# **Texas Commission on Environmental Quality**

#### INTEROFFICE MEMORANDUM

То:	David Ramirez, Director, Region 15 Ramiro Garcia, Border and South Central Texas Area Director	Date:	September 17, 2008	
From:	Shannon Ethridge, M.S. Toxicology Section, Chief Engineer's Office			
Subject:	Health Effects Review of 2007 Ambient Air Net Harlingen	work Moni	toring Sites in Region	15-

#### Conclusions

The annual average concentrations of all 95 volatile organic compounds (VOCs), 16 polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs), and two metals measured in total suspended particulate matter (TSP) were well below their applicable comparison values and therefore would not be expected to cause chronic adverse health or vegetative effects.

#### Background

Ambient air sampling conducted at monitoring network sites in Region 15-Harlingen during 2007 was evaluated by the Toxicology Section (TS). Table 1 indicates the location and monitored compounds at three Community Air Toxics Monitoring Network (CATMN) sites in Region 15-Harlingen. Figures 1-3 are street level maps indicating the specific locations of each of the three monitoring sites. The TS reviewed air monitoring summary results for VOCs and PAHs, and speciated metals data from 24-hour total suspended particulate matter (TSP) samples collected every sixth day. For a complete list of all examined chemicals, please see Table 2.

County	City and Site Location	EPA Site ID	Monitored Compounds
Cameron	Brownsville, 344 Porter Drive	48-061-0006	VOCs, PAHs, and Metals (TSP)
Hidalgo	Edinburg, 1902 West Schunior	48-215-0042	VOCs and PAHs
	Mission, 2300 North Glasscock	48-215-0043	VOCs and PAHs

Table 1:	: Monitorin	g Site Informati	on for TCEQ	<b>Region 15</b>

The TCEQ Monitoring Operations Division reported the data for all chemicals evaluated. All data collected for VOCs and PAHs in Region 15 met TCEQ's data completeness objective of 75 percent data return, or 45 valid samples per year. Air samples collected over a 24-hour period every sixth day are designed to provide representative long-term average concentrations. Therefore, the TS evaluated the reported annual average concentrations for each target analyte for potential chronic health and vegetative

David Ramirez, et al. Page 2 of 6 September 17, 2008

concerns by comparing the measured chemical concentrations to applicable comparison values. Information on the screening values can be obtained by contacting the TS at 512-239-1795.

#### Evaluation

#### <u>VOCs</u>

Of the 95 target VOCs, 21 were detected at the Brownsville site, 19 were detected at the Hildago site, and 28 were detected at the Mission site. The remaining target analytes were not measured above minimum detection limits. Concentrations of the compounds that were detected were well below applicable comparison values, and therefore would not be expected to cause chronic adverse health or vegetative effects.

#### **PAHs**

Of the 16 reported PAHs at each of the three monitoring sites in the region for 2007, benzo(a)pyrene and dibenzo(a,h)anthracene were not detected. In addition, benzo(a)anthracene was not detected at the Brownsville site; benzo(a)anthracene, benzo(k)fluoranthene, benzo(b)fluoranthene, and indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene were not detected at the Mission site; and benzo(g,h,i)perylene and indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene were not detected at the Edinburg site. Those target analytes that were detected were well below applicable comparison values and would not be expected to cause chronic adverse health effects.

#### TSP Metals

The two TSP metals, antimony and arsenic, were not detected in any 24-hour TSP metals sample collected at 344 Porter Drive in Brownsville during the year 2007.

If you have any questions regarding this evaluation, please contact me at 512-239-1822.

cc (via email):

Casso, Ruben- EPA Region 6, Dallas Prosperie, Susan- Department of State Health Services David Ramirez, et al. Page 3 of 6 September 17, 2008

## Table 2: Target Analyte List

	Chloroform	o-Ethyltoluene	
	Cyclohexane	o-Xylene	
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	Cyclopentane	p-Diethylbenzene	
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	Cyclopentene	p-Ethyltoluene	
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	Ethane	p-Xylene + m-Xylene	
1,1-Dichloroethane	Ethyl Acetate	t-2-Butene	
1,1-Dichloroethylene	Ethyl Benzene	t-2-Hexene	
1,2,3-Trimethylbenzene	Ethylene	t-2-Pentene	
1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	Isobutane	trans-1-3-Dichloropropylene	
1,2-Dibromoethane	Isopentane	1 17	
1,2-Dichloroethane	Isoprene		
1,2-Dichloropropane	Isopropylbenzene	PAHs	
1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene	Methyl Butyl Ketone (MBK)	Acenaphthene	
1,3-Butadiene	Methyl t-Butyl Ether (MTBE)	Acenaphthylene	
1-Butene	Methylcyclohexane	Anthracene	
1-Hexene+2-methyl-1-pentene	Methylcyclopentane	Benzo (a) anthracene	
1-Pentene	Methylene Chloride	Benzo (a) pyrene	
2,2,4-Trimethylpentane	Methylisobutylketone	Benzo (b) fluroanthene	
2,2-Dimethylbutane - Neohexane	Propane	Benzo (ghi) pervlene	
2,3,4-Trimethylpentane	Propylene	Benzo (k) fluoranthana	
2,3-Dimethylbutane	Styrene	Chrysono	
2,3-Dimethylpentane	Tetrachloroethylene	Dibanza (a h) anthracana	
2,4-Dimethylpentane	Toluene	Eluoranthana	
2-Butanone	Trichloroethylene	Fluorana	
2-Chloropentane	Trichlorofluoromethane	Indono (1,2,3, cd) pyrono	
2-Methyl-2-Butene	Vinyl Chloride	Naphthalana	
2-Methylheptane	c_2_Butene	Depenthrong	
2-Methylhexane	c-2-Hevene	Purono	
2-Methylpentane - Isohexane	c-2-Pentene	ryrene	
2-Methyl-3-Hexanone	Dichlorodifluoromethane		
3-Methyl-1-Butene	Isobutyraldebyde	TSD Motols	
3-Methylheptane	m_Diethylbenzene	Antimony	
3-Methylhexane	m_Ethyltoluene	Arcania	
3-Methylpentane	Methyl Chloride	Aiseinc	
3-Hexanone	n-Butane		
3-Pentanone	n-Decane		
4-Methyl-1-Pentene	n-Heptane		
Acetylene	n-Heyane		
Benzene	n Nonane		
Bromomethane	n Octane		
Butyl Acetate	n-Pentane		
cis 1,3-Dichloropropylene	n Propyl Acetate		
Carbon Tetrachloride	n-Propyl Acciaic		
Chlorobenzene	n-Undecane		
Bromometnane Butyl Acetate cis 1,3-Dichloropropylene Carbon Tetrachloride Chlorobenzene	n-Octane n-Pentane n-Propyl Acetate n-Propylbenzene		

David Ramirez, et al. Page 4 of 6 September 17, 2008



Figure 1. Brownsville Monitoring Site, Cameron County



Figure 2. Hidalgo Monitoring Site, Edinburg County

David Ramirez, et al. Page 6 of 6 September 17, 2008



### Figure 3. Mission Monitoring Site, Hidalgo County