

January 10, 2013

Mr. Keith Sheedy Texas Commission on Environmental Quality Remediation Division P.O. Box 13087 MC-122 Austin, Texas 78711-3087

RE: Submittal of Site Monitoring and Quality Assurance Data Exide Technologies Frisco Recycling Center Frisco, Texas IHW 50206, SWR No. 30516, RN100218643

Dear Mr. Sheedy:

AUSTIN

The *Perimeter Air Monitoring Plan for Response Actions at Class 2 Non-Hazardous Waste Landfill* (dated December 7, 2012) and the *Perimeter Air Monitoring Plan - Facility Demolition* dated November 21, 2012 (collectively, the AMPs) address air monitoring to be conducted by Exide Technologies at the Exide Technologies Frisco Recycling Center located in Frisco, Texas during upcoming demolition and landfill remediation work.

Upon the commencement of pre-demolition decontamination activities (i.e., decontamination activities following the cessation of recycling activities and prior to the initiation of facility demolition activities), Exide began using the air monitors and samplers that will be employed under the AMPs to identify potential technical issues and work on procedural aspects of their use prior to the upcoming demolition and landfill remediation work that will be subject to the AMPs. This pre-demolition period provides an excellent opportunity to pilot the AMP procedures, including the format and content of the summary reports that will be provided to TCEQ and posted on the Exide website. Accordingly, with this letter, W&M Environmental Group, Inc. (W&M) is submitting a summary of air monitoring data related to Site activities at the Exide Technologies Frisco Recycling Center located in Frisco, Texas. This data was collected from a period of site activity that was limited to decontamination work and is being submitted for informational purposes and to confirm the use of this reporting format.

This submittal is for data collected or received from Monday, December 3, 2012 through Saturday December 8, 2012. Site activities being conducted during this reporting period are noted below:

\square	Decontamination	Facility Demolition		Landfill <mark>Rem</mark> e	diation
					www.wh-m.com
N	FORT WORTH	HOUS	TON		PLANO

TCEQ – Keith Sheedy January 10, 2013 Page 2

The following Worksheets, Data Sheets or Reports are included within this submittal:

	Description	Details	Remarks
А	Daily Summary Report	Real-time Particulate Monitoring , Wind Speed & Direction	
В	Take Action/Stop Work Notifications	Response actions taken due to high wind or elevated real-time particulate readings	
С	Field Data Sheet – E-BAMs	E-BAM particulate monitoring positions and locations	
D	Field Data Sheet – Low Vols	Details for low-volume samples for Pd/Cd	
Е	Analytical Report – Metals Analysis	Laboratory Data Report for Pb/Cd in air samples	
F	Updated Table 1	Re-calculated Action Levels based upon actual PM, Pb and Cd data	
	B C D E	A Daily Summary Report B Take Action/Stop Work Notifications Notifications C Field Data Sheet – E-BAMs D Field Data Sheet – Low Vols E Analytical Report – Metals Analysis Analysis	ADaily Summary ReportReal-time Particulate Monitoring , Wind Speed & DirectionBTake Action/Stop Work NotificationsResponse actions taken due to high wind or elevated real-time particulate readingsCField Data Sheet – E-BAMsE-BAM particulate monitoring positions and locationsDField Data Sheet – Low VolsDetails for low-volume samples for Pd/CdEAnalytical Report – Metals AnalysisLaboratory Data Report for Pb/Cd in air samplesFUpdated Table 1Re-calculated Action Levels based upon

Remark No.	Comments

For activities subject to the *Perimeter Air Monitoring Work Plans*, W&M will indicate that it has reviewed the information in relation to the quality assurance requirements outlined in the *Perimeter Air Monitoring Work Plans*, and the data meets the project QA requirements. W&M undertook that review for this informational assessment as well, and the data meets the project QA requirements.

If you have any questions or require additional information, please do not hesitate to call me at 972-516-0300.

Very truly yours, **W&M ENVIRONMENTAL GROUP, INC.**

Frank W Clark

Frank W. Clark, P.E., P.G. Senior Consultant

cc: Vanessa Coleman - Exide Aileen Hooks, Jennifer Keane - Baker Botts LLC Grant Sherwood, Dan Roth - Remediation Services, Inc. Tim Nickels - Pastor Behling & Wheeler, LLC

DAILY SUMMARY REPORTS

ATTACHMENT A

Date	Time Interval (30-min blocks)	E-BAM G4526 30-min avg (mg/m ³)	E-BAM F5001 30-min avg (mg/m ³)	E-BAM G4606 30-min avg (mg/m ³)	E-BAM G4607 30-min avg (mg/m ³)	Wind Direction (30-min avg from N)	Wind Speed (30-min avg mph)
		Upwind	Downwind	Downwind	Downwind		
	07:00-07:29	0.021		0.016	0.007	184	14.2
	07:30-07:59	0.014	0.023	0.010	0.019	183	16.0
	08:00-08:29		0.012	0.039	0.022	183	13.8
	08:30-08:59	0.018	0.026	0.011	0.012	196	16.2
	09:00-09:29	0.024	0.020	0.015		197	15.9
	09:30-09:59	0.040	0.022	0.017		195	16.5
	10:00-10:29	0.027	0.018	0.018	0.016	187	17.7
	10:30-10:59	0.016	0.019	0.015	0.008	181	17.2
	11:00-11:29	0.006		0.025	0.002	181	16.2
12	11:30-11:59	0.002	0.014	0.013	0.001	184	16.6
/20	12:00-12:29		0.005	0.018	0.013	188	18.9
12/3/2012	12:30-12:59	0.014	0.013		0.014	201	19.4
4	13:00-13:29	0.029	0.011	0.031	0.011	196	17.9
	13:30-13:59	0.067	0.005	0.018		182	17.8
	14:00-14:29	0.037	0.017	0.015	0.020	175	17.1
	14:30-14:59	0.021		0.013	0.007	179	17.1
	15:00-15:29	0.015	0.017	0.013	0.013	192	18.4
	15:30-15:59	0.017	0.010		0.015	190	15.0
	16:00-16:29	0.009	0.015		0.011	185	17.9
	16:30-16:59	0.008	0.011	0.008	0.013	182	13.6
	17:00-17:29	0.022				185	12.8
	17:30-17:59					181	10.1

- **BOLD** = Take Action Level Exceeded for Particulates (0.100 mg/m³)
- **Bold and Italic** = Stop Work Level Exceeded for Particulates (0.200 mg/m³)
- Pink shading indicates values below 0 mg/m³ and should be evaluated for usablity as zero concentration
- Blank data records indicate no data is available for the given time interval

Date	Time Interval (30-min blocks)	E-BAM G4606 30-min avg (mg/m ³)	E-BAM F5001 30-min avg (mg/m ³)	E-BAM G4526 30-min avg (mg/m ³)	E-BAM G4607 30-min avg (mg/m ³)	Wind Direction (30-min avg from N)	Wind Speed (30-min avg mph)
		Downwind	Downwind	Upwind	Downwind		
	07:00-07:29	0.015	0.016	0.006	0.018	294	4.8
	07:30-07:59	0.009	0.023	0.011	0.017	298	5.6
	08:00-08:29	0.002	0.017	0.014	0.017	318	6.1
		Upwind	Downwind	Downwind	Downwind		
	08:30-08:59		0.020		0.015	329	10.0
	09:00-09:29			0.000		322	11.8
	09:30-09:59		0.020	0.029	0.050	218	11.6
	10:00-10:29		0.039		0.050	239	11.8
	10:30-10:59		0.029	-0.001	0.050	263	12.1
~	11:00-11:29		0.009			284	10.4
12/4/2012	11:30-11:59		0.027		0.050	296	12.4
/4/2	12:00-12:29		0.024	0.025	0.048	257	9.8
12	12:30-12:59		0.012	0.027	0.037	234	10.1
	13:00-13:29		0.014	0.016	0.018	202	11.6
	13:30-13:59		0.018	0.020	0.041	179	13.0
	14:00-14:29		0.011	0.023	0.029	-	12.2
	14:30-14:59		0.019	0.025	0.026		11.5
	15:00-15:29					214	10.9
	15:30-15:59					242	10.6
	16:00-16:29					135	10.9
	16:30-16:59					59	
	17:00-17:29					105	8.4
	17:30-17:59					43	8.4

Notes:

- **BOLD** = Take Action Level Exceeded for Particulates (0.100 mg/m³)

- **Bold and Italic** = Stop Work Level Exceeded for Particulates (0.200 mg/m³)

- Pink shading indicates values below 0 mg/m³ and should be evaluated for usablity as zero concentration

- Blank data records indicate no data is available for the given time interval

Date	Time Interval (30-min blocks)	E-BAM G4605 30-min avg (mg/m ³)	E-BAM F5001 30-min avg (mg/m ³)	E-BAM G4526 30-min avg (mg/m ³)	E-BAM G4607 30-min avg (mg/m ³)	Wind Direction (30-min avg from N)	Wind Speed (30-min avg mph)
		Upwind	Downwind	Downwind	Downwind		
	07:00-07:29		0.034	0.006	0.014	320	4.0
	07:30-07:59		0.022	0.009	0.009	330	3.9
	08:00-08:29		0.030	0.013	0.012	309	4.6
	08:30-08:59		0.018	0.024	0.022	315	3.7
	09:00-09:29		0.029	0.032	0.034	282	4.1
	09:30-09:59		0.024	0.023	0.041	150	3.8
	10:00-10:29		0.020	0.022	0.029	63	6.7
	10:30-10:59		0.017	0.019	0.021	63	7.3
	11:00-11:29		0.010	0.016	0.016	61	5.9
12	11:30-11:59		0.017	0.015	0.032	75	4.7
12/5/2012	12:00-12:29		0.013	0.010		72	5.1
.2/5	12:30-12:59		0.015	0.010	0.004	81	4.6
7	13:00-13:29		0.037		-0.005	85	4.9
	13:30-13:59		0.037	0.000	-0.005	75	4.9
	14:00-14:29			0.000	-0.005	86	6.2
	14:30-14:59			0.000	-0.005	103	5.3
	15:00-15:29			0.000	-0.005	87	5.8
	15:30-15:59			0.000	-0.005	117	7.9
	16:00-16:29	0.034		0.000	-0.005	118	7.2
	16:30-16:59	0.017	0.009	0.000	-0.005	112	6.8
	17:00-17:29	0.014	-0.005	0.000	-0.005	115	6.6
	17:30-17:59	0.021	-0.005	0.000	-0.005	115	6.6

- **BOLD** = Take Action Level Exceeded for Particulates (0.100 mg/m³)
- **Bold and Italic** = Stop Work Level Exceeded for Particulates (0.200 mg/m³)
- Pink shading indicates values below 0 mg/m³ and should be evaluated for usablity as zero concentration
- Blank data records indicate no data is available for the given time interval

Date	Time Interval (30-min blocks)	E-BAM G4605 30-min avg (mg/m ³)	E-BAM F5001 30-min avg (mg/m ³)	E-BAM G4526 30-min avg (mg/m ³)	E-BAM G4607 30-min avg (mg/m ³)	Wind Direction (30-min avg from N)	Wind Speed (30-min avg mph)
		Upwind	Downwind	Downwind	Downwind		
	07:00-07:29	0.082				168	4.0
	07:30-07:59					175	3.9
	08:00-08:29			0.023	0.008	174	4.6
	08:30-08:59			0.023	0.019	163	3.7
	09:00-09:29			0.021	0.073	158	4.1
	09:30-09:59		0.041	0.067	0.032	163	3.8
	10:00-10:29	0.102	0.016	0.036	0.002	162	6.7
	10:30-10:59	0.102	0.034	0.020	0.017	162	7.3
	11:00-11:29	0.030	0.019	0.014	0.017	158	5.9
12	11:30-11:59	0.015	0.016	0.012	0.017	158	4.7
/20	12:00-12:29	0.016	0.016	0.020	0.009	158	5.1
12/6/2012	12:30-12:59	0.017	0.017	0.021	0.030	157	4.6
-	13:00-13:29	0.014	0.017	0.019	0.019	155	4.9
	13:30-13:59	0.036	0.006	0.027	0.013	154	4.9
	14:00-14:29	0.020	0.018	0.027	0.013	147	6.2
	14:30-14:59	0.027	0.015	0.014	0.016	149	5.3
	15:00-15:29	0.017	0.008	0.019	0.020	158	5.8
	15:30-15:59	0.036	0.018	0.014	0.016	160	7.9
	16:00-16:29	0.020	0.019	0.010	0.016	164	7.2
	16:30-16:59	0.024	0.010	0.027	0.029	167	6.8
	17:00-17:29	0.020	0.017	0.011	0.016	165	6.6
	17:30-17:59	0.029	0.019	0.014	0.018	172	6.6

- **BOLD** = Take Action Level Exceeded for Particulates (0.100 mg/m³)
- **Bold and Italic** = Stop Work Level Exceeded for Particulates (0.200 mg/m³)
- Pink shading indicates values below 0 mg/m³ and should be evaluated for usablity as zero concentration
- Blank data records indicate no data is available for the given time interval

Date	Time Interval (30-min blocks)	E-BAM G4605 30-min avg (mg/m ³)	E-BAM F5001 30-min avg (mg/m ³)	E-BAM G4526 30-min avg (mg/m ³)	E-BAM G4607 30-min avg (mg/m ³)	Wind Direction (30-min avg from N)	Wind Speed (30-min avg mph)
		Upwind	Downwind	Downwind	Downwind		
	07:00-07:29	0.085	0.027	0.085	0.036	252	6.9
	07:30-07:59	0.022	0.004	0.009	-0.001	249	5.8
	08:00-08:29	0.002	0.003	0.031	0.010	245	6.7
	08:30-08:59	0.004	0.002	0.040	0.003	254	8.1
	09:00-09:29	0.011	0.037	0.011	0.007	241	6.5
	09:30-09:59	0.028	0.008	0.024	0.017	240	6.8
	10:00-10:29	0.015	0.023	0.008	0.013	221	6.3
	10:30-10:59	0.016	0.013	0.018	0.015	215	8.9
	11:00-11:29	0.024	0.011	0.016	0.014	229	8.6
12	11:30-11:59	0.020	0.005	0.012	0.023	233	9.3
12/7/2012	12:00-12:29	0.017	0.014	0.025	0.012	223	7.9
2/7	12:30-12:59	0.013	0.023	0.009	0.010	224	9.9
7	13:00-13:29	0.021	0.024	0.039	0.018	232	9.2
	13:30-13:59	0.014			0.017	208	8.8
	14:00-14:29	0.020			0.012	219	7.3
	14:30-14:59	0.022	-0.003	0.041	0.026	211	7.4
	15:00-15:29	0.015			0.027	216	7.3
	15:30-15:59					199	6.0
	16:00-16:29					220	6.7
	16:30-16:59					241	4.4
	17:00-17:29					219	2.5
	17:30-17:59					172	2.0

- **BOLD** = Take Action Level Exceeded for Particulates (0.100 mg/m³)
- **Bold and Italic** = Stop Work Level Exceeded for Particulates (0.200 mg/m³)
- Pink shading indicates values below 0 mg/m³ and should be evaluated for usablity as zero concentration
- Blank data records indicate no data is available for the given time interval

FIELD DATA SHEETS – E-BAMS

ATTACHMENT C

FIELD DATA SHEET

E-Bam Particulate Monitoring

Remediation Services, Inc.

Γ

RSI Project No: Project Name: Facilit

701MI-

Exide, Frisco TX

Project Name: Facility Demolition Technician Name <u>JOHNNY</u> GILLMAN

21252

Sampling Date

12.3.12

E-BAM SN	G4607
Upwind	
Downwind	X
GPS LOCATION	
Latitude	33° 08.591'
Longitude	96° 49.668'
DATE OF LAST EBAM LEAK CHECK	11.21.12
EBAM PAIRED WITH LOW VOL PUMP?	Ves
START TIME:	00:7
END TIME:	17:00
E-BAM SN	G4526
Upwind	×
Downwind	
GPS LOCATION	
Latitude	330 13.562
Longitude	96" 82,725
DATE OF LAST EBAM LEAK CHECK	11.21.12
	1
EBAM PAIRED WITH LOW VOL PUMP?	YES
EBAM PAIRED WITH	YES 7:00

E-BAM SN	64605 64605
Upwind	
Downwind	X
GPS LOCATION	
Latitude	33° 08.585'
Longitude	96 49.766
DATE OF LAST EBAM LEAK CHECK	12.1.12
EBAM PAIRED WITH LOW VOL PUMP?	YES
START TIME:	7:00
END TIME:	17:00
F-RAM SN	E5004

E-BAM SN	F5001
Upwind	
Downwind	\sim
GPS LOCATION	
Latitude	330 08.5941
Longitude	96 49.766
DATE OF LAST EBAM LEAK CHECK	11.21.12
EBAM PAIRED WITH LOW VOL PUMP?	YES
START TIME:	7:00
END TIME:	00:51

FIELD DATA SHEET

E-Bam Particulate Monitoring

Remediation Services, Inc.

RSI Project No:

START TIME:

END TIME:

Exide, Frisco TX

Project Name: Facility Demolition

Technician Name

JOHNNY GILMON

21252

Sampling	Date
----------	------

12.4.12

E-BAM SN	G4607
Upwind	
Downwind	X
GPS LOCATION	
Latitude	33.13666
Longitude	96.82887
DATE OF LAST EBAM LEAK CHECK	11.21.12
EBAM PAIRED WITH LOW VOL PUMP?	No
START TIME:	7:00
END TIME:	17:30
E-BAM SN	G4526
Upwind	
Downwind	\sim
GPS LOCATION	
Latitude	33, 13564
Longitude	96.82726
DATE OF LAST EBAM LEAK CHECK	11.21.12
EBAM PAIRED WITH LOW VOL PUMP?	No

7:00

17:30

E-BAM SN	64605-
Upwind	χ
Downwind	
GPS LOCATION	3-3
Latitude	33.1430B
Longitude	96.82885
DATE OF LAST EBAM LEAK CHECK	12.1.12
EBAM PAIRED WITH LOW VOL PUMP?	N6
START TIME:	7:00
END TIME:	8:30

E-BAM SN	F5001
Upwind	
Downwind	X
GPS LOCATION	
Latitude	33, 13556
Longitude	96.82558
DATE OF LAST EBAM LEAK CHECK	11-21-12
EBAM PAIRED WITH LOW VOL PUMP?	No
START TIME:	7:00
END TIME:	17:30

UNIT GYGOL FAILED @ 8:30

Remediation Services, Inc.

RSI Project No:

11000

CONTRACT.

21252

Exide, Frisco TX

Project Name: Facility Demolition

Technician Name	JOHNNY GILMON	Sampling Date	12.5.12
E-BAM SN	G4607	E-BAM SN	64605 ⁻⁶⁴⁶⁰⁴
Upwind		Upwind	X
Downwind	\sim	Downwind	
GPS LOCATION		GPS LOCATION	
Latitude	33.13666	Latitude	33.14328
Longitude	096.82887	Longitude	96.82942
DATE OF LAST EBAM LEAK CHECK	11.21.12	DATE OF LAST EBAM LEAK CHECK	12.4.12
ebam paired with Low vol pump?	YES	EBAM PAIRED WITH LOW VOL PUMP?	No
START TIME:	7:00	START TIME:	16:20
END TIME:	17:30	END TIME:	17:30
E-BAM SN	G4526	E-BAM SN	F5001
Upwind		Upwind	
Downwind	X	Downwind	\mathbf{x}
GPS LOCATION		GPS LOCATION	
Latitude	33.73564	Latitude	33.13556
Longitude	096.82726	Longitude	096.82558
DATE OF LAST EBAM .EAK CHECK	11.21.12	DATE OF LAST EBAM LEAK CHECK	11.21.12
BAM PAIRED WITH OW VOL PUMP?	YES	EBAM PAIRED WITH LOW VOL PUMP?	Yes
START TIME:	7:00	START TIME:	7:00
ND TIME:	17:30	END TIME:	17:30

Remediation Services, Inc.

RSI Project No:

Property 1

21252

Exide, Frisco TX

Project Name: Facility Demolition

Technician Name	JOHNNY (JELMAN)	_ Sampling Date	12.6.12
E-BAM SN	G4607	E-BAM SN	G460 5
Upwind		Upwind	×
Downwind	×	Downwind	
GPS LOCATION		GPS LOCATION	
Latitude	33. 14328	Latitude	33.13572
Longitude	96.82942	Longitude	96.82722
DATE OF LAST EBAM LEAK CHECK	11.21.12	DATE OF LAST EBAM LEAK CHECK	12.4.12
EBAM PAIRED WITH LOW VOL PUMP?	No	EBAM PAIRED WITH LOW VOL PUMP?	No
START TIME:	8:00	START TIME:	11:00
END TIME:	17:30	END TIME:	17:30
E-BAM SN	G4526	E-BAM SN	F5001
Upwind		Upwind	
Downwind	<u> </u>	Downwind	X
SPS LOCATION		GPS LOCATION	
Latitude	33.14321	Latitude	33. 14311
Longitude	96.82783	Longitude	96, 82589
DATE OF LAST EBAM .EAK CHECK	11-21-12	DATE OF LAST EBAM LEAK CHECK	11-21-12
EBAM PAIRED WITH OW VOL PUMP?	No	EBAM PAIRED WITH LOW VOL PUMP?	No
START TIME:	B:05	START TIME:	8:30
END TIME:	17:30	END TIME:	17:30

Remediation Services, Inc.

RSI Project No:

21252

Exide, Frisco TX

Project Name: Facility Demolition

Technician Name	JOHNNY GELLMAN	Sampling Date
E-BAM SN	G4607	E-BAM SN
Upwind		Upwind
Downwind		Downwind
GPS LOCATION		GPS LOCATION
Latitude	33. 1432B	Latitude
Longitude	96.82942	Longitude
DATE OF LAST EBAM LEAK CHECK	11-21-12	DATE OF LAST EBA LEAK CHECK
EBAM PAIRED WITH LOW VOL PUMP?	YES	EBAM PAIRED WITI LOW VOL PUMP?
START TIME:	7:00	START TIME:
END TIME:	17:30	END TIME:
E-BAM SN	G4526	E-BAM SN
Upwind		Upwind
Downwind	<u>×</u>	Downwind
GPS LOCATION		GPS LOCATION
Latitude	33.14821	Latitude

	G460 5
	X
1	
ION	
	33. 13572
	96.82722
ST EBAM K	12-4-12
D WITH JMP?	No
:	7:00
	17:30

51-2-21

E-BAM SN	G4526
Upwind	
Downwind	×
GPS LOCATION	
Latitude	33.14821
Longitude	96.82783
DATE OF LAST EBAM LEAK CHECK	11.21.12
EBAM PAIRED WITH LOW VOL PUMP?	YES
START TIME:	7:00
END TIME:	17:30

E-BAM SN	F5001	
Upwind		
Downwind	X	
GPS LOCATION		
Latitude	33. 14311	
Longitude	96.82589	
DATE OF LAST EBAM LEAK CHECK	11-21-12	
EBAM PAIRED WITH LOW VOL PUMP?	YES	
START TIME:	7:00	
END TIME:	17:30	

Remediation Services, Inc.

RSI Project No:

diam'r 1

21252

Exide, Frisco TX

Project Name: Facility Demolition

Technician Name	JOHNNY GOLMAN	_ Sampling Date	12.8.12
E-BAM SN	G4607	E-BAM SN	G460 5
Upwind		Upwind	×
Downwind	<u>></u>	Downwind	
GPS LOCATION		GPS LOCATION	
Latitude	33.13565	Latitude	33.14328
Longitude	96.82522	Longitude	96.82942
DATE OF LAST EBAM LEAK CHECK	11.22.12	DATE OF LAST EBAM LEAK CHECK	12.4.12
EBAM PAIRED WITH LOW VOL PUMP?	No	EBAM PAIRED WITH LOW VOL PUMP?	No
START TIME:	7:00	START TIME:	7:00
END TIME:	(\$:30	END TIME:	17:30
E-BAM SN	G4526	E-BAM SN	F5001
Upwind		Upwind	
Downwind	<u> </u>	Downwind	X
GPS LOCATION		GPS LOCATION	
Latitude	33.13572	Latitude	33,13628
Longitude	96.82722	Longitude	96.82879
DATE OF LAST EBAM LEAK CHECK	11.21.12	DATE OF LAST EBAM LEAK CHECK	11.21.12
EBAM PAIRED WITH LOW VOL PUMP?	NO	EBAM PAIRED WITH LOW VOL PUMP?	No
START TIME:	7:00	START TIME:	7:00
END TIME:	17:30	END TIME:	17:30

MOUED 4607 @ DUNUMANTANA 14:30-15:30 TO WEST OF SN E. BAM TO LOVER AREA DUE TO SHIFFTENG WEND

ATTACHMENT D

FIELD DATA SHEETS – LOW VOLUME SAMPLERS

	FIELD D	ATA SHEET	
		Air Monitoring	
Company:	RSI	•	ormulas
Project:	Exide, Frisco TX		/min) = (Start + Stop) / 2
Project Number	21252		Avg Flow (L/min) X Duration (min)
Project Name (Demo, Landfill Stab, etc)	Demolition	Analysis	NIOSH 7303 Lead/Cadmium
Technician Name:	JOURNY GELLMAN	Date Samples Collected:	12.3.12
Pump No. 23540	1	Pump No. 3015	2
Upwind	×	Upwind	-
Downwind		Downwind	×
Sample ID #	EXDEMDIZIZO3UWSZ6	Sample ID #	EXDEMDIZIZOS ON OOI
E-Bam Number	GUSZE	E-Bam Number	FSODI
Flow Rate: Start (L/min)	Z.00 L	Flow Rate: Start (L/min)	3.46
Flow Rate: Stop (L/min)	1.946	Flow Rate: Stop (L/min)	3.172
Avg Flow (L/min)	1.972	Avg Flow (L/min)	3.292
Start time	7:30	Start time	7:00
End Time	17:30	End Time	17:00
Duration in minutes	600	Duration in minutes	600
Sample Volume (Liters)	11822	Sample Volume (Liters)	1974 L
Pump No. 3014	3	Pump No. 3013	4
Upwind Downwind		Upwind	
Sample ID #		Downwind	×
E-Bam Number	EXDEMOIZIZOS DW607	Sample ID # E-Bam Number	EXDEMOIZIZO3 DW LOL
	64602		64606
Flow Rate: Start (L/min)	3.522	Flow Rate: Start (L/min)	3.48L
Flow Rate: Stop (L/min)	3.322	Flow Rate: Stop (L/min)	3.212

Pump No. 3014	3
Upwind	
Downwind	× ×
Sample ID #	EXDEMD 121203 000607
E-Bam Number	64607
Flow Rate: Start (L/min)	3.522
Flow Rate: Stop (L/min)	3.322
Avg Flow (L/min)	3.426
Start time	7:05
End Time	17:05
Duration in minutes	600
Sample Volume (Liters)	20526

 $\sim m W M_{\rm eff}$

4///wale

Pump No. 3013	4
Upwind	
Downwind	×
Sample ID #	EXDEMOIZIZO3 DW LOG
E-Bam Number	64606
Flow Rate: Start (L/min)	3.48L
Flow Rate: Stop (L/min)	3.212
Avg Flow (L/min)	3.35L
Start time	6:55
End Time	16:55
Duration in minutes	600
Sample Volume (Liters)	20105

Field Blank (if collected) 1 - Per Week Required

Upwind	NA
Downwind	NA
Flow Rate	0
Sample ID #	

	× 10 per pump				
		DATA SHEET			
_		e Air Monitoring			
Company:	RSI	Formulas			
Project:	Exide, Frisco TX	Average Flow (L/min) = (Start + Stop) / 2			
Project Number	21252	Sample Volume(Liters) = Avg Flow (L/min) X Duration (m			
Project Name (Demo, Landfill Stab, etc)	Demolition	Analysis	NIOSH 7303 Lead/Cadmium		
Technician Name:	JUINNY GILLMAN	Date Samples Collected:	12.5.12		
Pump No. 3014	1	Pump No. 3013	2		
Upwind		Upwind			
Downwind	XX	Downwind			
Sample ID #	EXDEMO 121205 DW 001	Sample ID #	EXDEND IZIZOS DW 607		
E-Bam Number	F5001	E-Bam Number	64607		
Flow Rate: Start (L/min)	3836 3.30L	Flow Rate: Start (L/min)	3.23 L		
Flow Rate: Stop (L/min)	3.386	Flow Rate: Stop (L/min)	3.29 L		
Avg Flow (L/min)	3.342	Avg Flow (L/min)	3.264		
Start time	6:59	Start time	7:06		
End Time	סוירו	End Time	17:14		
Duration in minutes	(1)	Duration in minutes	608		
Sample Volume (Liters)	2041L	Sample Volume (Liters)	IABST		
	T	1			
Pump No. 3015	3	Pump No.	4		

Pump No. 3015	3
Upwind	
Downwind	
Sample ID #	EXDEND IZIZOS OW SZE
E-Bam Number	64526
Flow Rate: Start (L/min)	3.1BL
Flow Rate: Stop (L/min)	3.29L
Avg Flow (L/min)	3.246
Start time	7:03
End Time	17:12
Duration in minutes	609
Sample Volume (Liters)	1973L

Pump No.	4
Upwind	
Downwind	
Sample ID #	
E-Bam Number	
Flow Rate: Start (L/min)	
Flow Rate: Stop (L/min)	
Avg Flow (L/min)	
Start time	
End Time	
Duration in minutes	
Sample Volume (Liters)	

Field Blank (if collected) 1 - Per Week Required

Upwind	NA
Downwind	NA
Flow Rate	0
Sample ID #	

	FIELD D	ATA SHEET			
	Low Volume	e Air Monitoring			
Company:	RSI	F	ormulas		
Project:	Exide, Frisco TX	Average Flow (L/min) = (Start + Stop) / 2			
Project Number	21252	Sample Volume(Liters) = Avg Flow (L/min) X Duration (mi			
Project Name (Demo, Landfill Stab, etc)	Demolition	Analysis	NIOSH 7303 Lead/Cadmium		
Technician Name: 50	my Gumpn	Date Samples Collected:	12.7.12		
Pump No. 3013	1	Pump No. 3014	2		
Upwind		Upwind			
Downwind	×	Downwind	× 1		
Sample ID #	EXDEMD 121207 DWG		EXOCMOIZIZOTOW SZG		
E-Bam Number	64607	E-Bam Number	64526		
Flow Rate: Start (L/min)	3270 2	Flow Rate: Start (L/min)	3348 mL		
Flow Rate: Stop (L/min)	3245 mL	Flow Rate: Stop (L/min)	3333 ML		
Avg Flow (L/min)	3258 mL	Avg Flow (L/min)	3341 2		
Start time	7:00	Start time	7:04		
End Time	17:09	End Time	זוירו		
Duration in minutes	609	Duration in minutes	608		
Sample Volume (Liters)	1985 L	Sample Volume (Liters)	2031L		
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
Pump No. 3015	3	Pump No.	4		
Upwind		Upwind			
Downwind	<u>×</u>	Downwind			
Sample ID #	EXDEMOIZIZOT DWOOI	Sample ID #			
E-Bam Number	FSODI	E-Bam Number			
Flow Rate: Start (L/min)	3209	Flow Rate: Start (L/min)			
Flow Rate: Stop (L/min)	3187	Flow Rate: Stop (L/min)			
Avg Flow (L/min)	3198	Avg Flow (L/min)			
Start time	7:10	Start time			
End Time	זוירו	End Time			
Duration in minutes	605	Duration in minutes			
Sample Volume (Liters)	1936L	Sample Volume (Liters)			

Field Blank (if collected) 1 - Per Week Required

1000

Upwind	NA
Downwind	NA
Flow Rate	0
Sample ID #	

ANALYTICAL DATA REPORTS – METALS ANALYSIS

ATTACHMENT E



ANALYTICAL REPORT

Report Date: December 05, 2012

Grant Sherwood Remediation Services, Inc. P.O. Box 587 2735 South 10th Street Independence, KS 67301 Phone: (620) 331-1200 Fax: (620) 331-6216 E-mail: gsherwood@rsi-ks.com

Workorder: **34-1233966** Client Project ID: 21252/Exide Frisco 120412 Purchase Order: 21252 Project Manager: Paul Pope

Analytical Results

Sample ID: <u>EX DEmo 121203 U</u> Lab ID: 1233910003		d: 12/03/2012 d: 12/04/2012			
Method: NIOSH 7300 Mod.	Sampling Parameter: Air Volume 1182 L Prepared: 12/04/2012 Analyzed: 12/04/2012				
Analyte	ug/sample	ug/m³	LOD (ug/sample)	RL (ug/sample)	
Cadmium	<0.023	<0.019	0.023	0.075	
Lead	<0.38	<0.32	0.38	1.3	

Sample ID: EX DEmo 121203 DW 001 Media: MCE Filter				Collected	: 12/03/2012
Lab ID: 1233910004	04 Sampling Location: Exide Frisco				: 12/04/2012
Method: NIOSH 7300 Mod.	Sampling Parameter: Air Volume 1974 L				12/04/2012 12/04/2012
Analyte	ug/sample	ug/m³	LOD (ug/sample)	RL (ug/sample)	
Cadmium	<0.023	<0.011	0.023	0.075	
Lead	<0.38	<0.19	0.38	1.3	

Sample ID: EX DEmo 121203	Collected	d: 12/03/2012			
Lab ID: 1233910005 Sampling Location: Exide Frisco					d: 12/04/2012
Method: NIOSH 7300 Mod.	Sampling Parameter: Air Volume 2052 L				1: 12/04/2012 1: 12/04/2012
Analyte	ug/sample	ug/m³	LOD (ug/sample)	RL (ug/sample)	
Cadmium	<0.023	<0.011	0.023	0.075	
Lead	(0.78)	(0.38)	0.38	1.3	

ADDRESS 960 West LeVoy Drive, Salt Lake City, Utah, USA 84123 | PHONE +1 801 266 7700 | FAX +1 801 268 9992 ALS GROUP USA, CORP. Part of the ALS Laboratory Group A Campbell Brothers Limited Company

www.alsglobal.com

RIGHT SOLUTIONS RIGHT PARTNER

Environmental 💭

Wed, 12/05/12 12:01 PM



Workorder: **34-1233966** Client Project ID: 21252/Exide Frisco 120412 Purchase Order: 21252 Project Manager: Paul Pope

Analytical Results

Sample ID: EX DEmo 121203 DW 606 Media: MCE Filter Collected: 12/03/20					d: 12/03/2012
Lab ID: 1233910006Sampling Location: Exide FriscoReceived: 12/04/2					d: 12/04/2012
Method: NIOSH 7300 Mod.	Sampling Parameter: Air Volume 2010 L Prepared: 12/04/2012 Analyzed: 12/04/2012				
Analyte	ug/sample	ug/m³	LOD (ug/sample)	RL (ug/sample)	
Cadmium	<0.023	<0.011	0.023	0.075	
Lead	<0.38	<0.19	0.38	1.3	

Comments

Quality Control: NIOSH 7300 Mod. - (HBN: 98567)

The MCE plus Backup Pad LMB 311834 was above the reporting limit for calcium (27.3 μ g/sample), magnesium (4.21 μ g/sample), sodium (107 μ g/sample), and zinc (0.623 μ g/sample) so the LCS 311835 and LCSD 311836 results have been media blank corrected for calcium, magnesium, sodium, and zinc with LMB 311834.

The silver recoveries MCE plus backup pad matrix LCS 311835 and LCSD 311836 were outside of current limits at 42.3% and 42.2%, respectively. The associated MCE only LCS 311790 and LCSD 311791 had silver recoveries within limits. Silver has been observed to fall out of solution when spiked on back-up pad matrix which may be the cause of the low silver recoveries.

Report Authorization

Method	Analyst	Peer Review
NIOSH 7300 Mod.	Penny A. Foote	Peter P. Steen

Laboratory Contact Information

ALS EnvironmentalPhone: (801) 266-7700960 W Levoy DriveEmail: alslt.lab@ALSGlobal.comSalt Lake City, Utah 84123Web: www.alsslc.com



ANALYTICAL REPORT

Workorder: **34-1233966** Client Project ID: 21252/Exide Frisco 120412 Purchase Order: 21252 Project Manager: Paul Pope

General Lab Comments

The results provided in this report relate only to the items tested. Samples were received in acceptable condition unless otherwise noted. Samples have not been blank corrected unless otherwise noted. This test report shall not be reproduced, except in full, without written approval of ALS.

ALS provides professional analytical services for all samples submitted. ALS is not in a position to interpret the data and assumes no responsibility for the quality of the samples submitted.

All quality control samples processed with the samples in this report yielded acceptable results unless otherwise noted.

ALS is accredited for specific fields of testing (scopes) in the following testing sectors. The quality system implemented at ALS conforms to accreditation requirements and is applied to all analytical testing performed by ALS. The following table lists testing sector, accreditation body, accreditation number and website. Please contact these accrediting bodies or your ALS project manager for the current scope of accreditation that applies to your analytical testing.

Testing Sector	Accreditation Body (Standard)	Certificate Number	Website
Environmental	ACLASS (DoD ELAP)	ADE-1420	http://www.aclasscorp.com
	Utah (NELAC)	DATA1	http://health.utah.gov/lab/labimp/
	Nevada	UT00009	http://ndep.nv.gov/bsdw/labservice.htm
	Oklahoma	UT00009	http://www.deq.state.ok.us/CSDnew/
	Iowa	IA# 376	http://www.iowadnr.gov/InsideDNR/RegulatoryWater.aspx
	Florida (TNI)	E871067	http://www.dep.state.fl.us/labs/bars/sas/qa/
	Texas (TNI)	T104704456-11-1	http://www.tceq.texas.gov/field/qa/lab_accred_certif.html
Industrial Hygiene	AIHA (ISO 17025 & AIHA IHLAP/ELLAP)	101574	http://www.aihaaccreditedlabs.org
Lead Testing:			
CPSC	ACLASS (ISO 17025, CPSC)	ADE-1420	http://www.aclasscorp.com
Soil, Dust, Paint ,Air	AIHA (ISO 17025, AIHA ELLAP and NLLAP)	101574	http://www.aihaaccreditedlabs.org
Dietary Supplements	ACLASS (ISO 17025)	ADE-1420	http://www.aclasscorp.com

Definitions

LOD = Limit of Detection = MDL = Method Detection Limit, A statistical estimate of method/media/instrument sensitivity.

LOQ = Limit of Quantitation = RL = Reporting Limit, A verified value of method/media/instrument sensitivity.

ND = Not Detected, Testing result not detected above the LOD or LOQ.

** No result could be reported, see sample comments for details.

< This testing result is less than the numerical value.

() This testing result is between the LOD and LOQ and has higher analytical uncertainty than values at or above the LOQ.



Quality Control Sample Batch Report

Analysis Information

Workorder: 1233966

Limits: Historical/Performance Basis: ALS Laboratory Group Preparation: IH Metals, MCE Prep Batch: IIPX/11511 (HBN: 98561) Prepared By: Adam K. Taft Analysis: IH Metals QC Batch: IICP/7649 (HBN: 98567) Analyzed By: Penny A. Foote

Blank				
Blank: 311788 Analyzed: 12/04/2012 14:05 Units: ug/sample	Analyzed: 12/04/2012 14:05			
Analyte	Result	MDL	RL	
Cadmium	ND	0.0225	0.075	
Lead	ND	0.375	1.25	
LMB: 311789 Analyzed: 12/04/2012 14:09 Units: ug/sample				
Analyte	Result	MDL	RL	
Cadmium	ND	0.0225	0.075	
Lead	ND	0.375	1.25	
Blank: 311833 Analyzed: 12/04/2012 16:05 Units: ug/sample				
Analyte	Result	MDL	RL	
Cadmium	ND	0.0225	0.075	
Lead	ND	0.375	1.25	
LMB: 311834 Analyzed: 12/04/2012 16:26 Units: ug/sample				
Analyte	Result	MDL	RL	
Cadmium	ND	0.0225	0.075	
Lead	ND	0.375	1.25	

Laboratory Control Sample - Laboratory Control Sample Duplicate

LCS: 311790 Analyzed: 12/04/2012 14:13 Units: ug/sample						LCSD: 311791 Analyzed: 12/04/2012 14:16				
Analyte	Result	Target	% Recovery	QC Lir	nits	Result	RPD	QC Lir	nits	
Cadmium	10.2	10	102	89.8	112.5	10.1	0.433	0	15	
Lead	99.1	100	99.1	88	115	99.5	0.337	0	15	
LCS: 311835 Analyzed: 12/04/2012 16:29 Units: ug/sample										
Analyte	Result	Target	% Recovery	QC Lir	nits	Result	RPD	QC Lir	nits	
Cadmium	10.1	10	101	89.8	112.5	10.2	0.172	0	15	
Lead	98.5	100	98.5	88	115	98.7	0.248	0	15	



Quality Control Sample Batch Report

Workorder: 1233966

Limits: Historical/Performance Basis: ALS Laboratory Group

Preparation: IH Metals, MCE Prep Batch: IIPX/11511 (HBN: 98561) Prepared By: Adam K. Taft Analysis: IH Metals QC Batch: IICP/7649 (HBN: 98567) Analyzed By: Penny A. Foote

Comments

The MCE plus Backup Pad LMB 311834 was above the reporting limit for calcium (27.3 µg/sample), magnesium (4.21 µg/sample), sodium (107 µg/sample), and zinc (0.623 µg/sample) so the LCS 311835 and LCSD 311836 results have been media blank corrected for calcium, magnesium, sodium, and zinc with LMB 311834.

The silver recoveries MCE plus backup pad matrix LCS 311835 and LCSD 311836 were outside of current limits at 42.3% and 42.2%, respectively. The associated MCE only LCS 311790 and LCSD 311791 had silver recoveries within limits. Silver has been observed to fall out of solution when spiked on back-up pad matrix which may be the cause of the low silver recoveries.

QC Data Approved and Reviewed by

Penny A. Foote	Peter P. Steen	12/5/2012
Analyst	Peer Review	Date

Symbols and Definitions

- * Analyte above reporting limit or outside of control limits
- Sample result is greater than 4 times the spike added
- Sample and Matrix Duplicate less than 5 times the reporting limit
- RPD Relative % Difference (Spike / Spike Duplicate) ND - Not Detected
- QC results are not adjusted for moisture correction, where applicable

· Thudice :	Exide- Cl	hain o	f Cus	tody		
				ULAR Status		
				•		
				ULTS REQUIRED BY	DATE	
(AL	3)		CON	ITACT ALS SALT LAKE	E PRIOR TO SENDING SAMP	LES
	- Purchase Order No. 21252	±าต ∓องสัมธรรรษ		_4. Quote No		
3. Company Name Reme	diation Services, inc.			_ ALS Project Mana		
Address PO Box 587		5. Sample Collection				
Independence, KS 67301				_ Sampling Site: Exi		
Person to Contact: Gra					Decontamination and Demo	
Telephone (620) 3 <u>31</u>		<u></u>		_ Date of Collection		
Fax Telephone (620) 3					00-1-100	
E-mail Address gsherv		·		_ Date of Shipment	12.3.12	
Billing Address (if diffe						
Send Resilts to: gsherw	vood@rsi-ks.com, rgillman@rs	<u>i-ks.com, vanes</u>	sa.coleman@	na.exide.com, droth@rs	si-ks.com	
Send Invoice to : s	rottermrsi-ka-com Va	MESSE C	olena	Exide.		
					·····	
7. REQUEST FOR ANAL Laboratory Use Only	Client Sample Number	Matrix*	Sample	ANALYSES REQUEST	ED - Use method number if known	Unii
	EX DEMO 121203	37 um MCE	Volume NOZL			ug/
control to the second	LW 5261 EXDEMO 121203	37 um MCE	1974L	NIOSH 7303 - Lead a NIOSH 7303 - Lead a		ug/
			·	MOST 7505 - Leau a		ug/
	Ex DEMO 121203	37 um MCE	2052L	NIOSH 7303 - Lead a		
	EX DEMO 121203 DW 607 1 EX DEMO 121203	37 um MCE 37 um MCE	2052L	NIOSH 7303 - Lead a	· · · · · · ·	
	EXDENO IZIZOS		2052L 2010 L	NIOSH 7303 - Lead a NIOSH 7303 - Lead a NIOSH 7303 - Lead a	nd Cadmium	ug/
	EX DEMO 121203 DW 607 1 EX DEMO 121203	37 um MCE		NIOSH 7303 - Lead a	nd Cadmium nd Cadmium	ug/ ug/
	EX DEMO 121203 DW 607 1 EX DEMO 121203	37 um MCE 37 um MCE		NIOSH 7303 - Lead a NIOSH 7303 - Lead a	nd Cadmium nd Cadmium	ug/ ug/
EX DEMO	EX DEMO 12 1203 DW 607 ' EX DEMO 121203 DW 606 '	37 um MCE 37 um MCE 37 um MCE		NIOSH 7303 - Lead a NIOSH 7303 - Lead a	nd Cadmium nd Cadmium	ug/ ug/
	Ex DEmo 12 1203 Dw 607 ' Ex DEmo 12 1203 Dw 606 ' Project (Exide-Demolition	37 um MCE 37 um MCE 37 um MCE	2010 L	NIOSH 7303 - Lead a NIOSH 7303 - Lead a	nd Cadmium nd Cadmium	ug/ ug/
YYMMDD =	Ex Demo 12 1203 Dw 607 ' Ex Demo 12 1203 Dw 606 ' Project (Exide-Demolition Sampling date (e.g., 11/0)	37 um MCE 37 um MCE 37 um MCE 37 um MCE n) 1/2012 = 1211	2010 L	NIOSH 7303 - Lead a NIOSH 7303 - Lead a NIOSH 7303 - Lead a	nd Cadmium nd Cadmium	ug/ ug/
YYMMDD = LOC =	Ex Demo 12 1203 Dw 607 ' Ex Demo 12 1203 Dw 604 ' Project (Exide-Demolition Sampling date (e.g., 11/0) Sample Location (e.g. UV	37 um MCE 37 um MCE 37 um MCE 37 um MCE n) 1/2012 = 1211 V = Upwind, I	01) DW = Downy	NIOSH 7303 - Lead a NIOSH 7303 - Lead a NIOSH 7303 - Lead a	nd Cadmium nd Cadmium	ug/ ug/
YYMMDD = LOC = XXX =	Ex DEmo 12 1203 Dw 607 ' Ex DEmo 12 203 Dw 607 ' Project (Exide-Demolition Sampling date (e.g., 11/0) Sample Location (e.g. UV E-BAM Monitor Sample	37 um MCE 37 um MCE 37 um MCE 37 um MCE 37 um MCE 1/2012 = 1211 V = Upwind, I Association –	2.010 L 01) DW = Downy Last 3 digits	NIOSH 7303 - Lead a NIOSH 7303 - Lead a NIOSH 7303 - Lead a wind)	nd Cadmium nd Cadmium nd Cadmium	ug/ ug/
YYMMDD = LOC = XXX =	Ex Demo 12 1203 Dw 607 ' Ex Demo 12 1203 Dw 604 ' Project (Exide-Demolition Sampling date (e.g., 11/0) Sample Location (e.g. UV	37 um MCE 37 um MCE 37 um MCE 37 um MCE 37 um MCE 1/2012 = 1211 V = Upwind, I Association –	2.010 L 01) DW = Downy Last 3 digits	NIOSH 7303 - Lead a NIOSH 7303 - Lead a NIOSH 7303 - Lead a wind)	nd Cadmium nd Cadmium nd Cadmium	ug/ ug/
YYMMDD = LOC = XXX = QQ =	Ex DEmo 12 1203 Dw 607 ' Ex DEmo 12 203 Dw 607 ' Project (Exide-Demolition Sampling date (e.g., 11/0) Sample Location (e.g. UV E-BAM Monitor Sample	37 um MCE 37 um MCE 37 um MCE 37 um MCE 37 um MCE 1/2012 = 1211 V = Upwind, I Association –	2.010 L 01) DW = Downy Last 3 digits	NIOSH 7303 - Lead a NIOSH 7303 - Lead a NIOSH 7303 - Lead a wind)	nd Cadmium nd Cadmium nd Cadmium	ug/ ug/
YYMMDD = LOC = XXX = QQ = Comments	Ex DEmo 12 1203 Dw 607 Ex DEmo 12 1203 Dw 607 Ex DEmo 12 1203 Dw 607 I Ex DEmo 12 1203 Dw 607 I I Ex DEmo 12 1203 Sampling date (e.g., 11/0) Sample Location (e.g. UV E-BAM Monitor Sample Optional QA sample flag	37 um MCE 37 um MCE 37 um MCE 37 um MCE 37 um MCE 1/2012 = 1211 V = Upwind, I Association – (TB = trip bla	2.010 L 01) DW = Downy Last 3 digits	NIOSH 7303 - Lead a NIOSH 7303 - Lead a NIOSH 7303 - Lead a wind)	nd Cadmium nd Cadmium nd Cadmium	ug/ ug/
YYMMDD = LOC = XXX = QQ = Comments	Ex Demo 12 1203 Dw 607 Ex Demo 12 1203 Dw 607 Ex Demo 12 1203 Dw 607 Ex Demo 12 1203 Dw 607 Fill Project (Exide-Demolition Sampling date (e.g., 11/0) Sample Location (e.g. UV E-BAM Monitor Sample Optional QA sample flag	37 um MCE 37 um MCE 37 um MCE 37 um MCE 37 um MCE 1/2012 = 1211 V = Upwind, I Association – (TB = trip bla	2.010 L 01) DW = Downy Last 3 digits	NIOSH 7303 - Lead a NIOSH 7303 - Lead a NIOSH 7303 - Lead a wind)	nd Cadmium nd Cadmium nd Cadmium	ug/ ug/
YYMMDD = LOC = XXX = QQ = Comments Possible Contamination a	Ex Demo 12 1203 Dw 607 1 Ex Demo 12 120 Dw 607 1	37 um MCE 37 um MCE 37 um MCE 37 um MCE 37 um MCE 1/2012 = 1211 V = Upwind, I Association – (TB = trip bla	2.010 L 01) DW = Downy Last 3 digits	NIOSH 7303 - Lead a NIOSH 7303 - Lead a NIOSH 7303 - Lead a wind) of Serial Number, ld blank, SC = duplic Date/Time 12.3.12	nd Cadmium nd Cadmium nd Cadmium	ug/ ug/
YYMMDD = LOC = XXX = QQ = Comments Possible Contamination a 7. Chain of Custody (O Relinquished by	Ex Demo 12 1203 Dw 607 1 Ex Demo 12 120 Dw 607 1	37 um MCE 37 um MCE 37 um MCE 37 um MCE 37 um MCE 1/2012 = 1211 V = Upwind, I Association – (TB = trip bla	2.010 L 01) DW = Downy Last 3 digits	NIOSH 7303 - Lead a NIOSH 7303 - Lead a NIOSH 7303 - Lead a wind) of Serial Number, ld blank, SC = duplic Date/Time 12.3.12	nd Cadmium nd Cadmium nd Cadmium	ug/ ug/
YYMMDD = LOC = XXX = QQ = Comments Possible Contamination a 7. Chain of Custody (O	Ex Demo 12 1203 Dw 607 1 Ex Demo 12 120 Dw 607 1	37 um MCE 37 um MCE 37 um MCE 37 um MCE 37 um MCE 1/2012 = 1211 V = Upwind, I Association – (TB = trip bla	2.010 L 01) DW = Downy Last 3 digits	NIOSH 7303 - Lead a NIOSH 7303 - Lead a NIOSH 7303 - Lead a NIOSH 7303 - Lead a of Serial Number, Id blank, SC = duplic	nd Cadmium nd Cadmium nd Cadmium	ug/ ug/

W

:

.....

ł

.

.



ANALYTICAL REPORT

Report Date: December 10, 2012

Grant Sherwood Remediation Services, Inc. P.O. Box 587 2735 South 10th Street Independence, KS 67301 Phone: (620) 331-1200 Fax: (620) 331-6216 E-mail: gsherwood@rsi-ks.com

Workorder: **34-1234208** Client Project ID: 21252/Exide Frisco 120712 2 Purchase Order: 21252 Project Manager: Paul Pope

Analytical Results

Sample ID: EX DEMO 121205 D	W 607 Me	dia: MCE Filter		Collected	12/05/2012
Lab ID: 1234208001	Sampling Location: Exide Frisco Received: 12/07/201				
Method: NIOSH 7300 Mod.	Sampling Parameter: Air Volume 1982 L Prepared: 12/07/2012 Analyzed: 12/07/2012				
Analyte	ug/sample	ug/m³	LOD (ug/sample)	RL (ug/sample)	
Cadmium	<0.053	<0.027	0.053	0.18	
Lead	(0.57)	(0.29)	0.46	1.5	

Sample ID: EX DEMO 121205	DW 526 Med	dia: MCE Filter		Collected:	12/05/2012	
Lab ID: 1234208002	Sampling Locati	Sampling Location: Exide Frisco Received: 12				
Method: NIOSH 7300 Mod.	Sampling Parameter: Air Volume 1973 L Prepared: 12/07/2012 Analyzed: 12/07/2012					
Analyte	ug/sample	ug/m³	LOD (ug/sample)	RL (ug/sample)		
Cadmium	<0.053	<0.027	0.053	0.18		
Lead	<0.46	<0.23	0.46	1.5		

Sample ID: <u>EX DEMO 121205 D</u>			: 12/05/2012 : 12/07/2012		
Lab ID: 1234208003	Sampling Location: Exide Frisco Received: 12/07/2012				
Method: NIOSH 7300 Mod.	Samplin	g Parameter: Ai	r Volume 2041 L		12/07/2012 12/07/2012
Analyte	ug/sample	ug/m³	LOD (ug/sample)	RL (ug/sample)	
Cadmium	<0.053	<0.026	0.053	0.18	
Lead	<0.46	<0.23	0.46	1.5	

Report Authorization

Environmental 💭

Method	Analyst	Peer Review
NIOSH 7300 Mod.	Peter P. Steen	Christopher R. Hansen

ADDRESS 960 West LeVoy Drive, Salt Lake City, Utah, USA 84123 | PHONE +1 801 266 7700 | FAX +1 801 268 9992 ALS GROUP USA, CORP. Part of the ALS Laboratory Group A Campbell Brothers Limited Company

www.alsglobal.com

RIGHT SOLUTIONS RIGHT PARTNER

Mon, 12/10/12 5:03 PM



ANALYTICAL REPORT

Workorder: **34-1234208** Client Project ID: 21252/Exide Frisco 120712 2 Purchase Order: 21252 Project Manager: Paul Pope

Laboratory Contact Information

ALS Environmental 960 W Levoy Drive Salt Lake City, Utah 84123 Phone: (801) 266-7700 Email: alslt.lab@ALSGlobal.com Web: www.alsslc.com

General Lab Comments

The results provided in this report relate only to the items tested. Samples were received in acceptable condition unless otherwise noted. Samples have not been blank corrected unless otherwise noted. This test report shall not be reproduced, except in full, without written approval of ALS.

ALS provides professional analytical services for all samples submitted. ALS is not in a position to interpret the data and assumes no responsibility for the quality of the samples submitted.

All quality control samples processed with the samples in this report yielded acceptable results unless otherwise noted.

ALS is accredited for specific fields of testing (scopes) in the following testing sectors. The quality system implemented at ALS conforms to accreditation requirements and is applied to all analytical testing performed by ALS. The following table lists testing sector, accreditation body, accreditation number and website. Please contact these accrediting bodies or your ALS project manager for the current scope of accreditation that applies to your analytical testing.

Testing Sector	Accreditation Body (Standard)	Certificate Number	Website
Environmental	ACLASS (DoD ELAP)	ADE-1420	http://www.aclasscorp.com
	Utah (NELAC)	DATA1	http://health.utah.gov/lab/labimp/
	Nevada	UT00009	http://ndep.nv.gov/bsdw/labservice.htm
	Oklahoma	UT00009	http://www.deq.state.ok.us/CSDnew/
	Iowa	IA# 376	http://www.iowadnr.gov/InsideDNR/RegulatoryWater.aspx
	Florida (TNI)	E871067	http://www.dep.state.fl.us/labs/bars/sas/qa/
	Texas (TNI)	T104704456-11-1	http://www.tceq.texas.gov/field/qa/lab_accred_certif.html
Industrial Hygiene	AIHA (ISO 17025 & AIHA IHLAP/ELLAP)	101574	http://www.aihaaccreditedlabs.org
Lead Testing:			
CPSC	ACLASS (ISO 17025, CPSC)	ADE-1420	http://www.aclasscorp.com
Soil, Dust, Paint ,Air	AIHA (ISO 17025, AIHA ELLAP and NLLAP)	101574	http://www.aihaaccreditedlabs.org
Dietary Supplements	ACLASS (ISO 17025)	ADE-1420	http://www.aclasscorp.com

Definitions

LOD = Limit of Detection = MDL = Method Detection Limit, A statistical estimate of method/media/instrument sensitivity.

LOQ = Limit of Quantitation = RL = Reporting Limit, A verified value of method/media/instrument sensitivity.

ND = Not Detected, Testing result not detected above the LOD or LOQ.

** No result could be reported, see sample comments for details.

< This testing result is less than the numerical value.

() This testing result is between the LOD and LOQ and has higher analytical uncertainty than values at or above the LOQ.



Quality Control Sample Batch Report

Analysis Information

Workorder: 1234208

Limits: Historical/Performance Basis: ALS Laboratory Group Preparation: IH Metals, MCE Prep Batch: IIPX/11537 (HBN: 98827) Prepared By: Adam K. Taft Analysis: IH Metals QC Batch: IICP/7671 (HBN: 98912) Analyzed By: Peter P. Steen

Blank				
Blank: 312827 Analyzed: 12/07/2012 16:43 Units: ug/sample				
Analyte	Result	MDL	RL	
Cadmium	ND	0.0533	0.178	
Lead	ND	0.463	1.54	
LMB: 312828 Analyzed: 12/07/2012 16:46 Units: ug/sample	Analyzed: 12/07/2012 16:46			
Analyte	Result	MDL	RL	
Cadmium	ND	0.0533	0.178	
Lead	ND	0.463	1.54	

Laboratory Control Sample - Laboratory Control Sample Duplicate

LCS: 312829 Analyzed: 12/07/2012 16:50 Units: ug/sample						LCSD: 3 Analyzed: 1		2 16:53		
Analyte	Result	Target	% Recovery	QC Lin	nits	Result	RPD	QC Lin	nits	
Cadmium	10.1	10	101	89.8	112.5	10.2	0.318	0	15	
Lead	103	100	103	88	115	104	0.676	0	15	

QC Data Approved and Reviewed by

Peter P. Steen	Christopher R. Hansen	12/10/2012
alyst	Peer Review	Date

Symbols and Definitions

* - Analyte above reporting limit or outside of control limits

Sample result is greater than 4 times the spike added

RPD - Relative % Difference (Spike / Spike Duplicate) ND - Not Detected

Sample and Matrix Duplicate less than 5 times the reporting limit

QC results are not adjusted for moisture correction, where applicable

		Review Checklist: Reportable Data		2					
	Laboratory Name: ALS Environmental LaboratoryLRC Date: 12/28Project Name: Exide, FriscoLaboratory Job N								
			Laboratory Job Nur		123420	08			
		ame: Paul Pope	Prep Batch Number	r					
# ¹	A ² Description			Yes	No	NA ³	NR ⁴	ER# ⁵	
R1	OI	Chain-of-custody (C-O-C)							
		Did samples meet the laboratory's standard conditions of s	sample acceptability	v					
		upon receipt?		Х		V			
R2	OI	Were all departures from standard conditions described in	an exception report?			X			
K2		Sample and quality control (QC) identification Are all field sample ID numbers cross-referenced to the la	horatory ID numbers?	Х					
		Are all laboratory ID numbers cross-referenced to the corr		X					
R3	OI	Test reports	esponding QC data:	Λ					
KJ		Were all samples prepared and analyzed within holding tin	nes?	Х					
		Other than those results $<$ MQL, were all other raw values							
		calibration standards?	oracited by	Х					
		Were calculations checked by a peer or supervisor?		X					
		Were all analyte identifications checked by a peer or super	rvisor?	X					
		Were sample detection limits reported for all analytes not		Х					
		Were all results for soil and sediment samples reported on				Х			
		Were % moisture (or solids) reported for all soil and sedin			1	X			
		Were bulk soils/solids samples for volatile analysis extract			1				
		SW-846 Method 5035?	1			Х			
		If required for the project, TICs reported?				Х			
R4	0	Surrogate recovery data							
		Were surrogates added prior to extraction?				Х			
		Were surrogate percent recoveries in all samples within th	e laboratory QC						
		limits?				Х			
R5	OI	Test reports/summary forms for blank samples							
		Were appropriate type(s) of blanks analyzed?		Х					
		Were blanks analyzed at the appropriate frequency?		Х					
		Were method blanks taken through the entire analytical pr							
		preparation and, if applicable, cleanup procedures?	Х	-		_			
	01	Were blank concentrations < MQL?		Х					
R6	OI	Laboratory control samples (LCS):		V					
	-	Were all COCs included in the LCS?	· 1 1 ¹ 1	Х	-		_		
		Was each LCS taken through the entire analytical procedu cleanup steps?	re, including prep and	v					
	-	Were LCSs analyzed at the required frequency?		X X	+		-		
		Were LCSs analyzed at the required frequency? Were LCS (and LCSD, if applicable) %Rs within the labo	ratory OC limits?	X					
		Does the detectability data document the laboratory's capa		Λ					
		COCs at the MDL used to calculate the SQLs?	ionity to detect the	Х					
		Was the LCSD RPD within QC limits?		X					
R7	OI	Matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) d	lata						
107		Were the project/method specified analytes included in the				Х			
		Were MS/MSD analyzed at the appropriate frequency?				X			
	1	Were MS (and MSD, if applicable) %Rs within the labora	tory QC limits?	1	1	X		1	
	1	Were MS/MSD RPDs within laboratory QC limits?			1	X			
R8	OI	Analytical duplicate data							
	1	Were appropriate analytical duplicates analyzed for each r	natrix?			Х			
	1	Were analytical duplicates analyzed at the appropriate free			1	Х			
	_	Were RPDs or relative standard deviations within the labo				Х			
R9	OI	Method quantitation limits (MQLs):	•						
		Are the MQLs for each method analyte included in the lab		Х					
		Do the MQLs correspond to the concentration of the lower	st non-zero calibration	1					
		standard?	Х				1		
		Are unadjusted MQLs and DCSs included in the laborator	y data package?		Х				
R10	OI	Other problems/anomalies							
		Are all known problems/anomalies/special conditions note	ed in this LRC and						
	ļ	ER?				Х			
		Were all necessary corrective actions performed for the re			<u> </u>	Х			
		Was applicable and available technology used to lower the	e SDL minimize the						
	ļ	matrix interference affects on the sample results?		Х		_	-	-	
		Is the laboratory NELAC-accredited under the Texas Labo				77		1	
		the analytes, matrices and methods associated with this lab	boratory data package?		1	Х			

•

		ry Review Checklist: Reportable Data Name: ALS Environmental Laboratory	Laboratory Name: 12	2/28/12	2			
		ne: Exide, Frisco	Project Name: 12342					
		ame: Paul Pope	Reviewer Name: Pau		;			
# ¹	A^2	Description		Yes	No	NA ³	NR ⁴	ER#
S1	OI	Initial calibration (ICAL)						
		Were response factors and/or relative response factors for limits?	each analyte within QC			X		
		Were percent RSDs or correlation coefficient criteria met	?	Х				
		Was the number of standards recommended in the method	l used for all analytes?	Х				
		Were all points generated between the lowest and highest calculate the curve?	standard used to	x				
		Are ICAL data available for all instruments used?		Х				
		Has the initial calibration curve been verified using an app standard?	_	х				
		Initial and continuing calibration verification (ICCV a	nd CCV) and					
S2	OI	continuing calibration blank (CCB)						
		Was the CCV analyzed at the method-required frequency		Х				
		Were percent differences for each analyte within the meth	od-required QC limits?	Х				_
		Was the ICAL curve verified for each analyte?		X				
G2	0	Was the absolute value of the analyte concentration in the	inorganic CCB < MDL?	Х				-
S3	0	Mass spectral tuning: Was the appropriate compound for the method used for the	ning?			v		
		Was the appropriate compound for the method used for tu Ware ion abundance data within the method required OC				X X		
S4	0	Were ion abundance data within the method-required QC Internal standards (IS):	mints /		+	Λ		+
54	0	Were IS area counts and retention times within the method			X			
		Raw data (NELAC section 1 appendix A glossary, and sec				Λ		
S5	OI	17025 section	Cubit 5.12 of 150/12C					
50	01	Were the raw data (for example, chromatograms, spectral analyst?	data) reviewed by an	x				
		Were data associated with manual integrations flagged on	the raw data?			X		
S6	0	Dual column confirmation						
			Did dual column confirmation results meet the method-required QC?			Х		
S7	0	Tentatively identified compounds (TICs):	• • •					
			e requested, were the mass spectra and TIC data subject to appropriate			X		
S8	Ι	Interference Check Sample (ICS) results:						
		Were percent recoveries within method QC limits?		Х				
S9	Ι	Serial dilutions, post digestion spikes, and method of st						
		Were percent differences, recoveries, and the linearity wi	thin the QC limits					
		specified in the method?				X		
S10	OI	Method detection limit (MDL) studies						
		Was a MDL study performed for each reported analyte?		X				
011		Is the MDL either adjusted or supported by the analysis of	DCSs?	Х				_
S11	OI	Proficiency test reports:						
<u> </u>	01	Was the laboratory's performance acceptable on the applic evaluation studies?	cable proficiency tests or	X				
S12	OI	Standards documentation	1. 4					
		Are all standards used in the analyses NIST-traceable or of appropriate sources?	otamed from other	Х				
S13	OI	Compound/analyte identification procedures						
-		Are the procedures for compound/analyte identification de	ocumented?	Х				
S14	OI	Demonstration of analyst competency (DOC)						
		Was DOC conducted consistent with NELAC Chapter 5C	or ISO/IEC 4?	Х				
		Is documentation of the analyst's competency up-to-date a		Х				
		Verification/validation documentation for methods (N	ELAC Chap 5 or					
S15	OI	ISO/IEC 17025 Section 5)						
		Are all the methods used to generate the data documented	, verified, and validated,					
014	07	where applicable?		Х				
S16	OI	Laboratory standard operating procedures (SOPs):	f	v				
-	14	Are laboratory SOPs current and on file for each method p		X		Itoma i-I f	ified by the	lotter "O"
1.		s identified by the letter "R" must be included in the laboratory data paul and be retained and made available upon request for the appropriate re		equired	repoπ(s).	items ident	meu by the	ieller "S"
2.	O = 0	Organic Analyses; I = Inorganic Analyses (and general chemistry, whe						
3. 4.		= Not Applicable; = Not Reviewed;						
4.	R# =	Exception Report identification number (an Exception Report should I						

Laboratory Review Checklist: Reportable Data					
tory Name: ALS Environmental Laboratory	LRC Date: 12/28/12				
Name: Exide, Frisco	Laboratory Job Number: 1234208				
Reviewer Name: Paul Pope Prep Batch Number(s):					
Description					
	tory Name: ALS Environmental Laboratory Name: Exide, Frisco rer Name: Paul Pope	tory Name: ALS Environmental LaboratoryLRC Date: 12/28/12Name: Exide, FriscoLaboratory Job Number: 1234208ver Name: Paul PopePrep Batch Number(s):			

W 123	Cł	nain o	of Cus	tody	n an
			1. 🗌 REG	ULAR Status 75470	>
				H Status Requested - ADDITIONAL CHARGE	
			RES	ULTS REQUIRED BY 12.6.12	
(A	LS)		CON	DATE TACT ALS SALT LAKE PRIOR TO SENDING SAI	MPLES
2. Date 12.5.12	Purchase Order No. 21252			4. Quote No.	
3. Company Name Re	emediation Services, inc.			ALS Project Manager Paul Pope	
Address PO Box 58	87			5. Sample Collection	·····
Independence, KS 673	301			Sampling Site: Exide Frisco	
Person to Contact:	Grant Sherwood			Industrial Process: Decontamination and Dem)
Telephone(620)	3 <u>31-1200</u>			Date of Collection 12.5.12	
Fax Telephone (62)	0) 33 <u>1-6216</u>			Time Collected 7:00 - 17:00	
E-mail Address gsr	nerwood@rsi-ks.com			Date of Shipment 12.5.12	
Billing Address (if d	lifferent from above)	, #7200000000 ilitiiniya aa			
Send Resilts to: gsh	nerwood@rsi-ks.com, irgillman@rsi-	-ks.com, vanes	sa.coleman@	a.exide.com, droth@rsi-ks.com	·····
Send Invoice to	: strotter@rsi-ks.com			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
7. REQUEST FOR AN				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	<u></u>
Laboratory Use Only		Matrix*	Sample	ANALYSES REQUESTED - Use method number if know	
	EXDEND 121205 DW	37 um MCE	Volume		
	EX DEMO 121205 DW	37 um MCE	1982L	NIOSH 7303 - Lead and Cadmium	ug/m ³
	526 Exdemo 121205 DW	37 um MCE	1973L	NIOSH 7303 - Lead and Cadmium	ug/m ³
	00) (37 um MCE	11405	NIOSH 7303 - Lead and Cadmium	ug/m ³
		37 um MCE		NIOSH 7303 - Lead and Cadmium	ug/m ³
		37 um MCE		NIOSH 7303 - Lead and Cadmium	ug/m ³
				NIOSH 7303 - Lead and Cadmium	ug/m ³
- ,					
EX-DEMO	= Project (Exide-Demolition))	L		[
YYMMDD	= Sampling date (e.g., $11/01/2$	2012 = 12110	01)		
LOC	= Sample Location (e.g. UW	= Upwind, D	W = Downw	rind)	
XXX	= E-BAM Monitor Sample A	ssociation – J	Last 3 digits	of Serial Number,	
QQ	= Optional QA sample flag (7				
Comments					
Possible Contamination	n and/or Chemical Hazards: Lead a	nd oodmium			
7. Chain of Custody (
Relinquished by	JOANNY GERMAN	<u> </u>		Date/Time 12.5.12 15:05	
Received by	Jaman Tas	\$100		12-17-12-12100	
	/	<u> </u>	. <u></u>	Date/Time 10 DTV0 10.40	
Relinquished by		<u></u>		Date/Time	
Received by				Date/Time	



ANALYTICAL REPORT

Report Date: December 11, 2012

Grant Sherwood Remediation Services, Inc. P.O. Box 587 2735 South 10th Street Independence, KS 67301 Phone: (620) 331-1200 Fax: (620) 331-6216 E-mail: gsherwood@rsi-ks.com

Workorder: **34-1234517** Client Project ID: 21252/Exide Frisco 121012 Purchase Order: 21252 Project Manager: Paul Pope

Analytical Results

Sample ID: <u>EX DEMO 121207 D</u> Lab ID: 1234517001	W 607 Me Sampling Locat	dia: MCE Filter ion: Exide Frisc			: 12/07/2012 : 12/10/2012
Method: NIOSH 7300 Mod.	Samplin	g Parameter: Ai	r Volume 1985 L		: 12/10/2012 : 12/11/2012
Analyte	ug/sample	ug/m³	LOD (ug/sample)	RL (ug/sample)	
Cadmium	<0.025	<0.012	0.025	0.083	
Lead	<0.38	<0.19	0.38	1.3	

Sample ID: EX DEMO 121207	DW 526 Me	dia: MCE Filter		Collected	: 12/07/2012
Lab ID: 1234517002	Sampling Locat	ion: Exide Frisc	0	Received	: 12/10/2012
Method: NIOSH 7300 Mod.	Samplin	g Parameter: Ail	r Volume 2031 L		12/10/2012 12/11/2012
Analyte	ug/sample	ug/m³	LOD (ug/sample)	RL (ug/sample)	
Cadmium	<0.025	<0.012	0.025	0.083	
Lead	<0.38	<0.18	0.38	1.3	

Sample ID: EX DEMO 121207 D	W 001 Me	dia: MCE Filter		Collected	12/07/2012
Lab ID: 1234517003	Sampling Locat	ion: Exide Frisc	0	Received	12/10/2012
Method: NIOSH 7300 Mod.	Samplin	g Parameter: Ai	r Volume 1936 L		12/10/2012 12/11/2012
Analyte	ug/sample	ug/m³	LOD (ug/sample)	RL (ug/sample)	
Cadmium	<0.025	<0.013	0.025	0.083	
Lead	<0.38	<0.19	0.38	1.3	

Comments

Quality Control: NIOSH 7300 Mod. - (HBN: 98988)

Environmental 💭

The MCE LMB 313104 was above the reporting limit for magnesium equivalent to 1.54 µg/sample so the LCS 313105 and LCSD 313106 results have been media blank corrected for magnesium with LMB 313104.

ADDRESS 960 West LeVoy Drive, Salt Lake City, Utah, USA 84123 | PHONE +1 801 266 7700 | FAX +1 801 268 9992 ALS GROUP USA, CORP. Part of the ALS Laboratory Group A Campbell Brothers Limited Company

www.alsglobal.com

RIGHT SOLUTIONS RIGHT PARTNER

Tue, 12/11/12 4:34 PM



Workorder: **34-1234517** Client Project ID: 21252/Exide Frisco 121012 Purchase Order: 21252 Project Manager: Paul Pope

Report Authorization

Method	Analyst	Peer Review
NIOSH 7300 Mod.	Peter P. Steen	Penny A. Foote

Laboratory Contact Information

ALS Environmental	Phone: (801) 266-7700
960 W Levoy Drive	Email: alslt.lab@ALSGlobal.com
Salt Lake City, Utah 84123	Web: www.alsslc.com

General Lab Comments

The results provided in this report relate only to the items tested. Samples were received in acceptable condition unless otherwise noted. Samples have not been blank corrected unless otherwise noted. This test report shall not be reproduced, except in full, without written approval of ALS.

ALS provides professional analytical services for all samples submitted. ALS is not in a position to interpret the data and assumes no responsibility for the quality of the samples submitted.

All quality control samples processed with the samples in this report yielded acceptable results unless otherwise noted.

ALS is accredited for specific fields of testing (scopes) in the following testing sectors. The quality system implemented at ALS conforms to accreditation requirements and is applied to all analytical testing performed by ALS. The following table lists testing sector, accreditation body, accreditation number and website. Please contact these accrediting bodies or your ALS project manager for the current scope of accreditation that applies to your analytical testing.

Testing Sector	Accreditation Body (Standard)	Certificate Number	Website
Environmental	ACLASS (DoD ELAP)	ADE-1420	http://www.aclasscorp.com
	Utah (NELAC)	DATA1	http://health.utah.gov/lab/labimp/
	Nevada	UT00009	http://ndep.nv.gov/bsdw/labservice.htm
	Oklahoma	UT00009	http://www.deq.state.ok.us/CSDnew/
	lowa	IA# 376	http://www.iowadnr.gov/InsideDNR/RegulatoryWater.aspx
	Florida (TNI)	E871067	http://www.dep.state.fl.us/labs/bars/sas/qa/
	Texas (TNI)	T104704456-11-1	http://www.tceq.texas.gov/field/qa/lab_accred_certif.html
Industrial Hygiene	AIHA (ISO 17025 & AIHA IHLAP/ELLAP)	101574	http://www.aihaaccreditedlabs.org
Lead Testing:			
CPSC	ACLASS (ISO 17025, CPSC)	ADE-1420	http://www.aclasscorp.com
Soil, Dust, Paint ,Air	AIHA (ISO 17025, AIHA ELLAP and NLLAP)	101574	http://www.aihaaccreditedlabs.org
Dietary Supplements	ACLASS (ISO 17025)	ADE-1420	http://www.aclasscorp.com

Definitions

LOD = Limit of Detection = MDL = Method Detection Limit, A statistical estimate of method/media/instrument sensitivity.

LOQ = Limit of Quantitation = RL = Reporting Limit, A verified value of method/media/instrument sensitivity.

- ND = Not Detected, Testing result not detected above the LOD or LOQ.
- ** No result could be reported, see sample comments for details.

< This testing result is less than the numerical value.

() This testing result is between the LOD and LOQ and has higher analytical uncertainty than values at or above the LOQ.



Quality Control Sample Batch Report

Analysis Information

Workorder: 1234517

Limits: Historical/Performance Basis: ALS Laboratory Group Preparation: IH Metals, MCE Prep Batch: IIPX/11541 (HBN: 98893) Prepared By: Adam K. Taft Analysis: IH Metals QC Batch: IICP/7675 (HBN: 98988) Analyzed By: Peter P. Steen

Blank				
Blank: 313103 Analyzed: 12/11/2012 08:51 Units: ug/sample				
Analyte	Result	MDL	RL	
Cadmium	ND	0.0248	0.0825	
Lead	ND	0.375	1.25	
LMB: 313104 Analyzed: 12/11/2012 08:54 Units: ug/sample				
Analyte	Result	MDL	RL	
Cadmium	ND	0.0248	0.0825	
Lead	ND	0.375	1.25	

Laboratory Control Sample - Laboratory Control Sample Duplicate

LCS: 313105 Analyzed: 12/11/2012 08:58 Units: ug/sample						LCSD: 3 Analyzed: 1		2 09:01		
Analyte	Result	Target	% Recovery	QC Lin	nits	Result	RPD	QC Lin	nits	
Cadmium	10.3	10	103	89.8	112.5	10.4	0.587	0	15	
Lead	101	100	101	88	115	101	0.803	0	15	

Comments

The MCE LMB 313104 was above the reporting limit for magnesium equivalent to 1.54 μ g/sample so the LCS 313105 and LCSD 313106 results have been media blank corrected for magnesium with LMB 313104.

QC Data Approved and Reviewed by

Peter P. Steen	Penny A. Foote	12/11/2012
Analyst	Peer Review	Date

Symbols and Definitions

- * Analyte above reporting limit or outside of control limits
- Sample result is greater than 4 times the spike added
- Sample and Matrix Duplicate less than 5 times the reporting limit
- RPD Relative % Difference (Spike / Spike Duplicate)
- ND Not Detected
- QC results are not adjusted for moisture correction, where applicable

		Review Checklist: Reportable Data						
		Name: ALS Environmental Laboratory	LRC Date: 12/28/12		100:	_		
		ne: Exide, Frisco	Laboratory Job Numb		123451	7		
		ame: Paul Pope	Prep Batch Number(s	-	1			
# ¹	\mathbf{A}^2	Description		Yes	No	NA ³	NR ⁴	ER# ⁵
R1	OI	Chain-of-custody (C-O-C)	1 . 1 111					
		Did samples meet the laboratory's standard conditions of sa		v				
		upon receipt?		Х		V		
R2	OI	Were all departures from standard conditions described in a	an exception report?			X		
K2	01	Sample and quality control (QC) identification Are all field sample ID numbers cross-referenced to the lab	oratory ID numbers?	Х				
		Are all laboratory ID numbers cross-referenced to the corre		X				
R3	OI	Test reports	sponding QC data:	Λ				
KJ	01	Were all samples prepared and analyzed within holding tim	nes?	Х				
		Other than those results < MQL, were all other raw values		21				
		calibration standards?		Х				
		Were calculations checked by a peer or supervisor?		X				
		Were all analyte identifications checked by a peer or super-		X				
		Were sample detection limits reported for all analytes not d		Х				
		Were all results for soil and sediment samples reported on a		-		X		1
		Were % moisture (or solids) reported for all soil and sedim				X	1	
		Were bulk soils/solids samples for volatile analysis extracted				1		
	L	SW-846 Method 5035?	1			Х		
		If required for the project, TICs reported?			_	Х		
R4	0	Surrogate recovery data						
		Were surrogates added prior to extraction?				Х		
		Were surrogate percent recoveries in all samples within the	aboratory QC					
		limits?				Х		
R5	OI	Test reports/summary forms for blank samples						
		Were appropriate type(s) of blanks analyzed?		Х				
		Were blanks analyzed at the appropriate frequency?		Х				
		Were method blanks taken through the entire analytical pro-						
		preparation and, if applicable, cleanup procedures?		Х		_	_	
	01	Were blank concentrations < MQL?		Х				
R6	OI	Laboratory control samples (LCS):		N				
		Were all COCs included in the LCS?		Х		-	-	-
		Was each LCS taken through the entire analytical procedur cleanup steps?		v				
		Were LCSs analyzed at the required frequency?		X X		-	+	-
		Were LCSs analyzed at the required frequency? Were LCS (and LCSD, if applicable) %Rs within the labor		л Х				
		Does the detectability data document the laboratory's capal		Λ				
		COCs at the MDL used to calculate the SQLs?		Х				
		Was the LCSD RPD within QC limits?		X				
R7	OI	Matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) da						
		Were the project/method specified analytes included in the				Х		
		Were MS/MSD analyzed at the appropriate frequency?				Х		
		Were MS (and MSD, if applicable) %Rs within the laborate	ory QC limits?			Х		
		Were MS/MSD RPDs within laboratory QC limits?				Х		
R8	OI	Analytical duplicate data						
		Were appropriate analytical duplicates analyzed for each m	atrix?			Х		
		Were analytical duplicates analyzed at the appropriate frequency				Х		
		Were RPDs or relative standard deviations within the labor	atory QC limits?			Х		
R9	OI	Method quantitation limits (MQLs):						
		Are the MQLs for each method analyte included in the labor		Х				
		Do the MQLs correspond to the concentration of the lowest						
		standard?		Х		_		_
D4^	0.7	Are unadjusted MQLs and DCSs included in the laboratory	data package?		Х			
R10	OI	Other problems/anomalies	1. 4. 100 1					
		Are all known problems/anomalies/special conditions noted	a in this LRC and			v		
		ER?	contrad date ?			X X	-	
		Were all necessary corrective actions performed for the rep				Å		
	1	Was applicable and available technology used to lower the		37				
		matrix interference affects on the sample results?		x				
		matrix interference affects on the sample results? Is the laboratory NELAC-accredited under the Texas Labor		Х				

•

Labor		y Review Checklist: Reportable Data Name: ALS Environmental Laboratory	Laboratory Name: 12	2/28/12	2			
		ne: Exide, Frisco	Project Name: 12345					
		ame: Paul Pope	Reviewer Name: Pau)			
# ¹	A ²	Description		Yes	No	NA ³	NR ⁴	ER#
<u></u> S1	OI	Initial calibration (ICAL)		105	110	1,112		
		Were response factors and/or relative response factors for limits?	each analyte within QC			X		
		Were percent RSDs or correlation coefficient criteria met	?	Х				
		Was the number of standards recommended in the method		Х				
		Were all points generated between the lowest and highest calculate the curve?		х				
		Are ICAL data available for all instruments used?		Х				
		Has the initial calibration curve been verified using an app standard?	propriate second source	X				
		Initial and continuing calibration verification (ICCV a	nd CCV) and					
S2	OI	continuing calibration blank (CCB)						
		Was the CCV analyzed at the method-required frequency?	Х					
		Were percent differences for each analyte within the method	Х					
		Was the ICAL curve verified for each analyte?		Х				
		Was the absolute value of the analyte concentration in the	inorganic CCB < MDL?	Х				
S3	0	Mass spectral tuning:						
		Was the appropriate compound for the method used for tur				Х		
		Were ion abundance data within the method-required QC	limits?			Х		
S4	0	Internal standards (IS):						
		Were IS area counts and retention times within the method				Х		
~-		Raw data (NELAC section 1 appendix A glossary, and se	ction 5.12 or ISO/IEC					
S5	OI	17025 section						
		Were the raw data (for example, chromatograms, spectral analyst?	Х					
		Were data associated with manual integrations flagged on			Х			
S6	0	Dual column confirmation						
		Did dual column confirmation results meet the method-rec	quired QC?			Х		
S7	0	Tentatively identified compounds (TICs):						
		If TICs were requested, were the mass spectra and TIC dat checks?	ta subject to appropriate			Х		
S8	Ι	Interference Check Sample (ICS) results:						
		Were percent recoveries within method QC limits?		Х				
S9	I	Serial dilutions, post digestion spikes, and method of st						
		Were percent differences, recoveries, and the linearity with	thin the QC limits					
~		specified in the method?				X		
S10	OI	Method detection limit (MDL) studies		37				
		Was a MDL study performed for each reported analyte?		X X				
011	01	Is the MDL either adjusted or supported by the analysis of	DCSs?	X				
S11	OI	Proficiency test reports:						
G10	01	Was the laboratory's performance acceptable on the applic evaluation studies?	able proficiency tests or	Х				
S12	OI	Standards documentation	htoined from -them					
		Are all standards used in the analyses NIST-traceable or of appropriate sources?	otameu nom otner	Х				
S13	OI	Compound/analyte identification procedures		Λ				
515		Are the procedures for compound/analyte identification do	ocumented?	Х				
S14	OI	Demonstration of analyst competency (DOC)						
~-!		Was DOC conducted consistent with NELAC Chapter 5C	or ISO/IEC 4?	Х				
		Is documentation of the analyst's competency up-to-date a		X				
		Verification/validation documentation for methods (NE						
S15	OI	ISO/IEC 17025 Section 5)	r					
		Are all the methods used to generate the data documented,	, verified, and validated.					
		where applicable?	,	Х				
S16	OI	Laboratory standard operating procedures (SOPs):						
		Are laboratory SOPs current and on file for each method p	performed?	Х				
1.		s identified by the letter "R" must be included in the laboratory data page	ckage submitted in the TRRP-r		report(s).	Items ident	ified by the	letter "S"
~		Id be retained and made available upon request for the appropriate ret						
2. 3.		Organic Analyses; I = Inorganic Analyses (and general chemistry, whe = Not Applicable;	n applicable);					
		= Not Reviewed;						

	Laboratory Review Checklist: Reportable Data							
Laboratory Name: ALS Environmental Laboratory LRC Date: 12/28/12								
Project	Name: Exide, Frisco	Laboratory Job Number: 1234517						
Review	er Name: Paul Pope	Prep Batch Number(s):						
ER# ⁵	Description							

			<u> </u>		an a
₩ 1234	517 C	hain o	of Cus	stody	
			1 REG	ULAR Status	T
				H Status Requested - ADDITIONAL CHARGE	
	2			DATE	_
			CON	ITACT ALS SALT LAKE PRIOR TO SENDING SAMP	LES
1	_ Purchase Order No. 2 <u>1252</u>			_4. Quote No	
3. Company Name Reme	diation Services, Inc.		·····	ALS Project Manager Paul Pope	
Address PO Box 587		New York Control of C		5. Sample Collection	
Independence, KS 67301				_ Sampling Site: Exide Frisco	······
Person to Contact: Gra				Industrial Process: Decontamination and Demo	
Telephone (620) 3 <u>31</u> -				Date of Collection 12.7.12	
Fax Telephone (620) 3:		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Time Collected 7:00 - 17:00	
E-mail Address gsherw				Date of Shipment 12.10.12	
Billing Address (if differ		••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	<u></u>		
Send Resilts to: gsherw	ood@rsi-ks.com, jrgillman@rsi-	<u>-ks.com, vanes</u>	<u>sa.coleman@r</u>	na.exide.com, droth@rsi-ks.com	
				•	1 <u></u>
<u>Send Invoice to : str</u>	rotter@rsi-ks.com	<u> </u>		-	
7. REQUEST FOR ANAL	YSES	T			
Laboratory Use Only	Client Sample Number	Matrix*	Sample Volume	ANALYSES REQUESTED - Use method number if known	Units**
	Ex DEMO 121207	37 um MCE	IABSL	NIOSH 7303 - Lead and Cadmium	ug/m ³
	2x 05 mg 121207	37 um MCE		NIOSH 7303 - Lead and Cadmium	ug/m ³
	CALCELLA PANA	37 um MCE		NIOSH 7303 - Lead and Cadmium	ug/m ³
	EX DEMO 121207	37 um MCE	19362	NIOSH 7303 - Lead and Cadmium	ug/m ³
: 		37 um MCE		NIOSH 7303 - Lead and Cadmium	ug/m ³
		37 um MCE		NIOSH 7303 - Lead and Cadmium	ug/m ³
·····					
EX-DEMO =	During (Durid Down aliting)				
EX-DEMO = YYMMDD =	Project (Exide-Demolition)		\1 \		
LOC =	Sampling date (e.g., 11/01/ Sample Location (e.g. UW			•	
	E-BAM Monitor Sample A			·	
	Optional QA sample flag (7			-	
≺≺ Comments	Obnomin Arr sumpro mue (ID – uth otau	к, го – пек	1 blank, $SC = duplicate)$	
		<u> </u>	11		
					••••••
Possible Contamination an 7. Chain of Custody (Opt	nd/or Chemical Hazards: Lead a	ind cadmium			
Relinquished by	ANNY, GILMAN			Date/Time 12.7.12 19:00	
Received by	inter fisse	7		Date/Time 12-10-12- 9:45	
Relinquished by				Date/Time	<u></u>
Received by				Date/Time	
960 West LeVoy	/ Drive / Salt Lake City, UT	84123	800-:	356-9135 or 801-266-7700 / FAX: 801-268-999	2
and a second	-		oratory Grou		-

Date	Time Interval (30-min blocks)	E-BAM G4605 30-min avg (mg/m ³)	E-BAM F5001 30-min avg (mg/m ³)	E-BAM G4526 30-min avg (mg/m ³)	E-BAM G4607 30-min avg (mg/m ³)	Wind Direction (30-min avg from N)	Wind Speed (30-min avg mph)
		Upwind	Downwind	Downwind	Downwind		
	07:00-07:29		-0.003	0.041	0.027		
	07:30-07:59						
	08:00-08:29						
	08:30-08:59						
	09:00-09:29						
	09:30-09:59	0.075	0.099	-0.005	0.048	57	3.9
	10:00-10:29					113	2.6
	10:30-10:59					94	3.2
	11:00-11:29					125	3.9
12	11:30-11:59					139	4.1
:/20	12:00-12:29			0.023		140	4.7
12/8/2012	12:30-12:59					143	5.3
	13:00-13:29					164	5.4
	13:30-13:59			0.036		152	4.4
	14:00-14:29			0.041		139	4.5
	14:30-14:59					155	6.7
	15:00-15:29					163	7.2
	15:30-15:59				0.038	160	8.0
	16:00-16:29				0.035	155	7.2
	16:30-16:59					149	5.8
	17:00-17:29					100	4.2
	17:30-17:59					97	3.9

- **BOLD** = Take Action Level Exceeded for Particulates (0.100 mg/m³)
- **Bold and Italic** = Stop Work Level Exceeded for Particulates (0.200 mg/m³)
- Pink shading indicates values below 0 mg/m³ and should be evaluated for usablity as zero concentration
- Blank data records indicate no data is available for the given time interval