	Questions & Answers – Addressed in Chat Window				
Source	Туре	Name	Content		
Attendee	Question # 1	Anonymous (Unverified)	Hello, verifying this is the Teams Meeting for WQAWG today.		
Moderator	Response to Question # 1	Nancy Vignali	Yes, starting now(Hello, verifying this is the Teams Meeting for WQAWG today.)		
Attendee	Response to Question # 1	Anonymous (Unverified)	Thank you!(Hello, verifying this is the Teams Meeting for WQAWG today.)		
Attendee	Comment # 2	Anonymous (Unverified)	Not a questions: you may wish to speak with Drinking Water Advisory Workgroup. This groups was able to interact with those on today's call. (POC: Michelle Risko) - just a thought		
Moderator	Response to Comment # 2	Nancy Vignali	Thank you - I will get in touch with her. This is new for everyone. Thanks very much for the feedback. Have a great meeting.(Not a questions: you may wish to speak with Drinking Water Advisory Workgroup. This groups was able to interact with those on today's call. (POC: Michelle Risko) - just a thought)		
Attendee	Question # 3	Anonymous (Unverified)	Should we be able to see David?		
Moderator	Response to Question # 3	Nancy Vignali	Yes - let me check(should we be able to see David?)		
Moderator	Response to Question # 3	Nancy Vignali	Sorry his camera is not working. I just sent out an announcement to all. Thanks for the input.(should we be able to see David?)		
Attendee	Question # 4	Anonymous (Unverified)	Mark: Can David Turn his camera on?		
Moderator	Response to Question # 4	Nancy Vignali	No, it is not working. I just sent out an announcement - hoe that helps(Mark: Can David Turn his camera on?)		
Moderator	Announcement	Nancy Vignali	Unfortunately, David's camera is not working so please stay with us. You should be able to see all the other presenters. Sorry for the inconvenience.		
Attendee	Comment # 5	Anonymous (Unverified)	Mark: I can see your chat comments on the external page that the stakeholders can see.		
Attendee	Question # 6	Anonymous (Unverified)	Could we get David's email address?		

	Response to		
Moderator	Question # 6	Nancy Vignali	David.Galindo@tceq.texas.gov (Could we get David's email address?)
		Anna Polanco-	
	Response to	Ramos	
Attendee	Question # 6	(Unverified)	Thank you.
	Response to		
Moderator	Question # 6	Nancy Vignali	You're most welcome.(Anna Polanco-Ramos (Unverified) asked "Thank you.")
			Reminder - please submit questions during the program. All questions submitted will be
Moderator	Announcement	Nancy Vignali	addressed after all presenters have finished. Thank you for your participation and support.
		Anna Polanco-	
		Ramos	
Attendee	Question # 7	(Unverified)	Please send the email address Peter just mentioned again.
	Response to		ipcommnt@tceq.texas.gov (Anna Polanco-Ramos (Unverified) asked "please send the
Moderator	Question # 7	Gregg Easley	email address Peter just mentioned again.")

	Questions & Answers – Addressed On-Air During Live Meeting Q&A Session				
Source	Туре	Name	Content		
Attendee	Question # 1	Anonymous (Unverified)	For individual permits in the system now, are they held up until decisions are made on plastics?		
Moderator	Question # 1	Gregg Easley	This question was read out loud during the virtual meeting Question & Answer section.		
Presenter	Response to Question # 1	David Galindo	No, TCEQ is moving forward with issuing the individual permits, and we'll include a reopener clause language in there. Once we have language developed, we can do a Staff Initiated Amendment to open those permits back up to include more stringent requirements in those permits.		
Attendee	Question # 2	Anonymous (Unverified)	Also, have technologies been identified for zero discharge of no visible plastics similar to BACT?		
Moderator	Question # 2	Gregg Easley	This question was read out loud during the virtual meeting Question & Answer section.		
Presenter	Response to Question #2	David Galindo	No, we are not proposing technology-based limits. We are proposing a change to the Water Quality Standards. It would be a Water Quality based limit which would also allow a compliance period potentially. So no, we have not evaluated any technologies that would be suitable for achieving zero discharges of plastics.		
Attendee	Question # 3	Ellen Gilinsky (Unverified)	Will the new water treatment plant GP exclude treatment of produced water from the GP?		
Moderator	Question # 3	Gregg Easley	This question was read out loud during the virtual meeting Question & Answer section.		
Presenter	Response to Question # 3	Laurie Fleet	The Water Treatment Plant General Permit is specific to conventional water treatment plants, it only authorizes wastewater related to the conventional treatment of water treatment plant wastewater. It's going to include wastewater from facilities that use the normal conventional treatment process; again, this is water treatment, not wastewater treatment facilities. So, this is your drinking water plants so to my knowledge no water treatment plants are using produced water to generate public drinking water. So, the answer is no, the general permit the water treatment plant general permit will not allow produced water discharges through that general permit.		
Attendee	Question # 4	Anonymous (Unverified)	Would it also be possible to send draft permits out by email?		
Attendee	Question # 4 continued	Anonymous (Unverified)	Draft water quality permits		
Moderator	Response to Question # 4	Gregg Easley	Not sure I understand the question. Do you mean sending out draft permits by email to the public?(draft water quality permits)		

Moderator	Question # 4	Gregg Easley	This question was read out loud during the virtual meeting Question & Answer section.
			What I think the question is getting at is if the applicants need to submit their applications
			to the agency, can those be emailed instead of mailed US postal mail. I believe the answer
	Response to		is yes, we can accept them via email, although you have to be careful because our email
	Question # 4		system has a maximum size that it allows as an attachment in the event that you do email
Presenter	continued	Laurie Fleet	it to us. It would still need to be followed up with a hard copy anyways.
	Question # 4	Anonymous	I would like to know if draft water quality permits can be sent to the permittee for
Attendee	clarified	(Unverified)	comment instead of sending by mail.
	Response to		Got it. Thank you for the clarification. Will respond soon.(I would like to know if draft
	Question # 4		water quality permits can be sent to the permittee for comment instead of sending by
Moderator	clarified	Gregg Easley	mail.)
	Question # 4		
Moderator	continued	Gregg Easley	This clarification was read out loud during the virtual meeting Question & Answer section.
			Yes, we started using email to send the draft permits out to applicants for review I believe
	Response to		last year, the fall of last year. The applicants I believe have been emailing their comments
<b>.</b> .	Question # 4		back to us, so that's significantly shortened the amount of time needed for draft review
Presenter	continued	Laurie Fleet	and acceptance; we were doing that prior to the COVID-19 pandemic.
	Comment to	Anonymous	
Attendee	Question # 4	(Unverified)	Permit writers email draft permits already, at least the municipal team does this
		Anonymous	
Attendee	Question # 5	(Unverified)	Where was APO program transferred to again?
Moderator	Question # 5	Gregg Easley	This question was read out loud during the virtual meeting Question & Answer section.
Deserves	Response to		The APO program was transferred over to the Permitting and Registration Support Division
Presenter	Question # 5	Shelby Williams	in the Office of Waste.
		Julie Winchell, City of	
		Cleburne	
Attendee	Question # 6	(Unverified)	Would the WTP general permit go into effect if approved 10/07/20?
Moderator	Question # 6	Gregg Easley	This question was read out loud during the virtual meeting Question & Answer section.
		5.000 -00107	If the permit gets issued on October 7 <sup>th</sup> , it would go into effect on that same day; well it
			would go into effect on the date that it's signed. So, when we go to Commissioner's
			Agenda, they will adopt it, but then there's an actual signature that goes on the document;
			it can take up to a week for the Commissioner's to actually sign the document. It goes into
	Response to		effect upon its signature so it may not be exactly the October 7 <sup>th</sup> , but it'll be probably
Presenter	Question # 6	Laurie Fleet	within a week of October 7 <sup>th</sup> .

		Anonymous	
Attendee	Question # 7	(Unverified)	What if we have not received these waivers for our permittees?
		Tammy West -	
		Austin Water	
Attendee	Question # 8	(Unverified)	What if we have not received our waivers yet?
	Question # 7		These questions (# 7 and # 8) were read out loud together during the virtual meeting
Moderator	and # 8	Gregg Easley	Question & Answer section.
			We sent those out on June 11 <sup>th</sup> so you should have received your waiver in the mail. Again,
			those waivers were sent out to permittees who had their permits issued after February 1,
			2016. So, if your permit was issued prior to February 1, 2016 it does not require the
			electronic reporting, those requirements were never added to your permit, so you would
			not have gotten one. If you didn't, we have received a number of those back in the mail as
			undeliverable, we either don't have an accurate address for you, or something of that
			nature as the US postal service has returned a number of them to us. My recommendation
			to you, if you think you should have got a waiver and you did not get a waiver, send me an
			email and I can verify if you were supposed to have one or not. If you were supposed to
	Response # 7		have one and it got returned to us, we can get an accurate address for you and get that
Presenter	and # 8	Laurie Fleet	sent back out. My email address is <a href="mailto:laurie.fleet@tceq.texas.gov">laurie.fleet@tceq.texas.gov</a> .
		Rick	
Attendee	Question # 9	(Unverified)	Just to confirm, electronic reporting for pretreatment is waived until Dec 2023.
Moderator	Question # 9	Gregg Easley	This question was read out loud during the virtual meeting Question & Answer section.
	Response to		Yes, for the pretreatment annual report, the waiver is good through December of 2023.
Presenter	Question # 9	Laurie Fleet	The Biosolids waiver is good through December of this year, so December 2020.
		Ellen Gilinsky	
Attendee	Question # 10	(Unverified)	Is copy of the MOU with RR commission available online
Moderator	Question # 10	Gregg Easley	This question was read out loud during the virtual meeting Question & Answer section.
			Yes, it is because those rule markings are available under our rules so if you go to the TCEQ
			home page, the MOU is actually 30 Texas Administrative Code (TAC) Chapter 7.117, so
	Response to		that's 30 TAC 7.117. That's where you'll find the TCEQ Railroad Commission Memorandum
Presenter	Question # 10	Laurie Fleet	of Agreement.
		Ellen Gilinsky	
Attendee	Question # 11	(Unverified)	Will there be opportunity for public review of the NPDES application before it goes to EPA
Moderator	Question # 11	Laurie Fleet	This question was read out loud during the virtual meeting Question & Answer section.
	Response to		There will not be an opportunity for public review prior to submittal to EPA; however, as
Presenter	Question # 11	Laurie Fleet	part of EPA's process for reviewing and either approving or denying our application, EPA is

			required to seek public comment. So the Federal rules lay out the process, as you know the Governor submits our application to EPA, and then from there EPA has 30 days to review it for completeness to make sure that it contains all the necessary components. If they determine that it is complete, then they will review it and determine whether to approve or deny it. During that process they will be publishing the notice in the Federal Register and soliciting public review and comment on their decision to approve or deny. To reiterate, no public review prior to submitting to EPA but after it's submitted to EPA, EPA will be going through a public review comment process if our application is deemed complete.
		Bill Gase - City	
		of Garland	
Attendee	Question # 12	(Unverified)	Will there be any additional stakeholder meetings covering the Appendix E MALs?
Moderator	Question # 12	Gregg Easley	This question was read out loud during the virtual meeting Question & Answer section.
			We don't have any planned additional stakeholder meetings. We are awaiting to see what kind of public comments we receive regarding the revisions to Appendix C that we just
	Response to		sent out to Stakeholders, so depending on the feedback that we get, we'll reevaluate if
Presenter	Question # 12	Sarah Johnson	another stakeholder meeting is warranted. At this time, we don't have anything planned.
		Anonymous	
Attendee	Question # 13	(Unverified)	Are you going to talk about COVID Accommodations?
	Question # 13	Anonymous	
Attendee	continued	(Unverified)	That was skipped
		Denise Ehrlich	Gregg, will you be providing your comment about the COVID Accommodations for Permit
Attendee	Question # 14	(Unverified)	Applicants?
	Questions # 13		
Moderator	and # 14	Gregg Easley	These questions were read out loud during the virtual meeting Question & Answer section.
	Response to		TCEQ offices are closed to the public right now so unfortunately we can't receive applications delivered by courier or hand-delivered to the agency; but we'll still accept them by regular mail. We do encourage electronic application copies of those to be sent as well, but bottom line is we still have to process the applications via physical hard copies. We do still take those by regular mail. Also, for instances where a permittee or applicant needs to post copies of their application and draft permits in a public location and that public location is closed to the public (due to COVID-19); we do allow for applicants to post those materials on a publicly accessible website and we'll work with each individual applicant case by case with their particular situation and lastly we're also holding if a public
Madaustau	Questions # 13	Crear Fastari	meeting for an application is requested and granted by the commission, we can hold that
Moderator	and # 14	Gregg Easley	public meeting virtually or online as opposed to in person. So that's another way of helping

			our application processing procedures or processes move forward in spite of some of these obstacles.
		Anonymous	
Attendee	Question # 15	(Unverified))	I assume the plastic regulations apply to industrial permits / facilities.
Moderator	Question # 15	Gregg Easley	This question was read out loud during the virtual meeting Question & Answer section.
	Response to		Yes, that is correct, it'll apply to facilities manufacturers of plastics and then it will also apply to facilities which handle plastics or that form of plastics using those plastic pellets. The facilities that are handling plastics and not actually generating them, they're mostly going to be covered under the multi-sector general permit and so for those facilities it would be a matter of updating their stormwater pollution prevention plans to include BMPs to control plastics. Yes, the answer to your question is that it's going to be industrial
Presenter	Question # 15	David Galindo	facilities.
		Anonymous	
Attendee	Question # 16	(Unverified)	Will this presentation be available for viewing online at a later date?
Moderator	Question # 16	Gregg Easley	This question was read out loud during the virtual meeting Question & Answer section.
			Yes, we will post a recording of this presentation to the TCEQ YouTube channel, which can
	Response to		be looked up easily if you're in the YouTube application. Or we've got an address for the
Moderator	Question # 16	Gregg Easley	channel down near the bottom of our meeting agenda.
		Anonymous	
Attendee	Question # 17	(Unverified)	Can we send stop sending hard copies of permit applications? that uses a LOT of paper.
Moderator	Question # 17	Gregg Easley	This question was read out loud during the virtual meeting Question & Answer section.
			No, at this time our rules still require paper copies be submitted to the agency. We are
			aware of the amount of paper that it takes, or how much paper is included in an
			application; but currently we are required to receive hard copies of those applications.
			During this COVID-19 period, we are accepting electronic copies as well as the paper copies
			so an electronic copy is encouraged. If you would like to submit the electronic copy it may
			actually speed up the review since we could route that to different teams for review
			simultaneously, so that when the paper copy does come across the reviewer's desk, they
	Response to		would already be familiar with it. That may speed up the process. The answer to your
Presenter	Question # 17	David Galindo	question is currently we still have to receive hard copies.
			Just to add on that, if you do want to submit an application electronically, keep in mind
			Laurie's earlier point that emails are limited with file attachments. However, the TCEQ
			does have its own FTPS site where you can upload larger files and then email us a link and
	Response to		this is the only file transferring site that we're allowed to us. If you want to submit an
Presenter	Question # 17	Sarah Johnson	electronic copy of your application and you have a lot of images or it's a really large file, go

Comment toAnonymousAttendeeQuestion # 17(Unverified)I have been told to send by email AND hard copy	
Comment toAnonymousAttendeeQuestion # 17(Unverified)I think CROMERR reqs say we can accept digital CDs and faxes	
AttendeeAnonymousDoes TCEQ grant extensions to allow pretreatment programs to to COVID-19, if needed?	complete inspections due
Moderator Question #18 Gregg Easley This question was read out loud during the virtual meeting Question	stion & Answer section.
Yes, we have had some permittees reach out to us because of the being able to perform their inspections of their indirect discharg going to require a request for enforcement discretion and so the include the reasons, the specifics of why the control authority is functions. If you'd like more information on this, please reach ou and I'd be glad to direct you to where you can make that request PresenterPresenterQuestion # 18David Galindodiscretion.	gers. So that is actually e request would need to s unable to perform those ut to me at 512-563-3909,
Anonymous Peter said that reasonable potential can be used in lieu of sulfat	e and chloride screening.
Attendee Question # 19 (Unverified) What if the permittee does not do biomonitoring?	
Moderator Question # 19 Gregg Easley This question was read out loud during the virtual meeting Quest	stion & Answer section.
Yes, there are two possibilities here, if this is a municipal facility monitoring then they're going to be <1 mgd and not subject to t requirements. However, if they're an industrial facility that doesPresenterQuestion # 19Peter Schaeferthen we will have to go ahead and screen for chloride and sulfat	he TDS screening s not have biomonitoring,
AnonymousAre the sludge reporting requirements in your domestic wastewAttendeeQuestion # 20(Unverified)Are the sludge reporting requirements in your domestic wastewAttendeeQuestion # 20	
Moderator Question # 20 Gregg Easley This question was read out loud during the virtual meeting Quest	stion & Answer section.
Yes, they are not waived, so if you put our sludge LFOs in the Nerequired to continue using the Net DMR system for outfalls. If you system if will flag it as a DMR non-receipt which is a violation. We Sludge DMR outfalls, the only part that is waived for this year is annual report. So, some of your go into the Net DMR to submit subut you also follow that up with a paper document that has thin results and your certification statements. Those sort of things is waived, it's the paper portion of your annual report. Continue to the suburbal statement is the paper portion of your annual report. Continue to the suburbal statement is the paper portion of your annual report. Continue to the suburbal statement is the paper portion of your annual report. Continue to the suburbal statement is the paper portion of your annual report. Continue to the suburbal statement is the paper portion of your annual report. Continue to the suburbal statement is the paper portion of your annual report. Continue to the suburbal statement is the paper portion of your annual report. Continue to the suburbal statement is the paper portion of your annual report. Continue to the suburbal statement is the paper portion of your annual report. Continue to the suburbal statement is the paper portion of your annual report. Continue to the suburbal statement is the paper portion of your annual report.	ou fail to use the Net DMR /e are not waiving the the paper portion of your some information there, ngs like your analytical the only thing that's
Presenter Question # 20 Laurie Fleet for those sludge outfalls.	S use the net Divik System

r		1	
Attendee	Question # 21	Anonymous (Unverified)	COVID question - If draft permits aren't available to public for viewing at Chief Clerk's office, and COVID restrictions are prohibitive for travelling to location (library/ public building) where applicant placed copy of draft permit - how can public get a copy of draft permit to review?
Moderator	Question # 21	Gregg Easley	This question was read out loud during the virtual meeting Question & Answer section.
Presenter	Response to Question # 21	David Galindo	This is related to the accommodation that Gregg mentioned earlier, if a location is closed the permittee can publish those documents (application, draft permit, and fact sheet) online to their own website and TCEQ can include their URL on our webpage. So anytime that a permittee is not able to place those types of documents in the public viewing location, they can work with the agency, publish the documents on the permittee's own webpage, and then notify TCEQ of the URL; and we in turn can post a link on our website that directs the public to the permittee's website.
Attendee	Question # 22	Anonymous (Unverified)	In regards to reasonable potential in lieu of chloride and sulfate screening, the permittee is a municipal that has a final permit limit greater than 1.0 but is currently operating at less than 1.0.
Moderator	Question # 22	Gregg Easley	This question was read out loud during the virtual meeting Question & Answer section.
Presenter	Response to Question # 22	Peter Schaefer	Yes, we would still screen that facility for any phases >1 mgd and if they haven't started biomonitoring yet then we have no reasonable potential information to go by, so we would not be able to bypass those chloride and sulfate screenings.
Attendee	Question # 23	Anonymous (Unverified)	Can you please repeat the email address where we can submit an individual permit application too?
Moderator	Question # 23	Gregg Easley	This question was read out loud during the virtual meeting Question & Answer section.
Presenter	Response to Question # 23	Sarah Johnson	I would suggest that they email our Applications Review and Processing (ARP) Team, and that email address is <u>WQARPTEAM@tceq.texas.gov</u> .