Issue No. 1	
-------------	--

## TEXAS NATURAL RESOURCE CONSERVATION COMMISSION TECHNICAL PEER REVIEW DOCUMENT

# TITLE OR ISSUE Regional Underground Injection Control (UIC) inspectors do not have written guidance to determine if gauges and recorders are in "good working order" which is questioned on the TNRCC's UIC Inspection Checklist. DOCUMENT INITIATED BY (Name/Office/Phone Number) Steve Fotiades/DuPont-Victoria 512-572-1451 10/18/96

#### **ISSUE DESCRIPTION**

Since no written guidance policy exists to determine if gauges and recorders are in good working order, the agency can not be assured consistent UIC inspections occur across all regions. Currently, if the field inspector determines that the field gauge and electronic recorder (monitored in the control room) are within 5% of the gauge's current reading, the two devices are considered in "good working order".

This rule is also not consistent with gauge and electronic instrument manufacturing accuracy specifications. Manufacturing specifications state instrument accuracy as a percentage of the "Full Scale" reading of the devise, not a percentage of the "current reading". As an example, the manufacturer may state that a gauge is accurate to within 2% of Full Scale (Ex: Full Scale = 1000 psig, Accuracy = 20 psi, at all times). An instrument's accuracy is therefore not related to its current reading but rather its Full Scale reading.

#### WHO'S AFFECTED?

All Class I Underground Injection Control well operators.

#### This Section to be Completed by Peer Review Team

### **FACTORS CONSIDERED**

The Technical Review Group (TRG) believes UIC operators should have good quality instruments to monitor their operations. However, guidance should not impose a standard that requires the highest quality instruments available on the market. Therefore, the TRG reviewed "average" instruments from an accuracy standpoint. From this data, a standard was generated that does not impose unrealistically high and unnecessary accuracy but still requires quality monitoring instruments.

In reviewing manufacturing specifications, a median quality gauge is accurate to within 3% of its full scale reading. Electronic monitoring instruments of median quality are rated to 0.5% of their full scale reading. These are additive (3.5%) when determining an allowable deviation for guidance purposes. Therefore, when defining the allowable deviation standard, the TRG suggests rounding 3.5% to 4% for simplicity.

Revised 10/18/1996 Page 1 of 2

FINDING(S) AND RECOMMENDATION(	<b>S</b> )		
The TRG proposes the following guidance to re 14 and 15, Section 2, of the TNRCC UIC Inspe		nspections to ac	ldress Questions
To be considered in "good working order", field one another to within 4% of the Full Scale re TNRCC's UIC Inspection Checklist should be a	eading of the pressure gauge or	-	_
Examples:			
Gauge Scale         4% of Full Scale           0-500 psi         20 psi           0-1500 psi         60 psi	Tolerance (40 psi max 20 psi 40 psi	)	
COMMENTS			
REVIEW COMPLETED BY	NAMES	INITIALS	DATE
X Technical Review Group  NA Section Technical Panel	Ben Knape, UURW Charles Greene, UURW Hong Guo, UURW Jim Boswell, UURW Mike Hull, Region 1		
NA Division Senior Technical Committee  Chief Engineer/Senior Technical Council	Aron Athavaley, Region 12 Mark Cheesman, Merichem Steve Fotiades, DuPont James Clark, DuPont		
Send copies to:  ☐ Case File ☐ OP&RD ☐ Issue Initiator	<ul> <li>□ Case Coordinator</li> <li>□ Other: <u>ED</u>, <u>Deputy Director</u></li> </ul>		

Revised 10/18/1996 Page 2 of 2