# Gateway 29, Lots 9 & 10 WATER POLLUTION ABATEMENT PLAN & ORGANIZED SEWAGE COLLECTION SYSTEM PLAN

TCEQ – 0584 & TCEQ – 0582

April 2024

## Gateway 29, Lots 9 & 10 WATER POLLUTION ABATEMENT PLAN & ORGANIZED SEWAGE COLLECTION SYSTEM PLAN

TCEQ - 0584 & TCEQ - 0582



April 2024

April 9, 2024

Mr. Robert Sadlier Texas Commission on Environmental Quality Region 11 12100 Park 35 Circle, Bldg. A Austin, Texas 78753

Re: Gateway 29, Lots 9 & 10 Water Pollution Abatement Plan (WPAP) & Organized Sewage Collection System Application (SCS)

Dear Mr. Sadlier:

Please find attached one (1) original & one (1) copy of the Gateway 29, Lots 9 & 10 Water Pollution Abatement Plan and Organized Sewage Collection System Application, one (1) original set of signed plans, one (1) half size set of plans, and a flash drive. The WPAP portion has been prepared in accordance with the Texas Administrative Code (30 TAC 213), and current policies for development over the Edwards Aquifer Recharge Zone. The SCS Application has been prepared to be consistent with the regulations of the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality (30 TAC 213 & 217) and current policies for development over the Edwards Aquifer Recharge Zone.

The WPAP application applies to an approximate 14-acre site as identified by the project limits. The SCS Application applies to an approximately 828 linear feet of sewer main proposed as part of this project. Please review the plan information for the items it is intended to address. If acceptable, please provide a written approval of the plan in order that construction may begin at the earliest opportunity.

Appropriate review fee (\$7,150 Total; \$6,500 WPAP; \$650 SCS) and fee application are included. If you have any questions or require additional information, please do not hesitate to contact me at your earliest convenience.

Sincerely, Pape-Dawson Engineers, Inc. Texas Board of Professional Engineers, Firm Registration # 470

Trevor Riek, P.E. Project Manager

\PAPE-DAWSON.COM\AUS-PD\PROJECTS\512\83\01\392 TCEQ\DOCUMENTS\WPAP-SCS\0 - COVER PAGE & SECTION SHEETS\WPAP-SCS APP COVER LETTER - FUZE.DOCX

## **Table of Contents**

SECTION I: EDWARDS AQUIFER APPLICATION COVER PAGE (TCEQ-20705)
SECTION II: GENERAL INFORMATION FORM (TCEQ-0587)
Attachment A: Road Map
Attachment B: USGS Quadrangle/Edwards Recharge Zone Map
Attachment C: Project Description
SECTION III: METES AND BOUNDS
SECTION IV: GEOLOGIC ASSESSMENT FORM (TCEQ-0585)
SECTION V: WATER POLLUTION ABATEMENT APPLICATION FORM (TCEQ-0584)
Attachment A: Factors Affecting Water Quality
Attachment B: Volume and Character of Stormwater
Attachment E: Site Plan
SECTION VI: ORGANIZED SEWAGE COLLECTION SYSTEM (TCEQ-0582)
Attachment A: Engineering Design Report
Attachment E: Site Plan
Attachment F: Final Plan and Profile Sheets
SECTION VII: TEMPORARY STORMWATER SECTION (TCEQ-0602)
Attachment A: Spill Response Actions
Attachment B: Potential Sources of Contamination
Attachment C: Sequence of Major Activities
Attachment D: Temporary Best Management Practices and Measures (BMP's)
Attachment F: Structural Practices
Attachment G: Drainage Area Map(s)
Attachment I: Inspection and Maintenance for BMP's
Attachment J: Schedule of Interim and Permanent Soil Stabilization Practices
SECTION VIII: PERMANENT STORMWATER SECTION (TCEQ-0600)
Attachment B: BMP's for Upgradient Stormwater
Attachment C: BMP's for On-site Stormwater
Attachment D: BMP's for Surface Streams
Attachment F: Construction Plans
Attachment G: Inspection, Maintenance, Repair and Retrofit Plan
Attachment I: Measures for Minimizing Surface Stream Contamination
SECTION IX: AGENT AUTHORIZATION FORM (TCEQ-0599)
SECTION X: APPLICATION FEE FORM (TCEQ-0574)
SECTION XI: TCEQ CORE DATA FORM (TCEQ-10400)

## **SECTION I** EDWARDS AQUIFER APPLICATION COVER PAGE (TCEQ-20705)

## Texas Commission on Environmental Quality Edwards Aquifer Application Cover Page

#### **Our Review of Your Application**

The Edwards Aquifer Program staff conducts an administrative and technical review of all applications. The turnaround time for administrative review can be up to 30 days as outlined in 30 TAC 213.4(e). Generally administrative completeness is determined during the intake meeting or within a few days of receipt. The turnaround time for technical review of an administratively complete Edwards Aquifer application is 90 days as outlined in 30 TAC 213.4(e). Please know that the review and approval time is directly impacted by the quality and completeness of the initial application that is received. In order to conduct a timely review, it is imperative that the information provided in an Edwards Aquifer application include final plans, be accurate, complete, and in compliance with <u>30 TAC 213</u>.

#### **Administrative Review**

1. <u>Edwards Aquifer applications</u> must be deemed administratively complete before a technical review can begin. To be considered administratively complete, the application must contain completed forms and attachments, provide the requested information, and meet all the site plan requirements. The submitted application and plan sheets should be final plans. Please submit one full-size set of plan sheets with the original application, and half-size sets with the additional copies.

To ensure that all applicable documents are included in the application, the program has developed tools to guide you and web pages to provide all forms, checklists, and guidance. Please visit the below website for assistance: <u>http://www.tceq.texas.gov/field/eapp</u>.

- 2. This Edwards Aquifer Application Cover Page form (certified by the applicant or agent) must be included in the application and brought to the administrative review meeting.
- 3. Administrative reviews are scheduled with program staff who will conduct the review. Applicants or their authorized agent should call the appropriate regional office, according to the county in which the project is located, to schedule a review. The average meeting time is one hour.
- 4. In the meeting, the application is examined for administrative completeness. Deficiencies will be noted by staff and emailed or faxed to the applicant and authorized agent at the end of the meeting, or shortly after. Administrative deficiencies will cause the application to be deemed incomplete and returned.

An appointment should be made to resubmit the application. The application is re-examined to ensure all deficiencies are resolved. The application will only be deemed administratively complete when all administrative deficiencies are addressed.

- 5. If an application is received by mail, courier service, or otherwise submitted without a review meeting, the administrative review will be conducted within 30 days. The applicant and agent will be contacted with the results of the administrative review. If the application is found to be administratively incomplete, it can be retrieved from the regional office or returned by regular mail. If returned by mail, the regional office may require arrangements for return shipping.
- 6. If the geologic assessment was completed before October 1, 2004 and the site contains "possibly sensitive" features, the assessment must be updated in accordance with the *Instructions to Geologists* (TCEQ-0585 Instructions).

#### **Technical Review**

- 1. When an application is deemed administratively complete, the technical review period begins. The regional office will distribute copies of the application to the identified affected city, county, and groundwater conservation district whose jurisdiction includes the subject site. These entities and the public have 30 days to provide comments on the application to the regional office. All comments received are reviewed by TCEQ.
- 2. A site assessment is usually conducted as part of the technical review, to evaluate the geologic assessment and observe existing site conditions. The site must be accessible to our staff. The site boundaries should be

clearly marked, features identified in the geologic assessment should be flagged, roadways marked and the alignment of the Sewage Collection System and manholes should be staked at the time the application is submitted. If the site is not marked the application may be returned.

- 3. We evaluate the application for technical completeness and contact the applicant and agent via Notice of Deficiency (NOD) to request additional information and identify technical deficiencies. There are two deficiency response periods available to the applicant. There are 14 days to resolve deficiencies noted in the first NOD. If a second NOD is issued, there is an additional 14 days to resolve deficiencies. If the response to the second notice is not received, is incomplete or inadequate, or provides new information that is incomplete or inadequate, the application must be withdrawn or will be denied. Please note that because the technical review is underway, whether the application is withdrawn or denied **the application fee will be forfeited**.
- 4. The program has 90 calendar days to complete the technical review of the application. If the application is technically adequate, such that it complies with the Edwards Aquifer rules, and is protective of the Edwards Aquifer during and after construction, an approval letter will be issued. Construction or other regulated activity may not begin until an approval is issued.

#### **Mid-Review Modifications**

It is important to have final site plans prior to beginning the permitting process with TCEQ to avoid delays.

Occasionally, circumstances arise where you may have significant design and/or site plan changes after your Edwards Aquifer application has been deemed administratively complete by TCEQ. This is considered a "Mid-Review Modification". Mid-Review Modifications may require redistribution of an application that includes the proposed modifications for public comment.

If you are proposing a Mid-Review Modification, two options are available:

- If the technical review has begun your application can be denied/withdrawn, your fees will be forfeited, and the plan will have to be resubmitted.
- TCEQ can continue the technical review of the application as it was submitted, and a modification application can be submitted at a later time.

If the application is denied/withdrawn, the resubmitted application will be subject to the administrative and technical review processes and will be treated as a new application. The application will be redistributed to the affected jurisdictions.

Please contact the regional office if you have questions. If your project is located in Williamson, Travis, or Hays County, contact TCEQ's Austin Regional Office at 512-339-2929. If your project is in Comal, Bexar, Medina, Uvalde, or Kinney County, contact TCEQ's San Antonio Regional Office at 210-490-3096

Please fill out all required fields below and submit with your application.

1. Regulated Entity Name: Fuze Leander				2. Re	egulat	ed Entity No.:	N/A		
3. Customer Name: Zekelman Property Leander 53, LLC			4. Cı	4. Customer No.: N/A					
5. Project Type: (Please circle/check one)	New	)	Modification Extension		Exception				
6. Plan Type: (Please circle/check one)	WPAP	CZP	SCS	UST	AST	EXP	EXT	Technical Clarification	Optional Enhanced Measures
7. Land Use: (Please circle/check one)	Residen	tial	Non-r	Non-residential 8. Site			8. Sit	e (acres):	14.00
9. Application Fee:	\$7,15	0	10. Pe	10. Permanent BMP(s):			s):	Batch Deter	ntion Pond, VFS
11. SCS (Linear Ft.):	828		12. AST/UST (No. Tanks):			nks):	N/A		
13. County:	William	son	14. Watershed:				North Fork S	San Gabriel River	

## **Application Distribution**

Instructions: Use the table below to determine the number of applications required. One original and one copy of the application, plus additional copies (as needed) for each affected incorporated city, county, and groundwater conservation district are required. Linear projects or large projects, which cross into multiple jurisdictions, can require additional copies. Refer to the "Texas Groundwater Conservation Districts within the EAPP Boundaries" map found at:

http://www.tceq.texas.gov/assets/public/compliance/field\_ops/eapp/EAPP%20GWCD%20map.pdf

For more detailed boundaries, please contact the conservation district directly.

Austin Region					
County:	Hays	Travis	Williamson		
Original (1 req.)			_		
Region (1 req.)					
County(ies)		_			
Groundwater Conservation District(s)	Edwards Aquifer Authority Barton Springs/ Edwards Aquifer Hays Trinity Plum Creek	Barton Springs/ Edwards Aquifer	NA - 1 ORIGINAL APPLICATIO - 1 APPLICATION COPY - 1 FULL-SIZED ORIGINA SET - 1 HALF-SIZE SET - 1 HALF-SIZE SET - 1 FLASH DRIVE		
City(ies) Jurisdiction	Austin Buda Dripping Springs Kyle Mountain City San Marcos Wimberley Woodcreek	Austin Bee Cave Pflugerville Rollingwood Round Rock Sunset Valley West Lake Hills	Austin Cedar Park Florence Georgetown Jerrell ✓Leander Liberty Hill Pflugerville Round Rock		

	Sa	an Antonio Region			
County:	Bexar	Comal	Kinney	Medina	Uvalde
Original (1 req.)	_				
Region (1 req.)					
County(ies)			_		
Groundwater Conservation District(s)	Edwards Aquifer Authority Trinity-Glen Rose	Edwards Aquifer Authority	Kinney	EAA Medina	EAA Uvalde
City(ies) Jurisdiction	Castle Hills Fair Oaks Ranch Helotes Hill Country Village Hollywood Park San Antonio (SAWS) Shavano Park	Bulverde Fair Oaks Ranch Garden Ridge New Braunfels Schertz	NA	_San Antonio ETJ (SAWS)	NA

I certify that to the best of my knowledge, that the application is complete and accurate. This application is hereby submitted to TCEQ for administrative review and technical review.

#### **Trevor Riek**

Print Name of Customer/Authorized Agent

Cueron Mich

Signature of Customer/Authorized Agent

09/18/2023

Date

<b>**FOR TCEQ INTERNAL USE ONI</b>	∟Ү**			
Date(s)Reviewed:		Date Administratively Complete:		
Received From:		Correct Number of Copies:		
Received By:		Distribut	ion Date:	
EAPP File Number:		Complex:		
Admin. Review(s) (No.):		No. AR Rounds:		
Delinquent Fees (Y/N):		Review Time Spent:		
Lat./Long. Verified:		SOS Customer Verification:		
Agent Authorization Complete/Notarized (Y/N):		Payable to TCEQ (Y/N):		
Core Data Form Complete (Y/N):		Check:	Signed (Y/N):	
Core Data Form Incomplete Nos.:			Less than 90 days old (Y/N):	

## **SECTION II** GENERAL INFORMATION FORM (TCEQ-0587)

## **General Information Form**

#### **Texas Commission on Environmental Quality**

For Regulated Activities on the Edwards Aquifer Recharge and Transition Zones and Relating to 30 TAC §213.4(b) & §213.5(b)(2)(A), (B) Effective June 1, 1999

To ensure that the application is administratively complete, confirm that all fields in the form are complete, verify that all requested information is provided, consistently reference the same site and contact person in all forms in the application, and ensure forms are signed by the appropriate party.

Note: Including all the information requested in the form and attachments contributes to more streamlined technical reviews.

#### Signature

To the best of my knowledge, the responses to this form accurately reflect all information requested concerning the proposed regulated activities and methods to protect the Edwards Aquifer. This **General Information Form** is hereby submitted for TCEQ review. The application was prepared by:

Print Name of Customer/Agent: Trevor Riek

Date: <u>09/18/20</u>23 Signature of Customer/Agent:

Surron West

#### **Project Information**

- 1. Regulated Entity Name: <u>Fuze Leander</u>
- 2. County: Williamson
- 3. Stream Basin: North Fork San Gabriel River
- 4. Groundwater Conservation District (If applicable): \_\_\_\_\_
- 5. Edwards Aquifer Zone:

Х	Recharge Zone
	Transition Zone

6. Plan Type:

X WPAP	AST
X SCS	UST
Modification	Exception Request

7. Customer (Applicant):

Contact Person: <u>Angela Miu</u> Entity: <u>Zekelman Property Leander 53, LLC</u> Mailing Address: <u>227 W Monroe St., Ste 2600</u> City, State: <u>Chicago, IL</u> Telephone: <u>312-275-1672</u> Email Address: <u>angela.miu@zekelman.com</u>

Zip: <u>60606</u> FAX: \_\_\_\_\_

8. Agent/Representative (If any):

 Contact Person: Trevor Riek, P.E.

 Entity: Pape-Dawson Engineers

 Mailing Address: 10801 N Mopac Expy, Bldg 3, Suite 200

 City, State: Austin, TX
 Zip: 78759

 Telephone: Pape-Dawson Engineers
 FAX: \_\_\_\_\_\_

 Email Address: triek@pape-dawson.com

9. Project Location:

The project site is located inside the city limits of <u>Leander</u>

The project site is located outside the city limits but inside the ETJ (extra-territorial jurisdiction) of \_\_\_\_\_\_.

- The project site is not located within any city's limits or ETJ.
- 10. The location of the project site is described below. The description provides sufficient detail and clarity so that the TCEQ's Regional staff can easily locate the project and site boundaries for a field investigation.

A LOCATION MAP HAS BEEN INCLUDED AS ATTACHMENT A

- 11. X Attachment A Road Map. A road map showing directions to and the location of the project site is attached. The project location and site boundaries are clearly shown on the map.
- 12. X Attachment B USGS / Edwards Recharge Zone Map. A copy of the official 7 ½ minute USGS Quadrangle Map (Scale: 1" = 2000') of the Edwards Recharge Zone is attached. The map(s) clearly show:

Project site boundaries.

USGS Quadrangle Name(s).

Boundaries of the Recharge Zone (and Transition Zone, if applicable).

Drainage path from the project site to the boundary of the Recharge Zone.

13. The TCEQ must be able to inspect the project site or the application will be returned. Sufficient survey staking is provided on the project to allow TCEQ regional staff to locate the boundaries and alignment of the regulated activities and the geologic or manmade features noted in the Geologic Assessment.

GEO REFERENCED PDF MAP INCLUDED IN Survey staking will be completed by this date: ELECTRONIC SUBMITTAL

- 14. Attachment C Project Description. Attached at the end of this form is a detailed narrative description of the proposed project. The project description is consistent throughout the application and contains, at a minimum, the following details:
- Area of the site
   Offsite areas
   Impervious cover
   Permanent BMP(s)
   Proposed site use
   Site history
   Previous development
   Area(s) to be demolished
   15. Existing project site conditions are noted below:
  - Existing commercial site
     Existing industrial site
     Existing residential site
     Existing paved and/or unpaved roads
     Undeveloped (Cleared)
     Undeveloped (Undisturbed/Uncleared)
     Other: \_\_\_\_\_

#### **Prohibited Activities**

- 16. I am aware that the following activities are prohibited on the Recharge Zone and are not proposed for this project:
  - (1) Waste disposal wells regulated under 30 TAC Chapter 331 of this title (relating to Underground Injection Control);
  - (2) New feedlot/concentrated animal feeding operations, as defined in 30 TAC §213.3;
  - (3) Land disposal of Class I wastes, as defined in 30 TAC §335.1;
  - (4) The use of sewage holding tanks as parts of organized collection systems; and
  - (5) New municipal solid waste landfill facilities required to meet and comply with Type I standards which are defined in §330.41(b), (c), and (d) of this title (relating to Types of Municipal Solid Waste Facilities).
  - (6) New municipal and industrial wastewater discharges into or adjacent to water in the state that would create additional pollutant loading.
- 17. I am aware that the following activities are prohibited on the Transition Zone and are not proposed for this project:
  - (1) Waste disposal wells regulated under 30 TAC Chapter 331 (relating to Underground Injection Control);
  - (2) Land disposal of Class I wastes, as defined in 30 TAC §335.1; and

(3) New municipal solid waste landfill facilities required to meet and comply with Type I standards which are defined in §330.41 (b), (c), and (d) of this title.

#### Administrative Information

- 18. The fee for the plan(s) is based on:
  - For a Water Pollution Abatement Plan or Modification, the total acreage of the site where regulated activities will occur.
  - For an Organized Sewage Collection System Plan or Modification, the total linear footage of all collection system lines.
  - For a UST Facility Plan or Modification or an AST Facility Plan or Modification, the total number of tanks or piping systems.
  - A request for an exception to any substantive portion of the regulations related to the protection of water quality.
  - A request for an extension to a previously approved plan.
- 19. Application fees are due and payable at the time the application is filed. If the correct fee is not submitted, the TCEQ is not required to consider the application until the correct fee is submitted. Both the fee and the Edwards Aquifer Fee Form have been sent to the Commission's:

#### TCEQ cashier

Austin Regional Office (for projects in Hays, Travis, and Williamson Counties)

San Antonio Regional Office (for projects in Bexar, Comal, Kinney, Medina, and Uvalde Counties)

- 20. Submit one (1) original and one (1) copy of the application, plus additional copies as needed for each affected incorporated city, groundwater conservation district, and county in which the project will be located. The TCEQ will distribute the additional copies to these jurisdictions. The copies must be submitted to the appropriate regional office.
- 21. No person shall commence any regulated activity until the Edwards Aquifer Protection Plan(s) for the activity has been filed with and approved by the Executive Director.





SHEET 1 Of 1

## **SECTION II** GENERAL INFORMATION FORM: ATTACHMENT A *Road Map*



TBPE FIRM REGISTRATION #470 | TBPLS FIRM REGISTRATION #10028800

RELY ON LY ON FIN AL HARDC OPY MATERIALS I

ATTACHMENT A: ROAD MAP KAUFFMAN LOOP, LEANDER TX 78628 W SH 29 Ronald W Reagan Blvd WILLIAMSON FUZE LEANDER PROPOSED SITE Kaurman Loop Not To Scale PAPE-DAWSON ENGINEERS **PAPE-DAWSON ENGINEERS** September 2023 DATE 1.0 SAN ANTONIO I AUSTIN I HOUSTON I FORT WORTH I DALLAS SHE ET 2000 NW LOOP 410 I SAN ANTONIO, TX 78213 I 210.375.9000

## **SECTION II** GENERAL INFORMATION FORM: ATTACHMENT B USGS Quadrangle/Edwards Recharge Zone Map



U.S. DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR U.S. GEOLOGICAL SURVEY







<sup>6</sup>19<sup>000m</sup>E 11 12 16 08 09 10 14 15 17 18 13 30.6250° -97.7500° <sup>30.6250°</sup> -97.8750°

Produced by the United States Geological Survey North American Datum of 1983 (NAD83) World Geodetic System of 1984 (WGS84). Projection and 1 000-meter grid:Universal Transverse Mercator, Zone 14R This map is not a legal document. Boundaries may be generalized for this map scale. Private lands within government reservations may not be shown. Obtain permission before entering private lands.

Imagery... Roads..... Names..... Hydrography..... Contours.. Boundaries... ..FWS Wetlands 1982 Wetlands... National Inventory



# NSN. 7643016396982 NGA REF NO. US GS X 24 K 25 239

\_\_\_\_

State Route



everse Aquier Consouring Zool



360 000 FEET

## **SECTION II** GENERAL INFORMATION FORM: ATTACHMENT C Project Description

#### NARRATIVE OF PROPOSED PROJECT

#### WPAP Project Description

The Gateway 29, Lots 9 & 10 Project is located on a 14.00-acre tract of land located south and west of SH 29 at the intersection of CR 267 and Kauffman Loop, within the city limits of Leander in Williamson County, Texas. Under proposed conditions approximately 6.15 acres are proposed to allow public access through parkland. The remaining acreage is proposed for a multi-family residential development with associated parking and drive access. The site is currently undeveloped and lies within the North Fork San Gabriel River watershed.

A geologic assessment was conducted, and no geologic or manmade features were identified. The project limits are located entirely within the Edwards Aquifer Recharge Zone.

#### Permanent Pollution Abatement Measures

To prevent the pollution of stormwater runoff originating on-site or upgradient of the site and potentially flowing across and off the site after construction, a batch detention basin and vegetative filter strips are proposed. The batch detention basin is intended to capture stormwater runoff for the multi-family residential building and associated parking and is designed in accordance with the TCEQ's Technical Guidance Manual (TGM) RG-348 (2005). Approximately 4.846 acres of proposed impervious cover and 0.515 acres of offsite impervious cover are flowing to the pond. The proposed batch detention basin is designed to remove 80% of the increase in Total Suspended Solids (TSS) from the site. TSS calculations have been included for their reference.

There is no existing impervious cover within the 14.00-acre development, and 5.72 acres of impervious cover is proposed. Approximately 0.46 acres of this impervious cover is the roadway section along the south side of the site. Per coordination with the adjacent property owner and developer, the entirety of this roadway and the resulting impervious cover is to be captured, analyzed and treated by the property to the east. Please see the Proposed Condition Summary Table for the breakdown of which areas are being captured and treated by the pond. The on-site and off-site areas together total 13.98 acres, and total 6.138 acres of impervious cover. As stated above, this table does not include the area of the roadway section to the south.

The required total suspended solids (TSS) treatment for the watershed area flowing to the batch detention pond is 4,980 pounds of TSS generated from the 5.36 acres of impervious cover flowing to it. The water quality and detention pond is designed to treat over 4,980 pounds of TSS. The required water quality volume per TCEQ requirements is 33,089 ft<sup>3</sup>; The proposed pond is providing 37,499 ft<sup>3</sup> at an elevation of 1018.5 ft. The proposed pond will not require walls and will utilize earthen slopes. A maintenance access ramp with 4:1 slope is provided along the south of the pond.

Vegetative filter strips are proposed for the offsite flows from Kauffman Loop road to the northwest and the the dedicated parkland area that is not captured by the pond. Approximately 921 pounds of TSS is anticipated to be generated from the 0.99 acres of combined impervious cover

#### Gateway 29, Lots 9 & 10 WPAP & SCS APPLICATION

from the two uncaptured drainage areas. The resulting VFS TSS removal calculations for both uncaptured areas is included for reference.

#### SCS Project Description

The Gateway 29, Lots 9 & 10 Sewage Collection System (SCS) Application proposes the construction of approximately 828, linear feet (LF) of 8-inch (8") PVC gravity wastewater main. Approximately 60 LF of the proposed 828 LF 8" gravity wastewater mains are to be constructed of DR-18, 160 psi pressure-rated pipe, centered on water/sewer-line crossings. Regulated activities proposed include excavation, construction of sewer mains, manhole installation, backfill, and compaction. Approximately 0.99 acres may be disturbed, as identified by the limits of the fifty-foot (ft') radius SCS/GA envelope shown on the plans.

#### Texas Commission on Environmental Quality TSS Removal Calculations 04-20-2009 Project Name: Gateway 29, Lots 9 & 10 Date Prepared: 10/24/2023 Additional information is provided for cells with a red triangle in the upper right corner. Place the cursor over the cell. Text shown in blue indicate location of instructions in the Technical Guidance Manual - RG-348. Characters shown in red are data entry fields. Characters shown in black (Bold) are calculated fields. Changes to these fields will remove the equations used in the spreadsheet. 1. The Required Load Reduction for the total project: Calculations from RG-348 Pages 3-27 to 3-30 Page 3-29 Equation 3.3: L<sub>M</sub> = 28.93(A<sub>N</sub> x P) $L_{M TOTAL PROJECT}$ = Required TSS removal resulting from the proposed development = 80% of increased load where: $A_N$ = Net increase in impervious area for the project P = Average annual precipitation, inches Site Data: Determine Required Load Removal Based on the Entire Project Williamson County = Total project area included in plan \* = 14.00 Total Lot Area acres Predevelopment impervious area within the limits of the plan \* = 0.00 acres Total post-development impervious area within the limits of the plan\* = 5.26 acres Total Lot IC (Excluding Road) Total post-development impervious cover fraction \* = 0.38 P = inches 32 4869 lbs. L<sub>M TOTAL PROJECT</sub> = \* The values entered in these fields should be for the total project area. Number of drainage basins / outfalls areas leaving the plan area = 1 2. Drainage Basin Parameters (This information should be provided for each basin): Drainage Basin/Outfall Area No. = 1 Total drainage basin/outfall area = 8.31 acres O2+P1 Predevelopment impervious area within drainage basin/outfall area = 0.00 acres IC(02+P1) Post-development impervious area within drainage basin/outfall area = 5.36 acres Post-development impervious fraction within drainage basin/outfall area = 0.65 L<sub>M THIS BASIN</sub> = 4963 lbs. 3. Indicate the proposed BMP Code for this basin. Proposed BMP = Batch Detention Pond Removal efficiency = 91 percent Aqualogic Cartridge Filter Bioretention Contech StormFilter **Constructed Wetland** Extended Detention Grassy Swale Retention / Irrigation Sand Filter Stormceptor Vegetated Filter Strips Vortechs Wet Basin Wet Vault 4. Calculate Maximum TSS Load Removed (L<sub>R</sub>) for this Drainage Basin by the selected BMP Type. RG-348 Page 3-33 Equation 3.7: L<sub>R</sub> = (BMP efficiency) x P x (A<sub>I</sub> x 34.6 + A<sub>P</sub> x 0.54) $A_{C}$ = Total On-Site drainage area in the BMP catchment area where: $A_I$ = Impervious area proposed in the BMP catchment area $A_P$ = Pervious area remaining in the BMP catchment area $L_{R}$ = TSS Load removed from this catchment area by the proposed BMP A<sub>C</sub> = 8.31 acres $A_{I} =$ 5.36 acres acres $A_P =$ 2.95 L<sub>R</sub> = 5448 lbs

#### 5. Calculate Fraction of Annual Runoff to Treat the drainage basin / outfall area

Desired $L_{M THIS BASIN}$ =	4963	lbs.
------------------------------	------	------

#### F = 0.91

#### 6. Calculate Capture Volume required by the BMP Type for this drainage basin / outfall area.

Calculations from RG-348

Pages 3-34 to 3-36

Rainfall Depth =	1.80	inches
Post Development Runoff Coefficient =	0.46	
On-site Water Quality Volume =	24728	cubic feet

#### Calculations from RG-348 Pages 3-36 to 3-37

0.57	acres
0.52	acres
0.90	
0.74	
2748	cubic feet
	0.57 0.52 0.90 0.74 2748

Storage for Sediment =	5495	
Total Capture Volume (required water quality volume(s) x 1.20) =	32971	cubic feet
the second 100 second secon	and a bar from the second	and a stand Date

The following sections are used to calculate the required water quality volume(s) for the selected BMP.

The values for BMP Types not selected in cell C45 will show NA.

Texas Commission on Environmental Quality TSS Removal Calculations 04-20-2009 Project Name: Gateway 29, Lots 9 & 10 Date Prepared: 10/24/2023 Additional information is provided for cells with a red triangle in the upper right corner. Place the cursor over the cell. Text shown in blue indicate location of instructions in the Technical Guidance Manual - RG-348. Characters shown in red are data entry fields. Characters shown in black (Bold) are calculated fields. Changes to these fields will remove the equations used in the spreadsheet. 1. The Required Load Reduction for the total project: Calculations from RG-348 Pages 3-27 to 3-30 Page 3-29 Equation 3.3: L<sub>M</sub> = 28.93(A<sub>N</sub> x P) L<sub>M TOTAL PROJECT</sub> = Required TSS removal resulting from the proposed development = 80% of increased load where:  $A_N$  = Net increase in impervious area for the project P = Average annual precipitation, inches Site Data: Determine Required Load Removal Based on the Entire Project County = Williamson Total project area included in plan \* = 14.00 acres Total Lot Area Predevelopment impervious area within the limits of the plan \* = 0.00 acres Total post-development impervious area within the limits of the plan\* = Total Lot IC (Excluding Road) 5.26 acres Total post-development impervious cover fraction \* = 0.38 inches P = 32 L<sub>M TOTAL PROJECT</sub> = 4869 lbs. \* The values entered in these fields should be for the total project area. Number of drainage basins / outfalls areas leaving the plan area = 1 2. Drainage Basin Parameters (This information should be provided for each basin): Drainage Basin/Outfall Area No. = 1 Total drainage basin/outfall area = 5.08 P2 acres Predevelopment impervious area within drainage basin/outfall area = 0.00 acres Post-development impervious area within drainage basin/outfall area = 0.46 acres IC(P2) Post-development impervious fraction within drainage basin/outfall area = 0.09 421 lbs. L<sub>M THIS BASIN</sub> = 3. Indicate the proposed BMP Code for this basin. Proposed BMP = Vegetated Filter Strips Removal efficiency = 85 percent Aqualogic Cartridge Filter Bioretention Contech StormFilter Constructed Wetland Extended Detention Grassy Swale **Retention / Irrigation** Sand Filter Stormceptor Vegetated Filter Strips Vortechs Wet Basin Wet Vault 4. Calculate Maximum TSS Load Removed (L<sub>R</sub>) for this Drainage Basin by the selected BMP Type. RG-348 Page 3-33 Equation 3.7:  $L_R = (BMP \text{ efficiency}) \times P \times (A_1 \times 34.6 + A_P \times 0.54)$ 

where:

A<sub>C</sub> = Total On-Site drainage area in the BMP catchment area

A<sub>I</sub> = Impervious area proposed in the BMP catchment area

 $A_P$  = Pervious area remaining in the BMP catchment area

 $L_R$  = TSS Load removed from this catchment area by the proposed BMP

A <sub>C</sub> =	5.08	acres
A <sub>1</sub> =	0.46	acres



#### 5. Calculate Fraction of Annual Runoff to Treat the drainage basin / outfall area



Texas Commission on Environmental Quality				
TSS Removal Calculations 04-20-2009			Project Name Date Prepared	Gateway 29, Lots 9 & 10 10/24/2023
Additional information is provided for cells with a red triangle Text shown in blue indicate location of instructions in the Technica Characters shown in red are data entry fields. Characters shown in black (Bold) are calculated fields. Change	in the uppe I Guidance M ges to these	<b>r right corr</b> Ianual - RG <b>fields will</b>	er. Place the cur -348. remove the equat	rsor over the cell. tions used in the spreadsheet.
1. The Required Load Reduction for the total project:	Calculations fi	rom RG-348		Pages 3-27 to 3-30
Page 3-29 Equation 3.3: $L_M$ =	: 28.93(A <sub>N</sub> x P)			
where: $L_{M \text{ TOTAL PROJECT}} = A_N = P =$	<ul> <li>Required TSS</li> <li>Net increase i</li> <li>Average annu</li> </ul>	removal resu n impervious a al precipitation	lting from the propose area for the project n, inches	d development = 80% of increased load
Site Data: Determine Required Load Removal Based on the Entire Project County = Total project area included in plan * = Predevelopment impervious area within the limits of the plan * = Total post-development impervious area within the limits of the plan* = Total post-development impervious cover fraction * = P =	t Williamson 14.00 0.00 5.26 0.38 32	acres acres acres inches	Total Lot Area Total Lot IC (Excludir	ng Road)
L <sub>M TOTAL PROJECT</sub> = * The values entered in these fields should be for the total project area.	4869	lbs.		
Number of drainage basins / outfalls areas leaving the plan area =	: <b>1</b>			
	<u>ch basin).</u>			
Total drainage basin/Outfall area = Total drainage basin/outfall area = Predevelopment impervious area within drainage basin/outfall area = Post-development impervious fraction within drainage basin/outfall area = Post-development impervious fraction within drainage basin/outfall area = L <sub>M THIS BASIN</sub> =	0.59 0.00 0.54 0.92 500	acres acres acres lbs.	O1 IC(O1)	
3. Indicate the proposed BMP Code for this basin.				
Proposed BMP = Removal efficiency =	Vegetated Fil	ter Strips percent		Aqualogic Cartridge Filter Bioretention Contech StormFilter Constructed Wetland Extended Detention Grassy Swale Retention / Irrigation Sand Filter Stormceptor Vegetated Filter Strips Vortechs Wet Basin Wet Vault
4. Calculate Maximum 155 Load Removed (L <sub>R</sub> ) for this Drainage Basin by f	ine selected B			
RG-348 Page 3-33 Equation 3.7: L <sub>R</sub> =	· (BMP efficiend	cy) x P x (A <sub>I</sub> x	34.6 + A <sub>P</sub> x 0.54)	

where:

 $A_{\rm C}$  = Total On-Site drainage area in the BMP catchment area

 $A_{\rm I}$  = Impervious area proposed in the BMP catchment area

 $A_P$  = Pervious area remaining in the BMP catchment area

 $L_{\text{R}}$  = TSS Load removed from this catchment area by the proposed BMP

A <sub>C</sub> =	0.59	acres
A <sub>I</sub> =	0.54	acres



#### 5. Calculate Fraction of Annual Runoff to Treat the drainage basin / outfall area





SD-23-0162





FIELD NOTE DESCRIPTION

FIELD NOTE DESCRIPTION

BEING A 34.722 ACRE TRACT (1,512,487 SQUARE FEET) OF LAND, LOCATED IN THE WILLIAM H. MONROE SURVEY, ABSTRACT NO. 453 AND THE GREENLEAF FISK SURVEY, ABSTRACT NO. 5, SITUATED IN WILLIAMSON COUNTY, TEXAS, OUT OF A CALLED 14.00 ACRE TRACT OF LAND DESCRIBED IN A SPECIAL WARRANTY DEED WITH VENDOR'S LIEN TO ZEKELMAN PROPERTY LEANDER 53, LLC DESCRIBED AND RECORDED IN DOCUMENT NUMBER 2021159540 IN THE OFFICIAL PUBLIC RECORDS OF WILLIAMSON COUNTY, TEXAS (O.P.R.W.C.TX.), AND REMAINDER OF A CALLED 32.266 ACRE TRACT OF LAND DESCRIBED IN A SPECIAL WARRANTY DEED WITH VENDOR'S LIEN TO GATEWAY 29 REAL ESTATE, LLC RECORDED IN DOCUMENT NUMBER 2021019843 O.P.R.W.C.TX., AND A CALLED 2.457 ACRE TRACT OF LAND DESCRIBED IN DOCUMENT NUMBER 2023061605 O.P.R.W.C.TX., SAID 34.722 ACRE TRACT BEING MORE PARTICULARLY DESCRIBED BY METES AND BOUNDS AS FOLLOWS:

BEGINNING AT A 1/2" IRON ROD FOUND FOR THE WEST CORNER OF THE HEREIN DESCRIBED TRACT, A SOUTH ANGLE POINT OF A CALLED 50.787 ACRE TRACT OF LAND (REFERRED TO AS TRACT 1) CONVEYED TO HIGHWAY 29 COMMERCIAL, LLC DESCRIBED AND RECORDED IN DOCUMENT NUMBER 2024004050 O.P.R.W.C.TX., ON THE EXISTING EAST RIGHT-OF-WAY LINE OF KAUFFMAN LOOP, A 100 WIDE RIGHT-OF-WAY, HAVING A GRID COORDINATE VALUE OF NORTHING: 10,203,988.76' AND EASTING: 3,082,483.29';

THENCE, S 76'09'50" E, ALONG THE SHARED BOUNDARY LINE OF SAID 14.00 ACRE TRACT AND THE SAID 50.787 ACRE TRACT, PASSING A 1.5" IRON PIPE FOUND AT A DISTANCE OF 455.67 FEET, PASSING A 1/2" IRON ROD FOUND AT DISTANCE OF 483.64 FEET, FOR A TOTAL A DISTANCE OF 946.75 FEET TO AN INTERIOR ANGLE CORNER OF THE HEREIN DESCRIBED TRACT, FROM WHICH A 1.5" IRON PIPE FOUND BEARS N 69 59'04" W A DISTANCE OF 1.54 FEET

THENCE, N 69'53'16" E A DISTANCE OF 363.57 FEET ALONG THE COMMON BOUNDARY LINE OF SAID 14.00 ACRE TRACT, THE SAID 50.787 ACRE TRACT, AND THE CALLED 32.266 ACRE TRACT, TO A 1/2" IRON ROD WITH CAP STAMPED "DIAMOND SURVEYING" FOUND FOR THE SHARED EAST CORNER OF SAID 50.787 ACRE TRACT, FOR AN INTERIOR ANGLE CORNER OF THE HEREIN DESCRIBED TRACT;

THENCE, N 40'58'11" E A DISTANCE OF 29.60 FEET ALONG THE COMMON BOUNDARY LINE OF SAID 32.266 ACRE TRACT AND SAID 50.787 ACRE TRACT TO A 1/2" IRON ROD WITH CAP STAMPED "DIAMOND SURVEYING" FOUND FOR AN INTERIOR ANGLE CORNER OF THE HEREIN DESCRIBED TRACT;

THENCE, N 48'48'47" W A DISTANCE OF 495.25 FEET ALONG THE COMMON BOUNDARY LINE OF SAID 32.266 ACRE TRACT AND SAID 50.787 ACRE TRACT, TO A 5/8" IRON ROD WITH CAP FOUND STAMPED "LJA SURVEYING", FOR THE SOUTHERLY CORNER OF A CALLED 2.457 ACRE TRACT CONVEYED TO GATEWAY 29 REAL ESTATE LLC, DESCRIBED AND RECORDED IN DOCUMENT NUMBER 2023061605 O.P.R.W.C.TX., FOR AN ANGLE CORNER OF THE HEREIN DESCRIBED TRACT. SAME BEING THE COMMON CORNER OF SAID 32,266 ACRE TRACT AND SAID 50,787 ACRE TRACT.

THENCE, N 75°24'03" W A DISTANCE OF 653.99 FEET ALONG THE COMMON BOUNDARY LINE OF SAID 50.787 ACRE TRACT AND SAID 2.457 ACRE TRACT, TO A 5/8" IRON ROD WITH CAP FOUND STAMPED "LJA SURVEYING", FOR A CORNER OF THE HEREIN DESCRIBED TRACT, SAME BEING THE COMMON CORNER OF SAID 50.787 ACRE TRACT AND SAID 2.457 ACRE TRACT;

THENCE, N 14\*35'57" E A DISTANCE OF 327.32 FEET ALONG THE COMMON BOUNDARY LINE OF SAID 50.787 ACRE TRACT AND SAID 2.457 ACRE TRACT, TO A 1/2" IRON ROD FOUND IN ASPHALT FOR THE NORTHWEST CORNER OF THE HEREIN DESCRIBED TRACT, SAME BEING THE NORTHWEST CORNER OF SAID 32.266 ACRE TRACT, AND COMMON CORNER OF SAID 50.787 ACRE TRACT AND SAID 2.457 ACRE TRACT, ON THE SOUTH RIGHT-OF-WAY LINE OF WEST STATE HIGHWAY 29. A VARIABLE WIDTH RIGHT-OF-WAY

THENCE, WITH A CURVE TO THE LEFT HAVING A RADIUS OF 2,915.28 FEET, AN ARC LENGTH OF 99.51, A DELTA ANGLE OF 1\*57'21", AND A CHORD BEARING S 68'11'25" E A DISTANCE OF 99.51 FEET, ALONG THE SHARED BOUNDARY LINE OF SAID 32.266 ACRE TRACT AND SAID WEST STATE HIGHWAY 29, VARIABLE WIDTH RIGHT-OF-WAY, TO A 1/2" IRON ROD FOUND WITH AN ILLEGIBLE CAP STAMPED FOR A POINT OF CURVATURE TO THE LEFT OF THE HEREIN DESCRIBED TRACT;

THENCE, WITH A CURVE TO THE LEFT HAVING A RADIUS OF 2,915.14 FEET, AN ARC LENGTH OF 1,053.06 A DELTA ANGLE OF 20'41'51", AND A CHORD BEARING S 79'31'45" E A DISTANCE OF 1,047.35 FEET, ALONG THE SHARED BOUNDARY LINE OF SAID 32.266 ACRE TRACT AND SAID WEST STATE HIGHWAY 29, VARIABLE WIDTH RIGHT-F-WAY, TO A 1/2" IRON ROD FOUND WITH CAP STAMPED "DIAMOND SURVEYING" FOR A POINT OF TANGENCY OF THE HEREIN DESCRIBED TRACT;

THENCE, S 89'44'36" E A DISTANCE OF 33.93 FEET, ALONG SAID SHARED BOUNDARY LINE OF SAID 32.266 ACRE TRACT AND SAID WEST STATE HIGHWAY 29, VARIABLE WIDTH RIGHT-OF-WAY, TO A 1/2" IRON ROD FOUND FOR A NORTH CORNER OF THE HEREIN DESCRIBED TRACT;

THENCE, S 51'01'22" E A DISTANCE OF 160.25 FEET, ALONG SAID SHARED BOUNDARY LINE OF SAID 32.266 ACRE TRACT AND SAID WEST STATE HIGHWAY 29, VARIABLE WIDTH RIGHT-OF-WAY, AND RONALD REAGAN BOULEVARD, A VARIABLE WIDTH RIGHT-OF-WAY, TO A 1/2" IRON ROD FOUND FOR THE NORTHEAST CORNER OF THE HEREIN DESCRIBED TRACT, SAME BEING THE NORTHEAST CORNER OF SAID 32.266 ACRE TRACT;

THENCE, S 12'01'04" E A DISTANCE OF 415.70 FEET, ALONG SAID SHARED BOUNDARY LINE OF SAID 32.266 ACRE TRACT AND SAID RONALD REAGAN BOULEVARD, VARIABLE WIDTH RIGHT-OF-WAY, TO A 1/2" IRON ROD WITH CAP STAMPED "DIAMOND SURVEYING" FOR AN ANGLE POINT OF THE HEREIN DESCRIBED TRACT.

THENCE, S 15'42'56" E A DISTANCE OF 408.00 FEET, ALONG SAID SHARED BOUNDARY LINE OF SAID 32.266 ACRE TRACT AND SAID RONALD REAGAN BOULEVARD, VARIABLE WIDTH RIGHT-OF-WAY, TO A 1/2" IRON ROD WITH CAP STAMPED "DIAMOND SURVEYING" FOR THE SOUTHEAST CORNER OF THE HEREIN DESCRIBED TRACT, SAME BEING THE SOUTHEAST CORNER OF SAID 32.266 ACRE TRACT AT A CUTBACK OF SAID RONALD REAGAN BOULEVARD, VARIABLE WIDTH RIGHT-OF-WAY;

THENCE, S 72°24'20" W A DISTANCE OF 113.01 FEET, ALONG SAID SHARED BOUNDARY LINE OF SAID 32.266 ACRE TRACT AND SAID RONALD REAGAN BOULEVARD, VARIABLE WIDTH RIGHT-OF-WAY, TO A 1/2" IRON ROD WITH CAP STAMPED "DIAMOND SURVEYING" FOR AN ANGLE POINT OF THE HEREIN DESCRIBED TRACT:

THENCE, S 57"36'45" W A DISTANCE OF 34.51 FEET, ALONG SAID SHARED BOUNDARY LINE OF SAID 32.266 ACRE TRACT AND SAID RONALD REAGAN BOULEVARD, VARIABLE WIDTH RIGHT-OF-WAY, TO A 1/2" IRON ROD WITH CAP STAMPED "DIAMOND SURVEYING" FOR AN ANGLE POINT OF THE HEREIN DESCRIBED TRACT, AN ANGLE POINT OF SAID 32.266 ACRE TRACT, AND AN ANGLE POINT OF A CALLED 0.013 ACRE TRACT OF LAND CONVEYED TO WEDEMEYER, HOWARD BARKLEY DESCRIBED AND RECORDED IN DOCUMENT NUMBER 2008071853 O.P.R.W.C.TX.;

THENCE, N 48'08'52" W A DISTANCE OF 12.51 FEET, ALONG SAID SHARED BOUNDARY LINE OF SAID 32.266 ACRE TRACT AND SAID 0.013 ACRE TRACT. TO A 1/2" IRON ROD WITH ILLEGIBLE CAP FOUND FOR AN ANGLE POINT OF THE HEREIN DESCRIBED TRACT;

THENCE, S 69°47'28" W PASSING A 1/2" IRON ROD WITH ILLEGIBLE CAP FOUND AT A DISTANCE OF 37.36 FEET, FOR A TOTAL DISTANCE OF 1,032.93 FEET, ALONG SHARED BOUNDARY LINE OF SAID 32.266 ACRE TRACT, SAID 14.00 ACRE TRACT, SAID 0.013 ACRE TRACT, A CALLED 0.436 ACRE TRACT OF LAND AND A CALLED 0.184 ACRE TRACT OF LAND CONVEYED TO WEDEMEYER, HOWARD BARKLEY DESCRIBED AND RECORDED IN DOCUMENT NUMBER 2008071853 O.P.R.W.C.TX.:

THENCE, S 69'50'51" W PASSING A 1/2" IRON ROD WITH CAP STAMPED "CBD SETSTONE" FOUND AT 73.05 FEET ON THE COMMON BOUNDARY CORNER OF SAID 0.184 ACRE TRACT AND A CALLED PORTION OF 0.624 ACRE TRACT OF LAND CONVEYED TO THE CITY OF LEANDER TEXAS, DESCRIBED AND RECORDED IN DOCUMENT NUMBER 2016068767 O.P.R.W.C.TX., ON THE COMMON SOUTH LINE OF SAID 14.00 ACRE TRACT FOR A TOTAL DISTANCE OF 400.32 FEET. TO A 1/2" IRON ROD WITH CAP STAMPED "DIAMOND SURVEYING" FOR THE SOUTH CORNER OF THE HEREIN DESCRIBED TRACT. SAME BEING THE SOUTH CORNER OF SAID 14.00 ACRE TRACT, ON THE EAST RIGHT-OF-WAY LINE OF SAID KAUFFMAN LOOP, A 100 FOOT RIGHT-OF-WAY;

THENCE, WITH A CURVE TO THE RIGHT, HAVING A RADIUS OF 1,250.15 FEET, PASSING A 1/2" IRON ROD WITH CAP STAMPED "DIAMOND SURVEYING" AT AN ARC LENGTH OF 20.08 FEET, FOR A TOTAL ARC LENGTH OF 37.50 FEET, A DELTA ANGLE OF 01°43'07", AND A CHORD BEARING N 20°56'02" W A DISTANCE OF 37.50 FEET TO A 1/2" IRON ROD FOUND WITH CAP STAMPED "DIAMOND SURVEYING" FOR A POINT OF TANGENCY OF THE HEREIN DESCRIBED TRACT. ON THE EAST RIGHT-OF-WAY OF SAID KAUFFMAN LOOP AND SAID 14.00 ACRE TRACT:

THENCE, N 20'04'59" W A DISTANCE OF 961.40 FEET, ALONG SAID SHARED BOUNDARY LINE OF SAID KAUFFMAN LOP AND SAID 14.00 ACRE TRACT TO THE POINT OF BEGINNING AND CONTAINING 34.722 ACRES (1,512,487 SQUARE FEET) OF LAND MORE OR LESS.





BEARING BASIS:

SUBMITTAL DATE: \_\_\_\_\_, 2023

## **SECTION IV** GEOLOGIC ASSESSMENT FORM (TCEQ-0585)

## **Geologic Assessment**

#### **Texas Commission on Environmental Quality**

For Regulated Activities on The Edwards Aquifer Recharge/transition Zones and Relating to 30 TAC §213.5(b)(3), Effective June 1, 1999

To ensure that the application is administratively complete, confirm that all fields in the form are complete, verify that all requested information is provided, consistently reference the same site and contact person in all forms in the application, and ensure forms are signed by the appropriate party.

Note: Including all the information requested in the form and attachments contributes to more streamlined technical reviews.

#### Signature

To the best of my knowledge, the responses to this form accurately reflect all information requested concerning the proposed regulated activities and methods to protect the Edwards Aquifer. My signature certifies that I am qualified as a geologist as defined by 30 TAC Chapter 213.

Print Name of Geologist: <u>Roman C. Pineda,</u> <u>P.G.</u> Telephone: (210) 979-8444

Fax: (210) 979-8441

AST

Date: <u>August 17, 2021</u>

Representing: <u>KFW Engineers, TBPE Firm #9513</u> (Name of Company and TBPG or TBPE registration number)

Signature of Geologist:

Regulated Entity Name: Zekelman Property Leander 14, LLC

### **Project Information**

- 1. Date(s) Geologic Assessment was performed: August 12, 2021
- 2. Type of Project:

$\times$	WPAP
$\boxtimes$	SCS

3. Location of Project:

Recharge Zone

Transition Zone

Contributing Zone within the Transition Zone



- 4. Attachment A Geologic Assessment Table. Completed Geologic Assessment Table (Form TCEQ-0585-Table) is attached.
- 5. Soil cover on the project site is summarized in the table below and uses the SCS Hydrologic Soil Groups\* (Urban Hydrology for Small Watersheds, Technical Release No. 55, Appendix A, Soil Conservation Service, 1986). If there is more than one soil type on the project site, show each soil type on the site Geologic Map or a separate soils map.

Soil Name	Group*	Thickness(feet)
Fairlie clay, 1 to 2 percent slopes (FaB)	D	0-4
Georgetown clay loam, 0 to 2 percent slopes (GeB)	С	0-4
Georgetown stony clay loam, 1 to 3 percent slopes (GsB)	С	0-4

#### Table 1 - Soil Units, Infiltration Characteristics and Thickness

Soil Name	Group*	Thickness(feet)

- \* Soil Group Definitions (Abbreviated)
  - A. Soils having a high infiltration rate when thoroughly wetted.
  - B. Soils having a moderate infiltration rate when thoroughly wetted.
  - C. Soils having a slow infiltration rate when thoroughly wetted.
  - D. Soils having a very slow infiltration rate when thoroughly wetted.
- 6. Attachment B Stratigraphic Column. A stratigraphic column showing formations, members, and thicknesses is attached. The outcropping unit, if present, should be at the top of the stratigraphic column. Otherwise, the uppermost unit should be at the top of the stratigraphic column.
- 7. Attachment C Site Geology. A narrative description of the site specific geology including any features identified in the Geologic Assessment Table, a discussion of the potential for fluid movement to the Edwards Aquifer, stratigraphy, structure(s), and karst characteristics is attached.
- 8. Attachment D Site Geologic Map(s). The Site Geologic Map must be the same scale as the applicant's Site Plan. The minimum scale is 1": 400'

Applicant's Site Plan Scale: 1" = <u>60</u>' Site Geologic Map Scale: 1" = <u>60</u>' Site Soils Map Scale (if more than 1 soil type): 1" = <u>300</u>'

9. Method of collecting positional data:

Global Positioning System (GPS) technology.

] Other method(s). Please describe method of data collection: \_\_\_\_\_

- 10. The project site and boundaries are clearly shown and labeled on the Site Geologic Map.
- 11. Surface geologic units are shown and labeled on the Site Geologic Map.
- 12. Geologic or manmade features were discovered on the project site during the field investigation. They are shown and labeled on the Site Geologic Map and are described in the attached Geologic Assessment Table.

Geologic or manmade features were not discovered on the project site during the field investigation.

- 13. The Recharge Zone boundary is shown and labeled, if appropriate.
- 14. All known wells (test holes, water, oil, unplugged, capped and/or abandoned, etc.): If applicable, the information must agree with Item No. 20 of the WPAP Application Section.
  - There are \_\_\_\_\_ (#) wells present on the project site and the locations are shown and labeled. (Check all of the following that apply.)

The wells are not in use and have been properly abandoned.

The wells are not in use and will be properly abandoned.

The wells are in use and comply with 16 TAC Chapter 76.

 $\square$  There are no wells or test holes of any kind known to exist on the project site.

#### Administrative Information

15. Submit one (1) original and one (1) copy of the application, plus additional copies as needed for each affected incorporated city, groundwater conservation district, and county in which the project will be located. The TCEQ will distribute the additional copies to these jurisdictions. The copies must be submitted to the appropriate regional office.

# ATTACHMENT A

																									OFTER
	SETTING	12	TOPOGRAPHY																						HAT.
	SICAL	1	ENT AREA RES)	>1.6																					he
	۶ЧНЧ	1	CATCHME (ACF	<1.6																					gists. T
	TION	10	ISITIVITY	>40							 	 												oed	Geolog
	ALUA		IL SEP	<40							 							ors						eaml	tions to
	ΕV	6	и тоти			its.											olors	red col						<u>,</u> Str	Instruc
re Wrap		8B	RELATIVE INFILTRATION RATE			oject limi											ks, dark c	e, gray or	_					odplair	l Quality's
er 14-Ac		8A	INFILL			n the pr								DN		gravel	eaves, stic	soil profi	description					ge, Flo	ironmenta
Leande	S	7	APERTURE (FEET)			ed withi								A INFILLI		'n, sand, ę	ganics, le	sediment,	narrative c	leposits			RAPHY	Drainaç	n on Envi
	ISTIC	9	DENSITY (NO/FT)			dentifi								80	ock	eakdow	r soil, or	ay-rich	ails in r	, cave c			TOPOG	ide, [	nmissio
AME	CTER	5A	DOM	10		vere i									d bedr	oles, br	io pnu	cted cl	ive det	ments,	s		12	Hills	as Con
CT N	HARA	5	TREND (DEGREES			itures v									, expose	se - cobt	e or soft	, compa	tation. G	stone, ce	- materia			illtop,	the Tex
ROJE	RE CI		S (FEET)	Z		de fea					 	 			None	Coar	Loos	Fines	Vege	Flow	Othei			iff, H	ollowec
ЪР	EATU	4	MENSION	Y		anma									z	U	0	ш	>	БS	×			Ö	have f
	ш	3	AATION D	^		jic or m					 	 		INTS	30	20	20	20	5	30	30	20	5	30	ood, and I
BLE			S FORM			geolog								2B PO											ndersto
T T⊿		2B	POINT			No (													6					tures	ead, I u
SMEN		2A	FEATURE TYPE														cture(s)		feature	edrock			ression	gned fea	l have n
SSES	,	1C*	LONGITUDE											ТҮРЕ		wity	larged frac		ral bedrock	feature in b	ale		closed depi	tered or alic	
OGIC A	OCATIO	1B *	LATITUDE										NAD 83		Cave	Solution ca	Solution-er	Fault	Other natul	Manmade 1	Swallow hc	Sinkhole	Non-karst (	Zone, clust	
GEOL		1A	FEATURE ID										* DATUM:	2A TYPE	U	SC	SF	L	0	MB	SW	SH	CD	, Z	

8/17/2021 Attachment A ď | Sheet \_\_\_\_

ROMAN C. PINEDA

S

\*

GEOLOG Y

PR se. CENSE 0

10083

----



information presented here complies with that document and is a true representation of the conditions observed in the field. Date My signature, certifies that I am gualified as a geologist as defined by 30 TAC Chapter 213.

TCEQ-0585-Table (Rev. 10-01-04)
# ATTACHMENT B

#### LEANDER 14-ACRE WRAP Stratigraphic Column

Sys	stem	Stratigraphic Unit	Hydrologic Unit	Approximate Maximum Thickness (ft)	Character of Rocks
Lower Cretaceous	Edwards Aquifer	Edwards Limestone (Ked)	Edwards and associated limestones	360	Massive, brittle, vulgar limestone and dolomite with nodular chert, gypsum, anhydrite, and solution-collapse features.
		Comanche Peak Limestone (Kc)		60	Fine-grained, fairly hard, nodular, fossiliferous, marly, extensively burrowed limestone.
		Walnut Formation (Kwa)		120	Hard and soft limestones, marls, clays, and shelf beds.
		Upper Member of Glen Rose Limestone (Kgru)		350	Yellowish tan, finely bedded limestone and marl

For Travis County, adjacent to county to the southwest Modified from Brune and Duffin, 1983

# ATTACHMENT C

#### **LEANDER 14-ACRE WRAP**

Narrative Description of Site Geology

The overall potential for fluid migration to the Edwards Aquifer on the site is intermediate. The dominant trend for the site is N57°E, based on an average of the trends of faults within the surrounding area and from published maps (V.E. Barnes, 1981). The site is located in the Edwards Limestone (Ked).

The Ked is characterized by massive, brittle, vugular limestone and dolomite with nodular chert. Karst development in the Ked is characterized by solution-collapse features. No caves or sinkholes were identified onsite.

No geologic or manmade features were identified within the project limits.

#### **LEANDER 14-ACRE WRAP**

References

- Ashworth, J.B., Jan 1983, <u>Ground-Water Availability of the Lower Cretaceous Formations in the Hill</u> <u>Country of South-Central Texas</u>, Texas Department of Water Resources, rept., 273, 12pp.
- Barnes, V.L., 1981, <u>Geologic Atlas of Texas</u>, <u>Austin Sheet</u>, Bureau of Economic Geology, The University of Texas at Austin, Texas.
- Collins, E.W., Woodruff, C.M., Jr., and Tremblay, Thomas A., 2002, <u>Geologic Framework of the</u> <u>Northern Edwards Aquifer, Central Texas</u>: Bur. Econ. Geol., Abstract, Figure 1.
- Collins, E.W., 1998, Geologic Map of the Leander Quadrangle, Texas: University of Texas at Austin, Bureau of Economic Geology, Open-File Map STATEMAP Study Area 5, scale 1:24,000.
- Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA), September 25, 2008, Williamson County, Texas and Incorporated areas, <u>Flood Insurance Rate Map (FIRM)</u>, <u>Panel 48491C0275 E</u>, FEMA, Washington, D.C.
- Jones, Ian C., 2006, <u>Defining Groundwater Flow Characteristics in the northern Segment of the Edwards</u> <u>Aquifer Based on Groundwater Chemistry</u>: Texas Water Development Board, Technical Paper
- Land, L.F. and Dorsey, M.E., 1988, <u>Reassessment of the Georgetown Limestone as a Hydrogeologic Unit</u> of the Edwards Aquifer, Georgetown Area, Texas: U.S. Geol. Survey, Water – Resources Investigations 88-4190, 2 pp., 3 figs.
- Rose, P.R., 1972, <u>Edwards Group, Surface and Subsurface, Central Texas</u>: Bur. Econ. Geol., Rep of Invest. 74, 198 pp..
- Texas Natural Resource Conservation Commission, 1999, Edwards Aquifer Recharge Zone Map, <u>Leander</u> <u>Quadrangle</u>, TNRCC, Leander, Texas.

United States Department of Agriculture, 1983, Soil Survey - Williamson County, Texas, USDA.

United States Geologic Survey, 2988, (USGS), Leander Quadrangle, USGS, Denver, Colorado.

# ATTACHMENT D







## **SECTION V** WATER POLLUTION ABATEMENT APPLICATION FORM (TCEQ-0584)

## Water Pollution Abatement Plan Application

#### **Texas Commission on Environmental Quality**

for Regulated Activities on the Edwards Aquifer Recharge Zone and Relating to 30 TAC §213.5(b), Effective June 1, 1999

To ensure that the application is administratively complete, confirm that all fields in the form are complete, verify that all requested information is provided, consistently reference the same site and contact person in all forms in the application, and ensure forms are signed by the appropriate party.

Note: Including all the information requested in the form and attachments contributes to more streamlined technical reviews.

### Signature

To the best of my knowledge, the responses to this form accurately reflect all information requested concerning the proposed regulated activities and methods to protect the Edwards Aquifer. This **Water Pollution Abatement Plan Application Form** is hereby submitted for TCEQ review and Executive Director approval. The form was prepared by:

Print Name of Customer/Agent: Trevor Riek

Date: 04/11/24

Signature of Customer/Agent:

Sugar Wiel

Regulated Entity Name: Gateway 29, Lots 9 & 10

#### **Regulated Entity Information**

- 1. The type of project is:
  - ] Residential: Number of Lots:\_\_\_\_
  - Residential: Number of Living Unit Equivalents:
  - Commercial
    - Industrial
  - Other:\_\_\_\_
- 2. Total site acreage (size of property):<u>14.00</u>
- 3. Estimated projected population: 450
- 4. The amount and type of impervious cover expected after construction are shown below:

Impervious Cover of Proposed Project	Sq. Ft.	Sq. Ft./Acre	Acres
Structures/Rooftops	121,237	÷ 43,560 =	2.78
Parking	89,555	÷ 43,560 =	2.06
Other paved surfaces	38,511	÷ 43,560 =	0.88
Total Impervious Cover	249,303	÷ 43,560 =	5.72

**Table 1 - Impervious Cover Table** 

Total Impervious Cover 5.72 ÷ Total Acreage 14.00 X 100 = 40.9% Impervious Cover

- 5. Attachment A Factors Affecting Surface Water Quality. A detailed description of all factors that could affect surface water and groundwater quality that addresses ultimate land use is attached.
- 6. Only inert materials as defined by 30 TAC §330.2 will be used as fill material.

#### For Road Projects Only

Complete questions 7 - 12 if this application is exclusively for a road project.

- 7. Type of project:
  - TXDOT read project.
  - County road or roads built to county specifications.
  - City thoroughface or roads to be dedicated to a municipality.

Street or road providing access to private driveways.

8. Type of pavement or road surface to be used:



Asphaltic concrete pavement Other:

9. Length of Right of Way (R.O.W.): \_\_\_\_

```
Width of R.O.W.: _____ feet.
L x W = _____ Ft^2 \div 43,560 Ft^2/Acre = _____ acres.
```

10. Length of pavement area: \_\_\_\_\_ feet.

Width of pavement area: \_\_\_\_\_ feet.

 $L \times W = Ft^2 \div 43,560 Ft^2/Acre = acres.$ 

2 of

\_feet.

11. A rest stop will be included in this project.

Arest stop will not be included in this project.

```
TCEQ-0584 (Rev. 02-11-15)
```

12. Maintenance and repair of existing roadways that do not require approval from the TCEQ Executive Director. Modifications to existing roadways such as widening roads/adding shoulders totaling more than one-half (1/2) the width of one (1) existing lane require prior approval from the TCEQ.

#### Stormwater to be generated by the Proposed Project

13. Attachment B - Volume and Character of Stormwater. A detailed description of the volume (quantity) and character (quality) of the stormwater runoff which is expected to occur from the proposed project is attached. The estimates of stormwater runoff quality and quantity are based on the area and type of impervious cover. Include the runoff coefficient of the site for both pre-construction and post-construction conditions.

#### Wastewater to be generated by the Proposed Project

14. The character and volume of wastewater is shown below:

<u>100</u> % Domestic	<u>76,440</u> Gallons/day
% Industrial	Gallons/day
% Commingled	Gallons/day
TOTAL gallons/day <u>76,440</u>	

15. Wastewater will be disposed of by:

On-Site Sewage Facility (OSSF/Septic Tank):

Attachment C - Suitability Letter from Authorized Agent. An on-site sewage facility will be used to treat and dispose of the wastewater from this site. The appropriate licensing authority's (authorized agent) written approval is attached. It states that the land is suitable for the use of private sewage facilities and will meet or exceed the requirements for on-site sewage facilities as specified under 30 TAC Chapter 285 relating to On-site Sewage Facilities.

Each lot in this project/development is at least one (1) acre (43,560 square feet) in size. The system will be designed by a licensed professional engineer or registered sanitarian and installed by a licensed installer in compliance with 30 TAC Chapter 285.

Sewage Collection System (Sewer Lines):

- Private service laterals from the wastewater generating facilities will be connected to an existing SCS.
- Private service laterals from the wastewater generating facilities will be connected to a proposed SCS.

The SCS was previously submitted on\_\_\_\_\_.

- $\boxtimes$  The SCS was submitted with this application.
  - The SCS will be submitted at a later date. The owner is aware that the SCS may not be installed prior to Executive Director approval.

The sewage collection system will convey the wastewater to the <u>Leander 2243</u> <u>Wastewater</u> (name) Treatment Plant. The treatment facility is:

$\times$	Existing.
	Proposed

16.  $\square$  All private service laterals will be inspected as required in 30 TAC §213.5.

#### Site Plan Requirements

#### Items 17 – 28 must be included on the Site Plan.

17.  $\square$  The Site Plan must have a minimum scale of 1" = 400'.

Site Plan Scale: 1" = <u>40</u>'.

18. 100-year floodplain boundaries:

Some part(s) of the project site is located within the 100-year floodplain. The floodplain is shown and labeled.

No part of the project site is located within the 100-year floodplain.

The 100-year floodplain boundaries are based on the following specific (including date of material) sources(s): <u>FEMA FIRM Map Panel 48491C0275E</u>, Dated Sept. 26, 2008

19. The layout of the development is shown with existing and finished contours at appropriate, but not greater than ten-foot contour intervals. Lots, recreation centers, buildings, roads, open space, etc. are shown on the plan.

The layout of the development is shown with existing contours at appropriate, but not greater than ten-foot intervals. Finished topographic contours will not differ from the existing topographic configuration and are not shown. Lots, recreation centers, buildings, roads, open space, etc. are shown on the site plan.

20. All known wells (oil, water, unplugged, capped and/or abandoned, test holes, etc.):

There are \_\_\_\_\_ (#) wells present on the project site and the locations are shown and labeled. (Check all of the following that apply)

The wells are not in use and have been properly abandoned.

The wells are not in use and will be properly abandoned.

The wells are in use and comply with 16 TAC §76.

There are no wells or test holes of any kind known to exist on the project site.

- 21. Geologic or manmade features which are on the site:
  - All sensitive geologic or manmade features identified in the Geologic Assessment are shown and labeled.
  - No sensitive geologic or manmade features were identified in the Geologic Assessment.

Attachment D - Exception to the Required Geologic Assessment. A request and justification for an exception to a portion of the Geologic Assessment is attached.

A grading layout has been included as sheet 18 in the construction plans

- 22. The drainage patterns and approximate slopes anticipated after major grading activities.
- 23.  $\boxtimes$  Areas of soil disturbance and areas which will not be disturbed.
- 24. Locations of major structural and nonstructural controls. These are the temporary and permanent best management practices.
- 25. 🛛 Locations where soil stabilization practices are expected to occur.
- 26. Surface waters (including wetlands).

Temporary BMPs are displayed on the Erosion & Sedimentation Control plan included as sheet 09 in the construction plans

- 🛛 N/A
- 27. Locations where stormwater discharges to surface water or sensitive features are to occur.

There will be no discharges to surface water or sensitive features.

28.  $\boxtimes$  Legal boundaries of the site are shown.

#### Administrative Information

- 29. Submit one (1) original and one (1) copy of the application, plus additional copies as needed for each affected incorporated city, groundwater conservation district, and county in which the project will be located. The TCEQ will distribute the additional copies to these jurisdictions. The copies must be submitted to the appropriate regional office.
- 30. Any modification of this WPAP will require Executive Director approval, prior to construction, and may require submission of a revised application, with appropriate fees.

## **SECTION V** WATER POLLUTION ABATEMENT APPLICATION: ATTACHMENT A Factors Affecting Water Quality

#### GATEWAY 29, LOTS 9 & 10 Water Pollution Abatement Plan & Organized Sewage Collection System Plan

#### FACTORS AFFECTING SURFACE WATER QUALITY

Potential sources of pollution that may reasonably be expected to affect the quality of storm water discharges from the site during construction include:

- Soil erosion due to the clearing of the site;
- Oil, grease, fuel and hydraulic fluid contamination from construction equipment and vehicle drippings;
- Hydrocarbons from asphalt paving operations;
- Concrete truck washout;
- Potential overflow/spills from portable toilets;
- Miscellaneous trash and litter from construction workers and material wrappings.

Potential sources of pollution that may reasonably be expected to affect the quality of storm water discharges from the site after development include:

- Oil, grease, fuel and hydraulic fluid contamination from vehicle drippings;
- Dirt and dust which may fall off vehicles;
- Miscellaneous trash and litter.

## **SECTION V WATER POLLUTION ABATEMENT APPLICATION: ATTACHMENT B** *Volume and Character of Stormwater*

#### GATEWAY 29, LOTS 9 & 10 Water Pollution Abatement Plan & Organized Sewage Collection System Plan

#### **VOLUME AND CHARACTER OF STORMWATER**

The following table summarizes the respective area, curve number, cumulative time of concentration, lag time, and 2, 10, 25, and 100-year peak discharges for **existing conditions**. The existing drainage area can be seen on the Existing Drainage Plan included with the construction plans.

Gateway 29, Lots 9 & 10 - Existing Conditions					Peak Flows				
Area #	Hydrologic Description	Drainage Area (ac)	Imp Cover (%)	Weighted CN	Lag Time (min)	Q2 (cfs)	Q10 (cfs)	Q25 (cfs)	Q100 (cfs)
E1	Site Runoff - To Analysis Point 1	11.093	0.0%	80.00	8.64	27.2	52.4	69.9	99.8
E2	Site Runoff - To Analysis Point 2	2.916	0.0%	80.00	10.11	6.7	12.9	17.2	24.6
01	Offsite Runoff - To Analysis Point 1	0.593	75.3%	93.55	3.00	3.1	4.9	6.1	8.1
02	Offsite Runoff - To Analysis Point 1	0.569	68.3%	92.30	3.00	2.9	4.6	5.8	7.7
AP1	ANALYSIS POINT 1					36.86	69.95	93.00	132.30

The following table summarizes the respective area, curve number, cumulative time of concentration, lag time, and 2, 10, 25, and 100-year peak discharges for **proposed conditions**. The proposed drainage area can be seen on the Proposed Drainage Plan included with the construction plans.

Gateway 29, Lots 9 & 10 - Proposed Conditions						Peak Flows			
Area #	Hydrologic Description	Drainage Area ( ac)	Imp Cover (%)	Weighted CN	Lag Time (min)	Q2 (cfs)	Q10 (cfs)	Q25 (cfs)	Q100 (cfs)
P1	Site runoff to Detention pond	7.741	62.60%	91.27	3.47	37.17	59.78	75.50	102.58
P2	Onsite Runoff undetained to AP1	5.080	4.67%	80.84	6.92	14.22	26.91	35.76	50.88
01	Offsite runoff undetained to AP1	0.593	91.07%	96.39	3.00	3.24	4.93	6.10	8.13
02	Offsite runoff to Detention Pond	0.569	90.56%	96.30	3.00	3.09	4.71	5.83	7.77
POND	Pond Discharge to AP1					17.02	29.69	39.23	60.13
AP1	OTAL RUNOFF TO ANALYSIS POINT					32.62	58.75	77.73	115.36

ANALYSIS POINT 1					
EVENT	EXISTING	PROPOSED			
EVENI	(CFS)	(CFS)			
2-YR	36.86	32.62			
10-YR	69.95	58.75			
25-YR	93.00	77.73			
100-YR	132.30	115.36			

Detention Pond Routing						
Deturn	Peak In-	Peak Out- Max Water		Max		
Event	Flow	Flow	Surface	Storage		
Eveni	(CFS)	(CFS)	Elevation (ft)	(Ac-ft)		
2	40.26	17.02	1019.29	0.69		
10	64.50	29.69	1019.59	1.00		
25	81.33	39.23	1019.80	1.21		
100	110.35	60.13	1020.09	1.53		

# ON ABATEMENT APPLICATION: ATTACHMENT E

WATER POLLUTION ABATEMENT APPLICATION: ATTACHMENT E Site Plan





EXISTING BENCHMARK PROPOSED FIRE HYDRANT

PROPOSED WASTEWATER MANHOLE PROPOSED GRATE INLET

 $\boxtimes$ 

(8)

/////

PROPOSED CURB INLET

PROPOSED JUNCTION BOX W/ MANHOLE PROPOSED ELECTRIC TRANSFORMER PARKING COUNT

PROPOSED BUILDING

PROPOSED CONCRETE SIDEWALK

TREES TO BE PROTECTED



JOB NO. 51283-01 DATE DECEMBER 5 2023 DESIGNER . IR CHECKED TR DRAWN KT SHEET 10 of 55

**PROJECT DATA:** 

SITE ACREAGE: 14.000 AC. # LIVING UNITS: 321 UNITS/ACRE 22.93

## PARKING SUMMARY

ROOM TYPE	UNIT COUNT	REQUIRED SPACES
1 BED: 2 BED: 3 BED:	211 95 15	316.5 190 37.5
TOTAL:	321	544
PARKING TYPE	UNIT COUNT	
STANDARD: ADA: COMPACT: GARAGE STANDARD GARAGE ADA	125 4 0 406 11	

STRIPING/SIGNAGE NOTES

546

TOTAL:

1. CURB, GUTTERS AND PAVEMENT DELINEATING THE FIRE LANE SHALL BE PAINTED RED WITH FOUR INCH (4") WHITE LETTERING STATING 'NO PARKING - FIRE LANE", 'FIRE LANE - TOW AWAY ZONE", OR SIMILAR WORDING. WORDING MAY NOT BE SPACED MORE THAN THIRTY (30')

FEET APART. 2. FIRE APPARATUS ACCESS ROADS SHALL BE CONTINUOUSLY MARKED BY PAINTED LINES OF RED TRAFFIC PAINT SIX INCHES (6") IN WIDTH TO SHOW THE BOUNDARIES OF THE LANE. THE WORDS "FIRE LANE - NO PARKING" SHALL APPEAR IN FOUR INCH (4") WHITE LETTERS AT 25 FEET (25') INTERVALS ON THE RED BORDER MARKINGS ALONG BOTH SIDES OF THE FIRE LANES. WHERÈ A CURB IS AVAILABLE, THE STRIPING SHALL BE ON THE VERTICAL FACE OF THE CURB. ALL PAINT SHALL BE 4" WIDE REFLECTIVE PAINT UNLESS NOTED OTHERWISE: WHITE ON

ASPHALT PAVING AND YELLOW ON CONCRETE UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED ON THE DRAWINGS. REFERENCE DETAIL SHEET FOR STRIPED ISLANDS.

ALL PAVEMENT MARKINGS SHALL RECEIVE TWO COATS OF PAINT ALL SIGNS SHALL CONFORM TO MUTCD, LATEST EDITION

#### BENCHMARKS: BENCHMARKS ARE BASED ON NAD83 COORDINATES ARE STATE PLANE CENTRAL ZONE WITH A COMBINED SCALE FACTOR OF 0.999880014398272 BM #10: SIX COTTON SPINDLE GRID NORTH: 10,203,075.39

ELEV:	GRID EAST: 3,082,766.80 1035.47
BM #11:	SET MAGNETIC NAIL (TRAVIS GRID NORTH: 10,203,986.98 CPUD FAST: 3,082,429,05





	SCALE: 1"= 40' 0' 40' 80' 120'	NO. REVISION DATE
.37"W	LEGEND         LOC       LIMITS OF CONSTRUCTION         PROPERTY LINE         PROPOSED EASEMENT LINE         PROPOSED RETAINING WALL         PROPOSED SIDEWALK         EXISTING BENCHMARK         PROPOSED WASTEWATER MANHOLE         PROPOSED GRATE INLET	SHELLY MITCHELL B 103662 CENSER 104/01/2024 Shelly Mibled
GATEWAY 29 REAL ESTATE LLC REMNANT PORTION OF A CALLED 32.266 ACRE TRACT DOC. NO. 2021019843 (0.P.R.)	<ul> <li>PROPOSED JUNCTION BOX</li> <li>PROPOSED HEADWALL</li> <li>PROPOSED BUILDING</li> </ul> DIMENSIONAL CONTROL NOTES: Intervision and Notify the Engineer of Any Discrepancies. Intervision and Notify the Engineer of Any Discrepancies. Intervision and Notify the Engineer of Any Discrepancies. UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED, THE CONTRACTOR SHALL VERIFY ALL DIMENSIONS PRIOR TO THE START OF CONSTRUCTION AND NOTIFY THE ENGINEER OF ANY DISCREPANCIES. UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED, THE CONTRACTOR SHALL USE THE PROPERTY PINS FOR HORIZONTAL CONTROL PER THE CONSTRUCTION DRAWINGS. UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED, THE CONTRACTOR SHALL USE THE PROPERTY PINS FOR HORIZONTAL CONTROL. DIMENSIONAL CONTROL POINTS. BENCHMARKS ARE NOT TO BE USED FOR HORIZONTAL CONTROL. DIMENSIONAL CONTROL POINTS ARE TO BACK OF CURB. DIMENSIONS ARE TO THE FACE OF CURB, FACE OF RETAINING WALL, AND CENTER OF PAINT STRIPING. ALL DIMENSIONS ARE PERPENDICULAR TO THE POINT OF REFERENCE. REFER TO THE ARCHITECTURAL AND STRUCTURAL PLANS FOR ADDITIONAL DIMENSION CONTROL INFORMATION. ALL CONCRETE CURB RADI ARE 3' UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED. COORDINATES FOR HORIZONTAL CONTROL POINTS ARE BASED ON THE TEXAS STATE PLANE COORDINATE SYSTEM, CENTRAL ZONE, NAD NAVAD88 DISPLATED IN SUFFACE VALUES USING A SUFFACE CADJUSTMENT FACTOR FOR EACH	ADDE TO THE ADDE TO AND
R186.00'	COUNTY:       (THE SURFACE ADJUSTMENT FACTOR FOR THIS SITE IS 0.99988)         STRIPING/SIGNAGE NOTES:       1         1. PAINTED RED WITH FOUR INCH (4') WHITE LETTERING STATING NO PARKING – FIRE LANE; TIRE LANE – TOW AWAY ZONE; OR SIMILAR WORDING, WORDING MAY NOT BE SPACED MORE THAN THIRTY (30') FEET APART.         2. FIRE APPARATUS ACCESS ROADS SHALL BE CONTINUOUSLY MARKED BY PAINTED LINES OF RED TRAFFIC PAINT SIX INCHES (6') IN WIDTH TO SHOW THE BOUNDARIES OF THE LANE. THE WORDS "FIRE LANE - NO PARKING" SHALL APPEAR IN FOUR INCH (4') WHITE LETTERS AT 25 FEET (25') INTERVALS ON THE RED BODERE MARKINGS ALONG BOTH SIDES OF THE FIRE LANES. WHERE A CURB IS AVAILABLE, THE STRIPING SHALL BE ON THE VERTICAL FACE OF THE CURB.         3. ALL PAINT SHALL BE 4" WIDE REFLECTIVE PAINT UNLESS NOTED OTHERWISE: WHITE ON ASPHALT PAVING AND YELLOW ON CONCRETE UNLESS OTHERWISE: NOTED ON THE RED BODER MARKINGS ALONG BOTH SIDES OF THE FIRE LANES. WHERE A CURB IS AVAILABLE, THE STRIPING SHALL BE ON THE VERTICAL FACE OF THE CURB.         4. ALL PAINT SHALL BE 4" WIDE REFLECTIVE PAINT UNLESS NOTED OTHERWISE: WHITE ON ASPHALT PAVING AND YELLOW ON CONCRETE UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED ON THE DRAWINGS SHALL RECEIVE TWO COATS OF PAINT.         5. ALL SIGNS SHALL CONFORM TO MUTCD, LATEST EDITION         IMIC SIX COTTON SPINDLE         CURVE # RADIUS DELTA FACTOR OF 0.9998B0014398272         BM #10: SIX COTTON SPINDLE         CRID NORTH: 10.203,075.38 CRID EAST: 3,082,766.80         ELEV: 1035.47         BM #11: SET MAGNETIC NAIL (TRAVIS) CRID NORTH: 10,203,986.98 CRID EAST: 3,082,786.98	GATEWAY 29, LOTS 9 & 10 100 KAUFFMAN LOOP LEANDER, TEXAS SITE & DIMENSIONAL CONTROL PLAN (1 OF 2)
	— ELEV. 1031.92	JOB NO. <u>51283–01</u> DATE <u>DECEMBER 5 2023</u> DESIGNER <u>JR</u> CHECKED TR DRAWN KT SHEET <b>11 Of 55</b>

### SD-23-0162



### **KEYED NOTES**

- $\langle 1 
  angle$  Concrete sidewalk, re: sheet 36
- $\langle 2 \rangle$  BUILDING ENTRANCE
- $\langle 3 \rangle$ 6" CONCRETE CURB, RE: SHEET 37
- $\langle 4 \rangle$  proposed ada ramp, re: sheet 36
- $\langle 5 \rangle$  wheel stop
- $\langle 6 \rangle$  TRASH DUMPSTER/COMPACTOR
- $\langle 7 \rangle$  ACCESSIBLE PARKING SIGN & BUMPER CURB, RE: DETAILS, SHEET 37
- 8 ACCESSIBLE PARKING SPACE WITH ACCESS AISLE, TO SLOPE 2% MAX IN ALL DIRECTIONS. RE: DETAIL, SHEET 37
- $\langle 9 \rangle$  4" WIDE PAVEMENT STRIPING
- $\langle 10 \rangle$  wall with railing
- $\langle 11 \rangle$  wall with fencing; re: Landscape plans  $\langle 20 \rangle$  bicycle parking
- $\langle 12 \rangle$  VENT SEE ARCHITECTURAL PLANS
- (13) CURB CUT
- $\langle 14 
  angle$  24" white stop bar
- $\langle 15 \rangle$  RAMP WITH HANDRAIL (8.33% MAX) (REFERENCE ARCH)
- (16) VEGETATIVE FILTER STRIP

\_R70.00' - 10' SIDE SETBACK 15' PARKING SETBACK R155.00'-(1)---' 10' BUILDING SETBACK 15' PARKING SETBACK 15' PARKING SETBACK MATCHLINE SEE SHEET 11

- $\langle 18 \rangle$  ACCESSIBLE CROSSWALK  $\langle 19 \rangle$  SIDEWALK STEPS



### DIMENSIONAL CONTROL NOTES:

- 1. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL VERIFY ALL DIMENSIONS PRIOR TO THE START OF CONSTRUCTION AND NOTIFY THE ENGINEER OF ANY DISCREPANCIES.
- THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR ESTABLISHING ALL HORIZONTAL AND VERTICAL CONTROL PER THE CONSTRUCTION DRAWINGS. UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED, THE CONTRACTOR SHALL USE THE PROPERTY PINS FOR HORIZONTAL CONTROL POINTS. BENCHMARKS ARE NOT TO BE USED FOR HORIZONTAL 3.
- CONTROL.
- DIMENSIONAL CONTROL POINTS ARE TO BACK OF CURB. DIMENSIONS ARE TO THE FACE OF CURB, FACE OF RETAINING WALL, AND CENTER OF PAINT STRIPING. ALL DIMENSIONS ARE PERPENDICULAR TO THE POINT OF REFERENCE.
   REFER TO THE ARCHITECTURAL AND STRUCTURAL PLANS FOR ADDITIONAL DIMENSION CONTROL INFORMATION. CONTROL INFORMATION.
- ALL CONCRETE CURB RADII ARE 3' UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED. COORDINATES FOR HORIZONTAL CONTROL POINTS ARE BASED ON THE TEXAS STATE PLANE COORDINATE SYSTEM, CENTRAL ZONE, NAD NAVDA8 DISPLAYED IN SURFACE VALUES USING A SURFACE ADJUSTMENT FACTOR FOR EACH COUNTY. (THE SURFACE ADJUSTMENT FACTOR FOR THIS SITE IS 0.99988)

STRIPING/SIGNAGE NOTES:

- 1. CURB, GUTTERS AND PAVEMENT DELINEATING THE FIRE LANE SHALL BE PAINTED RED WITH FOUR INCH (4") WHITE LETTERING STATING 'NO PARKING - FIRE LANE", "FIRE LANE - TOW AWAY ZONE", OR SIMILAR WORDING. WORDING MAY NOT BE SPACED MORE THAN THIRTY (30') FEET APART.
- 2. FIRE APPARATUS ACCESS ROADS SHALL BE CONTINUOUSLY MARKED BY PAINTED LINES OF RED TRAFFIC PAINT SIX INCHES (6") IN WIDTH TO SHOW THE BOUNDARIES OF THE LANE. THE WORDS "FIRE LANE - NO PARKING" SHALL APPEAR IN FOUR INCH (4") WHITE LETTERS AT 25 FEET (25') INTERVALS ON THE RED BORDER MARKINGS ALONG BOTH SIDES OF THE FIRE LANES. WHERE A CURB IS AVAILABLE, THE STRIPING SHALL BE ON THE VERTICAL FACE OF THE CURB.
- 3. ALL PAINT SHALL BE 4" WIDE REFLECTIVE PAINT UNLESS NOTED OTHERWISE: WHITE ON ASPHALT PAVING AND YELLOW ON CONCRETE UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED ON THE ON ASPHALT PAVING AND TELLOW ON CONCRETE UNLESS OTHER DRAWINGS. REFERENCE DETAIL SHEET FOR STRIPED ISLANDS.
  4. ALL PAVEMENT MARKINGS SHALL RECEIVE TWO COATS OF PAINT.
  5. ALL SIGNS SHALL CONFORM TO MUTCD, LATEST EDITION

	BENCHMAR	<s:< th=""></s:<>
Ψ.	DENICUMADIZE	ADE

-	RENCHN	IARKS:	
	BENCHMA	RKS ARE BASED ON NAD83	
	COORDINA	TES ARE STATE PLANE CENTRAL ZONE WITH	Α
	COMBINED	SCALE FACTOR OF 0.999880014398272	
	BM #10:	SIX COTTON SPINDLE	
		GRID NORTH: 10.203.075.39	
		GRID FAST: 3.082.766.80	
	FI FV	1035 47	
	DM //11.	SET MACHETIC MAIL (TRAVIS)	
		SET MAGNETIC NAIL (TRAVIS)	
		GRID NURTH: 10,203,986.98	
		GRID EAST: 3,082,429.05	
	ELEV:	1031.92'	

0 Š TS AS AS  $\neg \times$ Ο **V 29, LC** KAUFFMAN MA - Ш G

3

ЦО

<u>(</u>2

AN

Ч

ROL

CONTI

ISIONAL

Ζ

Ш

DIME

Š

SITE

JOB NO. 51283-01 DATE DECEMBER 5 2023 DESIGNER JR CHECKED TR DRAWN KT SHEET 12 of 55

## SD-23-0162

## **SECTION VI** ORGANIZED SEWAGE COLLECTION SYSTEM (TCEQ-0582)

## Organized Sewage Collection System Application

#### **Texas Commission on Environmental Quality**

For Regulated Activities on the Edwards Aquifer Recharge Zone and Relating to 30 TAC §213.5(c), Effective June 1, 1999

To ensure that the application is administratively complete, confirm that all fields in the form are complete, verify that all requested information is provided, consistently reference the same site and contact person in all forms in the application, and ensure forms are signed by the appropriate party.

Note: Including all the information requested in the form and attachments contributes to more streamlined technical reviews.

#### Regulated Entity Name: Gateway 29, Lots 9 & 10

 Attachment A – SCS Engineering Design Report. This Engineering Design Report is provided to fulfill the requirements of 30 TAC Chapter 217, including 217.10 of Subchapter A, §§217.51 – 217.70 of Subchapter C, and Subchapter D as applicable, and is required to be submitted with this SCS Application Form.

#### **Customer Information**

 The entity and contact person responsible for providing the required engineering certification of testing for this sewage collection system upon completion (including private service connections) and every five years thereafter to the appropriate TCEQ region office pursuant to 30 TAC §213.5(c) is:

Contact Person: <u>Angela Miu</u> Entity: <u>Zekelman Property Leander 53, LLC</u> Mailing Address: <u>227 W Monroe St., Ste 2600</u> City, State: <u>Chicago, IL</u> Zip: <u>60606</u> Telephone: <u>(312) 275-1672</u> Fax: \_\_\_\_\_ Email Address: <u>angela.miu@zekelman.com</u> *The appropriate regional office must be informed of any changes in this information within 30 days of the change.* 

3. The engineer responsible for the design of this sewage collection system is:

Contact Person: <u>Trevor Riek</u> Texas Licensed Professional Engineer's Number: <u>142314</u> Entity: <u>Pape-Dawson Engineers</u> Mailing Address: <u>10801 N. Mopac Expressway, Building 3 Suite 200</u> City, State:<u>Austin, Texas</u> Telephone:<u>(512) 454-8711</u> Email Address:<u>triek@pape-dawson.com</u>

#### **Project Information**

4. Anticipated type of development to be served (estimated future population to be served, plus adequate allowance for institutional and commercial flows):

	Residential: Number of single-family lots:
	Multi-family: Number of residential units:
$\boxtimes$	Commercial
	Industrial
	Off-site system (not associated with any development)
	Other:

5. The character and volume of wastewater is shown below:

<u>100</u> % Domestic	<u>76,440</u> gallons/day
% Industrial	gallons/day
% Commingled	gallons/day
Total gallons/day: <u>76,440</u>	

- 6. Existing and anticipated infiltration/inflow is <u>10,498</u> gallons/day. This will be addressed by: <u>ADEQUATE SIZING OF PROPOSED SEWER LINES</u>.
- 7. A Water Pollution Abatement Plan (WPAP) is required for construction of any associated commercial, industrial or residential project located on the Recharge Zone.

The WPAP application for this development was approved by letter dated \_\_\_\_\_. A copy of the approval letter is attached.

The WPAP application for this development was submitted to the TCEQ on with this application, but has not been approved.

A WPAP application is required for an associated project, but it has not been submitted. There is no associated project requiring a WPAP application.

8. Pipe description:

#### Table 1 - Pipe Description

Pipe Diamotor(Inchos)	Lincar Foot (1)	Dino Matorial (2)	Specifications (2)
Diameter(inches)	Linear Feet (1)	Pipe Material (2)	Specifications (3)
8" [Gravity]	768	PVC SDR 26	ASTM D3034 & 3212
8" [Pressure Rated			ASTM D2241 CLASS
(160 psi)]	60	PVC SDR 26	160, ASTM D3139

#### Total Linear Feet: 828

- (1) Linear feet Include stub-outs and double service connections. Do not include private service laterals.
- (2) Pipe Material If PVC, state SDR value.

- (3) Specifications ASTM / ANSI / AWWA specification and class numbers should be included.
- 9. The sewage collection system will convey the wastewater to the <u>Leander 2243 Wastewater</u> <u>Treatment Plant</u> (name) Treatment Plant. The treatment facility is:



10. All components of this sewage collection system will comply with:

The City of <u>Leander</u> standard specifications.

Other. Specifications are attached.

- 11. No force main(s) and/or lift station(s) are associated with this sewage collection system.
  - A force main(s) and/or lift station(s) is associated with this sewage collection system and the **Lift Station/Force Main System Application** form (TCEQ-0624) is included with this application.

#### Alignment

- 12. There are no deviations from uniform grade in this sewage collection system without manholes and with open cut construction.
- 13. There are no deviations from straight alignment in this sewage collection system without manholes.
  - Attachment B Justification and Calculations for Deviation in Straight Alignment without Manholes. A justification for deviations from straight alignment in this sewage collection system without manholes with documentation from pipe manufacturer allowing pipe curvature is attached.
  - For curved sewer lines, all curved sewer line notes (TCEQ-0596) are included on the construction plans for the wastewater collection system.

#### Manholes and Cleanouts

14. Manholes or clean-outs exist at the end of each sewer line(s). These locations are listed below: (Please attach additional sheet if necessary)

Line	Shown on Sheet	Station	Manhole or Clean- out?
WW-1	13 Of 45	1+02	MH
WW-1	13 Of 45	3+73	MH
WW-1	13 Of 45	4+46	MH
WW-1	13 Of 45	5+18	MH
WW-1	13 Of 45	7+73	MH
WW-1	13 Of 45	8+30	MH

#### Table 2 - Manholes and Cleanouts

Line	Shown on Sheet	Station	Manhole or Clean- out?
WW-1	13 Of 45	9+25	СО
	Of		
	Of		
	Of		

- 15. Manholes are installed at all Points of Curvature and Points of Termination of a sewer line.
- 16. The maximum spacing between manholes on this project for each pipe diameter is no greater than:

Pipe Diameter (inches)	Max. Manhole Spacing (feet)
6 - 15	500
16 - 30	800
36 - 48	1000
≥54	2000

- Attachment C Justification for Variance from Maximum Manhole Spacing. The maximum spacing between manholes on this project (for each pipe diameter used) is greater than listed in the table above. A justification for any variance from the maximum spacing is attached, and must include a letter from the entity which will operate and maintain the system stating that it has the capability to maintain lines with manhole spacing greater than the allowed spacing.
- 17. 🛛 All manholes will be monolithic, cast-in-place concrete.
  - The use of pre-cast manholes is requested for this project. The manufacturer's specifications and construction drawings, showing the method of sealing the joints, are attached.

#### Site Plan Requirements

#### Items 18 - 25 must be included on the Site Plan.

18.  $\square$  The Site Plan must have a minimum scale of 1" = 400'.

```
Site Plan Scale: 1" = <u>40</u>'.
```

- 19. The Site Plan must include the sewage collection system general layout, including manholes with station numbers, and sewer pipe stub outs (if any). Site plan must be overlain by topographic contour lines, using a contour interval of not greater than ten feet and showing the area within both the five-year floodplain and the 100-year floodplain of any drainage way.
- 20. Lateral stub-outs:
  - The location of all lateral stub-outs are shown and labeled.

No lateral stub-outs will be installed during the construction of this sewer collection system.

#### 21. Location of existing and proposed water lines:

The entire water distribution system for this project is shown and labeled.

If not shown on the Site Plan, a Utility Plan is provided showing the entire water and sewer systems.

There will be no water lines associated with this project.

#### 22. 100-year floodplain:

After construction is complete, no part of this project will be in or cross a 100-year floodplain, either naturally occurring or manmade. (Do not include streets or concrete-lined channels constructed above of sewer lines.)

After construction is complete, all sections located within the 100-year floodplain will have water-tight manholes. These locations are listed in the table below and are shown and labeled on the Site Plan. (Do not include streets or concrete-lined channels constructed above sewer lines.)

#### Table 3 - 100-Year Floodplain

Line	Sheet	Station
	of	to

#### 23. 5-year floodplain:

After construction is complete, no part of this project will be in or cross a 5-year floodplain, either naturally occurring or man-made. (Do not include streets or concrete-lined channels constructed above sewer lines.)

After construction is complete, all sections located within the 5-year floodplain will be encased in concrete or capped with concrete. These locations are listed in the table below and are shown and labeled on the Site Plan. (Do not include streets or concrete-lined channels constructed above sewer lines.)

#### Table 4 - 5-Year Floodplain

Line	Sheet	Station
	of	to
	ef	to
	of	to
	of	to

24. 🔀 Legal boundaries of the site are shown.

25. The *final plans and technical specifications* are submitted for the TCEQ's review. Each sheet of the construction plans and specifications are dated, signed, and sealed by the Texas Licensed Professional Engineer responsible for the design on each sheet.

#### Items 26 - 33 must be included on the Plan and Profile sheets.

26. All existing or proposed water line crossings and any parallel water lines within 9 feet of sewer lines are listed in the table below. These lines must have the type of pressure rated pipe to be installed shown on the plan and profile sheets. Any request for a variance from the required pressure rated piping at crossings must include a variance approval from 30 TAC Chapter 290.

There will be no water line crossings.

 $\boxtimes$  There will be no water lines within 9 feet of proposed sewer lines.

Line	Station or Closest Point	Crossing or Parallel	Horizontal Separation Distance	Vertical Separation Distance
WW-1	4+81	Crossing		3.6 ft
WW-1	5+81	Crossing		3.2 ft
WW-1	8+01	Crossing		2.1 ft
WW-1	8+70	Crossing		2.3 ft
WW-1	8+83	Crossing		2.5 ft
WW-1	8+98	Crossing		2.9 ft

#### Table 5 - Water Line Crossings

#### 27. Vented Manholes:

No part of this sewer line is within the 100-year floodplain and vented manholes are not required by 30 TAC Chapter 217.

- A portion of this sewer line is within the 100-year floodplain and vented manholes will be provided at less than 1500 foot intervals. These water-tight manholes are listed in the table below and labeled on the appropriate profile sheets.
- A portion of this sewer line is within the 100-year floodplain and an alternative means of venting shall be provided at less than 1500 feet intervals. A description of the alternative means is described on the following page.

A portion of this sewer line is within the 100-year floodplain; however, there is no interval longer than 1500 feet located within. No vented manholes will be used.

#### Table 6 - Vented Manholes

Line	Manhole	Station	Sheet

Line	Manhole	Station	Sheet

28. Drop manholes:

There are no drop manholes associated with this project.

Sewer lines which enter new or existing manholes or "manhole structures" higher than 24 inches above the manhole invert are listed in the table below and labeled on the appropriate profile sheets. These lines meet the requirements of 30 TAC §217.55(I)(2)(H).

Table 7 - Drop Manholes

Line	Manhole	Station	Sheet

29. Sewer line stub-outs (For proposed extensions):

The placement and markings of all sewer line stub-outs are shown and labeled.

No sewer line stub-outs are to be installed during the construction of this sewage collection system.

30. Lateral stub-outs (For proposed private service connections):

The placement and markings of all lateral stub-outs are shown and labeled.

] No lateral stub-outs are to be installed during the construction of this sewage collection system.

31. Minimum flow velocity (From Appendix A)

Assuming pipes are flowing full; all slopes are designed to produce flows equal to or greater than 2.0 feet per second for this system/line.

32. Maximum flow velocity/slopes (From Appendix A)

Assuming pipes are flowing full, all slopes are designed to produce maximum flows of less than or equal to 10 feet per second for this system/line.

Attachment D – Calculations for Slopes for Flows Greater Than 10.0 Feet per Second. Assuming pipes are flowing full, some slopes produce flows which are greater than 10 feet per second. These locations are listed in the table below. Calculations are attached.

Line	Profile Sheet	Station to Station	FPS	% <del>Slop</del> e	Erosion/Shock Protection

#### Table 8 - Flows Greater Than 10 Feet per Second

33. Assuming pipes are flowing full, where flows are ≥ 10 feet per second, the provisions noted below have been made to protect against pipe displacement by erosion and/or shock under 30 TAC §217.53(I)(2)(B).

Concrete encasement shown on appropriate Plan and Profile sheets for the locations listed in the table above.

 Steel-reinforced, anchored concrete baffles/retards placed every 50 feet shown on appropriate Plan and Profile sheets for the locations listed in the table above.
 N/A

#### Administrative Information

- 34. The final plans and technical specifications are submitted for TCEQ review. Each sheet of the construction plans and specifications are dated, signed, and sealed by the Texas Licensed Professional Engineer responsible for the design on each sheet.
- 35. Standard details are shown on the detail sheets, which are dated, signed, and sealed by the Texas Licensed Professional Engineer, as listed in the table below:

Standard Details	Shown on Sheet
Lateral stub-out marking [Required]	40 of 55
Manhole, showing inverts comply with 30 TAC §217.55(I)(2) [Required]	39 of 55
Alternate method of joining lateral to existing SCS line for potential future connections [Required]	40 of 55
Typical trench cross-sections [Required]	39 of 55
Bolted manholes [Required]	39 of 55
Sewer Service lateral standard details [Required]	40 of 55
Clean-out at end of line [Required, if used]	40 of 55
Baffles or concrete encasement for shock/erosion protection [Required, if flow velocity of any section of pipe >10 fps]	NA of NA
Detail showing Wastewater Line/Water Line Crossing [Required, if crossings are proposed]	40 of 55
Mandrel detail or specifications showing compliance with 30 TAC §217.57(b) and (c) [Required, if Flexible Pipe is used]	NA of NA

#### Table 9 - Standard Details

Standard Details	Shown on Sheet
Drop manholes [Required, if a pipe entering a manhole is more than 24 inches above manhole invert]	NA of NA

- 36. All organized sewage collection system general construction notes (TCEQ-0596) are included on the construction plans for this sewage collection system.
- 37. All proposed sewer lines will be sufficiently surveyed/staked to allow an assessment prior to TCEQ executive director approval. If the alignments of the proposed sewer lines are not walkable on that date, the application will be deemed incomplete and returned.

Survey staking was completed on this date:

- 38. Submit one (1) original and one (1) copy of the application, plus additional copies as needed for each affected incorporated city, groundwater conservation district, and county in which the project will be located. The TCEQ will distribute the additional copies to these jurisdictions. The copies must be submitted to the appropriate regional office.
- 39. Any modification of this SCS application will require TCEQ approval, prior to construction, and may require submission of a revised application, with appropriate fees.

### Signature

To the best of my knowledge, the responses to this form accurately reflect all information requested concerning the proposed regulated activities and methods to protect the Edwards Aquifer. This **Organized Sewage Collection System Application** is hereby submitted for TCEQ review and executive director approval. The system was designed in accordance with the requirements of 30 TAC §213.5(c) and 30 TAC §217 and prepared by:

Print Name of Licensed Professional Engineer: Shelly Mitchell

Date: 04/16/2024

Place engineer's seal here:



Signature of Licensed Professional Engineer:

Shelly Mitchell

### Appendix A-Flow Velocity Table

*Flow Velocity (Flowing Full)* All gravity sewer lines on the Edwards Aquifer Recharge Zone shall be designed and constructed with hydraulic slopes sufficient to give a velocity when flowing full of not less than 2.0 feet per second, and not greater than 10 feet per second. The grades shown in the following table are based on Manning's formula and an n factor of 0.013 and shall be the minimum and maximum acceptable slopes unless provisions are made otherwise.

Pipe Diameter(Inches)	% Slope required for minimum flow velocity of 2.0 fps	% Slope which produces flow velocity of 10.0 fps
6	0.50	12.35
8	0.33	8.40
10	0.25	6.23
12	0.20	4.88
15	0.15	3.62
18	0.11	2.83
21	0.09	2.30
24	0.08	1.93
27	0.06	1.65
30	0.055	1.43
33	0.05	1.26
36	0.045	1.12
39	0.04	1.01
>39	*	*

#### Table 10 - Slope Velocity

\*For lines larger than 39 inches in diameter, the slope may be determined by Manning's formula (as shown below) to maintain a minimum velocity greater than 2.0 feet per second when flowing full and a maximum velocity less than 10 feet per second when flowing full.

$$v = \frac{1.49}{n} \times R_h^{0.67} \times \sqrt{S}$$

Figure 1 - Manning's Formula

Where:

v = velocity (ft/sec)
n = Manning's roughness coefficient
(0.013)
Rh = hydraulic radius (ft)
S = slope (ft/ft)





SHEET 1 Of 1

## **SECTION VI**

**ORGANIZED SEWAGE COLLECTION SYSTEM : ATTACHMENT A** Engineering Design Report

## GATEWAY 29, LOTS 9 & 10 Engineering Design Report

Prepared in Accordance with the City of Austin Wastewater Design Criteria

March 2024


PROJECT INFORMATION
GRAVITY SANITARY SEWER PIPING: FLOW & CAPACITY ANALYSIS
Odor Control
Flow Calculation
Capacity Calculation
Conclusion7
GENERAL STRUCTURAL COMPONENTS7
Project Materials (Pipe and Joints):7
Project Materials (Bedding):
Project Materials (Manholes):
Project Materials (Manhole Covers):9
Minimum and Maximum Slopes9
Backfill9
Trenching
Minimum and Maximum Trench Width
Minimum and Maximum Trench Width10Corrosion Prevention10Manholes (General)10Manholes (Inverts)11Manholes (Ventilation)11Reduction of Inflow12FLEXIBLE PIPE COMPUTATIONS11Live Load Calculations12
Minimum and Maximum Trench Width10Corrosion Prevention10Manholes (General)10Manholes (Inverts)11Manholes (Ventilation)11Reduction of Inflow12FLEXIBLE PIPE COMPUTATIONS11Live Load Calculations12Buckling Pressure Calculations12
Minimum and Maximum Trench Width10Corrosion Prevention.10Manholes (General)10Manholes (Inverts)11Manholes (Ventilation)11Reduction of Inflow.12FLEXIBLE PIPE COMPUTATIONS11Live Load Calculations.12Buckling Pressure Calculations.12Allowable Buckling Pressure:13
Minimum and Maximum Trench Width10Corrosion Prevention10Manholes (General)10Manholes (Inverts)11Manholes (Ventilation)11Reduction of Inflow12FLEXIBLE PIPE COMPUTATIONS11Live Load Calculations12Buckling Pressure Calculations12Allowable Buckling Pressure:13Pressure Under Installed Conditions14
Minimum and Maximum Trench Width10Corrosion Prevention10Manholes (General)10Manholes (Inverts)11Manholes (Inverts)11Manholes (Ventilation)11Reduction of Inflow12FLEXIBLE PIPE COMPUTATIONS11Live Load Calculations12Buckling Pressure Calculations12Allowable Buckling Pressure:13Pressure Under Installed Conditions14Installation Temperature Effects15



Tensile Strength	
Strain	
Modulus of Soil Reaction	
Zeta Calculation	
Pipe Stiffness	
Deflection	



This Engineering Design Report has been prepared to comply with the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality's Design Criteria for Domestic Wastewater Systems (30 TAC 217), and regulations over the Edwards Aquifer Recharge Zone (30 TAC 213). Please note that throughout this application, in the case of multiple regulations, the more stringent shall apply.

#### **PROJECT INFORMATION**

The Gateway 29 project is located on approximately 14.00 acres located south and west of SH 29 at the intersection of CR 267 and Kauffman Loop, within the city limits of Leander in Williamson County, Texas. No geological or manmade features were identified during a geological assessment of the site. The project limits are located over the Edwards Aquifer Recharge Zone. The property will consist of a multifamily apartment complex. A combined Water Pollution Abatement Plan (WPAP) Application and Sewage Collection System (SCS) Plan Application for this development is being submitted to Texas Commission on Environmental Quality (TCEQ).

The Gateway 29 Sewage Collection System (SCS) Application proposes the construction of an approximately 828 LF of 8-inch (8") PVC, SDR 26 gravity wastewater main. Approximately 60 LF of 8-inch PVC is 160 psi pressure-rated pipe to be centered on water/wastewater line crossings. Regulated activities proposed include excavation, construction of sewer mains, manhole installation, backfill, and compaction.

Approximately 76,440 gallons per day (average flow) of domestic wastewater will flow through the SCS. Sewage flow will be disposed of by conveyance to active Lift Station 25. Potable water will be provided by the City of Leander.

Safety considerations are the responsibility of the contractor. Safety protection shall be accomplished in accordance with the most recent requirements of the Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA) Standards and Interpretations.



#### **GRAVITY SANITARY SEWER PIPING: FLOW & CAPACITY ANALYSIS**

Basis for average flow used for design of collection system (check one or more):

 Per Capita Contributions:
 \_\_\_\_\_\_

 Service Connections:
 ✓

 Land Area and Use:
 ✓

 Fixture Analysis:
 \_\_\_\_\_\_\_

#### **Odor Control**

Odor Control is not necessary on this project as it is a gravity line and there will be no conditions where sewage is standing and will become septic.

#### **Flow Calculation**

Peaking Factor used for design:	$([18+(0.0206 x F)^{0.5}]/(4+(0.0206 x F)^{0.5}))$
	<u>F= 42.19 GPM approx. factor of 3.84</u>
Peaking Factor is based on:	Design Requirements for peak flow (from City of Austin,
	<u>Drainage Criteria Manual)</u>

Gateway 29, Lots 9 & 10: Total Area = 14.00 acres LUEs (units) = 321 units x 0.7 LUEs = 225 LUEs Average Dry Weather Flowrate (gpm)

 $Q_{avg} = \frac{225 \ LUE \ \times \frac{270 \ gal/day}{LUE}}{1440 \ min/day} = 42.19 \ gpm$ 



#### Peak Dry Weather Flowrate (PDWF) (gpm)

In order to determine peak flows for the drainage basin, the formula for peak dry weather flow (PDWF) is applied:

Peak Factor = 
$$\frac{18 + [0.0206 \times Q_{avg}]^{0.5}}{4 + [0.0206 \times Q_{avg}]^{0.5}} = 3.84$$

$$PDWF = PF \times Q_{avg} = 161.9 gpm$$

Using the above equation and an average daily flow of 42.19 gpm gives a peak factor of 3.84 which results in a peak dry weather flow of 161.9 gpm.

#### **Inflow & Infiltration (gpm)**

In order to determine the peak wet weather flow (PWWF), a component must be added to the peak dry weather flow to account for inflow and infiltration to the collection system. This inflow and infiltration is computed based on the value of 750 gallons per day per acre of land in the service area.

$$Q_{I\&I} = \frac{\frac{750gal}{acre}}{\frac{day}{1440 \min/day}} = 7.29 gpm$$

#### Peak Wet Weather Flowrate (gpm)

In adding the inflow and infiltration value to the peak dry weather flow, a peak wet weather flow value is achieved.

$$PWWF = PWDF + Q_{I\&I} = 169.23 gpm$$

Please note that capacities are determined using Manning's equation for pipes flowing full with an "n" value of 0.013. A reference for Manning's Equation can be found in "The Uni-Bell Handbook of PVC Pipe: Design and Construction".

#### **Capacity Calculation**

<u>Characteristics of 8" ASTM D3034, SDR 26, PVC Sewer Pipe:</u> Nominal Size = 8" Outer Diameter  $(D_o) = 8.40$ " Minimum Wall Thickness (t) = 0.323"

Inner Diameter  $(D_i) = 7.75''$ 

Characteristics of 8" ASTM 2241, Class 160, SDR 26, PVC Sewer Pipe:

Nominal Size = 8" Outer Diameter  $(D_o) = 8.625$ " Minimum Wall Thickness (t) = 0.332" Inner Diameter  $(D_i) = 7.961$ "

#### **Manning's Equation:**

$$Q_{full} = \frac{1.49}{n} \times A \times R^{\frac{2}{3}} \times \sqrt{S} \times 448.83 \frac{gpm}{cfs}$$

v = Q/A

Where:

Q = Discharge (cfs)  $k = Constant [(1.49 ft^{1/3})/sec.]$  n = Manning's roughness coefficient (unitless) = 0.013 [as required by 30 TAC 213.53 A(i)]  $A = Flow area (ft^2)$   $R = Hydraulic Radius (ft) = A/P = Cross sectional area of flow (ft^2)/Wetted perimeter (ft.)$  S = Slope (ft/ft) v = Velocity of flow (ft/s)



Calculations for 8" ASTM D3034, SDR 26, PVC Sewer Pipe:

$$Q_{full} = \frac{1.49}{0.013} \times 0.33 \times 0.16^{\frac{2}{3}} \times \sqrt{0.0075} \times \frac{448.83gpm}{cfs} = 433.29 gpm$$

$$A = \pi(D_i^2)/4 = \pi(7.75 \text{ in})^2/4 = 47.17 \text{ in}^2 = 0.33 \text{ ft}^2$$

$$P = \pi(D_i) = \pi(7.75 \text{ in}) = 24.35 \text{ in} = 2.03 \text{ ft}$$

$$R = A/P = 0.33 \text{ ft}^2/2.03 \text{ ft} = 0.16 \text{ ft}$$

$$S = 0.0075$$

$$Q = 0.965 \text{ cfs} = 433.3 \text{ gpm} = Q_{\text{full}}$$

$$v = 0.965 \text{ cfs}/0.33 \text{ ft}^2 = 2.93 \text{ ft/s}$$

$$Qmax = 0.965 \text{ cfs} (0.80)(7.48 \text{ gallons/1 cf})(60 \text{ sec/1 min.}) = 346.47 \text{ gpm}$$

Calculations for 8" ASTM 2241, Class 160, SDR 26, PVC Sewer Pipe:

$$Q_{full} = \frac{1.49}{0.013} \times 0.35 \times 0.17^{\frac{2}{3}} \times \sqrt{0.0075} \times \frac{448.83gpm}{cfs} = 478.5 gpm$$

$$A = \pi (D_i^2)/4 = \pi (7.96 \text{ in})^2/4 = 49.77 \text{ in}^2 = 0.35 \text{ ft}^2$$

$$P = \pi (D_i) = \pi (7.96 \text{ in}) = 25.01 \text{ in} = 2.08 \text{ ft}$$

$$R = A/P = 0.35 \text{ ft}^2/2.08 \text{ ft} = 0.17 \text{ ft}$$

$$S = 0.0075$$

$$Q = 1.066 \text{ cfs} = 478.5 \text{ gpm} = Q_{\text{full}}$$

$$v = 1.066 \text{ cfs}/0.35 \text{ ft}^2 = 3.05 \text{ ft/s}$$

$$Q \text{max} = 1.066 \text{ cfs} (0.80)(7.48 \text{ gallons/1 cf})(60 \text{ sec/1 min.}) = 382.78 \text{ gpm}$$



Nominal Main Size (in)	Outer Diameter (in)	Minimum Slope	Area (ft <sup>2</sup> )	Hydraulic Radius (A/P)	R <sup>2/3</sup>	S <sup>1/2</sup>	Q-Full (cfs)	Max Pipe (%)	Velocity (ft/s)	Q-Max (gpm)
8	8.400	0.0075	0.33	0.16	0.29	0.087	0.965	80	2.93	346
8	8.625	0.0075	0.35	0.17	0.31	0.063	1.066	80	3.05	382.78

Conclusion

The proposed PVC SDR 26 8" pipes with a minimum slope of 0.75% have sufficient capacity to convey the projected average and peak flows.

#### GENERAL STRUCTURAL COMPONENTS

#### **Project Materials (Pipe and Joints):**

Nominal Pipe Diameter (in)	Linear Feet	Pipe Material	National Standard Specification for Pipe Material	National Standard for Pipe Joints
8	768	PVC SDR 26	ASTM D3034	ASTM D3212
8 (pressure)	60	PVC SDR 26	ASTM 2241, Class 160	ASTM D3139

Note: Section 217.53 (j)(4) requires a minimum pipe diameter of 6 inches for all gravity sanitary sewer collection system piping.

Watertight, size on size resilient connectors conforming to ASTM C-923 have been specified for connecting pipe to manholes.

Where a collection system parallels a water supply pipe and a nine-foot separation distance cannot be achieved, Section 217.53 (d)(3)(A)(i) requires a collection system pipe be constructed of cast

iron, ductile iron, or PVC meeting ASTM specifications with at least 150 pounds per square inch (psi) rating for both the pipe and joints. The proposed project will comply with these requirements.

Where a collection system pipe crosses a water supply line and a nine-foot separation distance cannot be achieved, Section 217.53(d)(3)(B)(i) requires the collection system pipe be constructed of cast iron, ductile iron, or PVC with a minimum pressure rating of 150 psi. The proposed project will comply with this requirement and that of 30 TAC 217.53(d)(3)(B)(ii).

#### **Project Materials (Bedding):**

The specified bedding will comply with ASTM D2321-11 Class I, II or III for materials and densification. A minimum of 6 inches of bedding is required for all pipe.

Pipe Diameter (in)	Pipe Material	Bedding Class
8	PVC	Class I & Class III

Initial backfill for the pipe sizes shown above will be Class I. Secondary backfill will be Class III. See Table 2 of ASTM D2321-11 "Soil Classes" in Appendix 1 of this subsection.

#### **Project Materials (Manholes):**

Section 217.55 (f) prohibits the use of bricks to adjust a manhole cover to grade or construct a manhole. The proposed project will comply with this requirement.

The inside diameter of a manhole must be no less than 48 inches. Section 217.55 (n) requires watertight, size-on-size resilient connectors that allow for differential settlement and must conform to American Society for Testing and Materials C-923. The proposed project complies with this requirement.



Under 30 TAC 213.5(C)(3)(A), all manholes over the Recharge Zone must be watertight, with watertight rings and covers. The proposed project complies with this requirement.

#### **Project Materials (Manhole Covers):**

Manhole covers must be constructed of impervious materials. If personnel entry is required, a minimum 30-inch diameter clear opening must be provided. Inclusion of steps in a manhole is prohibited. If a manhole must be located within a 100-year floodplain then a means of preventing inflow is required. A manhole cover that is located in a roadway must meet or exceed the American Association of State Highways and Transportation Officials Standard M-306 for load bearing.

Under 30 TAC 213.5 (c)(3)(A), all manholes over the Edwards Aquifer Recharge Zone must be watertight, with watertight rings and covers. This proposed project complies with this requirement.

#### **Minimum and Maximum Slopes**

Note: All pipes are designed with a slope that will provide a velocity of at least 2 ft/s flowing full, as calculated using Manning's equation with an "n" value of 0.013. Additionally, the collection system is designed to ensure that, with pipes flowing full, the velocities will be less than 10 feet per second.

The following are the minimum and maximum slopes for each pipe diameter: Pipe Diameter: <u>8''(SDR-26 Gravity & 160 psi)</u> Min. Slope: <u>0.75%</u> Max. Slope: <u>3.15%</u>

#### Backfill

Note: The backfill will be free of stones greater than 6 inches in diameter and free of organic or any other unstable material.



#### Trenching

Note: The trench width will be minimized while still allowing adequate width for proper compaction of backfill, and while still ensuring that at least 6 inches of backfill exists below and on each side of the pipe. The trench walls will be vertical to at least one foot above the pipe.

Trenching will occur over the Recharge Zone and will comply with 30 TAC 213.5.

#### Minimum and Maximum Trench Width

Based on 30 TAC 217.54:

Pipe Diameter: <u>8''</u>	Min. Trench Width: <u>23"</u> Max. Trench Width: <u>35"</u>
Pipe Diameter: <u>8'' (160 psi)</u>	Min. Trench Width: 23" Max. Trench Width: 35"

These trench widths account for the bell diameter.

#### **Corrosion Prevention**

Proposed collection system components (pipes, manholes, etc.) will not be susceptible to deterioration through the corrosive effects of an anaerobic sewage environment. Manholes shall be constructed of or lined with a corrosion resistant material. Where new construction ties into an existing manhole, the existing manholes must be lined, coated, or replaced with a corrosion resistant material.

#### Manholes (General)

Note: Manholes are provided at all changes in size, grade or alignment of pipe, at the intersection of all pipes and at the end of all lines that may be extended at a future date. A clean-out with watertight plugs may be installed instead of a manhole if no extensions are anticipated. Clean outs must pass all testing requirements outlined for gravity collection pipes.

The project complies with the maximum manhole spacing allowed by the TCEQ:



Pipe Diameter (in)	Max. Manhole Spacing (ft)
6 - 15	500
18 - 30	800
36 - 48	1000
54 or larger	2000

Manhole Spacing:

Pipe Diameter: <u>8</u>" Max. Spacing: <u>500 LF</u>

#### Manholes (Inverts)

The bottom of a manhole must contain a U-shaped channel which is a smooth continuation of the inlet and outlet pipes. The bench above the channel must be sloped per spec 506.4 and SPL WW-146. See the City of Austin Detail 506S-10 which complies with these requirements. Note, a manhole connected to a pipe less than 15 inches in diameter must have a channel depth equal to at least half the largest pipe's diameter.

#### Manholes (Ventilation)

Manholes within the 100-year floodplain are watertight. There is already an existing vented manhole that the wastewater main will be connecting to.

#### **Reduction of Inflow**

Connection of storm water or roof drains to the sewage collection system is prohibited in accordance with 30 TAC 217.55(j)(6).

#### FLEXIBLE PIPE COMPUTATIONS

Please note, all flexible pipe computations are based on engineering principles and practices for the design of buried PVC pipe systems. Equations used can be found in "The Uni-Bell PVC Pipe

Association Handbook of PVC Pipe: Design and Construction" and <u>Buried Pipe Design</u>, 3<sup>rd</sup> <u>Edition</u> by Moser and Folkman. Please note, the equations used may be in a different format than shown in the Uni-Bell Handbook or <u>Buried Pipe Design</u>, 3<sup>rd</sup> <u>Edition</u>. Throughout this application "160 psi" pipe refers to the pressure rating of the ASTM 2241, Class 160, SDR 26 pipe used at water/sewer crossings.

#### **Live Load Calculations**

No influence of live loads on the performance of the SCS is anticipated. The average burial depth for this line is such that the influence of live loads is negligible.

#### **Buckling Pressure Calculations**

This area of the Edwards Aquifer is unsaturated; consequently, there are no anticipated areas where sewer pipe will be placed below the water table. The value of  $h_w = 0$  as there will be no height or time period of perched water or groundwater above the pipe crowns of the proposed sewer line. No geotechnical borings were done for this line.

The value of H for use in these calculations is twenty-one (21') as it exceeds the maximum burial depth for this line. The value of  $\gamma_s$  equals 143 pcf is a conservative value based on a dry unit weight of 135 pcf and a moisture content of 6%. This value is conservative as it corresponds to saturated unit weights of commonly used backfill materials.



Allowable Buckling Pressure:

$$q_a = 0.4 * \sqrt[2]{32 * Rw * B' * Eb * (E * \frac{I}{D^3})}$$
 Equation 1

$$q_a = 0.4 * \sqrt{32 * 1 * 0.50 * 400 \left(400,000 * \frac{0.003}{8.08^3}\right)} = 48.26 psi (8" PVC SDR26)$$

$$q_a = 0.4 * \sqrt{32 * 1 * 0.50 * 400 \left(400,000 * \frac{0.003}{8.29^3}\right)} = 46.44 psi (8" PVC SDR26 160 psi)$$

$$R_{w} = 1 - 0.3 \, \mathfrak{F}(h_{w} \mathcal{h}) \qquad Equation 2$$

$$R_{W} = 1 - 0.33 * \left(\frac{0}{360}\right) = 1$$

$$B' = \frac{1}{1 + 4 * e^{-0.065}}$$
$$B' = \frac{1}{1 + 4 * e^{-0.065(21)}} = 0.50$$

$$I = (t^{3}/12) * (inches^{4}/linear inch)$$
  

$$I = \left(\frac{.323^{3}}{12}\right) = 0.003in^{3} (8"PVC SDR26)$$
  

$$I = \left(\frac{.332^{3}}{12}\right) = 0.003in^{3} (8"PVC SDR26, 160psi)$$

 $D = D_o - t$ D = 8.40in - 0.323in = 8.08" (8" PVC SDR26) Equation 5

Equation 3



D = 8.625in - 0.332in = 8.29" (8" PVC SDR26, 160psi)

#### Where:

- $q_a$  = Allowable buckling pressure, pounds per square inch (psi)
- h = Height of soil surface above top of pipe in inches (in)
- $h_w$  = Height of water surface above top of pipe in inches (in) (groundwater elevation)
- $R_w$  = Water buoyancy factor. If hw = 0, Rw = 1. If  $0 \le hw \le h$  (groundwater elevation is between the top of the pipe and the ground surface), calculate Rw with Equation 2
- H = Depth of burial in feet (ft) from ground surface to crown of pipe.
- B' = Empirical coefficient of elastic support
- $E_b$  = Modulus of soil reaction for the bedding material (psi)
- E = Modulus of elasticity of the pipe material (psi)
- I = Moment of inertia of the pipe wall cross section per linear inch of pipe, inch<sup>4</sup>/lineal inch = inch<sup>3</sup>. For solid wall pipe, "I" can be calculated with Equation 4
- t = Pipe structural wall thickness (in)
- D = Mean pipe diameter (in)
- D<sub>o</sub> = Pipe outer diameter (in)

#### **Pressure Under Installed Conditions**

$$q_{p} = \gamma_{w}^{*} h_{w} + R_{w}^{*} (W_{c} / D) + L_{l}$$
Equation 6
$$q_{p} = 0.0361 * 0 + 1 * \left(\frac{175.24}{8.08}\right) + 0 = 21.69psi \ (8"PVC \ SDR \ 26)$$

$$q_{p} = 0.0361 * 0 + 1 * \left(\frac{179.80}{8.29}\right) + 0 = 21.69psi \ (8"PVC \ SDR \ 26, 160 \ psi)$$

Where:

q<sub>p</sub> = Pressure applied to pipe under installed conditions (psi)

 $\gamma_w = 0.0361$  pounds per cubic inch (pci), specific weight of water  $W_c = Vertical soil load on the pipe per unit length in pounds per linear inch (lb/in)$  $<math>L_1 = Live load (lbs)$ 

 $W_{c} = \gamma_{s} * H * (D + t)/144$ Equation 7  $W_{c} = 143 * 21 * \frac{8.08 + 0.323}{144} = 175.24 \frac{lb}{in} (8" \text{ PVC, SDR 26})$   $W_{c} = 143 * 21 * \frac{8.29 + 0.332}{144} = 179.80 \frac{lb}{in} (8" \text{ PVC, SDR 26, psi 160})$ 

Where:

 $\gamma_s$  = Specific weight of soil in pounds per cubic foot (pcf)

D = Mean pipe diameter (in)

Pipe Diameter: <u>8"</u>	Pipe Material: PVC, SDR 26	qa: <u>48.26</u>	q <sub>p</sub> : <u><b>21.69</b></u>
Pipe Diameter: <u>8" (160 psi)</u>	Pipe Material: PVC, SDR 26	qa: <b>46.44</b>	qp: <b><u>21.69</u></b>

Since  $q_a \ge q_p$ , the specified pipe is acceptable for the proposed installation.

#### **Installation Temperature Effects**

Flexible pipe will be installed under favorable ambient conditions, per pipe manufacturer's specifications.

#### Wall Crushing

No portion of the proposed sewer line is located within the 5-year floodplain.



#### **Tensile Strength**

The information below is from "The Uni-Bell Handbook of PVC Pipe: Design and Construction" Table 2.1 pages 14-15. This applies to all PVC SDR-26 pipe.

Pipe Material: <u>PVC SDR 26</u> Tensile Strength: <u>7,000</u> Cell Class (PVC only) <u>12454</u>

#### Strain

The conditions of this installation are such that strain-related failure will not be a problem. Strain is generally not a performance-limiting factor for buried PVC pipe or a design-limiting criterion for PVC pipes according to the Uni-Bell Handbook of PVC Pipe (Chapter VII, Pages 255 and 257). As pipe deflection will be below 5%, strain-related failure is not anticipated.

#### Modulus of Soil Reaction

The modulus of soil reaction for the bedding material,  $E_{b}$ , is <u>400 psi</u>.

This value was determined using the "Table 1: Soil Classification Chart" and "Table 2: Soil Classes" from ASTM D2321-11 and Table 7.3 "Average Values of Modulus of Soil Reaction, E" from "The Uni-Bell Handbook of PVC Pipe: Design and Construction" attached in Appendix 1 of this subsection. Class III material was chosen. As the secondary backfill (Class III) has a lower Modulus of Soil Reaction than initial backfill (Class I), its value was used in the calculations that follow. Class III on Table 2 corresponds to coarse-grained soils with fines (GM, GC, SM or SC) and sandy or gravelly fine-grained soils (CL or ML). On Table 7.3, coarse-grained soils with fines at a slight compaction have an E' equal to 400 psi.

The modulus of soil reaction for the in-situ soil, E'n, is 3,000 psi

This value was determined using the "Table 1: Soil Classification Chart" and "Table 2: Soil Classes" from ASTM D 2321-11 and Table 7.3 "Average Values of Modulus of Soil Reaction, E"

from "The Uni-Bell Handbook of PVC Pipe: Design and Construction" attached in Appendix 1 of this subsection. Class I material was chosen, which includes crushed rock as shown on Table 2. Compacted crushed rock on Table 7.3 has an E' equal to 3,000 psi. Values in Table 7.3 are based on empirical data and derived from laboratory and field tests for buried pipe.

Bedding to in-situ soil modulus of soil reaction ratio =  $E_b/E'_n = 400 \text{ psi/3,000 psi} = 0.13$ 

#### **Zeta Calculation**

Where native soil is significantly weaker than bedding material, or where predicted deflection approaches 5%, the effect of native soil must be quantified using Leonhardt's Zeta factor. If the ration of bedding modulus to soil modulus is not equal to 1.0, a zeta factor must be calculated by using the equations below, where zeta is a factor, which corrects for the effect of in-situ soil on pipe stability (Uni-Bell Handbook of Pipe, page 267). To calculate zeta, directly use the formulas below. The calculations that are done to determine the zeta factors for the different pipe diameters must be included with this submittal.

$$zeta = \frac{1.44}{f + (1.44 - f)^* (E_b / E_{n'})}$$

Equation 9

 $zeta = \frac{1.44}{1.24 + (1.44 - 1.24) * 0.13} = 1.14 (8" PVC SDR 26)$  $zeta = \frac{1.44}{1.22 + (1.44 - 1.22) * 0.13} = 1.15 (8" PVC SDR 26, 160 psi)$ 

$$f = \frac{b/d_a - l}{1.154 + 0.444 * (b/d_a - 1)}$$

Equation 10



$$f = \frac{\frac{35}{8.40} - 1}{1.154 + 0.444^* \left(\frac{35}{8.40} - 1\right)} = 1.24 \ (8" \ PVC \ SDR \ 26)$$
$$f = \frac{\frac{35}{8.625} - 1}{1.154 + 0.444^* \left(\frac{35}{8.625} - 1\right)} = 1.22 \ (8" \ PVC \ SDR \ 26, 160 \ psi)$$

Where:

f = Pipe/trench width coefficient

b = Trench width (in)

 $d_a$  = Pipe diameter (in)

 $E_b$  = Modulus of soil reaction for the bedding material (psi)

 $E'_n$  = Modulus of soil reaction for the in-situ soil (psi)

Pipe Diameter: <u>8'' (SDR 26)</u>	Trench Width: 35"	Zeta: 1.14
Pipe Diameter: <u>8'' (SDR 26, 160 psi)</u>	Trench Width: 35"	Zeta: 1.15

#### **Pipe Stiffness**

Pipe stiffness is based on National Reference Standards and manufacturer's data. Please see Table 7.1 of the "The Uni-Bell Handbook of PVC Pipe: Design and Construction" listing the pipe stiffness of 8" PVC SDR 26 and 10" PVC SDR 26 as 115 psi for E = 400,000 psi.

Pipe Diameter: <u>8''</u> Pipe Material: <u>PVC SDR 26</u> Ps: <u>115 psi</u>

#### Deflection

Maximum allowable deflection in installed lines is 5% (per 30 TAC 217), as determined by the deflection analysis and verified by a mandrel test. It is recommended that the percent of vertical deflection is below this range; however, a 7.5% deflection limit (recommended by ASTM D3034)

provides a conservative factor of safety against structural failure (Handbook of PVC Pipe, page 249).

Note: Per Table 7.2 attached in Appendix 1 of the SCS Application, K = 0.096 when the bedding angle is 90 degrees.

$$\Delta Y/D(\%) = \frac{K^*(L_p + L_1)^*100}{(0.149^*P_s) + (0.061^*zeta^*E_b)}$$
Equation 11
$$\frac{\Delta Y}{D(\%)} = \frac{(0.096)(20.9) * 100}{(0.149 * 115) + (0.061 * 1.14 * 400)} = 4.46\% \text{ for 8" pipe}$$

 $\frac{\Delta Y}{D(\%)} = \frac{(0.096)(20.9) * 100}{(0.149 * 115) + (0.061 * 1.15 * 400)} = 4.44\% \text{ for 8" pipe, 160 psi}$ 

$$L_p = \frac{\gamma_s * H}{144}$$
Equation 12
$$L_p = \frac{143*21.0}{144} = 20.9psi$$

 $\Delta Y/D$  (%) = Predicted % vertical deflection under load

- $\Delta Y$  = Change in vertical pipe diameter under load
- D = Undeflected mean pipe diameter (in)
- K = Bedding angle constant
- $\gamma_s$  = Unit weight of soil (pcf)
- H = Depth of burial (ft) from ground surface to crown of pipe
- $L_p$  = Prism load (psi)



Type of Pipe Material	P <sub>s</sub> (psi)	Zeta Factor Assumed or Calculated	E <sub>b</sub> (psi)	% Deflection
8'' PVC SDR 26	115	1.14	400	4.46
8'' PVC SDR 26 (160 psi)	115	1.15	400	4.44

Per ASTM D3034, 7.5% deflection is permitted.

Signature, Seal and Date of the Texas Professional Engineer Below:



#### TABLE 6.6 LIVE LOADS ON PVC PIPE From Uni-Bell Handbook of PVC Pipe: Design and Construction, Fourth Edition (2001)

Height	Live Load Transferred to Pipe, lb/in <sup>2</sup>			Height	Live	Load Transferred to I	Pipe, lb/in <sup>2</sup>
of Cover (ft)	Highway H20 <sup>1</sup>	Railway E80 <sup>2</sup>	Airport 3	of Cover (ft)	Highway H20 <sup>1</sup>	Railway E80 <sup>2</sup>	Airport 3
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 10 12	12.50 5.56 4.17 2.78 1.74 1.39 1.22 0.69 *	26.39 23.61 18.40 16.67 15.63 12.15 11.11 7.64 5.56	$13.14 \\ 12.28 \\ 11.27 \\ 10.09 \\ 8.79 \\ 7.85 \\ 6.93 \\ 6.09 \\ 4.76$	14 16 18 20 22 24 26 28 30 35 40	* * * * * * * * *	4.17 3.47 2.78 2.08 1.91 1.74 1.39 1.04 0.69 * *	3.06 2.29 1.91 1.53 1.14 1.05 * * * *

<sup>1</sup> Simulates 20 ton truck traffic + impact (Source: ASTM A 796)

 <sup>2</sup> Simulates 20 ton mack height + impact (source: ASTM A 796)
 <sup>3</sup> 180,000 lbs. dual tandem gear assembly. 26 inch spacing between tires and 66 inch center-to-center spacing between fore and aft tires under a rigid pavement 12 inches thick + impact.

\* Negligible live load influence.

#### FIGURE 7.4 BEDDING ANGLE From Uni-Bell Handbook of PVC Pipe: Design and Construction, Fourth Edition (2001)



## TABLE 7.2VALUES OF BEDDING CONSTANT, K

BEDDING ANGLE (DEGREES)	<u>K</u>
0	0.110
30	0.108
45	0.105
60	0.102
90	0.096
120	0.090
180	0.083

#### TABLE 7.3 AVERAGE VALUES OF MODULUS OF SOIL REACTION, E' (For Initial Flexible Pipe Deflection) From Uni-Bell Handbook of PVC Pipe: Design and Construction, Fourth Edition (2001)

	E' for Degree of Compaction of Bedding, in pounds per square inch			
		Slight, < 85% Proctor,	Moderate, 85%-95% Proctor,	High, >95% Proctor,
Soil type-pipe bedding material (Unified Classification System <sup>a</sup> ) (1)	Dumped (2)	<40% relative density (3)	40%-70% relative density (4)	>/0% relative density (5)
Fine-grained Soils (LL>50) <sup>b</sup> Soils with medium to high plasticity, CH, MH, CH-MH	No dat soils	ta available; o engineer; Otl	consult a com herwise use E	petent $L' = 0$
Fine-grained Soils (LL<50) Soils with medium to no plasticity, CL, ML, ML-CL, with less than 25% coarse- grained particles	50	200	400	1,000
Fine-grained Soils (LL<50) Soils with medium to no plasticity, CL, ML, ML-CL, with more than 25% coarse-grained particles Coarse-grained Soils with Fines GM, GC, SM, SC <sup>e</sup> contains more than 12% fines	100	400	1,000	2,000
Coarse-grained Soils with Little or no Fines GW, GP, SW, SP <sup>c</sup> contains less than 12% fines	200	1,000	2,000	3,000
Crushed Rock	1,000	3,000	3,000	3,000
Accuracy in Terms of Percentage Deflection <sup>d</sup>	± 2	± 2	± 1	$\pm 0.5$
<ul> <li><sup>a</sup>ASTM Designation D 2487, USBR Designation E-3.</li> <li><sup>b</sup>LL = Liquid limit.</li> <li><sup>c</sup>Or any borderline soil beginning with one of these symbols (i.e. GM-GC, GC-SC).</li> <li><sup>d</sup>For ± 1% accuracy and predicted deflection of 3%, actual deflection would be between 2% and 4%</li> <li>Note: Values applicable only for fills less than 50 ft (15 m). Table does not include any safety factor. For use in predicting initial deflections only, appropriate Deflection Lag Factor must be applied for long-term deflections. If bedding falls on the borderline between two compaction categories, select lower E' value or average the two values. Percentage Proctor based on laboratory maximum dry density from test standards using about 12,500 ft-lb/cu ft (598.000 J/m<sup>3</sup>) (ASTM D 698, AASHTO T-99, USBR Designation E-11). 1 psi = 6.9 kPa.</li> </ul>				

SOURCE: "Soil Reaction for Buried Flexible Pipe" by Amster K. Howard, U.S. Bureau of Reclamation, Denver, Colorado. Reprinted with permission from American Society of Civil Engineers.

# **SECTION VI**

ORGANIZED SEWAGE COLLECTION SYSTEM : ATTACHMENT E Site Plan





EXISTING BENCHMARK

 $\boxtimes$ 

(8)

/////

AC

PROPOSED FIRE HYDRANT PROPOSED WASTEWATER MANHOLE PROPOSED GRATE INLET PROPOSED CURB INLET PROPOSED JUNCTION BOX W/ MANHOLE

PROPOSED ELECTRIC TRANSFORMER PARKING COUNT

PROPOSED BUILDING

PROPOSED CONCRETE SIDEWALK

TREES TO BE PROTECTED



## **PROJECT DATA:**

	_
SITE ACREAGE:	14.000
# LIVING UNITS:	321
ÜNITS/ACRE	22.93

## PARKING SUMMARY

ROOM TYPE	UNIT COUNT	REQUIRED SPACES
1 BED: 2 BED: 3 BED:	211 95 15	316.5 190 37.5
TOTAL:	321	544
PARKING TYPE	UNIT COUNT	
STANDARD: ADA: COMPACT: GARAGE STANDARD GARAGE ADA	125 4 0 406 11	

546

STRIPING/SIGNAGE NOTES

TOTAL:

1. CURB, GUTTERS AND PAVEMENT DELINEATING THE FIRE LANE SHALL BE PAINTED RED WITH FOUR INCH (4") WHITE LETTERING STATING 'NO PARKING - FIRE LANE", 'FIRE LANE - TOW AWAY ZONE", OR SIMILAR WORDING. WORDING MAY NOT BE SPACED MORE THAN THIRTY (30')

FEET APART. 2. FIRE APPARATUS ACCESS ROADS SHALL BE CONTINUOUSLY MARKED BY PAINTED LINES OF RED TRAFFIC PAINT SIX INCHES (6") IN WIDTH TO SHOW THE BOUNDARIES OF THE LANE. THE WORDS "FIRE LANE - NO PARKING" SHALL APPEAR IN FOUR INCH (4") WHITE LETTERS AT 25 FEET (25') INTERVALS ON THE RED BORDER MARKINGS ALONG BOTH SIDES OF THE FIRE LANES. WHERÈ A CURB IS AVAILABLE, THE STRIPING SHALL BE ON THE VERTICAL FACE OF THE CURB. ALL PAINT SHALL BE 4" WIDE REFLECTIVE PAINT UNLESS NOTED OTHERWISE: WHITE ON

ASPHALT PAVING AND YELLOW ON CONCRETE UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED ON THE DRAWINGS. REFERENCE DETAIL SHEET FOR STRIPED ISLANDS.

ALL PAVEMENT MARKINGS SHALL RECEIVE TWO COATS OF PAINT ALL SIGNS SHALL CONFORM TO MUTCD, LATEST EDITION

#### BENCHMARKS: BENCHMARKS ARE BASED ON NAD83 COORDINATES ARE STATE PLANE CENTRAL ZONE WITH A COMBINED SCALE FACTOR OF 0.999880014398272 BM #10: SIX COTTON SPINDLE GRID NORTH: 10,203,075.39 GRID EAST: 3,082,766.80

ELEV:	1035.47
BM #11:	SET MAGNETIC NAIL (TRAVIS GRID NORTH: 10,203,986.98 GRID EAST: 3,082,429.05

ELEV: 1031.92'

JOB NO. 51283-01 DATE DECEMBER 5 2023 DESIGNER . IR CHECKED TR DRAWN KT SHEET 10 of 55

 $\cap$ 

<

 $\mathbf{O}$ 







	SCALE: 1"= 40' 0' 40' 80' 120'	NO. REVISION DATE
.37"W	LEGEND         LOC       LIMITS OF CONSTRUCTION         PROPERTY LINE         PROPOSED EASEMENT LINE         PROPOSED RETAINING WALL         PROPOSED SIDEWALK         EXISTING BENCHMARK         PROPOSED WASTEWATER MANHOLE         PROPOSED GRATE INLET	SHELLY MITCHELL B 103662 CENSER 104/01/2024 Shelly Mibled
GATEWAY 29 REAL ESTATE LLC REMNANT PORTION OF A CALLED 32.266 ACRE TRACT DOC. NO. 2021019843 (0.P.R.)	<ul> <li>PROPOSED JUNCTION BOX</li> <li>PROPOSED HEADWALL</li> <li>PROPOSED BUILDING</li> </ul> DIMENSIONAL CONTROL NOTES: Intervision and Notify the Engineer of Any Discrepancies. Intervision and Notify the Engineer of Any Discrepancies. Intervision and Notify the Engineer of Any Discrepancies. UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED, THE CONTRACTOR SHALL VERIFY ALL DIMENSIONS PRIOR TO THE START OF CONSTRUCTION AND NOTIFY THE ENGINEER OF ANY DISCREPANCIES. UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED, THE CONTRACTOR SHALL USE THE PROPERTY PINS FOR HORIZONTAL CONTROL PER THE CONSTRUCTION DRAWINGS. UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED, THE CONTRACTOR SHALL USE THE PROPERTY PINS FOR HORIZONTAL CONTROL. DIMENSIONAL CONTROL POINTS ARE TO BACK OF CURB. DIMENSIONS ARE TO THE FACE OF CURB, FACE OF RETAINING WALL, AND CENTER OF PAINT STRIPING. ALL DIMENSIONS ARE PERPENDICULAR TO THE POINT OF REFERENCE. REFER TO THE ARCHITECTURAL AND STRUCTURAL PLANS FOR ADDITIONAL DIMENSION CONTROL INFORMATION. ALL CONCRETE CURB RADII ARE 3' UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED. COORDINATES FOR HORIZONTAL CONTROL POINTS ARE BASED ON THE TEXAS STATE PLANE COORDINATE SYSTEM, CENTRAL ZONE, NAD NAVD88 DISPLAYED IN SURFACE ADJUSTMENT FACTOR FOR EACH	ADDREADADAWSON ADDREADAWSON AUSTIN I SAN ANTONIO I HOUSTON I FORT WORTH I DALLAS 10801 N MOPAC EXPY, BLDG 3, STE 200 I AUSTIN, TX 78759 I 512.454.8711 TBPE FIRM REGISTRATION #470 I TBPLS FIRM REGISTRATION #10028801
R186.00'	COUNTY:       (THE SURFACE ADJUSTMENT FACTOR FOR THIS SITE IS 0.99988)         STRIPING/SIGNAGE NOTES:       1         1. PAINTED RED WITH FOUR INCH (4') WHITE LETTERING STATING NO PARKING – FIRE LANE; TIRE LANE – TOW AWAY ZONE; OR SIMILAR WORDING, WORDING MAY NOT BE SPACED MORE THAN THIRTY (30') FEET APART.         2. FIRE APPARATUS ACCESS ROADS SHALL BE CONTINUOUSLY MARKED BY PAINTED LINES OF RED TRAFFIC PAINT SIX INCHES (6') IN WIDTH TO SHOW THE BOUNDARIES OF THE LANE. THE WORDS "FIRE LANE - NO PARKING" SHALL APPEAR IN FOUR INCH (4') WHITE LETTERS AT 25 FEET (25') INTERVALS ON THE RED BODERE MARKINGS ALONG BOTH SIDES OF THE FIRE LANES. WHERE A CURB IS AVAILABLE, THE STRIPING SHALL BE ON THE VERTICAL FACE OF THE CURB.         3. ALL PAINT SHALL BE 4" WIDE REFLECTIVE PAINT UNLESS NOTED OTHERWISE: WHITE ON ASPHALT PAVING AND YELLOW ON CONCRETE UNLESS OTHERWISE: NOTED ON THE RED BODER MARKINGS ALONG BOTH SIDES OF THE FIRE LANES. WHERE A CURB IS AVAILABLE, THE STRIPING SHALL BE ON THE VERTICAL FACE OF THE CURB.         4. ALL PAINT SHALL BE 4" WIDE REFLECTIVE PAINT UNLESS NOTED OTHERWISE: WHITE ON ASPHALT PAVING AND YELLOW ON CONCRETE UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED ON THE DRAWINGS SHALL RECEIVE TWO COATS OF PAINT.         5. ALL SIGNS SHALL CONFORM TO MUTCD, LATEST EDITION         IMIC SIX COTTON SPINDLE         CURVE # RADIUS DELTA FACTOR OF 0.9998B0014398272         BM #10: SIX COTTON SPINDLE         CRID NORTH: 10.203,075.38 CRID EAST: 3,082,766.80         ELEV: 1035.47         BM #11: SET MAGNETIC NAIL (TRAVIS) CRID NORTH: 10,203,986.98 CRID EAST: 3,082,786.98	GATEWAY 29, LOTS 9 & 10         100 KAUFFMAN LOOP         LEANDER, TEXAS         SITE & DIMENSIONAL CONTROL PLAN (1 OF 2)
	— ELEV. IU31.92	JOB NO. <u>51283–01</u> DATE <u>DECEMBER 5 2023</u> DESIGNER <u>JR</u> CHECKED TR DRAWN KT SHEET <b>11 Of 55</b>



## **KEYED NOTES**

- $\langle 1 
  angle$  Concrete sidewalk, re: sheet 36
- $\langle 2 \rangle$  BUILDING ENTRANCE
- $\langle 3 \rangle$ 6" CONCRETE CURB, RE: SHEET 37
- $\langle 4 \rangle$  proposed ada ramp, re: sheet 36
- $\langle 5 \rangle$  wheel stop
- $\langle 6 \rangle$  TRASH DUMPSTER/COMPACTOR
- $\langle 7 \rangle$  ACCESSIBLE PARKING SIGN & BUMPER CURB, RE: DETAILS, SHEET 37
- 8 ACCESSIBLE PARKING SPACE WITH ACCESS AISLE, TO SLOPE 2% MAX IN ALL DIRECTIONS. RE: DETAIL, SHEET 37
- $\langle 9 \rangle$  4" WIDE PAVEMENT STRIPING
- $\langle 10 \rangle$  wall with railing
- $\langle 11 \rangle$  wall with fencing; re: Landscape plans  $\langle 20 \rangle$  bicycle parking
- $\langle 12 \rangle$  VENT SEE ARCHITECTURAL PLANS
- (13) CURB CUT
- $\langle 14 
  angle$  24" white stop bar
- $\langle 15 \rangle$  RAMP WITH HANDRAIL (8.33% MAX) (REFERENCE ARCH)
- (16) VEGETATIVE FILTER STRIP

\_R70.00' - 10' SIDE SETBACK 15' PARKING SETBACK R155.00'-(1)---' 10' BUILDING SETBACK 15' PARKING SETBACK 15' PARKING SETBACK MATCHLINE SEE SHEET 11

- $\langle 18 \rangle$  ACCESSIBLE CROSSWALK  $\langle 19 \rangle$  SIDEWALK STEPS



## DIMENSIONAL CONTROL NOTES:

- 1. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL VERIFY ALL DIMENSIONS PRIOR TO THE START OF CONSTRUCTION AND NOTIFY THE ENGINEER OF ANY DISCREPANCIES.
- THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR ESTABLISHING ALL HORIZONTAL AND VERTICAL CONTROL PER THE CONSTRUCTION DRAWINGS. UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED, THE CONTRACTOR SHALL USE THE PROPERTY PINS FOR HORIZONTAL CONTROL POINTS. BENCHMARKS ARE NOT TO BE USED FOR HORIZONTAL 3.
- CONTROL.
- DIMENSIONAL CONTROL POINTS ARE TO BACK OF CURB. DIMENSIONS ARE TO THE FACE OF CURB, FACE OF RETAINING WALL, AND CENTER OF PAINT STRIPING. ALL DIMENSIONS ARE PERPENDICULAR TO THE POINT OF REFERENCE.
   REFER TO THE ARCHITECTURAL AND STRUCTURAL PLANS FOR ADDITIONAL DIMENSION CONTROL INFORMATION. CONTROL INFORMATION.
- ALL CONCRETE CURB RADII ARE 3' UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED. COORDINATES FOR HORIZONTAL CONTROL POINTS ARE BASED ON THE TEXAS STATE PLANE COORDINATE SYSTEM, CENTRAL ZONE, NAD NAVDA8 DISPLAYED IN SURFACE VALUES USING A SURFACE ADJUSTMENT FACTOR FOR EACH COUNTY. (THE SURFACE ADJUSTMENT FACTOR FOR THIS SITE IS 0.99988)

STRIPING/SIGNAGE NOTES:

- 1. CURB, GUTTERS AND PAVEMENT DELINEATING THE FIRE LANE SHALL BE PAINTED RED WITH FOUR INCH (4") WHITE LETTERING STATING 'NO PARKING - FIRE LANE", "FIRE LANE - TOW AWAY ZONE", OR SIMILAR WORDING. WORDING MAY NOT BE SPACED MORE THAN THIRTY (30') FEET APART.
- 2. FIRE APPARATUS ACCESS ROADS SHALL BE CONTINUOUSLY MARKED BY PAINTED LINES OF RED TRAFFIC PAINT SIX INCHES (6") IN WIDTH TO SHOW THE BOUNDARIES OF THE LANE. THE WORDS "FIRE LANE - NO PARKING" SHALL APPEAR IN FOUR INCH (4") WHITE LETTERS AT 25 FEET (25') INTERVALS ON THE RED BORDER MARKINGS ALONG BOTH SIDES OF THE FIRE LANES. WHERE A CURB IS AVAILABLE, THE STRIPING SHALL BE ON THE VERTICAL FACE OF THE CURB.
- 3. ALL PAINT SHALL BE 4" WIDE REFLECTIVE PAINT UNLESS NOTED OTHERWISE: WHITE ON ASPHALT PAVING AND YELLOW ON CONCRETE UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED ON THE ON ASPHALT PAVING AND TELLOW ON CONCRETE UNLESS OTHER DRAWINGS. REFERENCE DETAIL SHEET FOR STRIPED ISLANDS.
  4. ALL PAVEMENT MARKINGS SHALL RECEIVE TWO COATS OF PAINT.
  5. ALL SIGNS SHALL CONFORM TO MUTCD, LATEST EDITION

	BENCHMAR	<s:< th=""></s:<>
Ψ.	DENICUMADIZE	ADE

-	RENCHN	IARKS:	
	BENCHMA	RKS ARE BASED ON NAD83	
	COORDINA	TES ARE STATE PLANE CENTRAL ZONE WITH	Α
	COMBINED	SCALE FACTOR OF 0.999880014398272	
	BM #10:	SIX COTTON SPINDLE	
		GRID NORTH: 10.203.075.39	
		GRID FAST: 3.082.766.80	
	FI FV	1035 47	
	DM #11.	SET MACHETIC NAIL (TRAVIS)	
	DM #11.	CRID NORTH 10 207 086 08	
		GRID NURTH: 10,203,980.98	
		GRID EAST: 3,082,429.05	
	ELEV:	1031.92'	

0 Š TS AS AS  $\neg \times$ O V 29, LC KAUFFMAN EANDER, TE> MA - Ш G

3

ЦО

<u>(</u>2

AN

Ч

ROL

CONTI

ISIONAL

Ζ

Ш

DIME

Š

SITE

JOB NO. 51283-01 DATE DECEMBER 5 2023 DESIGNER JR CHECKED TR DRAWN KT SHEET 12 of 55

# SECTION VI

**ORGANIZED SEWAGE COLLECTION SYSTEM : ATTACHMENT F** *Final Plan and Profile Sheets* 



		Wastewater Demand				
el age	LUEs	Average Dry Weather Demand (gpm)	Peak Factor	Peak Dry Weather Demand (gpm)	Inflow & Infiltration (gpm)	Peak Wet Weather Demand (gpm)
00	225.00	42.19	3.84	161.94	7.29	169.23
0	225	42.19		161.94	7.29	169.23

Water Der		
Minimum Pressure	Fire Flow Available	
67 psi (1,186 HGL)	74 psi (1,202 HGL)	4,000 GPM









MUST BE INSTALLED AND TESTED IN ACCORDANCE WITH NFPA 13 AND THE FIRE CODE, BY A LICENSED SPRINKLER CONTRACTOR WITH A PLUMBING PERMIT. THE ENTIRE MAIN MUST BE HYDROSTATICALLY TESTED AT ONE TIME, UNLESS ISOLATION VALVES ARE PROVIDED BETWEEN TEST SECTIONS

Ę

 $\square$ 

 $\Box$ 

Ш

WA) 100 K

Z Z Z

**೧** 

9. UNDERGROUND MAINS SUPPLYING PRIVATE HYDRANTS MUST BE INSTALLED AND TESTED IN ACCORDANCE WITH NFPA 24, AND THE FIRE CODE, BY A LICENSED CONTRACTOR WITH A PLUMBING PERMIT. THE ENTIRE MAIN MUST BE HYDROSTATICALLY TESTED AT ONE TIME, UNLESS ISOLATION VALVES ARE PROVIDED BETWEEN TESTED SECTIONS.



# N69°53'16"W 69.33'

1018



## **SECTION VII** TEMPORARY STORMWATER SECTION (TCEQ-0602)

## **Temporary Stormwater Section**

**Texas Commission on Environmental Quality** 

for Regulated Activities on the Edwards Aquifer Recharge Zone and Relating to 30 TAC §213.5(b)(4)(A), (B), (D)(I) and (G); Effective June 1, 1999

To ensure that the application is administratively complete, confirm that all fields in the form are complete, verify that all requested information is provided, consistently reference the same site and contact person in all forms in the application, and ensure forms are signed by the appropriate party.

Note: Including all the information requested in the form and attachments contributes to more streamlined technical reviews.

### Signature

To the best of my knowledge, the responses to this form accurately reflect all information requested concerning the proposed regulated activities and methods to protect the Edwards Aquifer. This **Temporary Stormwater Section** is hereby submitted for TCEQ review and executive director approval. The application was prepared by:

Print Name of Customer/Agent: Trevor Riek

Date: <u>04/11/24</u>

Signature of Customer/Agent:

Sugar Wiel

Regulated Entity Name: Gateway 29, Lots 9 & 10

#### **Project Information**

#### Potential Sources of Contamination

*Examples: Fuel storage and use, chemical storage and use, use of asphaltic products, construction vehicles tracking onto public roads, and existing solid waste.* 

1. Fuels for construction equipment and hazardous substances which will be used during construction:

The following fuels and/or hazardous substances will be stored on the site: <u>Contruction</u> <u>Staging Area</u>

These fuels and/or hazardous substances will be stored in:

Aboveground storage tanks with a cumulative storage capacity of less than 250 gallons will be stored on the site for less than one (1) year.

TCEQ-0602 (Rev. 02-11-15)

Aboveground storage tanks with a cumulative storage capacity between 250 gallons and 499 gallons will be stored on the site for less than one (1) year.

- Aboveground storage tanks with a cumulative storage capacity of 500 gallons or more will be stored on the site. An Aboveground Storage Tank Facility Plan application must be submitted to the appropriate regional office of the TCEQ prior to moving the tanks onto the project.
- Fuels and hazardous substances will not be stored on the site.
- 2. Attachment A Spill Response Actions. A site specific description of the measures to be taken to contain any spill of hydrocarbons or hazardous substances is attached.
- 3. Temporary aboveground storage tank systems of 250 gallons or more cumulative storage capacity must be located a minimum horizontal distance of 150 feet from any domestic, industrial, irrigation, or public water supply well, or other sensitive feature.
- 4. Attachment B Potential Sources of Contamination. A description of any activities or processes which may be a potential source of contamination affecting surface water quality is attached.

#### Sequence of Construction

5. Attachment C - Sequence of Major Activities. A description of the sequence of major activities which will disturb soils for major portions of the site (grubbing, excavation, grading, utilities, and infrastructure installation) is attached.

For each activity described, an estimate (in acres) of the total area of the site to be disturbed by each activity is given.

- For each activity described, include a description of appropriate temporary control measures and the general timing (or sequence) during the construction process that the measures will be implemented.
- 6. Name the receiving water(s) at or near the site which will be disturbed or which will receive discharges from disturbed areas of the project: <u>North Fork San Gabriel River</u> <u>Watershed</u>

## Temporary Best Management Practices (TBMPs)

Erosion control examples: tree protection, interceptor swales, level spreaders, outlet stabilization, blankets or matting, mulch, and sod. Sediment control examples: stabilized construction exit, silt fence, filter dikes, rock berms, buffer strips, sediment traps, and sediment basins. Please refer to the Technical Guidance Manual for guidelines and specifications. All structural BMPs must be shown on the site plan.

7. Attachment D – Temporary Best Management Practices and Measures. TBMPs and measures will prevent pollution of surface water, groundwater, and stormwater. The construction-phase BMPs for erosion and sediment controls have been designed to retain sediment on site to the extent practicable. The following information is attached:
| $\boxtimes$ | A description of how BMPs and measures will prevent pollution of surface water, |
|-------------|---|
|             | groundwater or stormwater that originates upgradient from the site and flows    |
|             | across the site.  |

A description of how BMPs and measures will prevent pollution of surface water or groundwater that originates on-site or flows off site, including pollution caused by contaminated stormwater runoff from the site.

A description of how BMPs and measures will prevent pollutants from entering surface streams, sensitive features, or the aquifer.

A description of how, to the maximum extent practicable, BMPs and measures will maintain flow to naturally-occurring sensitive features identified in either the geologic assessment, TCEQ inspections, or during excavation, blasting, or construction.

8. The temporary sealing of a naturally-occurring sensitive feature which accepts recharge to the Edwards Aquifer as a temporary pollution abatement measure during active construction should be avoided.

Attachment E - Request to Temporarily Seal a Feature. A request to temporarily seal a feature is attached. The request includes justification as to why no reasonable and practicable alternative exists for each feature.

There will be no temporary sealing of naturally-occurring sensitive features on the site.

9. Attachment F - Structural Practices. A description of the structural practices that will be used to divert flows away from exposed soils, to store flows, or to otherwise limit runoff discharge of pollutants from exposed areas of the site is attached. Placement of structural practices in floodplains has been avoided.

10. Attachment G - Drainage Area Map. A drainage area map supporting the following requirements is attached:

For areas that will have more than 10 acres within a common drainage area disturbed at one time, a sediment basin will be provided.

For areas that will have more than 10 acres within a common drainage area disturbed at one time, a smaller sediment basin and/or sediment trap(s) will be used.

For areas that will have more than 10 acres within a common drainage area disturbed at one time, a sediment basin or other equivalent controls are not attainable, but other TBMPs and measures will be used in combination to protect down slope and side slope boundaries of the construction area.

There are no areas greater than 10 acres within a common drainage area that will be disturbed at one time. A smaller sediment basin and/or sediment trap(s) will be used in combination with other erosion and sediment controls within each disturbed drainage area.

11. Attachment H - Temporary Sediment Pond(s) Plans and Calculations. Temporary											
	sediment traps within each disturbed drainage area will be used.										
	disturbed at one time. Frosion and sediment controls other than sediment basins or										
	There are no areas greater than 10 acres within a common drainage area that will be										

- sediment pond or basin construction plans and design calculations for a proposed temporary BMP or measure have been prepared by or under the direct supervision of a Texas Licensed Professional Engineer. All construction plans and design information must be signed, sealed, and dated by the Texas Licensed Professional Engineer. Construction plans for the proposed temporary BMPs and measures are attached.
  - 🖂 N/A
- 12. Attachment I Inspection and Maintenance for BMPs. A plan for the inspection of each temporary BMP(s) and measure(s) and for their timely maintenance, repairs, and, if necessary, retrofit is attached. A description of the documentation procedures, recordkeeping practices, and inspection frequency are included in the plan and are specific to the site and/or BMP.
- 13. All control measures must be properly selected, installed, and maintained in accordance with the manufacturer's specifications and good engineering practices. If periodic inspections by the applicant or the executive director, or other information indicate a control has been used inappropriately, or incorrectly, the applicant must replace or modify the control for site situations.
- 14. If sediment escapes the construction site, off-site accumulations of sediment must be removed at a frequency sufficient to minimize offsite impacts to water quality (e.g., fugitive sediment in street being washed into surface streams or sensitive features by the next rain).
- 15. Sediment must be removed from sediment traps or sedimentation ponds not later than when design capacity has been reduced by 50%. A permanent stake will be provided that can indicate when the sediment occupies 50% of the basin volume.
- 16. 🖂 Litter, construction debris, and construction chemicals exposed to stormwater shall be prevented from becoming a pollutant source for stormwater discharges (e.g., screening outfalls, picked up daily).

# Soil Stabilization Practices

*Examples:* establishment of temporary vegetation, establishment of permanent vegetation, mulching, geotextiles, sod stabilization, vegetative buffer strips, protection of trees, or preservation of mature vegetation.

17. Attachment J - Schedule of Interim and Permanent Soil Stabilization Practices. A schedule of the interim and permanent soil stabilization practices for the site is attached.

- 18. Records must be kept at the site of the dates when major grading activities occur, the dates when construction activities temporarily or permanently cease on a portion of the site, and the dates when stabilization measures are initiated.
- 19. Stabilization practices must be initiated as soon as practicable where construction activities have temporarily or permanently ceased.

# Administrative Information

- 20.  $\square$  All structural controls will be inspected and maintained according to the submitted and approved operation and maintenance plan for the project.
- 21. If any geologic or manmade features, such as caves, faults, sinkholes, etc., are discovered, all regulated activities near the feature will be immediately suspended. The appropriate TCEQ Regional Office shall be immediately notified. Regulated activities must cease and not continue until the TCEQ has reviewed and approved the methods proposed to protect the aquifer from any adverse impacts.
- 22. Silt fences, diversion berms, and other temporary erosion and sediment controls will be constructed and maintained as appropriate to prevent pollutants from entering sensitive features discovered during construction.

# **SECTION VII**

**TEMPORARY STORMWATER SECTION : ATTACHMENT A** Spill Response Actions

### SPILL RESPONSE ACTIONS

In the event of an accidental leak or spill:

- The spill must be contained and cleaned up immediately.
- Spills will not be merely buried or washed with water.
- Contractor shall take action to contain spill. Contractor may use sand or other absorbent material stockpiled on site to absorb spill. Absorbent material should be spread over the spill area to absorb the spilled product.
- In the event of an uncontained discharge the contractor shall utilize onsite equipment to construct berms downgradient of the spill with sand or other absorbent material to contain and absorb the spilled product.
- Spill containment/absorbent materials along with impacted media must be collected and stored in such a way so as not to continue to affect additional media (soil/water). Once the spill has been contained, collected material should be placed on poly or plastic sheeting until removed from the site. The impacted media and cleanup materials should be covered with plastic sheeting and the edges weighed down with paving bricks or other similarly dense objects as the material is being accumulated. This will prevent the impacted media and cleanup materials from becoming airborne in windy conditions or impacting runoff during a rain event. The stockpiled materials should not be located within an area of concentrated runoff such as along a curb line or within a swale.
- Contaminated soils and cleanup materials will be sampled for waste characterization. When the analysis results are known the contaminated soils and cleanup materials will be removed from the site and disposed in a permitted landfill in accordance with applicable regulations.
- The contractor will be required to notify the owner, who will in turn contact TCEQ to notify them in the event of a significant hazardous/reportable quantity spill. Additional notifications as required by the type and amount of spill will be conducted by owner or owner's representative.

In the event of an accidental significant or hazardous spill:

The contractor will be required to report significant or hazardous spills in reportable quantities to:

• Notify the TCEQ by telephone as soon as possible and within 24 hours at 512-339-2929 (Austin) or 210-490-3096 (San Antonio) between 8 AM and 5 PM. After hours, contact



the Environmental Release Hotline at 1-800-832-8224. It is the contractor's responsibility to have all emergency phone numbers at the construction site.

- For spills of federal reportable quantities, in conformance with the requirements in 40 CFR parts 110,119, and 302, the contractor should notify the National Response Center at (800) 424-8802.
- Notification should first be made by telephone and followed up with a written report.
- The services of a spills contractor or a Haz-Mat team should be obtained immediately. Construction personnel should not attempt to clean up until the appropriate and qualified staffs have arrived at the job site.
- Other agencies which may need to be consulted include, but are not limited to, the City Police Department, County Sheriff Office, Fire Departments, etc.
- Contaminated soils will be sampled for waste characterization. When the analysis results are known the contaminated soils will be removed from the site and disposed in a permitted landfill in accordance with applicable regulations.

Additional guidance can be obtained from TCEQ's Technical Guidance Manual (TGM) RG-348 (2005) Section 1.4.16. Contractor shall review this section.



# **SECTION VII** TEMPORARY STORMWATER SECTION : ATTACHMENT B

Potential Sources of Contamination

### POTENTIAL SOURCES OF CONTAMINATION

Other potential sources of contamination during construction include:

- Potential Source Asphalt products used on this project. Preventative Measure After placement of asphalt, emulsion or coatings, the contractor will be responsible immediate cleanup should for an unexpected rain occur. For the duration of the asphalt product curing time, the contractor will maintain standby personnel and equipment to contain any asphalt washoff should an unexpected rain occur. The contractor will be instructed not to place asphalt products on the ground within 48 hours of a forecasted rain. Potential Source Oil, grease, fuel and hydraulic fluid contamination from construction equipment and vehicle dripping. Preventative Measure Vehicle maintenance when possible will be
  - performed within the construction staging area.
     Construction vehicles and equipment shall be
  - checked regularly for leaks and repaired immediately.
  - Potential Source Accidental leaks or spills of oil, petroleum products and substances listed under 40 CFR parts 110, 117, and 302 used or stored temporarily on site.
- Preventative Measure Contractor to incorporate into regular safety meetings, a discussion of spill prevention and appropriate disposal procedures.
  - Contractor's superintendent or representative overseer shall enforce proper spill prevention and control measures.
  - Hazardous materials and wastes shall be stored in covered containers and protected from vandalism.
  - A stockpile of spill cleanup materials shall be stored on site where it will be readily accessible.
  - Potential Source 
    Miscellaneous trash and litter from construction workers and material wrappings.



Preventive Measure	Trash containers will be placed throughout the site to encourage proper trash disposal.
Potential Source ● Preventive Measure	<ul> <li>Construction debris.</li> <li>Construction debris will be monitored daily by contractor. Debris will be collected weekly and placed in disposal bins. Situations requiring immediate attention will be addressed on a case by case basis.</li> </ul>
Potential Source Preventative Measure	<ul> <li>Spills/Overflow of waste from portable toilets</li> <li>Portable toilets will be placed away from high traffic vehicular areas and storm drain inlets.</li> <li>Portable toilets will be placed on a level ground surface.</li> <li>Portable toilets will be inspected regularly for leaks and will be serviced and sanitized at time intervals that will maintain sanitary conditions.</li> </ul>

Other potential sources of contamination during construction include:

Potential Source	Asphalt products used on this project.
Preventative Measure	After placement of asphalt, emulsion or coatings, the contractor will be responsible for immediate cleanup should an unexpected rain occur. For the duration of the asphalt product curing time, the contractor will maintain standby personnel and equipment to contain any asphalt wash-off should an unexpected rain occur. The contractor will be instructed not to place asphalt products on the ground within 48 hours of a forecasted rain.
Potential Source	Oil, grease, fuel and hydraulic fluid contamination from construction equipment and vehicle dripping.
Preventative Measure	<ul> <li>Vehicle maintenance when possible will be performed within the construction staging area.</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Construction vehicles and equipment shall be checked regularly for leaks and repaired immediately.</li> </ul>

PAPE-DAWSON ENGINEERS

Potential Source ●	Accidental leaks or spills of oil, petroleum products and substances listed under 40 CFR parts 110, 117, and 302 used or stored temporarily on site.
Preventative Measure	<ul> <li>Contractor to incorporate into regular safety meetings, a discussion of spill prevention and appropriate disposal procedures.</li> <li>Contractor's superintendent or representative overseer shall enforce proper spill prevention and control measures.</li> <li>Hazardous materials and wastes shall be stored in covered containers and protected from vandalism.</li> <li>A stockpile of spill cleanup materials shall be stored on site where it will be readily accessible.</li> </ul>
Potential Source ●	Miscellaneous trash and litter from construction workers and material wrappings.
Preventive Measure	Trash containers will be placed throughout the site to encourage proper trash disposal.
Potential Source	Construction debris.
Preventive Measure	Construction debris will be monitored daily by contractor. Debris will be collected weekly and placed in disposal bins. Situations requiring immediate attention will be addressed on a case by case basis.
Potential Source ● Preventative Measure	<ul> <li>Spills/Overflow of waste from portable toilets</li> <li>Portable toilets will be placed away from high traffic vehicular areas and storm drain inlets.</li> <li>Portable toilets will be placed on a level ground surface.</li> <li>Portable toilets will be inspected regularly for leaks and will be serviced and sanitized at time intervals that will maintain sanitary conditions.</li> </ul>

PAPE-DAWSON ENGINEERS

# **SECTION VII**

TEMPORARY STORMWATER SECTION : ATTACHMENT C

Sequence of Major Activities

### **SEQUENCE OF MAJOR ACTIVITIES**

- 1. Hold pre-construction meeting (NA)
- Install erosion controls per construction plans (±1,534 LF Silt Fence; 12 sediment trap protection)
- 3. Install sediment basin & batch pond (104,092 CF)
- 4. Begin mass grading of areas within limits of construction (13.77 ac)
- Install utilities (828 LF Wastewater [60 LF 160 psi pressure rated pipe]; 2,788 LF Water; 2,166 LF Storm)
- 6. Construct pavement (2.98 ac)
- 7. Construct buildings (2.78 ac)
- 8. Complete final grading and revegetate disturbed areas (13.77 ac)
- 9. Obtain Engineer's concurrence letter (NA)
- 10. Remove erosion controls (±1,534 LF Silt Fence; 12 sediment trap protection)



# **SECTION VII**

**TEMPORARY STORMWATER SECTION : ATTACHMENT D** *Temporary Best Management Practices and Measures (BMP's)* 

### TEMPORARY BEST MANAGEMENT PRACTICES AND MEASURES

A. Description of how BMPs and measures will prevent pollution of surface water, groundwater or stormwater that originates upgradient from the site and flows across the site.

Upgradient stormwater will cross the site from a portion of the upstream residential development and adjacent roadway improvements. Both areas will be routed through the project site for treatment. All TBMPs are adequate for the drainage areas they serve.

B. A description of how BMPs and measures will prevent pollution of surface water or groundwater that originates on-site or flows off site, including pollution caused by contaminated stormwater runoff from the site.

Site preparation, which is the initiation of all activity on the project, will disturb the largest amount of soil. Therefore, before any of this work can begin, the clearing and grading contractor will be responsible for the installation of all on-site control measures. The methodology for pollution prevention of on-site stormwater will include: (1) erection of silt fences along the downgradient boundary of construction activities for temporary erosion and sedimentation controls, (2) installation of rock berms with silt fencing downgradient from areas of concentrated stormwater flow for temporary erosion control, (3) Installation of gravel bags and drain inlet protection at inlets and downgradient areas of construction activities for sediment control (4) installation of stabilized construction entrance/exit(s) to reduce the dispersion of sediment from the site, and (5) installation of construction staging area(s).

Prior to the initiation of construction, all previously installed control measures will be repaired or reestablished for their designed or intended purpose. This work, which is the remainder of all activity on the project, may also disturb additional soil. The construction contractor will be responsible for the installation of all remaining on-site control measures that includes installation of the concrete truck washout pit(s), as construction phasing warrants.

Temporary measures are intended to provide a method of slowing the flow of runoff from the construction site in order to allow sediment and suspended solids to settle out of the runoff. By containing the sediment and solids within the site, they will not enter surface streams and/or sensitive features.

C. A description of how BMPs and measures will prevent pollutants from entering surface streams, sensitive features, or the aquifer.

As this site is entirely over the Edwards Aquifer Contributing Zone, a Geologic Assessment was not conducted and is not required; therefore, no sensitive features were identified. There are no surface streams on or immediately adjacent to the site.



Temporary measures are intended to provide a method of slowing the flow of runoff from the construction site in order to allow sediment and suspended solids to settle out of the runoff. By containing the sediment and solids within the site, they will not enter surface streams and/or sensitive features.

D. A description of how, to the maximum extent practicable, BMPs and measures will maintain flow to naturally-occurring sensitive features identified in either the geologic assessment, TCEQ inspections, or during excavation, blasting, or construction.

Since the project is located entirely over the Edwards Contributing Zone, a Geologic Assessment was not conducted and is not required by 30 TAC 213 regulations. Therefore, no naturally-occurring sensitive features are known to exist on the site. 30 TAC 213(f)(2) only applies to projects over the Edwards Recharge Zone.



# **SECTION VII**

**TEMPORARY STORMWATER SECTION : ATTACHMENT F** Structural Practices

### STRUCTURAL PRACTICES

The following structural measures will be installed prior to the initiation of site preparation activities:

- Erection of silt fences along the downgradient boundary of construction activities and rock berms with silt fence for secondary protection, as located on the Erosion and Sedimentation Control Plan and illustrated on the Erosion and Sedimentation Control Details Plan.
- Installation of gravel bags and drain inlet protection at inlets and downgradient areas of construction activities, as located on the Erosion and Sedimentation Control Plan and illustrated on the Erosion and Sedimentation Control Details Plan.
- Installation of stabilized construction entrance/exit(s) and construction staging area(s), as located on the Erosion and Sedimentation Control Plan, and illustrated on the Erosion and Sedimentation Control Details Plan.

The following structural measures will be installed at the initiation of construction activities or as appropriate based on the construction sequencing:

• Installation of concrete truck washout pit(s), as required and located on the Erosion and Sedimentation Control Plan and illustrated on the Erosion and Sedimentation Control Details Plan.



# **SECTION VII**

**TEMPORARY STORMWATER SECTION : ATTACHMENT G** Drainage Area Map(s)



	Fuze L	eander - Ex	CURVE NUMBER								
Area #	AREA (ac)	AREA (mi <sup>2</sup> )	SOIL TYPE	IC (ac)	PC (ac)	%IC	Type D perv CN		Type D	Type D Imp CN	
E1	11.09	0.01733	D	0.000	11.093	0.00%	80	80.00	98	0.00	80.00
E2	2.92	0.00456	D	0.000	2.916	0.00%	80	80.00	98	0.00	80.00
01	0.59	0.00093	D	0.447	0.147	75.26%	80	19.79	98	73.76	93.55
02	0.57	0.00089	D	0.388	0.180	68.31%	80	25.36	98	66.94	92.30
TOTAL	15.17	0.02370		0.835							

ANALYSIS -POINT 1

	Peak Flows								
Area #	Hydrologic Description	Drainage Area (ac)	Imp Cover (%)	Weighted CN	Lag Time (min)	Q2 (cfs)	Q10 (cfs)	Q25 (cfs)	Q100 (cfs)
<b>E1</b>	Site Runoff - To Analysis Point 1	11.093	0.0%	80.00	8.64	27.2	52.3	69.9	99.8
E2	Site Runoff - To Analysis Point 2	2.916	0.0%	80.00	10.11	6.7	12.9	17.2	24.6
01	Offsite Runoff - To Analysis Point 1	0.593	75.3%	93.55	3.00	3.1	4.9	6.1	8.1
O2	Offsite Runoff - To Analysis Point 1	0.569	68.3%	92.30	3.00	2.9	4.6	5.7	7.7
AP1	ANALYSIS POINT 1					30.40	57.50	76.40	108.60
AP2	ANALYSIS POINT 2					6.70	12.90	17.20	24.60

EXISTING DRAINAGE AREA TIME OF CONCENTRATION

_/						• · ·							
EXISTING		SHEET	FLOW		SHALLO	OW CONCI	ENTRATED	FLOW	(	CHANNEL FL			
						Paved?							
Area	Length (ft)	n	Slope	Tc (min.)	Length (ft)	(Y or N)	Slope	Tc (min.)	V (FT/S)	Length (ft)	Tc (min.)	CONC	
E1	100	0.150	1.80%	9.07	739	Ν	2.06%	5.32				14.39	8.64
E2	100	0.150	1.30%	10.33	777	Ν	1.52%	6.51				16.84	10.11
O1	91	0.015	1.30%	1.52	65	Ν	1.30%	0.59				5.00	3.00
O2	49	0.015	1.20%	0.96		Y	1.20%					5.00	3.00





- 1015

N69° 53' 16"W <u>69 33'</u>



# GENERAL NOTES:

- THE LOCATIONS OF EXISTING UNDERGROUND UTILITIES ARE SHOWN IN AN APPROXIMATE WAY ONLY AND HAVE NOT BEEN INDEPENDENTLY VERIFIED BY THE OWNER OR ITS REPRESENTATIVE. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL DETERMINE THE EXACT LOCATION OF ALL EXISTING UTILITIES BEFORE COMMENCING WORK, AND AGREES TO BE FULLY RESPONSIBLE FOR ANY AND ALL DAMAGES WHICH MIGHT BE ASSOCIATED BY THE CONTRACTOR'S FAILURE TO EXACTLY LOCATE AND PRESERVE ANY AND ALL UNDERGROUND UTILITIES.
- EXISTING CONTOUR INFORMATION SHOWN IS AT ONE (1) FOOT INTERVALS. THE CONTOURS ARE COMPUTER GENERATED USING FIELD DATA COLLECTED ON OR ABOUT JULY 2021.

DW	DYLL NOI SHELLY MITCHELL B CENSE SHELLY MITCHELL B CENSE	024
	THE PAPE-DAWSON ENGINEERS AUSTIN I SAN ANTONIO I HOUSTON I FORT WORTH I DALLAS 10801 N MOPAG EXPY, BLDG 3, STE 200 I AUSTIN, TX 78759 I 512.454.8711	TBPE FIRM REGISTRATION #470 1 TBPLS FIRM REGISTRATION #10028801
	GATEWAY 29, LOTS 9 & 10 100 KAUFFMAN LOOP LEANDER, TEXAS	EAIDTING UVERALL URAIINAGE AREA IMAR
	JOB NO. 51283-01 DATE DECEMBER 5 20 DESIGNER JR CHECKED TR DRAWN SHEFT 24 of 55	23 KT



		Laandan Dua	nogod Cond	litions					CUDVE				
Area #	AREA (ac	$\frac{1}{1} \frac{1}{1} \frac{1}$	PUSCU CONG SOIL TYPE	IC (ac)	PC (ac)	%		Type D perv C	N CURVE	Type D Imp	CN V	Veighted	
P1	7.74	0.01210	D	4.846	2.895	62.	60%	30 $29$ $-10$	0.92	98	61.35	<u>UN</u> 91.27	
P2 01	5.08	0.00794	D D	0.237	4.843 0.053	<u>4.6</u> 91.	07%	$\frac{50}{30}$ $\frac{76}{7}$	14	98 98	4.57 89.25	80.84 96.39	
O2 TOTAL	0.57 <b>13.98</b>	0.00089 0.02096	D	0.515 6.138	0.054	90.	56%	30 7	55	98	88.75	96.30	
		Fuze Le	ander - Pro	posed Con	ditions	•					Dac	k Flows	
				posed Con	Dra	inage	Imp Cover	Weighted	Lag Time			KTIUWS	
Area #		Hydrologic I	Description		A	ac)	(%)	CN	(min)	Q2 (cfs)	Q10 (cf	s) Q25 (cf	5) Q100 (cfs)
P1 P2	(	Site runoff to D Onsite Runoff und	etention pond detained to Al	1 P1	75	.741 .080	62.60% 4.67%	91.27 80.84	3.47 6.92	37.17	<u> </u>	75.50	102.58 50.88
01		Offsite runoff un	detained to Al	P1 nd	0	.593	91.07% 90.56%	96.39 96.30	3.00	3.24	4.93	6.10	8.13
POND		Pond Discha	rge to AP1			.507	90.3070	90.50	5.00	17.02	29.69	39.23	60.13
		POSED DRA POSED rrea Length P1 38 P2 100 01 91 02 49	AINAGE AF SHEE (ft) n 0.150 0.015 0.015 0.015	Slope         3.00%         1.70%         1.30%         1.20%	OF CONC Tc (min.) L 3.41 9.28 1.52 0.96	EVEN 2-Yi 100-Y	RATION LLOW CONC (ft) Paved? Y N Y Y ANALYSIS NT EXIST (CF) R 36.8 (R 69.9 (R 93.0 YR 132.	ENTRATED F Slope 7 2.95% 3.40% 1.30% 1.20% 7.20%	ELOW C (min.) V 2.26 0.59 0	STORM (FT/S) Le 6.00 & 6.00 & 100 & 100-YR 100-YR	1 DRAIN FL0         ngth (ft)         56.00         55.00         56.00	DW Tc (min.) 2.38 DINT 2 G PROPOS (CFS) 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	TIME OF CONC 5.79 11.54 5.00 5.00 5.00
									ANALYSI POINT 1			×	-
<u>A : P1</u> <u>1 AC</u>					$-\frac{1}{2} = -\frac{1}{2} $								
	`\				▏▋▎₱▏ۦ▖▎▌▌、			Í	4 <b>1</b> 1 1 <b>1</b>	1.	}; <b> </b> ]    <b> </b>  ∎		

Weighted	
CN	
91.27	
80.84	
96.39	
96.30	



# \_ \_ \_ — — — — — — — — — — EASEMENT LINE ---- EXISTING CONTOUR LINE

\_\_\_\_Lo=100' — — Lsc=100' — 🗩 — — Lc=100' — 🕨  $\rightarrow$ 

PROPERTY BOUNDARY PROPOSED CONTOUR LINE OVERLAND FLOW SHALLOW CONCENTRATED FLOW CHANNELIZED FLOW DIRECTION OF FLOW VEGETATIVE FILTER STRIP

# **GENERAL NOTES:**

- 1. THE LOCATIONS OF EXISTING UNDERGROUND UTILITIES ARE SHOWN IN AN APPROXIMATE WAY ONLY AND HAVE NOT BEEN INDEPENDENTLY VERIFIED BY THE OWNER OR ITS REPRESENTATIVE. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL DETERMINE THE EXACT LOCATION OF ALL EXISTING UTILITIES BEFORE COMMENCING WORK, AND AGREES TO BE FULLY RESPONSIBLE FOR ANY AND ALL DAMAGES WHICH MIGHT BE ASSOCIATED BY THE CONTRACTOR'S FAILURE TO EXACTLY LOCATE AND PRESERVE ANY AND ALL UNDERGROUND UTILITIES.
- 2. EXISTING CONTOUR INFORMATION SHOWN IS AT ONE (1) FOOT INTERVALS. THE CONTOURS ARE COMPUTER GENERATED USING FIELD DATA COLLECTED ON OR ABOUT JULY 2021.
- PICP-23-0072 GOES FIRST IN ORDER OF SEQUENCE OF CONSTRUCTION. PRIVATE ROAD FLOWS ARE NOT ADDRESSED IN THIS CASE NUMBER.



Shelly Mitchell AS Ζ DAL 454. 0 **PAPE-DAWS ENGINEERS** 200 BPI — რ DNI∪ BLDG

\*

HELLY MITCHE

103662

04/01/2024

-~ ~ MAP AREA DRAINAGE

OVERALL

PROPOSED

JR

SD-23-0162



			1	1		1			T				1		1
Drainage	Area	Percent	Time of					С	с	с	с	Q	Q	Q	Q
Area	(ac)	Imp Cover	Concentration	(2-yr)	(10-yr)	(25-yr)	(100-yr)	(2-yr)	(10-yr)	(25-yr)	(100-yr)	(2-yr)	(10-yr)	(25-yr)	(100-yr)
74.64	(40)		(min)		inches per hour			(- )./	(10 )()	(23 ))	(100 )1)		cubic feet	per second	
1	0.33	53.94%	5.00	6.14	9.19	11.30	15.00	0.54	0.61	0.65	0.74	1.09	1.85	2.44	3.64
2	0.36	52.67%	5.00	6.14	9.19	11.30	15.00	0.53	0.60	0.65	0.73	1.19	2.01	2.66	3.97
3	0.29	81.07%	5.00	6.14	9.19	11.30	15.00	0.66	0.74	0.79	0.87	1.16	1.94	2.54	3.74
4	0.21	91.35%	5.00	6.14	9.19	11.30	15.00	0.71	0.79	0.84	0.93	0.91	1.51	1.97	2.89
5	0.52	74.70%	5.00	6.14	9.19	11.30	15.00	0.63	0.71	0.76	0.84	2.01	3.36	4.42	6.52
6	0.66	66.77%	5.00	6.14	9.19	11.30	15.00	0.60	0.67	0.72	0.80	2.42	4.06	5.34	7.91
7A	0.58	73.32%	5.00	6.14	9.19	11.30	15.00	0.63	0.70	0.75	0.83	2.22	3.71	4.88	7.20
7B	0.32	62.08%	5.00	6.14	9.19	11.30	15.00	0.58	0.65	0.69	0.78	1.12	1.88	2.48	3.68
8	0.32	74.88%	5.00	6.14	9.19	11.30	15.00	0.63	0.71	0.76	0.84	1.25	2.09	2.74	4.04
9	0.72	100.00%	5.00	6.14	9.19	11.30	15.00	0.75	0.83	0.88	0.97	3.31	5.48	7.15	10.46
10	0.26	43.15%	5.00	6.14	9.19	11.30	15.00	0.49	0.56	0.60	0.68	0.78	1.33	1.76	2.64
11	0.97	100.00%	5.00	6.14	9.19	11.30	15.00	0.75	0.83	0.88	0.97	4.46	7.39	9.64	14.10
12	0.23	45.42%	5.00	6.14	9.19	11.30	15.00	0.50	0.57	0.61	0.69	0.72	1.22	1.62	2.43
13	0.98	100.00%	5.00	6.14	9.19	11.30	15.00	0.75	0.83	0.88	0.97	4.52	7.49	9.77	14.29

# SD-23-0162

Property	Test Method	Unit	Specification
Permeability	ASTM D-2434	cm/sec	1 x 10 <sup>-6</sup>
Plasticity Index of Clay	ASTM D-423 & D-424	%	Not less than 15
Liquid Limit of Clay	ASTM D-2216	%	Not less than 30
Clay Particles Passing	ASTM D-422	%	Not less than 30
Clay Compaction	ASTM D-2216	%	95% of Standard Proctor
			Density







# SD-23-0162

DETENTION POND						
Elev. (ft)	Depth (ft)	Pr. Area (ft <sup>2</sup> )	Area (ac)	Incremental Volume (ft <sup>3</sup> )	Cumulative Volume (ft <sup>3</sup> )	Notes
1015.67	0	0	0.00000	0	0	
1016.00	0.33	1,481	0.03400	244	244	
1017.00	1.00	11,979	0.27500	6730	6974	
1018.00	1.00	22,564	0.51800	17272	24246	
1018.50	0.50	30,448	0.69899	13253	37499	WQ Volume
1019.00	0.40	38,681	0.88799	17282	54781	
1020.00	1.00	50,747	1.16499	44714	99495	
1020.23	0.23	51,412	1.18026	10216	109711	100-YR WSEL
1021.00	0.77	54,099	1.24194	42204	151915	Top of Pond

DETENTIO	N POND WSEL
2 YEAR	1019.29
10 YEAR	1019.59
25 YEAR	1019.80
100 YEAR	1020.09

WQ POND								
	BATCH DETENTION POND							
				Incremental	Cumulative			
Elev. (ft)	Depth (ft)	Pr. Area (ft <sup>2</sup> )	Area (ac)	Volume (ft <sup>3</sup> )	Volume (ft <sup>3</sup> )	Notes		
1,015.67	0.00	0	0.000000	0	0			
1,016.00	0.33	1,481	0.033999	244	244			
1,017.00	1.00	11,979	0.275000	6,730	6,974			
1,018.00	1.00	22,564	0.517998	17,272	24,246			
1,018.50	0.50	30,448	0.698990	13,253	37,499	WQ Volume		

WATER Required WQ Volume (80% r Provided WQ



r 29, 2024, 9:43am User ID: jrobinson Projects\512\83\01\301 Construction Documents\Civil\PD5128301.d

ax
rage
c-ft)
69
00
21
53

R QUALITY SUMMARY TA	BLE	
removal) (ft^3)	33,941	
Volume (ft^3)	37,499	

Texas Commission on Environmental Quality						
TSS Removal Calculations 04-20-2009			Project Name:	Fuze Leander		
			Date Prepared:	10/24/2023		
Additional information is provided for cells with a red triangle	in the uppe	r right coi	ner. Place the cu	irsor over the c	ell.	
Text shown in blue indicate location of instructions in the Technical	Guidance M	anual - RG	-348.			
Characters shown in red are data entry fields. Characters shown in black (Bold) are calculated fields. Chan	ges to these	e fields wil	I remove the equa	ations used in th	e sprea	adsheet.
1. The Required Load Reduction for the total project:	Calculations fr	om RG-348		Pages 3-27 to 3-30		
Page 3-29 Equation 3.3: L <sub>M</sub> =	28.93(A <sub>N</sub> x P)					
where Where	Required TSS	removal resu	liting from the proposed	development = 80%	ofincre	ased load
A <sub>N</sub> =	Net increase in	n impervious	area for the project			
P =	Average annua	al precipitatio	n, inches			
Site Data: Determine Required Load Removal Based on the Entire Project	Williamson					
Total project area included in plan * =	14.00	acres	Total Lot Area			
Predevelopment impervious area within the limits of the plan* =	0.00	acres	Total Lot IC			
Total post-development impervious cover fraction * =	0.39					
P =	32	Inches				
L <sub>M</sub> total project =	5073	lbs.				
* The values entered in these fields should be for the total project area.						
Number of drainage basins / outfalls areas leaving the plan area =	1					
2. Drainage Basin Parameters (This information should be provided for ea	<u>ch b</u> asin):					
	4					
Drainage Basin/Outfall Area No. =	1					
Total drainage basin/outfall area = Predevelopment impervious area within drainage basin/outfall area =	8.39 0.00	acres acres	O2+P1			
Post-development impervious area within drainage basin/outfall area =	5.52	acres	IC(O2+P1)			
L <sub>M THIS BASIN</sub> =	5106	lbs.				
3 Indicate the proposed BMP Code for this basin						
Proposed BMP = Removal efficiency =	Batch Detent 91	on Pond percent				
				Aqualogic Cartridge	Filter	
				Contech StormFilter		
				Constructed Wetlar Extended Detention	d	
				Grassy Swale		
				Retention / Irrigation Sand Filter	1	
				Stormceptor	ne	
				Vortechs	ps	
				Wet Basin Wet Vault		
4. Calculate Maximum TSS Load Removed ( $L_R$ ) for this Drainage Basin by	the selected B	MP Type.				
RG-348 Page 3-33 Equation 3.7: L <sub>R</sub> =	(BMP efficiend	y) x P x (A <sub>l</sub> x	( 34.6 + A <sub>P</sub> x 0.54)			
			/			
where: A <sub>c</sub> =	I otal On-Site o	rainage area a proposed i	a in the BMP catchmen in the BMP catchment :	t area area		
A <sub>P</sub> =	Pervious area	remaining in	the BMP catchment ar	ea		
L <sub>R</sub> =	TSS Load rem	oved from thi	is catchment area by th	ne proposed BMP		
Ac =	8.39	acres				
A <sub>l</sub> =	5.52	acres				
AP = LR =	2.88	acres lbs				
5. Calculate Fraction of Annual Runoff to Treat the drainage basin / outfal	area					
Desired L <sub>M THIS BASIN</sub> =	5106	lbs.				
E -	0.91					
	0.91					
6. Calculate Capture Volume required by the BMP Type for this drainage to	asin / outfall a	<u>rea.</u>	Calculations from RG	-348	Pages 3-	34 to 3-36
	1 00	inchoo				
Rainfall Depth = Post Development Runoff Coefficient =	0.47	inches				
On-site Water Quality Volume =	25537	cubic feet				
	O al a tarresta		Description of the second			
	Calculations fr	om RG-348	Pages 3-36 to 3-37			
Off-site Impervious cover draining to BMP =	0.57	acres				
Impervious fraction of off-site area =	0.90					
Off-site Runoff Coefficient = Off-site Water Quality Volume =	0.74 2748	cubic feet				
	F0F7					
Storage for Sediment = Total Capture Volume (required water quality volume(s) x 1 20) =	5657 33941	cubic feet				
		Cubic reet	1			1

# **SECTION VII** TEMPORARY STORMWATER SECTION : ATTACHMENT I Inspection and Maintenance for BMP's

### INSPECTION AND MAINTENANCE FOR BMPS

Silt Fence Inspection and Maintenance Guidelines:

1. Inspect all fencing weekly, and after any rainfall.

- 2. Remove sediment when buildup reaches 6 inches.
- 3. Replace any torn fabric or install a second line of fencing parallel to the torn section.

4. Replace or repair any sections crushed or collapsed during construction activity. If a section of fence is obstructing vehicular access, consider relocating it to a spot where it will provide equal protection, but will not obstruct vehicles. A triangular filter dike may be preferable to a silt fence at common vehicle access points.

5. When construction is complete, the sediment should be disposed of in a manner what will not cause additional siltation and the prior location of the silt fence should be revegetated. The fence itself should be disposed of in an approved landfill.

Inlet Protection Inspection and Maintenance Guidelines:

1. Inspection should be made weekly and after each rainfall. Repair or replacement should be made promptly as needed by the contractor.

2. Remove sediment when buildup reaches a depth of 3 inches. Removed sediment should be disposed in a suitable area and in such a manner that it will not erode.

3. Check placement of device to prevent gaps between device and curb.

4. Inspect filter fabric and patch or replace if torn or missing.

5. Structures should be removed, and the area stabilized only after the remaining drainage area has been properly stabilized.

Stabilized Construction Entrance Inspection and Maintenance Guidelines:

1. The entrance should be maintained in a condition, which will prevent tracking or

flowing of sediment onto public rights-of-way. This may require periodic top

dressing with additional stone as conditions demand and repair and/or cleanout of any measures used to trap sediment.

2. All sediment spilled, dropped, washed or tracked onto public rights-of-way should be removed immediately by contractor.

3. When necessary, wheels should be cleaned to remove sediment prior to entrance onto public right-ofway.

4. When washing is required, it should be done on an area stabilized with crushed

stone that drains into an approved sediment trap or sediment basin.

5. All sediment should be prevented from entering any storm drain, ditch or water course by using approved methods.



# **SECTION VII**

# **TEMPORARY STORMWATER SECTION : ATTACHMENT J**

Schedule of Interim and Permanent Soil Stabilization Practices

### SCHEDULE OF INTERIM AND PERMANENT SOIL STABILIZATION PRACTICES

Interim on-site stabilization measures, which are continuous, will include minimizing soil disturbances by exposing the smallest practical area of land required for the shortest period of time and maximizing use of natural vegetation. As soon as practical, all disturbed soil will be stabilized as per project specifications in accordance with pages 1-35 to 1-60 of TCEQ's Technical Guidance Manual (TGM) RG-348 (2005). Mulching, netting, erosion blankets and seeding are acceptable.

Stabilization measures will be initiated as soon as practicable in portions of the site where construction activities have temporarily or permanently ceased, and except as provided below, will be initiated no more than fourteen (14) days after the construction activity in that portion of the site has temporarily or permanently ceased. Where construction activity on a portion of the site is temporarily ceased, and earth disturbing activities will be resumed within twenty-one (21) days, temporary stabilization measures do not have to be initiated on that portion of site. In areas experiencing droughts where the initiation of stabilization measures by the 14<sup>th</sup> day after construction activity has temporarily or permanently ceased is precluded by seasonably arid conditions, stabilization measures must be initiated as soon as practicable.



# **SECTION VIII** PERMANENT STORMWATER SECTION (TCEQ-0600)

# **Permanent Stormwater Section**

**Texas Commission on Environmental Quality** 

for Regulated Activities on the Edwards Aquifer Recharge Zone and Relating to 30 TAC §213.5(b)(4)(C), (D)(Ii), (E), and (5), Effective June 1, 1999

To ensure that the application is administratively complete, confirm that all fields in the form are complete, verify that all requested information is provided, consistently reference the same site and contact person in all forms in the application, and ensure forms are signed by the appropriate party.

Note: Including all the information requested in the form and attachments contributes to more streamlined technical reviews.

# Signature

To the best of my knowledge, the responses to this form accurately reflect all information requested concerning the proposed regulated activities and methods to protect the Edwards Aquifer. This **Permanent Stormwater Section** is hereby submitted for TCEQ review and executive director approval. The application was prepared by:

Print Name of Customer/Agent: Trevor Riek

Date: <u>04/11/24</u>

Signature of Customer/Agent

Sugar Mich

Regulated Entity Name: Gateway 29, Lots 9 & 10

# Permanent Best Management Practices (BMPs)

# Permanent best management practices and measures that will be used during and after construction is completed.

1. Permanent BMPs and measures must be implemented to control the discharge of pollution from regulated activities after the completion of construction.



- 2. These practices and measures have been designed, and will be constructed, operated, and maintained to insure that 80% of the incremental increase in the annual mass loading of total suspended solids (TSS) from the site caused by the regulated activity is removed. These quantities have been calculated in accordance with technical guidance prepared or accepted by the executive director.
  - The TCEQ Technical Guidance Manual (TGM) was used to design permanent BMPs and measures for this site.

A technical guidance other than the TCEQ TGM was used to design permanent BMPs and measures for this site. The complete citation for the technical guidance that was used is: \_\_\_\_\_

N/A

3. Owners must insure that permanent BMPs and measures are constructed and function as designed. A Texas Licensed Professional Engineer must certify in writing that the permanent BMPs or measures were constructed as designed. The certification letter must be submitted to the appropriate regional office within 30 days of site completion.

\_\_\_\_\_N/A

- 4. Where a site is used for low density single-family residential development and has 20 % or less impervious cover, other permanent BMPs are not required. This exemption from permanent BMPs must be recorded in the county deed records, with a notice that if the percent impervious cover increases above 20% or land use changes, the exemption for the whole site as described in the property boundaries required by 30 TAC §213.4(g) (relating to Application Processing and Approval), may no longer apply and the property owner must notify the appropriate regional office of these changes.
  - The site will be used for low density single-family residential development and has 20% or less impervious cover.
  - The site will be used for low density single-family residential development but has more than 20% impervious cover.
  - The site will not be used for low density single-family residential development.
- 5. The executive director may waive the requirement for other permanent BMPs for multifamily residential developments, schools, or small business sites where 20% or less impervious cover is used at the site. This exemption from permanent BMPs must be recorded in the county deed records, with a notice that if the percent impervious cover increases above 20% or land use changes, the exemption for the whole site as described in the property boundaries required by 30 TAC §213.4(g) (relating to Application Processing and Approval), may no longer apply and the property owner must notify the appropriate regional office of these changes.
  - Attachment A 20% or Less Impervious Cover Waiver. The site will be used for multi-family residential developments, schools, or small business sites and has 20% or less impervious cover. A request to waive the requirements for other permanent BMPs and measures is attached.
  - The site will be used for multi-family residential developments, schools, or small business sites but has more than 20% impervious cover.
  - The site will not be used for multi-family residential developments, schools, or small business sites.
- 6. Attachment B BMPs for Upgradient Stormwater.

	<ul> <li>A description of the BMPs and measures that will be used to prevent pollution surface water, groundwater, or stormwater that originates upgradient from and flows across the site is attached.</li> <li>No surface water, groundwater or stormwater originates upgradient from the and flows across the site, and an explanation is attached.</li> <li>Permanent BMPs or measures are not required to prevent pollution of surface water, groundwater, or stormwater that originates upgradient from the site flows across the site, and an explanation is attached.</li> </ul>	on of the site e site ce and
7.	🛛 Attachment C - BMPs for On-site Stormwater.	
	<ul> <li>A description of the BMPs and measures that will be used to prevent pollution surface water or groundwater that originates on-site or flows off the site, incompollution caused by contaminated stormwater runoff from the site is attached.</li> <li>Permanent BMPs or measures are not required to prevent pollution of surface or groundwater that originates on-site or flows off the site, including pollution caused by contaminated stormwater runoff, and an explanation is attached.</li> </ul>	on of cluding ed. ce water on
8.	Attachment D - BMPs for Surface Streams. A description of the BMPs and meas that prevent pollutants from entering surface streams, sensitive features, or the is attached. Each feature identified in the Geologic Assessment as sensitive has addressed.	ures aquifer been
	N/A	
9.	The applicant understands that to the extent practicable, BMPs and measures m maintain flow to naturally occurring sensitive features identified in either the ge assessment, executive director review, or during excavation, blasting, or constru	iust ologic iction.
	<ul> <li>The permanent sealing of or diversion of flow from a naturally-occurring sen feature that accepts recharge to the Edwards Aquifer as a permanent polluti abatement measure has not been proposed.</li> <li>Attachment E - Request to Seal Features. A request to seal a naturally-occu sensitive feature, that includes, for each feature, a justification as to why no reasonable and practicable alternative exists, is attached.</li> </ul>	sitive on rring
10	Attachment F - Construction Plans. All construction plans and design calculation the proposed permanent BMP(s) and measures have been prepared by or under direct supervision of a Texas Licensed Professional Engineer, and are signed, sea dated. The plans are attached and, if applicable include:	ns for <sup>-</sup> the led, and
	<ul> <li>Design calculations (TSS removal calculations)</li> <li>TCEQ construction notes</li> <li>All geologic features</li> <li>All proposed structural BMP(s) plans and specifications</li> </ul>	
	_ N/A	

11. X Attachment G - Inspection, Maintenance, Repair and Retrofit Plan. A plan for the inspection, maintenance, repairs, and, if necessary, retrofit of the permanent BMPs and measures is attached. The plan includes all of the following:
Prepared and certified by the engineer designing the permanent BMPs and measures
🔀 Signed by the owner or responsible party
Procedures for documenting inspections, maintenance, repairs, and, if necessary retrofit
A discussion of record keeping procedures
□ N/A
12. Attachment H - Pilot-Scale Field Testing Plan. Pilot studies for BMPs that are not recognized by the Executive Director require prior approval from the TCEQ. A plan for pilot-scale field testing is attached.
⊠ N/A
13. Attachment I -Measures for Minimizing Surface Stream Contamination. A description of the measures that will be used to avoid or minimize surface stream contamination and changes in the way in which water enters a stream as a result of the construction and development is attached.
and development is attached. The measures address increased stream hashing, the

- 12
- 13 creation of stronger flows and in-stream velocities, and other in-stream effects caused by the regulated activity, which increase erosion that results in water quality degradation.

N/A

# Responsibility for Maintenance of Permanent BMP(s)

### Responsibility for maintenance of best management practices and measures after construction is complete.

14. 🖂 The applicant is responsible for maintaining the permanent BMPs after construction until such time as the maintenance obligation is either assumed in writing by another entity having ownership or control of the property (such as without limitation, an owner's association, a new property owner or lessee, a district, or municipality) or the ownership of the property is transferred to the entity. Such entity shall then be responsible for maintenance until another entity assumes such obligations in writing or ownership is transferred.

N/A

15.  $\square$  A copy of the transfer of responsibility must be filed with the executive director at the appropriate regional office within 30 days of the transfer if the site is for use as a multiple single-family residential development, a multi-family residential development, or a non-residential development such as commercial, industrial, institutional, schools, and other sites where regulated activities occur.

N/A

# **SECTION VIII**

**PERMANENT STORMWATER SECTION : ATTACHMENT B** BMP's for Upgradient Stormwater

### **BMPS FOR UPGRADIENT STORMWATER**

Under both existing and proposed conditions, approximately 1.16 acres along the length of Kauffman loop road drains onto the site. The original site conditions allow for a majority of these flows to sheet flow across the 14.00-acre site and drain towards the east and northeast property corners. Under proposed conditions, approximately half of the of this offsite flow is to be captured by proposed storm drains and discharge to the water quality and detention pond. The remaining half of the road is to be uncaptured, and drainage through the proposed parkland area is not expected to significantly change under proposed conditions.



# **SECTION VIII**

**PERMANENT STORMWATER SECTION : ATTACHMENT C** BMP's for On-site Stormwater

### **BMPS FOR ON-SITE STORMWATER**

Stormwater that originates in the approximately 7.741 acres of the southwest portion of the site will drain to a proposed batch detention water quality pond in the west of the site. The water quality pond was designed to exceed TCEQ's Edwards Aquifer Rules on Best Management Practices to reduce the increase in total suspended solids load associated with the proposed improvements. The remaining stormwater that originates in the 5.08-acre area to the north and west of the site will be uncaptured and drain to the north and northeast property corners. The flows are to be treated via vegetative filter strips designed in accordance with TCEQ guidelines.


## **SECTION VIII**

**PERMANENT STORMWATER SECTION : ATTACHMENT D** BMP's for Surface Streams

#### **BMPS FOR SURFACE STREAMS**

Silt fence will be implemented on the downstream end of each tract to prevent pollutants from leaving the site and entering surface streams. Storm water runoff will be treated via a proposed smart batch water quality pond in the west portion of the site to remove a minimum of 80% of Total Suspended Solids.



# **SECTION VIII**

**PERMANENT STORMWATER SECTION : ATTACHMENT F** Construction Plans

CITY OF LEANDER	CONSTRUCTIO
GENERAL NOTES: REVISED MARCH 27, 2023	1. THE OWNER/CONTRACT
ANY CHANGES TO THESE NOTES SHOULD BE CLOUDED ON THE PLANSET	MEETING. BRING SWPPF 2. SWPPP IS REQUIRED FO
CITY CONTACTS: ENCINEERING MAIN LINE: 512-528-2721	TO BE PROVIDED BY C 3. A NOTICE OF INTENT (I
PLANNING DEPARTMENT: 512–528–2750 PUBLIC WORKS MAIN LINE: 512–259–2640	SITE THAT MEETS THE 4. THE CONTRACTOR SHAL EBOSION (EDIMENTATIO
STORMWATER INSPECTIONS: 512–285–0055 UTILITIES MAIN LINE: 512–259–1142	5. THE CONTRACTOR SHAL PLANS.
UTILITIES ON-CALL: 512-690-4760	6. AN INITIAL SITE INSPEC CONTROLS HAVE BEEN
1. CONTRACTORS SHALL HAVE AN APPROVED SET OF PLANS WITH APPROVED REVISIONS ON SITE AT ALL TIMES. FAILURE TO HAVE APPROVED PLANS ON SITE MAY RESULT IN ISSUANCE OF WORK STOPPAGE.	CONSTRUCTION CAN BE 5. INSTALL ALL UTILITIES.
<ol> <li>CONTACT 811 SYSTEM FOR EXISTING WATER AND WASTEWATER LOCATIONS 48 HOURS PRIOR TO CONSTRUCTION.</li> <li>a. REFRESH ALL LOCATES <u>BEFORE</u> 14 DAYS – LOCATE REFRESH REQUESTS <u>MUST INCLUDE A COPY OF YOUR 811</u></li> </ol>	7. COMPLETE ANY NECESS 8. ALL DISTURBED AREAS
<u>TICKET.</u> TEXAS PIPELINE DAMAGE PREVENTION LAWS REQUIRE THAT A LOCATE REFRESH REQUEST BE SUBMITTED BEFORE 14 DAYS, OR IF LOCATION MARKERS ARE NO LONGER VISIBLE.	INCHES AND NO BARE RECOMMENDED THAT R
b. REPORT PIPELINE DAMAGE IMMEDIATELY – IF YOU WITNESS OR EXPERIENCE PIPELINE EXCAVATION DAMAGE, PLEASE CONTACT THE CITY OF LEANDER BY PHONE AT 512–259–2640.	9. SOD OR A COMBINATIO
<ol> <li>CONTRACTOR SHALL CONTACT THE CITY INSPECTOR 48 HOURS BEFORE:</li> <li>a. BEGINNING EACH PHASE OF CONSTRUCTION. CONTACT ASSIGNED CITY INSPECTOR.</li> </ol>	10. COMPLETE PERMANENT 11. REMOVE AND DISPOSE
b. ANY TESTING. CONTRACTOR SHALL PROVIDE QUALITY TESTING FOR ALL INFRASTRUCTURES TO BE ACCEPTED AND MAINTAINED BY THE CITY OF LEANDER AFTER COMPLETION. DESCRIPTION OF DESCRIPTION.	12. CONTRACTOR SHALL SO OF RECORD. ENGINEER
COURSE, AND ASPHALT CORES. ALL OF THIS TESTING MUST BE WITNESSED BY A CITY OF LEANDER REPRESENTATIVE.	CLOSEOU I.
<ul> <li>CONNECTING TO THE EXISTING WATER LINES.</li> <li>THE INSTALLATION OF ANY DRAINAGE FACILITY WITHIN A DRAINAGE EASEMENT OR STREET ROW. THE METHOD OF</li> <li>DEACEMENT AND COMPACTION OF PACKETLE IN THE CITY'S DOW MUST BE ADDROVED DRIOD TO THE START OF PACKETLE</li> </ul>	EROSION CONT
OPERATIONS.	1. THE CONTRACTOR IS RE RAINFALL EVENTS TO EN
4. ALL RESPONSIBILITY FOR THE ACCORACT OF THESE FLANS REMAINS WITH THE ENGINEER OF RECORD WHO PREPARED THEM. IN REVIEWING THESE PLANS, THE CITY MUST RELY ON THE ADEQUACY OF THE WORK OF THE ENGINEER OF RECORD.	MAINTENANCE OF CONTR SILT ACCUMULATION AT
INSIDE THE CITY'S JURISDICTIONAL BOUNDARIES.	2. THE TEMPORARY SPOILS 3. ANY ON-SITE SPOILS DI THE DEPTH OF SPOILS SI
6. BURNING IS PROHIBITED. 7. NO WORK IS TO BE PERFORMED BETWEEN THE HOURS OF 9:00 P.M. AND 7:00 A.M. OR WEEKENDS. THE CITY INSPECTOR RECEIVES THE RIGHT TO REQUIRE THE CONTRACTOR TO UNCOVER ALL WORK REPEORNED WITHOUT INSPECTION	4. ALL AREAS DISTURBED ( TOPSOIL AND COMPOST
8. CONTACT THE CITY INSPECTOR 4 DAYS PRIOR TO WORK FOR APPROVAL TO SCHEDULE ANY INSPECTIONS ON WEEKENDS OR CITY HOUDAYS	TOPSOIL AND COMPOST 5. SEEDING FOR REESTABLI
9. NO BLASTING IS ALLOWED. 10. ANY CHANGES OR REVISIONS TO THESE PLANS MUST FIRST BE SUBMITTED TO THE CITY BY THE DESIGN ENGINEER FOR REVIEW	PROTOCOL FOR SUSTAIN BERMUDA SHALL NOT BI
AND WRITTEN APPROVAL PRIOR TO CONSTRUCTION OF THE REVISION. ALL CHANGES AND REVISIONS SHALL USE REVISION CLOUDS TO HIGHLIGHT ALL REVISIONS AND CHANGES WITH EACH SUBMITTAL. REVISION TRIANGLE MARKERS AND NUMBERS	ONTO EXISTING PAVEMEN REMAIN CLEAR OF SILT
SHALL BE USED TO MARK REVISIONS. ALL CLOUDS AND TRIANGLE MARKERS FROM PREVIOUS REVISIONS MUST BE REMOVED. REVISION INFORMATION SHALL BE UPDATED ON COVER SHEET AND AFFECTED PLAN SHEET TITLE BLOCK.	7. TEMPORARY STOP SIGNS ALREADY EXIST.
11. THE CONTRACTOR AND ENGINEER SHALL KEEP ACCURATE RECORDS OF ALL CONSTRUCTION THAT DEVIATES FROM THE PLANS. THE ENGINEER SHALL FURNISH THE CITY OF LEANDER ACCURATE "RECORD DRAWINGS" FOLLOWING THE COMPLETION OF ALL	8. IN THE EVENT OF INCLE INLET PROTECTION MEAS
CONSTRUCTION. THESE "RECORD DRAWINGS" SHALL MEET THE SATISFACTION OF THE ENGINEERING DEPARTMENTS PRIOR TO FINAL ACCEPTANCE.	
12. THE CONTRACTOR WILL REIMBURSE THE CITY FOR ALL REPAIR AND/OR COST INCURRED AS A RESULT OF ANY DAMAGE TO ANY PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE WITHIN CITY EASEMENT OR PUBLIC RIGHT-OF-WAY, REGARDLESS OF THESE PLANS.	STREET AND D
13. WHEN CONSTRUCTION IS BEING CARRIED OUT WITHIN EASEMENTS, THE CONTRACTOR SHALL CONFINE HIS WORK TO WITHIN THE PERMANENT AND TEMPORARY EASEMENTS. PRIOR TO ACCEPTANCE, THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR REMOVING	1. THE CITY OF LEANDER H
ALL TRASH AND DEBRIS WITHIN THE PERMANENT EASEMENTS. CLEANUP SHALL BE TO THE SATISFACTION OF THE ENGINEER OF RECORD AND CITY.	(ADA). IT IS THE RESPO ACCESSIBILITY WITHIN TH
14. CONTRACTOR TO LOCATE, PROTECT, AND MAINTAIN BENCHMARKS, MONUMENTS, CONTROL POINTS AND PROJECT ENGINEERING REFERENCE POINTS. RE-ESTABLISH DISTURBED OR DESTROYED ITEMS BY REGISTERED PROFESSIONAL LAND SURVEYOR IN THE	AMERICANS WITH DISABI 2. BACKFILL BEHND THE CI
STATE OF TEXAS, AT NO ADDITIONAL COST TO THE PROPERTY OWNER. 15. ALL CONSTRUCTION OPERATIONS SHALL BE ACCOMPLISHED IN ACCORDANCE WITH APPLICABLE REGULATIONS OF THE U.S.	DIP OF THE CORB. MAT DIMENSION. THE REMAINI
OCCUPATIONAL SAFETY AND HEALTH ADMINISTRATION (OSHA). OSHA STANDARDS MAY BE PURCHASED FROM THE GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE; INFORMATION AND RELATED REFERENCE MATERIALS MAY BE PURCHASED FROM OSHA, 1033 LA POSADA DR.	3. A MINIMUM OF 6" OF TO CHANNELS EXCEPT CHAI
16. ALL MANHOLE FRAMES/COVERS AND WATER VALVES/METER BOXES MUST BE ADJUSTED TO FINISHED GRADE AT THE OWNER'S	4. DEPTH OF COVER FOR A BE A MINIMUM OF 36" F
COMPLETED PRIOR TO FINAL PAVING. CONTRACTOR SHALL BACKFILL AROUND MANHOLES AND VALVE BOXES WITH CLASS A	5. STREET RIGHT-OF-WAY INDICATED. 6. ALL DRAINAGE PIPE IN I
17. ALL MATERIALS AND CONSTRUCTION PROCEDURES WITHIN THE SCOPE OF THIS CONTRACT WHERE NOT SPECIFICALLY COVERED IN THE PROJECT SPECIFICATIONS SHALL CONFORM TO ALL CITY OF LEANDER DETAILS AND CITY OF AUSTIN STANDARD	OF TONGUE AND GROOV OR EASEMENTS.
SPECIFICATIONS. 18. PROJECT SPECIFICATIONS TAKE PRECEDENCE OVER PLANS AND SPECIAL CONDITIONS GOVERN OVER TECHNICAL SPECIFICATIONS.	7. THE CONTRACTOR MUST 8. ALL STRIPING, WITH THE
19. CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR ACQUIRING ALL PERMITS, TESTS, APPROVALS AND ACCEPTANCES REQUIRED TO COMPLETE CONSTRUCTION OF THIS PROJECT.	9. MANHOLE FRAMES, COVE CONSTRUCTION.
20. THE CONTRACTOR MUST OBTAIN A CONSTRUCTION WATER METER FOR ALL WATER USED DURING CONSTRUCTION. A COPY OF THIS PERMIT MUST BE CARRIED AT ALL TIMES BY ALL WHO USE WATER.	10. A STOP BAR SHALL BE 11. THE GEOTECHNICAL ENG
21. CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR KEEPING ROADS AND DRIVES ADJACENT TO AND NEAR THE SITE FREE FROM SOIL, SEDIMENT AND DEBRIS. CONTRACTOR WILL NOT REMOVE SOIL, SEDIMENT OR DEBRIS FROM ANY AREA OR VEHICLE BY MEANS OF	DURING PREPARATION O REVISIONS OF THE APPR 12 GEOTECHNICAL INVESTIG
WATER. ONLY SHOVELING AND SWEEPING WILL BE ALLOWED. CONTRACTOR WILL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR DUST CONTROL FROM THE SITE. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL KEEP THE SITE AREA CLEAN AND MAINTAINED AT ALL TIMES, TO THE SATISFACTION OF THE	IN REPORT 17:5734-A I
CITY. THE SUBDIVISION (OR SITE) WILL NOT BE ACCEPTED (OR CERTIFICATE OF OCCUPANCY ISSUED) UNTIL THE SITE HAS BEEN CLEANED TO THE SATISFACTION OF THE CITY.	PAVEMENT SECTION HOT MIX ASPHALTIC CONCRETE (HMAC)
22. TREES IN EXISTING ROW SHOULD BE PROTECTED OR NOTED IN THE PLANS TO BE REMOVED.	AGGREGATE BASE
	13. A TRAFFIC CONTROL PL/ AUSTIN TRANSPORTATION
	PARTIAL OR COMPLETE I REGISTERED PROFESSION
TRENCH SAFETY NOTES:	14. ALL LANE CLOSURES SH PLANS. ANY NIGHT TIME
1. TRENCH SAFETY SYSTEMS TO BE UTILIZED FOR THIS PROJECT ARE DESCRIBED IN ITEM 509S "TRENCH SAFETY SYSTEMS" OF	HOURS OF 8PM AND 6A 8PM WILL BE SUBJECT 1 15 TEMPORARY ROCK CRUS
AND THE U.S. OCCUPATION SAFETY AND HEALTH ADMINISTRATION REGULATIONS.	APPROVED BY THE CITY PILES ARE TO BE SUBM
GRADING AND SURVEY NOTES	16. AT ROAD INTERSECTIONS A DISTANCE OF 40 FEET 17. NO PONDING OF WATER
1 POSITIVE DRAINAGE SHALL BE MAINTAINED ON ALL SURFACE AREAS WITHIN THE SCOPE OF THIS PROJECT. CONTRACTOR	PUBLIC STREETS. RECON 18. ALL DRIVEWAY APPROAC
SHOULD TAKE PRECAUTIONS NOT TO ALLOW ANY PONDING OF WATER.	APPROVED IN WRITING B 19. IMPROVEMENTS THAT INC RETAINS OPERATIONS OF
2. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL CONSTRUCT EARTHEN EMBANKMENTS WITH SLOPES NO STEEPER THAN 3:1 AND COMPACT SOIL TO 95% OF MAXIMUM DENSITY IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE CITY OF AUSTIN STANDARD SPECIFICATIONS.	SUCH DRIVEWAY CAN BE OWNERS AND ACCESS E
3. AREAS OF SOIL DISTURBANCE ARE LIMITED TO GRADING AND IMPROVEMENTS SHOWN. ALL OTHER AREAS WILL NOT BE DISTURBED.	20. CONTRACTOR MUST CLEA INTO THE SIDEWALK ARE
4. PROPERTY BOUNDARY INFORMATION PROVIDED BY LJA SURVEYING, INC.	21. SLOPE OF NATURAL GRO NOT POSSIBLE, SLOPE P PRIOR TO FINAL ACCEPT
5. THE EXISTING CONTOURS ARE COMPUTER GENERATED USING FIELD SURVEY DATA COLLECTED ON OR ABOUT JULY 2021, BY WESTAR ALAMO LAND SURVEYORS, LLC.	22. THERE SHALL BE NO WA FITTINGS, METERS, CLEA
	23. PUBLIC SIDEWALKS SHAL CONTROL BOXES, METER INFRASTRI ICTURE AS A
	24. ALL WET UTILITIES SHAL INSTALLATION OF DRY U
	25. DRY UTILITIES SHALL BE

DOCUMENT HAS BEEN PRODUCED FROM MATERIAL THAT WAS STORED AND/OR TRANSMITTED ELECTRONICALLY AND MAY HAVE BEEN INADVERTENTLY ALTERED. RELY ONLY ON FINAL HARDCOPY MATERIALS BEARING THE CONSULTANT'S ORIGINAL SIGNATURE AND SEAL.

#### ON SEQUENCE NOTES

TOR SHALL REQUEST A PRE-CONSTRUCTION CONFERENCE WITH THE CITY OF LEANDER ENGINEERING PPP MUST BE SUBMITTED TO THE CITY A MINIMUM OF THREE DAYS BEFORE THE PRE-CONSTRUCTION NOTEBOOK TO PRE-CONSTRUCTION MEETING FOR REVIEW BY STORMWATER SPECIALIST. OR SUBMITTAL AND REVIEW AT LEAST 3 DAYS PRIOR TO THE SCHEDULING OF A PRE-CON. SWPPP CONTRACTOR. (NOI) MUST BE SUBMITTED TO THE TCEQ FOR ANY SITE THAT IS A MINIMUM OF 5 ACRES; OR, ANY CRITERIA OF A LARGER COMMON PLAN OF DEVELOPMENT. ALL CALL THE CITY OF LEANDER INSPECTOR 48 HOURS PRIOR TO BEGINNING INSTALLATION OF THE ON CONTROLS. ALL INSTALL TEMPORARY EROSION/SEDIMENTATION CONTROL MEASURES AS SHOWN WITHIN THESE

CTION MUST BE CONDUCTED BY THE CITY OF LEANDER TO VERIFY THAT ALL EROSION AND SEDIMENT PROPERLY INSTALLED AND PER THE PLAN. APPROVAL BY THE CITY MUST BE GIVEN BEFORE

#### DERGROUND UTILITY CROSSINGS ARE COMPLETED. SARY FINAL DRESS UP OF AREAS DISTURBED BY FINAL CONSTRUCTION ACTIVITIES.

MUST BE RE-VEGETATED. A MINIMUM UNIFORM COVERAGE OF 90% OR MORE, AT A HEIGHT OF 1.5 AREAS GREATER THAN 10 SQ. FT. IS PARAMOUNT BEFORE SITE APPROVAL. IT IS HIGHLY RE-VEGETATION IS INITIATED EARLY IN ORDER TO MEET THE CITY'S STABILIZATION STANDARDS lk-Through

ON OF HYDRA-SEED / MULCH WITH A GEOTEXTILE MUST BE USED FOR THE STABILIZATION OF WAYS AND SLOPES. EROSION CONTROL AND RESTORATION ON SITE VEGETATION.

OF TEMPORARY EROSION CONTROL.

CHEDULE FINAL WALKTHROUGH AND CONDUCT WALKTHROUGH WITH CITY DEPARTMENTS AND ENGINEER OF RECORD IS RESPONSIBLE FOR PREPARING AND SUBMITTING CLOSEOUT DOCUMENTS FOR PROJECT

#### **FROL NOTES:**

EQUIRED TO INSPECT THE CONTROLS AND FENCES AT WEEKLY INTERVALS AND AFTER SIGNIFICANT NSURE THAT THEY ARE FUNCTIONING PROPERLY. THE CONTRACTOR IS RESPONSIBLE FOR ROLS AND FENCES AND SHALL IMMEDIATELY MAKE ANY NECESSARY REPAIRS TO DAMAGED AREAS. CONTROLS MUST BE REMOVED WHEN THE DEPTH REACHES SIX (6) INCHES. DISPOSAL SITE IS TO BE SHOWN IN THE EROSION CONTROL MAP.

ISPOSAL SHALL BE REMOVED PRIOR TO ACCEPTANCE UNLESS SPECIFICALLY SHOWN ON THE PLANS. HALL NOT EXCEED 10 FEET IN ANY AREA. OR EXPOSED DURING CONSTRUCTION SHALL BE RESTORED WITH A MINIMUM OF 6 INCHES OF BLEND. TOPSOIL ON SINGLE FAMILY LOTS MAY BE INSTALLED WITH HOME CONSTRUCTION. THE BLEND SHALL CONSIST OF 75% TOPSOIL AND 25% COMPOST.

ISHING VEGETATION SHALL COMPLY WITH THE AUSTIN GROW GREEN GUIDE OR WILLIAMSON COUNTY'S IABLE ROADSIDES(SPEC 164--WCOO1 SEEDING FOR EROSION CONTROL). RESEEDING VARIETIES OF

ON ENTRANCE IS REQUIRED AT ALL POINTS WHERE CONSTRUCTION TRAFFIC IS EXITING THE PROJECT NT. LINEAR CONSTRUCTION PROJECTS MAY REQUIRE SPECIAL CONSIDERATION. ROADWAYS SHALL AND MUD.

SHOULD BE INSTALLED AT ALL CONSTRUCTION ENTRANCES WHERE A STOP CONDITION DOES NOT EMENT WEATHER THAT MAY RESULT IN A FLOODING SITUATION, THE CONTRACTOR SHALL REMOVE SURES UNTIL SUCH TIME AS THE WEATHER EVENT HAS PASSED.

#### RAINAGE NOTES:

HAS NOT REVIEWED THESE PLANS FOR COMPLIANCE WITH THE AMERICANS WITH DISABILITIES ACT DNSIBILITY OF THE OWNER TO PROVIDE COMPLIANCE WITH ALL LEGISLATION RELATED TO THE LIMITS OF CONSTRUCTION SHOWN IN THESE PLANS. ALL SIDEWALKS SHALL COMPLY WITH THE ILITIES ACT AND TEXAS ACCESSIBILITY STANDARDS (TAS). URB SHALL BE COMPACTED TO OBTAIN A MINIMUM OF 95% MAXIMUM DENSITY TO WITHIN 6" OF THE TERIAL USED SHALL BE PRIMARILY GRANULAR WITH NO ROCKS LARGER THAN 6" IN THE GREATEST

NING 6" SHALL BE CLEAN TOPSOIL FREE FROM ALL CLODS AND SUITABLE FOR SUSTAINING PLANT OPSOIL SHALL BE PLACED BETWEEN THE CURB AND RIGHT-OF-WAY AND IN ALL DRAINAGE

NNELS CUT IN STABLE ROCK. ALL CROSSINGS UNDER PAVEMENT, INCLUDING GAS, ELECTRIC, TELEPHONE, CABLE TV, ETC., SHALL BELOW SUBGRADE. ' SHALL BE GRADED AT A SLOPE OF 1/4" PER FOOT TOWARD THE CURB UNLESS OTHERWISE

PUBLIC RIGHT OF WAY OR EASEMENTS SHALL BE REINFORCED CONCRETE PIPE MINIMUM CLASS III Æ OR O-RING JOINT DESIGN. CORRUGATED METAL PIPE IS NOT ALLOWED IN PUBLIC RIGHT OF WAY

PROVIDE A PNEUMATIC TRUCK PER TXDOT SPEC FOR PROOF ROLLING. EXCEPTION OF STOP BARS, CROSS WALKS, WORDS AND ARROWS, IS TO BE TYPE II (WATER CROSS WALKS, WORDS AND ARROWS REQUIRE TYPE I THERMOPLASTIC. ERS, VALVES, CLEAN-OUTS, ETC. SHALL BE RAISED TO GRADE PRIOR TO FINAL PAVEMENT

PLACED AT ALL STOP SIGN LOCATIONS. GINEER SHALL INSPECT THE SUBGRADE FOR COMPLIANCE WITH THE DESIGN ASSUMPTIONS MADE F THE SOILS REPORT. ANY ADJUSTMENTS THAT ARE REQUIRED SHALL BE MADE THROUGH OVED CONSTRUCTION PLANS. GATION INFORMATION AND PAVEMENT RECOMMENDATIONS WERE PROVIDED BY ECS SOUTHWEST, LLP DATED AUGUST 29, 2022. PAVEMENT RECOMMENDATIONS ARE AS FOLLOWS:

PARKING AREAS DRIVE AREAS MEDIUM DUTY CONCRETE 2.5" 5.5"

AN, IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE TEXAS MANUAL ON UNIFORM TRAFFIC CONTROL DEVICES, CITY OF N CRITERIA MANUAL, CITY OF LEANDER STANDARD DETAILS AND TEXAS DEPARTMENT OF RIA, SHALL BE SUBMITTED TO THE CITY OF LEANDER FOR REVIEW AND APPROVAL PRIOR TO ANY ROAD CLOSURES. TRAFFIC CONTROL PLANS MUST BE SITE SPECIFIC AND SIGNED AND SEALED BY A NAI FNGINFFR.

HALL OCCUR ONLY BETWEEN THE HOURS OF 9AM AND 4PM UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED ON THE LANE CLOSURES REQUIRE APPROVAL OF THE CITY ENGINEER AND SHALL OCCUR BETWEEN THE AM. LANE CLOSURES OBSERVED BY THE CITY DURING PEAK HOURS OF 6AM TO 9AM OR 4PM TO TO A FINE AND/OR SUBSEQUENT ISSUANCE OF WORK STOPPAGE.

HING IS NOT ALLOWED. ALL SOURCES OF FLEXIBLE BASE MATERIAL ARE REQUIRED TO BE PRIOR TO BASE PLACEMENT ALL CURRENT TRIAXIAL TEST REPORTS FOR FOR PROPOSED STOCK TTED TO THE CITY CONSTRUCTION INSPECTOR FOR REVIEW AND APPROVAL. 3 THAT HAVE A VALLEY GUTTER, THE CROWN OF THE INTERSECTING ROAD WILL BE CULMINATED AT FROM THE INTERSECTING CURB LINE UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED. SHALL BE ALLOWED TO COLLECT ON OR NEAR THE INTERSECTION OF PRIVATE DRIVEWAYS AND ISTRUCTION OF THE DRIVEWAY APPROACH SHALL BE AT THE CONTRACTOR'S EXPENSE.

CHES SHALL HAVE A UNIFORM TWO PERCENT SLOPE WITHIN THE PUBLIC RIGHT OF WAY UNLESS BY THE ENGINEERING DEPARTMENT. CLUDE RECONSTRUCTION OF AN EXISTING TYPE II DRIVEWAY SHALL BE DONE IN A MANNER WHICH NOT LESS THAN HALF OF THE DRIVEWAY TO REMAIN OPEN AT ALL TIMES. FULL CLOSURE OF CONSIDERED WITH WRITTEN AUTHORIZATION OBTAINED BY THE CONTRACTOR FROM ALL PROPERTY ASEMENT RIGHT HOLDERS ALLOWING THE FULL CLOSURE OF THE DRIVEWAY. EAR FIVE (5) FEET BEYOND ALL PUBLIC RIGHT OF WAY TO PREVENT FUTURE VEGETATIVE GROWTH

OUND ADJACENT TO THE PUBLIC RIGHT OF WAY SHALL NOT EXCEED 3:1 SLOPE. IF A 3:1 SLOPE IS PROTECTION OR RETAINING WALL MUST BE SUBMITTED TO THE CITY FOR REVIEW AND APPROVAL

ATER, WASTEWATER OR DRAINAGE APPURTENANCES, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO VALVES, AN-OUTS, MANHOLES OR VAULTS IN ANY DRIVEWAY, SIDEWALK, TRAFFIC OR PEDESTRIAN AREA. ILL NOT USE CURB INLETS AS PARTIAL WALKING SURFACE. SIDEWALKS SHALL NOT USE TRAFFIC S, CHECK VALVE VAULTS, COMMUNICATION VAULTS, OR OTHER BURIED OR PARTIALLY BURIED VEHICULAR OR PEDESTRIAN SURFACE. L BE INSTALLED AND ALL DENSITIES MUST HAVE PASSED INSPECTION(S) PRIOR TO THE

TILITIES. INSTALLED AFTER SUBGRADE IS CUT AND BEFORE THE FIRST COURSE OF BASE. NO TRENCHING NECESSARY DRY UTILITIES INSTALLED AFTER FIRST COURSE BASE SHALL BE BORED ACROSS THE FULL WIDTH OF THE PUBLIC RIGHT-OF-WAY. 26. A MINIMUM OF SEVEN (7) DAYS OF CURE TIME IS REQUIRED FOR HMAC PRIOR TO THE INTRODUCTION OF VEHICULAR

TRAFFIC TO ALL STREETS.

#### WATER AND WASTEWATER NOTES

GENERAL NOTES: 1. ALL NEWLY INSTALLED PIPES AND RELATED PRODUCTS MUST CONFORM TO AMERICAN NATIONAL STANDARDS INSTITUTE/NATIONAL SANITATION FOUNDATION (ANSI/NSF) STANDARD 61 AND MUST BE CERTIFIED BY AN ORGANIZATION ACCREDITED BY ANSI.

- 2. ALL WATER SERVICE, WASTEWATER SERVICE AND VALVE LOCATIONS SHALL BE APPROPRIATELY STAMPED AS FOLLOWS: WATER SERVICE "W" ON TOP OF CURB WASTEWATER SERVICE "S" ON TOP OF CURB
- "V" ON TOP OF CURB VALVE 3. OPEN UTILITIES SHALL NOT BE PERMITTED ACROSS THE EXISTING PAVED SURFACES, WATER AND WASTEWATER LINES ACROSS THE EXISTING PAVED SURFACES SHALL BE BORED AND INSTALLED IN STEEL ENCASEMENT PIPES. BELL RESTRAINTS SHALL BE PROVIDED AT JOINTS.
- INTERIOR SURFACES OF ALL DUCTILE IRON POTABLE OR RECLAIMED WATER PIPE SHALL BE CEMENT-MORTAR LINED AND SEAL COATED AS REQUIRED BY AWWA C104. SAND. AS DESCRIBED IN AUSTIN SPECIFICATION ITEM 510 PIPE, SHALL NOT BE USED AS BEDDING FOR WATER AND
- WASTEWATER LINES. ACCEPTABLE BEDDING MATERIALS ARE PIPE BEDDING STONE, PEA GRAVEL AND IN LIEU OF SAND, A NATURALLY OCCURRING OR MANUFACTURED STONE MATERIAL CONFORMING TO ASTM C33 FOR STONE QUALITY AND MEETING THE FOLLOWING GRADATION SPECIFICATION: PERCENT RETAINED BY WEIGHT SIEVE SIZE
- 3/8" 0-2
- 40-85 95-100

6. DENSITY TESTING FOR TRENCH BACKFILL SHALL BE DONE IN MAXIMUM 12" LIFTS.

- WATER: 1. SAMPLING TAPS SHALL BE BROUGHT UP TO 3 FEET ABOVE GRADE AND SHALL BE EASILY ACCESSIBLE FOR CITY PERSONNEL. AT THE CONTRACTORS' REQUEST, AND IN HIS PRESENCE, SAMPLES FOR BACTERIOLOGICAL TESTING WILL BE COLLECTED BY THE CITY OF LEANDER NOT LESS THAN 24 HOURS AFTER THE TREATED LINE HAS BEEN FLUSHED OF THE
- CONCENTRATED CHLORINE SOLUTION AND CHARGED WITH WATER APPROVED BY THE CITY. 2. CITY PERSONNEL WILL OPERATE OR AUTHORIZE THE CONTRACTOR TO OPERATE ALL WATER VALVES THAT WILL PASS THROUGH THE CITY'S POTABLE WATER. THE CONTRACTOR MAY BE FINED \$500 OR MORE, INCLUDING ADDITIONAL THEFT OF WATER FINES, IF A WATER VALVE IS OPERATED IN AN UNAUTHORIZED MANNER, REGARDLESS OF WHO OPERATED THE
- 3. THE CONTRACTOR IS HEREBY NOTIFIED THAT CONNECTING TO. SHUTTING DOWN, OR TERMINATING EXISTING UTILITY LINES MAY HAVE TO OCCUR AT OFF-PEAK HOURS. SUCH HOURS ARE USUALLY OUTSIDE NORMAL WORKING HOURS AND POSSIBLY BETWEEN 12 AM AND 6 AM AFTER COORDINATING WITH CITY CONSTRUCTION INSPECTORS AND INFORMING AFFECTED
- PROPERTIES PRESSURE TAPS OR HOT TAPS SHALL BE IN ACCORDANCE WITH CITY OF LEANDER STANDARD SPECIFICATIONS. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL PERFORM ALL EXCAVATION AND SHALL FURNISH, INSTALL AND AIR TEST THE SLEEVE AND VALVE. A CITY OF LEANDER INSPECTOR MUST BE PRESENT WHEN THE CONTRACTOR MAKES A TAP, AND/OR ASSOCIATED TESTS. A MINIMUM OF TWO (2) WORKING DAYS NOTICE IS REQUIRED. "SIZE ON SIZE" TAPS SHALL NOT BE PERMITTED UNLESS MADE BY THE USE OF AN APPROVED FULL-CIRCLE GASKETED TAPPING SLEEVE. CONCRETE THRUST BLOCKS SHALL BE PLACED BEHIND AND UNDER ALL TAP SLEEVES A MINIMUM OF 24 HOURS PRIOR TO THE BRANCH BEING PLACED INTO SERVICE. THRUST BLOCKS SHALL BE INSPECTED PRIOR TO BACKFILL
- . FIRE HYDRANTS ON MAINS UNDER CONSTRUCTION SHALL BE SECURELY WRAPPED WITH A BLACK POLY WRAP BAG AND TAPED INTO PLACE. THE POLY WRAP SHALL BE REMOVED WHEN THE MAINS ARE ACCEPTED AND PLACED INTO SERVICE. THRUST BLOCKING OR RESTRAINTS SHALL BE IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE CITY OF LEANDER STANDARD SPECIFICATIONS AND
- REQUIRED AT ALL FITTINGS PER DETAIL OR MANUFACTURER'S RECOMMENDATION. ALL FITTINGS SHALL HAVE BOTH THRUST BLOCKS AND RESTRAINTS. . All dead-end water mains shall have "fire hydrant assembly" or "blow-off valve and thrust block" or
- "BLOW-OFF VALVE AND THRUST RESTRAINTS". THRUST RESTRAINTS SHALL BE INSTALLED ON THE MINIMUM LAST THREE PIPE-LENGTHS (STANDARD 20' LAYING LENGTH). ADDITIONAL THRUST RESTRAINTS MAY BE REQUIRED BASED UPON THE MANUFACTURER'S RECOMMENDATIONS AND/OR ENGINEER'S DESIGN.
- 8. PIPE MATERIAL FOR PUBLIC WATER MAINS SHALL BE PVC (AWWA C900-DR14 MIN. 305PSI PRESSURE RATING). WATER SERVICES (2" OR LESS) SHALL BE POLYETHYLENE TUBING (BLACK, 200PSI, AND SDR-(9)). COPPER PIPES AND FTTINGS ARE NOT ALLOWED IN THE PUBLIC RIGHT OF WAY. ALL PLASTIC PIPES FOR USE IN PUBLIC WATER SYSTEMS MUST BEAR THE NATIONAL SANITATION FOUNDATION SEAL OF APPROVAL (NSF-PW).
- 9. ALL FIRE HYDRANT LEADS SHALL BE DUCTILE IRON PIPE (AWWA C115/C151 PRESSURE CLASS 350). 10. ALL IRON PIPE AND FITTINGS SHALL BE WRAPPED WITH MINIMUM 8-MIL POLYETHYLENE. 11. LINE FLUSHING OR ANY ACTIVITY USING A LARGE QUANTITY OF WATER MUST BE COORDINATED WITH THE PUBLIC WORKS
- DEPARTMENT. 12. ALL WATER METER BOXES SHALL BE:
  - SINGLE, 1" METER AND BELOW DFW37F-12-1CA, OR EQUAL DUAL, 1" METERS AND BELOW DFW39F-12-1CA, OR EQUAL
  - 1.5" SINGLE METER DFW65C-14-1CA, OR EQUAL DFW1730F-12-1CA, OR EQUAL.
- d. 2" SINGLE METER 13. ALL WATER VALVE COVERS ARE TO BE PAINTED BLUE.
- CURVILINEAR WASTEWATER DESIGN LAYOUT IS NOT PERMITTED. MANDREL TESTING SHALL BE CONDUCTED AFTER THE FINAL BACKFILL HAS BEEN IN PLACE AT LEAST 30 DAYS. MANHOLES SHALL BE COATED PER CITY OF AUSTIN SPL WW-511 (RAVEN 405 OR SPRAYWALL). PENETRATIONS TO EXISTING WASTEWATER MANHOLES REQUIRE THE CONTRACTOR TO RECOAT THE ENTIRE MANHOLE IN ACCORDANCE WITH CITY OF AUSTIN STANDARD SPECIFICATIONS SECTION NO. 506.5.
- 4. RECLAIMED AND RECYCLED WATER LINE SHALL BE CONSTRUCTED OF "PURPLE PIPE." ALL RECLAIMED AND RECYCLED WATER VALVE COVERS SHALL BE SQUARE AND PAINTED PURPLE. 5. FORCE MAIN PIPES NEED TO HAVE SWEEPING WYES FOR JOINTS.

#### PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE NOTE

1. ASSOCIATED PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE PLAN SET TO BE BUILT; CONTRACTOR TO COORDINATE CONSTRUCTION OF SITE PLAN SET WITH PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE PLAN SET.

STORMWATER POLLUTION PREVENTION PLAN (SWPPP) SHALL BE PROVIDED BY CONTRACTOR. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE REQUIRED TO MAINTAIN, REVISE, AND UPDATE THE PROJECT SWPPP AS REQUIRED WITH THE GENERAL PERMIT.

**BENCHMARK NOTES** BENCHMARKS ARE BASED ON NAD83

COORDINATES ARE STATE PLANE CENTRAL ZONE WITH A COMBINED SCALE FACTOR OF 0.999880014398272 BM #10: SIX COTTON SPINDLE GRID NORTH: 10.203.075.39 GRID EAST: 3,082,766.80 ELEV: 1035.47 BM #11: SET MAGNETIC NAIL (TRAVIS) GRID NORTH: 10,203,986.98 GRID EAST: 3,082,429.05 ELEV: 1031.92'

ADD'L EROSION AND SEDIMENTATION CONTROL NOTE: IELLY MITCHE 103662 04/01/202 Shelly Mitchell SS **PE-DA P**A

O Š σ TS AS O Ζ X 29 KAUFFI Ш Z WA ۰ш O \_\_\_\_\_ Ш < വ

JOB NO. 51283-01 DATE DECEMBER 5 2023 DESIGNER .IR CHECKED TR DRAWN KT SHEET 02 of 55



- SHALL BE INSTALLED PRIOR TO THE INITIATION OF ROUGH
- SHALL BE INSTALLED AS STORM DRAINAGE SYSTEM IS
- ABOVE TO BE MAINTAINED AS NECESSARY DURING PAVING AND THROUGHOUT THE REMAINDER OF THE PROJECT. 4. FINAL GRADING/SOIL STABILIZATION/LANDSCAPING - ALL

· · N







EXISTING BENCHMARK PROPOSED FIRE HYDRANT

PROPOSED WASTEWATER MANHOLE PROPOSED GRATE INLET

 $\boxtimes$ 

(8)

/////

PROPOSED CURB INLET

PROPOSED JUNCTION BOX W/ MANHOLE PROPOSED ELECTRIC TRANSFORMER PARKING COUNT

PROPOSED BUILDING

PROPOSED CONCRETE SIDEWALK

TREES TO BE PROTECTED



JOB NO. 51283-01 DATE DECEMBER 5 2023 DESIGNER . IR CHECKED TR DRAWN KT SHEET 10 of 55

**PROJECT DATA:** 

SITE ACREAGE: 14.000 AC. # LIVING UNITS: 321 UNITS/ACRE 22.93

## PARKING SUMMARY

ROOM TYPE	UNIT COUNT	REQUIRED SPACES
1 BED: 2 BED: 3 BED:	211 95 15	316.5 190 37.5
TOTAL:	321	544
PARKING TYPE	UNIT COUNT	
STANDARD: ADA: COMPACT: GARAGE STANDARD GARAGE ADA	125 4 0 406 11	

STRIPING/SIGNAGE NOTES

546

TOTAL:

1. CURB, GUTTERS AND PAVEMENT DELINEATING THE FIRE LANE SHALL BE PAINTED RED WITH FOUR INCH (4") WHITE LETTERING STATING 'NO PARKING - FIRE LANE", 'FIRE LANE - TOW AWAY ZONE", OR SIMILAR WORDING. WORDING MAY NOT BE SPACED MORE THAN THIRTY (30')

FEET APART. 2. FIRE APPARATUS ACCESS ROADS SHALL BE CONTINUOUSLY MARKED BY PAINTED LINES OF RED TRAFFIC PAINT SIX INCHES (6") IN WIDTH TO SHOW THE BOUNDARIES OF THE LANE. THE WORDS "FIRE LANE - NO PARKING" SHALL APPEAR IN FOUR INCH (4") WHITE LETTERS AT 25 FEET (25') INTERVALS ON THE RED BORDER MARKINGS ALONG BOTH SIDES OF THE FIRE LANES. WHERÈ A CURB IS AVAILABLE, THE STRIPING SHALL BE ON THE VERTICAL FACE OF THE CURB. ALL PAINT SHALL BE 4" WIDE REFLECTIVE PAINT UNLESS NOTED OTHERWISE: WHITE ON

ASPHALT PAVING AND YELLOW ON CONCRETE UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED ON THE DRAWINGS. REFERENCE DETAIL SHEET FOR STRIPED ISLANDS.

ALL PAVEMENT MARKINGS SHALL RECEIVE TWO COATS OF PAINT ALL SIGNS SHALL CONFORM TO MUTCD, LATEST EDITION

#### BENCHMARKS: BENCHMARKS ARE BASED ON NAD83 COORDINATES ARE STATE PLANE CENTRAL ZONE WITH A COMBINED SCALE FACTOR OF 0.999880014398272 BM #10: SIX COTTON SPINDLE GRID NORTH: 10,203,075.39

ELEV:	GRID EAST: 3,082,766.80 1035.47
BM #11:	SET MAGNETIC NAIL (TRAVIS GRID NORTH: 10,203,986.98 CPUD FAST: 3,082,429,05





	SCALE: 1"= 40' 0' 40' 80' 120'	NO. REVISION DATE
.37"W	LEGEND         LOC       LIMITS OF CONSTRUCTION         PROPERTY LINE         PROPOSED EASEMENT LINE         PROPOSED RETAINING WALL         PROPOSED SIDEWALK         EXISTING BENCHMARK         PROPOSED WASTEWATER MANHOLE         PROPOSED GRATE INLET	SHELLY MITCHELL B 103662 CENSER 104/01/2024 Shelly Mibled
GATEWAY 29 REAL ESTATE LLC REMNANT PORTION OF A CALLED 32.266 ACRE TRACT DOC. NO. 2021019843 (0.P.R.)	<ul> <li>PROPOSED JUNCTION BOX</li> <li>PROPOSED HEADWALL</li> <li>PROPOSED BUILDING</li> </ul> DIMENSIONAL CONTROL NOTES: Intervision and Notify the Engineer of Any Discrepancies. Intervision and Notify the Engineer of Any Discrepancies. Intervision and Notify the Engineer of Any Discrepancies. UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED, THE CONTRACTOR SHALL VERIFY ALL DIMENSIONS PRIOR TO THE START OF CONSTRUCTION AND NOTIFY THE ENGINEER OF ANY DISCREPANCIES. UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED, THE CONTRACTOR SHALL USE THE PROPERTY PINS FOR HORIZONTAL CONTROL PER THE CONSTRUCTION DRAWINGS. UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED, THE CONTRACTOR SHALL USE THE PROPERTY PINS FOR HORIZONTAL CONTROL. DIMENSIONAL CONTROL POINTS. BENCHMARKS ARE NOT TO BE USED FOR HORIZONTAL CONTROL. DIMENSIONAL CONTROL POINTS ARE TO BACK OF CURB. DIMENSIONS ARE TO THE FACE OF CURB, FACE OF RETAINING WALL, AND CENTER OF PAINT STRIPING. ALL DIMENSIONS ARE PERPENDICULAR TO THE POINT OF REFERENCE. REFER TO THE ARCHITECTURAL AND STRUCTURAL PLANS FOR ADDITIONAL DIMENSION CONTROL INFORMATION. ALL CONCRETE CURB RADI ARE 3' UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED. COORDINATES FOR HORIZONTAL CONTROL POINTS ARE BASED ON THE TEXAS STATE PLANE COORDINATE SYSTEM, CENTRAL ZONE, NAD NAVAD88 DISPLATED IN SUFFACE VALUES USING A SUFFACE CADJUSTMENT FACTOR FOR EACH	ADDE TO THE ADDE TO AND
R186.00'	COUNTY:       (THE SURFACE ADJUSTMENT FACTOR FOR THIS SITE IS 0.99988)         STRIPING/SIGNAGE NOTES:       1         1. PAINTED RED WITH FOUR INCH (4') WHITE LETTERING STATING NO PARKING – FIRE LANE; TIRE LANE – TOW AWAY ZONE; OR SIMILAR WORDING, WORDING MAY NOT BE SPACED MORE THAN THIRTY (30') FEET APART.         2. FIRE APPARATUS ACCESS ROADS SHALL BE CONTINUOUSLY MARKED BY PAINTED LINES OF RED TRAFFIC PAINT SIX INCHES (6') IN WIDTH TO SHOW THE BOUNDARIES OF THE LANE. THE WORDS "FIRE LANE - NO PARKING" SHALL APPEAR IN FOUR INCH (4') WHITE LETTERS AT 25 FEET (25') INTERVALS ON THE RED BODERE MARKINGS ALONG BOTH SIDES OF THE FIRE LANES. WHERE A CURB IS AVAILABLE, THE STRIPING SHALL BE ON THE VERTICAL FACE OF THE CURB.         3. ALL PAINT SHALL BE 4" WIDE REFLECTIVE PAINT UNLESS NOTED OTHERWISE: WHITE ON ASPHALT PAVING AND YELLOW ON CONCRETE UNLESS OTHERWISE: NOTED ON THE RED BODER MARKINGS ALONG BOTH SIDES OF THE FIRE LANES. WHERE A CURB IS AVAILABLE, THE STRIPING SHALL BE ON THE VERTICAL FACE OF THE CURB.         4. ALL PAINT SHALL BE 4" WIDE REFLECTIVE PAINT UNLESS NOTED OTHERWISE: WHITE ON ASPHALT PAVING AND YELLOW ON CONCRETE UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED ON THE DRAWINGS SHALL RECEIVE TWO COATS OF PAINT.         5. ALL SIGNS SHALL CONFORM TO MUTCD, LATEST EDITION         IMIC SIX COTTON SPINDLE         CURVE # RADIUS DELTA FACTOR OF 0.9998B0014398272         BM #10: SIX COTTON SPINDLE         CRID NORTH: 10.203,075.38 CRID EAST: 3,082,766.80         ELEV: 1035.47         BM #11: SET MAGNETIC NAIL (TRAVIS) CRID NORTH: 10,203,986.98 CRID EAST: 3,082,786.98	GATEWAY 29, LOTS 9 & 10 100 KAUFFMAN LOOP LEANDER, TEXAS SITE & DIMENSIONAL CONTROL PLAN (1 OF 2)
	— ELEV. 1031.92	JOB NO. <u>51283–01</u> DATE <u>DECEMBER 5 2023</u> DESIGNER <u>JR</u> CHECKED TR DRAWN KT SHEET <b>11 Of 55</b>



#### **KEYED NOTES**

- $\langle 1 
  angle$  Concrete sidewalk, re: sheet 36
- $\langle 2 \rangle$  BUILDING ENTRANCE
- $\langle 3 \rangle$ 6" CONCRETE CURB, RE: SHEET 37
- $\langle 4 \rangle$  proposed ada ramp, re: sheet 36
- $\langle 5 \rangle$  wheel stop
- $\langle 6 \rangle$  TRASH DUMPSTER/COMPACTOR
- $\langle 7 \rangle$  ACCESSIBLE PARKING SIGN & BUMPER CURB, RE: DETAILS, SHEET 37
- 8 ACCESSIBLE PARKING SPACE WITH ACCESS AISLE, TO SLOPE 2% MAX IN ALL DIRECTIONS. RE: DETAIL, SHEET 37
- $\langle 9 \rangle$  4" WIDE PAVEMENT STRIPING
- $\langle 10 \rangle$  wall with railing
- $\langle 11 \rangle$  wall with fencing; re: Landscape plans  $\langle 20 \rangle$  bicycle parking
- $\langle 12 \rangle$  VENT SEE ARCHITECTURAL PLANS
- (13) CURB CUT
- $\langle 14 
  angle$  24" white stop bar
- $\langle 15 \rangle$  RAMP WITH HANDRAIL (8.33% MAX) (REFERENCE ARCH)
- (16) VEGETATIVE FILTER STRIP

\_R70.00' - 10' SIDE SETBACK 15' PARKING SETBACK R155.00'-(1)---' 10' BUILDING SETBACK 15' PARKING SETBACK 15' PARKING SETBACK MATCHLINE SEE SHEET 11

- $\langle 18 \rangle$  ACCESSIBLE CROSSWALK  $\langle 19 \rangle$  SIDEWALK STEPS



#### DIMENSIONAL CONTROL NOTES:

- 1. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL VERIFY ALL DIMENSIONS PRIOR TO THE START OF CONSTRUCTION AND NOTIFY THE ENGINEER OF ANY DISCREPANCIES.
- THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR ESTABLISHING ALL HORIZONTAL AND VERTICAL CONTROL PER THE CONSTRUCTION DRAWINGS. UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED, THE CONTRACTOR SHALL USE THE PROPERTY PINS FOR HORIZONTAL CONTROL POINTS. BENCHMARKS ARE NOT TO BE USED FOR HORIZONTAL 3.
- CONTROL.
- DIMENSIONAL CONTROL POINTS ARE TO BACK OF CURB. DIMENSIONS ARE TO THE FACE OF CURB, FACE OF RETAINING WALL, AND CENTER OF PAINT STRIPING. ALL DIMENSIONS ARE PERPENDICULAR TO THE POINT OF REFERENCE.
   REFER TO THE ARCHITECTURAL AND STRUCTURAL PLANS FOR ADDITIONAL DIMENSION CONTROL INFORMATION. CONTROL INFORMATION.
- ALL CONCRETE CURB RADII ARE 3' UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED. COORDINATES FOR HORIZONTAL CONTROL POINTS ARE BASED ON THE TEXAS STATE PLANE COORDINATE SYSTEM, CENTRAL ZONE, NAD NAVDA8 DISPLAYED IN SURFACE VALUES USING A SURFACE ADJUSTMENT FACTOR FOR EACH COUNTY. (THE SURFACE ADJUSTMENT FACTOR FOR THIS SITE IS 0.99988)

STRIPING/SIGNAGE NOTES:

- 1. CURB, GUTTERS AND PAVEMENT DELINEATING THE FIRE LANE SHALL BE PAINTED RED WITH FOUR INCH (4") WHITE LETTERING STATING 'NO PARKING - FIRE LANE", "FIRE LANE - TOW AWAY ZONE", OR SIMILAR WORDING. WORDING MAY NOT BE SPACED MORE THAN THIRTY (30') FEET APART.
- 2. FIRE APPARATUS ACCESS ROADS SHALL BE CONTINUOUSLY MARKED BY PAINTED LINES OF RED TRAFFIC PAINT SIX INCHES (6") IN WIDTH TO SHOW THE BOUNDARIES OF THE LANE. THE WORDS "FIRE LANE - NO PARKING" SHALL APPEAR IN FOUR INCH (4") WHITE LETTERS AT 25 FEET (25') INTERVALS ON THE RED BORDER MARKINGS ALONG BOTH SIDES OF THE FIRE LANES. WHERE A CURB IS AVAILABLE, THE STRIPING SHALL BE ON THE VERTICAL FACE OF THE CURB.
- 3. ALL PAINT SHALL BE 4" WIDE REFLECTIVE PAINT UNLESS NOTED OTHERWISE: WHITE ON ASPHALT PAVING AND YELLOW ON CONCRETE UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED ON THE ON ASPHALT PAVING AND TELLOW ON CONCRETE UNLESS OTHER DRAWINGS. REFERENCE DETAIL SHEET FOR STRIPED ISLANDS.
  4. ALL PAVEMENT MARKINGS SHALL RECEIVE TWO COATS OF PAINT.
  5. ALL SIGNS SHALL CONFORM TO MUTCD, LATEST EDITION

	BENCHMAR	<s:< th=""></s:<>
Υ	DENICUMADIZE	ADE

-	RFUCHN	IARKS:	
	BENCHMA	RKS ARE BASED ON NAD83	
	COORDINA	TES ARE STATE PLANE CENTRAL ZONE WITH	Α
	COMBINED	SCALE FACTOR OF 0.999880014398272	
	BM #10:	SIX COTTON SPINDLE	
		GRID NORTH: 10.203.075.39	
		GRID FAST: 3.082.766.80	
	FI FV	1035 47	
	DM //11.	SET MACHETIC MAIL (TRAVIS)	
		SET MAGNETIC NAIL (TRAVIS)	
		GRID NURTH: 10,203,986.98	
		GRID EAST: 3,082,429.05	
	ELEV:	1031.92'	

0 Š TS AS AS  $\neg \times$ O **V 29, LC** KAUFFMAN MA - Ш G

3

ЦО

<u>(</u>2

AN

Ч

ROL

CONTI

ISIONAL

Ζ

Ш

DIME

Š

SITE

JOB NO. 51283-01 DATE DECEMBER 5 2023 DESIGNER JR CHECKED TR DRAWN KT SHEET 12 of 55









SD-23-0162

JOB NO. <u>51283-01</u>

DATE DECEMBER 5 2023

CHECKED TR DRAWN KT

SHEET 14 of 55

JR

DESIGNER



		Wastewater Demand									
el age	LUEs	Average Dry Weather Demand (gpm)	Peak Factor	Peak Dry Weather Demand (gpm)	Inflow & Infiltration (gpm)	Peak Wet Weather Demand (gpm)					
00	225.00	42.19	3.84	161.94	7.29	169.23					
0	225	42.19		161.94	7.29	169.23					

Water Der	nand (based on maximum day demand)	
Minimum Pressure	Maximum Pressure	Fire Flow Available
67 psi (1,186 HGL)	74 psi (1,202 HGL)	4,000 GPM









MUST BE INSTALLED AND TESTED IN ACCORDANCE WITH NFPA 13 AND THE FIRE CODE, BY A LICENSED SPRINKLER CONTRACTOR WITH A PLUMBING PERMIT. THE ENTIRE MAIN MUST BE HYDROSTATICALLY TESTED AT ONE TIME, UNLESS ISOLATION VALVES ARE PROVIDED BETWEEN TEST SECTIONS

Ę

 $\square$ 

 $\Box$ 

Ш

WA) 100 K

Z Z Z

**೧** 

9. UNDERGROUND MAINS SUPPLYING PRIVATE HYDRANTS MUST BE INSTALLED AND TESTED IN ACCORDANCE WITH NFPA 24, AND THE FIRE CODE, BY A LICENSED CONTRACTOR WITH A PLUMBING PERMIT. THE ENTIRE MAIN MUST BE HYDROSTATICALLY TESTED AT ONE TIME, UNLESS ISOLATION VALVES ARE PROVIDED BETWEEN TESTED SECTIONS.



# N69°53'16"W 69.33'

1018



	Fuze L	eander - Ex	isting Cond	itions				CL	<b>IRVE NUMB</b>	ER	
Area #	AREA (ac)	AREA (mi <sup>2</sup> )	SOIL TYPE	SOIL TYPE IC (ac) PC (ac) %IC Type D perv CN Type D Imp		Type D perv CN		Imp CN	Weighted CN		
E1	11.09	0.01733	D	0.000	11.093	0.00%	80	80.00	98	0.00	80.00
E2	2.92	0.00456	D	0.000	2.916	0.00%	80	80.00	98	0.00	80.00
01	0.59	0.00093	D	0.447	0.147	75.26%	80	19.79	98	73.76	93.55
02	0.57	0.00089	D	0.388	0.180	68.31%	80	25.36	98	66.94	92.30
TOTAL	15.17	0.02370		0.835							

ANALYSIS -POINT 1

	Fuze Leander - Existing Condition	S					Peak F	lows	
Area #	Hydrologic Description	Drainage Area (ac)	Imp Cover (%)	Weighted CN	Lag Time (min)	Q2 (cfs)	Q10 (cfs)	Q25 (cfs)	Q100 (cfs)
<b>E1</b>	Site Runoff - To Analysis Point 1	11.093	0.0%	80.00	8.64	27.2	52.3	69.9	99.8
E2	Site Runoff - To Analysis Point 2	2.916	0.0%	80.00	10.11	6.7	12.9	17.2	24.6
01	Offsite Runoff - To Analysis Point 1	0.593	75.3%	93.55	3.00	3.1	4.9	6.1	8.1
O2	Offsite Runoff - To Analysis Point 1	0.569	68.3%	92.30	3.00	2.9	4.6	5.7	7.7
AP1	ANALYSIS POINT 1					30.40	57.50	76.40	108.60
AP2	ANALYSIS POINT 2					6.70	12.90	17.20	24.60

EXISTING DRAINAGE AREA TIME OF CONCENTRATION

_/						• · ·							
EXISTING		SHEET	FLOW		SHALLOW CONCENTRATED FLOW			CHANNEL FLOW					
						Paved?							
Area	Length (ft)	n	Slope	Tc (min.)	Length (ft)	(Y or N)	Slope	Tc (min.)	V (FT/S)	Length (ft)	Tc (min.)	CONC	
E1	100	0.150	1.80%	9.07	739	Ν	2.06%	5.32				14.39	8.64
E2	100	0.150	1.30%	10.33	777	Ν	1.52%	6.51				16.84	10.11
O1	91	0.015	1.30%	1.52	65	Ν	1.30%	0.59				5.00	3.00
O2	49	0.015	1.20%	0.96		Y	1.20%					5.00	3.00





- 1015

N69° 53' 16"W <u>69 33'</u>



## GENERAL NOTES:

- THE LOCATIONS OF EXISTING UNDERGROUND UTILITIES ARE SHOWN IN AN APPROXIMATE WAY ONLY AND HAVE NOT BEEN INDEPENDENTLY VERIFIED BY THE OWNER OR ITS REPRESENTATIVE. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL DETERMINE THE EXACT LOCATION OF ALL EXISTING UTILITIES BEFORE COMMENCING WORK, AND AGREES TO BE FULLY RESPONSIBLE FOR ANY AND ALL DAMAGES WHICH MIGHT BE ASSOCIATED BY THE CONTRACTOR'S FAILURE TO EXACTLY LOCATE AND PRESERVE ANY AND ALL UNDERGROUND UTILITIES.
- EXISTING CONTOUR INFORMATION SHOWN IS AT ONE (1) FOOT INTERVALS. THE CONTOURS ARE COMPUTER GENERATED USING FIELD DATA COLLECTED ON OR ABOUT JULY 2021.

DW	DYLL NOI SHELLY MITCHELL B CENSE SHELLY MITCHELL B CENSE	024
	THE PAPE-DAWSON ENGINEERS AUSTIN I SAN ANTONIO I HOUSTON I FORT WORTH I DALLAS 10801 N MOPAG EXPY, BLDG 3, STE 200 I AUSTIN, TX 78759 I 512.454.8711	TBPE FIRM REGISTRATION #470 1 TBPLS FIRM REGISTRATION #10028801
	GATEWAY 29, LOTS 9 & 10 100 KAUFFMAN LOOP LEANDER, TEXAS	EAIDTING UVERALL URAIINAGE AREA IMAR
	JOB NO. 51283-01 DATE DECEMBER 5 20 DESIGNER JR CHECKED TR DRAWN SHEFT 24 of 55	23 KT



		Laandan Dua	nogod Cond	litions					CUDVE				
Area #	AREA (ac	$\frac{1}{1} \frac{1}{1} \frac{1}$	PUSCU CONG SOIL TYPE	IC (ac)	PC (ac)	%		Type D perv C	N CURVE	Type D Imp	CN V	Veighted	
P1	7.74	0.01210	D	4.846	2.895	62.	60%	$30 \qquad 29$	0.92	98	61.35	<u>UN</u> 91.27	
P2 01	5.08	0.00794	D D	0.237	4.843 0.053	<u>4.6</u> 91.	07%	$\frac{50}{30}$ $\frac{76}{7}$	14	98 98	4.57 89.25	80.84 96.39	
O2 TOTAL	0.57 <b>13.98</b>	0.00089 0.02096	D	0.515 6.138	0.054	90.	56%	30 7	55	98	88.75	96.30	
		Fuze Le	ander - Pro	posed Con	ditions	•					Dac	k Flows	
				posed Con	Dra	inage	Imp Cover	Weighted	Lag Time			KTIUWS	
Area #		Hydrologic I	Description		A	ac)	(%)	CN	(min)	Q2 (cfs)	Q10 (cf	s) Q25 (cf	5) Q100 (cfs)
P1 P2	(	Site runoff to D Onsite Runoff und	etention pond detained to Al	1 P1	75	.741 .080	62.60% 4.67%	91.27 80.84	3.47 6.92	37.17	<u> </u>	75.50	102.58 50.88
01		Offsite runoff un	detained to Al	P1 nd	0	.593	91.07% 90.56%	96.39 96.30	3.00	3.24	4.93	6.10	8.13
POND		Pond Discha	rge to AP1			.507	70.3070	90.50	5.00	17.02	29.69	39.23	60.13
		POSED DRA POSED rrea Length P1 38 P2 100 01 91 02 49	AINAGE AF SHEE (ft) n 0.150 0.015 0.015 0.015	Slope         3.00%         1.70%         1.30%         1.20%	OF CONC Tc (min.) L 3.41 9.28 1.52 0.96	EVEN 2-Yi 100-Y	RATION LLOW CONC (ft) Paved? Y N Y Y ANALYSIS NT EXIST (CF) R 36.8 (R 69.9 (R 93.0 YR 132.	ENTRATED F Slope 7 2.95% 3.40% 1.30% 1.20% 7.20%	ELOW C (min.) V 2.26 0.59 0	STORM (FT/S) Le 6.00 & 6.00 & 100 & 100-YR 100-YR	1 DRAIN FL0         ngth (ft)         56.00         55.00         56.00         56.00         56.00         55.00	DW Tc (min.) 2.38 DINT 2 G PROPOS (CFS) 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	TIME OF CONC 5.79 11.54 5.00 5.00 5.00
									ANALYSI POINT 1			×	-
<u>A : P1</u> <u>1 AC</u>					$-\frac{1}{2} = -\frac{1}{2} $								
	`\				▏▋▎₱▏ۦ▖▎▌▌、			Í	4 <b>1</b> 1 1 <b>1</b>	1.	}; <b> </b> ]    <b> </b>  ∎		

Weighted	
CN	
91.27	
80.84	
96.39	
96.30	



#### \_ \_ \_ — — — — — — — — — — EASEMENT LINE ---- EXISTING CONTOUR LINE

\_\_\_\_Lo=100' — — Lsc=100' — 🗩 — — Lc=100' — 🕨  $\rightarrow$ 

PROPERTY BOUNDARY PROPOSED CONTOUR LINE OVERLAND FLOW SHALLOW CONCENTRATED FLOW CHANNELIZED FLOW DIRECTION OF FLOW VEGETATIVE FILTER STRIP

## **GENERAL NOTES:**

- 1. THE LOCATIONS OF EXISTING UNDERGROUND UTILITIES ARE SHOWN IN AN APPROXIMATE WAY ONLY AND HAVE NOT BEEN INDEPENDENTLY VERIFIED BY THE OWNER OR ITS REPRESENTATIVE. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL DETERMINE THE EXACT LOCATION OF ALL EXISTING UTILITIES BEFORE COMMENCING WORK, AND AGREES TO BE FULLY RESPONSIBLE FOR ANY AND ALL DAMAGES WHICH MIGHT BE ASSOCIATED BY THE CONTRACTOR'S FAILURE TO EXACTLY LOCATE AND PRESERVE ANY AND ALL UNDERGROUND UTILITIES.
- 2. EXISTING CONTOUR INFORMATION SHOWN IS AT ONE (1) FOOT INTERVALS. THE CONTOURS ARE COMPUTER GENERATED USING FIELD DATA COLLECTED ON OR ABOUT JULY 2021.
- PICP-23-0072 GOES FIRST IN ORDER OF SEQUENCE OF CONSTRUCTION. PRIVATE ROAD FLOWS ARE NOT ADDRESSED IN THIS CASE NUMBER.



Shelly Mitchell AS Ζ DAL 454. 0 **PAPE-DAWS ENGINEERS** 200 BPI — რ DNI∪ BLDG

\*

HELLY MITCHE

103662

04/01/2024

-~ ~ MAP AREA DRAINAGE

OVERALL

PROPOSED

JR



			1	1		1			-				1		1
Drainage	Area	Percent	Time of					С	с	с	с	Q	Q	Q	Q
Area	(ac)	Imp Cover	Concentration	(2-yr)	(10-yr)	(25-yr)	(100-yr)	(2-yr)	(10-yr)	(25-yr)	(100-yr)	(2-yr)	(10-yr)	(25-yr)	(100-yr)
74.64	(40)		(min)		inches p	er hour		(~ ,• ,	(10 )()	(23 ))	(100 )1)	cubic feet per second			
1	0.33	53.94%	5.00	6.14	9.19	11.30	15.00	0.54	0.61	0.65	0.74	1.09	1.85	2.44	3.64
2	0.36	52.67%	5.00	6.14	9.19	11.30	15.00	0.53	0.60	0.65	0.73	1.19	2.01	2.66	3.97
3	0.29	81.07%	5.00	6.14	9.19	11.30	15.00	0.66	0.74	0.79	0.87	1.16	1.94	2.54	3.74
4	0.21	91.35%	5.00	6.14	9.19	11.30	15.00	0.71	0.79	0.84	0.93	0.91	1.51	1.97	2.89
5	0.52	74.70%	5.00	6.14	9.19	11.30	15.00	0.63	0.71	0.76	0.84	2.01	3.36	4.42	6.52
6	0.66	66.77%	5.00	6.14	9.19	11.30	15.00	0.60	0.67	0.72	0.80	2.42	4.06	5.34	7.91
7A	0.58	73.32%	5.00	6.14	9.19	11.30	15.00	0.63	0.70	0.75	0.83	2.22	3.71	4.88	7.20
7B	0.32	62.08%	5.00	6.14	9.19	11.30	15.00	0.58	0.65	0.69	0.78	1.12	1.88	2.48	3.68
8	0.32	74.88%	5.00	6.14	9.19	11.30	15.00	0.63	0.71	0.76	0.84	1.25	2.09	2.74	4.04
9	0.72	100.00%	5.00	6.14	9.19	11.30	15.00	0.75	0.83	0.88	0.97	3.31	5.48	7.15	10.46
10	0.26	43.15%	5.00	6.14	9.19	11.30	15.00	0.49	0.56	0.60	0.68	0.78	1.33	1.76	2.64
11	0.97	100.00%	5.00	6.14	9.19	11.30	15.00	0.75	0.83	0.88	0.97	4.46	7.39	9.64	14.10
12	0.23	45.42%	5.00	6.14	9.19	11.30	15.00	0.50	0.57	0.61	0.69	0.72	1.22	1.62	2.43
13	0.98	100.00%	5.00	6.14	9.19	11.30	15.00	0.75	0.83	0.88	0.97	4.52	7.49	9.77	14.29



e: Mar 29, 2024, 9:42am User ID: jrobinson • H:\Dsciente\512\83\01\301\_Construction Documents\Civil\SD5128301





HIS DOCUMENT HAS BEEN PRODUCED FROM MATERIAL THAT WAS STORED AND/OR TRANSMITTED ELECTRONICALLY AND MAY HAVE BEEN INADVERTENTLY ALTERED. RELY ONLY ON FINAL HARDCOPY MATERIALS BEARING THE CONSULTANT'S ORIGINAL SIGNATURE AND SEAL.



ND	
	LIMITS OF CONSTRUCTION
	PROPERTY LINE
-ww	EXISTING WASTEWATER LINE
	PROPOSED EASEMENT LINE
w	EXISTING WATER LINE
—1160— — — —	EXISTING CONTOUR
-(1160)	PROPOSED CONTOURS
W	PROPOSED WATER LINE
WW	PROPOSED WASTEWATER LINE
SD	PROPOSED STORM DRAIN LINE
xx	PROPOSED FENCE
	PROPOSED GRATE INLET
	PROPOSED JUNCTION BOX
	PROPOSED CURB INLET-GRATE

# 04/01/202 Shelly Mitchell PAPE-DAWSON ENGINEERS 0 Š

 $\widehat{\mathbf{N}}$ 

#### NOTES:

\_\_\_\_\_ \_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_x \_\_\_

- 1. THE LOCATIONS OF EXISTING UNDERGROUND UTILITIES ARE SHOWN IN AN APPROXIMATE WAY ONLY AND HAVE NOT BEEN INDEPENDENTLY VERIFIED BY THE OWNER OR ITS REPRESENTATIVE. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL DETERMINE THE EXACT LOCATION OF ALL EXISTING UTILITIES BEFORE COMMENCING WORK, AND AGREES TO BE FULLY RESPONSIBLE FOR ANY AND ALL DAMAGES WHICH MIGHT BE ASSOCIATED BY THE CONTRACTOR'S FAILURE TO EXACTLY LOCATE AND PRESERVE ANY AND ALL UNDERGROUND UTILITIES.
- 2. EXISTING CONTOUR INFORMATION SHOWN IS AT ONE (1) FOOT INTERVALS. THE CONTOURS ARE COMPUTER GENERATED USING FIELD SURVEY DATA FROM JULY 2021. 3. ROOF DOWNSPOUTS TO OUTFALL VIA SPLASH BLOCK ABOVE
- FINISHED GRADE UNLESS OTHERWISE SPECIFIED. 4. ALL PUBLIC STORM SEWER TO BE CLASS 5 CONCRETE. PRIVATE STORM SEWER WITH MORE THAN OR EQUAL TO 2 FEET OF SEPARATION FROM TOP OF PIPE TO SUBGRADE CAN BE HDI OR EQUIVALENT. PRIVATE STORM SEWER FEET FROM TOP OF PIPE TO SUBGRADE. THAN 48" PIPE, SHALL BE HP DUAL WALL PIPE.



AL TO 2 FEET OF GRADE CAN BE HDPE PIPE PIPE WITH LESS THAN 2 OR EQUAL TO OR GREATER L OR CLASS 5 CONCRETE	GATEWAY 29, LOTS 100 KAUFFMAN LOOF LEANDER, TEXAS STORM DRAIN PLAN (2 C
	JOB NO. 51283-01 DATE <u>DECEMBER 5 2023</u> DESIGNER JR CHECKED TR DRAWN K SHEET <b>28 Of 55</b>

σ



Mar 29, 2024, 9:42am User ID: jrobinson H.\Projects\512\83\01\301 Construction Documents\Civil\SD-DD5138301

![](_page_163_Figure_0.jpeg)

30	" R.C.P. '	'SD—1 ⊉ 0.50	B"		30" R.C. 9 0.50% 30'	P. "S ' R.C. 0.50%	D–1B" P. "SD–1B	EXISTING GROUND			1			24" R.C.P. ": © 0.60%	 SD—18"					  	R.C.P. ).50%	, "S
									24" 24"	R.C.P. "SD- @ 0.	-1B"			12	" R.C.P. "S	5D—1B" @	0.50%					
36" R.C.P @ 1	5. "SD-1B 1.00%	»				30" R	.C.P. "SD- @ 0.50%	18"	©	0.60%												
36″ RCP FL (out) 1020.77 36″ RCP FL (in) 1020.77 24″ RCP FL (in) 1021.77 STA: 4+82.58 "SD-18"	4'X4' GRATE INLET 36" RCP FL (out) 1021.55 30" RCP FL (in) 1021.65	STA: 5+01.85 "SD-18" WYE	12" RCP FL (out) 1023.24 30" RCP FL (out) 1021.74 30" RCP FL (in) 1021.74	STA: 5+12.25 "SD-18" wvr	30" RCP FL (out) 1021.80 30" RCP FL (in) 1021.80 18" RCP FL (in) 1022.80	STA: 5+31.12 "SD-18"	WYE 30" RCP FL (out) 1021.89 30" RCP FL (in) 1021.89 18" RCP FL (in) 1022.89	STA: 5+86.08 "SD-18" 3.5'X3.5' GRATE INLET 30" RCP FL (out) 1022.17	24* RCP FL (in) 1022.27		STA: 6+73.11 "SD-18"	45° BEND 24° RCP FL (out) 1022.79 24° RCP FL (in) 1022.80	STA: 6+87.25 "SD-18" 45: BEND 24" RCP FL (out) 1022.89	24" RCP FL (in) 1022.89	STA: 7+23.37 "SD-1B" 2'X2' GRATE INLET 24" RCP FL (out) 1023.10 12" RCP FL (in) 1024.10			STA: 8+36.81 "SD-1B" 2'X2' GRATE INLET 12" RCP FL (out) 1024.67	12" RCP FL (ih) 1024.67		STA: 8+89.99 "SD-1B" 2'X2' GRATE INLET 12" RCP FL (out) 1024.94	12" RCP FL (in) 1024.94
1020.97	1021.22	1021.47	1021.74		1021.86	1021.99	1022.11	1020 3F	1022.50	1022.65	1000 81			1024.11 1024.24	1024.36	1024.49	1024.61	1024 74		1024.86	1024.99	
			5+	00				6-	+00			7-	+00			8+0	00				9+0	00

Property	Test Method	Unit	Specification
Permeability	ASTM D-2434	cm/sec	1 x 10 <sup>-6</sup>
Plasticity Index of Clay	ASTM D-423 & D-424	%	Not less than 15
Liquid Limit of Clay	ASTM D-2216	%	Not less than 30
Clay Particles Passing	ASTM D-422	%	Not less than 30
Clay Compaction	ASTM D-2216	%	95% of Standard Proctor
			Density

![](_page_164_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_164_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_164_Figure_5.jpeg)

DETENTION POND									
Elev. (ft)Depth (ft)Pr. Area (ft²)Area (ac)IncrementalCumulativeVolume (ft³)Volume (ft³)Volume (ft³)									
1015.67	0	0	0.00000	0	0				
1016.00	0.33	1,481	0.03400	244	244				
1017.00	1.00	11,979	0.27500	6730	6974				
1018.00	1.00	22,564	0.51800	17272	24246				
1018.50	0.50	30,448	0.69899	13253	37499	WQ Volume			
1019.00	0.40	38,681	0.88799	17282	54781				
1020.00	1.00	50,747	1.16499	44714	99495				
1020.23	0.23	51,412	1.18026	10216	109711	100-YR WSEL			
1021.00	0.77	54,099	1.24194	42204	151915	Top of Pond			

DETENTION POND WSEL										
2 YEAR	1019.29									
10 YEAR	1019.59									
25 YEAR	1019.80									
100 YEAR	1020.09									

	WQ POND									
	BATCH DETENTION POND									
				Incremental	Cumulative					
Elev. (ft)	Depth (ft)	Pr. Area (ft <sup>2</sup> )	Area (ac)	Volume (ft <sup>3</sup> )	Volume (ft <sup>3</sup> )	Notes				
1,015.67	0.00	0	0.000000	0	0					
1,016.00	0.33	1,481	0.033999	244	244					
1,017.00	1.00	11,979	0.275000	6,730	6,974					
1,018.00	1.00	22,564	0.517998	17,272	24,246					
1,018.50	0.50	30,448	0.698990	13,253	37,499	WQ Volume				
1	1					1				

WATER Required WQ Volume (80% r Provided WQ

![](_page_165_Figure_4.jpeg)

r 29, 2024, 9:43am User ID: jrobinson Projects\512\83\01\301 Construction Documents\Civil\PD5128301.d

iviax
orage
Ac-ft)
0.69
1.00
1.21
1.53

R QUALITY SUMMARY TA	BLE	
removal) (ft^3)	33,941	
Volume (ft^3)	37,499	

Texas Commission on Environmental Quality							
TSS Removal Calculations 04-20-2009				Project Name:	Fuze Leander		
				Date Prepared:	10/24/2023		
Additional information is provided for cells with a red tria	ngle i	n the uppe	r right coi	ner. Place the cu	Irsor over the c	ell.	
Text shown in blue indicate location of instructions in the Tech	nical C	Guidance M	anual - RG	-348.			
Characters shown in red are data entry fields. Characters shown in black (Bold) are calculated fields.	hang	es to these	e fields wil	I remove the equa	ations used in th	e spre	adsheet.
						•	
1. The Required Load Reduction for the total project:	C	Calculations fr	om RG-348		Pages 3-27 to 3-30		
Page 3-29 Equation 3.3:	L <sub>M</sub> = 2	8.93(A <sub>N</sub> x P)					
where: L <sub>M TOTAL PRO</sub>		Required TSS	removal resu	Iting from the proposed	d development = 80%	of incre	ased load
	$A_N = N$	let increase ir	n impervious	area for the project			
	P=A	werage annua	al precipitatio	n, inches			
Site Data: Determine Required Load Removal Based on the Entire F	roject inty =	Williamson	•				
Total project area included in pla	n * =	14.00	acres	Total Lot Area			
Total post-development impervious area within the limits of the p	an* =	5.48	acres	Total Lot IC			
Total post-development impervious cover fraction	on * = P =	0.39	inches				
* The values entered in these fields should be for the total project a	JECT =	5073	lbs.				
Number of drainage basins / outfalls areas leaving the plan a	rea =	1					
2. Drainage Basin Parameters (This information should be provided	or each	n basin):					
Drainage Basin/Outfall Area	No. =	1					
Total drainage basin/outfall a	rea =	8.39	acres	O2+P1			
Predevelopment impervious area within drainage basin/outfall a Post-development impervious area within drainage basin/outfall a	rea =	0.00	acres	IC(02+P1)			
Post-development impervious fraction within drainage basin/outfall a	rea =	0.66					
	A SIN =	5106	lbs.				
3. Indicate the proposed BMP Code for this basin.							
Proposed E	MP = B	Batch Detent	on Pond				
Removal efficie	ncy =	91	percent		Aqualogic Cartridge	Filter	
					Bioretention		
					Constructed Wetlar	d	
					Extended Detention Grassy Swale		
					Retention / Irrigation	1	
					Stormceptor		
					Vegetated Filter Str Vortechs	ps	
					Wet Vault		
4. Calculate Maximum TSS Load Removed (L <sub>R</sub> ) for this Drainage Bas	n by th	e selected B	MP Type.		TTOL VOUL		
RG-348 Page 3-33 Equation 3.7		RMP efficienc		$(34.6 + A_{\rm P} \times 0.54)$			
where:	$A_c = T$	otal On-Site o	Irainage area	a in the BMP catchmen	t area		
	$A_{P} = P$	Pervious area	remaining in	the BMP catchment ar	ea		
	L <sub>R</sub> = T	SS Load rem	oved from thi	is catchment area by th	ne proposed BMP		
	A <sub>c</sub> =	8.39	acres				
	A <sub>l</sub> =	5.52	acres				
	A <sub>P</sub> =	2.88 5602	acres Ibs				
5. Calculate Fraction of Annual Runoff to Treat the drainage basin / o	outfall a	rea					
Desired L <sub>M THIS E</sub>	A SIN =	5106	lbs.				
	F =	0.91					
				O-l-tr	240	D	041-055
b. Calculate Capture volume required by the BMP Type for this drain	age ba	sın / outfall a	irea.	Calculations from RG	-348	Pages 3	-34 to 3-36
Rainfall De	pth =	1.80	inches				
Post Development Runoff Coeffici	ent =	0.47					
On-site Water Quality Volu	ime =	25537	cubic feet				
	C	alculations fr	om RG_3/19	Pages 3-36 to 3-37			
			UTT NO-040	- ages 5-50 to 3-57			
Off-site area draining to E Off-site Impervious cover draining to E	MP = MP =	0.57 0.52	acres acres				
Impervious fraction of off-site a	rea =	0.90					
Off-site Water Quality Volu	ime =	2748	cubic feet				
Storage for Sedin	nent =	5657					
Total Capture Volume (required water quality volume(s) x 1	20) =	33941	cubic feet				

![](_page_166_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_167_Figure_0.jpeg)

DOCUMENT HAS BEEN PRODUCED FROM MATERIAL THAT WAS STORED AND/OR TRANSMITTED ELECTRONICALLY AND MAY HAVE BEEN INADVERTENTLY ALTERED. RELY ONLY ON FINAL HARDCOPY MATERIALS BEARING THE CONSULTANT'S ORIGINAL SIGNATURE AND SEV

SD-23-0162

![](_page_168_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_168_Figure_1.jpeg)

SD-23-0162

![](_page_169_Figure_0.jpeg)

1:12 SLOPE CLEANOUT BASE CLASS A CONCRETE		DATE
4" MIN, BELOW PIPE O.D. A A ULTRASEAL SA ACE BY OR PER SPI WW-218		EVISION
HRINK GROUT CONCRETE PAD, K CLASS A SI W/ 2-#5 BARS		UN CONTRACTOR OF TETT
COMPACTION NTROLLED LOW GTH MATERIAL J2S. T BOTTOM BASE, VW-146B, DTES 1-5 VARY FROM 2"		SHELLY MITCHELL B C E N S C
COARSE AGGREGATE TO EXTEND 12" MIN. DUND CLEANOUT LLED INVERT. CAST IN PLACE BY THE		Only Mitchell
OUT. STED ON SPL WW-511. IST INSTALL A MINIMUM 48" EPTABLE SAMPLE PORT HE 48" DIA. MANHOLE SHALL		<b>SSON</b> S ORTH I DALLAS 3759 I 512.454.8711 RATION #10028801
506-AW-04		<b>FERANA</b>
		<b>FAPE</b> <b>ENGI</b> I SAN ANTONIO I HOL AOPAC EXPY, BLDG 3, STE M REGISTRATION #470 I
7 0		AUSTIN 10801 N M TBPE FIRM
(INSTALLATIO) IN LINED PIPE		0
R THAN		<b>9 &amp; 1</b> (5)
OTHER E, AND		<b>9, LOTS</b> MAN LOOI R, TEXAS FAILS (3 OF
R RD NO. V-01C		VAY 29 00 KAUFI LEANDE ILITY DE
ADE		
MAIN 8 BEND <b>9</b>		
N. VERT, ANK)		JOB NO. <u>51283–01</u> DATE <u>DECEMBER 5 2023</u>
		designer jr checked TR drawn kt sheet 41 OF 55

![](_page_170_Figure_0.jpeg)

HIS DOCUMENT HAS BEEN PRODUCED FROM MATERIAL THAT WAS STORED AND/OR TRANSMITTED ELECTRONICALLY AND MAY HAVE BEEN INADVERTENTLY ALTERED. RELY ONLY ON FINAL HARDCOPY MATERIALS BEARING THE CONSULTANT'S ORIGINAL SIGNATURE AND SEAL.

![](_page_170_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_170_Figure_3.jpeg)

SD-23-0162

![](_page_171_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_171_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_171_Figure_2.jpeg)

THIS DOCUMENT HAS BEEN PRODUCED FROM MATERIAL THAT WAS STORED AND/OR TRANSMITTED ELECTRONICALLY AND MAY HAVE BEEN INADVERTENTLY ALTERED. RELY ONLY ON FINAL HARDCOPY MATERIALS BEARING THE CONSULTANT'S ORIGINAL SIGNATURE AND SEAL.

![](_page_171_Figure_4.jpeg)

SD-23-0162

# **SECTION VIII**

#### **PERMANENT STORMWATER SECTION : ATTACHMENT G**

Inspection, Maintenance, Repair and Retrofit Plan

#### PERMANENT POLLUTION ABATEMENT MEASURES MAINTENANCE SCHEDULE AND MAINTENANCE PROCEDURES

This document has been prepared to provide a description and schedule for the performance of maintenance on permanent pollution abatement measures. Maintenance measures to be performed will be dependent on what permanent pollution abatement measures are incorporated into the project. The project specific water pollution abatement plan should be reviewed to determine what permanent pollution abatement measures are incorporated into a project.

It should also be noted that the timing and procedures presented herein are general guidelines, adjustment to the timing and procedures may have to be made depending on project specific characteristics as well as weather related conditions but may not be altered without TCEQ approval.

Where a project is occupied by the owner, the owner may provide for maintenance with his own skilled forces or contract for recommended maintenance of Permanent Best Management Practices. Where a project is occupied or leased by a tenant, the owner shall require tenants to contract for such maintenance services either through a lease agreement, property owners association covenants, or other binding document.

I understand that I am responsible for maintenance of the Permanent Pollution Abatement Measures included in this project until such time as the maintenance obligation is either assumed in writing by another entity having ownership or control of the property or ownership is transferred.

I, the owner, have read and understand the requirements of the attached Maintenance Plan and Schedule.

4/3/24

Signature

Date

![](_page_173_Picture_12.jpeg)

#### INSPECTION AND MAINTENANCE SCHEDULE FOR PERMANENT POLLUTION ABATEMENT MEASURES

Recommended Frequency	Task to be Performed												
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13
After Rainfall	$\checkmark$							$\checkmark$			$\checkmark$		$\checkmark$
Biannually*	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$

\*At least one biannual inspection must occur during or immediately after a rainfall event.  $\sqrt{Indicates}$  maintenance procedure that applies to this specific site.

See description of maintenance task to be performed on the following pages. Frequency of maintenance tasks may vary depending on amount of rainfall and other weather-related conditions but may not be altered without TCEQ approval.

Α	written	record	should	he kent	of inspe	ction resi	ults and	maintenance	performed.
11	written	recoru	snouiu	De Kepi	oj inspe	cnon resi	nus unu	mannenance	perjormeu.

	Task No. & Description	Included in this project		
1.	Mowing	Yes	No	
2.	Litter and Debris Removal	Yes	No	
3.	Erosion Control	Yes	No	
4.	Level Sensor	Yes	No	
5.	Nuisance Control	Yes	No	
6.	Structural Repairs and Replacement	Yes	No	
7.	Discharge Pipe	Yes	No	
8.	Detention and Drawdown Time	Yes	<del>No</del>	
9.	Sediment Removal	Yes	No	
10.	Logic Controller	Yes	No	
11.	Vegetated Filter Strips	Yes	No	
12.	Visually Inspect Security Fencing for Damage or Breach	Yes	No	
13.	Recordkeeping for Inspections, Maintenance, and Repairs	Yes	No	

#### MAINTENANCE PROCEDURES FOR PERMANENT POLLUTION ABATEMENT MEASURES

#### Note: Additional guidance can be obtained from TCEQ's Technical Guidance Manual (TGM) RG-348 (2005) Section 3.5.

<u>Inspections</u>. Inspections should take place a minimum of twice a year. One inspection should take place during wet weather to determine if the basin is meeting the target detention time of 12 hours and a drawdown time of no more than 48 hours. The remaining inspections should occur between storm events so that manual operation of the valve and controller can be verified. The level sensor in the basin should be inspected and any debris or sediment in the area should be removed. The outlet structure and the trash screen should be inspected for signs of clogging. Debris and sediment should be removed from the orifice and outlet(s) as described in previous sections. Debris obstructing the valve should be removed. During each inspection, erosion areas inside and downstream of this BMP should be identified and repaired/revegetated immediately. *A written record should be kept of inspection results and corrective measures taken* 

- 1. <u>Mowing</u>. The basin, basin side-slopes, and embankment of the basin must be mowed to prevent woody growth and control weeds. A mulching mower should be used, or the grass clippings should be caught and removed. Mowing should take place at least twice a year, or more frequently if vegetation exceeds 18 inches in height. More frequent mowing to maintain aesthetic appeal may be necessary in landscaped areas.
- 2. <u>Litter and Debris Removal</u>. Litter and debris removal should take place at least twice a year, as part of the periodic mowing operations and inspections. Debris and litter should be removed from the surface of the basin. Particular attention should be paid to floatable debris around the outlet structure. The outlet should be checked for possible clogging or obstructions and any debris removed.
- 3. <u>Erosion control</u>. The basin side slopes and embankment all may periodically suffer from slumping and erosion. To correct these problems, corrective action, such as regrading and revegetation, may be necessary. Correction of erosion control should take place whenever required based on the periodic inspections.
- 4. <u>Level Sensor</u>. The level sensor in the basin should be inspected and any debris or sediment in the area should be removed. Litter and debris removal should take place at least twice a year, as part of the periodic mowing operations and inspections. Debris and litter should be removed from the surface of the basin.
- 5. <u>Nuisance Control</u>. Standing water or soggy conditions may occur in the basin. Some standing water may occur after a storm event since the valve may close with 2 to 3 inches of water in the basin. Some flow into the basin may also occur between storms due to spring flow and residential water use that enters the storm sewer system. Twice a year, the facility should be evaluated in terms of nuisance control (insects, weeds, odors, algae, etc.).
- 6. <u>Structural Repairs and Replacement</u>. With each inspection, any damage to structural elements of the basin (pipes, concrete drainage structures, retaining walls, etc.) should be identified and repaired immediately. An example of this type of repair can include patching of cracked concrete,

![](_page_175_Picture_12.jpeg)

sealing of voids, removal of vegetation from cracks and joints. The various inlet/outlet structures in a basin will eventually deteriorate and must be replaced. A written record should be kept of inspection results and corrective measures taken

- 7. <u>Discharge Pipe</u>. The basin discharge pipe shall be checked for accumulation of silt, debris or other obstructions which could block flow. Soil accumulations, vegetative overgrowth and other blockages should be cleared from the pipe discharge point. Erosion at the point of discharge shall be monitored. If erosion occurs, the addition of rock rubble to disperse the flow should be accomplished. A written record should be kept of inspection results and corrective measures taken
- 8. Detention and Drawdown Time. One inspection should take place during wet weather to determine if the basin is meeting the target detention time of 12 hours and a drawdown time of no more than 48 hours. This characteristic can be a sign of the need for maintenance. The minimum drawdown time is 24 hours. If drawdown time is less than 24 hours, the actuator valve shall be checked and partially closed to limit the drawdown time. Extensive drawdown time greater than 48 hours may indicated blockage of the discharge pipe. Corrective actions should be performed and completed within 15 working days. A written record of the inspection findings and corrective actions performed should be made.
- 9. <u>Sediment Removal</u>. A properly designed batch detention basin will accumulate quantities of sediment over time. The accumulated sediment can detract from the appearance of the facility and reduce the pollutant removal performance of the facility. The sediment also tends to accumulate near the outlet structure and can interfere with the level sensor operation. Sediment shall be removed from the basin at least every 5 years, when sediment depth exceeds 6 inches, when the sediment interferes with the level sensor or when the basin does not drain within 48 hours. Care should be taken not to compromise the basin lining during maintenance.
- 10. <u>Logic Controller</u>. The Logic Controller should be inspected as part of the twice-yearly investigations. Verify that the external indicators (active, cycle in progress) are operating properly by turning the controller off and on, and by initiating a cycle by triggering the level sensor in the basin. The valve should be manually opened and closed using the open/close switch to verify valve operation and to assist in inspecting the valve for debris. The solar panel should be inspected and any dust or debris on the panel should be carefully removed. The controller and all other circuitry and wiring should be inspected for signs of corrosion, damage from insects, water leaks, or other damage. At the end of the inspection, the controller should be reset.
- 11. <u>Vegetated Filter Strips</u>. Vegetation height for native grasses shall be limited to no more than 18inches. When vegetation exceeds that height, the filter strip shall be cut to a height of approximately 4 inches. Turf grass shall be limited to a height of 4-inches with regular maintenance that utilizes a mulching mower. Trash and debris shall be removed from filter strip prior to cutting. Check filter strip for signs of concentrated flow and erosion. Areas of filter strip showing signs of erosion shall be repaired by scarifying the eroded area, reshaping, regrading, and placement of solid block sod over the affected area. A written record of the inspection findings and corrective actions performed should be made

PAPE-DAWSON ENGINEERS

- 12. <u>Visually Inspect Security Fencing for Damage or Breach</u>. Check maintenance access gates for proper operation. Damage to fencing or gates shall be repaired within 5 working days. *A written record should be kept of inspection results and maintenance performed*.
- 13. Recordkeeping Procedures for Inspections, Maintenance, Repairs, and Retrofits.
  - Written records shall be kept by the party responsible for maintenance or a designated representative.
  - Written records shall be retained for a minimum of five years.

![](_page_177_Picture_7.jpeg)

# **SECTION VIII**

#### **PERMANENT STORMWATER SECTION : ATTACHMENT I**

Measures for Minimizing Surface Stream Contamination

#### MEASURES FOR MINIMIZING SURFACE STREAM CONTAMINATION

Storm water runoff resulting from the proposed improvements will be routed to an onsite detention pond that will detain the peak flows and release them at a rate less than the peak flow rates under existing conditions.

![](_page_179_Picture_5.jpeg)
# **SECTION IX** AGENT AUTHORIZATION FORM (TCEQ-0599)

#### Agent Authorization Form For Required Signature Edwards Aquifer Protection Program Relating to 30 TAC Chapter 213 Effective June 1, 1999

1 Angela M	Li O							
0	Print Name							
	Vice Provident							
	Title - Owner/President/Other							
of	Zekelman Property Leander 53, LLC							
	Corporation/Partnership/Entity Name							
have authorized	Trevor Riek							
	Print Name of Agent/Engineer							
of	Pape-Dawson Engineers							
	Print Name of Firm							

to represent and act on the behalf of the above named Corporation, Partnership, or Entity for the purpose of preparing and submitting this plan application to the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality (TCEQ) for the review and approval consideration of regulated activities.

I also understand that:

- 1. The applicant is responsible for compliance with 30 Texas Administrative Code Chapter 213 and any condition of the TCEQ's approval letter. The TCEQ is authorized to assess administrative penalties of up to \$10,000 per day per violation.
- 2. For those submitting an application who are not the property owner, but who have the right to control and possess the property, additional authorization is required from the owner.
- 3. Application fees are due and payable at the time the application is submitted. The application fee must be sent to the TCEQ cashier or to the appropriate regional office. The application will not be considered until the correct fee is received by the commission.
- 4. A notarized copy of the Agent Authorization Form must be provided for the person preparing the application, and this form must accompany the completed application.
- 5. No person shall commence any regulated activity on the Edwards Aquifer Recharge Zone, Contributing Zone or Transition Zone until the appropriate application for the activity has been filed with and approved by the Executive Director.

SIGNATURE PAGE:

Applicant's Signature

4121/2024

THE STATE OF ILLI NOIS S

County of COOK §

BEFORE ME, the undersigned authority, on this day personally appeared <u>Angela Mis</u> known to me to be the person whose name is subscribed to the foregoing instrument, and acknowledged to me that (s)he executed same for the purpose and consideration therein expressed.

GIVEN under my hand and seal of office on this 4th day of April .2024.

PUBLIC 7 NC Juliann Equilo Typed or Printed Name of Notary

MY COMMISSION EXPIRES: 3/10/2026



**SECTION X** APPLICATION FEE FORM (TCEQ-0574)

## **Application Fee Form**

Texas Commission on Environmental Quality							
Name of Proposed Regulated Entity: <u>Gateway 29, Lots 9 &amp; 10</u>							
Regulated Entity Location: <u>100 Kauffman Loop, Leander TX</u>							
Name of Customer: ZEKELMAN PROPERTY LEANDER 53 LLC							
Contact Person: TREVOR RIEK Phone: (512) 454-8711							
Customer Reference Number (if issued):CN							
Regulated Entity Reference Number (if issued):RN							
Austin Regional Office (3373)							
Hays Travis 🛛 W	illiamson						
San Antonio Regional Office (3362)							
Bexar Medina U	valde						
Comal Kinney							
Application fees must be paid by check, certified check, or money order, payak	ole to the <b>Texas</b>						
Commission on Environmental Quality. Your canceled check will serve as you	r receipt. <b>This</b>						
form must be submitted with your fee payment. This payment is being subm	itted to:						
Austin Regional Office San Antonio Regional Office							
Mailed to: TCEQ - Cashier Overnight Delivery to:	)vernight Delivery to: TCEQ - Cashier						
Revenues Section 12100 Park 35 Circle							
Mail Code 214 Building A, 3rd Floor							
P.O. Box 13088 Austin, TX 78753							
Austin, TX 78711-3088 (512)239-0357							
Site Location (Check All That Apply):							
Recharge Zone Contributing Zone Trans	ition Zone						
Type of Plan Size	Fee Due						
Water Pollution Abatement Plan, Contributing Zone							
Plan: One Single Family Residential Dwelling Acres	\$						
Water Pollution Abatement Plan, Contributing Zone							
Plan: Multiple Single Family Residential and Parks Acres	\$						
Water Pollution Abatement Plan, Contributing Zone							
Plan: Non-residential 14.00 Acres	\$ 6500						
Sewage Collection System828 L.F.	\$ 650						
Lift Stations without sewer lines Acres	\$						
Underground or Aboveground Storage Tank Facility Tanks	\$						
Piping System(s)(only) Each	\$						
Exception Each	\$						
Extension of Time Each	\$						

Signature: \_\_\_\_\_ Date: <u>4/3/2</u>4

### **Application Fee Schedule**

Texas Commission on Environmental Quality

Edwards Aquifer Protection Program 30 TAC Chapter 213 (effective 05/01/2008)

### Water Pollution Abatement Plans and Modifications

#### Contributing Zone Plans and Modifications

Project	Project Area in Acres	Fee
One Single Family Residential Dwelling	< 5	\$650
Multiple Single Family Residential and Parks	< 5	\$1,500
	5 < 10	\$3,000
	10 < 40	\$4,000
	40 < 100	\$6,500
	100 < 500	\$8,000
	≥ 500	\$10,000
Non-residential (Commercial, industrial, institutional,	< 1	\$3,000
multi-family residential, schools, and other sites	1 < 5	\$4,000
where regulated activities will occur)	5 < 10	\$5,000
	10 < 40	\$6,500
	40 < 100	\$8,000
	≥ 100	\$10,000

#### **Organized Sewage Collection Systems and Modifications**

Project	Cost per Linear Foot	Minimum Fee- Maximum Fee
Sewage Collection Systems 828 LI	* 0.5 = 414 \$0.50	\$650 - \$6,500

### Underground and Aboveground Storage Tank System Facility Plans and Modifications

Project	Cost per Tank or Piping System	Minimum Fee- Maximum Fee
Underground and Aboveground Storage Tank Facility	\$650	\$650 - \$6,500

#### Exception Requests

Project	Fee
Exception Request	\$500

#### Extension of Time Requests

Project	Fee
Extension of Time Request	\$150

# SECTION XI TCEQ-CORE DATA FORM (TCEQ-10400)



### **TCEQ Core Data Form**

For detailed instructions regarding completion of this form, please read the Core Data Form Instructions or call 512-239-5175.

#### **SECTION I: General Information**

1. Reason for Submission (If other is checked please describe in space provided.)								
New Permit, Registration or Authorization (Core Data Form should be submitted with the program application.)								
Renewal (Core Data Form should be submitted with the renewal form)     Other								
2. Customer Reference Number (if issued)	3. Regulated Entity Reference Number (if issued)							
CN     for CN or RN numbers in Central Registry**     RN								
SECTION II: Customer Information								

4. General C	ustomer I	nformation	5. Effective Dat	te for Cu	stome	r Inform	atio	n Updat	t <b>es</b> (mm/dd/yyyy)		
New Cust	New Customer Update to Customer Information Change in Regulated Entity Ownership										
Change in	Legal Nar	ne (Verifiable wit	h the Texas Secre	etary of St	tate or	Texas C	omp	otroller o	f Public Accounts)		
The Custo	mer Nar	ne submitted	here may be ι	updated	l auto	matica	ally	based	on what is cu	rrent and	active with the
Texas Sec	Texas Secretary of State (SOS) or Texas Comptroller of Public Accounts (CPA).										
6. Customer	Legal Nai	<b>me</b> (If an individua	, print last name firs	st: eg: Doe	, John)		<u>li</u>	f new Cu	istomer, enter previ	ious Custom	er below:
Zekelman	Propert	y Leander 53	, LLC								
7. TX SOS/C	PA Filing	Number	8. TX State Tax	<b>t ID</b> (11 digi	its)		9	). Feder	al Tax ID (9 digits)	10. DUN	S Number (if applicable)
08042610	60		3208130724	14			8	87-219	91966		
11. Type of C	Customer:	Corporati	ition Individual Partnership:  General Limited								
Government:  City  County  Federal  State  Other County  County  Federal  State  Other County  County											
12. Number	12. Number of Employees       13. Independently Owned and Operated?										
⊠ 0-20 ∟	21-100	101-250	251-500	501 a	nd high	ler		⊠ Yes			
14. Custome	r Role (Pr	pposed or Actual) -	as it relates to the	Regulated	Entity li	isted on t	his fo	orm. Plea	se check one of the	following	
⊠Owner		Operat	or	0 []	wner &	Operat	or				
	nal Licens	ee 🗌 Respo	nsible Party	🗌 V	oluntar	y Cleani	Jp A	pplicant	Other:		
	227 W	est Monroe S	St., Suite 2600	0							
15. Mailing											
Address.	City	Chicago	State         IL         ZIP         60606         ZIP + 4         5082				5082				
16. Country Mailing Information (if outside USA) 17. E-Mail Address (if applicable)											
18. Telephor	e Numbe	ſ	19	19. Extension or Code 20.			20. Fax Numbe	20. Fax Number (if applicable)			
( )	-								()	-	

#### **SECTION III: Regulated Entity Information**

21. General Regulated Entity I	nformation (If 'New Regulated Entit	y" is selected below this form should be accompanied by a permit application)
New Regulated Entity	Update to Regulated Entity Name	Update to Regulated Entity Information

The Regulated Entity Name submitted may be updated in order to meet TCEQ Agency Data Standards (removal of organizational endings such as Inc, LP, or LLC).

22. Regulated Entity Name (Enter name of the site where the regulated action is taking place.)

Fuze Leander

	r								
23. Street Address of	100 Ka	uffman Loop	)						
the Regulated Entity:									
(No PO Boxes)	City	Leander	State	TX	ZIP	786	41	ZIP + 4	
24. County			·			·			-
	E	Enter Physical L	ocation Descript	tion if no st	reet add	ress is pro	ovided.		
25. Description to Physical Location:									
26. Nearest City						State		Nea	arest ZIP Code
Leander						TX		78	641
27. Latitude (N) In Decin	nal:	30.63498		28. l	ongitud	le (W) In D	ecimal:	-97.8326	7
Degrees	Minutes		Seconds	Degre	es		Minutes		Seconds
29. Primary SIC Code (4	digits) <b>30</b>	. Secondary SIC	Code (4 digits)	<b>31. Prima</b> (5 or 6 digit	ary NAIC	S Code	<b>32. S</b> (5 or 6	econdary NA digits)	NCS Code
1522				236116	)				
33. What is the Primary	Business o	of this entity?	(Do not repeat the SIC	C or NAICS des	scription.)				
Construction of Mu	lti-Fami	ly Residentia	l Developme	nt					
	227 West Monroe St, Suite 2600								
34. Mailing									
Address:	City	Chicago	State	IL	ZIP	•	60606	ZIP + 4	5082
35. E-Mail Address			I						
36. Telepho	36. Telephone Number 37. Extension or Code 38. Fax Number (if applicable)							licable)	
() - () -						•			
39. TCEQ Programs and ID form. See the Core Data Form i	Numbers	Check all Programs or additional guidar	s and write in the pence.	ermits/registra	ation num	bers that will	l be affected	l by the updates	s submitted on this
Dam Safety	Distric	ts	Edwards Aqu	uifer	Emissions Inventory Air			Industrial Hazardous Waste	
Municipal Solid Waste	New S	Source Review Air	OSSF		🗌 Pe	troleum Stor	age Tank	PWS	

#### **SECTION IV: Preparer Information**

Storm Water

U Waste Water

40. Name:	Trevor Riek, P.E.		41. Title:	Project Manager
42. Tele	phone Number 43. Ext./Cod	e 44. Fax Number	45. E-Mail	Address
(512)	454-8711	( ) -	triek@pa	ape-dawson.com

Wastewater Agriculture

Tires

U Water Rights

Used Oil

Other:

Title V Air

#### **SECTION V: Authorized Signature**

**46.** By my signature below, I certify, to the best of my knowledge, that the information provided in this form is true and complete, and that I have signature authority to submit this form on behalf of the entity specified in Section II, Field 6 and/or as required for the updates to the ID numbers identified in field 39.

Company:	Pape-Dawson Engineers, Inc. Job Title: Project N			lanager	
Name (In Print):	Trevor Riek, P.E.			Phone:	( 512 ) 454- <b>8711</b>
Signature:	Surrow West			Date:	04/11/24

Sludge

Voluntary Cleanup