall 001.

Brine water will be kept separate from the LSW and HSW streams and have a dedicated lift station to send brine to two onsite evaporation lagoons. Brine, RO Reject, and non-compliant effluent wastewater streams may be managed as "high TDS water" for evaporation in the High TDS Evaporation Lagoons. Wastewater will be allowed to evaporate while dissolved solids are deposited on the bottom of the lagoons. Removal of solids from the lagoons is anticipated every two years and will be transported by a certified waste hauler. To assist with evaporation, the lagoons will be equipped with splashers.

At the south end of the property there will be a valve manhole that can control whether effluent goes to direct discharge into Lake #6 or if it goes to the City of Lubbock. In the event of an upset in the system,

water could be temporarily sent to a 10.5-million-gallon non-compliant lagoon. This volume allows for noncompliant effluent to be contained for seven days operating at full capacity. The plant will also be equipped with an aerated 1.5-million-gallon multipurpose lagoon to serve as storage should any process units need to be taken out of service.

RO reject water will be treated at the wastewater treatment facility, located onsite, and discharged to Outfall 001.

Process wastewater and cooling water discharge will be continuous. All flows will be pumped from the facility through a 14" force main to an energy dissipation structure thence to a 24" HDPE line to the outfall structure (001) located in Canyon Lake #6 – classified segment 1241A.



## **ATTACHMENT J. RAW MATERIALS**

Tech Report 1.0 Pg. 2, 1.c

Raw Materials	Intermediates	Products
Milk	Permeate	Mozzarella Cheese
Nonfat dry milk	Liquid Whey	Whey Protein Powder
Salt	Cream	Sweet Whey Powder
Cellulose	Skim Milk	Permeate Powder
		Cream



## ATTACHMENT K. FACILITY SITE DRAWINGS

Tech Report 1.0 Pg. 2, 1.d







## **Leprino Treated Effluent Line**

Lubbock Economic Development Alliance 1500 Broadway, Suite 600 Lubbock, TX 79401



### Lake Survey

Issue: Review Date: March 2022 Project No: 01.5609.21 LSEPRINO\_000303 1 of 1



# Parkhill Leprino New Effluent Outfall Line

Parkhill.com

Lubbock Economical Development Alliance 1500 Broadway Street, Suite #6 Lubbock, Texas 79401

## Energy Dissipation Detail - Option No. 1 Issue: TECHNICAL MEMO

 Date:
 DEC 2021

 Project No:
 PENDING

 SEPRINO
 000304
 3 OF 9

### ATTACHMENT L. FLOW SCHEMATIC DIAGRAM

Tech Report 1.0 Pg. 3, 2.b







Tech Report 1.0 Pg. 8, 5.d

Cooling tower additives have not yet been selected. Once the additives are known, SDS for those products will be submitted.



### **ATTACHMENT N. IMPOUNDMENTS**

Tech Report 1.0 Pg. 5, b.i – b.iii, 3.c – 3.e

- Impoundment Data
- USGS Map Water Supply and Monitor Wells
   State Water Wells and Geotechnical Report
- ► Waste Migration Assessment
- Evaporation Calculations



### **Impoundments Data**

Not yet designed. Engineering data will be submitted when available.



### **USGS Map – Water Supply and Monitor Wells**



#### U.S. DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR **U.S. GEOLOGICAL SURVEY**



### LUBBOCK EAST QUADRANGLE **TEXAS - LUBBOCK COUNTY** 7.5-MINUTE SERIES



Property Boundary Wastewater Treatment Plant Domestic Impoundments Environmental Soil Boring Proposed 14" HDPE Discharge Pipe Outfall Location

Discharge Route

One Mile Radius

Oownstream Marker

Industrial Irrigation 🔶 Monitor Public Supply

🕀 Test Well

Wells

**State Water Well and Geotechnical Reports** 

STATE OF TEXAS WELL REPORT for Tracking #220497				
Owner:	One Builder for Life	Owner Well #:	No Data	
Address:	PO Box 53493 Lubbock, TX  79453	Grid #:	23-26-3	
Well Location:	4615 E. 4th St.	Latitude:	33° 35' 34" N	
	Lubbock, TX 79403	Longitude:	101° 46' 16" W	
Well County:	Lubbock	Elevation:	No Data	
Type of Work:	New Well	Proposed Use:	Domestic	

Drilling Start Date: 6/23/2010 Drilling End Date: 6/23/2010

	Diameter	(in.)	Top Depth (ft.)	Bottom Dep	th (ft.)
Borehole:	8		0	148	
Drilling Method:	Mud (Hydrauli	c) Rotary			
Borehole Completion:	Filter Packed				
	Top Depth (ft.)	Bottom Depth (ft.)	Filter	Material	Size
Filter Pack Intervals:	95	148	Gr	avel	8/16
	Top Depth (ft.)	Bottom Depth	(ft.) D	Description (number of sacks & material	
Annular Seal Data:	2	4		cement	
	4	95		bentonite	
Seal Method: Slu	urry		Distance to F	Property Line (ft.): 1	5
Sealed By: Dr	iller		Distance to Sep concentrated co	tic Field or other ontamination (ft.): 1	100
			Distance to	Septic Tank (ft.):	No Data
			Metho	od of Verification: <b>T</b>	аре
Surface Completion:	Pitless Adapte	r Used			
Water Level:	No Data				
Packers:	No Data				

Type of Pump: No Data

Well Tests: No Test Data Specified



	Strata Depth (ft.)	Water Type		
Water Quality:	118-130	Fresh		
		Chemical Analysis M	lade: <b>No</b>	
	Did the driller	knowingly penetrate any strata w contained injurious constitue	hich nts?: <b>No</b>	
Certification Data:	The driller certified th driller's direct supervi correct. The driller u he report(s) being re	at the driller drilled this well (or th ision) and that each and all of the nderstood that failure to complete turned for completion and resubn	e well was drille statements here the required ite nittal.	ed under the ein are true and ems will result in
Company Information:	Estill Drilling			
	PO Box 64491 Lubbock, TX  7946	54		
Driller Name:	Geoffrey S. Spenc	er Lice	nse Number:	58601
Comments:	No Data			

#### Lithology: DESCRIPTION & COLOR OF FORMATION MATERIAL

Top (ft.)	Bottom (ft.)	Description
0	4	Top Soil
4	25	Brown Sandy Clay
25	90	Silty Tan Clay/ light layers of Sandstone
90	112	Sand and Gravel
112	118	Tan Clay
118	130	Sand and Gravel
130	141	Silty Sandy Clay/ Sand
141	148	Blue Limestone

Casing: BLANK PIPE & WELL SCREEN DATA

Dia. (in.) New/Used Type Setting From/To (ft.)

5 New PVC surface to 108

#### 5 New PVC Screen 108-148 .035

#### IMPORTANT NOTICE FOR PERSONS HAVING WELLS DRILLED CONCERNING CONFIDENTIALITY

TEX. OCC. CODE Title 12, Chapter 1901.251, authorizes the owner (owner or the person for whom the well was drilled) to keep information in Well Reports confidential. The Department shall hold the contents of the well log confidential and not a matter of public record if it receives, by certified mail, a written request to do so from the owner.

Please include the report's Tracking Number on your written request.

Texas Department of Licensing and Regulation P.O. Box 12157 Austin, TX 78711 (512) 334-5540



	STATE OF TEXAS WELL	REPORT for Trac	king #284529	
Owner:	City Of Lubbock	Owner Well #:	SBL-01	
Address:	402 Municipal Drive	Grid #:	23-26-3	
Well Location:	City Of Lubbock Land Application	Latitude:	33° 35' 07" N	
	Site Lubbock, TX	Longitude:	101° 46' 25" W	
	Lubbook	Elevation:	No Data	
weil County.	LUDDOCK	**Plugged With	nin 48 Hours**	
**This well has been plugged** Plugging Report Tracking #135655				
Type of Work:	New Well	Proposed Use:	Environmental Soil Boring	

Drilling Start Date: 3/28/2012 Drilling End Date: 3/28/2012

Borehole:	Diameter (in.) <b>7.875</b>	Top Depth (ft.)	Bottom Dep	th (ft.)
Borehole:	7.875	0	55	
			55	
Drilling Method: Ai	r Rotary			
Borehole Completion: Ur	known			
Annular Seal Data: No	Data			
Seal Method: Not Ap	oplicable	Distance to Pro	operty Line (ft.):	No Data
Sealed By: Unkno	wn	Distance to Seption concentrated corr	c Field or other ntamination (ft.): I	No Data
		Distance to S	Septic Tank (ft.):	No Data
		Method	d of Verification:	No Data
Surface Completion: Un	known			
Water Level: N	o Data			
Packers: N	o Data			
Type of Pump: No	o Data			
Well Tests: N	o Test Data Specified			
	Description (number	of sacks & material)	Top Depth (ft.)	Bottom Depth (ft
Plug Information:	55-6 Bei	nt HP 22		
	6-2 Ce	ment 1		
	2-0	Fill		

		Strata Depth (ft.)	Water Type		
١	Water Quality:	No Data	No Data		
			Chemical Analysis M	/lade: <b>No</b>	
		Did the driller	knowingly penetrate any strata w contained injurious constitue	/hich ents?: <b>No</b>	
	Certification Data:	The driller certified th driller's direct supervi correct. The driller u the report(s) being re	at the driller drilled this well (or the signal of the signal and that each and all of the nderstood that failure to complete turned for completion and resubr	he well was drille statements here the required ite mittal.	ed under the ein are true and ems will result in
(	Company Information:	Straub Corporatio	n		
		P O Box 192 Stanton, TX 79782	2		
[	Driller Name:	Raymond Straub	Jr Lice	ense Number:	4456
(	Comments:	No Data			

#### Lithology: DESCRIPTION & COLOR OF FORMATION MATERIAL

Top (ft.)	Bottom (ft.)	Description
0	3.5	Dark Brown Clayey Silt
3.5	8	Dark Brown Silty Clay
8	17	Gray/Green Clay
17	30.5	Calcareous Silty Sand
30.5	39	Tan Silicious Sandstone
39	45	Red Silty Sandy Clay
45	55	Red/Brown Clayey Sand

#### Casing: BLANK PIPE & WELL SCREEN DATA

Dia. (in.) New/Used Type Setting From/To (ft.)

No Data

#### IMPORTANT NOTICE FOR PERSONS HAVING WELLS DRILLED CONCERNING CONFIDENTIALITY

TEX. OCC. CODE Title 12, Chapter 1901.251, authorizes the owner (owner or the person for whom the well was drilled) to keep information in Well Reports confidential. The Department shall hold the contents of the well log confidential and not a matter of public record if it receives, by certified mail, a written request to do so from the owner.

Please include the report's Tracking Number on your written request.

Texas Department of Licensing and Regulation P.O. Box 12157 Austin, TX 78711 (512) 334-5540



	STATE OF TEXAS WELL REP	ORT for Trac	king #379718
Owner:	BAYER CROP SCIENCE	Owner Well #:	9680
Address:	1301 EAST 50TH STREET	Grid #:	23-26-6
Well Location:	No Data	Latitude:	33° 34' 54" N
		Longitude:	101° 46' 46" W
Well County:	Lubbock	Elevation:	No Data
Type of Work:	New Well	Proposed Use:	Irrigation

Drilling Start Date: 10/1/2014 Drilli

Drilling End Date: 10/2/2014

	Diameter (	(in.)	Top Depth (ft.)	Bottom De	∍pth (ft.)
Borehole:	18		0	160	D
Drilling Method:	Mud (Hydrauli	c) Rotary			
Borehole Completion:	Filter Packed				
	Top Depth (ft.)	Bottom Depth (ft.)	Filter	Material	Size
Filter Pack Intervals:	10	160	G	ravel	3/8"
	Top Depth (ft.)	Bottom Dept	h (ft.) [	Description (number of	sacks & material)
Annular Seal Data:	-1			CEMEN	ІТ
Seal Method: Ur	nknown		Distance to I	Property Line (ft.):	No Data
Sealed By: Dr	iller		Distance to Sep concentrated c	otic Field or other ontamination (ft.):	No Data
			Distance to	Septic Tank (ft.):	No Data
			Meth	od of Verification:	No Data
Surface Completion:	Surface Slab Ir	nstalled			
Water Level:	No Data				
Packers:	No Data				
Type of Pump:	No Data				
Well Tests:	No Test Data	Specified			



	Strata Depth (ft.)	Water Type		
Water Quality:	No Data	No Data		
		Chemical Analysis Made:	No	
	Did the driller k	nowingly penetrate any strata which contained injurious constituents?:	No	
Certification Data:	The driller certified that driller's direct supervis correct. The driller un the report(s) being ret	at the driller drilled this well (or the we sion) and that each and all of the state derstood that failure to complete the urned for completion and resubmittal	II was drille ements her required ite	ed under the rein are true and ems will result in
Company Information:	HI PLAINS DRILLIN	IG, INC.		
	P. O. BOX 730 ABERNATHY, TX 7	79311		
Driller Name:	LEEROY TREVINO	License	Number:	54667
Comments:	No Data			

#### Lithology: DESCRIPTION & COLOR OF FORMATION MATERIAL

Top (ft.)	Bottom (ft.)	Description
0	4	TOP SOIL
4	17	CALICHE AND CLAY
17	40	SANDY CLAY, SAND, SNDSTONE
40	45	HARD CAPROCK
45	80	SANDY CLAY, SAND, SANDSTONE
80	144	COARSE SAND, GRVL
144	155	HARD LIMEROCK
155	160	RED

Casing: BLANK PIPE & WELL SCREEN DATA

Setting From/To (ft.)

12 3/4 N BLANK STEEL +1 - 100 .188

Dia. (in.) New/Used Type

#### 12 3/4 N MILLSLOT PERF 48 SPF 100 - 160 .188

#### IMPORTANT NOTICE FOR PERSONS HAVING WELLS DRILLED CONCERNING CONFIDENTIALITY

TEX. OCC. CODE Title 12, Chapter 1901.251, authorizes the owner (owner or the person for whom the well was drilled) to keep information in Well Reports confidential. The Department shall hold the contents of the well log confidential and not a matter of public record if it receives, by certified mail, a written request to do so from the owner.

Please include the report's Tracking Number on your written request.

#### Texas Department of Licensing and Regulation P.O. Box 12157 Austin, TX 78711 (512) 334-5540





# Draft Geotechnical Engineering Report

### **Armadillo Food Manufacturing Facility**

Lubbock, Texas February 24, 2022 Terracon Project No. AR215097

### **Prepared for:**

E.A. Bonelli + Associates, Inc. Oakland, CA

### **Prepared by:**

Terracon Consultants, Inc. Lubbock, Texas



February 24, 2022

Terracon GeoReport.

E.A. Bonelli + Associates, Inc. 8450 Edes Avenue Oakland, CA 94621

- Attn: Ms. Lesley S. Marshall, P.E., Vice President P: (415) 760.0360 E: Lesley.marshall@eabonelli.com
- Re: Draft Geotechnical Engineering Report Armadillo Food Manufacturing Facility E County Road 6700 Lubbock, Texas Terracon Project No. AR215097

Dear Ms. Marshall:

We are pleased to submit this Geotechnical Engineering report for the above referenced project. This study was performed in general accordance with Terracon Proposal No. PAR215097 dated December 8, 2021 and E.A. Bonelli's CQC No. 21053-TRCN-001. This report presents the findings of the subsurface exploration and provides geotechnical recommendations concerning earthwork and the design and construction of foundations and pavements for the proposed project.

We appreciate the opportunity to work with you on this project and look forward to contributing to the ongoing success of this project by providing Materials Testing services during construction. If you have any questions concerning this report, or if we may be of further service, please contact us.

Sincerely,

Terracon Consultants, Inc. (Firm Registration No. F3272)

Maverick Rubin Geotechnical Field Engineer Jerry T. Sayson, P.E. Geotechnical Department Manager

Reviewed by:

Greg J. Klein, P.E. Principal

> Terracon Consultants, Inc. 5847 50<sup>th</sup> Street Lubbock, Texas 79424 P (806) 300 0140 F (806) 797 0947 terracon.com


Materials

### **REPORT TOPICS**

INTRODUCTION	1
SITE CONDITIONS	1
PROJECT DESCRIPTION	2
GEOTECHNICAL OVERVIEW	2
CORROSIVITY	4
EARTHWORK	5
SHALLOW FOUNDATIONS	9
DEEP FOUNDATIONS	
FLOOR SLABS	
LATERAL EARTH PRESSURE	
SEISMIC CONSIDERATIONS	
PAVEMENTS	
GENERAL COMMENTS	

**Note:** This report was originally delivered in a web-based format. **Orange Bold** text in the report indicates a referenced section heading. The PDF version also includes hyperlinks which direct the reader to that section and clicking on the *GeoReport* logo will bring you back to this page. For more interactive features, please view your project online at <u>client.terracon.com</u>.

# **ATTACHMENTS**

EXPLORATION AND TESTING PROCEDURES SITE LOCATION AND EXPLORATION PLANS EXPLORATION RESULTS SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Note: Refer to each individual Attachment for a listing of contents.

Armadillo Food Manufacturing Facility E County Road 6700 Lubbock, Texas Terracon Project No. AR215097 February 24, 2022

### **INTRODUCTION**

This report presents the results of our subsurface exploration and geotechnical engineering services performed for the Armadillo Food Manufacturing Facility to be located approximately one-half mile west of CR 2800, north of and E County Road 6700 in Lubbock, Texas. The purposes of these services are to provide information and geotechnical engineering recommendations relative to:

- Subsurface soil conditions
- Site preparation and earthwork
- Floor slab design and construction
- Pavement design and construction
- Groundwater conditions
- Foundation design and construction
- Seismic site classification per IBC

Maps showing the site and boring locations are shown in the **Site Location** and **Exploration Plans** sections, respectively. The results of the laboratory testing performed on soil samples obtained from the site during the field exploration are included on the boring logs in the **Exploration Results** section.

# SITE CONDITIONS

The following description of site conditions is derived from our site visit in association with the field exploration and our review of publicly available geologic and topographic maps.

Item	Description
	The main building is located 0.45 miles east and 0.25 miles north of the intersection of Loop 289 and E County Road 6700. The water treatment plant is located at the southwest corner of E County Road 6600 and Wood avenue.
Parcel Information	The coordinates of the approximate center of the proposed building area are 33.5818N, 101.7794W.
	The coordinates of the approximate center of the proposed water treatment plant and detention pond are 33.5889N, 101.7708W.
	See Site Location.

Armadillo Food Manufacturing Facility 
Lubbock, Texas
February 24, 2022 
Terracon Project No. AR215097



Item	Description		
Existing Improvements	The project site has been predominately developed as agricultural fields with small portions of the southwest corner of the northeast parcel uncultivated. Structures include small equipment sheds and tanks associated near the center of each parcel associated with the field irrigation systems. Travelled roads through the site are unsurfaced dirt paths.		
Current Ground Cover	Exposed soils and vegetation.		

# **PROJECT DESCRIPTION**

Our understanding of the project conditions are as follows:

ltem	Description	
Project Description	<ul> <li>The proposed project is a food manufacturing facility.:</li> <li>Main processing building will be single story precast building approximately 40 feet high with anticipated column loads of 350 to 500 kip, wall loads of 12 to 15 kip/ft and floor loads of 800 psf</li> <li>Warehouse area and office building with anticipated column loads of 50 to 100 kip and wall loads of 5 to 10 kip/ft</li> <li>Dryer equipment area is anticipated to be precast structure about 140 feet to 150 feet high from finish grade. The anticipated column loads are 1500 to 1800 kip and wall load of 18 kip/ft</li> <li>Silo area is anticipated to have capacities of 20,000 to 75,000 gallons</li> <li>Loading docks</li> <li>Associated pavement areas.</li> <li>Water treatment plant will be a single-story building with detention papede</li> </ul>	
Site Topography	Gently sloping from the southwest to the northeast.	
Pavements	Pavement will consist of hot mix asphaltic concrete for pavement subject to regular passenger car traffic and portland cement concrete pavement will be used for pavement subject to heavy-duty traffic. Heavy duty traffic loads were provided by the Client as 200 tractor-trailers per day, 6 days operation per week and 20-year design life.	
Finished Floor Elevation	Elevation information is not available at the time of this report.	

# **GEOTECHNICAL CHARACTERIZATION**

We have developed a general characterization of the subsurface conditions based upon our review of the subsurface exploration, laboratory data, geologic setting and our understanding of the project. This characterization, termed GeoModel, forms the basis of our geotechnical calculations and evaluation of site preparation and foundation options. Conditions encountered at



each exploration point are indicated on the individual logs. The individual logs can be found in the **Exploration Results** section and the GeoModel can be found in the **Figures** section of this report.

As part of our analyses, we identified the following model layers within the subsurface profile. For a more detailed view of the model layer depths at each boring location, refer to the GeoModel.

Model Layer	Layer Name	General Description
1	Upper Sandy Lean Clay	Medium stiff to hard
2	Upper Clayey Sand	Loose to very dense
3	Lower Sandy Lean Clay	Medium stiff to hard
4	Fat Clay	Hard
5	Lower Clayey Sand	Dense to very dense

The borings were advanced in the dry using an air rotary drilling technique that allow short term groundwater observations to be made while drilling. Groundwater seepage was not encountered within the maximum drilling depth at the time of our field exploration. Groundwater conditions may be different at the time of construction. Groundwater conditions may change because of seasonal variations in rainfall, runoff and other conditions not apparent at the time of drilling.

# **GEOTECHNICAL OVERVIEW**

The near surface clay soils could become unstable with typical earthwork and construction traffic, especially after precipitation events. Effective drainage should be completed early in the construction sequence and maintained after construction to avoid potential issues. If possible, the grading should be performed during the warmer and drier times of the year. If grading is performed during the winter months, an increased risk for possible undercutting and replacement of unstable subgrade will persist.

The warehouse building, office building, silos and the wastewater treatment plant building may be supported by shallow foundations provided the foundation areas are prepared in accordance with the requirements in the **Earthwork** section. Refer to **Shallow Foundations** section for detailed recommendations. Shallow foundations for the dryer equipment area and the main processing building will require ground improvement in order to improve load-settlement relationships for the higher structure loadings associated with these features.

Alternatively, drilled pier foundations can be considered for the processing and dryer equipment area or other features where bearing capacity concerns lead to oversized foundations. Refer to **Deep Foundations** section for detailed recommendations.

The **Floor Slabs** section includes recommendations for subgrade preparation associated with performance expectations of our assumed slab loads.



Hot mix asphaltic concrete (HMAC) or portland cement concrete (PCC) pavement sections are provided herein for light-duty and heavy-duty roadways, respectively. The **Pavements** section provides additional recommendations for the design of new pavement systems.

# CORROSIVITY

Tests for pH, chloride content, sulfate content, sulfide content, total salts, red-ox potential, and laboratory electrical resistivity were performed on two samples from the borings to provide an indication of the corrosion potential of the on-site materials. This limited testing program should not be interpreted as a comprehensive assessment of the site, but only provides an indication of conditions at the sampled location.

Laboratory resisitivity test results were performed using a Miller Box. The results of the resistivity tests and pH tests are summarized in the following table:

pH and Electrical Resistivity Test Results				
Boring Number	oring Number Sample Depth <sup>1</sup> Electrical Resistivity (Ω-cm) pH			
BP6	2.5	1,859	7.70	
BP7 5 1,239 7.38				
1. Approximate depth below existing grade at the time of our field program.				

Mapping by the Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS) indicates that the potential for corrosion of steel predominantly varies from "moderate" to "high" at the site. Borings BP6 and BP7 from which the samples were selected for resistivity are mapped in an area of "moderate" corrosion potential. Numerous publications exist that assess corrosion potential with varying qualitative descriptions for the measured results. Values of electrical resistivity less than 2000 ohm-cm are commonly noted as having increased corrosion potential.

Additional chemical analyses testing results are tabulated in the following table.

Chemical Test Results						
Boring Number	Sample Depth <sup>1</sup> (feet)	Chlorides (mg/kg)	Water-Soluble Sulfate (SO4) in Soil (%)	Water Soluble Sulfides	Total Salts (mg/kg)	Red-Ox (mV)
BP6	2.5	50	0.005	Nil	410	+447
BP7	5	50	0.025	Nil	603	+441

1. Approximate depth below existing grade at the time of our field program.



The NRCS mapping indicates "low" concrete corrosion for the entire site. ACI 318-14 assigns Exposure Class S0 where water soluble sulfates in soil are less than 0.2 percent.

### EARTHWORK

### **Site Preparation**

Remove existing vegetation, brush, and other deleterious materials from proposed building/structure and pavement areas. Exposed surfaces should be free of mounds and depressions which could prevent uniform compaction. The stripped materials consisting of vegetation and organic materials should be wasted from the site or used to revegetate landscaped areas or exposed slopes after completion of grading operations. Building pad preparation should be conducted as described in the section below. In the remaining areas, excessively wet or dry material should either be removed, or moisture conditioned and recompacted. After removal of deleterious materials and performing required cuts, the subgrade should be proof-rolled where possible to aid in locating loose or soft areas. Proof-rolling can be performed with a 20-ton roller or fully loaded dump truck. Soils that are observed to rut or deflect excessively (typically greater than 1-inch) under the moving load should be undercut and replaced with properly compacted onsite soils. The proof-rolling and undercutting activities should be witnessed by a representative of the geotechnical engineer and should be performed during a period of dry weather.

### **Demolition Considerations**

The project site is partially developed with an existing irrigation system. As a result, these irrigation systems may be present within the footprint area of the building area and planned pavements. The irrigation system and associated backfill and granular bedding material (if used) can provide avenues for groundwater to enter under the pavement subgrade. We recommend that all irrigation lines be completely removed from the proposed structure areas. Abandoned pipes which remain underground should be grouted.

Structures removed during demolition can create subsurface voids. It is important that all subsurface voids formed from the removal of the foundation system be backfilled completely with moisture conditioned, compacted, on-site soils/structural fill as described in this report. It is our experience that improperly backfilled excavations can cause significant differential settlement under and around the proposed structure. As an alternative to compact soil backfill, a flowable fill material may be considered. Flowable fill, or slurry, when properly designed provides a competent subgrade and can still be readily excavated if the utilities require repair or maintenance. In addition, flowable fill does not need to be placed in lifts, compacted, or tested for as-placed density.



### **Anticipated Borrow Areas**

Borings SB25, P1 and P2 were explored in the areas where excavations are anticipated to evaluate the on-site materials as structural fill. The materials encountered in these borings are clayey sand to sandy lean with liquid limit in the range of 23 to 34 and plasticity index in the range of 10 to 18. It is our opinion that these materials are acceptable as structural fill material.

### Fill Material Types

Structural fill is material used below, within 5 feet of structures, or for constructed slopes. Earthen materials used as structural fill material and on-site soils should meet the following material property requirements:

Soil Type <sup>1</sup>	USCS Classification	Required Parameters (for Structural Fill)
Structural Fill	CL, SC	Structural Building Pads and Pavement Locations: Clean soil (free of deleterious material and debris) without rock greater than 4 inches in maximum dimension and with liquid limits (LL) less than 35, plasticity index (PI) less than 20. Site materials encountered in the borings generally meet these requirements.
<ol> <li>Soils should consist of approved materials free of organic matter, debris and rocks greater than 4 inches in maximum dimensions. Frozen material should not be used, and fill should not be placed on a frozen subgrade. The sample of structural fill type should be submitted to the Geotechnical Engineer for evaluation prior to use on this site.</li> </ol>		

### **Fill Compaction Requirements**

The recommended compaction criteria are presented in the following table. We recommend that all fills be tested for moisture content and compaction during placement. Should the results of the in-place density tests indicate the specified moisture or compaction limits have not been met, the area represented by the test should be reworked and retested as required until the specified moisture and compaction requirements are achieved.

Item	Compaction Criteria
Subgrade preparation to receive fill	Surface scarified to a minimum depth of 6 inches and compacted to criteria below
Lift thickness	Loose lift thickness of 9-inch or less
Building and Pavement Areas: On- site soils or Structural Fill	A minimum of 95% of the maximum standard Proctor dry density (ASTM D 698) and within 2 percent of optimum moisture content.
Asphaltic Concrete Pavement Locations: Aggregate Base	A minimum of 95% of the maximum standard Proctor dry density (ASTM D 698) and within 2 percent of optimum moisture content.



### **Building Pad Area Subgrade Preparation**

We recommend that onsite soils or approved structural fill materials are utilized and processed in accordance with **Fill Compaction Requirements** section. The following subgrade preparation recommendations should be performed for the proposed structures prior to foundation construction:

- After completing stripping operations, excavate at least 1 foot of the on-site soil below existing grades within the building areas. Organic and inorganic soils should be stockpiled separately for potential reuse. The building area is defined as the area that extends at least 5 feet (horizontal) beyond the perimeter of the foundation.
- After removing 1 foot of on-site soil, the exposed subgrade should be proof-rolled with a minimum 20-ton roller to evidence any weak yielding zones. A Terracon geotechnical engineer or their representative should be present to observe proofrolling operations.
- Over-excavate any confirmed weak yielding zones, both vertically and horizontally, to expose competent soil. The upper 12 inches of the exposed competent soil should be moisture conditioned within 2 percentage points of the optimum moisture content and then compacted to at least 95 percent of the maximum dry density determined in accordance with ASTM D 698.
- Moisture condition and compact the on-site soils or structural fill material to achieve design grade within building pad areas.

#### **Grading and Drainage**

All grades must provide effective drainage away from the structures during and after construction and should be maintained throughout the life of the structure. The roof should have gutters/drains with downspouts that discharge into stormwater collection system or onto splash blocks at a distance of at least 10 feet from the structures.

Exposed ground should be sloped and maintained at a minimum 5% away from the structures for at least 10 feet beyond the perimeter of the structures. Locally, flatter grades may be necessary to transition ADA access requirements for flatwork. After structures construction and landscaping have been completed, final grades should be verified to document effective drainage has been achieved. Grades around the structure should also be periodically inspected and adjusted, as necessary, as part of the structure's maintenance program. Where flatwork abuts the structure, a maintenance program should be established to effectively seal and maintain joints and prevent surface water infiltration.



Planters located adjacent to the structure should preferably be self-contained, or at least designed to drain away from the building. Sprinkler mains should be located a minimum of 5 feet away from the building lines. If heads must be located adjacent to the structure, then service lines off the main should be provided. Roof drains should discharge on pavement or be extended away from the structure.

Care should be taken that utility trenches are not left open for extended periods and they are properly backfilled. Backfilling should be accomplished with properly compacted on-site soils, rather than granular materials. A positive cut-off at the building line is recommended to help prevent water from migrating in the utility trench backfill.

### **Earthwork Construction Considerations**

It is anticipated that excavations in the overburden soils for the proposed construction can be accomplished with conventional earthmoving equipment. Based upon the subsurface conditions determined from the geotechnical exploration, subgrade soils exposed during construction are anticipated to be relatively stable. However, the stability of the subgrade may be affected by precipitation, repetitive construction traffic, closeness to the groundwater seepage or other factors. If unstable conditions develop, workability may be improved by scarifying and drying.

As a minimum, all temporary excavations should be sloped or braced as required by Occupational Health and Safety Administration (OSHA) regulations to provide stability and safe working conditions. Temporary excavations will probably be required during grading operations. The grading contractor, by his contract, is responsible for designing and constructing stable, temporary excavations and should shore, slope or bench the sides of the excavations as required, to maintain stability of both the excavation sides and bottom. All excavations should comply with applicable local, state and federal safety regulations, including the current OSHA Excavation and Trench Safety Standards.

Upon completion of filling and grading, care should be taken to maintain the subgrade moisture content prior to construction of floor slabs and pavements. Construction traffic over the completed subgrade should be avoided to the extent practical. The site should also be graded to prevent ponding of surface water on the prepared subgrades or in excavations. If the subgrade should become frozen, desiccated, saturated, or disturbed, the affected material should be removed, or these materials should be scarified, moisture conditioned, and compacted again prior to floor slab and pavement construction.

The geotechnical engineer or a representative should be retained during the construction phase of the project to observe earthwork and to perform necessary tests and observations during subgrade preparation; proof-rolling; placement and compaction of controlled compacted fills; backfilling of excavations into the completed subgrade, and just prior to construction of building floor slabs.



### **Construction Observation and Testing**

The earthwork efforts should be monitored under the direction of the Geotechnical Engineer. Monitoring should include documentation of adequate removal of vegetation and topsoil, proofrolling, and mitigation of areas delineated by the proof-roll to require mitigation.

Each lift of compacted fill should be tested, evaluated, and reworked as necessary until approved by the Geotechnical Engineer prior to placement of additional lifts. Each lift of fill should be tested for density and water content at a frequency of at least one test for every 2,500 square feet of compacted fill in the building areas and 5,000 square feet in pavement areas. One density and water content test for every 50 linear feet of compacted utility trench backfill.

In areas of foundation excavations, the bearing subgrade should be evaluated under the direction of the Geotechnical Engineer. In the event that unanticipated conditions are encountered, the Geotechnical Engineer should prescribe mitigation options.

In addition to the documentation of the essential parameters necessary for construction, the continuation of the Geotechnical Engineer into the construction phase of the project provides the continuity to maintain the Geotechnical Engineer's evaluation of subsurface conditions, including assessing variations and associated design changes.

# SHALLOW FOUNDATIONS

If the site has been prepared in accordance with the requirements specified in **Earthwork**, section, the following design parameters are applicable for the proposed project.

### **Design Parameters – Compressive Loads**

Item	Description
Maximum Net Allowable Bearing pressure <sup>1, 2</sup>	2,000 psf
Minimum Foundation Dimensions	Columns: 30 inches Continuous: 18 inches
Ultimate Coefficient of Sliding Friction	0.35
Minimum Embedment Below Finished Grade $^3$	24 inches
Estimated Total Movements from Structural Loads <sup>2</sup>	1 inch or less
Ultimate Passive Pressure <sup>4</sup>	275 psf/ft (triangular distribution)

Armadillo Food Manufacturing Facility Lubbock, Texas February 24, 2022 Terracon Project No. AR215097



Item	Description
<ol> <li>The maximum net allowable bearing pressure is the proverburden pressure at the footing base elevation. An approximate that exterior grades are no steeper than 20% with</li> </ol>	ressure in excess of the minimum surrounding propriate factor of safety has been applied. Values hin 10 feet of structure.

- 2. Values provided are for maximum 100-kips column load or 10 kip per linear foot continuous footing load.
- 3. Unsuitable or soft soils should be over-excavated and replaced per the recommendations presented in the Earthwork.
- 4. The sides of the excavation for the spread footings must be nearly vertical and the concrete should be placed neat against these vertical faces for the passive earth pressure values to be valid. If the loaded side is sloped or benched, and then backfilled, the allowable passive pressure will be reduced. Passive resistance in the upper 2 feet of the soil profile should be neglected.

### **Ground Improvement**

In order to mobilize higher bearing capacity and maintain settlement to acceptable levels, use of ground improvement methods may be considered. Design of ground improvement system is typically proprietary to a specialty design-build contractor to provide a cost-effective foundation solution to support settlement sensitive structures while providing increased allowable bearing. Design of the RAP system should be performed by the specialty contractor using the soils information collected during the course of this investigation. The use of ground improvement systems can commonly increase bearing capacity to above 5,000 psf.

#### **Uplift Considerations**

Uplift resistance of spread footings can be developed from the effective weight of the footing and the overlying soils. The effective weight of the soil prism defined by diagonal planes extending up from the top of the perimeter of the foundation to the ground surface at an angle of 20 degrees from the vertical can be included in uplift resistance. The maximum allowable uplift capacity should be taken as a sum of the effective weight of soil plus the dead weight of the foundation, divided by an appropriate factor of safety. A maximum total unit weight of 120 pcf could be used for compacted granular backfill at this site, respectively. This unit weight should be reduced to 55 pcf for portions of the backfill or natural soils below the design groundwater elevation (although not anticipated for this project).

Foundations subjected to overturning loads should be proportioned such that the resultant eccentricity is maintained in the center-third of the foundation (e.g., e < b/6). This requirement is intended to keep the entire foundation area in compression during the extreme lateral/overturning load event. Foundation oversizing could be necessary to satisfy this requirement.

#### **Foundation Construction Considerations**

As noted in **Earthwork**, the footing excavations should be evaluated under the direction of the Geotechnical Engineer. The base of all foundation excavations should be free of water and loose soil prior to placing concrete. Concrete should be placed soon after excavating to reduce bearing



soil disturbance. Care should be taken to prevent wetting or drying of the bearing materials during construction. Excessively wet or dry material or any loose/disturbed material in the bottom of the footing excavations represent unsuitable conditions and should be corrected before foundation concrete is placed.

If unsuitable bearing soils are encountered at the base of the planned footing excavation, the excavation should be extended deeper to suitable soils, and the footings could bear directly on these soils at the lower level or on lean concrete backfill placed in the excavations. This is illustrated on the sketch below. Lean concrete used as excavation replacement material should not be included as part of the foundation mass to estimate eccentricity/overturning resistance.



Over-excavation for structural fill placement below footings should be conducted as shown below. The over-excavation should be backfilled up to the footing base elevation, with approved on-site soils or imported fill, as recommended in the **Earthwork** section.



Armadillo Food Manufacturing Facility Lubbock, Texas February 24, 2022 Terracon Project No. AR215097



# **DEEP FOUNDATIONS**

#### **Drilled Shaft Design Parameters**

As an alternative to shallow foundations bearing on rammed aggregate piers, the main processing and dryer building structures can be supported by drilled straight shafts. The drilled, straight-shaft foundation system should be designed to resist both horizontal and vertical forces. Vertical downward forces can be resisted by the allowable end bearing pressure of the soils at the bottom of the drilled straight shaft. Vertical uplift forces can be resisted by the skin resistance, dead weight of the structure and its foundation. When foundation concrete is cast in direct contact with excavation sides, an allowable side friction value can also be used to resist vertical loads.

The allowable design criteria for utilization of a drilled straight-shaft foundation system for the proposed structure is presented in the tables below. The tables include the effective soil unit weights, the shear strength parameters, allowable end bearing pressure, and side friction values. Care should be exercised to utilize an appropriate loading condition in the analyses. The design parameters presented in the table below are applicable for the natural undisturbed soils. The capacities within the upper 4 feet of the on-site soils should be disregarded to account for surface effects and anticipated disturbance during foundation installation. Drilled straight-shaft foundations should extend at least 2 feet or one-half the shaft diameter, whichever is greater, into the desired bearing stratum in order to use the recommended allowable end bearing pressures. Drilled shafts should extend a minimum 10 feet.

Long-term settlement of the drilled straight-shaft foundation system, designed and constructed in accordance with the recommendations presented in this report, should be about one-half inch or less.

Table 1. Drilled Shaft Axial Design Summary for Dryer Building <sup>1</sup>			
Depth Below Existing Grade, ft	Allowable Side Friction, psf <sup>2</sup>	Net Allowable End Bearing, ksf <sup>3</sup>	
0-4	Ignore	Ignore	
4-15	560	5	
15-30	720	13	
30-55	1,500	20	
55-75	1,500	25	
75-100	1,500	30	

1. Design capacities are dependent upon the method of installation, and quality control parameters. The values provided are estimates and should be verified when installation protocols have been finalized.

2. Applicable for compressive loading only. Reduce to 2/3 of values shown for uplift loading. Effective weight of shaft can be added to uplift load capacity. The allowable skin friction values contain a safety factor of 2.

3. Shafts should extend at least one diameter into the bearing stratum for end bearing to be considered. The allowable end bearing pressure values contain a safety factor of 3.

12



Table 2. Drilled Shaft Axial Design Summary for Main Processing Building 1				
Depth Below Existing Grade, ft	Allowable Side Friction, psf <sup>2</sup>	Net Allowable End Bearing, ksf <sup>3</sup>		
0-4	Ignore	Ignore		
4-10	520	4.4		
10-20	680	8		
20-35	1,300	20		

1. Design capacities are dependent upon the method of installation, and quality control parameters. The values provided are estimates and should be verified when installation protocols have been finalized.

2. Applicable for compressive loading only. Reduce to 2/3 of values shown for uplift loading. Effective weight of shaft can be added to uplift load capacity. The allowable skin friction values contain a safety factor of 2.

3. Shafts should extend at least one diameter into the bearing stratum for end bearing to be considered. The allowable end bearing pressure values contain a safety factor of 3.

### **Drilled Shaft Lateral Loading**

L-Pile parameters for lateral load analysis are shown in the following table. Default values of Strain Factor E50 and modulus, k can be used; our Geotechnical Engineer can provide these inputs if older versions of the analysis program are used by the design team.

Table 3. Drilled Shaft Lateral Design Summary for Dryer Building					
Depth Below Existing Grade, ft	Layer Description <sup>1</sup>	L-Pile Soil Model	Effective Unit Weight, pcf <sup>2</sup>	Cohesion, psf	Angle of Internal Friction, degrees
0-4	Lean Clay	Stiff Clay w/o free water	120	2,000	-
4-15	Lean Clay	Stiff Clay w/o free water	125	2,400	-
15-30	Lean Clay	Stiff Clay w/o free water	130	4,000	-
30-55	Clayey Sand	Sand	130	-	36
55-75	Clayey Sand	Sand	130	-	38
75-100	Clayey Sand	Sand	130	-	38

1. See Subsurface Profile in Geotechnical Characterization for more details on Layer Description.

2. Groundwater was not observed during our exploration and the effective unit weights provided are equal to the total unit weight.

#### Table 4. Drilled Shaft Lateral Design Summary for Main Processing Building

13



Armadillo Food Manufacturing Facility 
Lubbock, Texas
February 24, 2022 
Terracon Project No. AR215097

Depth Below Existing Grade, ft	Layer Description <sup>1</sup>	L-Pile Soil Model	Effective Unit Weight, pcf <sup>2</sup>	Cohesion, psf	Angle of Internal Friction, degrees
0-4	Lean Clay	Stiff Clay w/o free water	120	2,000	-
4-10	Lean Clay	Stiff Clay w/o free water	120	2,400	-
10-20	Lean Clay	Stiff Clay w/o free water	130	4,000	-
20-35	Clayey Sand	Sand	130	-	38

1. See **Subsurface Profile** in **Geotechnical Characterization** for more details on Layer Description.

2. Groundwater was not observed during our exploration and the effective unit weights provided are equal to the total unit weight.

### **Drilled Shaft Construction Considerations**

The drilling contractor should be experienced in the subsurface conditions observed at the site, and the excavations should be performed with equipment capable of providing a clean bearing surface. The drilled straight-shaft foundation system should be installed in general accordance with the procedures presented in "Drilled Shafts: Construction Procedures and Design Methods," by Reese, L. C. and O'Neill, M. W., FHA Publication No. FHWA-IF-99-025, 1999 and "Standard Specification for the Construction of Drilled Piers", ACI Publication No. 336.1-01, 2001.

Drilling to design depths should be possible with a conventional heavy-duty single flight power auger. Temporary steel casing or drilling slurry may be required to properly drill and clean piers due to the granular nature of the subsurface soils. Drilled shaft concrete should be placed soon after completion of drilling and cleaning. Due to potential sloughing and raveling, foundation concrete quantities may exceed calculated geometric volumes. If casing is used for shaft construction, it should be withdrawn in a slow continuous manner maintaining a sufficient head of concrete to prevent the creation of voids in shaft concrete.

The successful completion of the drilled straight shafts will depend to a large extent on the suitability of the equipment and the operator's skills. The operation sequence should be scheduled so that the shaft excavation can be completed, reinforcing steel placed, and the concrete poured in a continuous, rapid, and orderly manner to minimize the time the excavation is open. Concrete should be placed as soon as practical and in all instances should be placed within the same day in order to use the side friction values recommended in this report.

The drilled shaft installation process should be performed under the direction of the Geotechnical Engineer. The Geotechnical Engineer should document the shaft installation process including soil and groundwater conditions encountered, consistency with expected conditions, and details of the installed shaft.



# FLOOR SLABS

For the light slab loads assumed, the slab performance will largely be a function of the onsite soils or fill materials quality, and the modulus of subgrade reaction is provided in the table below for materials selected and compacted as noted in **Earthwork** section. Slab deflection can be estimated based on the load. If anticipated loads result in excess settlement that are not tolerable, our Engineer can provide recommendations for improvement to the uppermost portion of the site subgrade or more strict control of site grading fill materials and compaction requirements.

### Floor Slab Design Parameters

Floor Slab Support <sup>1</sup>	Estimated Modulus of Subgrade Reaction <sup>2</sup>
Pad prepared with a minimum of 12 inch of approved on-site or imported soils placed and compacted in accordance with <b>Earthwork</b> section.	100 pounds per square inch per inch (psi/in) for point loads
Pad Prepared with a minimum of 3 feet of properly compacted structural fill and 8 inches of aggregate base	150 pounds per square inch per inch (psi/in) for point loads

1. Floor slabs should be structurally independent of building footings or walls to reduce the possibility of floor slab cracking caused by differential movements between the slab and foundation.

2. Modulus of subgrade reaction is an estimated value based upon our experience with the subgrade condition, the requirements noted in Earthwork section and the floor slab support as noted in this table. It is provided for point loads. For distributed slab loads on the clay soils at this site, the modulus of subgrade reaction can be estimates as:

k=150/b with units of psi/in, where b is the width of the loaded area measured in feet. Our engineer can provide refined estimates of k if provided the dimensions of the loaded area to calculate settlement.

The use of a vapor retarder should be considered beneath concrete slabs on grade covered with wood, tile, carpet, or other moisture sensitive or impervious coverings, when the project has humidity controlled areas, or when the slab will support equipment sensitive to moisture. When conditions warrant the use of a vapor retarder, the slab designer should refer to ACI 302 and/or ACI 360 for procedures and cautions regarding the use and placement of a vapor retarder.

Where floor slabs are tied to perimeter walls or turn-down slabs to meet structural or other construction objectives, our experience indicates differential movement between the walls and slabs will likely be observed in adjacent slab expansion joints or floor slab cracks beyond the length of the structural dowels. The Structural Engineer should account for potential differential settlement through use of sufficient control joints, appropriate reinforcing or other means.

### Floor Slab Construction Considerations

Finished subgrade, within and at least 5 feet beyond the floor slab, should be protected from traffic, rutting, or other disturbance and maintained in a relatively moist condition until floor slabs are

**Draft Geotechnical Engineering Report** Armadillo Food Manufacturing Facility Lubbock, Texas February 24, 2022 Terracon Project No. AR215097



constructed. If the subgrade should become damaged or desiccated prior to construction of floor slabs, the affected material should be removed, and structural fill should be added to replace the resulting excavation. Final conditioning of the finished subgrade should be performed immediately prior to placement of the floor slab support course.

The Geotechnical Engineer should approve the condition of the floor slab subgrades immediately prior to placement of the floor slab support course, reinforcing steel, and concrete. Attention should be paid to high traffic areas that were rutted and disturbed earlier, and to areas where backfilled trenches are located.

# LATERAL EARTH PRESSURE

Structures with unbalanced backfill levels on opposite sides should be designed for earth pressures at least equal to values indicated in the following table. Earth pressures will be influenced by structural design of the walls, conditions of wall restraint, methods of construction and/or compaction and the strength of the materials being restrained. Two wall restraint conditions are shown. Active earth pressure is commonly used for design of free-standing cantilever retaining walls and assumes wall movement. The "at-rest" condition assumes no wall movement and is commonly used for basement walls, loading dock walls, or other walls restrained at the top. The recommended design lateral earth pressures do not include a factor of safety and do not provide for possible hydrostatic pressure on the walls (unless stated).



Lateral Earth Pressure Design Parameters					
Earth Pressure	Coefficient for	Surcharge	Effective Fluid Pressures (psf) <sup>2, 4, 5</sup>		
Condition <sup>1</sup>	Backfill Type <sup>2</sup>	pressure p₁ (psf)	Unsaturated <sup>6</sup>	Submerged <sup>6</sup>	
Active (Ka)	Granular - 0.31	(0.31)S	(40)H	(80)H	
	Fine Grained - 0.42	(0.42)S	(50)H	(85)H	
At-Rest (Ko)	Granular - 0.47	(0.47)S	(55)H	(90)H	
	Fine Grained - 0.58	(0.58)S	(70)H	(95)H	



Armadillo Food Manufacturing Facility 
Lubbock, Texas
February 24, 2022 
Terracon Project No. AR215097

Lateral Earth Pressure Design Parameters				
Earth Pressure	Coefficient for	Surcharge	5 Effective Fluid Pressures (psf) <sup>2</sup>	
Condition <sup>1</sup>	Backfill Type <sup>2</sup>	pressure p₁ (psf)	Unsaturated <sup>6</sup>	Submerged <sup>6</sup>
Dessive (Kn)	Granular - 3.25		(390)H	(250)H
	Fine Grained - 2.29		(275)H	(205)H
1. For active earth pressure, wall must rotate about base, with top lateral movements 0.002 H to 0.004 H, where H is wall height. For passive earth pressure, wall must move horizontally to mobilize resistance.				
<ol> <li>Uniform, horizontal backfill, compacted to at least 95 percent of the ASTM D 698 maximum dry density, rendering a maximum unit weight of 120 pcf.</li> </ol>				
3. Uniform surcharge, where S is surcharge pressure.				

- 4. Loading from heavy compaction equipment is not included.
- 5. No safety factor is included in these values.
- In order to achieve "Unsaturated" conditions, follow guidelines in Subsurface Drainage for Below Grade Walls below. "Submerged" conditions are recommended when drainage behind walls is not incorporated into the design.

Backfill placed against structures should consist of granular soils or low plasticity cohesive soils. For the granular values to be valid, the granular backfill must extend out and up from the base of the wall at an angle of at least 45 and 60 degrees from vertical for the active and passive cases, respectively.

### Subsurface Drainage for Below Grade Walls

A perforated rigid plastic drain line installed behind the base of walls and extends below adjacent grade is recommended to prevent hydrostatic loading on the walls. The invert of a drain line around a below-grade building area or exterior retaining wall should be placed near foundation bearing level. The drain line should be sloped to provide positive gravity drainage to daylight or to a sump pit and pump. The drain line should be surrounded by clean, free-draining granular material having less than 5 percent passing the No. 200 sieve, such as No. 57 aggregate (ASTM C33). The free-draining aggregate should be encapsulated in a filter fabric. The granular fill should extend to within 2 feet of final grade, where it should be capped with compacted cohesive fill to reduce infiltration of surface water into the drain system.

**Terracon** GeoReport

Armadillo Food Manufacturing Facility - Lubbock, Texas February 24, 2022 - Terracon Project No. AR215097



As an alternative to free-draining granular fill, a pre-fabricated drainage structure may be used. A pre-fabricated drainage structure is a plastic drainage core or mesh which is covered with filter fabric to prevent soil intrusion and is fastened to the wall prior to placing backfill.

# SEISMIC CONSIDERATIONS

The seismic design requirements for buildings and other structures are based on Seismic Design Category. Site Classification is required to determine the Seismic Design Category for a structure. The Site Classification is based on the upper 100 feet of the site profile defined by a weighted average value of either shear wave velocity, standard penetration resistance, or undrained shear strength in accordance with Section 20.4 of ASCE 7 and the International Building Code (IBC). Based on the soil properties encountered at the site and as described on the exploration logs and results, it is our professional opinion that the **Seismic Site Classification is D**. Subsurface explorations at this site were extended to a maximum depth of 100 feet.

# **PAVEMENTS**

Both concrete and asphalt pavement design sections are anticipated to be required for the proposed project. Pavement thickness design is dependent upon:

- the anticipated traffic conditions during the life of the pavement
- subgrade and paving material characteristics

### **Pavement Subgrades**

Pavement subgrade should be prepared in accordance with the requirements in the **Earthwork** section. A soil sample from boring BP15 was collected from 0.5 to 1.5 feet below ground surface and tested for the CBR-Value. Results indicated that the CBR-Value of the tested sample is 3.1.



This value corresponds to a subgrade Resilient Modulus (Mr) of about 5,275 psi (pounds per square inch) for use for flexible pavement design and k value of 110 pci for use in the rigid pavement design.

Site grading is generally accomplished early in the construction phase. However, as construction proceeds, the subgrade may be disturbed due to utility excavations, construction traffic, desiccation, or rainfall. As a result, the pavement subgrade may not be suitable for pavement construction and corrective action will be required. The subgrade should be carefully evaluated at the time of pavement construction for signs of disturbance or excessive rutting. If disturbance has occurred, pavement subgrade areas should be reworked, moisture conditioned, and properly compacted to the recommendations in this report immediately prior to paving.

If actual subgrade conditions differ from the soil conditions and characteristics described herein, we should be contacted to assess the construction conditions and review the pavement design recommendations.

### Design Traffic

The "Light-Duty" pavement section noted below is intended for passenger car parking area. The section labeled "Pavements Subjected to Occasional Truck Traffic" should be used in fire lanes.

Traffic levels provided by the client were converted into flexible AASHTO pavement 18-kip equivalent single axle loads (ESALs) for use in Portland Cement Concrete (PCC) design using AASHTO procedures. Traffic estimates were not provided for lower volume roadways; our analysis has assumed traffic levels associated with passenger vehicles and limited truck traffic. These traffic assumptions should be verified by the design team.

### **Pavement Section Thicknesses**

Hot Mix Asphaltic Concrete Design				
Minimum Thickness (inches)				
Layer	Light Duty (30,000 ESALs)	Pavements Subjected to Occasional Truck Traffic (50,000 ESALs)		
Hot Mix Asphaltic Concrete 1,2	3	4		
Aggregate Base Course <sup>1,3</sup>	8	6		
Subgrade or Structural Fill <sup>3</sup>	12	12		

The following tables provide options for HMAC and PCC Sections:

1. All materials should meet the TxDOT 2014 Standard Specifications for Highway Construction. Aggregate base should meet Grade 1, 2 or 3, Type A, B or C Item 247 specifications.

2. A minimum 2-inch surface course should be used on HMAC pavements.

Armadillo Food Manufacturing Facility 
Lubbock, Texas
February 24, 2022 
Terracon Project No. AR215097



Hot Mix Asphaltic Concrete Design			
Minimum Thickness (inches)			
Layer	Light Duty	Pavements Subjected to Occasional	
	(30,000 ESALs)	Truck Traffic (50,000 ESALs)	
3. Aggregate base, subgrade and structural fill should be prepared in accordance with the requirements in the			

3. Aggregate base, subgrade and structural fill should be prepared in accordance with the requirements in the Earthwork section.

Portland Cement Concrete Design			
Minimum Thickness (inches)			
Layer	Heavy Duty (5.3 Million ESALs)		
Portland Cement Concrete <sup>1</sup>	10		
Subgrade or Structural Fill <sup>2</sup>	12		

1. All materials should meet the TxDOT 2014 Standard Specifications for Highway Construction.

2. Subgrade or structural fill should be prepared in accordance with the requirements in the Earthwork section.

Edge restraints (i.e. concrete curbs or aggregate shoulders) should be planned along curves and areas of maneuvering vehicles. A maintenance program including surface sealing, joint cleaning and sealing, and timely repair of cracks and deteriorated areas will increase the pavement's service life. As an option, thicker sections could be constructed to decrease future maintenance.

Openings in pavements, such as decorative landscaped areas, are sources for water infiltration into surrounding pavement systems. Water can collect in the islands and migrate into the surrounding subgrade soils thereby degrading support of the pavement. This is especially applicable for islands with raised concrete curbs, irrigated foliage, and low permeability near-surface soils. The civil design for the pavements with these conditions should include features to restrict or collect and discharge excess water from the islands. Examples of features are edge drains connected to the storm water collection system, longitudinal subdrains, or other suitable outlets and impermeable barriers preventing lateral migration of water such as a cutoff wall installed to a depth below the pavement structure.

Dishing in parking lots surfaced with HMAC is usually observed in frequently-used parking stalls (such as near the front of buildings) and occurs under the wheel footprint in these stalls. The use of higher-grade asphaltic cement, or surfacing these areas with PCC, should be considered. The dishing is exacerbated by factors such as irrigated islands or planter areas, sheet surface drainage to the front of structures, and placing the HMAC directly on a compacted clay subgrade.

PCC pavement details for joint spacing, joint reinforcement, and joint sealing should be prepared in accordance with ACI 330 and ACI 325. PCC pavements should be provided with mechanically reinforced joints in accordance with ACI 330.



### **Pavement Drainage**

Pavements should be sloped to provide rapid drainage of surface water. Water allowed to pond on or adjacent to the pavements could saturate the subgrade and contribute to premature pavement deterioration. In addition, the pavement subgrade should be graded to provide positive drainage within the granular base section. Appropriate sub-drainage or connection to a suitable daylight outlet should be provided to remove water from the granular subbase.

Based on the possibility of shallow and/or perched groundwater, we recommend installing a pavement subdrain system to control groundwater, improve stability, and improve long-term pavement performance.

#### Pavement Maintenance

The pavement sections represent minimum recommended thicknesses and, as such, periodic maintenance should be anticipated. Therefore, preventive maintenance should be planned and provided for through an on-going pavement management program. Maintenance activities are intended to slow the rate of pavement deterioration and to preserve the pavement investment. Maintenance consists of both localized maintenance (e.g., crack and joint sealing and patching) and global maintenance (e.g., surface sealing). Preventive maintenance is usually the priority when implementing a pavement maintenance program. Additional engineering observation is recommended to determine the type and extent of a cost-effective program. Even with periodic maintenance, some movements and related cracking may still occur, and repairs may be required.

Pavement performance is affected by its surroundings. In addition to providing preventive maintenance, the civil engineer should consider the following recommendations in the design and layout of pavements:

- Final grade adjacent to paved areas should slope down from the edges at a minimum 2%.
- Subgrade and pavement surfaces should have a minimum 2% slope to promote proper surface drainage.
- Install below pavement drainage systems surrounding areas anticipated for frequent wetting.
- Install joint sealant and seal cracks immediately.
- Seal all landscaped areas in or adjacent to pavements to reduce moisture migration to subgrade soils.
- Place compacted, low permeability backfill against the exterior side of curb and gutter.
- Place curb, gutter and/or sidewalk directly on clay subgrade soils rather than on unbound granular base course materials.

Armadillo Food Manufacturing Facility 
Lubbock, Texas
February 24, 2022 
Terracon Project No. AR215097



### **GENERAL COMMENTS**

Our analysis and opinions are based upon our understanding of the project, the geotechnical conditions in the area and the data obtained from our site exploration. Natural variations will occur between exploration point locations or due to the modifying effects of construction or weather. The nature and extent of such variations may not become evident until during or after construction. Terracon should be retained as the Geotechnical Engineer, where noted in this report, to provide observation and testing services during pertinent construction phases. If variations appear, we can provide further evaluation and supplemental recommendations. If variations are noted in the absence of our observation and testing services on-site, we should be immediately notified so that we can provide evaluation and supplemental recommendations.

Our Scope of Services does not include either specifically or by implication any environmental or biological (e.g., mold, fungi, bacteria) assessment of the site or identification or prevention of pollutants, hazardous materials or conditions. If the owner is concerned about the potential for such contamination or pollution, other studies should be undertaken.

Our services and any correspondence or collaboration through this system are intended for the sole benefit and exclusive use of our client for specific application to the project discussed and are accomplished in accordance with generally accepted geotechnical engineering practices with no third-party beneficiaries intended. Any third-party access to services or correspondence is solely for information purposes to support the services provided by Terracon to our client. Reliance upon the services and any work product is limited to our client and is not intended for third parties. Any use or reliance of the provided information by third parties is done solely at their own risk. No warranties, either express or implied, are intended or made. Site characteristics as provided are for design purposes and not to estimate excavation cost. Any use of our report in that regard is done at the sole risk of the excavating cost estimator as there may be variations on the site that are not apparent in the data that could significantly impact excavation cost. Any parties charged with estimating excavation costs should seek their own site characterization for specific purposes to obtain the specific level of detail necessary for costing. Site safety and cost estimating including excavation support and dewatering requirements/design are the responsibility of others. If changes in the nature, design, or location of the project are planned, our conclusions and recommendations shall not be considered valid unless we review the changes and either verify or modify our conclusions in writing.

# ATTACHMENTS

Responsive Resourceful Reliable



# **EXPLORATION AND TESTING PROCEDURES**

Number of Borings	Boring Depth (feet) <sup>1</sup>	Location
2	98.5	Proposed Dryer Area
7	34 to 34.5	Proposed Warehouse, Office, and Processing Areas
4	33.5 to 34.5	Proposed Silos Area
4	34 to 35	Proposed Wastewater Treatment Plant Area
1	34	Proposed Lift Station Area
6	10.5 to 11.5	Proposed Pavement areas
3	10 to 11.5	Proposed Detention Pond Area
7	21.5	Proposed Pavement Area
1. Below ground su	urface.	

### **Field Exploration**

**Boring Layout:** Unless otherwise noted, Terracon personnel provided the boring layout. Coordinates were obtained with a handheld GPS unit (estimated horizontal accuracy of about ±10 feet).

**Subsurface Exploration Procedures:** Our soil sampling was conducted in general accordance with the Standard Method for Penetration Test and Split-Barrel Sampling of Soils (ASTM D1586). In the split-barrel sampling procedure, a standard, 2-inch O.D., split-barrel sampling spoon is driven into the boring with a 140-pound automatic SPT (Standard Penetration Test) hammer falling 30 inches. Our field personnel recorded the number of hammer blows required to advance the sampling spoon the last 12 inches of an 18-inch sampling interval as the SPT N-value. The N-values are recorded on the field boring logs. The soil samples obtained from the split-barrel sampler were visually classified and packaged for transportation to our laboratory. A 3-inch O.D. thin-walled tube was also used for sampling in various depth and boring locations as indicated in the boring logs.

The sampling depths and other sampling information was recorded on the field boring logs. The samples were placed in appropriate containers and taken to our soil laboratory for testing and classification by a Geotechnical Engineer. The exploration team prepared field boring logs as part of the drilling operations. These field logs included visual classifications of the materials encountered during drilling and our interpretation of the subsurface conditions between samples. Final boring logs were prepared from the field logs. The final boring logs represent the Geotechnical Engineer's interpretation of the field logs and include modifications based on observations and tests of the samples in our laboratory.

### Laboratory Testing

Samples retrieved during the field exploration were taken to the laboratory for further observation by the project geotechnical engineer and were classified in accordance with the Unified Soil Classification System (USCS). At that time, the field descriptions were confirmed or modified as necessary and an applicable laboratory testing program was formulated to determine engineering properties of the subsurface materials.

#### SITE LOCATION

Armadillo Food Manufacturing Facility Lubbock, Texas February 24, 2022 Terracon Project No. AR215097



Laboratory tests were conducted on selected soil samples and the test results are presented in the attachments. The laboratory test results were used for the development of foundation and earthwork recommendations. Laboratory tests were performed in general accordance with the applicable ASTM, local or other accepted standards.

Selected soil samples obtained from the site were tested for the following engineering properties:

- Water content
- Atterberg limits
- Grain size analysis
- Standard Proctor
- California Bearing Value (CBR)
- Corrosivity Analyses

Final boring logs that were prepared represented the Geotechnical Engineer's interpretation of the field logs and include modifications based on observations and tests of the samples in our laboratory.

#### SITE LOCATION

Armadillo Food Manufacturing Facility Lubbock, Texas February 24, 2022 Terracon Project No. AR215097





DIAGRAM IS FOR GENERAL LOCATION ONLY, AND IS NOT INTENDED FOR CONSTRUCTION PURPOSES

MAP PROVIDED BY MICROSOFT BING MAPS

# **EXPLORATION RESULTS**

### **Contents:**

Boring Logs

Note: All attachments are one page unless noted above.

# SUPPORTING INFORMATION

#### **Contents:**

Unified Soil Classification System General Notes to Log Terms

Note: All attachments are one page unless noted above.

### UNIFIED SOIL CLASSIFICATION SYSTEM

# llerracon **GeoReport**

					Soil Classification	
Criteria for Assign	ing Group Symbols	and Group Names	Using Laboratory Tests A	Group Symbol	Group Name <sup>B</sup>	
		Clean Gravels:	Cu $\geq$ 4 and 1 $\leq$ Cc $\leq$ 3 <sup>E</sup>	GW	Well-graded gravel F	
	Gravels: More than 50% of	Less than 5% fines <sup>C</sup>	Cu < 4 and/or [Cc<1 or Cc>3.0] <sup>E</sup>	GP	Poorly graded gravel <sup>F</sup>	
	coarse fraction	Gravels with Fines:	Fines classify as ML or MH	GM	Silty gravel <sup>F, G, H</sup>	
Coarse-Grained Soils:		More than 12% fines <sup>C</sup>	Fines classify as CL or CH	GC	Clayey gravel <sup>F, G, H</sup>	
on No. 200 sieve		Clean Sands:	$Cu \ge 6$ and $1 \le Cc \le 3^{E}$	SW	Well-graded sand	
	Sands: 50% or more of coarse fraction passes No. 4 sieve	Less than 5% fines D	Cu < 6 and/or [Cc<1 or Cc>3.0] <sup>E</sup>	SP	Poorly graded sand <sup>I</sup>	
		Sands with Fines: More than 12% fines <sup>D</sup>	Fines classify as ML or MH	SM	Silty sand <sup>G, H, I</sup>	
			Fines classify as CL or CH	SC	Clayey sand <sup>G, H, I</sup>	
		Inorgania	PI > 7 and plots on or above "A"	CL	Lean clay <sup>K, L, M</sup>	
	Silts and Clays:	inorganic:	PI < 4 or plots below "A" line J	ML	Silt <sup>K</sup> , L, M	
	Liquid limit less than 50	Organic:	Liquid limit - oven dried	0	Organic clay <sup>K, L, M, N</sup>	
Fine-Grained Soils:		Organic.	Liquid limit - not dried	0L	Organic silt <sup>K</sup> , L, M, O	
No. 200 sieve		Inorganic	PI plots on or above "A" line	СН	Fat clay <sup>K, L, M</sup>	
	Silts and Clays:	norganic.	PI plots below "A" line	МН	Elastic Silt <sup>K, L, M</sup>	
	Liquid limit 50 or more	Organic:	Liquid limit - oven dried	ОН	Organic clay <sup>K, L, M, P</sup>	
		organio.	Liquid limit - not dried	011	Organic silt <sup>K</sup> , L, M, Q	
Highly organic soils:	Primarily	organic matter, dark in co	olor, and organic odor	PT	Peat	

A Based on the material passing the 3-inch (75-mm) sieve.

<sup>B</sup> If field sample contained cobbles or boulders, or both, add "with cobbles or boulders, or both" to group name.

- <sup>C</sup> Gravels with 5 to 12% fines require dual symbols: GW-GM well-graded gravel with silt, GW-GC well-graded gravel with clay, GP-GM poorly graded gravel with silt, GP-GC poorly graded gravel with clay.
- <sup>D</sup> Sands with 5 to 12% fines require dual symbols: SW-SM well-graded sand with silt, SW-SC well-graded sand with clay, SP-SM poorly graded sand with silt, SP-SC poorly graded sand with clay.

$$Cc = \frac{\left(D_{30}\right)^2}{D \times D}$$

 $E Cu = D_{60}/D_{10}$ 

 $D_{10} \times D_{60}$ 

<sup>F</sup> If soil contains  $\geq$  15% sand, add "with sand" to group name.

<sup>G</sup> If fines classify as CL-ML, use dual symbol GC-GM, or SC-SM.

- <sup>H</sup> If fines are organic, add "with organic fines" to group name.
- If soil contains  $\geq$  15% gravel, add "with gravel" to group name.
- <sup>J</sup> If Atterberg limits plot in shaded area, soil is a CL-ML, silty clay.
- K If soil contains 15 to 29% plus No. 200, add "with sand" or "with gravel," whichever is predominant.
- <sup>L</sup> If soil contains ≥ 30% plus No. 200 predominantly sand, add "sandy" to group name.
- <sup>M</sup>If soil contains ≥ 30% plus No. 200, predominantly gravel, add "gravelly" to group name.
- <sup>N</sup> PI  $\geq$  4 and plots on or above "A" line.
- <sup>O</sup>PI < 4 or plots below "A" line.
- P PI plots on or above "A" line.
- <sup>O</sup>PI plots below "A" line.



#### **GENERAL NOTES TO LOG TERMS**



SAMPLING	WATER LEVEL		FIELD TESTS
Auger Cuttings       Rock Core         Image: Cuttings       Shelby Tube         Image: Category       Texas Cone Penetration         Image: Category       Texas Cone Penetrometer         Image: Category       Category         Image: Category       Category	<ul> <li>Water Initially Encountered</li> <li>Water Level After a Specified Period of Time</li> <li>Water Level After a Specified Period of Time</li> <li>Water levels indicated on the soil boring logs are the levels measured in the borehole at the times indicated.</li> <li>Groundwater level variations will occur over time. In low permeability soils, accurate determination for groundwater levels is not possible with short term water level observations.</li> </ul>	N (HP)	Standard Penetration Test Resistance (Blows/Ft) Hand Penetrometer
	DESCRIPTIVE SOIL CLASSIFICATION		

Soil classification is based on the Unified Soil Classification System. Coarse Grained Soils have more than 50% of their dry weight retained on a #200 sieve; their principal descriptors are: boulders, cobbles, gravel, or sand. Fine Grained Soils have less than 50% of their dry weight retained on a #200 sieve; they are principally described as clays if they are plastic, and silts if they are slightly plastic or non-plastic. Major constituents may be added as modifiers and minor constituents may be added according to the relative proportions based on grain size. In addition to gradation, coarse-grained soils are defined on the basis of their in-place relative density and fine-grained soils on the basis of their consistency.

#### LOCATION AND ELEVATION NOTES

Unless otherwise noted, Latitude and Longitude are approximately determined using a hand-held GPS device. The accuracy of such devices is variable. Surface elevation data annotated with +/- indicates that no actual topographical survey was conducted to confirm the surface elevation. Instead, the surface elevation was approximately determined from topographic maps of the area.

STRENGTH TERMS								
RELATIVE DENSITY OF C	CONSISTENCY OF FINE-GRAINED SOILS							
	(50% or more passing the No. 200 sieve.)							
(More than 50% retained on No. 200 sieve.)		Consistency determined by laboratory shear strength testing, field visual-manual						
Density determined by Stand	dard Penetration Resistance	procedures or standard penetration resistance						
Descriptive Term	Standard Penetration or	Descriptive Te	m Unconfined Cor	mpressive Strength	Standard Penetration or			
(Density)	N-value	(Consistency	) Qi	I. (tsf)	N-value			
(Deneity)	Blows/Ft.	(conclosed)	, .	., (101)	Blows/Ft.			
Very Loose	0 - 3	Very Soft	Very Soft Less than 0.2		0 – 1			
Loose	4 - 9	Soft	0.2	5 to 0.5	2 – 4			
Medium Dense	10 – 29	Medium Stiff	0.5	to 1.00	4 – 8			
Dense	30 – 50	Stiff	1.00	) to 2.00	8 – 15			
Very Dense	>50	Very Stiff	2.00	) to 4.00	15 – 30			
		Hard	>	4.00	>30			
RELATIVE PROPORTIONS OF SAND AND GRAVEL			RELATIVE PROPORTIONS OF FINES					
Descriptive Term(s) of Percent of		f	Descriptive Terr	n(s) of	Percent of			
other constituents	nstituents Dry Weigh		other constitu	ents	Dry Weight			
Trace	<15		Trace		<5			
With	15 – 29		With		5 – 12			
Modifier >30			Modifier	Modifier >12				
GRAIN SIZE TERMINOLOGY			PLASTICITY DESCRIPTION					
Major Component of Samp	le Particle Siz	Particle Size			Plasticity Index			
Boulders Over 12 in. (300		) mm)	Non-plastic	>	0			
Cobbles	12 in. to 3 in. (300 mm	n to 75 mm)	Low 1-		1 – 10			
Gravel	3 in. to #4 sieve (75mn	n to 4.75mm)	Medium		11 – 30			
Sand	#4 to #200 sieve (4.75m)	m to 0.075mm)	High		>30			
Silt or Clay	Passing #200 sieve (0.075mm)							

### **Waste Migration Assessment**

#### Geologic Setting

The Leprino Foods processing facility impoundments are in Lubbock, Lubbock County, Texas, in the High Plain's Level III Ecoregion. The climate in these areas is characterized by dry, arid conditions with a mean average temperature of 57 to 63 degrees F and mean annual precipitation of 16 to 21 inches. The project will be developed on an agricultural field composed primarily of Lofton clay loam. The parental material makeup of Lofton is clayey lacustrine deposits profiled with moderately well drained clay loams, clays and silty clays.

Surface elevations are approximately 3175 above sea level and the topographic gradient is to the north, northwest.

#### Groundwater

A review of the two closest groundwater well drilling logs (#379718 and #220497) provided by the Texas Water Development Board (TWDB, 2022) indicated the uppermost water bearing unit is the Ogallala Formation approximately 80 feet below ground level. Upper lithology indicated approximately 4 feet of topsoil underlaid with caliche and clay 4 to 17 feet, sandy clay-sand-sandstone 17 to 40 feet. Hard caprock was encountered 40 to 45 feet presenting an additional restrictive layer before reaching the water bearing unit.

Migration of Wastes and Contamination Potential

The evaporation lagoons are lined with proposed 60 mil HDPE. A geomembrane is proposed below the liner to protect the liner from underlaying soils and rocks. An earthen berm has been constructed along the perimeter of the lagoons and a leak detection system has been installed. If there is a loss of containment of effluent wastewater and an impact on native soil, the upper 4 feet of topsoil coupled with the caliche and clay layers underneath, would drastically slow downward migration of effluent. Since the nearest surface water body is Canyon Lake #6, approximately 2 miles to the southwest it is unlikely that a release of effluent from the lagoons would reach this area.

Block 23-26-6

#### Citations

Texas Commission on Environmental Quality. Water Well Report Viewer. Accessed on 08/12/2022 at the following website:

https://tceq.maps.arcgis.com/apps/webappviewer/index.html?id=aed10178f0434f2781daff19eb326fe2

Texas Water Development Board. Plotted Water Wells. Accessed on 08/12/2022 at the following website: <u>https://gisweb.tceq.texas.gov/waterwellpublicAGO/search.html?type=LR&wellGrid=23-26-3#</u>

# **Evaporation Calculations**

Tech Report, Worksheet 3.1, 3a-3b, p. 40



Technology-Driven Wastewater Solutions. Your Partner. Today and Tomorrow.



17035 W. Wisconsin Ave., Suite 120 Brookfield, WI 53005



# Leprino Foods Project Armadillo - Evaporation Pond Sizing

Leprino Foods Company (LFC) is planning to construct a dairy products processing plant in Lubbock, TX. As part of the production process, high total dissolved solids (TDS) process wastewater is anticipated to be generated. The proposed ponds have the ability to evaporate an average of 62,636 gallons/day based on the surface area of the pond and the annual average net evaporation rate for quadrant 406. In a worst case scenario, the ponds could handle a daily average of 105,550 gallons per day in the "critical year" before reaching freeboard capacity.

LFC plans to send the high TDS flow to two (2) evaporation lagoons, where the water will be evaporated off leaving the salts behind as a solid. Two high TDS lagoons are planned for the site, each with the surface area and volume as shown below.

High TDS Evaporation Lagoon (EACH)								
			Volume		Cumulative	Cumulative		
Stage	Elevation	Area (sf)	(cy)	Volume (gal)	Volume (CY)	Volume (gal)		
1	3171	344,568	0	0	0	0		
2	3172	354,025	9,431,006	2,577,369	9,431,006	2,577,369		
3	3173	363,609	9,688,059	2,648,107	19,119,065	5,225,476		
4	3174	373,321	9,948,555	2,719,795	29,067,620	7,945,271		
5	3175	383,161	10,212,507	2,792,441	39,280,127	10,737,712		
6	3176	393,129	10,479,915	2,866,044	49,760,042	13,603,756		
7	3177	403,225	10,750,779	2,978,364	60,510,821	16,582,120		

### Table 1. Lagoon Sizing Information



Based on these criteria, the lagoons were evaluated for evaporation capacity and storage in an average and critical scenario. Evaporation data was obtained from the Texas Water Development Board database, for section 406 which contains Lubbock.

#### Table 2.

#### Critical Sizing Evaluation

CRITICAL EVAPORATION EVALUATION								
				Min	Min	Min	Monthly	
				Monthly	Monthly	Monthly	Net	
		Max Daily	Monthly	Natural	Natural	Mechanical	Storage	Accumulated
		Flow	Flow	Evaporation	Evaporation	Evaporatio	Required	Storage
Month	# of Days	(Gallons)	(Gallons)	(in)	(Gallons)	n (Gallons)	(Gallons)	(Gallons)
JAN	31	105,500	3,270,500	0.00	0	0	3,270,500	3,270,500
FEB	28	105,500	2,954,000	0.27	135,726	0	2,818,274	6,088,774
MAR	31	105,500	3,270,500	1.24	623,332	0	2,647,168	8,735,942
APR	30	105,500	3,165,000	2.04	1,025,482	0	2,139,518	10,875,461
MAY	31	105,500	3,270,500	-0.08	-40,215	0	3,310,715	14,186,176
JUN	30	105,500	3,165,000	1.61	809,326	0	2,355,674	16,541,849
JUL	31	105,500	3,270,500	3.31	1,663,895	0	1,606,605	18,148,455
AUG	31	105,500	3,270,500	2.59	1,301,960	0	1,968,540	20,116,995
SEP	30	105,500	3,165,000	0.07	35,188	0	3,129,812	23,246,807
OCT	31	105,500	3,270,500	-0.85	-427,284	0	3,697,784	26,944,591
NOV	30	105,500	3,165,000	0.54	271,451	0	2,893,549	29,838,140
DEC	31	105,500	3,270,500	0.18	90,484	0	3,180,016	33,018,156
TOTAL				10.92			33,018,156	
				Required Storage (gallons)				33,018,156
Mechanical aeration assumes 8 hours per/day operation								
				Storage Provided 33,164,240				

The critical calculations show that in the event of a "wet" year, there is adequate storage capacity to handle up to 105,500 gallons/day of flow in a worse case scenario.





### Table 3.

### Average Sizing Evaluation

AVERAGE EVAPORATION EVALUATION								
		Monthly Flow	Avg Monthly Natural	Avg Monthly Natural Evaporation	Avg Daily Natural Evaporation			
Month	# of Days	(Gallons)	(in)	(Gallons)	(Gallons)			
JAN	31	2,480,000	1.66	834,461	26,918			
FEB	28	2,240,000	2.11	1,060,670	37,881			
MAR	31	2,480,000	3.92	1,970,534	63,566			
APR	30	2,400,000	4.9	2,463,167	82,106			
MAY	31	2,480,000	3.83	1,925,292	62,106			
JUN	30	2,400,000	5.26	2,644,134	88,138			
JUL	31	2,480,000	6.49	3,262,440	105,240			
AUG	31	2,480,000	5.73	2,880,397	92,916			
SEP	30	2,400,000	3.61	1,814,701	60,490			
ОСТ	31	2,480,000	3.39	3.39 1,704,109 54,				
NOV	30	2,400,000	2,400,000 2.75 1,382,390		46,080			
DEC	31	2,480,000	1.83	919,918 29,675				
TOTAL		29,200,000	45.48	22,862,212	62,636			

The average design calculations show that there is enough surface area to evaporate 62,636 gallons per year by natural means without requiring enhanced evaporation.


	precip_mea	precip_med	procip min	nracin may	procip 10%	procip 00%	gross_evap	gross_evap	gross_evap	gross_evap	gross_evap	gross_evap	net_evap_	net_evap_	net_evap_	net_evap_	net_evap_1	net_evap_9
	n	ian	precip_iiiii	precip_max	hiecih_10%	precip_90%	_mean	_median	_min	_max	_10%	_90%	mean	median	min	max	0%	0%
JAN	1.06	1.1	0	5.44	0.07	2.07	2.67	2.47	1.13	5.88	1.63	4.08	1.66	1.32	-2.02	5.87	0	3.74
FEB	1.13	0.94	0.01	4.16	0.19	2.23	3.2	3.19	1.67	5.45	2.04	4.76	2.11	2.1	-0.69	5.36	0.26	4.14
MAR	1.29	1.09	0.05	5.09	0.24	2.56	5.23	5.33	2.11	7.16	3.37	6.58	3.92	4.38	-1.07	6.89	1.2	6.06
APR	1.68	1.45	0	6.4	0.3	3.3	6.53	6.52	3.03	11.31	4.94	8.14	4.9	5.14	-1.47	11.31	1.99	7.79
MAY	3.04	2.89	0.26	10.84	1.02	5.02	6.8	6.62	2.56	10.65	5.14	9.03	3.83	3.98	-4.92	10.38	0.14	7.94
JUN	2.85	2.58	0.29	6.31	1.08	5.25	8.27	8.37	3.25	14.11	6.48	10.41	5.27	5.49	-2.17	13.36	1.59	8.22
JUL	2.45	2.21	0.12	9.97	0.72	4.02	8.93	8.91	4.18	13.98	6.95	10.65	6.5	6.97	-4.34	13.49	3.27	9.3
AUG	2.19	1.9	0.09	6.49	0.8	4.01	7.98	7.77	5.28	13.37	6.53	9.8	5.74	5.88	0.05	13.02	2.59	8.84
SEP	2.67	2.44	0.01	7.59	0.54	5.42	6.29	6.19	3.78	9.72	4.66	8.11	3.61	3.47	-2.29	9.17	-0.03	7.35
OCT	2.06	1.36	0	7.84	0.32	4.9	5.38	5.5	2.54	7.9	3.67	7.04	3.4	4.42	-2.71	6.81	-0.86	6.48
NOV	1.19	0.9	0	6.74	0.17	2.37	3.93	3.99	2.04	6.6	2.84	5.04	2.76	2.58	-3.83	6.49	0.51	4.92
DEC	1.08	0.89	0	3.25	0.18	2.22	2.89	2.89	0	6.2	1.83	4.19	1.83	1.88	-1.02	6.17	0.17	3.75

# **ATTACHMENT O. PRELIMINARY MODELING**

Tech Report, Worksheet 1.0, 2(a)

- ► TEXTOX Menu 4
- Screening Calculations for TDS, Chloride, and Sulfate
  Categorical Effluent Limits Analysis

#### **TEXTOX MENU #4 - LAKE OR RESERVOIR**

The water quality-based effluent limitations developed below are calculated using:

Table 1, 2014 Texas Surface Water Quality Standards (30 TAC 307) for Freshwater Aquatic Life Table 2, 2018 Texas Surface Water Quality Standards for Human Health "Procedures to Implement the Texas Surface Water Quality Standards," TCEQ, June 2010

### PERMIT INFORMATION

Permittee Name:	Leprino Foods
TPDES Permit No:	New
Outfall No:	001
Prepared by:	L. Tischler
Date:	5/24/2022

### DISCHARGE INFORMATION

Receiving Waterbody:	Canyon Lake - North Double Mountain Fork Brazos River
Segment No.:	1241A
TSS (mg/L):	9.9
pH (Standard Units):	7.7
Hardness (mg/L as CaCO₃):	473
Chloride (mg/L):	1400
Effluent Flow for Aquatic Life (MGD):	2
% Effluent for Chronic Aquatic Life (Mixing Zone):	15
% Effluent for Acute Aquatic Life (ZID):	60
Effluent Flow for Human Health (MGD):	2
% Effluent for Human Health:	8
Human Health Criterion (select: PWS, FISH, or INC)	PWS

### CALCULATE DISSOLVED FRACTION (AND ENTER WATER EFFECT RATIO IF APPLICABLE):

				Partition	Dissolved		Water	
	Intercept			Coefficient	Fraction		Effect Ratio	
Lake/Reservoir Metal	(b)	Slope	(m)	(Кр)	(Cd/Ct)	Source	(WER)	Source
Aluminum	N/A		N/A	N/A	1.00	Assumed	1.00	Assumed
Arsenic	5.68	1	-0.73	89781.39	0.529		1.00	Assumed
Cadmium	6.55	1	-0.92	430542.10	0.190		1.00	Assumed
Chromium (total)	6.34		-0.27	1178090.08	0.079		1.00	Assumed
Chromium (trivalent)	6.34		-0.27	1178090.08	0.079		1.00	Assumed
Chromium (hexavalent)	N/A		N/A	N/A	1.00	Assumed	1.00	Assumed
Copper	6.45		-0.90	358037.34	0.220		1.00	Assumed
Lead	6.31		-0.53	605777.79	0.143		1.00	Assumed
Mercury	N/A		N/A	N/A	1.00	Assumed	1.00	Assumed
Nickel	6.34		-0.76	383104.50	0.209		1.00	Assumed
Selenium	N/A		N/A	N/A	1.00	Assumed	1.00	Assumed
Silver	6.38		-1.03	226201.64	0.309		1.00	Assumed
Zinc	6.52		-0.68	696575.30	0.127		1.00	Assumed

#### AQUATIC LIFE

CALCULATE DAILY AVERAGE AND DAILY MAXIMUM EFFLUENT LIMITATIONS:

	FW Acute Criterion	FW Chronic Criterion	WLAa	WLAc	LTAa	LTAc	Daily Avg.	Daily Max.
Parameter	(µg/L)	(µg/L)	(µg/L)	(µg/L)	(µg/L)	(µg/L)	(µg/L)	(µg/L)
Aldrin	3.0	N/A	5.00	N/A	1.60	N/A	2.35	4.97
Aluminum	991	N/A	1652	N/A	529	N/A	776	1643
Arsenic	340	150	1070	1889	343	1152	503	1065
Cadmium	38.79	0.722	340	25.3	109	15.5	22.7	48.0
Carbaryl	2.0	N/A	3.33	N/A	1.07	N/A	1.56	3.31
Chlordane	2.4	0.004	4.00	0.0267	1.28	0.0163	0.0239	0.0505
Chlorpyrifos	0.083	0.041	0.138	0.273	0.0443	0.167	0.0650	0.137
Chromium (trivalent)	2034	264.6	42933	22339	13739	13627	20031	42379
Chromium (hexavalent)	15.7	10.6	26.2	70.7	8.37	43.1	12.3	26.0
Copper	61.40	35.72	465	1082	149	660	218	462
Cyanide (free)	45.8	10.7	76.3	71.3	24.4	43.5	35.9	75.9
4,4'-DDT	1.1	0.001	1.83	0.00667	0.587	0.00407	0.00597	0.0126
Demeton	N/A	0.1	N/A	0.667	N/A	0.407	0.597	1.26
Diazinon	0.17	0.17	0.283	1.13	0.0907	0.691	0.133	0.281
Dicofol [Kelthane]	59.3	19.8	98.8	132	31.6	80.5	46.4	98.3

# LEPRINO\_000361

Dieldrin	0.24	0.002	0.400	0.0133	0.128	0.00813	0.0119	0.0252
Diuron	210	70	350	467	112	285	164	348
Endosulfan I ( <i>alpha</i> )	0.22	0.056	0.367	0.373	0.117	0.228	0.172	0.364
Endosulfan II (beta)	0.22	0.056	0.367	0.373	0.117	0.228	0.172	0.364
Endosulfan sulfate	0.22	0.056	0.367	0.373	0.117	0.228	0.172	0.364
Endrin	0.086	0.002	0.143	0.0133	0.0459	0.00813	0.0119	0.0252
Guthion [Azinphos Methyl]	N/A	0.01	N/A	0.0667	N/A	0.0407	0.0597	0.126
Heptachlor	0.52	0.004	0.867	0.0267	0.277	0.0163	0.0239	0.0505
Hexachlorocyclohexane (gamma) [Lindane]	1.126	0.08	1.88	0.533	0.601	0.325	0.478	1.01
Lead	333.2	12.99	3886	606	1244	370	543	1149
Malathion	N/A	0.01	N/A	0.0667	N/A	0.0407	0.0597	0.126
Mercury	2.4	1.3	4.00	8.67	1.28	5.29	1.88	3.98
Methoxychlor	N/A	0.03	N/A	0.200	N/A	0.122	0.179	0.379
Mirex	N/A	0.001	N/A	0.00667	N/A	0.00407	0.00597	0.0126
Nickel	1743	193.6	13926	6187	4456	3774	5547	11737
Nonylphenol	28	6.6	46.7	44.0	14.9	26.8	21.9	46.4
Parathion (ethyl)	0.065	0.013	0.108	0.0867	0.0347	0.0529	0.0509	0.107
Pentachlorophenol	17.6	13.52	29.4	90.2	9.40	55.0	13.8	29.2
Phenanthrene	30	30	50.0	200	16.0	122	23.5	49.7
Polychlorinated Biphenyls [PCBs]	2.0	0.014	3.33	0.0933	1.07	0.0569	0.0836	0.177
Selenium	20	5	33.3	33.3	10.7	20.3	15.6	33.1
Silver	0.8	N/A	48.1	N/A	15.4	N/A	22.6	47.8
Toxaphene	0.78	0.0002	1.30	0.00133	0.416	0.000813	0.00119	0.00252
Tributyltin [TBT]	0.13	0.024	0.217	0.160	0.0693	0.0976	0.101	0.215
2,4,5 Trichlorophenol	136	64	227	427	72.5	260	106	225
Zinc	437.2	440.8	5753	23202	1841	14153	2706	5725

### HUMAN HEALTH

CALCULATE DAILY AVERAGE AND DAILY MAXIMUM EFFLUENT LIMITATIONS:

	Water and	Fish Only	Incidental				
	Fish Criterion	Criterion	Fish Criterion	WLAh	LTAh	Daily Avg.	Daily Max.
Parameter	(µg/L)	(µg/L)	(µg/L)	(µg/L)	(µg/L)	(µg/L)	(µg/L)
Acrylonitrile	1.0	115	1150	12.5	11.6	17.0	36.1
Aldrin	1.146E-05	1.147E-05	1.147E-04	0.000143	0.000133	0.000195	0.000414
Anthracene	1109	1317	13170	13863	12892	18951	40094
Antimony	6	1071	10710	75.0	69.8	102	216
Arsenic	10	N/A	N/A	236	220	322	682
Barium	2000	N/A	N/A	25000	23250	34177	72307
Benzene	5	581	5810	62.5	58.1	85.4	180
Benzidine	0.0015	0.107	1.07	0.0188	0.0174	0.0256	0.0542
Benzo(a)anthracene	0.024	0.025	0.25	0.300	0.279	0.410	0.867
Benzo(a)pyrene	0.0025	0.0025	0.025	0.0313	0.0291	0.0427	0.0903
Bis(chloromethyl)ether	0.0024	0.2745	2.745	0.0300	0.0279	0.0410	0.0867
Bis(2-chloroethyl)ether	0.60	42.83	428.3	7.50	6.98	10.2	21.6
Bis(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate [Di(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate]	6	7.55	75.5	75.0	69.8	102	216
Bromodichloromethane [Dichlorobromomethane]	10.2	275	2750	128	119	174	368
Bromoform [Tribromomethane]	66.9	1060	10600	836	778	1143	2418
Cadmium	5	N/A	N/A	329	306	449	951
Carbon Tetrachloride	4.5	46	460	56.3	52.3	76.8	162
Chlordane	0.0025	0.0025	0.025	0.0313	0.0291	0.0427	0.0903
Chlorobenzene	100	2737	27370	1250	1163	1708	3615
Chlorodibromomethane [Dibromochloromethane]	7.5	183	1830	93.8	87.2	128	271
Chloroform [Trichloromethane]	70	7697	76970	875	814	1196	2530
Chromium (hexavalent)	62	502	5020	775	721	1059	2241
Chrysene	2.45	2.52	25.2	30.6	28.5	41.8	88.5
Cresols [Methylphenols]	1041	9301	93010	13013	12102	17789	37636
Cyanide (free)	200	N/A	N/A	2500	2325	3417	7230
4,4'-DDD	0.002	0.002	0.02	0.0250	0.0233	0.0341	0.0723
4,4'-DDE	0.00013	0.00013	0.0013	0.00163	0.00151	0.00222	0.00469
4,4'-DDT	0.0004	0.0004	0.004	0.00500	0.00465	0.00683	0.0144
2,4'-D	70	N/A	N/A	875	814	1196	2530
Danitol [Fenpropathrin]	262	473	4730	3275	3046	4477	9472
1,2-Dibromoethane [Ethylene Dibromide]	0.17	4.24	42.4	2.13	1.98	2.90	6.14
<i>m</i> -Dichlorobenzene [1,3-Dichlorobenzene]	322	595	5950	4025	3743	5502	11641
o -Dichlorobenzene [1,2-Dichlorobenzene]	600	3299	32990	7500	6975	10253	21692
<i>p</i> -Dichlorobenzene [1,4-Dichlorobenzene]	75	N/A	N/A	938	872	1281	2711

3,3'-Dichlorobenzidine	0.79	2.24	22.4	9.88	9.18	13.5	28.5
1,2-Dichloroethane	5	364	3640	62.5	58.1	85.4	180
1,1-Dichloroethylene [1,1-Dichloroethene]	7	55114	551140	87.5	81.4	119	253
Dichloromethane [Methylene Chloride]	5	13333	133330	62.5	58.1	85.4	180
1,2-Dichloropropane	5	259	2590	62.5	58.1	85.4	180
1,3-Dichloropropene [1,3-Dichloropropylene]	2.8	119	1190	35.0	32.6	47.8	101
Dicofol [Kelthane]	0.30	0.30	3	3.75	3.49	5.12	10.8
Dieldrin	2.0E-05	2.0E-05	2.0E-04	0.000250	0.000233	0.000341	0.000723
2,4-Dimethylphenol	444	8436	84360	5550	5162	7587	16052
Di-n -Butyl Phthalate	88.9	92.4	924	1111	1033	1519	3214
Dioxins/Furans [TCDD Equivalents]	7.80E-08	7.97E-08	7.97E-07	9.75E-07	9.07E-07	0.0000013	0.0000028
Endrin	0.02	0.02	0.2	0.250	0.233	0.341	0.723
Epichlorohydrin	53.5	2013	20130	669	622	914	1934
Ethylbenzene	700	1867	18670	8750	8138	11962	25307
Ethylene Glycol	46744	1.68E+07	1.68E+08	584300	543399	798796	1689970
Fluoride	4000	N/A	N/A	50000	46500	68355	144615
Heptachlor	8.0E-05	0.0001	0.001	0.00100	0.000930	0.00136	0.00289
Heptachlor Epoxide	0.00029	0.00029	0.0029	0.00363	0.00337	0.00495	0.0104
Hexachlorobenzene	0.00068	0.00068	0.0068	0.00850	0.00791	0.0116	0.0245
Hexachlorobutadiene	0.21	0.22	2.2	2.63	2.44	3.58	7.59
Hexachlorocyclohexane (alpha)	0.0078	0.0084	0.084	0.0975	0.0907	0.133	0.281
Hexachlorocyclohexane (beta)	0.15	0.26	2.6	1.88	1.74	2.56	5.42
Hexachlorocyclohexane (gamma) [Lindane]	0.2	0.341	3.41	2.50	2.33	3.41	7.23
Hexachlorocyclopentadiene	10.7	11.6	116	134	124	182	386
Hexachloroethane	1.84	2.33	23.3	23.0	21.4	31.4	66.5
Hexachlorophene	2.05	2.90	29	25.6	23.8	35.0	74.1
4,4'-Isopropylidenediphenol [Bisphenol A]	1092	15982	159820	13650	12695	18660	39479
Lead	1.15	3.83	38.3	101	93.5	137	290
Mercury	0.0122	0.0122	0.122	0.153	0.142	0.208	0.441
Methoxychlor	2.92	3.0	30	36.5	33.9	49.8	105
Methyl Ethyl Ketone	13865	9.92E+05	9.92E+06	173313	161181	236935	501271
Methyl <i>tert</i> -butyl ether [MTBE]	15	10482	104820	188	174	256	542
Nickel	332	1140	11400	19890	18498	27191	57527
Nitrate-Nitrogen (as Total Nitrogen)	10000	N/A	N/A	125000	116250	170887	361537
Nitrobenzene	45.7	1873	18730	571	531	780	1652
N-Nitrosodiethylamine	0.0037	2.1	21	0.0463	0.0430	0.0632	0.133
N-Nitroso-di-n -Butylamine	0.119	4.2	42	1.49	1.38	2.03	4.30
Pentachlorobenzene	0.348	0.355	3.55	4.35	4.05	5.94	12.5
Pentachlorophenol	0.22	0.29	2.9	2.75	2.56	3.75	7.95
Polychlorinated Biphenyls [PCBs]	6.4E-04	6.4E-04	6.40E-03	0.00800	0.00744	0.0109	0.0231
Pyridine	23	947	9470	288	267	393	831
Selenium	50	N/A	N/A	625	581	854	1807
1,2,4,5-Tetrachlorobenzene	0.23	0.24	2.4	2.88	2.67	3.93	8.31
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	1.64	26.35	263.5	20.5	19.1	28.0	59.2
Tetrachloroethylene [Tetrachloroethylene]	5	280	2800	62.5	58.1	85.4	180
Thallium	0.12	0.23	2.3	1.50	1.40	2.05	4.33
Toluene	1000	N/A	N/A	12500	11625	17088	36153
Toxaphene	0.011	0.011	0.11	0.138	0.128	0.187	0.397
2.4.5-TP [Silvex]	50	369	3690	625	581	854	1807
1.1.1-Trichloroethane	200	784354	7843540	2500	2325	3417	7230
1.1.2-Trichloroethane	5	166	1660	62.5	58.1	85.4	180
Trichloroethylene [Trichloroethene]	5	71.9	719	62.5	58.1	85.4	180
2.4.5-Trichlorophenol	1039	1867	18670	12988	12078	17755	37563
TTHM [Sum of Total Trihalomethanes]	80	N/A	N/A	1000	930	1367	2,200
Vinvl Chloride	0.23	16 5	165	2 88	2 67	2 92	2002 8 31
	0.23	10.5	103	2.00	2.07	5.55	0.31

CALCULATE 70% AND 85% OF DAILY AVERAGE EFFLUENT LIMITATIONS:

	70% of	85% of
Aquatic Life	Daily Avg.	Daily Avg.
Parameter	(μg/L)	(µg/L)
Aldrin	1.64	1.99
Aluminum	543	660
Arsenic	352	427
Cadmium	15.9	19.3
Carbaryl	1.09	1.33

Chlordane	0.0167	0.0203
Chlorpyrifos	0.0455	0.0553
Chromium (trivalent)	14021	17026
Chromium (hexavalent)	8.61	10.4
Copper	153	185
Cyanide (free)	25.1	30.5
4,4'-DDT	0.00418	0.00508
Demeton	0.418	0.508
Diazinon	0.0932	0.113
Dicofol [Kelthane]	32.5	39.5
Dieldrin	0.00836	0.0101
Diuron	115	139
Endosulfan I ( <i>alpha</i> )	0.120	0.146
Endosulfan II ( <i>beta</i> )	0.120	0.146
Endosulfan sulfate	0.120	0.146
Endrin	0.00836	0.0101
Guthion [Azinphos Methyl]	0.0418	0.0508
Heptachlor	0.0167	0.0203
Hexachlorocyclohexane (gamma ) [Lindane]	0.334	0.406
Lead	380	461
Malathion	0.0418	0.0508
Mercury	1.31	1.59
Methoxychlor	0.125	0.152
Mirex	0.00418	0.00508
Nickel	3883	4715
Nonylphenol	15.3	18.6
Parathion (ethyl)	0.0356	0.0433
Pentachlorophenol	9.67	11.7
Phenanthrene	16.4	19.9
Polychlorinated Biphenyls [PCBs]	0.0585	0.0711
Selenium	10.9	13.3
Silver	15.8	19.2
Toyanhana	0.000926	0.00101
Tuxapitette	0.000830	0.00101
Tributyltin [TBT]	0.000838	0.0866
Tributyltin [TBT] 2,4,5 Trichlorophenol	0.000838	0.0866
Tributyltin [TBT] 2,4,5 Trichlorophenol Zinc	0.000836 0.0713 74.6 1894	0.0866 90.6 2300
Tributyltin [TBT] 2,4,5 Trichlorophenol Zinc	0.000836 0.0713 74.6 1894	0.00101 0.0866 90.6 2300
Tributyltin [TBT] 2,4,5 Trichlorophenol Zinc	0.000838 0.0713 74.6 1894 70% of	0.00101 0.0866 90.6 2300 85% of
Tributyltin [TBT] 2,4,5 Trichlorophenol Zinc Human Health	0.000336 0.0713 74.6 1894 70% of Daily Avg.	0.0866 90.6 2300 85% of Daily Avg.
Tributyltin [TBT] 2,4,5 Trichlorophenol Zinc Human Health Parameter	0.000836 0.0713 74.6 1894 70% of Daily Avg. (μg/L)	0.08101 0.0866 90.6 2300 85% of Daily Avg. (μg/L)
Tributyltin [TBT] 2,4,5 Trichlorophenol Zinc Human Health Parameter Acrylonitrile	0.000836 0.0713 74.6 1894 70% of Daily Avg. (μg/L) 11.9	0.08101 0.0866 90.6 2300 <b>85% of</b> Daily Avg. (μg/L) 14.5
Tributyltin [TBT] 2,4,5 Trichlorophenol Zinc Human Health Parameter Acrylonitrile Aldrin	0.000838 0.0713 74.6 1894 70% of Daily Avg. (µg/L) 11.9 0.000137	0.08101 0.0866 90.6 2300 85% of Daily Avg. (µg/L) 14.5 0.000166
Tributyltin [TBT] 2,4,5 Trichlorophenol Zinc Human Health Parameter Acrylonitrile Aldrin Anthracene	0.0008358 0.0713 74.6 1894 <b>70% of</b> <b>Daily Avg.</b> (μg/L) 11.9 0.000137 13265	0.00101 0.0866 90.6 2300 85% of Daily Avg. (µg/L) 14.5 0.000166 16108
Tributyltin [TBT] 2,4,5 Trichlorophenol Zinc Human Health Parameter Acrylonitrile Aldrin Anthracene Antimony	0.000835 0.0713 74.6 1894 70% of Daily Avg. (μg/L) 11.9 0.000137 13265 71.7	0.00101 0.0866 90.6 2300 2300 <b>85% of</b> <b>Daily Avg.</b> (μg/L) 14.5 0.000166 16108 87.1
Tributyltin [TBT] 2,4,5 Trichlorophenol Zinc Human Health Parameter Acrylonitrile Aldrin Anthracene Antimony Arsenic	0.000835 0.0713 74.6 1894 70% of Daily Avg. (μg/L) 11.9 0.000137 13265 71.7 225	0.00101 0.0866 90.6 2300 <b>85% of</b> <b>Daily Avg.</b> (μg/L) 14.5 0.000166 16108 87.1 274
Tributyltin [TBT]      2,4,5 Trichlorophenol      Zinc      Human Health      Parameter      Acrylonitrile      Aldrin      Anthracene      Antimony      Arsenic      Barium	0.000838 0.0713 74.6 1894 70% of Daily Avg. (μg/L) 11.9 0.000137 13265 71.7 225 23924	0.00101 0.0866 90.6 2300 <b>85% of</b> Daily Avg. (µg/L) 14.5 0.000166 16108 87.1 274 29050
Tributyltin [TBT]      2,4,5 Trichlorophenol      Zinc      Human Health      Parameter      Acrylonitrile      Aldrin      Anthracene      Antimony      Arsenic      Barium      Benzene	0.000838 0.0713 74.6 1894 70% of Daily Avg. (μg/L) 11.9 0.000137 13265 71.7 225 23924 59.8	0.00101 0.0866 90.6 2300 <b>85% of</b> <b>Daily Avg.</b> (μg/L) 14.5 0.000166 16108 87.1 274 29050 72.6
Tributyltin [TBT]      2,4,5 Trichlorophenol      Zinc      Human Health      Parameter      Acrylonitrile      Aldrin      Anthracene      Antimony      Arsenic      Barium      Benzene      Benzidine	0.000838 0.0713 74.6 1894 70% of Daily Avg. (μg/L) 11.9 0.000137 13265 71.7 225 23924 59.8 0.0179	0.00101 0.0866 90.6 2300 <b>85% of</b> <b>Daily Avg.</b> (µg/L) 14.5 0.000166 16108 87.1 274 29050 72.6 0.0217
Tributyltin [TBT]      2,4,5 Trichlorophenol      Zinc      Human Health      Parameter      Acrylonitrile      Aldrin      Anthracene      Antimony      Arsenic      Barium      Benzene      Benzidine      Benzola (a) anthracene	0.000838 0.0713 74.6 1894 70% of Daily Avg. (μg/L) 11.9 0.000137 13265 71.7 225 23924 59.8 0.0179 0.287	0.00101 0.0866 90.6 2300 <b>85% of</b> <b>Daily Avg.</b> (μg/L) 14.5 0.000166 16108 87.1 274 29050 72.6 0.0217 0.348
Tributyltin [TBT]      2,4,5 Trichlorophenol      Zinc      Human Health      Parameter      Acrylonitrile      Aldrin      Anthracene      Antimony      Arsenic      Barium      Benzene      Benzidine      Benzo(a) anthracene      Benzo(a) pyrene	0.000838 0.0713 74.6 1894 70% of Daily Avg. (μg/L) 11.9 0.000137 13265 71.7 225 23924 59.8 0.0179 0.287 0.0299	0.00101 0.0866 90.6 2300 <b>85% of</b> Daily Avg. (µg/L) 14.5 0.000166 16108 87.1 2774 29050 72.6 0.0217 0.348 0.0363
Tributyltin [TBT]      2,4,5 Trichlorophenol      Zinc      Human Health      Parameter      Acrylonitrile      Aldrin      Anthracene      Antimony      Arsenic      Barium      Benzene      Benzoline      Benzola (a) pyrene      Bis(chloromethyl)ether	0.000838 0.0713 74.6 1894 70% of Daily Avg. (μg/L) 11.9 0.000137 13265 71.7 225 23924 59.8 0.0179 0.287 0.0299 0.0287	0.00101 0.0866 90.6 2300 <b>85% of</b> Daily Avg. (µg/L) 14.5 0.000166 16108 87.1 2774 29050 72.6 0.0217 0.348 0.0363 0.0348
Tributyltin [TBT]      2,4,5 Trichlorophenol      Zinc      Human Health      Parameter      Acrylonitrile      Aldrin      Anthracene      Antimony      Arsenic      Barium      Benzene      Benzo(a) janthracene      Benzo(a) joyrene      Bis(2-chloroethyl)ether      Bis(2-chloroethyl)ether	0.000336 0.0713 74.6 1894 70% of Daily Avg. (μg/L) 11.9 0.000137 13265 71.7 2255 23924 59.8 0.0179 0.287 0.0299 0.0287 7.17	0.00101 0.0866 90.6 2300 <b>85% of</b> <b>Daily Avg.</b> (µg/L) 14.5 0.000166 16108 87.1 274 29050 72.6 0.0217 0.348 0.0363 0.0348 8.71
Tributyltin [TBT]      2,4,5 Trichlorophenol      Zinc      Human Health      Parameter      Acrylonitrile      Aldrin      Anthracene      Antimony      Arsenic      Barium      Benzene      Benzo(a) anthracene      Benzo(a) opyrene      Bis(chloromethyl)ether      Bis(2-chloroethyl)pithalate [Di(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate]	0.000336 0.0713 74.6 1894 70% of Daily Avg. (µg/L) 11.9 0.000137 13265 71.7 225 23924 59.8 0.0179 0.287 0.0299 0.0287 7.17 7.17	0.00101 0.0866 90.6 2300 <b>85% of</b> <b>Daily Avg.</b> (µg/L) 14.5 0.000166 16108 87.1 274 29050 72.6 0.0217 0.348 0.0363 0.0348 8.71 87.1
Tributyltin [TBT]      2,4,5 Trichlorophenol      Zinc      Human Health      Parameter      Acrylonitrile      Aldrin      Anthracene      Antimony      Arsenic      Barium      Benzene      Benzidine      Benzo(a) anthracene      Bis(chloromethyl)ether      Bis(2-chloroethyl) phthalate [Di(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate]      Bromodichloromethane [Dichlorobromomethane]	0.000838 0.0713 74.6 1894 70% of Daily Avg. (µg/L) 11.9 0.000137 13265 71.7 225 23924 59.8 0.0179 0.287 0.0299 0.0287 7.17 7.17 7.17	0.00101 0.0866 90.6 2300 <b>85% of</b> <b>Daily Avg.</b> (µg/L) 14.5 0.000166 16108 87.1 274 29050 72.6 0.0217 0.348 0.0363 0.0348 8.71 8.71 148
Tributyltin [TBT]      2,4,5 Trichlorophenol      Zinc      Human Health      Parameter      Acrylonitrile      Aldrin      Anthracene      Antimony      Arsenic      Barium      Benzene      Benzo(a) anthracene      Bis(chloromethyl)ether      Bis(2-chloroethyl)ether      Bis(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate[Dichlorobromomethane]      Bromodichloromethane]	0.000838 0.0713 74.6 1894 70% of Daily Avg. (µg/L) 11.9 0.000137 13265 71.7 225 23924 59.8 0.0179 0.287 0.0299 0.0287 7.17 7.17 71.7 1.122 800	0.00101 0.0866 90.6 2300 <b>85% of</b> <b>Daily Avg.</b> (µg/L) 14.5 0.000166 16108 87.1 274 29050 72.6 0.0217 0.348 0.0363 0.0348 8.711 87.1 148 971
Tributyltin [TBT]      2,4,5 Trichlorophenol      Zinc      Human Health      Parameter      Acrylonitrile      Aldrin      Anthracene      Antimony      Arsenic      Barium      Benzene      Benzo(a) anthracene      Bis(chloromethyl)ether      Bis(2-chloroethyl)ether      Bis(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate [Di(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate]      Bromodichloromethane [Dichlorobromomethane]      Bromodichloromethane]	0.000838 0.0713 74.6 1894 70% of Daily Avg. (µg/L) 11.9 0.000137 13265 71.7 225 23924 59.8 0.0179 0.287 0.0299 0.0287 7.17 71.7 71.7 71.7 71.7 2122 800 314	0.00101 0.0866 90.6 2300 <b>85% of</b> <b>Daily Avg.</b> (µg/L) 14.5 0.000166 16108 87.1 274 29050 72.6 0.0217 0.348 0.0363 0.0348 0.0363 0.0348 8.711 87.1 148 971 148 971
Tributyltin [TBT]      2,4,5 Trichlorophenol      Zinc      Human Health      Parameter      Acrylonitrile      Aldrin      Anthracene      Antimony      Arsenic      Barium      Benzene      Benzo(a) anthracene      Bis(chloromethyl)ether      Bis(2-chloroethyl)ether      Bis(2-chloroethyl)phthalate [Di(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate]      Bromodichloromethane [Dichlorobromomethane]      Bromoform [Tribromomethane]      Cadmium      Carbon Tetrachloride	0.000838 0.0713 74.6 1894 70% of Daily Avg. (µg/L) 11.9 0.000137 13265 71.7 2255 23924 59.8 0.0179 0.287 0.0299 0.0287 0.0299 0.0287 7.17 7.17 71.7 71.7 71.7 225 800 314 53.8	0.00101 0.0866 90.6 2300 <b>85% of</b> <b>Daily Avg.</b> (µg/L) 14.5 0.000166 16108 87.1 274 29050 72.6 0.0217 0.348 0.0363 0.0348 8.71 8.71 148 8.71 148 971 382 65.3
Tributyltin [TBT]      2,4,5 Trichlorophenol      Zinc      Human Health      Parameter      Acrylonitrile      Aldrin      Anthracene      Antimony      Arsenic      Barium      Benzene      Benzo(a) anthracene      Bis(chloromethyl)ether      Bis(2-chloroethyl)ether      Bis(2-chloroethyl)phthalate [Di(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate]      Bromodichloromethane]      Bromoform [Tribromomethane]      Carbon Tetrachloride      Chlordane	0.000838 0.0713 74.6 1894 70% of Daily Avg. (µg/L) 11.9 0.000137 13265 71.7 2255 23924 59.8 0.0179 0.287 0.0299 0.0287 7.17 71.7 71.7 71.7 71.7 71.7 71.7 225 0.0299	0.00101 0.0866 90.6 2300 <b>85% of</b> <b>Daily Avg.</b> (µg/L) 14.5 0.000166 16108 87.1 274 29050 72.6 0.0217 0.348 0.0363 0.0348 8.71 87.1 148 971 382 65.3 0.0363
Tributyltin [TBT]      2,4,5 Trichlorophenol      Zinc      Human Health      Parameter      Acrylonitrile      Aldrin      Anthracene      Antimony      Arsenic      Barium      Benzene      Benzo(a) anthracene      Bis(chloromethyl)ether      Bis(2-chloroethyl)ether      Bis(2-chloromethal)ether      Bromodichloromethane      Bromoform [Tribromomethane]      Carbon Tetrachloride      Chlorobenzene	0.000838 0.0713 74.6 1894 70% of Daily Avg. (µg/L) 11.9 0.000137 13265 71.7 2255 23924 59.8 0.0179 0.287 0.0299 0.0287 0.0299 0.0287 7.17 71.7 71.7 71.7 71.7 225 0.0299 0.0287 0.0299 314 53.8 0.0299	0.00101 0.0866 90.6 2300 <b>B5% of</b> <b>Daily Avg.</b> (µg/L) 14.5 0.000166 16108 87.1 274 29050 72.6 0.0217 0.348 0.0363 0.0348 8.71 87.1 148 971 382 65.3 0.0363 1452
Tributyltin [TBT]      2,4,5 Trichlorophenol      Zinc      Human Health      Parameter      Acrylonitrile      Aldrin      Anthracene      Antimony      Arsenic      Barium      Benzene      Benzo(a) anthracene      Bis(chloromethyl)ether      Bis(2-chloroethyl)ether      Bis(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate [Di(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate]      Bromodichloromethane [Dichlorobromomethane]      Bromoform [Tribromomethane]      Cadmium      Carbon Tetrachloride      Chlorodibromomethane [Dibromochloromethane]	0.000338 0.0713 74.6 1894 70% of Daily Avg. (µg/L) 11.9 0.000137 13265 71.7 225 23924 59.8 0.0179 0.287 0.0299 0.0287 7.17 7.17 71.7 71.7 122 800 314 53.8 0.0299 1196 89.7	0.00101 0.0866 90.6 2300 <b>B5% of</b> <b>Daily Avg.</b> (µg/L) 14.5 0.000166 16108 87.1 274 29050 72.6 0.0217 0.348 0.0363 0.0348 8.71 87.1 148 971 148 971 148 971 1452 65.3 0.0363 1452 108
Tributyltin [TBT]      2,4,5 Trichlorophenol      Zinc      Human Health      Parameter      Acrylonitrile      Aldrin      Anthracene      Antimony      Arsenic      Barium      Benzene      Benzo(a) anthracene      Bis(chloromethyl)ether      Bis(2-chloroethyl)ether      Bis(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate [Di(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate]      Bromodichloromethane [Dichlorobromomethane]      Cadmium      Carbon Tetrachloride      Chlorobenzene      Chlorodibromomethane [Dibromochloromethane]      Chlorodibromomethane [Dibromochloromethane]	0.000338 0.0713 74.6 1894 70% of Daily Avg. (µg/L) 11.9 0.000137 13265 71.7 225 23924 59.8 0.0179 0.287 0.0299 0.0287 7.17 7.17 71.7 122 800 314 53.8 0.0299 1196 89.7	0.00101 0.0866 90.6 2300 <b>85% of</b> <b>Daily Avg.</b> (µg/L) 14.5 0.000166 16108 87.1 274 29050 72.6 0.0217 0.348 0.0363 0.0348 8.71 87.1 148 971 148 971 148 971 148 971 148 971 148 971 148 148 971 148 148 148 145 145 145 145 145 145 145 145
Tributyltin [TBT]      2,4,5 Trichlorophenol      Zinc      Human Health      Parameter      Acrylonitrile      Aldrin      Anthracene      Antimony      Arsenic      Barium      Benzene      Benzene      Benzo(a) anthracene      Benzo(a) anthracene      Bis(chloromethyl)ether      Bis(2-chloroethyl)ether      Bis(2-cthylhexyl) phthalate [Di(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate]      Bromodichloromethane [Dichlorobromomethane]      Cadmium      Carbon Tetrachloride      Chlorobenzene      Chlorodibromomethane [Dibromochloromethane]      Chlorodibromomethane]      Chlorodibromomethane]	0.000338 0.0713 74.6 1894 70% of Daily Avg. (µg/L) 11.9 0.000137 13265 71.7 225 23924 59.8 0.0179 0.287 0.0299 0.0287 7.17 7.17 71.7 122 800 314 53.8 0.0299 1196 89.7 837	0.00101 0.0866 90.6 2300 <b>85% of</b> <b>Daily Avg.</b> (µg/L) 14.5 0.000166 16108 87.1 2774 29050 72.6 0.0217 0.348 0.0363 0.0348 8.71 87.1 148 9.71 148 148 9.71 148 148 9.71 148 9.71 148 9.71 148 9.71 148 9.71 148 148 9.71 148 9.71 148 147 148 9.71 148 148 9.71 148 148 9.71 148 148 9.71 148 148 9.71 148 148 9.71 148 148 9.71 148 148 9.71 148 148 9.71 148 148 9.71 148 148 9.71 148 148 145 148 145 145 145 145 145 145 145 145
Tributyltin [TBT]      2,4,5 Trichlorophenol      Zinc      Human Health      Parameter      Acrylonitrile      Aldrin      Anthracene      Antimony      Arsenic      Barium      Benzene      Benzo(a) anthracene      Benzo(a) anthracene      Benzo(a) anthracene      Benzo(a) pyrene      Bis(chloromethyl)ether      Bis(2-chloroethyl)ether      Bis(2-chloromethane [Dichlorobromomethane]      Bromoform [Tribromomethane]      Cadmium      Carbon Tetrachloride      Chlorodibromomethane [Dibromochloromethane]      Chlorodibromomethane [Dibromochloromethane]      Chlorodibromomethane]      Chlorodibromomethane]      Chlorodibromomethane]      Chlorodibromomethane]      Chlorodibromomethane]      Chlorodibromomethane]      Chlorodibromomethane]      Chloroform [Trichloromethane]      Chromium (hexavalent)      Chrysene	0.000338 0.0713 74.6 1894 70% of Daily Avg. (µg/L) 11.9 0.000137 13265 71.7 225 23924 59.8 0.0179 0.287 0.0299 0.0287 7.17 7.17 7.17 7.17 122 800 314 53.8 0.0299 1196 89.7 89.7 89.7 837 741 29.3	0.00101 0.0866 90.6 2300 2300 14.5 0.00166 16108 87.1 274 29050 72.6 0.0217 0.348 0.0363 0.0348 8.71 274 29050 72.6 0.0217 0.348 0.0363 0.0363 0.0363 0.0348 8.71 148 971 382 65.3 0.0363 1452 108 1016 900 35.5
Tributyltin [TBT]      2,4,5 Trichlorophenol      Zinc      Human Health      Parameter      Acrylonitrile      Aldrin      Anthracene      Antimony      Arsenic      Barium      Benzene      Benzo(a) pyrene      Bis(chloromethyl)ether      Bis(2-chloroethyl)ether      Bis(2-chloromethane [Dichlorobromomethane]      Bromodichloromethane [Dichlorobromomethane]      Bromoform [Tribromomethane]      Cadmium      Carbon Tetrachloride      Chlorobenzene      Chloroform [Trichloromethane]      Chromium (hexavalent)      Chrysene      Cresols [Methylphenols]	0.000338 0.0713 74.6 1894 70% of Daily Avg. (μg/L) 11.9 0.000137 13265 71.7 225 23924 59.8 0.0179 0.287 0.287 0.0299 0.0287 7.17 7.17 7.17 122 800 314 53.8 0.0299 1196 89.7 837 741 29.3 12452	0.00101 0.0866 90.6 2300 <b>85% of</b> <b>Daily Avg.</b> (µg/L) 14.5 0.000166 16108 87.1 274 29050 72.6 0.0217 0.348 0.0363 0.0348 8.711 87.1 148 9711 382 65.3 0.0363 1452 108 1016 900 35.5 15120
Tributyltin [TBT]      2,4,5 Trichlorophenol      Zinc      Human Health      Parameter      Acrylonitrile      Aldrin      Anthracene      Antimony      Arsenic      Barium      Benzene      Benzo(a) pyrene      Bis(chloromethyl)ether      Bis(2-cthylhexyl) phthalate [Di(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate]      Bromodichloromethane [Dichlorobromomethane]      Bromoform [Tribromomethane]      Carbon Tetrachloride      Chlorobenzene      Chloroform [Trichloromethane]      Chloroform [Trichloromethane]      Chloroform [Trichloromethane]      Chloroform [Trichloromethane]      Chloroform [Trichloromethane]      Chloroform [Trichloromethane]      Chlorofire [Teichloromethane]      Chlorofire	0.000338 0.0713 74.6 1894 70% of Daily Avg. (μg/L) 11.9 0.000137 13265 71.7 225 23924 59.8 0.0179 0.287 0.0299 0.0287 7.17 71.7 1222 800 314 53.8 0.0299 1196 89.7 837 741 29.3 12452 2392	0.00101 0.0866 90.6 2300 <b>85% of</b> <b>Daily Avg.</b> (µg/L) 14.5 0.000166 16108 87.1 274 29050 72.6 0.0217 0.348 0.0363 0.0348 8.71 1452 1036 907 382 65.3 0.0363 1452 108 1016 900 35.5 15120 2905

4,4'-DDE	0.00155	0.00188
4,4'-DDT	0.00478	0.00581
2,4'-D	837	1016
Danitol [Fenpropathrin]	3134	3805
1,2-Dibromoethane [Ethylene Dibromide]	2.03	2.46
<i>m</i> -Dichlorobenzene [1,3-Dichlorobenzene]	3851	4677
o -Dichlorobenzene [1,2-Dichlorobenzene]	7177	8715
<i>p</i> -Dichlorobenzene [1,4-Dichlorobenzene]	897	1089
3,3'-Dichlorobenzidine	9.45	11.4
1,2-Dichloroethane	59.8	72.6
1,1-Dichloroethylene [1,1-Dichloroethene]	83.7	101
Dichloromethane [Methylene Chloride]	59.8	72.6
1,2-Dichloropropane	59.8	72.6
1,3-Dichloropropene [1,3-Dichloropropylene]	33.4	40.6
Dicofol [Kelthane]	3.58	4.35
Dieldrin	0.000239	0.000290
2,4-Dimethylphenol	5311	6449
Di-n -Butyl Phthalate	1063	1291
Dioxins/Furans [TCDD Equivalents]	9.33E-07	0.0000011
Endrin	0.239	0.290
Epichlorohydrin	639	777
Ethylbenzene	8373	10167
Ethylene Glycol	559157	678977
Eluoride	47848	58101
Heptachlor	0.000956	0.00116
Heptachlor Epoxide	0.00346	0.00421
Hexachlorobenzene	0.00813	0.00987
Hexachlorobutadiene	2.51	3.05
Hexachlorocyclohexane ( <i>alpha</i> )	0.0933	0.113
Hexachlorocyclohexane ( <i>beta</i> )	1.79	2.17
Hexachlorocyclohexane ( <i>agmmg</i> ) [Lindane]	2.75	2.90
Hexachlorocyclopentadiene	127	155
Hexachloroethane	22.0	26.7
Hexachlorophene	22.0	20.7
4 4'-Isopropylidenediphenol [Bisphenol A]	13062	15861
Lead	96.2	13001
Mercury	0 145	0 177
Methoxychlor	34.9	42.4
Methyl Ethyl Ketone	165854	201395
Methyl tert - butyl ether [MTBE]	179	217
Nickel	19033	23112
Nitrate-Nitrogen (as Total Nitrogen)	119621	145254
Nitrobenzene	546	663
N-Nitrosodiethylamine	0 0442	0.0537
N-Nitroso-di-n-Butylamine	1.42	1 72
Pentachlorohenzene	1.42	5.05
Pentachlorophenol	7.10	3 10
Polychlorinated Binhenyls [PCBs]	0.00765	0 00020
Puridine	0.00705	224
Solonium	E09	726
	2 75	2 2/
1,2,4,5-Tetrachloroothano	10.6	3.34
1,1,2,2-1 ett activito betilane	19.0	23.0
	59.8	1 74
	11062	1./4
Touche	11962	14525
	0.131	0.159
2,4,5-1r [SIIVEX]	598	/26
1,1,1-ITICNIOFOETNANE	2392	2905
1,1,2-irichloroethane	59.8	/2.6
Inchoroethylene [Inchoroethene]	59.8	/2.6
2,4,5-iricnioropnenol	12428	15091
I I HIVI [Sum of Total Trihalomethanes]	956	1162
Vinyl Chloride	2.75	3.34

### Screening Calculations for Total Dissolved Solids, Chloride, and Sulfate Menu 4 - Discharge to a Lake

Applicant Name:	Leprino Foods						
Permit Number, Outfall:	New						
Segment Number:	1241A						
Enter values needed for screening:		Data Source (edit if different)					
EF - Effluent fraction at edge of human health MZ	0.08 decimal	Critical conditions memo					
	fraction						
CA - TDS - ambient segment concentration	4325 mg/L	2010 IP, Appendix D					
CA - chloride - ambient segment concentration	1400 mg/L	2010 IP, Appendix D					
CA - sulfate - ambient segment concentration	1340 mg/L	2010 IP, Appendix D					
CC - TDS - segment criterion	5500 mg/L	2010 TSWQS, Appendix A					
CC - chloride - segment criterion	2630 mg/L	2010 TSWQS, Appendix A					
CC - sulfate - segment criterion	2400 mg/L	2010 TSWQS, Appendix A					
CE - TDS - average effluent concentration	6000 mg/L	Permit application					
CE - chloride - average effluent concentration	4000 mg/L	Permit application					
CE - sulfate - average effluent concentration	4000 mg/L	Permit application					

### Screening Equation

 $CC \ge (EF)(CE)+(1-EF)(CA)$ 

Preliminary Calculations	Effluent	Load	New	% Change	% Change
	Load	in Lake	Concentration	in	in Assim.
Parameter	(EF)(CE)	(1-EF)(CA)	Equation 3	Ambient	Capacity
TDS	480	3979	4459.00	3.1	11.4
Chloride	320	1288	1608.00	14.9	16.9
Sulfate	320	1232.8	1552.80	15.9	20.1

### Permit Limit Calculations

TDS						
Calculate the WLA	WLA= [CC -	(1-EF)(CA)]	/EF	19012.50		
Calculate the LTA	LTA = WLA	* 0.93		17681.63		
Calculate the daily average	Daily Avg. =	LTA * 1.47		25991.99		
Calculate the daily maximum	Daily Max.	54989.85				
Calculate 70% of the daily average	70% of Dail	18194.39				
Calculate 85% of the daily average	85% of Dail	22093.19				
No permit limitations needed if:	6000	≤	18194.39			
Reporting needed if:	6000	>	18194.39	but ≤	22093.19	
Permit limits may be needed if:	6000	>	22093.19			

### No permit limitations needed for TDS

Chloride					
Calculate the WLA	WLA= [CC - (	16775.00			
Calculate the LTA	LTA = WLA *	0.93		15600.75	
Calculate the daily average	Daily Avg. = I	LTA * 1.47	,	22933.10	
Calculate the daily maximum	Daily Max. =	48518.33			
Calculate 70% of the daily average	70% of Daily	16053.17			
Calculate 85% of the daily average	85% of Daily	19493.14			
No permit limitations needed if:	4000	≤	16053.17		
Reporting needed if:	4000	>	16053.17	but ≤	19493.14
Permit limits may be needed if:	4000	>	19493.14		

### No permit limitations needed for chloride

Sulfate					
Calculate the WLA	WLA= [CC -	(1-EF)(CA)	]/EF	14590.00	
Calculate the LTA	LTA = WLA	* 0.93		13568.70	
Calculate the daily average	Daily Avg. =	LTA * 1.47	7	19945.99	
Calculate the daily maximum	Daily Max.	42198.66			
Calculate 70% of the daily average	70% of Dail	13962.19			
Calculate 85% of the daily average	85% of Dail	y Avg. =	16954.09		
No permit limitations needed if:	4000	≤	13962.19		
Reporting needed if:	4000	but ≤	16954.09		
Permit limits may be needed if:	4000	>	16954.09		

No permit limitations needed for sulfate

# LEPRINO\_000366

#### LEPRINO FOODS COMPANY LUBBOCK WASTEWATER TREATMENT PLANT DETAILED PROCESS PRODUCTION ANALYSIS FOR FINAL EFFLUENT LIMITS ESTIMATION - 8.911 MPPD OF MILK

FEDERAL FACTORS USED				
FATS	0.89			
PROTEIN	1.031			
CARBOHYDRATES	0.691			

	RAW MATERIAL	FAT %	PROTEIN %	CARBOHYDRATES %	RAW MATERIAL QUANTITY PROCESSED (Ibs)	PRODUCT PRODUCED	BOD <sub>s</sub> Input Factor (Ibs of BOD <sub>s</sub> /100 Ibs of Raw Material Processed	BOD <sub>S</sub> Input (Ibs of BOD <sub>S</sub> / day)	Federal Effluent Standards (40 CFR 405.65/405.125) (lbs/100 lbs of BOD <sub>2</sub> )			Discharge L (Ibs/day	imit )			
F									Mont	hly Average TSS	BOD	aily Max TSS	Mont	thly Average	Daily M	lax TSS
									8005	133	8005	135	8005	133	BODS	133
	MILK	4.2	3.25	4.9	8,911,000	SKIM, CREAM	10.47	933,396	0.037	0.046	0.074	0.093	345	429	691	868
	P2	6	30	15	95,409	CHEESE	46.64	44,494	0.008	0.01	0.016	0.02	4	4	7	9
	GRD	20.5	21	4	79,507	CHEESE	42.66	33,918	0.008	0.01	0.016	0.02	3	3	5	7
	SKIM	1	3.25	4.9	6,161,453	CHEESE	7.63	469,912	0.008	0.01	0.016	0.02	38	47	75	94
	SKIM	1	3.25	4.9	2,069,803	MILK UF CONCENTRATED SKIM, MILK UF PERMEATE, CHEESE, CHEESE LIQUID WHEY	7.63	157,857	0.037	0.046	0.074	0.093	58	73	117	147
	CREAM	42	3.8	2.85	580,102	CHEESE, CHEESE LIQUID WHEY	43.27	250,993	0.008	0.01	0.016	0.02	20	25	40	50
	CREAM	42	3.8	2.85	176,145	PRODUCT PRODUCED										
	MILK UF CONCENTRATED SKIM	3	9.75	4.9	689,934	CHEESE, CHEESE LIQUID WHEY	16.11	111,136	0.008	0.01	0.016	0.02	9	11	18	22
	MILK UF PERMEATE	0	0.013	4.9	1,380,091	UF PERM RO CONC MILK PERMEATE LACTOSE, WASTEWATER	3.40	46,913	0.011	0.014	0.022	0.028	5	7	10	13
	CHEESE	22.7	21	4	1,716,741	PRODUCT PRODUCED										
	CHEESE LIQUID WHEY	0.35	1.044	5.1	7,721,382	WHEY RETENTATE, PERMEATE	4.91	379,271	0.011	0.014	0.022	0.028	42	53	83	106
	WHEY RETENTATE	0.51	8.3	3.94	507,727	WPC, PERMEATE	11.73	59,575	0.011	0.014	0.022	0.028	7	8	13	17
	WPC	0.94	15.24	2.63	275,938	WPC POWDER	18.37	50,680	0.011	0.014	0.022	0.028	6	7	11	14
	UF PERM RO CONC MILK PERMEATE LACTOSE	0	0.04	15.73	411,911	CONDENSED PERMEATE, WATER	10.91	44,942	0.011	0.014	0.022	0.028	5	6	10	13
	PERMEATE	0	2.31	12.1	2,264,881	CONDENSED PERMEATE, WATER	10.74	243,310	0.011	0.014	0.022	0.028	27	34	54	68
	CONDENSED PERMEATE	0	2.19	52.86	756,684	PERMEATE POWDER	38.78	293,474	0.011	0.014	0.022	0.028	32	41	65	82
1	PERMEATE POWDER	0	2.19	8185	488,691	PRODUCT PRODUCED										
	WPC POWDER	4.61	82.35	10.53	56,394	PRODUCT PRODUCED										
-			ļ	<u> </u>						та	otal	<u> </u>	600	750	1199	1510

EFFLUENT LIMITS IN CONCENTRATION FOR ULTIMATE BUILDOUT					
	Average Flowrate (MGD) Max Flow rate (MGD)				
	2.3	1	2.94		
	Monthly Average	Daily Max	Monthly Average	Daily Max	
BOD <sub>s</sub> (mg/L)	31.12	62	24	49	
TSS (mg/L)	38.91	78	31	62	
pH s.u		6.5-9 s.u			

# ATTACHMENT P. WASTEWATER TREATMENT PLANT DETAILED PROCESS DESCRIPTION

# LEPRINO\_000368



Technology-Driven Wastewater Solutions. Your Partner. Today and Tomorrow.



www.probstgroup.com info@probstgroup.com



info@probstgroup.com 17035 W. Wisconsin Ave., Suite 120 Brookfield, WI 53005



- To: Hannah Bradish
- From: Chris Lewis
- cc: Kelly Hawkins, Joseph Herrud, James Frazier, Tim Sprengel, Srinath Devaraj
- Date: Monday, July 18, 2022
- Re: Detailed Process Description for Project Armadillo

Hannah:

We have prepared the following process description to assist with your wastewater permit application.

To treat the process wastewater from the Leprino production facility for discharge to surface water, the proposed new wastewater treatment plant (WWTP) will use a combination of anaerobic and aerobic activated sludge systems to treat all high strength wastewater (HSW) and low strength wastewater (LSW) generated in production. This technology was selected to reduce energy and sludge handling costs as compared to other treatment options evaluated.

The treatment process utilizes a HSW divert system at the influent lift station. The divert system segregates the flow into HSW stream and low-strength waste stream. Due to this aspect of the process design, not all process wastewater from production travels through the anaerobic unit processes. A feature specific to this application is that each area of production (raw milk, cheese processing, nutrition) will have a dedicated divert lift station. This will allow a higher degree of control over divert because one area might have concentrated loading while another has clean water going down the drain. It will also allow loss tracking and monitoring from each department.

Brine will be kept separate from LSW and HSW streams in production and have a dedicated lift station to send brine to two evaporation lagoons, each with 9.25 acres of surface area. Water will be allowed to evaporate while dissolved solids are deposited on the bottom of the lagoon. Due to their large size, solids collection from the brine lagoon will not be required for several years. The lagoons will also be equipped with splashers to improve evaporation efficiency.



## The Probst Group I Brookfield, WI Detailed WWTP Process Description – Monday, July 18, 2022



HSW and LSW sent to the WWTP each flow through dedicated influent strainer systems before entering the treatment process. The LSW is strained via two rotary screen drums then allowed to drain directly to the equalization tanks. Diverted HSW flows through an automatic in-line strainer to the HSW equalization tanks. Influent straining is important because it protects downstream process (i.e., slot mix aeration, membranes) from issues with solids such as clogging. It can also remove some particulate organic loading in a relatively simple process.

The design concept for the high-strength equalization tanks is to serve as a place to balance the flow and characteristics of the wastewater prior to sending to downstream treatment processes (in this case the anaerobic reactors). The two equalization tanks can also be operated in a series mode of operation, with second HSW equalization tank acting as a preacidification tank. When operating in this mode, the pre-acidification tank will be used to acidify raw high-strength wastewater to make it easier for digestion.

This system is designed with two anaerobic digester tanks. The primary design concept for anaerobic digestion is to send as much load and as little flow to the digesters as possible. The digesters perform best with a concentrated waste stream. By digesting as much COD in the digester as possible, it creates the most biogas and uses much less energy than all aerobic treatment systems. In preliminary design calculations it was estimated that on average 35,000 lbs/day of COD will be sent to the anaerobic treatment system, which is 70% of total influent COD digested by the anaerobic digesters.

The digester covers will be kept at a slight vacuum, as biogas is extracted from the headspace via a pair of hermetically sealed fans. Recovered biogas will travel through a complete biogas handling system consisting of condensate traps, drip traps, manometers, flame traps, pressure regulating valves, isolation valves, flame checks, and an automatic waste gas burner system. To account for potentially toxic fumes, the design includes a gas scrubber and monitoring system for control of H2S. The biogas generated from anaerobic digestion possesses energy value and will be sent to the boiler/heat exchangers to heat the anaerobic reactor recirculation lines. Excess biogas will simply be flared off.

Tubular crossflow ultrafiltration (UF) membranes will be used to perform solid/liquid separation (SLS) on anaerobic mixed liquor suspended solids (MLSS) from the digesters. The UF membranes will serve as a physical barrier to separate the bacteria cell mass from the stream. This will eliminate the risk of sending wastewater with a high suspended solids concentration to aerobic treatment. The final removal of the biosolids from the treated effluent eliminates the nutrients associated with the bacteria cell mass. The effluent from the anaerobic reactor (membrane permeate) will be sent to the aerobic treatment system for further processing.

The low strength process wastewater and anaerobic effluent will be treated through the activated sludge aerobic treatment process. This aerobic treatment system is designed with two equalization tanks, an anoxic selector tank, a sludge thickening tank, a fermentation tank, two aeration basins, and UF membrane solid-liquid separator. Influent LSW and anaerobic permeate combine in the equalization tanks to balance the flow and characteristics of wastewater sent to





the aerobic treatment processes. Wastewater from the equalization tanks is pumped into the anoxic selector tank.

The biological anoxic selector is designed to remove nitrate (NO3-N) concentration, aid in promoting floc forming bacteria for better settling and, when operated under anaerobic conditions, promote enhanced biological phosphorus removal. The anoxic selector is sized so that nitrate is completely removed in the anoxic basin and organic substrate is completely uptaken. Oxygen reduction potential (ORP) is controlled in the tank to maintain an anoxic environment. ORP control regulates the amount of RAS (return activated sludge) or MLSS, which have residual dissolve oxygen (DO) to maintain an anoxic environment with the raw wastewater. In normal operation the selector tank overflows via gravity into the aeration basins.

The aerobic MBR treatment technology was selected to provide a high amount of certainty related to effluent quality. The aeration basins are mixed and aerated via a slot aeration mixing system, fed by two dedicated blowers for each basin. Maintaining an adequate DO concentration in the basins allows for the biological destruction of COD in the wastewater. The aeration basins are designed with the ability to operate in either parallel or series modes of operation, providing operational flexibility. The aeration basins will also be provided with two surface aerators per basin, mounted on three-post mooring stands. The surface aerators serve three purposes: temperature control, foam control, and supplemental dissolved oxygen.

Waste activated sludge (WAS) from the aerobic process will be thickened in the sludge thickening tank, then sent to the fermentation tank to improve biological phosphorus removal or wasted to the anaerobic treatment process for digestion. The goal of the fermentation process is to boost the production of volatile fatty acids (VFAs). By introducing VFAs back into the waste stream, it supercharges the phosphorous accumulation of the micro-organisms, significantly increasing the % of TP they will uptake, and therefore reducing the reliance on chemical phosphorous removal. Waste anaerobic sludge (WANS) from the anaerobic MBR will be sent to the sludge storage tank before being mechanically dewatered and hauled off site for disposal.

Hybrid flat sheet / hollow-fiber UF membranes will be used to perform solid/liquid separation (SLS) on aerobic MLSS. The membrane will serve as a physical barrier to separate the bacteria cell mass from the stream. Permeate from the aerobic UF membranes will be sent through final ultraviolet (UV) light disinfection process to a post aeration tank which provides supplemental oxygen to the disinfected effluent. Disinfected effluent is pumped from the post aeration tank to the city's force main connection, which carries water directly to Lake #6 for discharge. An automatic sampler will collect flow proportional samples of effluent to ensure compliance with the permit is being maintained.

At the south end of the property there will be a valve manhole that can control whether effluent goes to direct discharge into Lake #6 or if it goes to the City of Lubbock, which would only happen in an emergency. In the event of an upset condition, rather than going to the City of Lubbock and paying the financial penalty, water could temporarily be sent to the





10.5-million-gallon non-compliant lagoon. This volume allows for non-compliant effluent to be contained for seven days operating at full capacity. The plant will also be equipped with an aerated 1.5-million-gallon calamity lagoon to serve as calamity storage should any process units need to be temporarily taken out of service.

Chemical dosing systems necessary for pH adjustment, phosphorous removal, coagulation, and other process controls are included in the design of the WWTP. The list of chemicals to be used on site include citric acid, sanitizer, nitric acid, membrane soak solution, SBS, ferric, micronutrients, and acid. Ferric, caustic, and SBS will be stored in 5,500-gallon bulk tanks. All other chemicals will be kept in totes or drums, with containment skids acting as secondary containment to prevent any spills from reaching the floor drains. The three bulk tanks will each be equipped with secondary containment, leak detection, level sensors, fill catches, and alarm relays.



Additionally, attach a copy of all tests performed which have not been submitted to the TCEQ or EPA.

## Attachment: <u>N/A</u>

# 10. OFF-SITE/THIRD PARTY WASTES (Instructions, Page 45)

a. Does or will the facility receive wastes from off-site sources for treatment at the facility, disposal on-site via land application, or discharge via a permitted outfall?

🗆 Yes 🖾 No

If **yes**, provide responses to Items 10.b through 10.d below.

If **no**, proceed to Item 11.

- b. Attach the following information to the application:
  - List of wastes received (including volumes, characterization, and capability with on-site wastes).
  - Identify the sources of wastes received (including the legal name and addresses of the generators).
  - Description of the relationship of waste source(s) with the facility's activities.

## Attachment: See end of this section.

- c. Is or will wastewater from another TCEQ, NPDES, or TPDES permitted facility commingled with this facility's wastewater after final treatment and prior to discharge via the final outfall/point of disposal?
  - 🗆 Yes 🛛 No

If **yes**, provide the name, address, and TCEQ, NPDES, or TPDES permit number of the contributing facility and a copy of any agreements or contracts relating to this activity.

## Attachment:

- d. Is this facility a POTW that accepts/will accept process wastewater from any SIU and has/is required to have an approved pretreatment program under the NPDES/TPDES program?
  - 🗆 Yes 🛛 No

If yes, Worksheet 6.0 of this application is required.

# 11. RADIOACTIVE MATERIALS (Instructions, Pages 46)

- a. Are/will radioactive materials be mined, used, stored, or processed at this facility?
  - 🗆 Yes 🖾 No

If **yes**, use the following table to provide the results of one analysis of the effluent for all radioactive materials that may be present. Provide results in pCi/L.

### Radioactive Materials Mined, Used, Stored, or Processed

Radioactive Material	Concentration (pCi/L)
N/A	N/A

- b. Does the applicant or anyone at the facility have any knowledge or reason to believe that radioactive materials may be present in the discharge, including naturally occurring radioactive materials in the source waters or on the facility property?
  - 🗆 Yes 🖾 No

If **yes**, use the following table to provide the results of one analysis of the effluent for all radioactive materials that may be present. Provide results in pCi/L. Do not include information provided in response to Item 11.a.

### **Radioactive Materials Present in the Discharge**

Radioactive Material	Concentration (pCi/L)
N/A	N/A

## 12. COOLING WATER (Instructions, Pages 46-47)

- a. Does the facility use or propose to use water for cooling purposes?
  - $\boxtimes$  Yes  $\square$  No

If **no**, stop here. If **yes**, complete Items 12.b thru 12.f.

- b. Cooling water is/will be obtained from a groundwater source (e.g., on-site well).
  - 🗆 Yes 🖾 No

If **yes**, stop here. If **no**, continue.

c. Cooling Water Supplier

i. Provide the name of the owner(s) and operator(s) for the CWIS that supplies or will supply water for cooling purposes to the facility.

### Cooling Water Intake Structure(s) Owner(s) and Operator(s)

CWIS ID	LUBBOCK PUBLIC WATER SYSTEM		
Owner	City of Lubbock		
Operator	City of Lubbock		

ii. Cooling water is/will be obtained from a Public Water Supplier (PWS)

 $\boxtimes$  Yes  $\square$  No

If no, continue. If yes, provide the PWS Registration No. and stop here: PWS No. 1520002

- iii. Cooling water is/will be obtained from a reclaimed water source?
  - 🗆 Yes 🗆 No

If **no**, continue. If **yes**, provide the Reuse Authorization No. and stop here: <u>N/A</u>

Page 13 of 81

iv. Cooling water is/will be obtained from an Independent Supplier

🗆 Yes 🗆 No

If **yes**, provide the actual intake flow of the Independent Supplier's CWIS that is/will be used to provide water for cooling purposes to the facility and proceed: N/A

If **no**, proceed to Item 12.d.

d. 316(b) General Criteria

i. The CWIS(s) used to provide water for cooling purposes to the facility has or will have a cumulative design intake flow of 2 MGD or greater.

 $\Box$  Yes  $\Box$  No

ii. At least 25% of the total water withdrawn by the CWIS is/will be used at the facility exclusively for cooling purposes on an annual average basis.

□ Yes □ No

iii. The CWIS(s) withdraw(s)/propose(s) to withdraw water for cooling purposes from surface waters that meet the definition of Waters of the United States in *40 CFR § 122.2*.

🗆 Yes 🗆 No

If **no**, provide an explanation of how the waterbody does not meet the definition of Waters of the United States in *40 CFR § 122.2*:

If **yes** to all three questions in Item 12.d, the facility **meets** the minimum criteria to be subject to the full requirements of Section 316(b) of the CWA. Proceed to **Item 12.f**.

If **no** to any of the questions in Item 12.d, the facility **does not meet** the minimum criteria to be subject to the full requirements of Section 316(b) of the CWA; however, a determination is required based upon BPJ. Proceed to **Item 12.e**.

e. The facility does not meet the minimum requirements to be subject to the fill requirements of Section 316(b) **and uses/proposes to use cooling towers**.

🗆 Yes 🗆 No

If **yes**, stop here. If **no**, complete Worksheet 11.0, Items 1(a), 1(b)(i-iii) and (vi), 2(b)(i), and 3(a) to allow for a determination based upon BPJ.

- f. Oil and Gas Exploration and Production
- i. The facility is subject to requirements at 40 CFR Part 435, Subparts A or D.

□ Yes □ No

If yes, continue. If no, skip to Item 12.g.

ii. The facility is an existing facility as defined at 40 CFR § 125.92(k) or a new unit at an existing facility as defined at 40 CFR § 125.92(u).

🗆 Yes 🗆 No

If **yes**, complete Worksheet 11.0, Items 1(a), 1(b)(i-iii) and (vi), 2(b)(i), and 3(a) to allow for a determination based upon BPJ. If **no**, skip to Item 12.g.iii.

- g. Compliance Phase and Track Selection
- i. Phase I New facility subject to 40 CFR Part 125, Subpart I

🗆 Yes 🗆 No

If **yes**, check the box next to the facility's compliance track selection, attach the requested information, and complete Worksheet 11.0, Items 2 and 3, and Worksheet 11.2.

- Track I AIF greater than 2 MGD, but less than 10 MGD
  - Attach information required by *40 CFR §§ 125.86(b)(2)-(4)*.
- $\Box$  Track I AIF greater than 10 MGD
  - Attach information required by 40 CFR § 125.86(b).
- □ Track II
  - Attach information required by 40 CFR § 125.86(c).
- Attachment:
- ii. Phase II Existing facility subject to 40 CFR Part 125, Subpart J
  - □ Yes □ No

If **yes**, complete Worksheets 11.0 through 11.3, as applicable.

iii. Phase III – New facility subject to 40 CFR Part 125, Subpart N

□ Yes □ No

If **yes**, check the box next to the facility's compliance track selection and provide the requested information.

- □ Track I Fixed facility
  - Attach information required by 40 CFR § 125.136(b) and complete Worksheet 11.0, Items 2 and 3, and Worksheet 11.2.
- □ Track I Not a fixed facility
  - Attach information required by 40 CFR § 125.136(b) and complete Worksheet 11.0, Item 2 (except the CWIS latitude and longitude under Item 2.a).

 $\Box$  Track II – Fixed facility

• Attach information required by 40 CFR § 125.136(c) and complete Worksheet 11.0, Items 2 and 3.

<b>Attachment:</b>	
Attachment:	

**NOTE:** Item 13 is required only for existing permitted facilities.

## 13. PERMIT CHANGE REQUESTS (Instructions, Pages 49-50)

a. Is the facility requesting a **major amendment** of an existing permit?

🗆 Yes 🛛 No

If **yes**, list each request individually and provide the following information: 1) detailed information regarding the scope of each request and 2) a justification for each request. Attach any supplemental information or additional data to support each request.

b. Is the facility requesting any **minor amendments** to the permit?

🗆 Yes 🖂 No

If **yes**, list and discuss the requested changes.

<u>N/A</u>

N/A

c. Is the facility requesting any **minor modifications** to the permit?

🗆 Yes 🖾 No

If **yes**, list and discuss the requested changes.

<u>N/A</u>

## Attachment for 10b.

- List of wastes received (including volumes, characterization, and capability with on-site wastes). Wastewater identical in quality to the on-site generated wastewater including, process wastewater and high TDS water, will be received. Volumes are unknown, but the facility will only accept volumes that are allowed by the current permit limits to be maintained.
- Identify the sources of wastes received (including the legal name and addresses of the generators). Legal Name: Leprino Foods Company
  Addresses: All US operations
- Description of the relationship of waste source(s) with the facility's activities. Waste source will be from processes at other Leprino sites in the U.S.