# **TCEQ Interoffice Memorandum**

То:	George Ortiz, Regional Director, R13		
From:	Anthony Tran, M.S. 🔻 Toxicology, Risk Assessment, and Research Division, Office of the Executive Director		
Date:	June 21, 2024		
Subject:	Health Effects Review of 2022 Ambient Air Network Monitoring Data in Region 13, San Antonio		

#### Conclusions

- All reported 24-hour and calculated annual average concentrations of volatile organic compounds (VOCs) from canister samples were below their respective short-term and long-term Texas Commission on Environmental Quality (TCEQ) Air Monitoring Comparison Values (AMCVs) and would not be expected to cause adverse health effects or welfare effects.
- All reported hourly and calculated annual average concentrations of VOCs from automated gas chromatograph (autoGC) samples were below their respective short-term and long-term TCEQ AMCVs and would not be expected to cause acute or chronic adverse health effects, vegetation effects, or odor concerns.
- Reported concentrations of hydrogen sulfide (H<sub>2</sub>S) were below the value of the 30minute state standard for residential areas.

#### Background

The Toxicology, Risk Assessment, and Research Division (TD) reviewed ambient air toxics sampling data collected in 2022 at four autoGC sites located at Floresville Hospital Boulevard, Karnes County, City of Garden Ridge, and Camp Bullis, as well as at one canister site located at Old Highway 90 in Region 13, San Antonio. The monitoring summary results are from 1-hour and 24-hour VOC samples collected continuously (autoGC) and every sixth day (canister), respectively. The TCEQ Region 13 monitoring site information are presented in Table 1 along with hyperlinks to detailed information regarding each monitoring sites. The list of 46 autoGC and 84 canister target VOC analytes can be found in Attachment A.

One-hour autoGC VOC samples were compared to TCEQ's short-term AMCVs. Twenty-fourhour air samples (canister) collected every sixth day for a year, are designed to provide representative long-term average concentrations. To enable evaluation of 24-hour monitoring data more fully, TCEQ has developed 24-hour AMCVs for specific chemicals. As such, 24-hour samples were compared to the available TCEQ 24-hour AMCVs (1,3-butadiene, 2,2dimethylbutane, 2,3-dimethylbutane, 2-methylpentane, 3-methylpentane, benzene, ethylene dibromide, ethylene dichloride, and n-hexane). However, because short-term or peak George Ortiz. June 21, 2024 Page 2 of 5

concentrations are not necessarily captured by 24-hour sample, daily concentrations have limited use in evaluating the potential for more acute health effects. The TD evaluated the reported calculated annual average concentrations from 1-hour autoGC and 24-hour samples for each target analyte for potential chronic health and vegetation concerns by comparing measured chemical concentrations to their respective long-term AMCVs. More information about AMCVs is available on the Toxicology AMCV webpage (https://www.tceg.texas.gov/toxicology/amcv/about)

Site Name and Location	County	EPA Site ID	Monitored Compounds
Old Highway 90	Bexar	48-029-0677	VOCs <sup>a</sup>
911 Old Hwy 90 West			
Floresville Hospital Boulevard	Wilson	48-493-1038	VOCs <sup>b</sup>
1404 Hospital Blvd			
Camp Bullis	Bexar	48-029-0052	VOCs <sup>b</sup>
F Range (1000Yd marker off			
Wilderness Trail) Near			
Wilderness Rd			
City of Garden Ridge	Comal	48-091-0505	VOCs <sup>b,c</sup>
21340 FM 3009			
Karnes County	Karnes	48-255-1070	VOCs <sup>b</sup> , H <sub>2</sub> S
1100B East Main Avenue			

#### Table 1. Monitoring Sites Located in TCEQ Region 13

<sup>a</sup> Every sixth-day 24-hour canister

<sup>b</sup> Every day, 1--hour autoGC

<sup>c</sup> AutoGC monitor was activated on 10/08/2022

The TCEQ Monitoring Division reported data for all chemicals evaluated in this memorandum. All data evaluated from the autoGC (46 VOCs) and canister (84 VOCs) highlighted in this evaluation met TCEQ's data completeness objective of 75 percent data return (75% data completeness), except for the following, which were not evaluated:

- Floresville Hospital Boulevard autoGC acetylene.
- City of Garden Ridge autoGC all analytes

The City of Garden Ridge auto GC was activated on 10/08/2022. Therefore, none of the analytes at the site met an annual data completeness of 75% and cannot be evaluated from a long-term perspective.

George Ortiz. June 21, 2024 Page 3 of 5

#### **Evaluation**

#### VOCs

#### Short-Term Data

All reported hourly and 24 hour concentrations of VOCs were either not detected or below their respective short-term AMCVs. Therefore, acute adverse health effects, odorous conditions, or vegetation effects would not be expected to occur as a result of short-term exposure to the reported levels of VOCs at these monitoring sites.

#### Long-Term Data

The reported 2022 calculated annual average concentrations of VOCs were below their respective long-term AMCVs. Exposure to the reported annual average concentrations would not be expected to cause chronic adverse health or vegetation effects.

## H<sub>2</sub>S

All reported 30-minute rolling averages of H<sub>2</sub>S concentration measured at the Karnes County monitoring site were below the value of the 30-minute H<sub>2</sub>S state residential standard (80 ppb).

If you have any questions about this evaluation, please contact me at (512) 239-1790 or anthony.tran@tceq.texas.gov.

George Ortiz. June 21, 2024 Page 4 of 5

### Attachment A

#### List 1. Target VOC Analytes in Canister Samples

1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane 1,1,2-Trichloroethane 1,1-Dichloroethane 1,1-Dichloroethylene 1,2,3-Trimethylbenzene 1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene 1,2-Dichloropropane 1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene 1,3-Butadiene 1-Butene 1-Hexene+2-Methyl-1-Pentene 1-Pentene 2,2,4-Trimethylpentane 2,2-Dimethylbutane 2,3,4-Trimethylpentane 2,3-Dimethylbutane 2,3-Dimethylpentane 2,4-Dimethylpentane 2-Chloropentane 2-Methyl-2-Butene 2-Methylheptane 2-Methylhexane 2-Methylpentane 3-Methyl-1-Butene 3-Methylheptane 3-Methylhexane 3-Methylpentane 4-Methyl-1-Pentene

Acetylene Benzene Bromomethane Carbon Tetrachloride Chlorobenzene Chloroform Chloromethane Cyclohexane Cyclopentane Cyclopentene Dichlorodifluoromethane Dichloromethane Ethane Ethylbenzene Ethylene **Ethylene Dibromide** Ethylene Dichloride Isobutane Isopentane Isoprene Isopropyl benzene Methyl Chloroform Methylcyclohexane Methylcyclopentane Propane Propylene Styrene Tetrachloroethylene Toluene

Trichloroethylene Trichlorofluoromethane Vinyl Chloride cis-1,3-Dichloropropene cis-2-Butene cis-2-Hexene cis-2-Pentene m-Diethylbenzene m-Ethyl toluene m/p Xylene n-Butane n-Decane n-Heptane n-Hexane n-Nonane n-Octane n-Pentane n-Propyl benzene n-Undecane o-Ethyl toluene o-Xylene p-Diethylbenzene p-Ethyl toluene trans-1,3-Dichloropropylene trans-2-Butene trans-2-Hexene trans-2-Pentene

George Ortiz. June 21, 2024 Page 5 of 5

#### List 2. Target VOC Analytes in AutoGC Samples

1-Butene 1-Pentene 1,2,3-Trimethylbenzene 1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene 1,3-Butadiene 1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene 2-Methylheptane 2-Methylhexane 2,2-Dimethylbutane 2,2,4-Trimethylpentane 2,3-Dimethylpentane 2,3,4-Trimethylpentane 2,4-Dimethylpentane 3-Methylheptane 3-Methylhexane Acetylene

Benzene cis-2-Butene cis-2-Pentene Cyclohexane Cyclopentane Ethane Ethyl Benzene Ethylene Isobutane Isopentane Isoprene Isopropyl Benzene -Cumene Methylcyclohexane Methylcyclopentane n-Butane

n-Decane n-Heptane n-Hexane n-Nonane n-Octane n-Pentane n-Propyl Benzene o-Xylene p-Xylene + m-Xylene Propane Propylene Styrene trans-2-Butene trans-2-Pentene Toluene