



January 10, 2013

Mr. Keith Sheedy
Texas Commission on Environmental Quality
Remediation Division
P.O. Box 13087
MC-122
Austin, Texas 78711-3087

RE: Submittal of Site Monitoring and Quality Assurance Data – Week 2
Exide Technologies Frisco Recycling Center
Frisco, Texas
IHW 50206, SWR No. 30516, RN100218643

Dear Mr. Sheedy:

The *Perimeter Air Monitoring Plan for Response Actions at Class 2 Non-Hazardous Waste Landfill* (dated December 7, 2012) and the *Perimeter Air Monitoring Plan - Facility Demolition* dated November 21, 2012 (collectively, the AMPs) address air monitoring to be conducted by Exide Technologies at the Exide Technologies Frisco Recycling Center located in Frisco, Texas during upcoming demolition and landfill remediation work.

Upon the commencement of pre-demolition decontamination activities (i.e., decontamination activities following the cessation of recycling activities and prior to the initiation of facility demolition activities), Exide began using the air monitors and samplers that will be employed under the AMPs to identify potential technical issues and work on procedural aspects of their use prior to the upcoming demolition and landfill remediation work that will be subject to the AMPs. This pre-demolition period provides an excellent opportunity to pilot the AMP procedures, including the format and content of the summary reports that will be provided to TCEQ and posted on the Exide website. Accordingly, with this letter, W&M Environmental Group, Inc. (W&M) is submitting a summary of air monitoring data related to Site activities at the Exide Technologies Frisco Recycling Center located in Frisco, Texas. This data was collected from a period of site activity that was limited to decontamination work and is being submitted for informational purposes and to confirm the use of this reporting format.

This submittal is for data collected or received from **Monday, December 10, 2012 through Saturday December 15, 2012**. Site activities being conducted during this reporting period are noted below:

| | | | | | |
|-------------------------------------|-----------------|--------------------------|---------------------|--------------------------|----------------------|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | Decontamination | <input type="checkbox"/> | Facility Demolition | <input type="checkbox"/> | Landfill Remediation |
|-------------------------------------|-----------------|--------------------------|---------------------|--------------------------|----------------------|

The following Worksheets, Data Sheets or Reports are included within this submittal:


| | | Description | Details | Remarks |
|-------------------------------------|---|-------------------------------------|--|---------|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | A | Daily Summary Report | Real-time Particulate Monitoring , Wind Speed & Direction | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | B | Take Action/Stop Work Notifications | Response actions taken due to high wind or elevated real-time particulate readings | |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | C | Field Data Sheet – E-BAMs | E-BAM particulate monitoring positions and locations | |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | D | Field Data Sheet – Low Vols | Details for low-volume samples for Pd/Cd | |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | E | Analytical Report – Metals Analysis | Laboratory Data Report for Pb/Cd in air samples | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | F | Updated Table 1 | Re-calculated Action Levels based upon actual PM, Pb and Cd data | |

| Remark No. | Comments |
|------------|----------|
| | |
| | |

For activities subject to the *Perimeter Air Monitoring Work Plans*, W&M will indicate that it has reviewed the information in relation to the quality assurance requirements outlined in the *Perimeter Air Monitoring Work Plans*, and the data meets the project QA requirements. W&M undertook that review for this informational assessment as well, and the data meets the project QA requirements.

If you have any questions or require additional information, please do not hesitate to call me at 972-516-0300.

Very truly yours,
W&M ENVIRONMENTAL GROUP, INC.


 Frank W. Clark, P.E., P.G.
 Senior Consultant

cc: Vanessa Coleman - Exide
 Aileen Hooks, Jennifer Keane - Baker Botts LLC
 Grant Sherwood, Dan Roth - Remediation Services, Inc.
 Tim Nickels - Pastor Behling & Wheeler, LLC

DAILY SUMMARY REPORTS

ATTACHMENT A

Daily Summary Report
Real-Time Particulate Monitoring Data
Exide Technologies - Facility Decontamination and Demolition
Frisco, Texas

| Date | Time Interval (30-min blocks) | E-BAM G4605 | E-BAM F5001 | E-BAM G4526 | E-BAM G4607 | Wind Direction (30-min avg from N) | Wind Speed (30-min avg mph) |
|-------------------|----------------------------------|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|---|-----------------------------------|
| | | 30-min avg (mg/m ³) | 30-min avg (mg/m ³) | 30-min avg (mg/m ³) | 30-min avg (mg/m ³) | | |
| | | Upwind | Downwind | Downwind | Downwind | | |
| 12/10/2012 | 07:00-07:29 | | | | | 322 | 13.1 |
| | 07:30-07:59 | | | | | 325 | 12.5 |
| | 08:00-08:29 | | | | | 318 | 15.3 |
| | 08:30-08:59 | | | | | 330 | 14.7 |
| | 09:00-09:29 | | | | | 323 | 12.8 |
| | 09:30-09:59 | | | | | 330 | 13.8 |
| | 10:00-10:29 | | | | | 323 | 16.0 |
| | 10:30-10:59 | | | | | 317 | 12.8 |
| | 11:00-11:29 | 0.032 | 0.005 | 0.003 | -0.005 | 325 | 13.4 |
| | 11:30-11:59 | 0.004 | 0.023 | 0.008 | 0.031 | 326 | 11.6 |
| | 12:00-12:29 | 0.015 | 0.008 | 0.018 | 0.029 | 302 | 12.1 |
| | 12:30-12:59 | 0.013 | 0.015 | 0.009 | 0.010 | 319 | 11.3 |
| | 13:00-13:29 | 0.015 | 0.006 | 0.013 | 0.007 | 278 | 10.9 |
| | 13:30-13:59 | 0.007 | 0.008 | 0.013 | 0.013 | 317 | 11.3 |
| | 14:00-14:29 | 0.012 | 0.011 | 0.008 | 0.012 | 298 | 10.2 |
| | 14:30-14:59 | 0.013 | 0.008 | 0.011 | 0.009 | 322 | 11.1 |
| | 15:00-15:29 | 0.011 | 0.006 | 0.011 | 0.018 | 313 | 8.6 |
| | 15:30-15:59 | 0.009 | 0.008 | 0.018 | 0.011 | 326 | 9.0 |
| | 16:00-16:29 | 0.019 | 0.010 | 0.015 | 0.006 | 326 | 8.9 |
| | 16:30-16:59 | 0.011 | 0.011 | 0.010 | 0.016 | 311 | 8.3 |
| 17:00-17:29 | 0.011 | 0.012 | 0.015 | 0.009 | 324 | 8.3 | |
| 17:30-17:59 | 0.015 | 0.003 | 0.009 | 0.003 | 306 | 7.8 | |

Notes:

- **BOLD** = Take Action Level Exceeded for Particulates (0.100 mg/m³)
- **Bold and Italic** = Stop Work Level Exceeded for Particulates (0.200 mg/m³)
- Pink shading indicates values below 0 mg/m³ and should be evaluated for usability as zero concentration
- Blank data records indicate no data is available for the given time interval

Daily Summary Report
Real-Time Particulate Monitoring Data
Exide Technologies - Facility Decontamination and Demolition
Frisco, Texas

| Date | Time Interval (30-min blocks) | E-BAM G4605 30-min avg (mg/m ³) | E-BAM F5001 30-min avg (mg/m ³) | E-BAM G4526 30-min avg (mg/m ³) | E-BAM G4607 30-min avg (mg/m ³) | Wind Direction (30-min avg from N) | Wind Speed (30-min avg mph) |
|-------------|----------------------------------|---|---|---|---|---|-----------------------------------|
| | | Upwind | Downwind | Downwind | Downwind | | |
| 12/11/2012 | 07:00-07:29 | 0.013 | 0.013 | 0.010 | 0.006 | 114 | 7.2 |
| | 07:30-07:59 | 0.016 | 0.015 | 0.004 | | 112 | 6.8 |
| | 08:00-08:29 | -0.005 | 0.015 | 0.010 | | 115 | 6.8 |
| | 08:30-08:59 | 0.000 | 0.027 | 0.023 | | 122 | 8.1 |
| | 09:00-09:29 | 0.026 | 0.016 | 0.016 | | 135 | 8.5 |
| | 09:30-09:59 | 0.031 | 0.012 | 0.011 | | 134 | 8.8 |
| | 10:00-10:29 | -0.003 | 0.006 | 0.014 | | 135 | 7.7 |
| | 10:30-10:59 | 0.016 | 0.025 | 0.025 | | 151 | 7.1 |
| | 11:00-11:29 | 0.011 | 0.017 | 0.026 | | 138 | 5.6 |
| | 11:30-11:59 | 0.017 | 0.005 | 0.012 | | 167 | 5.1 |
| | 12:00-12:29 | 0.010 | 0.021 | 0.018 | | 168 | 4.8 |
| | 12:30-12:59 | 0.020 | 0.013 | 0.015 | | 192 | 4.3 |
| | 13:00-13:29 | 0.006 | -0.005 | 0.009 | | 204 | 4.1 |
| | 13:30-13:59 | -0.001 | | 0.036 | | 226 | 3.6 |
| | 14:00-14:29 | | 0.019 | 0.010 | | 192 | 3.5 |
| | 14:30-14:59 | 0.029 | 0.026 | 0.019 | 0.077 | 168 | 4.0 |
| | 15:00-15:29 | 0.038 | 0.012 | 0.018 | 0.066 | 174 | 2.8 |
| | 15:30-15:59 | 0.019 | 0.005 | 0.011 | 0.222 | 152 | 3.3 |
| | 16:00-16:29 | 0.013 | 0.025 | 0.010 | 0.010 | 119 | 3.4 |
| | 16:30-16:59 | 0.015 | 0.015 | 0.021 | 0.018 | 119 | 3.0 |
| 17:00-17:29 | 0.015 | 0.017 | 0.009 | 0.011 | 89 | 3.2 | |
| 17:30-17:59 | 0.005 | 0.004 | 0.005 | 0.021 | 75 | 3.2 | |

Notes:

- **BOLD** = Take Action Level Exceeded for Particulates (0.100 mg/m³)
- **Bold and Italic** = Stop Work Level Exceeded for Particulates (0.200 mg/m³)
- Pink shading indicates values below 0 mg/m³ and should be evaluated for usability as zero concentration
- Blank data records indicate no data is available for the given time interval

Daily Summary Report
Real-Time Particulate Monitoring Data
Exide Technologies - Facility Decontamination and Demolition
Frisco, Texas

| Date | Time Interval (30-min blocks) | E-BAM G4605 30-min avg (mg/m ³) | E-BAM F5001 30-min avg (mg/m ³) | E-BAM G4526 30-min avg (mg/m ³) | E-BAM G4607 30-min avg (mg/m ³) | Wind Direction (30-min avg from N) | Wind Speed (30-min avg mph) |
|-------------|----------------------------------|---|---|---|---|---|-----------------------------------|
| | | Upwind | Downwind | Downwind | Downwind | | |
| 12/12/2012 | 07:00-07:29 | 0.022 | 0.021 | 0.020 | 0.015 | 120 | 8.6 |
| | 07:30-07:59 | 0.016 | 0.023 | 0.013 | 0.020 | 123 | 7.1 |
| | 08:00-08:29 | 0.017 | 0.011 | 0.009 | 0.015 | 146 | 8.3 |
| | 08:30-08:59 | 0.014 | 0.004 | 0.024 | 0.021 | 149 | 9.3 |
| | 09:00-09:29 | 0.025 | 0.016 | 0.019 | 0.025 | 134 | 9.5 |
| | 09:30-09:59 | 0.014 | 0.020 | 0.019 | 0.032 | 155 | 11.6 |
| | 10:00-10:29 | 0.012 | 0.010 | 0.022 | 0.025 | 159 | 10.7 |
| | 10:30-10:59 | 0.011 | 0.018 | 0.013 | 0.020 | 165 | 9.9 |
| | 11:00-11:29 | 0.014 | 0.022 | 0.014 | 0.021 | 162 | 10.4 |
| | 11:30-11:59 | 0.023 | 0.011 | 0.017 | 0.017 | 169 | 9.0 |
| | 12:00-12:29 | 0.020 | 0.014 | 0.020 | 0.015 | 162 | 9.4 |
| | 12:30-12:59 | 0.014 | 0.008 | 0.009 | 0.021 | 173 | 8.6 |
| | 13:00-13:29 | 0.043 | 0.028 | 0.016 | 0.020 | 173 | 9.5 |
| | 13:30-13:59 | 0.018 | 0.013 | 0.025 | 0.025 | 153 | 10.4 |
| | 14:00-14:29 | 0.021 | 0.013 | 0.018 | 0.016 | 150 | 11.4 |
| | 14:30-14:59 | 0.014 | 0.006 | 0.017 | 0.020 | 156 | 10.9 |
| | 15:00-15:29 | 0.023 | 0.019 | 0.020 | 0.012 | 157 | 12.7 |
| | 15:30-15:59 | 0.017 | 0.005 | 0.018 | 0.018 | 156 | 11.4 |
| | 16:00-16:29 | 0.017 | 0.008 | 0.023 | 0.014 | 153 | 10.7 |
| | 16:30-16:59 | 0.020 | 0.010 | 0.011 | 0.021 | 139 | 10.6 |
| 17:00-17:29 | 0.021 | 0.005 | 0.020 | | 138 | 8.5 | |
| 17:30-17:59 | 0.025 | | 0.013 | | 130 | 8.8 | |

Notes:

- **BOLD** = Take Action Level Exceeded for Particulates (0.100 mg/m³)
- **Bold and Italic** = Stop Work Level Exceeded for Particulates (0.200 mg/m³)
- Pink shading indicates values below 0 mg/m³ and should be evaluated for usability as zero concentration
- Blank data records indicate no data is available for the given time interval

Daily Summary Report
Real-Time Particulate Monitoring Data
Exide Technologies - Facility Decontamination and Demolition
Frisco, Texas

| Date | Time Interval (30-min blocks) | E-BAM G4605 30-min avg (mg/m ³) | E-BAM F5001 30-min avg (mg/m ³) | E-BAM G4526 30-min avg (mg/m ³) | E-BAM G4607 30-min avg (mg/m ³) | Wind Direction (30-min avg from N) | Wind Speed (30-min avg mph) |
|---------------------------------|----------------------------------|---|---|---|---|---|-----------------------------------|
| | | Upwind | Downwind | Downwind | Downwind | | |
| 12/13/2012 | 07:00-07:29 | 0.011 | 0.014 | 0.010 | 0.016 | 147 | 11.4 |
| | 07:30-07:59 | 0.006 | 0.009 | 0.020 | 0.016 | 150 | 10.9 |
| | 08:00-08:29 | 0.019 | 0.017 | 0.012 | 0.015 | 155 | 11.8 |
| | 08:30-08:59 | 0.023 | 0.009 | 0.019 | 0.020 | 160 | 11.1 |
| | 09:00-09:29 | 0.013 | 0.022 | 0.025 | 0.018 | 162 | 11.3 |
| | 09:30-09:59 | 0.021 | 0.019 | 0.017 | 0.019 | 160 | 12.4 |
| | 10:00-10:29 | 0.019 | 0.015 | 0.020 | 0.028 | 161 | 11.2 |
| | 10:30-10:59 | 0.026 | 0.025 | 0.021 | 0.014 | 164 | 11.3 |
| | 11:00-11:29 | -0.005 | 0.018 | 0.022 | 0.029 | 157 | 11.8 |
| | 11:30-11:59 | 0.017 | 0.013 | 0.021 | 0.015 | 176 | 11.7 |
| | 12:00-12:29 | | 0.009 | 0.024 | 0.019 | 170 | 12.8 |
| | 12:30-12:59 | 0.025 | 0.012 | 0.023 | 0.023 | 169 | 11.5 |
| | 13:00-13:29 | 0.038 | 0.025 | 0.024 | 0.042 | 171 | 11.5 |
| | 13:30-13:59 | | 0.014 | 0.019 | 0.025 | 163 | 11.7 |
| | 14:00-14:29 | 0.025 | 0.015 | 0.030 | 0.018 | 163 | 11.2 |
| | 14:30-14:59 | | 0.022 | 0.029 | 0.023 | 166 | 10.5 |
| | 15:00-15:29 | 0.045 | 0.025 | 0.016 | 0.017 | 154 | 10.1 |
| | 15:30-15:59 | 0.005 | 0.013 | 0.022 | 0.029 | 142 | 10.9 |
| | 16:00-16:29 | | -0.002 | 0.077 | 0.002 | 149 | 11.8 |
| | 16:30-16:59 | | | 0.016 | | 147 | 11.8 |
| 17:00-17:29 | 0.042 | 0.004 | 0.023 | 0.015 | 150 | 10.0 | |
| 17:30-17:59 | 0.007 | 0.013 | 0.015 | 0.020 | 139 | 9.6 | |
| Daily Averages -----> | | 0.020 | 0.015 | 0.023 | 0.020 | 158 | 11.3 |

Notes:

- **BOLD** = Take Action Level Exceeded for Particulates (0.100 mg/m³)
- ***Bold and Italic*** = Stop Work Level Exceeded for Particulates (0.200 mg/m³)
- Pink shading indicates values below 0 mg/m³ and should be evaluated for usability as zero concentration
- Blank data records indicate no data is available for the given time interval
- Wind direction values are reported as the origin of the wind as referenced in degrees from North

Daily Summary Report
Real-Time Particulate Monitoring Data
Exide Technologies - Facility Decontamination and Demolition
Frisco, Texas

| Date | Time Interval (30-min blocks) | E-BAM G4605 30-min avg (mg/m ³) | E-BAM F5001 30-min avg (mg/m ³) | E-BAM G4526 30-min avg (mg/m ³) | E-BAM G4607 30-min avg (mg/m ³) | Wind Direction (30-min avg from N) | Wind Speed (30-min avg mph) |
|---------------------------------|----------------------------------|---|---|---|---|---|-----------------------------------|
| | | Upwind | Downwind | Downwind | Downwind | | |
| 12/14/2012 | 07:00-07:29 | 0.009 | 0.009 | 0.015 | 0.021 | 138 | 10.6 |
| | 07:30-07:59 | 0.015 | 0.010 | 0.011 | 0.019 | 134 | 11.4 |
| | 08:00-08:29 | 0.009 | 0.005 | 0.012 | 0.011 | 139 | 10.6 |
| | 08:30-08:59 | 0.015 | 0.010 | 0.013 | 0.017 | 135 | 11.6 |
| | 09:00-09:29 | 0.019 | 0.021 | 0.016 | 0.019 | 141 | 12.8 |
| | 09:30-09:59 | 0.016 | 0.012 | 0.022 | 0.009 | 138 | 13.1 |
| | 10:00-10:29 | 0.023 | 0.016 | 0.010 | 0.021 | 139 | 12.5 |
| | 10:30-10:59 | 0.018 | 0.014 | 0.018 | 0.016 | 138 | 13.4 |
| | 11:00-11:29 | 0.020 | 0.010 | 0.022 | 0.018 | 146 | 11.1 |
| | 11:30-11:59 | 0.017 | 0.017 | 0.010 | 0.073 | 145 | 11.2 |
| | 12:00-12:29 | 0.023 | 0.020 | 0.016 | 0.034 | 144 | 12.3 |
| | 12:30-12:59 | 0.349 | 0.043 | 0.063 | 0.045 | 139 | 11.3 |
| | 13:00-13:29 | 0.041 | 0.014 | 0.028 | 0.022 | 134 | 10.1 |
| | 13:30-13:59 | 0.032 | 0.005 | 0.012 | 0.023 | 135 | 11.6 |
| | 14:00-14:29 | 0.042 | 0.007 | 0.036 | 0.011 | 126 | 13.8 |
| | 14:30-14:59 | 0.076 | 0.019 | 0.079 | 0.069 | 123 | 15.1 |
| | 15:00-15:29 | 0.020 | 0.020 | 0.022 | 0.017 | 127 | 11.2 |
| | 15:30-15:59 | 0.012 | 0.014 | 0.010 | 0.008 | 134 | 11.7 |
| | 16:00-16:29 | 0.009 | 0.005 | 0.016 | 0.016 | 131 | 14.5 |
| | 16:30-16:59 | 0.008 | 0.020 | 0.009 | 0.006 | 130 | 15.1 |
| 17:00-17:29 | 0.008 | | 0.016 | | 129 | 16.2 | |
| 17:30-17:59 | 0.028 | | 0.018 | | 118 | 16.2 | |
| Daily Averages -----> | | 0.037 | 0.015 | 0.022 | 0.024 | 135 | 12.6 |

Notes:

- **BOLD** = Take Action Level Exceeded for Particulates (0.100 mg/m³)
- **Bold and Italic** = Stop Work Level Exceeded for Particulates (0.200 mg/m³)
- Pink shading indicates values below 0 mg/m³ and should be evaluated for usability as zero concentration
- Blank data records indicate no data is available for the given time interval
- Wind direction values are reported as the origin of the wind as referenced in degrees from North

Daily Summary Report
Real-Time Particulate Monitoring Data
Exide Technologies - Facility Decontamination and Demolition
Frisco, Texas

| Date | Time Interval (30-min blocks) | E-BAM G4605 30-min avg (mg/m ³) | E-BAM F5001 30-min avg (mg/m ³) | E-BAM G4526 30-min avg (mg/m ³) | E-BAM G4607 30-min avg (mg/m ³) | Wind Direction (30-min avg from N) | Wind Speed (30-min avg mph) |
|---------------------------------|----------------------------------|---|---|---|---|---|-----------------------------------|
| | | Upwind | Downwind | Downwind | Downwind | | |
| 12/15/2012 | 07:00-07:29 | 0.009 | 0.023 | 0.022 | 0.007 | 226 | 10.6 |
| | 07:30-07:59 | 0.013 | 0.016 | 0.015 | 0.028 | 218 | 11.4 |
| | 08:00-08:29 | 0.017 | 0.023 | 0.013 | 0.016 | 214 | 10.6 |
| | 08:30-08:59 | 0.008 | 0.008 | 0.032 | -0.001 | 211 | 11.6 |
| | 09:00-09:29 | 0.011 | 0.022 | 0.015 | 0.042 | 219 | 12.8 |
| | 09:30-09:59 | 0.020 | 0.010 | 0.019 | 0.043 | 241 | 13.1 |
| | 10:00-10:29 | 0.016 | 0.015 | 0.021 | 0.014 | 251 | 12.5 |
| | 10:30-10:59 | 0.013 | 0.013 | 0.013 | 0.013 | 260 | 13.4 |
| | 11:00-11:29 | 0.010 | 0.003 | 0.008 | 0.009 | 257 | 11.1 |
| | 11:30-11:59 | 0.005 | 0.007 | 0.013 | 0.015 | 263 | 11.2 |
| | 12:00-12:29 | 0.010 | 0.010 | 0.012 | 0.013 | 248 | 12.3 |
| | 12:30-12:59 | 0.003 | 0.006 | 0.008 | 0.013 | 246 | 11.3 |
| | 13:00-13:29 | 0.008 | 0.014 | 0.010 | 0.009 | 243 | 10.1 |
| | 13:30-13:59 | 0.004 | 0.010 | 0.011 | 0.007 | 246 | 11.6 |
| | 14:00-14:29 | 0.015 | 0.010 | 0.013 | 0.013 | 244 | 13.8 |
| | 14:30-14:59 | 0.006 | 0.006 | 0.013 | 0.008 | 247 | 15.1 |
| | 15:00-15:29 | 0.020 | 0.012 | 0.015 | 0.009 | 245 | 11.2 |
| | 15:30-15:59 | 0.004 | 0.005 | 0.008 | 0.011 | 242 | 11.7 |
| | 16:00-16:29 | 0.015 | | 0.020 | | 246 | 14.5 |
| | 16:30-16:59 | 0.014 | -0.001 | 0.007 | 0.001 | 261 | 15.1 |
| 17:00-17:29 | 0.005 | 0.012 | 0.003 | 0.010 | 248 | 16.2 | |
| 17:30-17:59 | 0.014 | 0.004 | 0.018 | 0.019 | 211 | 16.2 | |
| Daily Averages -----> | | 0.011 | 0.011 | 0.014 | 0.014 | 240 | 12.6 |

Notes:

- **BOLD** = Take Action Level Exceeded for Particulates (0.100 mg/m³)
- ***Bold and Italic*** = Stop Work Level Exceeded for Particulates (0.200 mg/m³)
- Pink shading indicates values below 0 mg/m³ and should be evaluated for usability as zero concentration
- Blank data records indicate no data is available for the given time interval
- Wind direction values are reported as the origin of the wind as referenced in degrees from North

FIELD DATA SHEETS – E-BAMS

ATTACHMENT C

FIELD DATA SHEET
E-Bam Particulate Monitoring
 Remediation Services, Inc.

RSI Project No:

21252

Exide, Frisco TX

Project Name: Facility Demolition

Technician Name

JOHNNY GELMAN

Sampling Date

12-10-12

| | |
|--------------------------------|----------|
| E-BAM SN | G4607 |
| Upwind | |
| Downwind | X |
| GPS LOCATION | |
| Latitude | 33.13565 |
| Longitude | 96.82522 |
| DATE OF LAST EBAM LEAK CHECK | 11-21-12 |
| EBAM PAIRED WITH LOW VOL PUMP? | Yes |
| START TIME: | 7:00 |
| END TIME: | 17:30 |

| | |
|--------------------------------|----------|
| E-BAM SN | G4605 |
| Upwind | |
| Downwind | X |
| GPS LOCATION | |
| Latitude | 33.14328 |
| Longitude | 96.82942 |
| DATE OF LAST EBAM LEAK CHECK | 12-4-12 |
| EBAM PAIRED WITH LOW VOL PUMP? | No |
| START TIME: | 7:00 |
| END TIME: | 17:30 |

| | |
|--------------------------------|----------|
| E-BAM SN | G4526 |
| Upwind | |
| Downwind | X |
| GPS LOCATION | |
| Latitude | 33.13572 |
| Longitude | 96.82722 |
| DATE OF LAST EBAM LEAK CHECK | 11-21-12 |
| EBAM PAIRED WITH LOW VOL PUMP? | Yes |
| START TIME: | 7:00 |
| END TIME: | 17:30 |

| | |
|--------------------------------|----------|
| E-BAM SN | F5001 |
| Upwind | |
| Downwind | X |
| GPS LOCATION | |
| Latitude | 33.13668 |
| Longitude | 96.82879 |
| DATE OF LAST EBAM LEAK CHECK | 11-21-12 |
| EBAM PAIRED WITH LOW VOL PUMP? | Yes |
| START TIME: | 7:00 |
| END TIME: | 17:30 |

FIELD DATA SHEET
E-Bam Particulate Monitoring
 Remediation Services, Inc.

RSI Project No:

21252

Exide, Frisco TX

Project Name: Facility Demolition

Technician Name

JOHNNY GILLMAN

Sampling Date

12.11.12

| | |
|---------------------------------------|----------|
| E-BAM SN | G4607 |
| Upwind | |
| Downwind | X |
| GPS LOCATION | |
| Latitude | 33.14311 |
| Longitude | 96.82589 |
| DATE OF LAST EBAM LEAK CHECK | 11.21.12 |
| EBAM PAIRED WITH LOW VOL PUMP? | No |
| START TIME: | 7:00 |
| END TIME: | 17:30 |

| | |
|---------------------------------------|----------|
| E-BAM SN | G4605 |
| Upwind | |
| Downwind | X |
| GPS LOCATION | |
| Latitude | 33.13572 |
| Longitude | 96.82722 |
| DATE OF LAST EBAM LEAK CHECK | 12.4.12 |
| EBAM PAIRED WITH LOW VOL PUMP? | No |
| START TIME: | 7:00 |
| END TIME: | 17:30 |

| | |
|---------------------------------------|----------|
| E-BAM SN | G4526 |
| Upwind | |
| Downwind | X |
| GPS LOCATION | |
| Latitude | 33.14328 |
| Longitude | 96.82942 |
| DATE OF LAST EBAM LEAK CHECK | 11.21.12 |
| EBAM PAIRED WITH LOW VOL PUMP? | No |
| START TIME: | 7:00 |
| END TIME: | 17:30 |

| | |
|---------------------------------------|----------|
| E-BAM SN | F5001 |
| Upwind | |
| Downwind | X |
| GPS LOCATION | |
| Latitude | 33.14321 |
| Longitude | 96.82783 |
| DATE OF LAST EBAM LEAK CHECK | 11.21.12 |
| EBAM PAIRED WITH LOW VOL PUMP? | No |
| START TIME: | 7:00 |
| END TIME: | 17:30 |

MODED ALL UNITS DUE TO WIND SHIFT.

4607 - 13:05 - 14:30

5001 - 13:10 - 14:10

4526 - 13:15 - 13:45

4605 - 13:50 - 14:50

FIELD DATA SHEET
E-Bam Particulate Monitoring
 Remediation Services, Inc.

RSI Project No:

21252

Exide, Frisco TX

Project Name: Facility Demolition

Technician Name

JOHNNY GILLMAN

Sampling Date

12.12.12

| | |
|---------------------------------------|----------|
| E-BAM SN | G4607 |
| Upwind | |
| Downwind | X |
| GPS LOCATION | |
| Latitude | 33.14330 |
| Longitude | 96.83065 |
| DATE OF LAST EBAM LEAK CHECK | 11-21-12 |
| EBAM PAIRED WITH LOW VOL PUMP? | Yes |
| START TIME: | 7:00 |
| END TIME: | 17:30 |

| | |
|---------------------------------------|----------|
| E-BAM SN | G46045 |
| Upwind | X |
| Downwind | |
| GPS LOCATION | |
| Latitude | 33.13572 |
| Longitude | 96.82722 |
| DATE OF LAST EBAM LEAK CHECK | 12.4.12 |
| EBAM PAIRED WITH LOW VOL PUMP? | No |
| START TIME: | 7:00 |
| END TIME: | 17:30 |

| | |
|---------------------------------------|----------|
| E-BAM SN | G4526 |
| Upwind | |
| Downwind | X |
| GPS LOCATION | |
| Latitude | 33.14328 |
| Longitude | 96.82942 |
| DATE OF LAST EBAM LEAK CHECK | 11-21-12 |
| EBAM PAIRED WITH LOW VOL PUMP? | Yes |
| START TIME: | 7:00 |
| END TIME: | 17:30 |

| | |
|---------------------------------------|----------|
| E-BAM SN | F5001 |
| Upwind | |
| Downwind | X |
| GPS LOCATION | |
| Latitude | 33.14321 |
| Longitude | 96.82783 |
| DATE OF LAST EBAM LEAK CHECK | 11-21-12 |
| EBAM PAIRED WITH LOW VOL PUMP? | Yes |
| START TIME: | 7:00 |
| END TIME: | 17:30 |

MOVED G4607 DUE TO WIND DIRECTION SHIFT.

FIELD DATA SHEET
E-Bam Particulate Monitoring
 Remediation Services, Inc.

RSI Project No:

21252

Exide, Frisco TX

Project Name: Facility Demolition

Technician Name

JOHANN GILLMAN

Sampling Date

12.13.12

| | |
|---------------------------------------|----------|
| E-BAM SN | G4607 |
| Upwind | |
| Downwind | X |
| GPS LOCATION | |
| Latitude | 33.14330 |
| Longitude | 96.83065 |
| DATE OF LAST EBAM LEAK CHECK | 11.21.12 |
| EBAM PAIRED WITH LOW VOL PUMP? | No |
| START TIME: | 7:00 |
| END TIME: | 17:30 |

| | |
|---------------------------------------|----------|
| E-BAM SN | G4605 |
| Upwind | |
| Downwind | X |
| GPS LOCATION | |
| Latitude | 33.13572 |
| Longitude | 96.82722 |
| DATE OF LAST EBAM LEAK CHECK | 12.4.12 |
| EBAM PAIRED WITH LOW VOL PUMP? | No |
| START TIME: | 7:00 |
| END TIME: | 17:30 |

| | |
|---------------------------------------|----------|
| E-BAM SN | G4526 |
| Upwind | |
| Downwind | X |
| GPS LOCATION | |
| Latitude | 33.14328 |
| Longitude | 96.82942 |
| DATE OF LAST EBAM LEAK CHECK | 11.21.12 |
| EBAM PAIRED WITH LOW VOL PUMP? | No |
| START TIME: | 7:00 |
| END TIME: | 17:30 |

| | |
|---------------------------------------|----------|
| E-BAM SN | F5001 |
| Upwind | |
| Downwind | X |
| GPS LOCATION | |
| Latitude | 33.14321 |
| Longitude | 96.82783 |
| DATE OF LAST EBAM LEAK CHECK | 11.21.12 |
| EBAM PAIRED WITH LOW VOL PUMP? | No |
| START TIME: | 7:00 |
| END TIME: | 17:30 |

FIELD DATA SHEET
E-Bam Particulate Monitoring
 Remediation Services, Inc.

RSI Project No: 21252

Exide, Frisco TX

Project Name: Facility Demolition

Technician Name Jonathan Greenman

Sampling Date 12.14.12

| | |
|--------------------------------|----------|
| E-BAM SN | G4607 |
| Upwind | |
| Downwind | X |
| GPS LOCATION | |
| Latitude | 33.14330 |
| Longitude | 96.83065 |
| DATE OF LAST EBAM LEAK CHECK | 11.21.12 |
| EBAM PAIRED WITH LOW VOL PUMP? | Yes |
| START TIME: | 7:00 |
| END TIME: | 17:30 |

| | |
|--------------------------------|----------|
| E-BAM SN | G4605 |
| Upwind | |
| Downwind | X |
| GPS LOCATION | |
| Latitude | 33.13572 |
| Longitude | 96.82722 |
| DATE OF LAST EBAM LEAK CHECK | 12.4.12 |
| EBAM PAIRED WITH LOW VOL PUMP? | No |
| START TIME: | 7:00 |
| END TIME: | 17:30 |

| | |
|--------------------------------|----------|
| E-BAM SN | G4526 |
| Upwind | |
| Downwind | X |
| GPS LOCATION | |
| Latitude | 33.14328 |
| Longitude | 96.82942 |
| DATE OF LAST EBAM LEAK CHECK | 11.21.12 |
| EBAM PAIRED WITH LOW VOL PUMP? | Yes |
| START TIME: | 7:00 |
| END TIME: | 17:30 |

| | |
|--------------------------------|----------|
| E-BAM SN | F5001 |
| Upwind | |
| Downwind | X |
| GPS LOCATION | |
| Latitude | 33.14321 |
| Longitude | 96.82783 |
| DATE OF LAST EBAM LEAK CHECK | 11.21.12 |
| EBAM PAIRED WITH LOW VOL PUMP? | Yes |
| START TIME: | 7:00 |
| END TIME: | 17:30 |

FIELD DATA SHEET
E-Bam Particulate Monitoring
 Remediation Services, Inc.

RSI Project No:

21252

Exide, Frisco TX

Project Name: Facility Demolition

Technician Name

JOHANNY GILLMAN

Sampling Date

12.15.12

| | |
|---------------------------------------|----------|
| E-BAM SN | G4607 |
| Upwind | |
| Downwind | X |
| GPS LOCATION | |
| Latitude | 33.14311 |
| Longitude | 96.82589 |
| DATE OF LAST EBAM LEAK CHECK | 11.21.12 |
| EBAM PAIRED WITH LOW VOL PUMP? | No |
| START TIME: | 7:00 |
| END TIME: | 18:00 |

| | |
|---------------------------------------|----------|
| E-BAM SN | G4605 |
| Upwind | |
| Downwind | X |
| GPS LOCATION | |
| Latitude | 33.13572 |
| Longitude | 96.82722 |
| DATE OF LAST EBAM LEAK CHECK | 12.4.12 |
| EBAM PAIRED WITH LOW VOL PUMP? | No |
| START TIME: | 7:00 |
| END TIME: | 18:00 |

| | |
|---------------------------------------|----------|
| E-BAM SN | G4526 |
| Upwind | |
| Downwind | X |
| GPS LOCATION | |
| Latitude | 33.14328 |
| Longitude | 96.82942 |
| DATE OF LAST EBAM LEAK CHECK | 11.21.12 |
| EBAM PAIRED WITH LOW VOL PUMP? | No |
| START TIME: | 7:00 |
| END TIME: | 18:00 |

| | |
|---------------------------------------|----------------------|
| E-BAM SN | F5001 |
| Upwind | |
| Downwind | X |
| GPS LOCATION | |
| Latitude | 33.14521 |
| Longitude | 96.82783 |
| DATE OF LAST EBAM LEAK CHECK | 11.21.12 |
| EBAM PAIRED WITH LOW VOL PUMP? | No |
| START TIME: | 7:00 7:00 |
| END TIME: | 18:00 |

Moved 4607 from NW to NE due to wind direction shift. Down @ 7:08 up @ 7:22.

**FIELD DATA SHEETS –
LOW VOLUME SAMPLERS**

ATTACHMENT D

FIELD DATA SHEET
Low Volume Air Monitoring

Company: RSI
Project: Exide, Frisco TX
 Project Number: 21252
 Project Name (Demo, Landfill Stab, etc): Demolition
 Technician Name: JOHNNY GILLMAN

Formulas
 Average Flow (L/min) = (Start + Stop) / 2
 Sample Volume(Liters) = Avg Flow (L/min) X Duration (min)
 Analysis: NIOSH 7303 Lead/Cadmium
 Date Samples Collected: 12.10.12

| | |
|--------------------------|-------------------|
| Pump No. 3013 | 1 |
| Upwind | |
| Downwind | X |
| Sample ID # | EXDEMD121210DW607 |
| E-Bam Number | 64607 |
| Flow Rate: Start (L/min) | 3.23L |
| Flow Rate: Stop (L/min) | 3.39L |
| Avg Flow (L/min) | 3.31L |
| Start time | 7:00 |
| End Time | 17:02 |
| Duration in minutes | 602 |
| Sample Volume (Liters) | 1993L |

| | |
|--------------------------|-------------------|
| Pump No. 3014 | 2 |
| Upwind | |
| Downwind | X |
| Sample ID # | EXDEMD121210DW526 |
| E-Bam Number | 64526 |
| Flow Rate: Start (L/min) | 3.34L |
| Flow Rate: Stop (L/min) | 3.48L |
| Avg Flow (L/min) | 3.41L |
| Start time | 7:04 |
| End Time | 17:07 |
| Duration in minutes | 603 |
| Sample Volume (Liters) | 2056L |

| | |
|--------------------------|-------------------|
| Pump No. 3015 | 3 |
| Upwind | |
| Downwind | X |
| Sample ID # | EXDEMD121210DW001 |
| E-Bam Number | F5001 |
| Flow Rate: Start (L/min) | 3.22L |
| Flow Rate: Stop (L/min) | 3.40L |
| Avg Flow (L/min) | 3.31L |
| Start time | 7:07 |
| End Time | 17:11 |
| Duration in minutes | 604 |
| Sample Volume (Liters) | 1999L |

| | |
|--------------------------|-------------------|
| Pump No. 3020 | 4 |
| Upwind | |
| Downwind | X |
| Sample ID # | EXDEMD121210DW605 |
| E-Bam Number | 64605 |
| Flow Rate: Start (L/min) | 3.08L |
| Flow Rate: Stop (L/min) | 3.28L |
| Avg Flow (L/min) | 3.18L |
| Start time | 7:15 |
| End Time | 17:21 |
| Duration in minutes | 606 |
| Sample Volume (Liters) | 1927 |

Field Blank (if collected) 1 - Per Week Required

| | |
|-------------|----|
| Upwind | NA |
| Downwind | NA |
| Flow Rate | 0 |
| Sample ID # | |

FIELD DATA SHEET

Low Volume Air Monitoring

Company:

RSI

Formulas

Project:

Exide, Frisco TX

Average Flow (L/min) = (Start + Stop) / 2

Project Number

21252

Sample Volume(Liters) = Avg Flow (L/min) X Duration (min)

Project Name (Demo,
Landfill Stab, etc)

Demolition

Analysis

NIOSH 7303 Lead/Cadmium

Technician Name:

JOHNNY GILLMAN

Date Samples Collected: 12.12.12

| | |
|--------------------------|--------------------|
| Pump No. 3013 | 1 |
| Upwind | |
| Downwind | X |
| Sample ID # | EXDEMO121212 DW526 |
| E-Bam Number | 64526 |
| Flow Rate: Start (L/min) | 3.27L |
| Flow Rate: Stop (L/min) | 3.45L |
| Avg Flow (L/min) | 3.36L |
| Start time | 7:02 |
| End Time | 17:04 |
| Duration in minutes | 602 |
| Sample Volume (Liters) | 2023L |

| | |
|--------------------------|---------------------|
| Pump No. 3014 | 2 |
| Upwind | |
| Downwind | X |
| Sample ID # | EXDEMO121212 DW 001 |
| E-Bam Number | F5001 |
| Flow Rate: Start (L/min) | 3.34L |
| Flow Rate: Stop (L/min) | 3.43L |
| Avg Flow (L/min) | 3.39L |
| Start time | 7:06 |
| End Time | 17:07 |
| Duration in minutes | 601 |
| Sample Volume (Liters) | 2037L |

| | |
|--------------------------|---------------------|
| Pump No. 3015 | 3 |
| Upwind | |
| Downwind | X |
| Sample ID # | EXDEMO121212 DW 607 |
| E-Bam Number | 64607 |
| Flow Rate: Start (L/min) | 3.20L |
| Flow Rate: Stop (L/min) | 3.36L |
| Avg Flow (L/min) | 3.28L |
| Start time | 7:10 |
| End Time | 17:12 |
| Duration in minutes | 60 |
| Sample Volume (Liters) | 1975L |

| | |
|--------------------------|---|
| Pump No. | 4 |
| Upwind | |
| Downwind | |
| Sample ID # | |
| E-Bam Number | |
| Flow Rate: Start (L/min) | |
| Flow Rate: Stop (L/min) | |
| Avg Flow (L/min) | |
| Start time | |
| End Time | |
| Duration in minutes | |
| Sample Volume (Liters) | |

Field Blank (if collected) 1 - Per Week Required

| | |
|-------------|----|
| Upwind | NA |
| Downwind | NA |
| Flow Rate | 0 |
| Sample ID # | |

FIELD DATA SHEET

Low Volume Air Monitoring

Company: RSI
Project: Exide, Frisco TX
 Project Number: 21252
 Project Name (Demo, Landfill Stab, etc): Demolition
 Technician Name: JOHNNY GELMAN

Formulas
 Average Flow (L/min) = (Start + Stop) / 2
 Sample Volume(Liters) = Avg Flow (L/min) X Duration (min)
Analysis: NIOSH 7303 Lead/Cadmium
Date Samples Collected: 12.14.12

| | |
|--------------------------|-----------------------|
| Pump No. 3013 | 1 |
| Upwind | |
| Downwind | X |
| Sample ID # | EX DEMO 121214 DW 001 |
| E-Bam Number | F5001 |
| Flow Rate: Start (L/min) | 3.342 |
| Flow Rate: Stop (L/min) | 3.36 L |
| Avg Flow (L/min) | 3.35 L |
| Start time | 7:05 |
| End Time | 17:06 |
| Duration in minutes | 601 |
| Sample Volume (Liters) | 2013 |

| | |
|--------------------------|-----------------------|
| Pump No. 3014 | 2 |
| Upwind | |
| Downwind | X |
| Sample ID # | EX DEMO 121214 PW 607 |
| E-Bam Number | G4607 |
| Flow Rate: Start (L/min) | 3.38 L |
| Flow Rate: Stop (L/min) | 3.44 L |
| Avg Flow (L/min) | 3.41 L |
| Start time | 7:17 |
| End Time | 17:20 |
| Duration in minutes | 603 |
| Sample Volume (Liters) | 2056 |

| | |
|--------------------------|-----------------------|
| Pump No. 3015 | 3 |
| Upwind | |
| Downwind | X |
| Sample ID # | EX DEMO 121214 DW 526 |
| E-Bam Number | G4526 |
| Flow Rate: Start (L/min) | 3.27 L |
| Flow Rate: Stop (L/min) | 3.37 L |
| Avg Flow (L/min) | 3.32 L |
| Start time | 7:14 |
| End Time | 17:16 |
| Duration in minutes | 602 |
| Sample Volume (Liters) | 1999 |

| | |
|---------------------------------|-------------------|
| Pump No. 3016 | 4 |
| Upwind | |
| Downwind | |
| Sample ID # | |
| E-Bam Number | |
| Flow Rate: Start (L/min) | 3.34 L |
| Flow Rate: Stop (L/min) | |
| Avg Flow (L/min) | |
| Start time | |
| End Time | |
| Duration in minutes | |
| Sample Volume (Liters) | |

Field Blank (if collected) 1 - Per Week Required

| | |
|-------------|----|
| Upwind | NA |
| Downwind | NA |
| Flow Rate | 0 |
| Sample ID # | |

**ANALYTICAL DATA REPORTS –
METALS ANALYSIS**

ATTACHMENT E



ANALYTICAL REPORT

Report Date: December 12, 2012

Grant Sherwood
Remediation Services, Inc.
P.O. Box 587
2735 South 10th Street
Independence, KS 67301

Phone: (620) 331-1200
Fax: (620) 331-6216
E-mail: gsherwood@rsi-ks.com

Workorder: **34-1234606**
Client Project ID: 21252/Exide Frisco 121112
Purchase Order: 21252
Project Manager: Paul Pope

Analytical Results

| Sample ID: EX DEMO 121210 DW 607 | | Media: MCE Filter | Collected: 12/10/2012 | | |
|---|-----------|---------------------------------------|-----------------------|----------------|--|
| Lab ID: 1234606001 | | Sampling Location: Exide Frisco | Received: 12/11/2012 | | |
| Method: NIOSH 7300 Mod. | | Sampling Parameter: Air Volume 1993 L | Prepared: 12/11/2012 | | |
| | | | Analyzed: 12/11/2012 | | |
| Analyte | ug/sample | ug/m ³ | LOD (ug/sample) | RL (ug/sample) | |
| Cadmium | <0.023 | <0.011 | 0.023 | 0.075 | |
| Lead | <0.45 | <0.23 | 0.45 | 1.5 | |

| Sample ID: EX DEMO 121210 DW 526 | | Media: MCE Filter | Collected: 12/10/2012 | | |
|---|-----------|---------------------------------------|-----------------------|----------------|--|
| Lab ID: 1234606002 | | Sampling Location: Exide Frisco | Received: 12/11/2012 | | |
| Method: NIOSH 7300 Mod. | | Sampling Parameter: Air Volume 2056 L | Prepared: 12/11/2012 | | |
| | | | Analyzed: 12/11/2012 | | |
| Analyte | ug/sample | ug/m ³ | LOD (ug/sample) | RL (ug/sample) | |
| Cadmium | <0.023 | <0.011 | 0.023 | 0.075 | |
| Lead | <0.45 | <0.22 | 0.45 | 1.5 | |

| Sample ID: EX DEMO 121210 DW 001 | | Media: MCE Filter | Collected: 12/10/2012 | | |
|---|-----------|---------------------------------------|-----------------------|----------------|--|
| Lab ID: 1234606003 | | Sampling Location: Exide Frisco | Received: 12/11/2012 | | |
| Method: NIOSH 7300 Mod. | | Sampling Parameter: Air Volume 1999 L | Prepared: 12/11/2012 | | |
| | | | Analyzed: 12/11/2012 | | |
| Analyte | ug/sample | ug/m ³ | LOD (ug/sample) | RL (ug/sample) | |
| Cadmium | <0.023 | <0.011 | 0.023 | 0.075 | |
| Lead | <0.45 | <0.23 | 0.45 | 1.5 | |

ADDRESS 960 West LeVoy Drive, Salt Lake City, Utah, USA 84123 | PHONE +1 801 266 7700 | FAX +1 801 268 9992
ALS GROUP USA, CORP. Part of the ALS Laboratory Group A Campbell Brothers Limited Company

Environmental

www.alsglobal.com

RIGHT SOLUTIONS RIGHT PARTNER



ANALYTICAL REPORT

Workorder: **34-1234606**
 Client Project ID: 21252/Exide Frisco 121112
 Purchase Order: 21252
 Project Manager: Paul Pope

Analytical Results

| Sample ID: EX DEMO 121210 UW 605 | | Media: MCE Filter | Collected: 12/10/2012 | |
|---|-----------|---------------------------------------|-----------------------|----------------|
| Lab ID: 1234606004 | | Sampling Location: Exide Frisco | Received: 12/11/2012 | |
| Method: NIOSH 7300 Mod. | | Sampling Parameter: Air Volume 1927 L | Prepared: 12/11/2012 | |
| | | | Analyzed: 12/11/2012 | |
| Analyte | ug/sample | ug/m ³ | LOD (ug/sample) | RL (ug/sample) |
| Cadmium | <0.023 | <0.012 | 0.023 | 0.075 |
| Lead | <0.45 | <0.23 | 0.45 | 1.5 |

Comments

Quality Control: NIOSH 7300 Mod. - (HBN: 99063)

The MCE LMB 313284 was above the reporting limit for magnesium equivalent to 2.16 µg/sample so the LCS 313285 and LCSD 313286 results have been media blank corrected for magnesium with LMB 313284.

Report Authorization

| Method | Analyst | Peer Review |
|-----------------|----------------|----------------|
| NIOSH 7300 Mod. | Penny A. Foote | Peter P. Steen |

Laboratory Contact Information

ALS Environmental
 960 W Levoy Drive
 Salt Lake City, Utah 84123

Phone: (801) 266-7700
 Email: als@alst.com
 Web: www.alst.com



ANALYTICAL REPORT

Workorder: **34-1234606**

Client Project ID: 21252/Exide Frisco 121112

Purchase Order: 21252

Project Manager: Paul Pope

General Lab Comments

The results provided in this report relate only to the items tested.
Samples were received in acceptable condition unless otherwise noted.
Samples have not been blank corrected unless otherwise noted.
This test report shall not be reproduced, except in full, without written approval of ALS.

ALS provides professional analytical services for all samples submitted. ALS is not in a position to interpret the data and assumes no responsibility for the quality of the samples submitted.

All quality control samples processed with the samples in this report yielded acceptable results unless otherwise noted.

ALS is accredited for specific fields of testing (scopes) in the following testing sectors. The quality system implemented at ALS conforms to accreditation requirements and is applied to all analytical testing performed by ALS. The following table lists testing sector, accreditation body, accreditation number and website. Please contact these accrediting bodies or your ALS project manager for the current scope of accreditation that applies to your analytical testing.

| Testing Sector | Accreditation Body (Standard) | Certificate Number | Website |
|------------------------|--|--------------------|---|
| Environmental | ACCLASS (DoD ELAP) | ADE-1420 | http://www.aiclasscorp.com |
| | Utah (NELAC) | DATA1 | http://health.utah.gov/lab/labimp/ |
| | Nevada | UT00009 | http://ndep.nv.gov/bsdwlabservice.htm |
| | Oklahoma | UT00009 | http://www.deq.state.ok.us/CSDnew/ |
| | Iowa | IA# 376 | http://www.iowadnr.gov/InsideDNR/RegulatoryWater.aspx |
| | Florida (TNI) | E871067 | http://www.dep.state.fl.us/labs/bars/sas/qa/ |
| | Texas (TNI) | T104704456-11-1 | http://www.tceq.texas.gov/field/qa/lab_accred_certif.html |
| Industrial Hygiene | AIHA (ISO 17025 & AIHA IHLAP/ELLAP) | 101574 | http://www.aihaaccreditedlabs.org |
| Lead Testing: | | | |
| CPSC | ACCLASS (ISO 17025, CPSC) | ADE-1420 | http://www.aiclasscorp.com |
| Soil, Dust, Paint ,Air | AIHA (ISO 17025, AIHA ELLAP and NLLAP) | 101574 | http://www.aihaaccreditedlabs.org |
| Dietary Supplements | ACCLASS (ISO 17025) | ADE-1420 | http://www.aiclasscorp.com |

Definitions

LOD = Limit of Detection = MDL = Method Detection Limit, A statistical estimate of method/media/instrument sensitivity.

LOQ = Limit of Quantitation = RL = Reporting Limit, A verified value of method/media/instrument sensitivity.

ND = Not Detected, Testing result not detected above the LOD or LOQ.

** No result could be reported, see sample comments for details.

< This testing result is less than the numerical value.

() This testing result is between the LOD and LOQ and has higher analytical uncertainty than values at or above the LOQ.



Quality Control Sample Batch Report

Analysis Information

Workorder: 1234606

Limits: Historical/Performance
Basis: ALS Laboratory Group

Preparation: IH Metals, MCE Prep
Batch: IIPX/11551 (HBN: 98951)
Prepared By: Whitney Redd

Analysis: IH Metals QC
Batch: IICP/7680 (HBN: 99063)
Analyzed By: Penny A. Foote

Blank

| Blank: 313283 Analyzed: 12/11/2012 15:39 Units: ug/sample | | | |
|--|--------|--------|-------|
| Analyte | Result | MDL | RL |
| Cadmium | ND | 0.0225 | 0.075 |
| Lead | ND | 0.453 | 1.51 |

| LMB: 313284 Analyzed: 12/11/2012 15:42 Units: ug/sample | | | |
|--|--------|--------|-------|
| Analyte | Result | MDL | RL |
| Cadmium | ND | 0.0225 | 0.075 |
| Lead | ND | 0.453 | 1.51 |

Laboratory Control Sample - Laboratory Control Sample Duplicate

| LCS: 313285 Analyzed: 12/11/2012 15:46 Units: ug/sample | | | | | LCSD: 313286 Analyzed: 12/11/2012 15:49 | | | | |
|--|--------|--------|------------|--------------|--|------|-----------|--|--|
| Analyte | Result | Target | % Recovery | QC Limits | Result | RPD | QC Limits | | |
| Cadmium | 10.6 | 10 | 106 | 89.8 112.5 | 10.7 | 1.32 | 0 15 | | |
| Lead | 101 | 100 | 101 | 88 115 | 103 | 1.89 | 0 15 | | |

Comments

The MCE LMB 313284 was above the reporting limit for magnesium equivalent to 2.16 µg/sample so the LCS 313285 and LCSD 313286 results have been media blank corrected for magnesium with LMB 313284.

QC Data Approved and Reviewed by

| | | |
|---|---|----------------------------------|
| <u>Penny A. Foote</u> Analyst | <u>Peter P. Steen</u> Peer Review | <u>12/12/2012</u> Date |
|---|---|----------------------------------|

Symbols and Definitions

- * - Analyte above reporting limit or outside of control limits
 - ▲ - Sample result is greater than 4 times the spike added
 - - Sample and Matrix Duplicate less than 5 times the reporting limit
- RPD - Relative % Difference (Spike / Spike Duplicate)
 ND - Not Detected
 QC results are not adjusted for moisture correction, where applicable

| Laboratory Review Checklist: Reportable Data | | | | | | | |
|---|----------------|--|-----|--------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|------------------|
| Laboratory Name: ALS Environmental Laboratory | | | | LRC Date: 12/28/12 | | | |
| Project Name: Exide, Frisco | | | | Laboratory Job Number: 1234606 | | | |
| Reviewer Name: Paul Pope | | | | Prep Batch Number(s): | | | |
| # ¹ | A ² | Description | Yes | No | NA ³ | NR ⁴ | ER# ⁵ |
| R1 | OI | Chain-of-custody (C-O-C) | | | | | |
| | | Did samples meet the laboratory's standard conditions of sample acceptability upon receipt? | X | | | | |
| | | Were all departures from standard conditions described in an exception report? | | | X | | |
| R2 | OI | Sample and quality control (QC) identification | | | | | |
| | | Are all field sample ID numbers cross-referenced to the laboratory ID numbers? | X | | | | |
| | | Are all laboratory ID numbers cross-referenced to the corresponding QC data? | X | | | | |
| R3 | OI | Test reports | | | | | |
| | | Were all samples prepared and analyzed within holding times? | X | | | | |
| | | Other than those results < MQL, were all other raw values bracketed by calibration standards? | X | | | | |
| | | Were calculations checked by a peer or supervisor? | X | | | | |
| | | Were all analyte identifications checked by a peer or supervisor? | X | | | | |
| | | Were sample detection limits reported for all analytes not detected? | X | | | | |
| | | Were all results for soil and sediment samples reported on a dry weight basis? | | | X | | |
| | | Were % moisture (or solids) reported for all soil and sediment samples? | | | X | | |
| | | Were bulk soils/solids samples for volatile analysis extracted with methanol per SW-846 Method 5035? | | | X | | |
| | | If required for the project, TICs reported? | | | X | | |
| R4 | O | Surrogate recovery data | | | | | |
| | | Were surrogates added prior to extraction? | | | X | | |
| | | Were surrogate percent recoveries in all samples within the laboratory QC limits? | | | X | | |
| R5 | OI | Test reports/summary forms for blank samples | | | | | |
| | | Were appropriate type(s) of blanks analyzed? | X | | | | |
| | | Were blanks analyzed at the appropriate frequency? | X | | | | |
| | | Were method blanks taken through the entire analytical process, including preparation and, if applicable, cleanup procedures? | X | | | | |
| | | Were blank concentrations < MQL? | X | | | | |
| R6 | OI | Laboratory control samples (LCS): | | | | | |
| | | Were all COCs included in the LCS? | X | | | | |
| | | Was each LCS taken through the entire analytical procedure, including prep and cleanup steps? | X | | | | |
| | | Were LCSs analyzed at the required frequency? | X | | | | |
| | | Were LCS (and LCSD, if applicable) %Rs within the laboratory QC limits? | X | | | | |
| | | Does the detectability data document the laboratory's capability to detect the COCs at the MDL used to calculate the SQLs? | X | | | | |
| | | Was the LCSD RPD within QC limits? | X | | | | |
| R7 | OI | Matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) data | | | | | |
| | | Were the project/method specified analytes included in the MS and MSD? | | | X | | |
| | | Were MS/MSD analyzed at the appropriate frequency? | | | X | | |
| | | Were MS (and MSD, if applicable) %Rs within the laboratory QC limits? | | | X | | |
| | | Were MS/MSD RPDs within laboratory QC limits? | | | X | | |
| R8 | OI | Analytical duplicate data | | | | | |
| | | Were appropriate analytical duplicates analyzed for each matrix? | | | X | | |
| | | Were analytical duplicates analyzed at the appropriate frequency? | | | X | | |
| | | Were RPDs or relative standard deviations within the laboratory QC limits? | | | X | | |
| R9 | OI | Method quantitation limits (MQLs): | | | | | |
| | | Are the MQLs for each method analyte included in the laboratory data package? | X | | | | |
| | | Do the MQLs correspond to the concentration of the lowest non-zero calibration standard? | X | | | | |
| | | Are unadjusted MQLs and DCSs included in the laboratory data package? | | X | | | |
| R10 | OI | Other problems/anomalies | | | | | |
| | | Are all known problems/anomalies/special conditions noted in this LRC and ER? | | | X | | |
| | | Were all necessary corrective actions performed for the reported data? | | | X | | |
| | | Was applicable and available technology used to lower the SDL minimize the matrix interference affects on the sample results? | X | | | | |
| | | Is the laboratory NELAC-accredited under the Texas Laboratory Program for the analytes, matrices and methods associated with this laboratory data package? | | | X | | |

| Laboratory Review Checklist: Reportable Data | | | | | | | |
|---|----------------|--|---------------------------|----|-----------------|-----------------|------------------|
| Laboratory Name: ALS Environmental Laboratory | | | Laboratory Name: 12/28/12 | | | | |
| Project Name: Exide, Frisco | | | Project Name: 1234606 | | | | |
| Reviewer Name: Paul Pope | | | Reviewer Name: Paul Pope | | | | |
| # ¹ | A ² | Description | Yes | No | NA ³ | NR ⁴ | ER# ⁵ |
| S1 | OI | Initial calibration (ICAL) | | | | | |
| | | Were response factors and/or relative response factors for each analyte within QC limits? | | | X | | |
| | | Were percent RSDs or correlation coefficient criteria met? | X | | | | |
| | | Was the number of standards recommended in the method used for all analytes? | X | | | | |
| | | Were all points generated between the lowest and highest standard used to calculate the curve? | X | | | | |
| | | Are ICAL data available for all instruments used? | X | | | | |
| | | Has the initial calibration curve been verified using an appropriate second source standard? | X | | | | |
| S2 | OI | Initial and continuing calibration verification (ICCV and CCV) and continuing calibration blank (CCB) | | | | | |
| | | Was the CCV analyzed at the method-required frequency? | X | | | | |
| | | Were percent differences for each analyte within the method-required QC limits? | X | | | | |
| | | Was the ICAL curve verified for each analyte? | X | | | | |
| | | Was the absolute value of the analyte concentration in the inorganic CCB < MDL? | X | | | | |
| S3 | O | Mass spectral tuning: | | | | | |
| | | Was the appropriate compound for the method used for tuning? | | | X | | |
| | | Were ion abundance data within the method-required QC limits? | | | X | | |
| S4 | O | Internal standards (IS): | | | | | |
| | | Were IS area counts and retention times within the method-required QC limits? | | | X | | |
| S5 | OI | Raw data (NELAC section 1 appendix A glossary, and section 5.12 or ISO/IEC 17025 section | | | | | |
| | | Were the raw data (for example, chromatograms, spectral data) reviewed by an analyst? | X | | | | |
| | | Were data associated with manual integrations flagged on the raw data? | | | X | | |
| S6 | O | Dual column confirmation | | | | | |
| | | Did dual column confirmation results meet the method-required QC? | | | X | | |
| S7 | O | Tentatively identified compounds (TICs): | | | | | |
| | | If TICs were requested, were the mass spectra and TIC data subject to appropriate checks? | | | X | | |
| S8 | I | Interference Check Sample (ICS) results: | | | | | |
| | | Were percent recoveries within method QC limits? | X | | | | |
| S9 | I | Serial dilutions, post digestion spikes, and method of standard additions | | | | | |
| | | Were percent differences, recoveries, and the linearity within the QC limits specified in the method? | | | X | | |
| S10 | OI | Method detection limit (MDL) studies | | | | | |
| | | Was a MDL study performed for each reported analyte? | X | | | | |
| | | Is the MDL either adjusted or supported by the analysis of DCSs? | X | | | | |
| S11 | OI | Proficiency test reports: | | | | | |
| | | Was the laboratory's performance acceptable on the applicable proficiency tests or evaluation studies? | X | | | | |
| S12 | OI | Standards documentation | | | | | |
| | | Are all standards used in the analyses NIST-traceable or obtained from other appropriate sources? | X | | | | |
| S13 | OI | Compound/analyte identification procedures | | | | | |
| | | Are the procedures for compound/analyte identification documented? | X | | | | |
| S14 | OI | Demonstration of analyst competency (DOC) | | | | | |
| | | Was DOC conducted consistent with NELAC Chapter 5C or ISO/IEC 4? | X | | | | |
| | | Is documentation of the analyst's competency up-to-date and on file? | X | | | | |
| S15 | OI | Verification/validation documentation for methods (NELAC Chap 5 or ISO/IEC 17025 Section 5) | | | | | |
| | | Are all the methods used to generate the data documented, verified, and validated, where applicable? | X | | | | |
| S16 | OI | Laboratory standard operating procedures (SOPs): | | | | | |
| | | Are laboratory SOPs current and on file for each method performed? | X | | | | |
| <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Items identified by the letter "R" must be included in the laboratory data package submitted in the TRRP-required report(s). Items identified by the letter "S" should be retained and made available upon request for the appropriate retention period. O = Organic Analyses; I = Inorganic Analyses (and general chemistry, when applicable); NA = Not Applicable; NR = Not Reviewed; R# = Exception Report identification number (an Exception Report should be completed for an item if "NR" or "No" is checked). | | | | | | | |

Laboratory Review Checklist: Reportable Data

| | | |
|---|--------------------|--------------------------------|
| Laboratory Name: ALS Environmental Laboratory | | LRC Date: 12/28/12 |
| Project Name: Exide, Frisco | | Laboratory Job Number: 1234606 |
| Reviewer Name: Paul Pope | | Prep Batch Number(s): |
| ER#⁵ | Description | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |



1234606

Chain of Custody

1234606



1. REGULAR Status

RUSH Status Requested - ADDITIONAL CHARGE
RESULTS REQUIRED BY 12.12.12
DATE

CONTACT ALS SALT LAKE PRIOR TO SENDING SAMPLES

2. Date 12.10.12 Purchase Order No. 21252

4. Quote No. _____

3. Company Name Remediation Services, Inc.

ALS Project Manager Paul Pope

Address PO Box 587

5. Sample Collection

Independence, KS 67301

Sampling Site: Exide Frisco

Person to Contact: Grant Sherwood

Industrial Process: Decontamination and Demo

Telephone (620) 331-1200

Date of Collection 12.10.12

Fax Telephone (620) 331-6216

Time Collected 7:00 - 17:00

E-mail Address gsherwood@rsi-ks.com

Date of Shipment 12.10.12

Billing Address (if different from above) _____

Send Results to: gsherwood@rsi-ks.com, jrgillman@rsi-ks.com, vanessa.coleman@na.exide.com, droth@rsi-ks.com

Send Invoice to : strotter@rsi-ks.com

7. REQUEST FOR ANALYSES

| Laboratory Use Only | Client Sample Number | Matrix* | Sample Volume | ANALYSES REQUESTED - Use method number if known | Units** |
|---------------------|--------------------------|-----------|---------------|---|-------------------|
| | EX DEMO 121210 DW 607 | 37 um MCE | 1993L | NIOSH 7303 - Lead and Cadmium | ug/m ³ |
| | EX DEMO 121210 DW 526 | 37 um MCE | 2056L | NIOSH 7303 - Lead and Cadmium | ug/m ³ |
| | EX DEMO 121210 DW 001 | 37 um MCE | 1999L | NIOSH 7303 - Lead and Cadmium | ug/m ³ |
| | EX DEMO 121210 UW 605 | 37 um MCE | 1927L | NIOSH 7303 - Lead and Cadmium | ug/m ³ |
| | | 37 um MCE | | NIOSH 7303 - Lead and Cadmium | ug/m ³ |
| | | 37 um MCE | | NIOSH 7303 - Lead and Cadmium | ug/m ³ |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |

- EX-DEMO = Project (Exide-Demolition)
- YYMMDD = Sampling date (e.g., 11/01/2012 = 121101)
- LOC = Sample Location (e.g. UW = Upwind, DW = Downwind)
- XXX = E-BAM Monitor Sample Association - Last 3 digits of Serial Number,
- QQ = Optional QA sample flag (TB = trip blank, FB = field blank, SC = duplicate)

Comments _____

Possible Contamination and/or Chemical Hazards: Lead and cadmium

7. Chain of Custody (Optional)

Relinquished by JOHNNY GILLMAN Date/Time 12.10.12 19:00

Received by Mered Green Date/Time 12/11/12/1605

Relinquished by _____ Date/Time _____

Received by _____ Date/Time _____

960 West LeVoy Drive / Salt Lake City, UT 84123

800-356-9135 or 801-266-7700 / FAX: 801-268-9992

ALS Laboratory Group



ANALYTICAL REPORT

Report Date: December 14, 2012

Grant Sherwood
Remediation Services, Inc.
P.O. Box 587
2735 South 10th Street
Independence, KS 67301

Phone: (620) 331-1200
Fax: (620) 331-6216
E-mail: gsherwood@rsi-ks.com

Workorder: **34-1234818**
Client Project ID: 21252/Exide Frisco 121312
Purchase Order: 21252
Project Manager: Paul Pope

Analytical Results

| Sample ID: EX DEMO 121212 DW 001 | | Media: MCE Filter | | Collected: 12/12/2012 | |
|---|-----------|---------------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------------|--|
| Lab ID: 1234818001 | | Sampling Location: Exide Frisco | | Received: 12/13/2012 | |
| Method: NIOSH 7300 Mod. | | Sampling Parameter: Air Volume 2037 L | | Prepared: 12/13/2012 | |
| | | | | Analyzed: 12/14/2012 | |
| Analyte | ug/sample | ug/m ³ | LOD (ug/sample) | RL (ug/sample) | |
| Cadmium | <0.023 | <0.011 | 0.023 | 0.075 | |
| Lead | <0.47 | <0.23 | 0.47 | 1.6 | |

| Sample ID: EX DEMO 121212 DW 607 | | Media: MCE Filter | | Collected: 12/12/2012 | |
|---|-----------|---------------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------------|--|
| Lab ID: 1234818002 | | Sampling Location: Exide Frisco | | Received: 12/13/2012 | |
| Method: NIOSH 7300 Mod. | | Sampling Parameter: Air Volume 1975 L | | Prepared: 12/13/2012 | |
| | | | | Analyzed: 12/14/2012 | |
| Analyte | ug/sample | ug/m ³ | LOD (ug/sample) | RL (ug/sample) | |
| Cadmium | <0.023 | <0.011 | 0.023 | 0.075 | |
| Lead | <0.47 | <0.24 | 0.47 | 1.6 | |

| Sample ID: EX DEMO 121212 DW 526 | | Media: MCE Filter | | Collected: 12/12/2012 | |
|---|-----------|---------------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------------|--|
| Lab ID: 1234818003 | | Sampling Location: Exide Frisco | | Received: 12/13/2012 | |
| Method: NIOSH 7300 Mod. | | Sampling Parameter: Air Volume 2023 L | | Prepared: 12/13/2012 | |
| | | | | Analyzed: 12/14/2012 | |
| Analyte | ug/sample | ug/m ³ | LOD (ug/sample) | RL (ug/sample) | |
| Cadmium | <0.023 | <0.011 | 0.023 | 0.075 | |
| Lead | <0.47 | <0.23 | 0.47 | 1.6 | |

ADDRESS 960 West LeVoy Drive, Salt Lake City, Utah, USA 84123 | PHONE +1 801 266 7700 | FAX +1 801 268 9992
ALS GROUP USA, CORP. Part of the ALS Laboratory Group A Campbell Brothers Limited Company

Environmental

www.alsglobal.com

RIGHT SOLUTIONS RIGHT PARTNER



ANALYTICAL REPORT

Workorder: **34-1234818**
 Client Project ID: 21252/Exide Frisco 121312
 Purchase Order: 21252
 Project Manager: Paul Pope

Analytical Results

| Sample ID: EX DEMO 121212 FB | Media: MCE Filter | Collected: 12/12/2012 | | |
|-------------------------------------|---|--|-----------------|----------------|
| Lab ID: 1234818004 | Sampling Location: Exide Frisco | Received: 12/13/2012 | | |
| Method: NIOSH 7300 Mod. | Sampling Parameter: Air Volume Not Applicable | Prepared: 12/13/2012 Analyzed: 12/14/2012 | | |
| Analyte | ug/sample | ug/m ³ | LOD (ug/sample) | RL (ug/sample) |
| Cadmium | <0.023 | NA | 0.023 | 0.075 |
| Lead | <0.47 | NA | 0.47 | 1.6 |

Comments

Quality Control: NIOSH 7300 Mod. - (HBN: 99252)

The MCE LMB 313909 was above the reporting limit for magnesium equivalent to 2.21 µg/sample so the LCS 313910 and LCSD 313911 results have been media blank corrected for magnesium with LMB 313909.

The MCE LMB 313966 was above the reporting limit for magnesium equivalent to 1.99 µg/sample so the LCS 313967 and LCSD 313968 results have been media blank corrected for magnesium with LMB 313966.

Report Authorization

| Method | Analyst | Peer Review |
|-----------------|----------------|----------------|
| NIOSH 7300 Mod. | Peter P. Steen | Penny A. Foote |

Laboratory Contact Information

ALS Environmental
 960 W Levoy Drive
 Salt Lake City, Utah 84123

Phone: (801) 266-7700
 Email: als@alst.com
 Web: www.alst.com



ANALYTICAL REPORT

Workorder: **34-1234818**
 Client Project ID: 21252/Exide Frisco 121312
 Purchase Order: 21252
 Project Manager: Paul Pope

General Lab Comments

The results provided in this report relate only to the items tested.
 Samples were received in acceptable condition unless otherwise noted.
 Samples have not been blank corrected unless otherwise noted.
 This test report shall not be reproduced, except in full, without written approval of ALS.

ALS provides professional analytical services for all samples submitted. ALS is not in a position to interpret the data and assumes no responsibility for the quality of the samples submitted.

All quality control samples processed with the samples in this report yielded acceptable results unless otherwise noted.

ALS is accredited for specific fields of testing (scopes) in the following testing sectors. The quality system implemented at ALS conforms to accreditation requirements and is applied to all analytical testing performed by ALS. The following table lists testing sector, accreditation body, accreditation number and website. Please contact these accrediting bodies or your ALS project manager for the current scope of accreditation that applies to your analytical testing.

| Testing Sector | Accreditation Body (Standard) | Certificate Number | Website |
|------------------------|--|--------------------|---|
| Environmental | ACCLASS (DoD ELAP) | ADE-1420 | http://www.aiclasscorp.com |
| | Utah (NELAC) | DATA1 | http://health.utah.gov/lab/labimp/ |
| | Nevada | UT00009 | http://ndep.nv.gov/bsdwlabservice.htm |
| | Oklahoma | UT00009 | http://www.deq.state.ok.us/CSDnew/ |
| | Iowa | IA# 376 | http://www.iowadnr.gov/InsideDNR/RegulatoryWater.aspx |
| | Florida (TNI) | E871067 | http://www.dep.state.fl.us/labs/bars/sas/qa/ |
| | Texas (TNI) | T104704456-11-1 | http://www.tceq.texas.gov/field/qa/lab_accred_certif.html |
| Industrial Hygiene | AIHA (ISO 17025 & AIHA IHLAP/ELLAP) | 101574 | http://www.aihaaccreditedlabs.org |
| Lead Testing: | | | |
| CPSC | ACCLASS (ISO 17025, CPSC) | ADE-1420 | http://www.aiclasscorp.com |
| Soil, Dust, Paint ,Air | AIHA (ISO 17025, AIHA ELLAP and NLLAP) | 101574 | http://www.aihaaccreditedlabs.org |
| Dietary Supplements | ACCLASS (ISO 17025) | ADE-1420 | http://www.aiclasscorp.com |

Definitions

LOD = Limit of Detection = MDL = Method Detection Limit, A statistical estimate of method/media/instrument sensitivity.
 LOQ = Limit of Quantitation = RL = Reporting Limit, A verified value of method/media/instrument sensitivity.
 ND = Not Detected, Testing result not detected above the LOD or LOQ.
 ** No result could be reported, see sample comments for details.
 < This testing result is less than the numerical value.
 () This testing result is between the LOD and LOQ and has higher analytical uncertainty than values at or above the LOQ.



Quality Control Sample Batch Report

Analysis Information

Workorder: 1234818

Limits: Historical/Performance
Basis: ALS Laboratory Group

Preparation: IH Metals, MCE Prep
Batch: IIPX/11569 (HBN: 99143)
Prepared By: Adam K. Taft

Analysis: IH Metals QC
Batch: IICP/7692 (HBN: 99252)
Analyzed By: Peter P. Steen

Blank

| Blank: 313908 Analyzed: 12/13/2012 23:14 Units: ug/sample | | | |
|--|--------|--------|-------|
| Analyte | Result | MDL | RL |
| Cadmium | ND | 0.0225 | 0.075 |
| Lead | ND | 0.465 | 1.55 |

| LMB: 313909 Analyzed: 12/13/2012 23:17 Units: ug/sample | | | |
|--|--------|--------|-------|
| Analyte | Result | MDL | RL |
| Cadmium | ND | 0.0225 | 0.075 |
| Lead | ND | 0.465 | 1.55 |

| Blank: 313965 Analyzed: 12/14/2012 09:28 Units: ug/sample | | | |
|--|--------|--------|-------|
| Analyte | Result | MDL | RL |
| Cadmium | ND | 0.0225 | 0.075 |
| Lead | ND | 0.465 | 1.55 |

| LMB: 313966 Analyzed: 12/14/2012 09:32 Units: ug/sample | | | |
|--|--------|--------|-------|
| Analyte | Result | MDL | RL |
| Cadmium | ND | 0.0225 | 0.075 |
| Lead | ND | 0.465 | 1.55 |

Laboratory Control Sample - Laboratory Control Sample Duplicate

| LCS: 313910 Analyzed: 12/13/2012 23:21 Units: ug/sample | | | | | LCSD: 313911 Analyzed: 12/13/2012 23:24 | | | | |
|--|--------|--------|------------|--------------|--|-------|-----------|--|--|
| Analyte | Result | Target | % Recovery | QC Limits | Result | RPD | QC Limits | | |
| Cadmium | 9.66 | 10 | 96.6 | 89.8 112.5 | 9.6 | 0.634 | 0 15 | | |
| Lead | 99.5 | 100 | 99.5 | 88 115 | 99.3 | 0.271 | 0 15 | | |

| LCS: 313967 Analyzed: 12/14/2012 09:36 Units: ug/sample | | | | | LCSD: 313968 Analyzed: 12/14/2012 09:39 | | | | |
|--|--------|--------|------------|--------------|--|-------|-----------|--|--|
| Analyte | Result | Target | % Recovery | QC Limits | Result | RPD | QC Limits | | |
| Cadmium | 9.82 | 10 | 98.2 | 89.8 112.5 | 9.9 | 0.817 | 0 15 | | |
| Lead | 99.2 | 100 | 99.2 | 88 115 | 100 | 0.81 | 0 15 | | |



Quality Control Sample Batch Report

Analysis Information

Workorder: 1234818

Limits: Historical/Performance

Basis: ALS Laboratory Group

Preparation: IH Metals, MCE Prep

Batch: IIPX/11569 (HBN: 99143)

Prepared By: Adam K. Taft

Analysis: IH Metals QC

Batch: IICP/7692 (HBN: 99252)

Analyzed By: Peter P. Steen

Comments

The MCE LMB 313909 was above the reporting limit for magnesium equivalent to 2.21 µg/sample so the LCS 313910 and LCSD 313911 results have been media blank corrected for magnesium with LMB 313909.

The MCE LMB 313966 was above the reporting limit for magnesium equivalent to 1.99 µg/sample so the LCS 313967 and LCSD 313968 results have been media blank corrected for magnesium with LMB 313966.

QC Data Approved and Reviewed by

| | | |
|----------------|--------------------|-------------|
| Peter P. Steen | Penny A. Foote | 12/14/2012 |
| Analyst | Peer Review | Date |

Symbols and Definitions

- * - Analyte above reporting limit or outside of control limits
- ▲ - Sample result is greater than 4 times the spike added
- - Sample and Matrix Duplicate less than 5 times the reporting limit

- RPD - Relative % Difference (Spike / Spike Duplicate)
- ND - Not Detected
- QC results are not adjusted for moisture correction, where applicable

| Laboratory Review Checklist: Reportable Data | | | | | | | |
|---|----------------|--|-----|--------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|------------------|
| Laboratory Name: ALS Environmental Laboratory | | | | LRC Date: 12/28/12 | | | |
| Project Name: Exide, Frisco | | | | Laboratory Job Number: 1234818 | | | |
| Reviewer Name: Paul Pope | | | | Prep Batch Number(s): | | | |
| # ¹ | A ² | Description | Yes | No | NA ³ | NR ⁴ | ER# ⁵ |
| R1 | OI | Chain-of-custody (C-O-C) | | | | | |
| | | Did samples meet the laboratory's standard conditions of sample acceptability upon receipt? | X | | | | |
| | | Were all departures from standard conditions described in an exception report? | | | X | | |
| R2 | OI | Sample and quality control (QC) identification | | | | | |
| | | Are all field sample ID numbers cross-referenced to the laboratory ID numbers? | X | | | | |
| | | Are all laboratory ID numbers cross-referenced to the corresponding QC data? | X | | | | |
| R3 | OI | Test reports | | | | | |
| | | Were all samples prepared and analyzed within holding times? | X | | | | |
| | | Other than those results < MQL, were all other raw values bracketed by calibration standards? | X | | | | |
| | | Were calculations checked by a peer or supervisor? | X | | | | |
| | | Were all analyte identifications checked by a peer or supervisor? | X | | | | |
| | | Were sample detection limits reported for all analytes not detected? | X | | | | |
| | | Were all results for soil and sediment samples reported on a dry weight basis? | | | X | | |
| | | Were % moisture (or solids) reported for all soil and sediment samples? | | | X | | |
| | | Were bulk soils/solids samples for volatile analysis extracted with methanol per SW-846 Method 5035? | | | X | | |
| | | If required for the project, TICs reported? | | | X | | |
| R4 | O | Surrogate recovery data | | | | | |
| | | Were surrogates added prior to extraction? | | | X | | |
| | | Were surrogate percent recoveries in all samples within the laboratory QC limits? | | | X | | |
| R5 | OI | Test reports/summary forms for blank samples | | | | | |
| | | Were appropriate type(s) of blanks analyzed? | X | | | | |
| | | Were blanks analyzed at the appropriate frequency? | X | | | | |
| | | Were method blanks taken through the entire analytical process, including preparation and, if applicable, cleanup procedures? | X | | | | |
| | | Were blank concentrations < MQL? | X | | | | |
| R6 | OI | Laboratory control samples (LCS): | | | | | |
| | | Were all COCs included in the LCS? | X | | | | |
| | | Was each LCS taken through the entire analytical procedure, including prep and cleanup steps? | X | | | | |
| | | Were LCSs analyzed at the required frequency? | X | | | | |
| | | Were LCS (and LCSD, if applicable) %Rs within the laboratory QC limits? | X | | | | |
| | | Does the detectability data document the laboratory's capability to detect the COCs at the MDL used to calculate the SQLs? | X | | | | |
| | | Was the LCSD RPD within QC limits? | X | | | | |
| R7 | OI | Matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) data | | | | | |
| | | Were the project/method specified analytes included in the MS and MSD? | | | X | | |
| | | Were MS/MSD analyzed at the appropriate frequency? | | | X | | |
| | | Were MS (and MSD, if applicable) %Rs within the laboratory QC limits? | | | X | | |
| | | Were MS/MSD RPDs within laboratory QC limits? | | | X | | |
| R8 | OI | Analytical duplicate data | | | | | |
| | | Were appropriate analytical duplicates analyzed for each matrix? | | | X | | |
| | | Were analytical duplicates analyzed at the appropriate frequency? | | | X | | |
| | | Were RPDs or relative standard deviations within the laboratory QC limits? | | | X | | |
| R9 | OI | Method quantitation limits (MQLs): | | | | | |
| | | Are the MQLs for each method analyte included in the laboratory data package? | X | | | | |
| | | Do the MQLs correspond to the concentration of the lowest non-zero calibration standard? | X | | | | |
| | | Are unadjusted MQLs and DCSs included in the laboratory data package? | | X | | | |
| R10 | OI | Other problems/anomalies | | | | | |
| | | Are all known problems/anomalies/special conditions noted in this LRC and ER? | | | X | | |
| | | Were all necessary corrective actions performed for the reported data? | | | X | | |
| | | Was applicable and available technology used to lower the SDL minimize the matrix interference affects on the sample results? | X | | | | |
| | | Is the laboratory NELAC-accredited under the Texas Laboratory Program for the analytes, matrices and methods associated with this laboratory data package? | | | X | | |

| Laboratory Review Checklist: Reportable Data | | | | | | | |
|---|----------------|--|---------------------------|----|-----------------|-----------------|------------------|
| Laboratory Name: ALS Environmental Laboratory | | | Laboratory Name: 12/28/12 | | | | |
| Project Name: Exide, Frisco | | | Project Name: 1234818 | | | | |
| Reviewer Name: Paul Pope | | | Reviewer Name: Paul Pope | | | | |
| # ¹ | A ² | Description | Yes | No | NA ³ | NR ⁴ | ER# ⁵ |
| S1 | OI | Initial calibration (ICAL) | | | | | |
| | | Were response factors and/or relative response factors for each analyte within QC limits? | | | X | | |
| | | Were percent RSDs or correlation coefficient criteria met? | X | | | | |
| | | Was the number of standards recommended in the method used for all analytes? | X | | | | |
| | | Were all points generated between the lowest and highest standard used to calculate the curve? | X | | | | |
| | | Are ICAL data available for all instruments used? | X | | | | |
| | | Has the initial calibration curve been verified using an appropriate second source standard? | X | | | | |
| S2 | OI | Initial and continuing calibration verification (ICCV and CCV) and continuing calibration blank (CCB) | | | | | |
| | | Was the CCV analyzed at the method-required frequency? | X | | | | |
| | | Were percent differences for each analyte within the method-required QC limits? | X | | | | |
| | | Was the ICAL curve verified for each analyte? | X | | | | |
| | | Was the absolute value of the analyte concentration in the inorganic CCB < MDL? | X | | | | |
| S3 | O | Mass spectral tuning: | | | | | |
| | | Was the appropriate compound for the method used for tuning? | | | X | | |
| | | Were ion abundance data within the method-required QC limits? | | | X | | |
| S4 | O | Internal standards (IS): | | | | | |
| | | Were IS area counts and retention times within the method-required QC limits? | | | X | | |
| S5 | OI | Raw data (NELAC section 1 appendix A glossary, and section 5.12 or ISO/IEC 17025 section | | | | | |
| | | Were the raw data (for example, chromatograms, spectral data) reviewed by an analyst? | X | | | | |
| | | Were data associated with manual integrations flagged on the raw data? | | | X | | |
| S6 | O | Dual column confirmation | | | | | |
| | | Did dual column confirmation results meet the method-required QC? | | | X | | |
| S7 | O | Tentatively identified compounds (TICs): | | | | | |
| | | If TICs were requested, were the mass spectra and TIC data subject to appropriate checks? | | | X | | |
| S8 | I | Interference Check Sample (ICS) results: | | | | | |
| | | Were percent recoveries within method QC limits? | X | | | | |
| S9 | I | Serial dilutions, post digestion spikes, and method of standard additions | | | | | |
| | | Were percent differences, recoveries, and the linearity within the QC limits specified in the method? | | | X | | |
| S10 | OI | Method detection limit (MDL) studies | | | | | |
| | | Was a MDL study performed for each reported analyte? | X | | | | |
| | | Is the MDL either adjusted or supported by the analysis of DCSs? | X | | | | |
| S11 | OI | Proficiency test reports: | | | | | |
| | | Was the laboratory's performance acceptable on the applicable proficiency tests or evaluation studies? | X | | | | |
| S12 | OI | Standards documentation | | | | | |
| | | Are all standards used in the analyses NIST-traceable or obtained from other appropriate sources? | X | | | | |
| S13 | OI | Compound/analyte identification procedures | | | | | |
| | | Are the procedures for compound/analyte identification documented? | X | | | | |
| S14 | OI | Demonstration of analyst competency (DOC) | | | | | |
| | | Was DOC conducted consistent with NELAC Chapter 5C or ISO/IEC 4? | X | | | | |
| | | Is documentation of the analyst's competency up-to-date and on file? | X | | | | |
| S15 | OI | Verification/validation documentation for methods (NELAC Chap 5 or ISO/IEC 17025 Section 5) | | | | | |
| | | Are all the methods used to generate the data documented, verified, and validated, where applicable? | X | | | | |
| S16 | OI | Laboratory standard operating procedures (SOPs): | | | | | |
| | | Are laboratory SOPs current and on file for each method performed? | X | | | | |
| <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Items identified by the letter "R" must be included in the laboratory data package submitted in the TRRP-required report(s). Items identified by the letter "S" should be retained and made available upon request for the appropriate retention period. O = Organic Analyses; I = Inorganic Analyses (and general chemistry, when applicable); NA = Not Applicable; NR = Not Reviewed; R# = Exception Report identification number (an Exception Report should be completed for an item if "NR" or "No" is checked). | | | | | | | |

Laboratory Review Checklist: Reportable Data

| | | |
|---|--------------------|--------------------------------|
| Laboratory Name: ALS Environmental Laboratory | | LRC Date: 12/28/12 |
| Project Name: Exide, Frisco | | Laboratory Job Number: 1234818 |
| Reviewer Name: Paul Pope | | Prep Batch Number(s): |
| ER#⁵ | Description | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |

[For lab use only]



ANALYTICAL REQUEST FORM

1. REGULAR Status

RUSH Status Requested - ADDITIONAL CHARGE

RESULTS REQUIRED BY 12.14.12

DATE

CONTACT ALS SALT LAKE PRIOR TO SENDING SAMPLES

2. Date 12.12.12 Purchase Order No. 21252

4. Quote No. _____

3. Company Name REMEDIATION SERVICES, INC.

ALS Project Manager PAUL POPE

Address PO BOX 587

5. Sample Collection

INDEPENDENCE, KS 67301

Sampling Site EXIDE FRISCO

Person to Contact GRANT SHERWOOD

Industrial Process _____

Telephone () 620-331-1200

Date of Collection 12.12.12

Fax Telephone () 620-331-6216

Time Collected 7:00 - 15:00

E-mail Address GSHERWOOD@RSI-KS.COM

Date of Shipment 12.12.12

Billing Address (if different from above) SEND RESULTS TO :

Chain of Custody No. N/A

GSHERWOOD@RSI-KS.COM, JRGILLMAN@RSI-KS.COM

How did you first learn about ALS?

VANESSA.COLEMAN@NA.EXIDE.COM, DROTA@RSI-KS.COM

SEND INVOICE TO : STROTTER@RSI-KS.COM

7. REQUEST FOR ANALYSES

| Laboratory Use Only | Client Sample Number | Matrix* | Sample Volume | ANALYSES REQUESTED - Use method number if known | Units** |
|---------------------|---------------------------|----------|---------------|---|-------------------|
| | EX DECON 121212 KT 007 | 37um MYE | 978L | NIOSH 7303-LEAD+CADMIUM | ug/m ³ |
| | EX DECON 121212 JS 008 | 37um MYE | 990L | NIOSH 7303-LEAD+CADMIUM | ug/m ³ |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |

* Specify: Solid sorbent tube, e.g. Charcoal; Filter type; Impinger solution; Bulk sample; Blood; Urine; Tissue; Soil; Water; Other

** 1. µg/sample 2. mg/m³ 3. ppm 4. % 5. µg/m³ 6. _____ (other) Please indicate one or more units in the column entitled Units**

Comments _____

Possible Contamination and/or Chemical Hazards LEAD + CADMIUM

7. Chain of Custody (Optional)

| | | | |
|-----------------|-----------------------|-----------|-----------------------|
| Relinquished by | <u>JOHNNY GILLMAN</u> | Date/Time | <u>12.12.12 18:30</u> |
| Received by | <u>[Signature]</u> | Date/Time | <u>12-13-12 10:00</u> |
| Relinquished by | _____ | Date/Time | _____ |
| Received by | _____ | Date/Time | _____ |



ANALYTICAL REPORT

Report Date: December 18, 2012

Grant Sherwood
Remediation Services, Inc.
P.O. Box 587
2735 South 10th Street
Independence, KS 67301

Phone: (620) 331-1200
Fax: (620) 331-6216
E-mail: gsherwood@rsi-ks.com

Workorder: **34-1235212**
Client Project ID: 21252/Exide Frisco 121712
Purchase Order: 21252
Project Manager: Paul Pope

Analytical Results

| Sample ID: EX DEMO 121214 DW 001 | | Media: MCE Filter | Collected: 12/14/2012 | | |
|---|-----------|---------------------------------------|-----------------------|----------------|--|
| Lab ID: 1235212001 | | Sampling Location: Exide Frisco | Received: 12/17/2012 | | |
| Method: NIOSH 7300 Mod. | | Sampling Parameter: Air Volume 2013 L | Prepared: 12/17/2012 | | |
| | | | Analyzed: 12/17/2012 | | |
| Analyte | ug/sample | ug/m ³ | LOD (ug/sample) | RL (ug/sample) | |
| Cadmium | <0.023 | <0.011 | 0.023 | 0.075 | |
| Lead | <0.38 | <0.19 | 0.38 | 1.3 | |

| Sample ID: EX DEMO 121214 DW 526 | | Media: MCE Filter | Collected: 12/14/2012 | | |
|---|-----------|---------------------------------------|-----------------------|----------------|--|
| Lab ID: 1235212002 | | Sampling Location: Exide Frisco | Received: 12/17/2012 | | |
| Method: NIOSH 7300 Mod. | | Sampling Parameter: Air Volume 1999 L | Prepared: 12/17/2012 | | |
| | | | Analyzed: 12/17/2012 | | |
| Analyte | ug/sample | ug/m ³ | LOD (ug/sample) | RL (ug/sample) | |
| Cadmium | <0.023 | <0.011 | 0.023 | 0.075 | |
| Lead | <0.38 | <0.19 | 0.38 | 1.3 | |

| Sample ID: EX DEMO 121214 DW 607 | | Media: MCE Filter | Collected: 12/14/2012 | | |
|---|-----------|---------------------------------------|-----------------------|----------------|--|
| Lab ID: 1235212003 | | Sampling Location: Exide Frisco | Received: 12/17/2012 | | |
| Method: NIOSH 7300 Mod. | | Sampling Parameter: Air Volume 2056 L | Prepared: 12/17/2012 | | |
| | | | Analyzed: 12/17/2012 | | |
| Analyte | ug/sample | ug/m ³ | LOD (ug/sample) | RL (ug/sample) | |
| Cadmium | <0.023 | <0.011 | 0.023 | 0.075 | |
| Lead | <0.38 | <0.18 | 0.38 | 1.3 | |

Report Authorization

| Method | Analyst | Peer Review |
|-----------------|----------------|----------------|
| NIOSH 7300 Mod. | Peter P. Steen | Penny A. Foote |

ADDRESS 960 West LeVoy Drive, Salt Lake City, Utah, USA 84123 | PHONE +1 801 266 7700 | FAX +1 801 268 9992
ALS GROUP USA, CORP. Part of the ALS Laboratory Group A Campbell Brothers Limited Company

Environmental

www.alsglobal.com

RIGHT SOLUTIONS RIGHT PARTNER



ANALYTICAL REPORT

Workorder: **34-1235212**
 Client Project ID: 21252/Exide Frisco 121712
 Purchase Order: 21252
 Project Manager: Paul Pope

Laboratory Contact Information

ALS Environmental
 960 W Levoy Drive
 Salt Lake City, Utah 84123

Phone: (801) 266-7700
 Email: als@t.lab@ALSGlobal.com
 Web: www.alssl.com

General Lab Comments

The results provided in this report relate only to the items tested.
 Samples were received in acceptable condition unless otherwise noted.
 Samples have not been blank corrected unless otherwise noted.
 This test report shall not be reproduced, except in full, without written approval of ALS.

ALS provides professional analytical services for all samples submitted. ALS is not in a position to interpret the data and assumes no responsibility for the quality of the samples submitted.

All quality control samples processed with the samples in this report yielded acceptable results unless otherwise noted.

ALS is accredited for specific fields of testing (scopes) in the following testing sectors. The quality system implemented at ALS conforms to accreditation requirements and is applied to all analytical testing performed by ALS. The following table lists testing sector, accreditation body, accreditation number and website. Please contact these accrediting bodies or your ALS project manager for the current scope of accreditation that applies to your analytical testing.

| Testing Sector | Accreditation Body (Standard) | Certificate Number | Website |
|------------------------|--|--------------------|---|
| Environmental | ACCLASS (DoD ELAP) | ADE-1420 | http://www.aiclasscorp.com |
| | Utah (NELAC) | DATA1 | http://health.utah.gov/lab/labimp/ |
| | Nevada | UT00009 | http://ndep.nv.gov/bsdwlabservice.htm |
| | Oklahoma | UT00009 | http://www.deq.state.ok.us/CSDnew/ |
| | Iowa | IA# 376 | http://www.iowadnr.gov/InsideDNR/RegulatoryWater.aspx |
| | Florida (TNI) | E871067 | http://www.dep.state.fl.us/labs/bars/sas/qa/ |
| | Texas (TNI) | T104704456-11-1 | http://www.tceq.texas.gov/field/qa/lab_accred_certif.html |
| Industrial Hygiene | AIHA (ISO 17025 & AIHA IHLAP/ELLAP) | 101574 | http://www.aihaaccreditedlabs.org |
| Lead Testing: | | | |
| CPSC | ACCLASS (ISO 17025, CPSC) | ADE-1420 | http://www.aiclasscorp.com |
| Soil, Dust, Paint ,Air | AIHA (ISO 17025, AIHA ELLAP and NLLAP) | 101574 | http://www.aihaaccreditedlabs.org |
| Dietary Supplements | ACCLASS (ISO 17025) | ADE-1420 | http://www.aiclasscorp.com |

Definitions

LOD = Limit of Detection = MDL = Method Detection Limit, A statistical estimate of method/media/instrument sensitivity.
 LOQ = Limit of Quantitation = RL = Reporting Limit, A verified value of method/media/instrument sensitivity.
 ND = Not Detected, Testing result not detected above the LOD or LOQ.
 ** No result could be reported, see sample comments for details.
 < This testing result is less than the numerical value.
 () This testing result is between the LOD and LOQ and has higher analytical uncertainty than values at or above the LOQ.



Quality Control Sample Batch Report

Analysis Information

Workorder: 1235212

Limits: Historical/Performance

Basis: ALS Laboratory Group

Preparation: IH Metals, MCE Prep

Batch: IIPX/11581 (HBN: 99359)

Prepared By: Adam K. Taft

Analysis: IH Metals QC

Batch: IICP/7700 (HBN: 99430)

Analyzed By: Peter P. Steen

Blank

| Blank: 314341 Analyzed: 12/17/2012 16:41 Units: ug/sample | | | |
|--|--------|--------|-------|
| Analyte | Result | MDL | RL |
| Cadmium | ND | 0.0225 | 0.075 |
| Lead | ND | 0.375 | 1.25 |

| LMB: 314342 Analyzed: 12/17/2012 16:45 Units: ug/sample | | | |
|--|--------|--------|-------|
| Analyte | Result | MDL | RL |
| Cadmium | ND | 0.0225 | 0.075 |
| Lead | ND | 0.375 | 1.25 |

Laboratory Control Sample - Laboratory Control Sample Duplicate

| LCS: 314343 Analyzed: 12/17/2012 16:48 Units: ug/sample | | | | | LCSD: 314344 Analyzed: 12/17/2012 16:51 | | | | |
|--|--------|--------|------------|--------------|--|-------|-----------|--|--|
| Analyte | Result | Target | % Recovery | QC Limits | Result | RPD | QC Limits | | |
| Cadmium | 10.1 | 10 | 101 | 89.8 112.5 | 10 | 0.371 | 0 15 | | |
| Lead | 101 | 100 | 101 | 88 115 | 101 | 0.027 | 0 15 | | |

QC Data Approved and Reviewed by

| | | |
|---|---|----------------------------------|
| <u>Peter P. Steen</u> Analyst | <u>Penny A. Foote</u> Peer Review | <u>12/18/2012</u> Date |
|---|---|----------------------------------|

Symbols and Definitions

- * - Analyte above reporting limit or outside of control limits
- ▲ - Sample result is greater than 4 times the spike added
- - Sample and Matrix Duplicate less than 5 times the reporting limit

- RPD - Relative % Difference (Spike / Spike Duplicate)
- ND - Not Detected
- QC results are not adjusted for moisture correction, where applicable

| Laboratory Review Checklist: Reportable Data | | | | | | | | |
|---|----------------|--|-----|----|--------------------------------|-----------------|------------------|--|
| Laboratory Name: ALS Environmental Laboratory | | | | | LRC Date: 12/28/12 | | | |
| Project Name: Exide, Frisco | | | | | Laboratory Job Number: 1235212 | | | |
| Reviewer Name: Paul Pope | | | | | Prep Batch Number(s): | | | |
| # ¹ | A ² | Description | Yes | No | NA ³ | NR ⁴ | ER# ⁵ | |
| R1 | OI | Chain-of-custody (C-O-C) | | | | | | |
| | | Did samples meet the laboratory's standard conditions of sample acceptability upon receipt? | X | | | | | |
| | | Were all departures from standard conditions described in an exception report? | | | X | | | |
| R2 | OI | Sample and quality control (QC) identification | | | | | | |
| | | Are all field sample ID numbers cross-referenced to the laboratory ID numbers? | X | | | | | |
| | | Are all laboratory ID numbers cross-referenced to the corresponding QC data? | X | | | | | |
| R3 | OI | Test reports | | | | | | |
| | | Were all samples prepared and analyzed within holding times? | X | | | | | |
| | | Other than those results < MQL, were all other raw values bracketed by calibration standards? | X | | | | | |
| | | Were calculations checked by a peer or supervisor? | X | | | | | |
| | | Were all analyte identifications checked by a peer or supervisor? | X | | | | | |
| | | Were sample detection limits reported for all analytes not detected? | X | | | | | |
| | | Were all results for soil and sediment samples reported on a dry weight basis? | | | X | | | |
| | | Were % moisture (or solids) reported for all soil and sediment samples? | | | X | | | |
| | | Were bulk soils/solids samples for volatile analysis extracted with methanol per SW-846 Method 5035? | | | X | | | |
| | | If required for the project, TICs reported? | | | X | | | |
| R4 | O | Surrogate recovery data | | | | | | |
| | | Were surrogates added prior to extraction? | | | X | | | |
| | | Were surrogate percent recoveries in all samples within the laboratory QC limits? | | | X | | | |
| R5 | OI | Test reports/summary forms for blank samples | | | | | | |
| | | Were appropriate type(s) of blanks analyzed? | X | | | | | |
| | | Were blanks analyzed at the appropriate frequency? | X | | | | | |
| | | Were method blanks taken through the entire analytical process, including preparation and, if applicable, cleanup procedures? | X | | | | | |
| | | Were blank concentrations < MQL? | X | | | | | |
| R6 | OI | Laboratory control samples (LCS): | | | | | | |
| | | Were all COCs included in the LCS? | X | | | | | |
| | | Was each LCS taken through the entire analytical procedure, including prep and cleanup steps? | X | | | | | |
| | | Were LCSs analyzed at the required frequency? | X | | | | | |
| | | Were LCS (and LCSD, if applicable) %Rs within the laboratory QC limits? | X | | | | | |
| | | Does the detectability data document the laboratory's capability to detect the COCs at the MDL used to calculate the SQLs? | X | | | | | |
| | | Was the LCSD RPD within QC limits? | X | | | | | |
| R7 | OI | Matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) data | | | | | | |
| | | Were the project/method specified analytes included in the MS and MSD? | | | X | | | |
| | | Were MS/MSD analyzed at the appropriate frequency? | | | X | | | |
| | | Were MS (and MSD, if applicable) %Rs within the laboratory QC limits? | | | X | | | |
| | | Were MS/MSD RPDs within laboratory QC limits? | | | X | | | |
| R8 | OI | Analytical duplicate data | | | | | | |
| | | Were appropriate analytical duplicates analyzed for each matrix? | | | X | | | |
| | | Were analytical duplicates analyzed at the appropriate frequency? | | | X | | | |
| | | Were RPDs or relative standard deviations within the laboratory QC limits? | | | X | | | |
| R9 | OI | Method quantitation limits (MQLs): | | | | | | |
| | | Are the MQLs for each method analyte included in the laboratory data package? | X | | | | | |
| | | Do the MQLs correspond to the concentration of the lowest non-zero calibration standard? | X | | | | | |
| | | Are unadjusted MQLs and DCSs included in the laboratory data package? | | X | | | | |
| R10 | OI | Other problems/anomalies | | | | | | |
| | | Are all known problems/anomalies/special conditions noted in this LRC and ER? | | | X | | | |
| | | Were all necessary corrective actions performed for the reported data? | | | X | | | |
| | | Was applicable and available technology used to lower the SDL minimize the matrix interference affects on the sample results? | X | | | | | |
| | | Is the laboratory NELAC-accredited under the Texas Laboratory Program for the analytes, matrices and methods associated with this laboratory data package? | | | X | | | |

| Laboratory Review Checklist: Reportable Data | | | | | | | |
|---|----------------|--|---------------------------|----|-----------------|-----------------|------------------|
| Laboratory Name: ALS Environmental Laboratory | | | Laboratory Name: 12/28/12 | | | | |
| Project Name: Exide, Frisco | | | Project Name: 1235212 | | | | |
| Reviewer Name: Paul Pope | | | Reviewer Name: Paul Pope | | | | |
| # ¹ | A ² | Description | Yes | No | NA ³ | NR ⁴ | ER# ⁵ |
| S1 | OI | Initial calibration (ICAL) | | | | | |
| | | Were response factors and/or relative response factors for each analyte within QC limits? | | | X | | |
| | | Were percent RSDs or correlation coefficient criteria met? | X | | | | |
| | | Was the number of standards recommended in the method used for all analytes? | X | | | | |
| | | Were all points generated between the lowest and highest standard used to calculate the curve? | X | | | | |
| | | Are ICAL data available for all instruments used? | X | | | | |
| | | Has the initial calibration curve been verified using an appropriate second source standard? | X | | | | |
| S2 | OI | Initial and continuing calibration verification (ICCV and CCV) and continuing calibration blank (CCB) | | | | | |
| | | Was the CCV analyzed at the method-required frequency? | X | | | | |
| | | Were percent differences for each analyte within the method-required QC limits? | X | | | | |
| | | Was the ICAL curve verified for each analyte? | X | | | | |
| | | Was the absolute value of the analyte concentration in the inorganic CCB < MDL? | X | | | | |
| S3 | O | Mass spectral tuning: | | | | | |
| | | Was the appropriate compound for the method used for tuning? | | | X | | |
| | | Were ion abundance data within the method-required QC limits? | | | X | | |
| S4 | O | Internal standards (IS): | | | | | |
| | | Were IS area counts and retention times within the method-required QC limits? | | | X | | |
| S5 | OI | Raw data (NELAC section 1 appendix A glossary, and section 5.12 or ISO/IEC 17025 section | | | | | |
| | | Were the raw data (for example, chromatograms, spectral data) reviewed by an analyst? | X | | | | |
| | | Were data associated with manual integrations flagged on the raw data? | | | X | | |
| S6 | O | Dual column confirmation | | | | | |
| | | Did dual column confirmation results meet the method-required QC? | | | X | | |
| S7 | O | Tentatively identified compounds (TICs): | | | | | |
| | | If TICs were requested, were the mass spectra and TIC data subject to appropriate checks? | | | X | | |
| S8 | I | Interference Check Sample (ICS) results: | | | | | |
| | | Were percent recoveries within method QC limits? | X | | | | |
| S9 | I | Serial dilutions, post digestion spikes, and method of standard additions | | | | | |
| | | Were percent differences, recoveries, and the linearity within the QC limits specified in the method? | | | X | | |
| S10 | OI | Method detection limit (MDL) studies | | | | | |
| | | Was a MDL study performed for each reported analyte? | X | | | | |
| | | Is the MDL either adjusted or supported by the analysis of DCSs? | X | | | | |
| S11 | OI | Proficiency test reports: | | | | | |
| | | Was the laboratory's performance acceptable on the applicable proficiency tests or evaluation studies? | X | | | | |
| S12 | OI | Standards documentation | | | | | |
| | | Are all standards used in the analyses NIST-traceable or obtained from other appropriate sources? | X | | | | |
| S13 | OI | Compound/analyte identification procedures | | | | | |
| | | Are the procedures for compound/analyte identification documented? | X | | | | |
| S14 | OI | Demonstration of analyst competency (DOC) | | | | | |
| | | Was DOC conducted consistent with NELAC Chapter 5C or ISO/IEC 4? | X | | | | |
| | | Is documentation of the analyst's competency up-to-date and on file? | X | | | | |
| S15 | OI | Verification/validation documentation for methods (NELAC Chap 5 or ISO/IEC 17025 Section 5) | | | | | |
| | | Are all the methods used to generate the data documented, verified, and validated, where applicable? | X | | | | |
| S16 | OI | Laboratory standard operating procedures (SOPs): | | | | | |
| | | Are laboratory SOPs current and on file for each method performed? | X | | | | |
| <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Items identified by the letter "R" must be included in the laboratory data package submitted in the TRRP-required report(s). Items identified by the letter "S" should be retained and made available upon request for the appropriate retention period. O = Organic Analyses; I = Inorganic Analyses (and general chemistry, when applicable); NA = Not Applicable; NR = Not Reviewed; R# = Exception Report identification number (an Exception Report should be completed for an item if "NR" or "No" is checked). | | | | | | | |

Laboratory Review Checklist: Reportable Data

| | | |
|---|--------------------|--------------------------------|
| Laboratory Name: ALS Environmental Laboratory | | LRC Date: 12/28/12 |
| Project Name: Exide, Frisco | | Laboratory Job Number: 1235212 |
| Reviewer Name: Paul Pope | | Prep Batch Number(s): |
| ER#⁵ | Description | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |



1235212



Chain of Custody

1235212

1. REGULAR Status

RUSH Status Requested - ADDITIONAL CHARGE
RESULTS REQUIRED BY 12.17.12

DATE

CONTACT ALS SALT LAKE PRIOR TO SENDING SAMPLES

2. Date 12.14.12 Purchase Order No. 21252

4. Quote No. _____

3. Company Name Remediation Services, Inc.

ALS Project Manager Paul Pope

Address PO Box 587

5. Sample Collection

Independence, KS 67301

Sampling Site: Exide Frisco

Person to Contact: Grant Sherwood

Industrial Process: Decontamination and Demo

Telephone (620) 331-1200

Date of Collection 12.14.12

Fax Telephone (620) 331-6216

Time Collected 7:00 - 17:00

E-mail Address gsherwood@rsi-ks.com

Date of Shipment 12.14.12

Billing Address (if different from above)

Send Results to: gsherwood@rsi-ks.com, jrgillman@rsi-ks.com, vanessa.coleman@na.exide.com, droth@rsi-ks.com

Send Invoice to : strotter@rsi-ks.com

7. REQUEST FOR ANALYSES

| Laboratory Use Only | Client Sample Number | Matrix* | Sample Volume | ANALYSES REQUESTED - Use method number if known | Units** |
|---------------------|---------------------------|-----------|---------------|---|-------------------|
| | EX DEMO 121214 DW 0011 | 37 um MCE | 2013L | NIOSH 7303 - Lead and Cadmium | ug/m ³ |
| | EX DEMO 121214 OW 5216 | 37 um MCE | 1999L | NIOSH 7303 - Lead and Cadmium | ug/m ³ |
| | EX DEMO 121214 DW 6071 | 37 um MCE | 2056L | NIOSH 7303 - Lead and Cadmium | ug/m ³ |
| | | 37 um MCE | | NIOSH 7303 - Lead and Cadmium | ug/m ³ |
| | | 37 um MCE | | NIOSH 7303 - Lead and Cadmium | ug/m ³ |
| | | 37 um MCE | | NIOSH 7303 - Lead and Cadmium | ug/m ³ |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |

- EX-DEMO = Project (Exide-Demolition)
- YYMMDD = Sampling date (e.g., 11/01/2012 = 121101)
- LOC = Sample Location (e.g. UW = Upwind, DW = Downwind)
- XXX = E-BAM Monitor Sample Association - Last 3 digits of Serial Number,
- QQ = Optional QA sample flag (TB = trip blank, FB = field blank, SC = duplicate)

Comments _____

Possible Contamination and/or Chemical Hazards: Lead and cadmium

7. Chain of Custody (Optional)

Relinquished by JUNNY GILLMAN Date/Time 12.14.12 18:30

Received by Auf... Date/Time 12/17/12 1000

Relinquished by _____ Date/Time _____

Received by _____ Date/Time _____

960 West LeVoy Drive / Salt Lake City, UT 84123

800-356-9135 or 801-266-7700 / FAX: 801-268-9992

ALS Laboratory Group