40 CFR 63 Subpart T - Rule Interpretation Memos

- ! Determination of freeboard ratio for units with the top narrower than the air/liquid or air/vapor interface. [March 1, 1999]
- ! Typographical error in 63.463(h), references 63.463(h)(4) which doesn't exist. [October 26, 2000]
- ! Typographical error in 63.467(a)(6), references testing requirements in 63.466(f) which doesn't exist [November 1, 2000]

Last Modified: November 1, 2000

Air Rule Interpretation Summary Form

Code Number	63T.001

Rule/Regulation Citation(s):	Federal Rule: X State Regulation: X Description:
40 C.F.R. Part 63, Subpart T	Subpart T: National Emission Standards for Halogenated Solvent Cleaning

Interpretation Request:

How is the freeboard ratio determined for units in which the top is narrower than the air/liquid or air/vapor interface?

Determination:

The freeboard ratio is the ratio of the solvent cleaning machine freeboard height, which is the distance from the top of the solvent cleaning machine to the solvent/air interface, to the smaller interior dimension (length, width, or diameter) at the solvent/air interface of the solvent cleaning machine. For a vapor cleaning machine the solvent/air interface would be the mid-line height of the primary condenser coils. For a cold cleaning machine the solvent/air interface is the contact between the liquid solvent and the air.

Bibliography:

Anthony J. Buonicore and Wayne T. Davis, *Air Pollution Engineering Manual*, (Van Nostrand Reinhold, 1992).

- U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Air Quality Planning and Standards Guidelines; *Control of Volatile Organic Emissions From Solvent Metal Cleaning*, EPA-450/2-77-022, November 1977.
- U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Air Quality Planning and Standards; *Guidance Document* for the Halogenated Solvent Cleaner NESHAP, EPA-453/R-94-081.
- 58 Fed. Reg. 62,566 (1993) [November 29, 1993]
- 59 Fed. Reg. 61,801 (1994) [December 2, 1994]
- U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Air Quality Planning and Standards: *National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants: Halogenated Solvent Cleaning Background Information for Final Standards*, EPA-453/R-94-071, November 8, 1994.
- U.S. Environmental Protection Agency's Applicability Determination Index System, Determination Number: M970019, Title: *Freeboard Ratio-Measurement Location*.
- 30 Tex. Admin. Code, Chapter 113 (1998) [October 29, 1998]



Please note, in the event that an external customer feels that this rule interpretation is in error or a source of information has been overlooked which would change the determination, a request for reconsideration may be submitted. Requests must be submitted on a Reconsideration Process Form which is available at the TNRCC's homepage: http://www.tnrcc.state.tx.us/air/opd/rimhmpg.htm, or from any of the air rule interpretation team members.

[AOPDG95A/10748-v2]

Air Rule Interpretation Summary Form

Code Number	63T.002

Typographical error in 63.463(h), references O 63.463(h)(4) which doesn't exist.	October 26, 2000
--	------------------

Rule/Regulation Citation(s):	Federal Rule: X State Regulation: Description:
40 C.F.R. Part 63, Subpart T § 63.463(h)	National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants: Halogenated Solvent Cleaning

Interpretation Request:

Title 40 Code of Federal Regulation (C.F.R.) § 63.463(h) requires all remote reservoir continuous web cleaning machines to comply with 40 C.F.R. § 63.463(h)(1) through (4). Title 40 C.F.R. § 63.463(h)(4) does not exist. Should the reference in 40 C.F.R. § 63.463(h) instead be to 40 C.F.R. § 63.463(h)(1) through (3)?

Determination:

The reference to 40 C.F.R. § 63.463(h)(4) is a typographical error. The correct reference in 40 C.F.R. § 63.463(h) should be 40 C.F.R. § 63.463(h)(1) through (3). Therefore, 40 C.F.R. § 63.463(h) should read as follows: "Except as provided in § 63.464, each owner or operator of a remote reservoir continuous web cleaning machine shall comply with paragraphs (h)(1) through (3) of this section." Please note that these amendments were published in the *Federal Register* on December 3, 1999 and do not appear in the July 1, 1999 version of the Code of Federal Regulations.

Bibliography:

64 Fed. Reg. 67,801 (1999). (Dec. 3, 1999)

65 Fed. Reg. 54,419 (2000). (Sep. 8, 2000)

Title 40 C.F.R. Part 63, Subpart T (1999). (July 1, 1999)

Air Rule Interpretation Summary Form

	Code Number	63T.003
--	-------------	---------

Typographical error in 63.467(a)(6), references testing requirement in 63.466(f) which doesn't	November 1, 2000
exist	

Rule/Regulation Citation(s):	Federal Rule: X State Regulation: Description:
40 C.F.R. Part 63, Subpart T § 63.467(a)(6)	National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants: Halogenated Solvent Cleaning

Interpretation Request:

Title 40 Code of Federal Regulation (C.F.R.) § 63.467(a)(6) requires owners or operators of batch vapor or in-line solvent cleaning machines that use a squeegee system to maintain records of the test required by 40 C.F.R. § 63.466(f). The test is a determination of the maximum product throughput for the squeegee. However, 40 C.F.R. § 63.466(f) is a monitoring procedure. Is this a typographical error? Should the reference in 40 C.F.R. § 63.467(a)(6) instead be to 40 C.F.R. § 63.465(f)?

Determination:

The reference to 40 C.F.R. § 63.466(f) in 40 C.F.R. § 63.467(a)(6) is a typographical error. The correct reference in 40 C.F.R. § 63.467(a)(6) should be 40 C.F.R. § 63.465(f). Therefore, 40 C.F.R. § 63.467(a)(6) should read as follows: "If a squeegee system is used to comply with these standards, records of the test required by § 63.465(f) to determine the maximum product throughput for the squeegee." Please note that these amendments were published in the *Federal Register* on December 3, 1999 and do not appear in the July 1, 1999 version of the Code of Federal Regulations.

Bibliography:

64 Fed. Reg. 67,802 (1999). (Dec. 3, 1999)

65 Fed. Reg. 54,419 (2000). (Sep. 8, 2000)

Title 40 C.F.R. Part 63, Subpart T (1999). (July 1, 1999)

Please note, in the event that an external customer feels that this rule interpretation is in error or a source of information has been overlooked which would change the determination, a request for reconsideration may be submitted. Requests must be submitted on a Reconsideration Process Form which is available at the TNRCC's homepage: http://www.tnrcc.state.tx.us/air/opd/rimhmpg.htm, or from any of the air rule interpretation team members.