

40 CFR 63 Subpart DD - Rule Interpretation Memos

- ! [Typographical error in 63.683\(c\)\(1\)\(ii\), omission 500 ppmw](#) [March 23, 2000]
- ! [Typographical error in 63.693\(f\)\(1\)\(i\)\(B\), omission 20 ppmw](#) [March 23, 2000]
- ! [Typographical error in 63.693\(f\)\(1\)\(ii\)\(B\), omission 20 ppmw](#) [March 23, 2000]

Last Modified: March 23, 2000

Air Rule Interpretation Summary Form

Code Number	63DD.001
-------------	----------

Typographical error in 63.683(c)(1)(i), omission of 500 ppmw.	March 23, 2000
---	----------------

Rule/Regulation Citation(s):	Federal Rule: <u>X</u> State Regulation: <u> </u>
	Description:
40 C.F.R. Part 63, Subpart DD, § 63.683(c)(1)(ii)	National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants from Off-Site Waste and Recovery Operations
Interpretation Request:	
<p>In the July 20, 1999 Federal Register [64 Fed. Reg. 38,967] § 63.683(c)(1)(ii) states: “[t]he average VOHAP [Volatile organic hazardous air pollutant] concentration of the off-site material is less than ppmw [parts per million by weight] at the point-of-delivery.” Apparently a typographical error exists in 64 Fed. Reg. 38,967 § 63.683(c)(1)(ii), since the actual VOHAP concentration value in ppmw is not included in the sentence. Is the correct VOHAP concentration value 500 ppmw per Title 40 Code of Federal Regulations (40 C.F.R.) § 63.683(b)(2)(ii) [revised as of July 1, 1999]?</p>	
Determination:	
<p>The omission of the actual VOHAP concentration in 64 Fed. Reg. 38,967 § 63.683(c)(1)(ii) is a typographical error. The correct VOHAP concentration is 500 ppmw. Therefore, 64 Fed. Reg. 38,967 § 63.683(c)(1)(ii), should read: “[t]he average VOHAP concentration of the off-site material is less than 500 ppmw at the point-of-delivery.”</p>	

Bibliography:

40 C.F.R. Part 63, Subpart DD. [Revised as of July 1, 1999]

64 Fed. Reg. 38,967 (1999). [July 20, 1999]

64 Fed. Reg. 38,993 (1999). [July 20, 1999]

Please note, in the event that an external customer feels that this rule interpretation is in error or a source of information has been overlooked which would change the determination, a request for reconsideration may be submitted. Requests must be submitted on a Reconsideration Process Form which is available at the TNRCC’s homepage: <http://www.tnrcc.state.tx.us/air/opd/rimhmpg.htm>, or from any of the air rule interpretation team members.

Air Rule Interpretation Summary Form

Code Number	63DD.002
-------------	----------

Typographical error in 63.693(f)(1)(i)(B), omission of 20 ppmv.	March 23, 2000
---	----------------

Rule/Regulation Citation(s):	Federal Rule: <u>X</u> State Regulation: <u> </u>
	Description:
40 C.F.R. Part 63, Subpart DD, § 63.693(f)(1)(i)(B)	National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants from Off-Site Waste and Recovery Operations
Interpretation Request:	
<p>In the July 20, 1999 Federal Register [64 Fed. Reg. 38,972] § 63.693(f)(1)(i)(B) states: “[t]he TOC [total organic compounds], less methane and ethane, of less than or equal to ppmv [parts per million by volume] on a dry basis corrected to 3 percent oxygen.” Apparently a typographical error exists in 64 Fed. Reg. 38,972 § 63.693(f)(1)(i)(B), since the actual TOC outlet concentration value is not specified. Is the correct TOC outlet concentration value 20 ppmv per Title 40 Code of Federal Regulations (C.F.R.) § 63.693(f)(1)(i)(B) [revised as of July 1, 1999]?</p>	
Determination:	
<p>The omission of the actual TOC outlet concentration in 64 Fed. Reg. 38,972 § 63.693(f)(1)(i)(B) is a typographical error. The correct TOC outlet concentration is 20 ppmv. Therefore, 64 Fed. Reg. 38,972 § 63.693(f)(1)(i)(B), should read: “[t]he TOC, less methane and ethane, of less than or equal to 20 ppmv on a dry basis corrected to 3 percent oxygen.”</p>	

Bibliography:

40 C.F.R. Part 63, Subpart DD. [Revised as of July 1, 1999]

64 Fed. Reg. 38,972 (1999). [July 20, 1999]

64 Fed. Reg. 38,993 (1999). [July 20, 1999]

Please note, in the event that an external customer feels that this rule interpretation is in error or a source of information has been overlooked which would change the determination, a request for reconsideration may be submitted. Requests must be submitted on a Reconsideration Process Form which is available at the TNRCC’s homepage: <http://www.tnrcc.state.tx.us/air/opd/rimhmpg.htm>, or from any of the air rule interpretation team members.

Air Rule Interpretation Summary Form

Code Number	63DD.003
-------------	----------

Typographical error in 63.693(f)(1)(ii)(B), omission of 20 ppmv.	March 22, 2000
--	----------------

Rule/Regulation Citation(s):	Federal Rule: <u>X</u> State Regulation: <u> </u>
	Description:
40 C.F.R. Part 63, Subpart DD, § 63.693(f)(1)(ii)(B)	National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants from Off-Site Waste and Recovery Operations
Interpretation Request:	
<p>In the July 20, 1999 Federal Register [64 Fed. Reg. 38,972] § 63.693(f)(1)(ii)(B) states: “[t]he HAP [hazardous air pollutants], listed in Table 1 of this subpart, of less than or equal to ppmv [parts per million by volume] on a dry basis corrected to 3 percent oxygen.” Apparently a typographical error exists in 64 Fed. Reg. 38,972 § 63.693(f)(1)(ii)(B), since the actual HAP outlet concentration value is not specified. Is the correct HAP outlet concentration value 20 ppmv per Title 40 Code of Federal Regulations (C.F.R.) § 63.693(f)(1)(ii)(B) [revised as of July 1, 1999]?</p>	
Determination:	
<p>The omission of the actual HAP outlet concentration in 64 Fed. Reg. 38,972 § 63.693(f)(1)(ii)(B) is a typographical error. The correct HAP outlet concentration is 20 ppmv. Therefore, 64 Fed. Reg. 38,972 § 63.693(f)(1)(ii)(B), should read: “[t]he HAP, listed in Table 1 of this subpart, of less than or equal to 20 ppmv on a dry basis corrected to 3 percent oxygen.”</p>	

Bibliography:

40 C.F.R. Part 63, Subpart DD. [Revised as of July 1, 1999]

64 Fed. Reg. 38,972 (1999). [July 20, 1999]

64 Fed. Reg. 38,993 (1999). [July 20, 1999]

Please note, in the event that an external customer feels that this rule interpretation is in error or a source of information has been overlooked which would change the determination, a request for reconsideration may be submitted. Requests must be submitted on a Reconsideration Process Form which is available at the TNRCC’s homepage: <http://www.tnrcc.state.tx.us/air/opd/rimhmpg.htm>, or from any of the air rule interpretation team members.