March 9, 2018

CERTIFIED MAIL

Ms. Felicity Dodson, Project Manager
Galveston District CESWG-PE-RE
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
P.O. Box 1229
Galveston, Texas 77553-1229

Re: USACE Permit Application No. SWG-2014-00412

Dear Ms. Dodson:

As described in the Joint Public Notice (JPN), dated December 22, 2017, the applicant, Texas Central Railroad, LLC, proposes the Dallas to Houston High Speed Rail (DHHSR) Project, to provide an alternative travel mode and help meet public transit demand. The railway would allow travel speeds up to 205 miles per hour in a sealed corridor with travel times of approximately 90 minutes between the two Texas cities. The proposed railway parallels existing electric power transmission lines, roadways, and utility right-of-ways.

The DHHSR Project spans the Fort Worth and Galveston Districts of the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (USACE). The Fort Worth District’s portion is approximately 189 miles in Dallas, Ellis, Navarro, Freestone, Limestone, Leon, Madison, and Grimes counties in Texas. The Galveston District’s portion consists of an additional 51 miles in Waller and Harris counties (Permit Application No. SWG-2014-00412). For the complete 240-mile project, impacts to waters of the U.S. (WOUS) include 152,342 linear feet (LF) of streams, of which 91,969 LF are permanent, and 167.3 acres of pond/wetland impacts, of which 134.11 acres are permanent. According to the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS), watersheds in the project area include the Brazos, Navasota, San Jacinto, and Trinity river basins.

According to the Galveston District’s JPN, the WOUS impacts in that district include 18,439 LF of streams, of which 12,186 LF are permanent, while the pond/wetland impacts include 75.66 acres, of which 65.8 acres are permanent. To offset these impacts, the applicant proposes to purchase in-kind credits from approved mitigation banks.

The applicant provided a completed Tier II 401 Certification Questionnaire and Alternatives Analysis Checklist (Tier II Checklist) dated December 19, 2017. The Tier II Checklist included the project’s purpose and need, WOUS, Alternatives Analysis, and Mitigation Plan. In addition to the information contained in the JPN, Tier II Checklist,
and DEIS, the following information is needed for review of the proposed project. Responses to this letter may raise other questions that will need to be addressed before a water quality certification determination can be made.

1. If the aquatic resources cannot be avoided, appropriate and practicable steps should be taken to minimize potential adverse impacts (30 TAC §279.11(c)(2)). Please have the applicant provide more detailed information on what options were considered to minimize impacts and why they were eliminated. As stated in the Tier II Checklist, the applicant identified Alternative A as the least environmentally damaging practicable alternative, in which approximately 60 percent of the railway will be elevated and enclosed in viaducts. Please have the applicant provide additional supporting information to aid in the review of the applicant’s minimization efforts.

2. With regard to minimization of impacts, the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality (TCEQ) recommends clear span bridges or open bottom box culverts for all stream crossings. This helps to ensure that the hydrological regime and existing aquatic habitats are maintained for freshwater mussels, macroinvertebrate assemblages, and fish spawning/foraging passages.

3. Mitigation of impacts is considered for “...all unavoidable adverse impacts that remain after all practicable avoidance and minimization has been completed...” (30 TAC §279.11(c)(3)). For the unavoidable WOUS impacts, the applicant proposes to purchase in-kind credits from approved mitigation banks for which more details are needed. Please have the applicant provide, in tabular form, the mitigation credits to be purchased and their availability, for each bank.

4. There are discrepancies between the WOUS impacts given in the public notice and those given in additional information provided by the applicant in Document 5, Attachment G, “Summary of ...impacts....”. Please have the applicant clarify these discrepancies and clarify which units (acres or LF) are used for each WOUS type.

5. Please have the applicant provide a copy of the wetland delineations, functional assessments, site photos, and other relevant baseline information to better evaluate the proposed mitigation.

6. Please have the applicant address and consider mitigation for potential secondary and cumulative impacts such as flow alterations that may affect the overall hydrology of the impacted watersheds.
7. Please have the applicant provide the impacted stream names in the Plan Drawings legends. Alternatively, the applicant may provide a separate table that cross-references the impacted stream name/segment with its corresponding Plan Drawing number (ex: HN-02029).

The TCEQ appreciates the opportunity to comment and looks forward to receiving and evaluating other agency or public comments. The TCEQ's comments pertaining to the Fort Worth District's project portion (SWF-2011-00483) are provided in a separate letter. Please provide any agency comments, public comments, as well as the applicant's comments, to Dr. Mary Anne (Mimi) Wallace of the Water Quality Division MC-150, P.O. Box 13087, Austin, Texas 78711-3087. Dr. Wallace may also be contacted by e-mail at Mimi.Wallace@tceq.texas.gov, or by telephone at (512) 239-4604.

Sincerely,

[Signature]

David W. Galindo, Director
Water Quality Division
Texas Commission on Environmental Quality

DWG/MAW/sc

ccs: Mr. Carlos Aguilar, Texas Central Railroad, LLC, 1409 South Lamar Street, Suite 1022, Dallas, Texas 75215
Mr. Fredrick Zarate, Jr., Freese and Nichols, Inc., 2711 North Haskell Avenue, 33rd Floor, Dallas, Texas 75204