TCEQ Interoffice Memorandum

То:	Jamie Garza, Regional Director Arnaldo Lanese, Air Section Manager David Ramirez, Border and Perimian Basin Area Director	
From:	Tiffany Bredfeldt, Ph.D. TB Toxicology Division, Office of the Executive Director	
Date:	October 31, 2012	
Subject:	Health Effects Review of 2011 Ambient Air Network Monitoring Data i Region 16, Laredo	

Conclusion

• Reported annual concentrations of VOCs would not be expected to cause long-term adverse human health or vegetation effects.

in

Background

This memorandum conveys the Toxicology Division's (TD) evaluation of ambient air sampling conducted at two monitoring sites in Region 16-Laredo during 2011. TCEQ Region 16 monitoring site information is presented in Table 1 along with hyperlinks to detailed information regarding the monitoring sites and their maps. Lists 1-3, which can be found in Attachment A, display the target analytes for monitoring sites. The TD reviewed air monitoring summary results from VOC canister samples collected on a 24-hour every sixth day schedule at Community Air Toxics Monitoring Network (CATMN) monitors, 24-hour metals samples (TSP), and 24-hour PAH or semivolatile organic compound (SVOC) samples.

The TCEQ Monitoring Division reported the data for all chemicals evaluated in this memorandum. Data discussed in this evaluation for the Laredo Bridge monitoring site (84 VOCs from canister samples) met the data completeness objective of 75 percent data return or at least 45 valid samples per year. Since 24-hour samples collected using the every sixth day schedule are designed to provide a representative long-term, ambient concentration for chemicals of concern, annual averages from all 24-hour samples were evaluated using appropriate long-term Air Monitoring Comparison Values (AMCVs) for the potential to adversely impact long-term human health and vegetation effects. Thus, annual average concentrations of VOCs (collected via canister sample), were compared to their respective long-term AMCVs. Additional information regarding the derivation and application of AMCVs is available <u>online</u>.

Jamie Garza, et al. Page 2 October 31, 2012

Table 1. Monitoring	Sites Located in	n TCEO Region 16
ruble r. monitoring	Dites Located in	

City and Site Location	County	Monitor ID	Monitored Compounds
700 Zargosa Street (Laredo Bridge)	Webb	48-479-0017	VOCsª
2020 Vidaurri Avenue (Laredo Vidaurri)	Webb	48-141-0044	VOCs ^a , SVOC, Metals (TSP)

^a24-hour canister

Evaluation

VOCs

The 2011 annual average concentrations for all 84 VOCs collected as 24-hour canister samples at the Laredo Bridge monitoring site were well below their respective long-term AMCVs. Thus, adverse human health or vegetation effects would not be expected to occur as a result of long-term exposure to the reported levels of these chemicals at this monitoring site.

Because the Laredo Vidaurri canister, formerly known as Laredo Border, was deactivated, moved and reactivated, only three quarters of the data are available for 2011. Thus, data collected at that the Laredo Vidaurri site were not evaluated from a long-term health perspective because they did not meet data completeness objectives.

Metals

Reported concentrations for two metals (TSP) measured at the Laredo Vidaurri monitoring site did not meet data completeness objectives. Thus, the concentrations for these metals were not evaluated from a long-term health perspective.

PAHs

The reported annual average concentrations for each of the 16 SVOCs reported at the Laredo Vidaurri monitoring site in 2011 were not evaluated from a long-term health perspective due to not meeting data completeness objectives.

If you have any questions or comments regarding this evaluation, please feel free to contact me at (512) 239-1799 or <u>tiffany.bredfeldt@tceq.texas.gov</u>.

cc (via email):

Casso, Ruben – EPA Region 6, Dallas Prosperie, Susan – Department of State Health Services Jamie Garza, et al. Page 3 October 31, 2012

Attachment A

List 1. Target VOC Analytes in Canister Samples

1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane 1.1.2-Trichloroethane 1,1-Dichloroethane 1,1-Dichloroethylene 1,2,3-Trimethylbenzene 1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene 1,2-Dichloropropane 1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene 1,3-Butadiene **1-Butene** 1-Hexene+2-Methyl-1-Pentene 1-Pentene 2,2,4-Trimethylpentane 2,2-Dimethylbutane (Neohexane) 2,3,4-Trimethylpentane 2,3-Dimethylbutane 2,3-Dimethylpentane 2,4-Dimethylpentane 2-Chloropentane 2-Methyl-2-Butene 2-Methylheptane 2-Methylhexane 2-Methylpentane (Isohexane) 3-Methyl-1-Butene 3-Methylheptane 3-Methylhexane 3-Methylpentane 4-Methyl-1-Pentene Acetylene Benzene

Bromomethane Carbon Tetrachloride Chlorobenzene Chloroform Chloromethane (Methyl Chloride) Cis 1,3-Dichloropropene Cis-2-Butene Cis-2-Hexene **Cis-2-Pentene** Cyclohexane Cyclopentane Cyclopentene Dichlorodifluoromethane Dichloromethane (Methylene Chloride) Ethane Ethylbenzene Ethylene Ethylene Dibromide (1,2-Dibromoethane) Ethylene Dichloride (1,2-Dichloroethane) Isobutane Isopentane (2-Methylbutane) Isoprene Isopropylbenzene (Cumene) M-Diethylbenzene M-Ethyltoluene M/P Xylene

Methyl Chloroform (1,1,1-Trichloroethane) Methylcyclohexane Methylcyclopentane N-Butane N-Decane N-Heptane N-Hexane N-Nonane N-Octane N-Pentane N-Propylbenzene N-Undecane **O-Ethvltoluene** O-Xylene P-Diethylbenzene P-Ethyltoluene Propane Propylene Styrene Tetrachloroethylene Toluene Trans-1-3-Dichloropropylene Trans-2-Butene Trans-2-Hexene Trans-2-Pentene Trichloroethylene Trichlorofluoromethane Vinyl Chloride

List 2. Target Metal Analytes

Arsenic (TSP) Lead (TSP)

List 3. Target PAH Analytes

- Acenaphthene Acenaphthylene Anthracene Benzo (a) anthracene Benzo (a) pyrene Benzo (b) fluoranthene
- Benzo (ghi) perylene Benzo (k) fluoranthene Chrysene Dibenzo (a,h) anthracene Fluoranthene Fluorene
- Indeno (1,2,3-cd) pyrene Naphthalene Phenanthrene Pyrene

Jamie Garza, et al. Page 4 October 31, 2012